



US00D411695S

# United States Patent [19]

Christa et al.

[11] Patent Number: Des. 411,695  
[45] Date of Patent: \*\* Jun. 29, 1999

[54] SEAT

[75] Inventors: Carol W. Christa, Duluth, Ga.;  
Rodney D. England, Tazewell, Tenn.

[73] Assignee: England/Corsair, Inc., New Tazewell,  
Tenn.

[\*\*] Term: 14 Years

[21] Appl. No.: 29/085,743

[22] Filed: Mar. 30, 1998

[51] LOC (6) Cl. .... 06-01

[52] U.S. Cl. .... D6/381; D6/334

[58] Field of Search ..... D6/334, 335, 364,  
D6/371, 373, 381, 500, 501, 502; 297/232,  
445.1, 446.1

## [56] References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 81,095	5/1930	Havel	.....	D6/381
D. 134,618	12/1942	Selig	.....	D6/381
D. 237,435	11/1975	Kaplan	.....	D6/381
D. 350,034	8/1994	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D. 381,219	7/1997	Schweiger	.....	D6/381
D. 381,221	7/1997	Natuzzi et al.	.....	D6/381
D. 384,513	10/1997	Christa	.	

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Waynline, #551 Sofa and love seat shown, Jan. 1982.

Primary Examiner—Gary D. Watson

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

## [57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for a seat, as shown and described.

## DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of a seat according to our new design;

FIG. 2 is a front elevational view of our new design;

FIG. 3 is a side elevational view of the design, the opposite side being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 4 is a top plan view of the design;

FIG. 5 is a rear elevational view of the design;

FIG. 6 is an enlarged cutaway perspective view of a front corner of the design;

FIG. 7 is a front elevational view of a seat according to a second embodiment of our new design, the difference being primarily a matter of length;

FIG. 8 is a top plan view of the second embodiment of the design;

FIG. 9 is a front elevational view of a seat according to a third embodiment of our new design, the difference being primarily a matter of length; and,

FIG. 10 is a top plan view of the third embodiment of the design.

The side views of the second and third embodiments are like that shown in FIG. 3. The rear of the second embodiment is like that shown in FIG. 5, the difference being merely a matter of length. The rear of the third embodiment forms no part of the claimed design.

1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets




FIG 1





FIG 2




FIG 3




FIG 9




FIG 10

FIG 4





FIG 5



FIG 6




FIG 7




FIG 8

