

US00D409022S

Des. 409,022

May 4, 1999

United States Patent [19]

Vanderwood et al.

PEDESTAL BASED ARM CHAIR Inventors: Gary F. Vanderwood; Mark A. Brettschneider, both of Monroe; George A. Williams, Lambertville, all of Mich.; Donald A. George, Cincinnati, Ohio Assignee: La-Z-Boy Incorporated, Monroe, [73] Mich. [**] Term: 14 Years Appl. No.: 29/079,980 Nov. 25, 1997 Filed: LOC (6) Cl. 06-06 [51] **U.S. Cl.** **D6/500**; D6/366 [52] [58] D6/366, 367, 500, 501, 502; 297/411.2, 411.28 [56] **References Cited**

D. 318,184	7/1991	Muller-Deisig et al	
D. 318,763	8/1991	George et al	
D. 319,739	9/1991	Narita D6/3	366
D. 338,566	8/1993	George et al	
D. 369,705	5/1996	George et al	
D. 372,799	8/1996	Orians et al	
D. 373,695	9/1996	Buffon D6/5	500
D. 390,369	2/1998	Breen D6/3	366

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Lizell catalog, p. 31, Madison High-Back and Mid-Back Chairs shown, ©1997.

OfficeMax, Hon Executive Chair—Leather, undated.

OfficeMax, Hon Executive Chair, undated.

Patent Number:

Date of Patent:

[11]

[45]

Primary Examiner—Gary D. Watson Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.

**

[57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for a pedestal based arm chair, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of a pedestal based arm chair embodying our new design;

FIG. 2 is a side elevational view of our new design, the opposite side of which is a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 3 is a front elevational view of the design;

FIG. 4 is a rear elevational view of the design;

FIG. 5 is a top plan view of the design;

FIG. 6 is a front perspective view of a pedestal based arm chair showing a second embodiment of our design;

FIG. 7 is a side elevational view of the second embodiment of the design, the opposite side of which is a mirror image thereof;


FIG. 8 is a front perspective view of a pedestal based arm chair showing a third embodiment of our design; and,

FIG. 9 is a side elevational view of the third embodiment of the design, the opposite side of which is a mirror image thereof.

The broken line showings of a spider pedestal base and an adjustment mechanism are for environmental purposes only and form no part of the claimed design.

The sole difference between the embodiments being in the height of the backrest.

1 Claim, 4 Drawing Sheets










FIG 2

May 4, 1999

















FIG 9