



US00D407587S

United States Patent [19] Grabowski

[11] Patent Number: **Des. 407,587**

[45] Date of Patent: ****Apr. 6, 1999**

[54] FURNITURE WORKSURFACE

DESCRIPTION

[75] Inventor: **Daniel Grabowski**, Grand Rapids, Mich.

[73] Assignee: **Steelcase Inc.**, Grand Rapids, Mich.

[**] Term: **14 Years**

[21] Appl. No.: **83,049**

[22] Filed: **Feb. 3, 1998**

[51] **LOC (6) Cl.** **06-06**

[52] **U.S. Cl.** **D6/511; D6/406.3; D6/482**

[58] **Field of Search** D6/480-489, 511, D6/451, 423, 428, 406.3, 406.1; 108/153.1, 152, 156, 157.1, 43

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 134,727	1/1943	Weber .	
D. 209,405	11/1967	Barcio	D6/423
D. 263,530	3/1982	Liljeqvist	D6/176
D. 267,988	2/1983	Crespi	D6/27
D. 270,787	10/1983	Umanoff et al.	D6/23
D. 270,788	10/1983	Umanoff et al.	D6/23
D. 279,846	7/1985	Esslinger	D6/483
D. 283,092	3/1986	Johnston	D6/423
D. 330,644	11/1992	Bellini et al.	D6/422
D. 331,672	12/1992	Brodbeck	D6/421
D. 332,189	1/1993	Brodbeck	D6/421
D. 332,536	1/1993	Hosoe	D6/482
D. 336,191	6/1993	Deimen et al.	D6/511
D. 341,507	11/1993	Harvey et al.	D6/482
D. 349,824	8/1994	Ball	D6/482

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Janice E. Seeger

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Price, Heneveld, Cooper, DeWitt & Litton

[57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for furniture worksurface, as shown and described.

FIG. 1 is a front perspective view of a furniture worksurface, showing my new design illustrating an elliptically shaped interior cutout;

FIG. 2 is a top plan view;

FIG. 3 is a front elevational view;

FIG. 4 is a 45° oblique view, taken along the line IV—IV of FIG. 2, of a portion of the interior cutout illustrating the varying thickness of the interior edge;

FIG. 5 is a right side elevational view, the left side being a mirror image;

FIG. 6 is a rear elevational view;

FIG. 7 is a partial sectional view of the interior edge taken along line VII—VII of FIG. 2 and substantially coincides with a maximum length axis of the elliptical cutout;

FIG. 8 is a partial sectional view of the interior edge along section line VIII—VIII of FIG. 2 substantially coinciding with a 45° oblique axis of the elliptical cutout;

FIG. 9 is a partial sectional view of the interior edge taken along the line IX—IX of FIG. 2 and substantially coinciding with a minimum length axis of the elliptical cutout;

FIG. 10 is a front perspective view of an alternate embodiment of the furniture worksurface, showing our new design having an elliptically shaped interior cutout and also having a contoured exterior edge;

FIG. 11 is a top plan view;

FIG. 12 is a front elevational view;

FIG. 13 is a 45° oblique view taken along the line XIII—XIII of FIG. 11 of a portion of the interior cutout illustrating the varying thickness of the interior edge;

FIG. 14 is a right side elevational view, the left side being a mirror image;


FIG. 15 is a rear elevational view;

FIG. 16 is a partial sectional view of the interior edge taken along VII—VII of FIG. 9 and substantially coincides with a maximum length axis of the elliptical cutout;

FIG. 17 is a partial sectional view of the interior edge along section line VIII—VIII of FIG. 9 substantially coinciding with a 45° oblique axis of the elliptical cutout; and,

FIG. 18 is a partial sectional view of the interior edge taken along the line IX—IX of FIG. 9 and substantially coinciding with a minimum length axis of the elliptical cutout.

1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 352,409	11/1994	Hosoe	D6/482	3,533,362	10/1970	Thompson	108/64
D. 359,405	6/1995	Ball	D6/482	4,545,144	10/1985	Schuster	108/27
D. 367,774	3/1996	Wood, Jr.	D6/422	4,639,049	1/1987	Frascaroli et al.	312/195
D. 367,970	3/1996	Rhoads	D6/397	4,732,088	3/1988	Koechlin et al.	108/64
D. 369,788	5/1996	Yanos et al.	D14/103	5,253,595	10/1993	Heidmann	108/157
D. 371,468	7/1996	Sittel et al.	D6/422	5,265,952	11/1993	Greshem et al.	312/312
D. 371,699	7/1996	Muller-Deisig et al.	D6/482	5,339,747	8/1994	Epps	108/64
D. 371,701	7/1996	Jolly	D6/484	5,435,254	7/1995	Amey et al.	108/64
D. 372,811	8/1996	Muller-Deisig et al.	D6/399	5,438,937	8/1995	Ball et al.	108/64
D. 395,969	7/1998	Barlow-Lawson	D6/511	5,451,101	9/1995	Ellison et al.	312/223.6
3,053,598	9/1962	Cheslow	311/4	5,522,323	6/1996	Richard	108/10
					5,528,996	6/1996	Edwards et al.	100/64




Fig. 1




Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 5




Fig. 4



Fig. 6

Fig. 7




Fig. 8





Fig. 9






Fig. 10




Fig. 11



Fig. 12



Fig. 14



Fig. 13



Fig. 15

Fig. 16




Fig. 17




Fig. 18

