

US00D387011S

# United States Patent [19]

## Weder et al.

# Patent Number:

Des. 387,011

Date of Patent:

D. 359,931

\*\*\*Dec. 2, 1997

[54]	FLOWER POT COVER		
[75]	Inventors:	Donald E. Weder; Joseph G. Straeter, both of Highland, Ill.	
[73]	Assignee:	Southpac Trust International, Inc.	
[*]	Notice:	The portion of the term of this patent subsequent to May 6, 2011, has been disclaimed.	
[**]	Term:	14 Years	
[21]	Appl. No.:	49,818	
[22]	Filed:	Jan. 31, 1996	

#### 4/1996 Weder et al. ...... D11/164 D. 369,124 D. 369,320 D. 369,321 D. 369,322 D. 370,431 3/1934 Augustin et al. ...... 47/34 1,951,642 5/1966 Gjesdal ...... 229/37 3,253,768 5/1969 Stockdale ...... 229/51 8/1977 Stonehocker ...... 47/66 4,043,077 5,076,011 12/1991 Stehouwer ....... 47/72

7/1995 Weder et al. ...... D11/164

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

3/1982 2489126 France. 3/1988 France. 2603159

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Exhibit A—"The Simple Solution For Those Peak Volume Periods", a brochure (4 pages), published by Highland Supply Corporation, 1111 Sixth St., Highland, Illinois 62249, ©1989.

Primary Examiner—Jeffrey Asch Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Dunlap & Codding. P.C.

#### **CLAIM** [57]

The ornamental design for a flower pot cover, as shown and described.

### DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of our new flower pot cover design;

FIG. 2 is a side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 3 is a top plan view thereof;

FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view thereof;

FIG. 5 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the horizontal angle of the skirt;

FIG. 6 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the near vertical angle of the skirt;

FIG. 7 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the downward angle of the skirt;

### Related U.S. Application Data


[60]	Division of Ser. No. 694, Oct. 20, 1992, Pat. No. Des.
	369,124, which is a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 781,453,
	Oct. 21, 1991, Pat. No. Des. 348,634, which is a continua-
	tion-in-part of Ser. No. 617,454, Nov. 21, 1990, abandoned,
	and a continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 411,249, Sep. 22,
	1989, Pat. No. Des. 358,113, and a continuation-in-part of
	Ser. No. 411,247, Sep. 22, 1989, abandoned, and a continu-
	ation-in-part of Ser. No. 411,245, Sep. 22, 1989, abandoned.


,,,,,,		
11-02	LOC (6) Cl	[51]
D11/164	U.S. Cl	[52]
D11/143, 149,	Field of Search	[58]
164, 89, 90; 47/66, 72; 206/423;	•	
29/1.5 R, 1.5 B, 4.5, 108, 108.1,	•	
.01, 922, 930, 186, 189; 428/27,		
2.6; 338/122; D9/346, 428-431;	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
D26/128-136; D19/96; D7/540,	D27/102, 133;	
584, 586, 587, 354		

References Cited [56]

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 239,192	3/1976	Wiles et al.	D35/3 A
D. 254,659	4/1980	Karotseris	D11/143
D. 319,417	8/1991	Weder et al	D11/164
D. 322,403		Eaton	
D. 324,659		Stehouwer	
D. 327,234		Vaughn	
D. 336,041		Zama	
D. 348,634		Weder et al.	








FIG. 8 is a side elevational view of a second embodiment of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the height of the base;

FIG. 9 is a side elevational view of a third embodiment of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the height of the base;

FIG. 10 is a side elevational view of a fourth embodiment of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the size of the skirt;

FIG. 11 is a side elevational view of a fifth embodiment of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the size of the skirt;

FIG. 12 is a perspective view of a sixth embodiment of our new flower pot cover design;

FIG. 13 is a side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 14 is a top plan view thereof;

FIG. 15 is a bottom plan view thereof;

FIG. 16 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 12, the only difference being in the horizontal angle of the skirt; FIG. 17 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 12, the only difference being in the near vertical angle of the skirt;

FIG. 18 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 12, the only difference being in the downward angle of the skirt; FIG. 19 is a side elevational view of a seventh embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 12 being in the height of the base;

FIG. 20 is a side elevational view of an eighth embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 12 being in the height of the base;

FIG. 21 is a side elevational view of a ninth embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 12 being in the size of the skirt; FIG. 22 is a side elevational view of a tenth embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 12 being in the size of the skirt;

FIG. 23 is a perspective view of an eleventh embodiment of our new flower pot cover design;

FIG. 24 is a side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 25 is a top plan view thereof;

FIG. 26 is a bottom plan view thereof;

FIG. 27 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 23, the only difference being in the horizontal angle of the skirt;

FIG. 28 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 23, the only difference being in the near vertical angle of the skirt;

FIG. 29 is a side elevational view of the design of FIG. 23, the only difference being in the downward angle of the skirt;

FIG. 30 is a side elevational view of a twelfth embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 23 being in the height of the base;

FIG. 31 is a side elevational view of an thirteenth embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 23 being in the height of the base;

FIG. 32 is a side elevational view of a fourteenth embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 23 being in the size of the skirt; and,

FIG. 33 is a side elevational view of a fifteenth embodiment of the flower pot cover design, the only difference over FIG. 23 being in the size of the skirt.

### 1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets

