



US00D365175S

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: Des. 365,175

La Joie et al.

[45] Date of Patent: **Dec. 12, 1995

- [54] ARTIFICIAL NAIL TIP
- [75] Inventors: Aldran H. La Joie, Laguna Hills;
James A. Nordstrom; Janet E. Bragulla, both of Cardiff, all of Calif.
- [73] Assignees: Cosmar Corporation, Huntington Beach; Creative Nail Design System Incorporated, Carlsbad, both of Calif.
- [**] Term: 14 Years
- [21] Appl. No.: 19,295
- [22] Filed: Feb. 28, 1994
- [52] U.S. Cl. D28/56
- [58] Field of Search D28/56, 9; D9/314, D9/337, 341, 347, 434; 132/73, 73.5, 286; 206/45.14, 581, 823, 458; 428/15

4,559,055	12/1985	Ogunro	623/11
4,596,260	6/1986	Giuliano	132/73
4,600,030	7/1986	Newman	132/73 X
4,625,740	12/1986	Roth	132/73
4,627,453	12/1986	Isler	132/73
4,671,305	6/1987	Mann	132/73
4,751,935	6/1988	Mast et al.	132/73 X
4,805,645	2/1989	Schiff et al.	132/73
4,824,702	4/1989	Straub	428/15
4,860,774	8/1989	Becker	132/73
4,876,121	10/1989	Cohen	428/15
4,898,192	2/1990	Cohen	132/73
4,920,991	5/1990	Shibahashi et al.	132/73
5,060,678	10/1991	Baumann et al.	132/73
5,070,892	12/1991	Trematerra	132/73
5,127,414	7/1992	Mast et al.	132/73

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

898072	11/1953	Germany	132/73
--------	---------	---------------	--------

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- "Modern Salon", Jan. 1986; p. 155; center of page: Overnite Nails.
- "Modern Salon" Sep. 1983; p. 13 Dragon Lady Nails.
- "Modern Salon" Jan. 1987; p. 89—top left row of artificial nails (arrow).
- "Modern Salon" May, 1987; p. 169—New Nail Tips.

Primary Examiner—Ted Shooman
 Assistant Examiner—C. Tuttle
 Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Lyon & Lyon

[57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for an artificial nail tip, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIGS. 1-5 are top front perspective views of the five modified embodiments showing the new design; FIGS. 6-10 are rear perspective views of FIGS. 1-5; FIGS. 11-15 are top plan views thereof; FIGS. 16-20 are bottom plan views thereof; FIGS. 21-25 are right side elevational views thereof; and, FIG. 26 is a cross sectional view taken along line 26—26 of FIG. 15, the sectional configurations of all embodiments being the same as the exemplary view shown, except for the variations in overall length.

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 262,941	2/1982	Lorber	D9/337 X
D. 278,939	5/1985	Hokama	D28/56
D. 292,624	11/1987	Otake	D28/56
D. 295,451	4/1988	Mast	D28/56
D. 299,878	2/1989	Wienslaw	D28/56
D. 303,161	8/1989	Tomkins	D28/56
D. 309,196	7/1990	La Joie	D28/56
D. 349,975	8/1994	Bannett	D28/56
1,942,332	1/1934	Hamberg	63/2
2,864,384	12/1958	Walter	132/73
2,941,535	6/1960	Lappe	132/73
3,037,514	6/1962	Lappe	132/73
3,487,831	1/1970	Jaume et al.	128/846
3,552,401	1/1971	Michaelson et al.	132/73
3,578,158	5/1971	Aylott	132/286 X
3,750,684	8/1973	Russell	132/73
3,982,551	9/1976	Bartolucci	206/820 X
4,007,748	2/1977	Matranga et al.	132/73
4,106,614	8/1978	Aylott	132/73 X
4,140,139	2/1979	Aylott	132/73
4,157,095	6/1979	Sweet	132/73
4,222,399	9/1980	Ionescu	132/73
4,346,720	8/1982	Hokama	132/73
4,407,310	10/1983	Jadow	132/73
4,511,608	4/1985	Ferraro	428/15
4,554,935	11/1985	Hokama	132/73






Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8



Fig. 9



Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12




Fig. 13



Fig. 14



Fig. 15




Fig. 21




Fig. 22



Fig. 23



Fig. 24



Fig. 25




Fig. 16




Fig. 17




Fig. 18



Fig. 19




Fig. 20



Fig. 26