



US00D365175S

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: Des. 365,175

La Joie et al.

[45] Date of Patent: **Dec. 12, 1995

- [54] ARTIFICIAL NAIL TIP
- [75] Inventors: Aldran H. La Joie, Laguna Hills;
James A. Nordstrom; Janet E. Bragulla, both of Cardiff, all of Calif.
- [73] Assignees: Cosmar Corporation, Huntington Beach; Creative Nail Design System Incorporated, Carlsbad, both of Calif.
- [**] Term: 14 Years
- [21] Appl. No.: 19,295
- [22] Filed: Feb. 28, 1994
- [52] U.S. Cl. D28/56
- [58] Field of Search D28/56, 9; D9/314, D9/337, 341, 347, 434; 132/73, 73.5, 286; 206/45.14, 581, 823, 458; 428/15

4,559,055	12/1985	Ogunro	623/11
4,596,260	6/1986	Giuliano	132/73
4,600,030	7/1986	Newman	132/73 X
4,625,740	12/1986	Roth	132/73
4,627,453	12/1986	Isler	132/73
4,671,305	6/1987	Mann	132/73
4,751,935	6/1988	Mast et al.	132/73 X
4,805,645	2/1989	Schiff et al.	132/73
4,824,702	4/1989	Straub	428/15
4,860,774	8/1989	Becker	132/73
4,876,121	10/1989	Cohen	428/15
4,898,192	2/1990	Cohen	132/73
4,920,991	5/1990	Shibahashi et al.	132/73
5,060,678	10/1991	Baumann et al.	132/73
5,070,892	12/1991	Trematerra	132/73
5,127,414	7/1992	Mast et al.	132/73

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

898072	11/1953	Germany	132/73
--------	---------	---------------	--------

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- "Modern Salon", Jan. 1986; p. 155; center of page: Overnite Nails.
- "Modern Salon" Sep. 1983; p. 13 Dragon Lady Nails.
- "Modern Salon" Jan. 1987; p. 89-top left row of artificial nails (arrow).
- "Modern Salon" May, 1987; p. 169-New Nail Tips.

Primary Examiner—Ted Shooman
 Assistant Examiner—C. Tuttle
 Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Lyon & Lyon

[57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for an artificial nail tip, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIGS. 1-5 are top front perspective views of the five modified embodiments showing the new design; FIGS. 6-10 are rear perspective views of FIGS. 1-5; FIGS. 11-15 are top plan views thereof; FIGS. 16-20 are bottom plan views thereof; FIGS. 21-25 are right side elevational views thereof; and, FIG. 26 is a cross sectional view taken along line 26-26 of FIG. 15, the sectional configurations of all embodiments being the same as the exemplary view shown, except for the variations in overall length.

1 Claim, 1 Drawing Sheet

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 262,941	2/1982	Lorber	D9/337 X
D. 278,939	5/1985	Hokama	D28/56
D. 292,624	11/1987	Otake	D28/56
D. 295,451	4/1988	Mast	D28/56
D. 299,878	2/1989	Wienslaw	D28/56
D. 303,161	8/1989	Tomkins	D28/56
D. 309,196	7/1990	La Joie	D28/56
D. 349,975	8/1994	Bannett	D28/56
1,942,332	1/1934	Hamberg	63/2
2,864,384	12/1958	Walter	132/73
2,941,535	6/1960	Lappe	132/73
3,037,514	6/1962	Lappe	132/73
3,487,831	1/1970	Jaume et al.	128/846
3,552,401	1/1971	Michaelson et al.	132/73
3,578,158	5/1971	Aylott	132/286 X
3,750,684	8/1973	Russell	132/73
3,982,551	9/1976	Bartolucci	206/820 X
4,007,748	2/1977	Matranga et al.	132/73
4,106,614	8/1978	Aylott	132/73 X
4,140,139	2/1979	Aylott	132/73
4,157,095	6/1979	Sweet	132/73
4,222,399	9/1980	Ionescu	132/73
4,346,720	8/1982	Hokama	132/73
4,407,310	10/1983	Jadow	132/73
4,511,608	4/1985	Ferraro	428/15
4,554,935	11/1985	Hokama	132/73






Fig. 1



Fig. 2



Fig. 3



Fig. 4



Fig. 5




Fig. 6




Fig. 7




Fig. 8



Fig. 9



Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12




Fig. 13



Fig. 14



Fig. 15




Fig. 21




Fig. 22



Fig. 23



Fig. 24



Fig. 25




Fig. 16




Fig. 17



Fig. 18



Fig. 19



Fig. 20



Fig. 26