



US00D364986S

**United States Patent** [19]

[11] **Patent Number: Des. 364,986**

**Lauder et al.**

[45] **Date of Patent: \*\*Dec. 12, 1995**

[54] **MULTI-POSITION PILLOW**

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

[75] Inventors: **Darla D. Lauder**, Richmond, Va.;  
**Edward O. Norris**, Russelville, Ky.

2225229 5/1990 United Kingdom .  
8607528 12/1986 WIPO ..... 297/452.22

[73] Assignee: **Carpenter Co.**, Richmond, Va.

*Primary Examiner*—Lisa P. Lichtenstein  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Beveridge, DeGrandi, Weilacher  
& Young

[\*\*] Term: **14 Years**

[57] **CLAIM**

[21] Appl. No.: **32,515**

The ornamental design for a multi-position pillow, as shown  
and described.

[22] Filed: **Dec. 20, 1994**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

**DESCRIPTION**

- [63] Continuation of Ser. No. 316,590, Sep. 30, 1994.
- [52] **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D6/601**; D6/596
- [58] **Field of Search** ..... D6/595, 596, 601,  
D6/500-502; 5/630, 636, 648, 901, 653;  
128/845; 297/452.22, 452.21, 452.26, 452.23,  
452.24, DIG. 1

FIG. 1 illustrates a perspective view of a multi-position  
pillow showing our new design in a first position with  
protrusions down;

FIG. 2 shows a top planar view thereof;

FIG. 3 is a side elevational view of a longitudinal side  
thereof, the opposite side being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 4 is a side elevational view of a lateral side thereof, the  
opposite side being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 5 is a planar view of the bottom surface thereof;

FIG. 6 illustrates a perspective view of the pillow in a second  
position with the protrusions upward;

FIG. 7 is a top perspective view of a second embodiment of  
the present application with protrusions downward;

FIG. 8 is a side elevational view of the closest longitudinal  
side shown in FIG. 7;

FIG. 9 is a side elevational view of the side opposite to that  
depicted in FIG. 8;

FIG. 10 is a side elevational view of a lateral side of the  
second embodiment shown in FIG. 7, the opposite side  
being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 11 is a planar view of the bottom surface of the second  
embodiment; and,

FIG. 12 illustrates a perspective view of the second embodi-  
ment in a second position with the protrusions upward (the  
side without the opening being illustrated in FIG. 12).

The sole difference between the two embodiments resides in  
the appearance of the longer, narrower configuration of the  
depression in the top surface, the placement of said depres-  
sion and the number of apertures in said depression.

[56] **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

- D. 222,349 10/1971 Sorenson ..... D6/601
- D. 238,235 12/1975 Elgebrandt .
- D. 282,037 1/1986 Lawson et al. .... D6/606
- D. 308,311 6/1990 Forsland ..... D6/601
- D. 310,610 9/1990 Dixon .
- D. 317,236 6/1991 Dixon .
- D. 323,092 1/1992 Fenner, Sr. .... D6/596
- D. 329,566 9/1992 Davidson, Jr. .... D6/601
- 2,199,479 5/1940 Cappel .
- 2,380,102 7/1945 Farmer ..... 297/452.22
- 3,124,812 7/1964 Milton et al. .
- 3,694,831 10/1972 Treace .
- 4,132,228 1/1979 Green .
- 4,320,543 3/1982 Dixon .
- 4,686,724 8/1987 Bedford .
- 4,777,855 10/1988 Cohen .
- 4,788,728 12/1988 Lake .
- 4,866,800 9/1989 Bedford .
- 4,908,893 3/1990 Smit .
- 4,972,535 11/1990 Goldman ..... 5/901
- 5,014,377 5/1991 Dixon .
- 5,079,790 1/1992 Pouch .
- 5,153,956 10/1992 Nold .
- 5,226,188 7/1993 Liou .

**1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets**




FIG. 1




FIG. 2






FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8






FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12

