



US00D364986S

**United States Patent** [19]

[11] **Patent Number: Des. 364,986**

**Lauder et al.**

[45] **Date of Patent: \*\*Dec. 12, 1995**

[54] **MULTI-POSITION PILLOW**

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

[75] Inventors: **Darla D. Lauder**, Richmond, Va.;  
**Edward O. Norris**, Russelville, Ky.

2225229 5/1990 United Kingdom .  
8607528 12/1986 WIPO ..... 297/452.22

[73] Assignee: **Carpenter Co.**, Richmond, Va.

*Primary Examiner*—Lisa P. Lichtenstein  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Beveridge, DeGrandi, Weilacher  
& Young

[\*\*] Term: **14 Years**

[57] **CLAIM**

[21] Appl. No.: **32,515**

The ornamental design for a multi-position pillow, as shown  
and described.

[22] Filed: **Dec. 20, 1994**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

**DESCRIPTION**

- [63] Continuation of Ser. No. 316,590, Sep. 30, 1994.
- [52] **U.S. Cl.** ..... **D6/601**; D6/596
- [58] **Field of Search** ..... D6/595, 596, 601,  
D6/500-502; 5/630, 636, 648, 901, 653;  
128/845; 297/452.22, 452.21, 452.26, 452.23,  
452.24, DIG. 1

FIG. 1 illustrates a perspective view of a multi-position  
pillow showing our new design in a first position with  
protrusions down;

FIG. 2 shows a top planar view thereof;

FIG. 3 is a side elevational view of a longitudinal side  
thereof, the opposite side being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 4 is a side elevational view of a lateral side thereof, the  
opposite side being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 5 is a planar view of the bottom surface thereof;

FIG. 6 illustrates a perspective view of the pillow in a second  
position with the protrusions upward;

FIG. 7 is a top perspective view of a second embodiment of  
the present application with protrusions downward;

FIG. 8 is a side elevational view of the closest longitudinal  
side shown in FIG. 7;

FIG. 9 is a side elevational view of the side opposite to that  
depicted in FIG. 8;

FIG. 10 is a side elevational view of a lateral side of the  
second embodiment shown in FIG. 7, the opposite side  
being a mirror image thereof;

FIG. 11 is a planar view of the bottom surface of the second  
embodiment; and,

FIG. 12 illustrates a perspective view of the second embodi-  
ment in a second position with the protrusions upward (the  
side without the opening being illustrated in FIG. 12).

The sole difference between the two embodiments resides in  
the appearance of the longer, narrower configuration of the  
depression in the top surface, the placement of said depres-  
sion and the number of apertures in said depression.

[56] **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

D. 222,349	10/1971	Sorenson .....	D6/601
D. 238,235	12/1975	Elgebrandt .	
D. 282,037	1/1986	Lawson et al. ....	D6/606
D. 308,311	6/1990	Forsland .....	D6/601
D. 310,610	9/1990	Dixon .	
D. 317,236	6/1991	Dixon .	
D. 323,092	1/1992	Fenner, Sr. ....	D6/596
D. 329,566	9/1992	Davidson, Jr. ....	D6/601
2,199,479	5/1940	Cappel .	
2,380,102	7/1945	Farmer .....	297/452.22
3,124,812	7/1964	Milton et al. .	
3,694,831	10/1972	Treace .	
4,132,228	1/1979	Green .	
4,320,543	3/1982	Dixon .	
4,686,724	8/1987	Bedford .	
4,777,855	10/1988	Cohen .	
4,788,728	12/1988	Lake .	
4,866,800	9/1989	Bedford .	
4,908,893	3/1990	Smit .	
4,972,535	11/1990	Goldman .....	5/901
5,014,377	5/1991	Dixon .	
5,079,790	1/1992	Pouch .	
5,153,956	10/1992	Nold .	
5,226,188	7/1993	Liou .	

**1 Claim, 6 Drawing Sheets**




FIG. 1




FIG. 2






FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8






FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12

