



US00D352159S

# United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **Des. 352,159**

**Kilgore**

[45] Date of Patent: **\*\* Nov. 8, 1994**

- [54] **HEEL INSERT FOR A SHOE SOLE**
- [75] Inventor: **Bruce Kilgore, Lake Oswego, Oreg.**
- [73] Assignee: **Nike, Inc., Beaverton, Oreg.**
- [\*\*] Term: **14 Years**
- [21] Appl. No.: **17,635**
- [22] Filed: **Jan. 19, 1994**

### Related U.S. Application Data

- [62] Division of Ser. No. 786,933, Nov. 1, 1991, Pat. No. D. 344,401.
- [52] U.S. Cl. .... **D2/965; D2/964**
- [58] Field of Search ..... **D2/896, 946, 961, 964, D2/965; 36/1, 3 B, 7.8, 28, 29, 25 R, 35 R, 35 B, 37, 38, 43, 44, 81, 89, 114, 132, 136, 106**

### References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 39,747	1/1909	McKenna .	
D. 136,960	1/1944	Shuford .....	D2/965
D. 145,817	10/1946	Payne .....	D2/965
D. 260,196	8/1981	Plagenhoef .....	D2/947
D. 261,946	11/1981	Trask .....	D2/950
D. 296,493	7/1988	Diaz .	
D. 308,285	6/1990	Serna .	
413,693	10/1889	Walker .....	36/7.8
507,490	10/1893	Gambino .....	36/28
622,673	4/1899	Ferrata .....	36/3 B
968,020	8/1910	Yandoli .....	36/37 X
1,075,039	10/1913	Keith .....	36/1
1,469,717	10/1923	Cutting .....	36/3 B
2,048,683	7/1936	Brockman .....	36/35 R
2,399,543	4/1946	Dack .....	36/106

(List continued on next page.)

#### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

51380	12/1911	Austria .	
946494	6/1949	France .....	36/7.8
1227420	8/1960	France .	
1526637A1	12/1989	U.S.S.R. .	


*Primary Examiner*—Louis S. Zarfaz  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Banner, Birch, McKie & Beckett

### [57] CLAIM


The ornamental design for a heel insert for a shoe sole, as shown and described.

### DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is an elevational view of one side of a heel insert for a shoe sole showing my new design;  
 FIG. 2 is an elevational view of the other side thereof;  
 FIG. 3 is a top plan view thereof;  
 FIG. 4 is a rear elevational view thereof;  
 FIG. 5 is a cross sectional view taken along line 5—5 of FIG. 1;  
 FIG. 6 is a cross sectional view taken along line 6—6 of FIG. 1;  
 FIG. 7 is an elevational view of one side of a second embodiment of a heel insert for a shoe sole showing my new design;  
 FIG. 8 is an elevational view of the other side of the second embodiment;  
 FIG. 9 is a top plan view of the second embodiment;  
 FIG. 10 is a rear elevational view of the second embodiment;  
 FIG. 11 is a cross sectional view taken along line 11—11 of FIG. 7;  
 FIG. 12 is a cross sectional view taken along line 12—12 of FIG. 7;  
 FIG. 13 is an elevational view of one side of a third embodiment of a heel insert for a shoe sole showing my new design;  
 FIG. 14 is an elevational view of the other side of the third embodiment;  
 FIG. 15 is a top plan view of the third embodiment;  
 FIG. 16 is a rear elevational view of the third embodiment;  
 FIG. 17 is a cross sectional view taken through line 17—17 of FIG. 13; and,  
 FIG. 18 is a cross sectional view taken through line 18—18 of FIG. 13.  
 The broken lines showing the remaining portions of the sole and shoe upper in FIGS. 1-18 form no part of the claimed design.



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS							
2,710,460	6/1955	Stasinos .....	36/28 X	4,680,875	7/1987	Danieli .....	36/28 X
2,985,971	8/1960	Murawski .....	36/29	4,680,876	7/1987	Peng .....	36/35 B
3,822,490	7/1974	Murawski .....	36/35 R	4,715,130	12/1987	Scatena .....	36/28 X
4,223,457	9/1980	Borgeas .....	36/37 X	4,722,131	2/1988	Huang .	
4,237,625	12/1980	Cole et al. ....	36/28	4,815,221	3/1989	Diaz .....	36/28 X
4,262,433	4/1981	Hagg et al. ....	36/25 R	4,817,304	4/1989	Parker et al. ....	36/114
4,322,892	4/1982	Inohora .....	36/29	4,843,741	7/1989	Yung-Mao .....	36/114
4,438,573	3/1984	McBarron .....	36/3 B	4,878,300	11/1989	Bogaty .....	36/28 X
4,535,553	8/1985	Derderian et al. ....	36/28	4,881,329	11/1989	Crowley .....	36/38
4,573,279	3/1986	Feurer-Zogel et al. ....	36/35 R	4,887,367	12/1989	Mackness et al. ....	36/28
4,611,412	9/1986	Cohen .....	36/28	4,918,838	4/1990	Chang .....	36/28
4,616,431	10/1986	Dassler .....	36/28	4,918,841	4/1990	Turner et al. ....	36/114
4,660,299	4/1987	Omilusik .....	36/7.8	4,936,029	6/1990	Rudy .....	36/29
4,663,865	5/1987	Telecemian .....	36/114	4,936,030	6/1990	Rennex .....	36/28
				4,956,927	9/1990	Misevich et al. ....	36/28 X
				5,014,449	5/1991	Richard et al. ....	36/114






FIG. 2

**FIG. 3**




FIG. 5




FIG. 6







FIG. 4







**FIG. 8**



**FIG. 9**




FIG. 11




FIG. 12






FIG. 10








FIG. 14

**FIG. 15**




FIG. 17




FIG. 18




FIG. 16

