



US00D349123S

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **Des. 349,123**

Cooley et al.

[45] Date of Patent: **** Jul. 26, 1994**

- [54] **SPECTACLES HAVING INTEGRAL ILLUMINATION**
- [75] Inventors: **Denton A. Cooley, Houston, Tex.; Bernard Clark, Arlington, Vt.; Frederick Morris, Shrewsbury, Mass.; Lawrence E. Delaney, Bristol, Pa.; Peter Vari, Newtown, Pa.; William L. Chesterson, Richboro, Pa.**
- [73] Assignee: **Luxtec Corporation, Worcester, Mass.**
- [**] Term: **14 Years**
- [21] Appl. No.: **923,737**
- [22] Filed: **Jul. 31, 1992**
- [52] U.S. Cl. **D16/103**
- [58] Field of Search 351/44, 51, 83, 158; D16/102, 103, 118, 119, 121

4,498,743	2/1985	Feinbloom	351/45
4,555,164	11/1985	Feinbloom	350/420
4,621,283	11/1986	Feinbloom	358/93
4,649,434	3/1987	Weinblatt	358/250
4,767,203	8/1988	Zahir	351/158
4,795,235	1/1989	Spitzberg	350/145
4,799,793	1/1989	Feinbloom	356/127
4,802,756	2/1989	Feinbloom	351/200
4,802,756	2/1989	Feinbloom	351/200
4,807,985	2/1989	Feinbloom	351/158
4,807,987	2/1989	Bastable et al.	351/205
4,834,525	5/1989	Vansaghi	351/158
4,859,032	8/1989	Feinbloom	350/235
4,863,468	9/1989	Feinbloom et al.	623/6
4,929,075	5/1990	Eliakim	351/158
4,946,257	8/1990	Feinbloom et al.	350/321
4,953,695	9/1990	Tallman	206/5
4,973,130	11/1990	Gernet	350/145
4,988,185	1/1991	Feinbloom	351/233
5,044,743	9/1991	Ting	351/163
5,076,682	12/1991	Pasfield	351/158
5,087,112	2/1992	Feinbloom	359/800
5,090,796	2/1992	Feinbloom	351/158
5,129,717	7/1992	Feinbloom	351/158
5,162,824	11/1992	Klemka	351/158

[56] **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 178,873	10/1956	Eloranta	D16/102
D. 215,751	10/1969	Castellano	351/158
D. 229,975	1/1974	Klugmann	D16/103
D. 293,919	1/1988	Podell	D16/119
D. 329,245	9/1992	Duvert	D16/102
2,725,462	11/1955	Vorgang	351/158
3,060,308	10/1962	Fortuna	
3,410,638	11/1968	Langworthy	
3,663,099	5/1972	Saffir	351/158
3,745,993	7/1973	Feinbloom	128/23
3,912,918	10/1975	Feinbloom	240/1.4
3,947,139	3/1976	Feinbloom	403/90
4,086,004	4/1978	Scrive et al.	351/158
4,232,934	11/1980	Feinbloom	350/96.20
4,274,128	6/1981	Malis	362/105
4,306,779	12/1991	Rege	351/118
4,364,645	12/1982	Feinbloom	351/204
4,397,523	8/1983	Feinbloom	350/96.20
4,427,284	1/1984	Dannatt	355/1


Primary Examiner—Bernard Ansher
Assistant Examiner—R. Barkai
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Weingarten, Schurgin, Gagnebin & Hayes

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a spectacle frame, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a spectacle frame;
 FIG. 2 is a front view of the spectacle frame;
 FIG. 3 is the rear view of the spectacle frame;
 FIG. 4 is a right side view, the side opposite being a mirror image;
 FIG. 5 is a top view of the spectacle frame;
 FIG. 6 is the bottom view of the spectacle frame.






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6