



US00D340350S

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **Des. 340,350**

Kilgore et al.

[45] Date of Patent: **** Oct. 19, 1993**

[54] **INSERT FOR A SHOE**

[75] Inventors: **Bruce Kilgore, Oswego; Nancy Dalton, Portland, both of Oreg.**

[73] Assignee: **Nike, Inc., Beaverton, Oreg.**

[**] Term: **14 Years**

[21] Appl. No.: **797,810**

[22] Filed: **Nov. 26, 1991**

[52] U.S. Cl. **D2/318**

[58] Field of Search **D2/264, 265, 314, 317-323; 36/25 R, 28, 29, 32 R, 35 R, 35 B, 43, 44, 83, 84, 89, 112-114, 132, 136**

4,680,875	7/1987	Danieli	36/28 X
4,715,130	12/1987	Scatena	36/28 X
4,722,131	2/1988	Huang .	
4,815,221	3/1989	Diaz	36/28 X
4,817,304	4/1989	Parker et al.	36/114
4,843,741	7/1989	Yung-Mao	36/114
4,878,300	11/1989	Bogaty	36/35 R
4,887,367	12/1989	MacKness et al.	36/28
4,918,838	4/1990	Chang	36/28
4,918,841	4/1990	Turner et al.	36/114
4,936,029	6/1990	Rudy	36/29
4,956,927	9/1990	Misevich et al.	36/32 R
5,014,449	5/1991	Richard et al.	36/114
5,092,060	3/1992	Frachey et al.	36/29
5,174,049	12/1992	Flemming	36/28

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

1227420	8/1960	France .
1526637A1	12/1989	U.S.S.R. .

Primary Examiner—Louis S. Zarfaz
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Banner, Birch, McKie & Beckett

[57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for an insert for a shoe, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a top plan view of an insert for a shoe showing our new design;
 FIG. 2 is a side elevational view of an insert for a shoe showing our new design;
 FIG. 3 is a bottom plan view of an insert for a shoe;
 FIG. 4 is a cross sectional view of an insert for a shoe taken through line 4—4 of FIG. 1;
 FIG. 5 is a front elevational view of an insert for a shoe as shown in FIG. 1; and,
 FIG. 6 is a rear elevational view of an insert for a shoe as shown in FIG. 1.
 The broken line showing the sole and upper portion of the shoe in FIGS. 1 and 2 are for illustrative purposes and form no part of the claimed design.

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 39,747	1/1909	McKenna .	
D. 260,196	8/1981	Plagenhoef	D2/319
D. 273,245	4/1984	Tonkel	D2/320
D. 281,439	11/1985	Parker	D2/320
D. 288,383	2/1987	Autry	D2/318
D. 293,376	12/1987	Schmidt-Devin et al.	D2/321
D. 296,038	6/1988	Diaz	D2/317
D. 296,493	7/1988	Diaz	D2/318
D. 308,285	6/1990	Serna	D2/320
D. 312,723	12/1990	Okada	D2/318
D. 326,767	6/1992	DeCliveira	D2/319
507,490	10/1893	Gambino	36/28 X
1,075,039	10/1913	Keith	36/1
2,710,460	6/1955	Stasinos .	
2,985,971	8/1960	Murawski .	
4,223,457	9/1980	Borgeas	36/35 B
4,237,625	12/1980	Cole et al.	36/28
4,322,892	4/1982	Inohora	36/29
4,438,573	3/1984	McBarron	36/29 X
4,535,553	8/1985	Derderian et al.	36/28
4,573,279	3/1986	Feurer-Zogel et al.	36/35 R
4,611,412	9/1986	Cohen	36/28
4,616,431	10/1986	Dassler	36/28
4,660,299	4/1987	Omilusik	36/28 X
4,663,865	5/1987	Telecemian	36/114




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 4



FIG. 3




FIG. 6



FIG. 5

