

[54] **SHOE SOLE FOOT FRAME**
 [75] **Inventor: Tinker Hatfield, Portland, Oreg.**
 [73] **Assignees: Nike, Inc.; Nike International Ltd., both of Beaverton, Oreg.**
 [**] **Term: 14 Years**
 [21] **Appl. No.: 618,839**
 [22] **Filed: Nov. 27, 1990**
 [52] **U.S. Cl. D2/314**
 [58] **Field of Search D2/264, 265, 271, 277, D2/308-314, 317-322; 36/25 R, 32 R, 59 R, 59 C, 45, 71.5, 72 R, 72 B, 73, 101-103, 106, 112-114, 132, 136**

4,785,557 11/1988 Kelley et al. 36/32 R
 4,843,741 7/1989 Yung-Mao .
 4,845,863 7/1989 Yung-Mao 36/114

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

1984 Nike Catalog, p. 32.
 1986 Spring Nike Catalog, p. 32 (published 6/85).
 1986 Fall Nike Catalog, p. 44 (published 1/86).
 1987 Fall Nike Catalog, p. 4 (published 1/87).
 1988 Fall Nike Catalog, pp. 4, 18 and 36 (published 1/88).
 1989 Fall Nike Catalog, p. 22 (published 1/89).
 1990 Spring Nike Catalog, pp. 24 and 86 (published 6/89).

Primary Examiner—Louis S. Zarfes
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Banner, Birch, McKie & Beckett

[56] **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

- D. 59,971 12/1921 Lebo .
- D. 123,898 9/1940 Tousley .
- D. 129,487 9/1941 Kardo-Sessoeff .
- D. 133,638 7/1942 Arnoff .
- D. 213,414 3/1969 Dittmar .
- D. 229,524 12/1973 Askew .
- D. 249,748 10/1978 Kitamura .
- D. 255,174 6/1980 Hamada .
- D. 261,446 10/1981 Schmohl .
- D. 261,946 11/1981 Trask .
- D. 262,581 11/1982 Chevallereau .
- D. 265,017 6/1982 Vermonet .
- D. 265,019 6/1982 Vermonet .
- D. 265,436 7/1982 Vermonet D2/309
- D. 265,437 7/1982 Vermonet D2/309
- D. 285,985 10/1986 Tong .
- D. 288,027 2/1987 Tonkel .
- D. 290,660 7/1987 Selbiger .
- D. 296,384 6/1988 Tong .
- D. 301,385 6/1989 Weiner .
- D. 312,918 12/1990 Avem D2/314
- 4,624,062 11/1986 Autry 36/114

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a shoe sole foot frame, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a side elevational view of one side of a shoe sole foot frame showing my new design;
 FIG. 2 is a side elevational view of the other side of the shoe sole foot frame shown in FIG. 1;
 FIG. 3 is a rear elevational view of the shoe sole foot frame shown in FIG. 1;
 FIG. 4 is a cross-sectional view taken generally along line 4—4 of the shoe sole foot frame shown in FIG. 1; and
 FIG. 5 is a cross-sectional view taken generally along line 5—5 of the shoe sole foot frame shown in FIG. 2. The broken line showing of a shoe upper and sole in FIGS. 1 and 2 and a shoe sole in FIGS. 3 and 5 is for illustrative purposes only and forms no part of the claimed design.




FIG. 4



FIG. 1




FIG. 3




FIG. 2




FIG. 5

