United States Patent [19]

Licht

[11] Patent Number: Des. 314,122

[45] Date of Patent: ** Jan. 29, 1991

[54] PAIR OF HAND RAKES

[76]	Inventor:	Loren L. Licht, 6255 Veemac Ave., La Mesa, Calif. 92041
[**]	Term:	14 Years
[21]	Appl. No.:	208,125
[52]	U.S. Cl Field of Sea	Jun. 17, 1988 D8/13 arch

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 270,611 9/1983 D. 281,852 8/1985 D. 297,101 8/1988 2,767,545 10/1956 2,836,032 5/1958	Batley D8/13 X Check et al. D8/1 X Poole D8/13 Licht D8/13 Jenkins D8/13 X Melvin 56/400.17 Kikuchi 56/400.18
--	---

Primary Examiner—Wallace R. Burke
Assistant Examiner—Brian N. Vinson
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Brown, Martin, Haller &
McClain

[57] CLAIM

The ornamental design for a pair of hand rakes, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a pair of hand rakes showing my new design;

FIG. 2 is a side elevational view of the left-hand portion thereof, with the side opposite being substantially similar in appearance and the right-hand element being a mirror image thereof and omitted for clarity of illustration;


FIG. 3 is a bottom plan view of the left-hand portion thereof, with the right-hand portion being a mirror image of that shown and omitted for clarity of illustration;

FIG. 4 is a rear elevational view of the left-hand portion thereof, with the right-hand portion being a mirror image of that shown and omitted for clarity of illustration;

FIG. 5 is a top plan view of the left-hand portion of a second embodiment thereof, with the right-hand portion being a mirror image of that shown and omitted for clarity of illustration, the only difference residing in the prong configuration;

FIG. 6 is a top plan view of the left-hand portion of a third embodiment thereof, with the right-hand portion being a mirror image of that shown and omitted for clarity of illustration, the only difference residing in the prong configuration; and

FIG. 7 is a top plan view of the right-hand portion of a fourth embodiment thereof, with the left-hand portion being a mirror image of that shown and omitted for clarity of illustration, the only difference residing in the prong configuration.






FIG. 4

