

[54] **SHOE HEEL AND COUNTER PROTECTOR**

[75] **Inventors: Jill L. Kemna, Bloomington; Thomas R. Freeman, St. Paul, both of Minn.**

[73] **Assignee: Summerfield Industries, Inc., Roseville, Minn.**

[**] **Term: 14 Years**

[21] **Appl. No.: 140,435**

[22] **Filed: Jan. 4, 1988**

[52] **U.S. Cl. D2/277**

[58] **Field of Search D2/265, 267, 271, 273, D2/277, 314, 315, 325-327; 36/2 R, 2 B, 71.5, 72 R, 72 B, 73, 92, 107, 108, 132, 136**

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Re. 19,543	4/1935	Winget	36/72
D. 171,476	2/1954	Alberts	D2/277
D. 296,720	7/1988	Martin	D2/271
D. 300,780	4/1989	Walls	D2/277
1,531,493	3/1925	Maxon .	
1,571,466	2/1926	Barthes .	
1,631,310	6/1927	Doran .	
1,769,748	7/1930	Siese, Jr. .	
1,770,261	7/1930	Barthes .	

1,816,784	7/1931	McDermott .	
1,819,962	8/1931	Mazerock	D2/277 X
1,828,246	10/1931	Destro .	
1,830,912	11/1931	Ramey .	
1,855,345	4/1932	Fischer	D2/314 X
2,039,954	5/1936	Fricker	36/70
2,552,096	5/1951	Johnson	36/70
3,025,617	3/1962	Rizzonelli	36/72
3,063,172	11/1962	Beattie	36/72
3,112,571	12/1963	Musgrave	36/70
4,461,100	7/1984	Minor et al.	36/72 B
4,577,418	3/1986	Nagy	36/72 B


Primary Examiner—Louis S. Zarfaz
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Burd, Bartz & Gutenkauf

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a shoe heel and counter protector, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of a shoe heel and counter protector showing our new design;
 FIG. 2 is a rear elevational view thereof;
 FIG. 3 is a side elevational view thereof, the opposite side being a mirror image thereof;
 FIG. 4 is a front elevational view thereof;
 FIG. 5 is a top view thereof; and
 FIG. 6 is a bottom view thereof.






Fig. -1




Fig. -2




Fig. -3




Fig. -5




Fig. -4




Fig. -6