United States Patent [19]

Arnold

[11] Patent Number: Des. 295,579

[45] Date of Patent: ** May 10, 1988

[54]	HANGER FOR PANTS, SKIRTS OR THE
	LIKE

[75] Inventor: William D. Arnold, St. Louis County, Mo.

[73] Assignee: Lee-Rowan Company, St. Louis, Mo.

[**] Term: 14 Years

[21] Appl. No.: 833,755

[22] Filed: Feb. 18, 1986

[52] U.S. Cl. D6/317; D6/319;

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 197,587	2/1964	McLean	D6/319
D. 215,899	11/1969	Soderberg	D6/315
		Arnold et al.	
1,792,236	2/1931	Olson	D6/319
		•	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

0460070 10	/1949 Cana	ada	223/88
2750483 5	/1979 Fed.	Rep. of Germany	223/96

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Fairy" Garment Hangers for 1936 brochure; hanger No. 888.

Primary Examiner—B. J. Bullock

Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Rogers, Howell, Moore & Haferkamp

[57]

CLAIM

The ornamental design for a hanger for pants, skirts or the like, substantially as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front elevational view of a hanger for pants, skirts or the like showing my new design, in its unlatched condition;

FIG. 2 is a top plan view thereof;

FIG. 3 is a bottom plan view thereof;

FIG. 4 is a left side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 5 is a right side elevational view thereof;

FIG. 6 is an enlarged sectional view taken in the direction of the arrows on line 6—6 of FIG. 1;

FIG. 7 is a fragmentary rear elevational view of the hook taken in the direction of the arrows on line 7—7 of FIG. 6;

FIG. 8 is a sectional view taken in the direction of the arrows on line 8—8 of FIG. 6;

FIG. 9 is an enlarged sectional view taken through the lower garment bar of FIG. 1;

FIG. 10 is a fragmentary front elevational view of a second embodiment of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being the configuration of the hook area;

FIG. 11 is a fragmentary front elevational view of a third embodiment of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the configuration of the hook area; FIG. 12 is a fragmentary front elevational view of a fourth embodiment of the design of FIG. 1, the only difference being in the configuration of the hook area; FIG. 13 is a fragmentary sectional view taken in the direction of the arrows on line 13—13 of FIG. 12.





