

- [54] **COMBINED BULB AND CAP FOR A DROPPER APPLICATOR**
- [75] **Inventor: James F. Gager, New York, N.Y.**
- [73] **Assignee: Estee Lauder Inc., New York, N.Y.**
- [**] **Term: 14 Years**
- [21] **Appl. No.: 565,154**
- [22] **Filed: Dec. 23, 1983**
- [52] **U.S. Cl. D9/436; D9/435**
- [58] **Field of Search D9/436, 338, 301, 434, D9/439, 443, 499; 141/24, 26**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

798338 6/1958 United Kingdom .

Primary Examiner—Bernard Ansher
Assistant Examiner—Linda Titolo
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Richard M. Barnes

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a combined bulb and cap for a dropper applicator, substantially as shown and described.

[56] **References Cited**




U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 186,569	11/1959	Greene	D2/3
D. 191,481	10/1961	Conley	D74/1
D. 194,419	1/1963	Whitton	D83/12
D. 195,238	5/1963	Mitchell	D83/1
D. 195,611	7/1963	Mitchell	D83/1
D. 199,154	9/1964	Koch	D58/17
D. 204,353	4/1966	Magers	D83/12
D. 206,039	10/1966	Cronin	D83/12
D. 209,871	1/1968	Grebow	D58/26
D. 225,838	1/1973	Flider	D9/7
D. 240,462	7/1976	Dash	D24/4
D. 240,464	7/1976	Dash	D24/2
D. 243,122	1/1977	Dash	D83/12 A
D. 246,321	11/1977	Lofman	D24/24
D. 249,329	9/1978	Schacher et al.	D9/45
D. 253,374	11/1979	Bonk	D24/63
D. 260,614	9/1981	Petersson	D9/447
D. 269,706	7/1983	Green	D24/63
D. 274,128	6/1984	Bennett	D9/301
2,154,393	4/1939	Bates	221/77
2,299,572	10/1942	Estenes	15/136
2,311,367	2/1943	Chambers	221/147
2,353,153	7/1944	Ferrel	128/232
2,546,754	3/1951	Jones	128/260
2,667,165	1/1954	Smith	128/216
2,717,598	9/1955	Krasno	123/216
2,738,107	3/1956	Graham	222/211
2,764,319	9/1956	Weimer	222/215
2,780,378	2/1957	Romano	215/11
2,784,882	3/1957	Du Bois	222/215
2,825,492	3/1958	Krasno	222/209
2,833,448	5/1958	Lerner	222/209
2,935,067	5/1960	Bouet	128/216

List Continued on next page.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a top front perspective view of a combined bulb and cap for a dropper applicator, showing my new design, the broken lines indicating a container and dropper barrel are shown for illustrative purposes only; FIG. 2 is a bottom rear perspective view thereof; FIG. 3 is a front elevational view thereof, the rear view being a mirror image thereof; FIG. 4 is a left side elevational view thereof; FIG. 5 is a right side elevational view thereof; FIG. 6 is a top plan view thereof; FIG. 7 is a bottom plan view thereof; FIG. 8 is a top front perspective view of a second embodiment of that shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 9 is a bottom rear perspective view thereof; FIG. 10 is a front elevational view thereof, the rear view being a mirror image thereof; FIG. 11 is a left side elevational view thereof; FIG. 12 is a right side elevational view thereof; FIG. 13 is a top plan view thereof; FIG. 14 is a bottom plan view thereof; FIG. 15 is a top front perspective view of a third embodiment of that shown in FIG. 1; FIG. 16 is a bottom rear perspective view thereof; FIG. 17 is a front elevational view thereof, the rear view being a mirror image thereof; FIG. 18 is a left side elevational view thereof; FIG. 19 is a right side elevational view thereof; FIG. 20 is a top plan view thereof; and FIG. 21 is a bottom plan view thereof.



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,950,717	8/1960	Bouet	128/218	3,301,293	1/1967	Santelli	150/5
3,083,877	4/1963	Gash	222/107	3,323,689	6/1967	Elmore	222/385
3,095,009	6/1963	Conley	137/453	3,340,869	9/1967	Bane	128/2
3,124,171	3/1964	Mitchell	141/26	3,379,196	4/1968	Mitchell	128/233
3,143,429	8/1964	Swanson et al.	99/171	3,387,610	6/1968	Richmond	128/278
3,154,116	10/1964	Mitchell	141/24	3,390,821	7/1968	Mullan	222/212
3,171,446	3/1965	Koch	141/24	3,454,007	7/1969	Salis	128/232
3,172,577	3/1965	Hartung	222/206	3,459,335	8/1969	Cohen et al.	222/146
3,190,619	6/1965	Penney et al.	259/54	3,506,163	4/1970	Rauh et al.	222/212
3,201,111	8/1965	Afton	267/1	3,512,524	5/1970	Drewe	128/216
3,214,066	10/1965	Shirley et al.	222/209	3,557,788	1/1971	Swartz	128/232
3,215,171	11/1965	Mitchell	141/24	3,596,687	8/1971	Forgeron	141/24
3,223,289	12/1965	Bouet	222/209	3,802,435	4/1974	Claasen	128/232
3,249,262	5/1966	Barr et al.	222/193	3,844,284	10/1974	Schoenfeld et al.	128/232
3,266,532	8/1966	Stewart	141/24	3,938,514	2/1976	Boucher	128/232
3,298,577	1/1967	Chlystun	222/529	4,254,769	3/1981	Sneider	128/227

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4





FIG. 5

FIG. 6





FIG. 7

FIG. 8




FIG. 9





FIG. 10

FIG. 11





FIG. 12

FIG. 13





FIG. 14

FIG. 15




FIG. 16





FIG. 17

FIG. 18





FIG. 19

FIG. 20





FIG. 21