

[54] **TELEPHONE STAND FOR DIRECT STATION SELECTION**

[75] **Inventor: Shigeaki Kido, Tokyo, Japan**
 [73] **Assignee: Meisei Electric Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan**
 [**] **Term: 14 Years**
 [21] **Appl. No.: 689,178**
 [22] **Filed: Jan. 7, 1985**

[30] **Foreign Application Priority Data**

Aug. 9, 1984 [JP] Japan 59-33760
 Sep. 19, 1934 [JP] Japan 59-38791
 [52] **U.S. Cl. D14/62; D14/58; D14/66**
 [58] **Field of Search D14/52, 53, 58, 60, D14/66, 62; 179/179, 100 R, 100 D, 100 C, 100 L, 103**

[56] **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D. 232,100	7/1974	Binks	D14/66
D. 251,296	3/1979	Haas	D14/66
D. 254,493	3/1980	Grosso et al.	D14/66
D. 263,590	3/1982	Fukushima et al.	D14/66
D. 266,086	9/1982	Foggia et al.	D14/58
D. 268,934	5/1983	Walton	D14/66
D. 269,781	7/1983	Foggia	D14/58
D. 271,763	12/1983	Brown et al.	D14/66
D. 273,954	5/1984	Bee	D14/58
D. 280,506	9/1985	Mitchell	D14/62
D. 282,745	2/1986	Brown	D14/58
3,928,732	12/1975	Simon et al.	179/99
4,385,212	5/1983	Tyler	179/100 D

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Phillips Telecomm. Review, vol. 40, No. 4, 12/1982, KT 20 Telephone Terminals; pp. 327; 329.
 Reprint from Telecomm. Product Review, ©1983; Showing of "Add-on" Dialer at p. 2, lefthand side of desk.
 Key DX Brochure, p. 2, Key-by console next to Telephone.
Primary Examiner—Wallace R. Burke
Assistant Examiner—Horace B. Fay
Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Toren, McGeedy, Stanger, Goldberg & Kiel

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a telephone stand for direct station selection, as shown and described.

DESCRIPTION

FIG. 1 is a front, top and right side perspective view of a telephone stand for direct station selection showing my new design;
 FIG. 2 is a top plan view thereof;
 FIG. 3 is a front elevational view thereof;
 FIG. 4 is a rear elevational view thereof;
 FIGS. 5 and 6 are respectively right and left side elevational views thereof;
 FIG. 7 is a bottom plan view thereof;
 FIG. 8 is a front, top and right side perspective view of a second embodiment thereof;
 FIG. 9 is a top plan view thereof.
 It will be understood that the views of FIGS. 4 and 7 are common to both embodiments, and that the basic configuration of the views in FIGS. 3, 5 and 6 is representative of both embodiments except for obvious profile variation because of surface ornamentation.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG.3




FIG.4




FIG.5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9

