

[54] **GOBLET OR SIMILAR ARTICLE**  
 [75] Inventor: **Timo Sarpaneva, Helsinki, Finland**  
 [73] Assignee: **A. Ahlstrom Osakeyhtio, Noormarkku, Finland**  
 [\*\*] Term: **14 Years**  
 [21] Appl. No.: **92,760**  
 [22] Filed: **Nov. 9, 1979**

[30] **Foreign Application Priority Data**  
 May 18, 1979 [FI] Finland ..... 336/79  
 [51] Int. Cl. .... **D07—01**  
 [52] U.S. Cl. .... **D7/13**  
 [58] Field of Search ..... **D7/3, 6-15, D7/22; D11/143, 146, 148, 154; D26/9, 22**

[56] **References Cited**  
**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**  
 D. 83,852 4/1931 Duncan, Jr. .... D7/13  
 D. 159,046 6/1950 Carlson ..... D7/13

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**  
*Idea 55*, ©1955, Wittenborn, Inc., N.Y., p. 34, Plate #86, Sven E. R. Palmqvist, two l. Goblets.  
*Pitman-Dreitzer*, 1965 Cat., p. 43, Caryl Bud Vases, Bubble Base, ground & polished, top l. panel.  
*Gift & Tableware Reporter*, 1st issue, Sep., 1975, p. 15, "Sasaki", Bubble Barware, center panel on page, Midland Adv.

*Gift & Tableware Reporter*, 1st issue, May 1975, p. 17, bottom, Minex Adv., Polish Stemware shown.


*Primary Examiner*—Winifred E. Herrmann  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Bucknam and Archer

[57] **CLAIM**

The ornamental design for a goblet or similar article, as shown and described.

**DESCRIPTION**

FIG. 1 is an elevational view of a goblet or similar article showing my new design;  
 FIG. 2 is a top plan view thereof;  
 FIG. 3 is a top perspective view thereof;  
 FIG. 4 is a bottom plan view thereof;  
 FIG. 5 is an elevational view of a first modification of my design of FIG. 1;  
 FIG. 6 is a top plan view thereof;  
 FIG. 7 is an elevational view of a second modification of my design of FIG. 1;  
 FIG. 8 is a top plan view thereof;  
 FIG. 9 is an elevational view of a third modification of my design of FIG. 1;  
 FIG. 10 is a top plan view thereof;  
 FIG. 11 is an elevational view of a fourth modification of my design of FIG. 1; and  
 FIG. 12 is a top plan view thereof.  
 Bottom plan views of the design of FIGS. 5, 7, 9 and 11 would be substantially similar to FIG. 4 with variations in proportions being understood from the existing views.






Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 6






Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12