



US009912583B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Rosenberg

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,912,583 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Mar. 6, 2018**

(54) **METHODS AND APPARATUS TO SELECTIVELY ASSIGN ROUTING TABLES TO ROUTER LINECARDS**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H04L 45/00; H04L 45/16; H04L 45/021; H04L 45/50; H04L 45/123; H04L 12/4641; H04L 12/4675

(71) Applicant: **AT&T Intellectual Property I, L.P.**,
Atlanta, GA (US)

(Continued)

(72) Inventor: **Eric Rosenberg**, Lincroft, NJ (US)

(56) **References Cited**

(73) Assignee: **AT&T Intellectual Property I, L.P.**,
Atlanta, GA (US)

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,034,946 A 3/2000 Roginsky et al.
6,744,775 B1 6/2004 Beshai et al.

(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 323 days.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

CISCO, "Selective MVRP Download Feature on Cisco 12000 Series Routers," Aug. 28, 2006 (14 pages).

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/871,419**

Primary Examiner — Jeffrey M Rutkowski

(22) Filed: **Sep. 30, 2015**

Assistant Examiner — Syed Ali

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2016/0020999 A1 Jan. 21, 2016

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Hanly, Flight & Zimmerman, LLC

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 12/479,456, filed on Jun. 5, 2009, now Pat. No. 9,154,329.


(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04L 12/723 (2013.01)
H04L 12/46 (2006.01)
(Continued)

(57) **ABSTRACT**

An example involves determining a first cost associated with assigning routing information for a subset of virtual private networks (VPNs) to a first subset of linecards of a router by determining a cost as a sum of: a first product of (1) a first number of routes associated with a first VPN of the first subset of the VPNs and (2) a number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards; and a second product of (1) a second number of routes associated with a second VPN of the first subset of the VPNs and (2) the number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards. The first subset of the linecards is configured with routing information for the first subset of the VPNs when the first subset of the VPNs is assigned to the first subset of the linecards based on the cost.

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **H04L 45/50** (2013.01); **H04L 12/4641** (2013.01); **H04L 12/4675** (2013.01);
(Continued)

18 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets



- | | | |
|------|--|---|
| (51) | Int. Cl. <i>H04L 12/701</i> (2013.01) <i>H04L 12/755</i> (2013.01) <i>H04L 12/721</i> (2013.01) <i>H04L 12/761</i> (2013.01) | 2008/0198859 A1* 8/2008 Elias H04L 45/02 370/392 2008/0250099 A1 10/2008 Shen et al. 2009/0028068 A1 1/2009 Chen et al. 2009/0028166 A1 1/2009 Pushparaj et al. 2009/0213867 A1* 8/2009 Devireddy G06F 13/387 370/419 2010/0208584 A1* 8/2010 Sone H04L 45/00 370/228 |
| (52) | U.S. Cl. CPC <i>H04L 45/00</i> (2013.01); <i>H04L 45/021</i> (2013.01); <i>H04L 45/123</i> (2013.01); <i>H04L</i> <i>45/16</i> (2013.01) | |

- (58) **Field of Classification Search**
USPC 370/238
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 7,035,256 | B1 | 4/2006 | Neufeld et al. | |
| 7,450,505 | B2 | 11/2008 | Buchanan et al. | |
| 9,154,329 | B2 | 10/2015 | Rosenberg | |
| 2003/0048794 | A1 | 3/2003 | Sato et al. | |
| 2004/0177157 | A1* | 9/2004 | Mistry | H04L 12/4641 709/241 |
| 2005/0265308 | A1* | 12/2005 | Barbir | H04L 12/4641 370/351 |
| 2007/0097973 | A1 | 5/2007 | Scudder et al. | |
| 2007/0153699 | A1 | 7/2007 | Fernando et al. | |

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Erlenkotter, Donald "A Dual-Based Procedure for Uncapacitated Facility Location," Operations Research, vol. 26, No. 6, Nov.-Dec. 1978 (18 pages).
United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Non-Final Office Action", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/479,456, dated Mar. 30, 2011 (20 pages).
United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Final Office Action", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/479,456, dated Dec. 27, 2011 (22 pages).
United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Non-Final Office Action", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/479,456, dated Sep. 4, 2014 (23 pages).
United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Notice of Allowance", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/479,456, dated Jun. 4, 2015 (8 pages).

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1

100





FIG. 2



120 ↗

FIG. 3





FIG. 4

**FIG. 5**




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11

1

METHODS AND APPARATUS TO SELECTIVELY ASSIGN ROUTING TABLES TO ROUTER LINECARDS

RELATED APPLICATION(S)

This patent arises from a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/479,456, which is entitled "METHODS AND APPARATUS TO SELECTIVELY ASSIGN ROUTING TABLES TO ROUTER LINECARDS," and which was filed on Jun. 5, 2009. U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/479,456 is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

FIELD OF THE DISCLOSURE

This disclosure relates generally to routing tables and, more particularly, to methods and apparatus to selectively assign routing tables to router linecards.

BACKGROUND

Enterprise customers are increasingly adopting virtual private network (VPN) services to implement a communication network among their respective customer sites via a service provider's network. Such VPNs can provide direct any-to-any reachability among an enterprise's customer sites. In some examples, an enterprise customer may implement more than one VPN via the service provider's network.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic illustration of example multiprotocol label switching (MPLS) based communication system constructed in accordance with the teachings of this disclosure.

FIG. 2 illustrates example subset coverings for VPNs by download schemas.

FIG. 3 illustrates an example manner of implementing the example route table assigner of FIG. 1.

FIGS. 4-10 are flowcharts representative of example machine-accessible instructions that may be executed by, for example, a processor to implement the example route table assigners of FIGS. 1 and 3.

FIG. 11 is a schematic illustration of an example processor platform that may be used and/or programmed to carry out the example machine-accessible instructions of FIGS. 4-10, and/or to implement any or all of the example selective routing table assignment methods and apparatus described herein.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Example methods and apparatus to selectively assign routing tables to router linecards are disclosed. A disclosed example method includes computing costs associated with assigning routing information for two or more subsets of virtual private networks (VPNs) to each of two or more subsets of linecards of a router, solving an uncapacitated facility location problem defined by the computed costs to determine an assignment of a particular subset of the VPNs to a particular subset of the linecards of the router, and configuring the particular subset of the linecards of the router with routing information for the particular subset of the VPNs.

A disclosed example apparatus includes a schema identifier to define a first non-empty subset of linecards of a

2

router and to define a second non-empty subset of the linecards of the router, wherein the second subset of the linecards differs from the first subset of the linecards, a subset identifier to identify a first subset of VPNs having all their associated interfaces implemented by the first subset of the linecards and to identify a second subset of the VPNs having all their associated interfaces implemented by the second subset of the linecards, a cover analyzer to compute a first cost of assigning routing information for the first subset of the VPNs to the first subset of the linecards, to compute a second cost of assigning routing information for the second subset of the VPNs to the first subset of the linecards, to compute a third cost of assigning routing information for the first subset of the VPNs to the second subset of the linecards, and to compute a fourth cost of assigning routing information for the second subset of the VPNs to the second subset of the linecards, an optimizer to determine whether to assign the first subset of the VPNs to the first subset of the linecards or to the second subset of the linecards based on the first, second, third, and fourth costs, and a router interface to configure the first subset of the linecards of the router with routing information for the first subset of the VPNs based on an output of the optimizer.

A disclosed example article of manufacture stores machine readable instructions that, when executed, cause a machine to define a first non-empty subset of linecards of a router, define a second non-empty subset of the linecards of the router, wherein the second subset of the linecards differs from the first subset of the linecards, identify a first subset of VPNs having all their associated interfaces implemented by the first subset of the linecards, identify a second subset of the VPNs having all their associated interfaces implemented by the second subset of the linecards, compute a first cost of assigning routing information for the first subset of the VPNs to the first subset of the linecards, compute a second cost of assigning routing information for the second subset of the VPNs to the first subset of the linecards, compute a third cost of assigning routing information for the first subset of the VPNs to the second subset of the linecards, compute a fourth cost of assigning routing information for the second subset of the VPNs to the second subset of the linecards, determine whether to assign the first subset of the VPNs to the first subset of the linecards or to the second subset of the linecards based on the first, second, third, and fourth costs, and configure the first subset of the linecards of the router with routing information for the first subset of the VPNs when the first subset of the VPNs is assigned to the first subset of the linecards.

In the interest of brevity and clarity, throughout the following disclosure references will be made to VPNs and an example multiprotocol label switched (MPLS) based communication system 100 of FIG. 1. However, the methods and apparatus described herein to selectively assign routing tables to router linecards are applicable to other types of networks constructed using other network technologies, topologies and/or protocols.

FIG. 1 illustrates the example communication system 100. To facilitate communication services between a plurality of customer edge (CE) routers, five of which are designated at reference numerals 105, 106, 107, 108, and 109, the example communication system 100 of FIG. 1 includes a MPLS based service-provider network 110. In the illustrated example of FIG. 1, the example CE routers 105-107 are associated with a VPN A, and the example CE routers 108 and 109 are associated with a VPN B.

To route and/or transport data between and/or among the example CE routers 105-109, the example MPLS-based

service-provider network **110** of FIG. **1** includes a plurality of provider edge (PE) routers, three of which are designated at reference numerals **115**, **116**, and **117**. The example PE routers **115-117** of FIG. **1** are communicatively coupled to each other via any number and/or type(s) of communication paths (not shown) that allow any particular PE router **115-117** to communicate with at least some, but not necessarily all of, the other PE routers **115-117**.

For each VPN implemented by the MPLS-based service-provider network **110**, each of the example PE routers **115-117** of FIG. **1** has a corresponding VPN routing and forwarding (VRF) table. For instance, for the VPN A the PE routers **115-117** have a VRF A, and for the VPN B the PE routers **116** and **117** have a VRF B. The VRF tables A and B are used by the PE routers **115-117** to route and/or forward a packet received at a particular PE router **115-117** toward its final destination. In general, when a packet is received at a PE router **115-117** from a CE router **105-109** associated with a particular VPN, the PE router **115-117** uses the final destination specified and/or identified in the packet to perform a query of the VRF table associated with that VPN. Based on a result of the query, the PE router **115-117** determines how the packet is to be routed or forwarded within the service provider network **110**, and/or delivered to a particular CE router **105-109**.

Each of the example PE routers **115-117** of FIG. **1** implements and/or is implemented by any number and/or type(s) of linecards (not shown). Each linecard may implement any number and/or type(s) of interfaces that may be used to communicatively couple customer equipment, such as the CE routers **105-109**, to the PE router **115-117**. At a particular PE router **115-117**, any VPN may have equipment communicatively coupled to any number and/or type(s) of interfaces on any number and/or type(s) of linecards of the PE router **115-117**. For example, a VPN may not have any associated equipment coupled to any interface of any linecard of a particular PE router **115-117**, may have associated equipment coupled to one or more interfaces on each linecard of that PE router **115-117**, and/or may have associated equipment coupled to one or more interfaces on a subset of the linecards of that PE router **115-117**.

Traditionally, each linecard of a PE router **115-117** creates, stores, maintains, and/or utilizes a VRF table for each VPN having one or more interfaces on that PE router **115-117**. However, such traditional methods result in the storage of a VRF table for a particular VPN on each and every linecard even though that VPN may not be coupled to each linecard of the PE router **115-117**.

To reduce the memory required to store VRF tables on the linecards of the example PE routers **115-117**, the example service-provider network **110** of FIG. **1** includes a route table assigner **120**. While a single route table assigner **120** is illustrated in FIG. **1**, the example service-provider network **110** may include any number of route table assigners **120**. For example, a route table assigner **120** may be located at each of the PE routers **115-117**, at a subset of the PE routers **115-117**, etc. An example manner of implementing the example route table assigner **120** is described below in connection with FIGS. **3-10**. In general, the interface(s) to which a VPN is connected may be different at each PE router **115-117**. Accordingly, in the examples described herein, the example route table assigner **120** determines the assignment of routing information to linecards separately for each PE router **115-117**.

For each PE router **115-117**, the example route table assigner **120** of FIG. **1** determines, assigns and/or indicates which linecard(s) are to create, store, update and/or maintain

VRF table(s) for which VPN(s). In other words, the example route table assigner **120** assigns routing information for particular VPNs to particular linecards of the PE router **115-117** rather than simply storing all routing information for all VPNs on all linecards. For instance, in the illustrated example of FIG. **1**, the example route table assigner **120** may indicate and/or specify particular linecards of the example PE router **115** that need to create, store, update and/or maintain a VRF table for VPN A. Likewise, were devices associated with VPN A only communicatively coupled to a portion of the linecards of the example PE router **116**, the route table assigner **120** can indicate to the PE router **116** which linecard(s) of PE router **116** need to create, store, update and/or maintain a VRF table for VPN A.

The assignment of routing information to PE router linecards can be affected using any number and/or type(s) of method(s), criteria, rule(s) and/or logic. In some examples, a multicast group is assigned to each VPN for each PE router **115-117**. Each of the multicast groups downloads one or more VRF tables to a given subset of linecards. The route processor card of each of the PE routers **115-117** receives VRF table information for each VPN via its associated multicast group, and determines which VRF table(s) should be created, stored, updated and/or maintained on which of its linecards. However, there are potentially thousands of multicast groups even when there are only tens of linecards in a PE router **115-117**. Many of these multicast groups may never be used. The computational requirements to handle and/or process such a large number of multicast groups can become burdensome.

In still other examples, the VRF table for a VPN is sent to each linecard of a particular PE router **115-117** to which one or more devices associated with the VPN are coupled to at least one interface of that PE router **115-117**. In response, each linecard determines which VRF table(s) to maintain in memory and which to discard. However, this approach places a high computational burden on linecard processors.

In a preferred example, an optimization problem is defined and solved to determine which routing information is assigned to which linecard(s). An example optimization problem balances two competing objectives: (1) saving memory by not downloading a VRF table to a linecard that does not need that VRF table, and (2) minimizing processor usage by not creating a multicast group for every VPN. The first objective represents the cost of storing a VRF table on linecards. For a given VRF table, this cost increases with the size of the VRF table and the number of linecards on which the VRF table is stored. The second objective reflects a cost associated with the handling and/or processing of each multicast group. This cost reflects that each time a route is added/deleted for a VPN, VRF table update information for the VPN is distributed via the multicast group and processed at the PE router **115-117**. In examples described below, these two criteria, memory consumption and processor utilization, are balanced and/or traded-off against each other via a configurable and/or selectable parameter *a*. For example, the route table assigner **120** may assign routing information for a subset of the VPNs of the service-provider network **110** to a particular subset *j* of linecards of a presently considered PE router **115-117**, even though not every VPN in the subset has an interface on each linecard in the subset *j* of linecards. By grouping the subset of VPNs into a single multicast group, processing overhead can be lowered, albeit at the expense of increased memory. In particular, a VRF table may be assigned to a linecard via the multicast group even though the associated VPN uses no interfaces of the linecard.

5

While the examples described herein utilize multicast groups, any other additional and/or alternative method(s) may be used to provide one or more VRF table(s) to one or more linecards. A multicast group is merely an example method of how to provide routing information to specific linecards and/or specific PE routers **115-117**. Moreover, while the examples discussed herein describe downloading VRF tables to linecards, any other additional and/or alternative method(s) may be used to assign and/or provide routing information to linecards. In general, the PE router **115-117** and/or the linecards create, update and/or maintain the VRF table(s) under the control of the example route table assigner **120**.

To store configuration information, the example service-provider network **110** of FIG. **1** includes any number and/or type(s) of configuration databases, one of which is designated at reference numeral **125**. The example configuration database **125** of FIG. **1** contains, among other information, data and/or parameters that represent to which interface(s) on which linecard(s) of which PE router(s) **115-117** equipment associated with particular VPNs are communicatively coupled. In some examples there is a configuration database **125** implemented for each PE router **115-117**. Configuration information may be stored in the configuration database **125** using any number and/or type(s) of data structures, and the configuration database **125** may be implemented by any number and/or type(s) of tangible computer-readable tangible media, such as volatile memory, non-volatile memory, a memory device and/or a storage device.

For a presently considered PE router **115-117**, the example route table assigner **120** of FIG. **1** uses information contained in the configuration database **125** to identify one or more subsets j of linecards. A subset j of linecards of the PE router **115-117** is also referred to herein as download schema j , as the subset j defines a group of linecards to which routing information can be downloaded and/or assigned via an associated multicast group. A download schema j is defined by the subset of linecards belonging to the schema j . An example download schema j is the set $\{1, 3, 6, 7\}$ representing a combination of linecard #1, linecard #3, linecard #6 and linecard #7. As used herein, S refers to the set of all download schemas j , that is, download schema j is a member of S ($j \in S$). The set S of download schemas j may be selected, identified and/or determined using any number and/or type(s) of method(s), criteria and/or logic. For example, the set S of download schemas j may be manually selected, may be randomly selected, and/or may be adaptively determined based on analyzing past download schemas j to which routing information has been assigned.

For a presently considered PE router **115-117**, the example route table assigner **120** of FIG. **1** also uses information contained in the configuration database **125** to identify a subset $V(j)$ of VPNs corresponding to particular download schema j . Each VPN v in the subset $V(j)$ ($v \in V(j)$) has at least one interface on every linecard of the download schema j , and does not have an interface on any linecard not included in download schema j . For example, if download schema j corresponds to the subset of linecards $\{3, 5, 6\}$, then $V(j)$ is the subset of all VPNs having interfaces on each of and only on linecards #3, #5 and #6. Likewise, if example VPN #121 only has interfaces on linecards #3, #5 and #6, and download schema #15 is $\{2, 3, 4, 6\}$, then VPN #121 is not in $V(15)$. Each of the VPN subsets $V(j)$ belongs to the set V , that is, $V(j) \subset V$.

Let i be a given download schema ($i \in S$) and let j be another potentially different download schema ($j \in S$). In a preferred example, j is selected to be a “cover” for i , which

6

means that the set of linecards defined by schema i is a subset of the set of linecards defined by schema j . That is, the download schema j has to include every linecard in the download schema i , and may include additional linecards not in the download schema i . Because each download schema is a cover for itself, i and j may refer to the same download schema. The example route table assigner **120** of FIG. **1** assigns the VPN subsets $V(i)$ to the download schema j , where download schema j covers download schema i . This means that the VRF table for each $v \in V(i)$ is downloaded to each linecard in schema j . Let $S(i)$ be the set of download schemas that are covers for schema i . That is, if $j \in S(i)$, then schema j is a cover for schema i . The set $S(i)$ is a subset of S , the set of all schemas. For example if download schema i is $\{3, 6\}$, then $S(i)$ could include download schemas $\{3, 6\}$, $\{2, 3, 6\}$ and $\{1, 3, 6, 7\}$.

An example VPN subset $V(i)$ and example covering download schemas j are illustrated in FIG. **2**. In FIG. **2** an example download schema $i=7$ includes linecards #2, #4 and #5, and the corresponding VPN subset $V(i=7)$ includes VPN #34 and VPN #47. As shown, download schemas 7, 8 and 12 cover download schema $i=7$ and, thus, $S(i=7)=\{7, 8, 12\}$.

Returning to FIG. **1**, the example route table assigner **120**, for each particular $i \in S$ and $j \in S$, computes the cost $c(i, j)$ associated with assigning routing information for the particular subset $V(i)$ of VPNs to the particular download schema j (i.e., a particular subset j of the linecards of a PE router **115-117**), and the cost $f(j)$ associated with the download schema j . Based on the computed costs $c(i, j)$ and $f(j)$, the route table assigner **120** determines whether to assign the subset $V(i)$ of VPNs to the download schema j . In some examples, the assignment is determined by solving an optimization problem that is generally expressible as an integer problem, but is more preferably expressed in the form of the uncapacitated facility location (UFL) problem. As used herein, the meaning of the phrases “uncapacitated facility location problem” and “UFL problem,” are consistent with their ordinary, well-known and widely accepted meanings. The UFL problem can be expressed canonically with the following mathematical expressions:

$$\min \sum_{i \in S} \sum_{j \in S} c(i, j)x(i, j) + \alpha \sum_{j \in S} f(j)y(j) \text{ such that} \quad \text{EQN (1)}$$

$$\sum_{j \in S} x(i, j) = 1 \quad \forall i \in S; \quad \text{EQN (2)}$$

$$x(i, j) \leq y(j) \quad \forall i \in S \text{ and } j \in S; \text{ and} \quad \text{EQN (3)}$$

$$x(i, j) = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad \forall i \in S \text{ and } j \in S. \quad \text{EQN (4)}$$

In EQNS (1)-(4), $c(i, j)$ represents the cost associated with assigning subset $V(i)$ of VPNs to download schema j ; $f(j)$ represents the cost associated with download schema j ; $x(i, j)$ equals 1 if the subset $V(i)$ of VPNs is assigned to download schema j , or 0 otherwise; $y(j)$ equals 1 if download schema j is used, or 0 otherwise; and α is the configurable parameter selected to tradeoff memory consumption and processor utilization.

The cost $c(i, j)$ of assigning routing information for subset $V(i)$ of VPNs to download schema j is a sum of products of the number of routes in a VPN’s VRF table and the number of linecards in the download schema j . In particular, the cost $c(i, j)$ can be mathematically expressed as:

$$c(i, j) = \begin{cases} \sum_{v \in V(i)} r(v)n(j), & \text{if } j \text{ is cover for } i \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad \text{EQN (5)}$$

where $r(v)$ is the number of routes in the VRF table for VPN v , $n(j)$ is the number of linecards in the download schema j , and v is selected from the subset $V(i)$ of VPNs having interfaces in precisely those line cards defined by download schema i .

In some examples, the cost $f(j)$ is proportional to the size of the download schema j . In other examples, the cost $f(j)$ is constant, that is, independent of the number of linecards in download schema j .

While the UFL problem defined in the mathematical expressions of EQNS (1)-(4) can be solved exactly, there is no specific need to solve it exactly. Instead, any number and/or type(s) of heuristic(s) and/or approximation(s) may be used to determine a routing table assignment, that is, to determine $x(i, j)$ and $y(j)$ values. An example heuristic that may be used to solve the UFL problem is described by D. Erlenkotter, in "A Dual-Based Procedure for Uncapacitated Facility Location", *Operations Research*, Vol. 26, 1978, pp. 992-1009, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

The values $x(i, j)$ and $y(j)$ define which multicast groups (i.e., download schemas j) will be used to provide routing information to particular linecards, and define which VPNs $V(i)$ have their routing table information provided via which multicast group j . If a particular $x(i, j)$ value is one, the VPNs $V(i)$ have their routing information stored on the linecards in download schema j .

While the examples disclosed herein assign subsets $V(i)$ of VPNs to download schemas j , the methods and apparatus described herein can alternatively be used to assign each VPN individually to a download schema j . However, the size of the optimization problem that must be solved becomes accordingly larger.

FIG. 3 illustrates an example manner of implementing the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 1. Example machine-accessible instructions that can be executed to implement the example route table assigner 120 of FIGS. 1 and 3 are described below in connection with FIGS. 4 and 5.

To query and/or obtain configuration information for a presently considered PE router 115-117, the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 3 includes any number and/or type(s) of database interfaces, one of which is designated at reference numeral 305. The example database interface 305 of FIG. 3 implements any number and/or type(s) of application programming interface(s) (API(s)) to facilitate the query for router configuration data and/or information stored in the example configuration database 125.

To identify download schemas j , the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 3 includes a schema identifier 310. Based on router configuration information and/or data obtained via the example database interface 305 and using any number and/or type(s) of method(s), criteria and/or logic, the example schema identifier 310 of FIG. 3 identifies one or more download schemas j of a set of schemas S for consideration. Each download schema j represents different subset of linecards of a presently considered PE router 115-117. Example machine-accessible instructions that can be executed to implement the example schema identifier 310 of FIG. 3 are described below in connection with FIG. 6.

To identify a subset $V(i)$ of VPNs corresponding to each download schema i , the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 3 includes a VPN subset identifier 315. Based on router configuration information and/or data obtained via the example database interface 305 and using any number and/or type(s) of method(s), criteria and/or logic, for each download schema i of the set S the example VPN subset identifier 315 identifies the subset $V(i)$ of VPNs wherein each VPN is communicatively coupled to at least one interface on each and every linecard in the download schema i and is not communicatively coupled to any linecard not in the download schema i . Example machine-accessible instructions that can be executed to implement the example VPN subset identifier 315 of FIG. 3 are described below in connection with FIG. 7.

To determine the cost $c(i, j)$ associated with assigning a particular VPN subset $V(i)$ to a download schema j , the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 3 includes a cover analyzer 320. Using, for example, the mathematical expressions of EQN (5), the example cover analyzer 320 determines the cost $c(i, j)$ of assigning VPN subset $V(i)$ to download schema j , for each schema j in S and for each schema i in S . Example machine-accessible instructions that can be executed to implement the example cover analyzer 320 of FIG. 3 are described below in connection with FIG. 8.

To determine the cost associated with a download schema, the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 3 includes a schema cost analyzer 325. Using any number and/or type(s) of method(s), criteria and/or logic, the example schema cost analyzer 325 determines the cost $f(j)$ associated with each download schema j in the set S . In some examples, the cost $f(j)$ is proportional to the size of the download schema j . In other examples, the cost $f(j)$ is constant, that is, independent of the number of linecards in download schema j . Example machine-accessible instructions that can be executed to implement the example schema cost analyzer 325 of FIG. 3 are described below in connection with FIG. 9.

To determine to which download schema j each VPN subset $V(i)$ is assigned, the example route table assigner 120 includes an optimizer 330. The example optimizer 330 of FIG. 3 solves the example UFL problem of EQNs (1)-(4) to determine routing table assignments, that is, $x(i, j)$ and $y(j)$ values. In some examples, the example optimizer 330 uses the heuristic described by Erlenkotter to solve the UFL problem of EQNs (1)-(4).

To configure the presently considered PE router 115-117 based on the routing table assignments $x(i, j)$ and $y(j)$ determined by the example optimizer 330, the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 3 includes any number and/or type(s) of router interfaces, one of which is designated at reference numeral 335. The example router interface 335 of FIG. 3 sends one or more command(s), variable(s) and/or parameter(s) to the PE router 115-117 that identifies the VRF tables that are to be created, stored, maintained and/or updated on which linecard(s) in the PE router 115-117. The command(s), variable(s) and/or parameter(s) used to instruct the PE router 115-117 may depend on the particular manufacturer and/or model of the PE router 115-117.

To assign routing tables for newly configured interfaces, the example route table assigner 120 of FIG. 3 includes an assigner 340. When an interface is configured for a particular VPN, the example assigner 340 of FIG. 3 determines whether the VPN is communicatively coupled to another interface on the same linecard. If so, no action change in routing table assignment needs to occur. If the additional interface is on a linecard not already in use by the VPN, the

assigner **340** assigns the VPN to the download schema j that covers, with lowest cost, the new subset of linecards associated with the VPN. Example machine-accessible instructions that can be executed to implement the example assigner **340** of FIG. **3** are described below in connection with FIG. **10**.

While an example manner of implementing the example route table assigner **120** of FIG. **1** has been illustrated in FIG. **3**, one or more of the interfaces, data structures, elements, processes and/or devices illustrated in FIG. **3** may be combined, divided, re-arranged, omitted, eliminated and/or implemented in any other way. Further, the example database interface **305**, the example schema identifier **310**, the example VPN subset identifier **315**, the example cover analyzer **320**, the example schema cost analyzer **325**, the example optimizer **330**, the example assigner **340**, the example router interface **335** and/or, more generally, the example route table assigner **120** of FIG. **3** may be implemented by hardware, software, firmware and/or any combination of hardware, software and/or firmware. Thus, for example, any of the example database interface **305**, the example schema identifier **310**, the example VPN subset identifier **315**, the example cover analyzer **320**, the example schema cost analyzer **325**, the example optimizer **330**, the example assigner **340**, the example router interface **335** and/or, more generally, the example route table assigner **120** may be implemented by one or more circuit(s), programmable processor(s), application-specific integrated circuit(s) (ASIC(s)), programmable logic device(s) (PLD(s)), field-programmable logic device(s) (FPLD(s)), and/or field-programmable gate array(s) (FPGA(s)), etc. When any claim of this patent incorporating one or more of these elements is read to cover a purely software and/or firmware implementation, at least one of the example database interface **305**, the example schema identifier **310**, the example VPN subset identifier **315**, the example cover analyzer **320**, the example schema cost analyzer **325**, the example optimizer **330**, the example assigner **340**, the example router interface **335** and/or, more generally, the example route table assigner **120** are hereby expressly defined to include a tangible computer-readable medium. Example tangible computer-readable media include, but are not limited to, a flash memory, a CD, a DVD, a floppy disk, a read-only memory (ROM), a random-access memory (RAM), a programmable ROM (PROM), an electronically-programmable ROM (EPROM), and/or an electronically-erasable PROM (EEPROM), an optical storage disk, an optical storage device, magnetic storage disk, a magnetic storage device, and/or any other tangible medium that can be used to store program code and/or instructions in the form of machine-readable instructions or data structures, and which can be accessed by a processor, a computer and/or other machine having a processor, such as the example processor platform **P100** discussed below in connection with FIG. **11**. Combinations of the above are also included within the scope of tangible computer-readable media. Further still, the example route table assigner **120** may include interfaces, data structures, elements, processes and/or devices instead of, or in addition to, those illustrated in FIG. **3** and/or may include more than one of any or all of the illustrated interfaces, data structures, elements, processes and/or devices.

FIGS. **4-10** illustrate example machine-accessible instructions that may be executed to implement the example route table assigner **120** of FIGS. **1** and **3**. A processor, a controller and/or any other suitable processing device may be used and/or programmed to execute the example machine-accessible instructions of FIGS. **4-10**. For example, the machine-

accessible instructions of FIGS. **4-10** may be embodied in coded instructions stored on any number and/or type(s) of tangible computer-readable media such as those described above in connection with FIG. **3**. Machine-readable instructions comprise, for example, instructions and data that cause a processor, a computer and/or a machine have a processor to perform one or more particular processes. Alternatively, some or all of the example machine-accessible instructions of FIGS. **4-10** may be implemented using any combination(s) of ASIC(s), PLD(s), FPLD(s), FPGA(s), discrete logic, hardware, firmware, etc. Also, some or all of the example processes of FIGS. **4-10** may be implemented manually or as any combination of any of the foregoing techniques, for example, any combination of firmware, software, discrete logic and/or hardware. Further, many other methods of implementing the example operations of FIGS. **4-10** may be employed. For example, the order of execution of the blocks may be changed, and/or one or more of the blocks described may be changed, eliminated, subdivided, or combined. Additionally, any or all of the example machine-accessible instructions of FIGS. **4-10** may be carried out sequentially and/or carried out in parallel by, for example, separate processing threads, processors, devices, discrete logic, circuits, etc.

The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **4** may be executed for each of the example PE routers **115-117** of FIG. **1**. The example route table assigner **120** initially assigns all VPNs to a default download schema that assigns the routing tables for all VPNs to all linecards (block **410**). The example schema identifier **310** of FIG. **3** identifies a candidate set S of download schemas (block **415**), and the example optimizer **330** of FIG. **3** starts a timer (block **420**). An example timer duration is 24 hours, such that the optimizer **330** is executed every night at 1 AM.

If a new interface is provisioned for a VPN (block **425**), the example assigner **340** determines whether the routing information for the VPN needs to be assigned to an additional linecard by, for example, executing the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **10** (block **430**). Control then returns to block **425** to determine whether a new interface was provisioned.

If a new interface was not provisioned (block **425**) and the timer has expired (block **435**), the optimizer **330** re-optimizes and/or re-assigns routing information to linecards by, for example, executing the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** (block **440**). The timer is restarted (block **445**), and control returns to block **425** to determine whether a new interface was provisioned.

The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** may be executed to re-determine and/or re-assign routing information to linecards of a presently considered PE router **115-117**. The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** are executed when, for example, called from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **4** at block **440**. The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** begin with the example schema identifier **310** identifying and/or updating a set S of candidate download schemas by, for example, executing the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **6** (block **505**).

The example VPN subset identifier **315** identifies VPN subsets $V(i)$ corresponding to each of the candidate download schemas i by, for example, executing the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **7** (block **510**). The example cover analyzer **320** computes the cost $c(i, j)$ of assigning each of the VPN subsets $V(i)$ to a particular download schema j by, for example, executing the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **8** (block **515**). The

example schema cost analyzer **325** computes the cost $f(j)$ associated with each of the candidate download schemas j by, for example, executing the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **9** (block **520**).

The example optimizer **330** defines and solves an UFL optimization problem as described in EQNs (1)-(4) based on the computed costs $c(i, j)$ and $f(j)$ by carrying out, for example, the heuristic algorithm described by Erlenkotter (block **525**). Based on the computed values $x(i, j)$ and $y(j)$ that assign VPN subsets $V(i)$ to download schemas j computed at block **525**, the router interface **335** configures the presently considered PE router **115-117** (block **530**). Control then exits from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** to, for example, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **4** at block **445**.

The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **6** may be executed to implement the example schema identifier **310** of FIG. **3**. The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **6** are executed when, for example, called from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **505**. The example schema identifier **310** queries the configuration database **125** via the example database interface **305** for data indicating which VPNs are communicatively coupled to which interfaces of which linecards (block **605**). Based on the data and/or information obtained from the configuration database **125**, the schema identifier **310** creates and/or identifies a set S of candidate download schemas (block **610**). Control then exits from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **6** to, for example, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **510**.

The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **7** may be executed to implement the example VPN subset identifier **315** of FIG. **3**. The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **7** begin when, for example, called from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **510**. The example VPN subset identifier **315** selects a first download schema i from the set S (block **705**). The example VPN subset identifier **315** queries the configuration database **125** via the example database interface **305** to identify all VPNs having interfaces on each of and only on the linecards in download schema i (block **710**). The VPN subset identifier **315** creates a VPN subset $V(i)$ based on the identified VPNs (block **715**). If there are additional download schemas i to process (block **720**), control returns to block **705** to process the next download schema. If there are no more download schemas to process (block **720**), control returns from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **7** to, for example, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **515**.

The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **8** may be executed to implement the example cover analyzer **320** of FIG. **3**. The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **8** begin, for example, when called from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **515**. The cover analyzer **330** selects a first download schema j from the set S (block **805**), and selects a first VPN subset $V(i)$ from the set V (block **810**).

The cover analyzer **330** determines whether the currently considered download schema j covers the download schema i associated with VPN subset $V(i)$ (block **815**). If download schema j covers download schema i (block **815**), the cover analyzer **330** computes the cost $c(i, j)$ of assigning routing information for VPN subset $V(i)$ to download schema j using, for example, the example mathematical expression of EQN (5) (block **820**). If the download schema j does not

cover download schema i (block **815**), the cost $c(i, j)$ is set equal to a large value, such as infinity (block **825**).

If there is another VPN subset $V(i)$ to process (block **830**), control returns to block **810** to select the next VPN subset $V(i)$. If there are no more VPN subsets $V(i)$ to process for the currently considered download schema j (block **830**), the cover analyzer **320** determines whether there is another download schema j to process (block **835**). If there is another download schema j to process (block **835**), control returns to block **805** to select the next download schema j to process. If there are no more download schemas j to process (block **835**), control exits from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **8** to, for example, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **520**.

The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **9** may be executed to implement the example schema cost analyzer **325** of FIG. **3**. The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **9** begin, for example, when called from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **520**. The schema cost analyzer **325** selects a first download schema j from the set S of schemas (block **905**) and computes a cost $f(j)$ associated with the download schema j (block **910**). In some examples the cost $f(j)$ is proportional to the size of the download schema j . In other examples, the cost $f(j)$ is constant, that is, independent of the number of linecards in download schema j .

If there is another download schema j to process (block **915**), control returns to block **905** to select the next download schema j . If there are no more download schemas j to process (block **915**), control returns from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **9** to, for example, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **5** at block **525**.

The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **10** may be executed to implement the example assigner **340** of FIG. **3**. The example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **10** are executed when, for example, called by the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **4** at block **430** when an interface on linecard L is provisioned for a VPN.

The example assigner **340** of FIG. **3** determines whether the VPN is a new VPN (block **1005**). If the VPN is not new (block **1005**), the assigner **340** determines whether the VPN already has equipment communicatively coupled to another interface on linecard L (block **1010**). If the VPN already has equipment communicatively coupled to another interface on linecard L (block **1010**), no change in routing table assignment needs to occur and control exits from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **10** to, for example, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **4** at block **425**.

If the VPN is new (block **1005**) or does not have equipment already communicatively coupled to the linecard L (block **1010**), the assigner **1015** determines the subset z of linecards that are currently used by the VPN and assigns routing information for the VPN to the download schema j that covers the subset z with lowest cost $c(z, j)$ (block **1015**). The assigner sets $x(z, j)$ to one and sets $y(j)$ to one (block **1020**). Control then exits from the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **10** to, for example, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIG. **4** at block **425**.

FIG. **11** is a schematic diagram of an example processor platform **P100** that may be used and/or programmed to implement any of the example apparatus disclosed herein. For example, one or more general-purpose processors, processor cores, microcontrollers, etc can implement the processor platform **P100**.

13

The processor platform P100 of the example of FIG. 11 includes at least one programmable processor P105. The processor P105 executes coded instructions P110 and/or P112 present in main memory of the processor P105 (e.g., within a RAM P115 and/or a ROM P120). The processor P105 may be any type of processing unit, such as a processor core, a processor and/or a microcontroller. The processor P105 may execute, among other things, the example machine-accessible instructions of FIGS. 4-10 and/or to selectively assign routing tables to router linecards as described herein.

The processor P105 is in communication with any number and/or type(s) of tangible computer-readable storage media (including a ROM P120 and/or the RAM P115) via a bus P125. The RAM P115 may be implemented by dynamic random access memory (DRAM), synchronous dynamic random access memory (SDRAM), and/or any other type of RAM device, and ROM may be implemented by flash memory and/or any other desired type of memory device. Access to the memory P115 and the memory P120 may be controlled by a memory controller (not shown). The example memory P115 may be used to, for example, implement the example configuration database 125.

The processor platform P100 also includes an interface circuit P130. Any type of interface standard, such as an external memory interface, serial port, general-purpose input/output, etc., may implement the interface circuit P130. One or more input devices P135 and one or more output devices P140 are connected to the interface circuit P130. The input devices P135 and the output devices P140 may be used to implement any of the example database interface 305 and/or the example router interface 335.

Although certain example methods, apparatus and articles of manufacture have been described herein, the scope of coverage of this patent is not limited thereto. On the contrary, this patent covers all methods, apparatus and articles of manufacture fairly falling within the scope of the appended claims either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

What is claimed is:

1. A method comprising:

determining a first cost associated with assigning routing information for a subset of virtual private networks to a first subset of linecards of a router, the first subset of the linecards excluding at least one linecard of the router, the first cost determined by:

determining a first product of (1) a first number of routes associated with a first virtual private network of the subset of the virtual private networks and (2) a number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards;

determining a second product of (1) a second number of routes associated with a second virtual private network of the subset of the virtual private networks and (2) the number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards; and

determining the first cost as a sum of the first and second products; and

configuring the first subset of the linecards with the routing information for the subset of the virtual private networks to cause the first subset of the linecards to operate based on the routing information when the subset of the virtual private networks is assigned to the first subset of the linecards based on the first cost.

2. A method as defined in claim 1, further including: determining a second cost associated with assigning routing information to the first subset of the linecards, and

14

a third cost associated with assigning routing information to a second subset of the linecards; and determining to assign the subset of the virtual private networks to the first subset of the linecards or to the second subset of the linecards based on the first, second, and third costs.

3. A method as defined in claim 1, further including initially configuring all of the linecards of the router with routing information for all of the virtual private networks.

4. A method as defined in claim 3, wherein the determining of the first cost and the configuring of the first subset of the linecards are performed after the initial configuring of all of the linecards.

5. A method as defined in claim 1, further including at least one of periodically or aperiodically performing the determining of the cost and the configuring of the first subset of the linecards.

6. A method as defined in claim 1, further including: solving an uncapacitated facility location problem with the first cost for the subset of the virtual private networks; and determining to assign the subset of the virtual private networks to the first subset of the linecards based on the uncapacitated facility location problem.

7. An apparatus comprising:

a cover analyzer to determine a first cost of assigning routing information for a subset of virtual private networks to a first subset of linecards of a router, the first subset of the linecards excluding at least one linecard of the router, the first cost determined by:

determining a first product of (1) a first number of routes associated with a first virtual private network of the subset of the virtual private networks and (2) a number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards;

determining a second product of (1) a second number of routes associated with a second virtual private network of the subset of the virtual private networks and (2) the number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards; and

determining the first cost as a sum of the first and second products; and

a router interface to configure the first subset of the linecards of the router with the routing information for the subset of the virtual private networks to cause the first subset of the linecards to operate based on the routing information when the subset of the virtual private networks is assigned to the first subset of the linecards based on the first cost.

8. An apparatus as defined in claim 7, further including: a schema cost analyzer to determine a second cost associated with assigning routing information to the first subset of the linecards, and a third cost associated with assigning routing information to a second subset of the linecards; and

an optimizer to determine whether to assign the subset of the virtual private networks to the first subset of the linecards or to the second subset of the linecards based on the first, second, and third costs.

9. An apparatus as defined in claim 7, further including an optimizer to:

determine an uncapacitated facility location problem based on the first cost; and

determine to assign the subset of the virtual private networks to the first subset of the linecards based on the uncapacitated facility location problem.

15

10. An apparatus as defined in claim 7, wherein the cover analyzer is to select the subset of the virtual private networks so that interfaces associated with the subset of the virtual private networks are implemented by the first subset of the linecards.

11. An apparatus as defined in claim 7, further including an assigner to initially configure all of the linecards of the router with routing information for all of the virtual private networks.

12. An apparatus as defined in claim 11, wherein the cover analyzer is to determine the first cost and the router interface is to configure the first subset of the linecards of the router after the initial configuring of all of the linecards of the router.

13. An article of manufacture comprising machine readable instructions that, when executed, cause a machine to perform operations including:

determining a first cost associated with assigning routing information for a subset of virtual private networks to a first subset of linecards of a router, the first subset of the linecards excluding at least one linecard of the router, the first cost determined by:

determining a first product of (1) a first number of routes associated with a first virtual private network of the subset of the virtual private networks and (2) a number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards;

determining a second product of (1) a second number of routes associated with a second virtual private network of the subset of the virtual private networks and (2) the number of the linecards included in the first subset of the linecards; and

determining the first cost as a sum of the first and second products; and

configuring the first subset of the linecards with the routing information for the subset of the virtual private

16

networks to cause the first subset of the linecards to operate based on the routing information when the subset of the virtual private networks is assigned to the first subset of the linecards based on the first cost.

14. An article of manufacture as defined in claim 13, wherein the operations further include:

determining a second cost associated with assigning routing information to the first subset of the linecards, and a third cost associated with assigning routing information to a second subset of the linecards; and

determining to assign the subset of the virtual private networks to the first subset of the linecards or to the second subset of the linecards based on the first, second, and third costs.

15. An article of manufacture as defined in claim 13, wherein the operations further include initially configuring all of the linecards of the router with routing information for all of the virtual private networks.

16. An article of manufacture as defined in claim 15, wherein the determining of the first cost and the configuring of the first subset of the linecards are performed after the initial configuring of all of the linecards.

17. An article of manufacture as defined in claim 13, wherein the operations further include at least one of periodically or aperiodically performing the determining of the cost and the configuring of the first subset of the linecards.

18. An article of manufacture as defined in claim 13, wherein the operations further include:

solving an uncapacitated facility location problem with the first cost for the subset of the virtual private networks; and

determining to assign the subset of the virtual private networks to the first subset of the linecards based on the uncapacitated facility location problem.

* * * * *