

(12) United States Patent Facchetti et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 9,876,183 B2 (45) Date of Patent: Jan. 23, 2018

- (54) CHARGE-TRANSPORTING METAL OXIDE-POLYMER BLEND THIN FILMS
- (71) Applicants: Northwestern University, Evanston, IL
 (US); Polyera Corporation, Skokie, IL
 (US)
- (72) Inventors: Antonio Facchetti, Chicago, IL (US);
 Tobin J. Marks, Evanston, IL (US);
 Xinge Yu, Chicago, IL (US); William

(58) Field of Classification Search CPC H01L 51/055; H01L 51/0558; H01L 51/0097

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,723,430 B2 5/2010 Kunitake et al. 2010/0147684 A1 6/2010 Park et al. 2013/0019937 A1* 1/2013 So H01L 51/442 136/256 3/2013 Lin 2013/0056688 A1* B05D 5/12 252/514 2015/0242011 A1* 8/2015 Yeh B32B 15/04 345/174 2016/0136853 A1* 5/2016 Dinunzio C08L 23/06 523/102 2016/0187280 A1* 6/2016 Potyralio G01N 27/228 324/675

Christopher Sheets, Chicago, IL (US); **Wei Huang**, Evanston, IL (US)

- (73) Assignees: Northwestern University, Evanston, IL(US); Flexterra, Inc., Skokie, IL (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 166 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: **15/012,770**
- (22) Filed: Feb. 1, 2016
- (65) Prior Publication Data
 US 2016/0268526 A1 Sep. 15, 2016

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 62/110,026, filed on Jan.30, 2015.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Trung et al., A Flexible Reduced Graphene Oxide Field-Effect Transistor for Ultrasensitive Strain Sensing, Adv. Funct. Mater., 24, Jul. 22, 2013, pp. 117-124.

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Joseph C Nicely
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Bell & Manning, LLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The present teachings relate to charge-transporting metal oxide-polymer blend thin films which can be incorporated as the semiconductor component or one of the conductor components of a thin film transistor. Generally, the present charge-transporting metal oxide-polymer blend thin film includes a semiconducting or conducting metal oxide lattice and an electrically insulating polymer dispersed within the lattice. The electrically insulating polymer is present at about 20% or less by weight of the metal oxide.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

H01L 51/05(2006.01)H01L 51/00(2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC *H01L 51/055* (2013.01); *H01L 51/0558* (2013.01); *H01L 51/0097* (2013.01); *H01L 2251/306* (2013.01); *H01L 2251/308* (2013.01)

20 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 1 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2









U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 2 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2







Figure 4

U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 3 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 5



0 2 4 6 8 R (Å)

Figure 6



B F 1% 5% B F 1% 5% B F 1% 5% Films Films Films



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 4 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 8



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 5 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 10



Figure 11



U.S. Patent US 9,876,183 B2 Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 6 of 16



Substrate^{*}





Figure 15





Figure 16

Figure 17



| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | |
| | | |

U.S. Patent US 9,876,183 B2 Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 7 of 16



Figure 19











U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 8 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 21









U.S. Patent US 9,876,183 B2 Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 9 of 16



Figure 25



 $V_{GS}(V)$

U.S. Patent US 9,876,183 B2 Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 10 of 16





Figure 28

U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 11 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 12 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2





U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 13 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 31



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 14 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 33

| | | [| { |
|--------|---------|--------|------------|
| 0% PEI | 0.5% PE | 1% PEI | 1.5% PEI A |



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 15 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 35



U.S. Patent Jan. 23, 2018 Sheet 16 of 16 US 9,876,183 B2



Figure 37

1

CHARGE-TRANSPORTING METAL OXIDE-POLYMER BLEND THIN FILMS

STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT

This invention was made with government support under grant number N00014-11-1-0690 awarded by the Office of Naval Research and grant number DMR-1121262 awarded by the National Science Foundation. The government has ¹⁰ certain rights in the invention.



2

Furthermore, solution-processed amorphous IXO- and IXZO-based TFTs not only exhibit lower electron mobilities than In_2O_3 , but also require relative higher processing temperatures (typically $\geq 300^{\circ}$ C.) to facilitate metal-oxygenmetal (M-O-M) lattice formation, densification, and impurity removal. Such high processing temperatures are incompatible with inexpensive plastic substrates.

Similar limitations apply to conducting metal oxides where the best electrical conductivities are typically achieved for polycrystalline films such as tin-doped indium oxide (ITO). However, the electrical conductivity of ITOcoated plastic degrades severely upon multiple bending because of crack formation. Furthermore, although most of the MO dielectric materials are amorphous, the mechanical ¹⁵ flexibility of these films tend to be limited because they are typically far thicker than the conducting and semiconducting layers used in the TFT stack. Accordingly, there is a need in the art for semiconducting and conducting metal oxide films and electronic devices that can be processed at low temperatures, yet exhibiting charge transport characteristics that are comparable to polycrystalline MO semiconductor-based devices and yet having mechanical flexibility typical of those based on amorphous semiconducting films.

APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to and the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 62/110,026, filed on Jan. 30, 2015, the disclosure of which is incorporated by reference herein in its entirety.

BACKGROUND

Metal oxide (MO) semiconductors have attracted considerable attention for next-generation electronic devices because of their high carrier mobilities and good environ- 25 mental stability. In addition, the high optical transparency of MO semiconductors could enable fully transparent thin-film transistors (TFTs), which are essential for the fabrication of "invisible" circuits and to increase the aperture ratio of active-matrix organic light-emitting diode (AMOLED) and 30 liquid-crystal (LC) displays. Therefore, since the first report of a fully transparent MO-based TFT in 2003, extensive academic and industrial efforts have focused on enhancing device performance for both opaque and transparent applications. Nevertheless, the best-performing MO TFTs are 35 typically fabricated by capital-intensive physical and chemical vapor deposition processes such as sputtering, and patterned using multi-step photolithographic processes. To enable inexpensive large-scale roll-to-roll production, it is necessary to develop solution-based process methodologies 40 for the manufacturing of MO TFTs. Another feature desired by next-generation electronic devices is mechanical flexibility. It is well known that polycrystalline films have limited mechanical flexibility, and mainly due to crack formation at grain boundaries, their 45 electrical properties and structural integrity tend to deteriorate dramatically upon bending. Compared to polycrystalline MOs, amorphous MO semiconductors are more tolerant to mechanical stress, enabling their utilization and device fabrication on flexible substrates. A well-known strategy to produce semiconducting amorphous MOs is to dope polycrystalline materials such as indium oxide (In_2O_3) with various X cations (e.g., X=Ga³⁺, Zn²⁺, La³⁺, Sc³⁺) to form ternary or quaternary amorphous alloys of formula IXO and IXZO composites (Z=zinc). An 55 example of a technologically relevant amorphous IXZO material is indium-gallium-zinc-oxide (IGZO), which has excellent charge transport uniformity due to minimal structural defects. However, the carrier mobilities of these amorphous oxides are limited compared to that of the pristine 60 In_2O_3 matrix. Efficient transport in In_2O_3 mainly originates from the diffuse In 5s orbitals at the bottom of the conduction band, leading to edge-sharing In-O₆ octahedra. In contrast, the oxygen vacancies regulating the carrier concentrations are difficult to control in In_2O_3 , thus the resulting 65 TFTs exhibit less than optimum current modulation (I_{on}/I_{off}) and poor threshold voltage (V_T) uniformity over large areas.

SUMMARY

In light of the foregoing, the present teachings provide metal oxide-polymer blend thin films that can be used as semiconductors, conductors, or dielectrics in various optical, electronic, and optoelectronic devices. Such metal oxidepolymer blend thin films generally include a metal oxide lattice and an electrically insulating polymer dispersed within the lattice. The incorporation of the polymer disrupts the crystallinity of the metal oxide lattice or creates stress release within the film. The resulting amorphous metal oxide-polymer blend thin film has highly enhanced mechanical flexibility. In embodiments where the metal oxide is a charge-transporting metal oxide (i.e., semiconducting or conducting metal oxide), by limiting the concentration of the polymer to about 20% or less by weight of the metal oxide, the metal oxide-polymer blend thin film surprisingly can retain or even improve upon the electronic properties (e.g., charge carrier mobility or conductivity) of the pristine MO thin film. The present teachings also relate to devices including such metal-oxide polymer blend thin films and methods for fabricating such devices. The foregoing as well as other features and advantages of ⁵⁰ the present teachings will be more fully understood from the following figures, description, examples, and claims.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF DRAWINGS

It should be understood that the drawings described below are for illustration purposes only. The drawings are not necessarily to scale, with emphasis generally being placed upon illustrating the principles of the present teachings. The drawings are not intended to limit the scope of the present teachings in any way. FIG. 1 compares the transfer plots of polymer-doped In_2O_3 thin film transistors (TFTs) processed at 225° C. on 300 nm SiO₂/Si substrates with various polymer (i.e., poly (4-vinylphenol), PVP) concentrations, specifically, at 0%, 1%, 5%, 10%, and 20%. FIG. 2 compares the transfer plots of polymer-doped In_2O_3 thin film transistors (TFTs) processed at 250° C. on

3

300 nm SiO₂/Si substrates with various polymer (i.e., poly (4-vinylphenol), PVP) concentrations, specifically, at 0%, 1%, 5%, 10%, and 20%.

FIG. 3 compares the carrier mobility (μ , square) and threshold voltage (V₇, circle) for polymer-doped In_2O_3 TFTs 5 having different PVP concentrations (0-20%), processed at 225° C. and 250° C., respectively.

FIG. 4 contrasts representative transfer and output characteristics of (a) pristine In_2O_3 TFTs versus (b) polymerdoped In₂O₃ TFTs with 5% PVP. All devices were fabricated on 300 nm SiO₂/Si substrates and were processed at either 225° C. or 250° C.

FIG. 5 shows representative grazing incidence X-Ray diffraction (GIXRD) plots for polymer (PVP)/metal oxide (In_2O_3) blend films with different polymer concentration (%) PVP=0, 1, 5, 10 and 20) annealed at (a) 225° C. and (b) 250° C., respectively. FIG. 6 compares the pseudo-radial distribution function (p-RDF) at the indium K edge for In_2O_3 powder, pristine 20 In₂O₃ film, In₂O₃:PVP films with 1% PVP, and In₂O₃:PVP films with 5% PVP, using data obtained from extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) measurements. FIG. 7 shows the coordination numbers, In—In and In—O bond lengths, and G^2 values derived from EXAFS 25 at a radius of 10 mm. data for the indicated samples (B=In₂O₃ powder, F=pristine In_2O_3 film, $1\%=In_2O_3$:PVP films with 1% PVP, and $5\%=In_2O_3$:PVP films with 5% PVP). FIG. 8 shows X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) O 1s spectra of In_2O_3 :polymer films deposited with various 30 PVP concentrations and annealed at 225° C. (left) and 250° C. (right), respectively. FIG. 9 shows XPS C 1s spectra of pure PVP, pure In_2O_3 films, and In₂O₃:PVP blend films obtained with (a) comprecursors processed at 250° C., and (c) sol-gel (no fuel added) precursors processed at 225° C. FIG. 10 shows FTIR spectra of In_2O_3 :PVP blend films processed at 225° C. (a) in the 3000-3800 cm⁻¹ region and (b) in the 950-1050 cm^{-1} region. FIG. 11 shows FTIR spectra of In₂O₃:PVP blend films processed at 250° C. (a) in the 3000-3800 cm⁻¹ region and (b) in the 950-1050 cm^{-1} region. FIG. 12 shows representative transfer and output characteristics of a polymer-doped metal oxide (MO) TFT accord- 45 ing to the present teachings (with the structure Si/AlO_x/ In_2O_3 :5% PVP/Al). FIG. 13 shows a schematic representation of a flexible, transparent polymer-doped MO TFT according to the present teachings (as embodied by the specific structure Ary- 50 liteTM/ α -ZITO/AlO_x/In₂O₃:x % PVP/ α -ZITO). FIG. 14 shows the transmittance spectra of an AryLiteTM film, an α -ZITO coated AryLiteTM film, and a TFT array comprising a ZITO/AlO₂/In₂O₃:5% PVP/α-ZITO/AryLite stack. The inset is an optical image of the TFT array on top 55 PEI doping concentrations. of a leaf.

FIG. 17 shows energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) scans of Al, In, Sn, and Zn of the same device area shown in FIG. 16 for Al, In, Sn, and Zn.

FIG. 18 shows representative energy-filtered nano-beam diffraction (EF-NBED) patterns of α -ZITO, AlO_x, and $In_2O_3/5\%$ PVP films. The resolution of the diffraction nano beam is 1 nm.

FIG. 19 shows GIXRD plots for an α -ZITO/AryLiteTM film measured at room temperature, after annealing at 225° 10 C., and after annealing at 250° C. (left) and for an AlO_x film coated on a Si substrate that was processed at 200° C. and after post-annealing at 225° C. for 30 minutes (right). FIG. 20 shows optical images of an all-transparent TFT device according to the present teachings under various bending radii: (a) flat without any bending, (b) bent at a radius of 15 mm, (c) bent at a radius of 10 mm, and (d) bent at a radium of 7 mm. FIG. 21 compares the transfer plots of the present In_2O_3 : 5% PVP devices (bottom) versus control In_2O_3 devices (top) as both were bent at various radii (0 mm, 10 mm, 13 mm, and 15 mm) along a curvature parallel to the channel length. FIG. 22 shows representative output and transfer plots of all-amorphous MO:polymer TFTs according to the present teachings before (top) and after (bottom) 100 bending cycles FIG. 23 shows the dependence of TFT mobilities against bending radius for all-amorphous $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP TFTs according to the present teachings as compared to control devices (In_2O_3 TFTs). FIG. 24 shows the dependence of TFT mobilities against the number of bending cycles (from 1 to 100 times) at a bending radius of 10 mm for all-amorphous $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP TFTs according to the present teachings. FIG. 25 shows representative transfer I_{DS} - V_{GS} plots for bustion precursors processed at 225° C., (b) combustion 35 pristine In_2O_3 (In_2O_3 , left), In_2O_3 :1% PVB (1% PVB, middle), and In₂O₃:5% PVB (5% PVB, right) TFT devices processed at 250° C.

FIG. 15 shows atomic force microscopy (AFM) images of a first film sample comprising α -ZITO film deposited on an AryLiteTM substrate (left), a second film sample comprising AlO_x deposited on top of an α -ZITO-coated AryLiteTM 60 substrate (middle), and a third film sample comprising an In₂O₃:5% PVP blend film on an AlO_x/ α -ZITO/AryLiteTM substrate (right), each having been annealed at 225° C. FIG. **16** shows a cross-sectional TEM image of a flexible TFT device according to the present teachings with the 65 structure AryLiteTM/ α -ZITO/AlO_x/In₂O₃:5% PVP/Au $(T_a = 225^{\circ} C.).$ films.

FIG. 26 shows representative transfer I_{DS} - V_{GS} characteristics for pristine In_2O_3 (squares), In_2O_3 :5% PVA (triangles), 40 and In₂O₃:5% p-HEMA (stars) TFT devices processed at 250° C.

FIG. 27 shows XRD plots for pristine ITO and PVPdoped ITO films processed at 250° C.

FIG. 28 shows capacitance-voltage (left) and current density-voltage (right) plots for Al₂O₃ and PVP-doped Al_2O_3 films processed at 200° C.

FIG. 29 shows representative transfer characteristics for PEI-doped metal oxide TFTs: a) neat In_2O_3 transistor, b) 1% PEI-doped In₂O₃ transistor, c) 1.5% PEI-doped In₂O₃ transistor, d) 3% PEI-doped In₂O₃ transistor, e) 6% PEI-doped In₂O₃ transistor, and f) charge carrier mobility and threshold voltage for In_2O_3 : x % PEI transistors with different PEI concentrations.

FIG. 30 shows transfer plots of In_2O_3 TFTs with various

FIG. 31 shows output plots of In_2O_3 TFTs with various PEI doping concentrations.

FIG. **32** compares the mobilities of TFTs based on a) PEI doping and b) PVP doping. FIG. 33 shows GIXRD patterns of In₂O₃:polymer films with various PEI concentrations.

FIG. 34 shows XPS spectra of In_2O_3 :polymer films with different PEI concentrations.

FIG. 35 shows a) XPS C 1s spectra of pure In_2O_3 films, PEI films, and In_2O_3 :PEI blend films; and b) XPS N 1s spectra of pure In₂O₃ films, PEI films, and In₂O₃:PEI blend

5

FIG. 36 shows FTIR spectra of PEI films, pure In_2O_3 films, and In_2O_3 :PEI blend films: a) in the 1500~1700 cm⁻¹ region; b) in the 3000~3600 region.

FIG. 37 shows (a) the device structure of an In_2O_3 TFT with an overlying PEI layer; and (b) transfer plots of In_2O_3 5 TFT with and without such PEI coating.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Throughout the application, where compositions are 10 described as having, including, or comprising specific components, or where processes are described as having, including, or comprising specific process steps, it is contemplated that compositions of the present teachings also consist essentially of, or consist of, the recited components, and that 15 the processes of the present teachings also consist essentially of, or consist of, the recited process steps. In the application, where an element or component is said to be included in and/or selected from a list of recited elements or components, it should be understood that the 20 element or component can be any one of the recited elements or components, or can be selected from a group consisting of two or more of the recited elements or components. Further, it should be understood that elements and/or features of a composition, an apparatus, or a method described 25 herein can be combined in a variety of ways without departing from the spirit and scope of the present teachings, whether explicit or implicit herein. The use of the terms "include," "includes", "including," "have," "has," or "having" should be generally understood 30 as open-ended and non-limiting unless specifically stated otherwise.

0

include, without limitation, various dielectric polymers such as those having a low dielectric constant of between about 2 and about 10. Such polymers can have a molecular weight ranging from about 2,000 g/mol to about 1,000,000 g/mol. In most embodiments, the polymers typically include in the backbone — CH_2 — groups (such as various vinyl polymers) and polyalkylene oxides) or $-C(CH_3)_2$ groups (such as polycarbonates). Examples of suitable vinyl polymers include poly(4-vinyl phenol) (PVP), poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), poly(vinyl butyral) (PVB), polystyrene (PS), poly (meth)acrylates (e.g., poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), and poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (p-HEMA)), as well as their copolymers (including, without limitation, poly [styrene-co-(4-vinylphenol)], poly(4-vinylphenol-co-methyl methacrylate), and so forth). Suitable polyalkylene oxides include, without limitation, polyethylene glycol (PEG). In some embodiments, the polymer used in the present MOpolymer blend thin film can be an amine-rich polymer (i.e., a polymer having amine groups, especially, tertiary amine groups, in either its backbone and/or pendant groups). Such amine-rich polymers have electron-transfer ability, thus are capable of n-type doping. Examples of such amine-rich polymers include polyethyleneimine (PEI), poly(allylamine), and polyvinylamine. In particular embodiments, the present metal oxide thin film is doped with PEI, where such PEI can be in linear or branched form. Preferably, the polymers described herein can have good solubility in the solvent or solvent mixture used to dissolve the metal oxide precursor compounds (vide infra). For example, to have good solubility in common organic solvents and/or in water, the polymer can include functional groups such as oxy, hydroxyl, carboxylic, carbonate, amine, and phenolic groups.

The use of the singular herein includes the plural (and vice) versa) unless specifically stated otherwise. In addition,

The inventors have found that the present MO-polymer where the use of the term "about" is before a quantitative 35 blend thin films can retain good charge-transporting properties when the polymer is present at about 20% by weight or less of the metal oxide. In preferred embodiments, the polymer can be present at about 10% by weight or less of the metal oxide, and more preferably, at about 5% by weight or 40 less of the metal oxide. Because of the presence of the polymer, crystallization of the metal oxide is frustrated, and the resulting metal oxide is in the amorphous state. In some embodiments, the present metal oxide-polymer blend thin film can include a semiconducting metal oxide selected from indium oxide (In_2O_3) , indium zinc oxide (IZO), zinc tin oxide (ZTO), indium gallium oxide (IGO), indium-gallium-zinc oxide (IGZO), tin oxide (SnO_2) , nickel oxide (NiO), copper oxide (Cu_2O) , and zinc oxide (ZnO). In other embodiments, the present metal oxide-polymer blend thin film can include a conducting metal oxide selected from indium tin oxide (ITO, or tindoped indium oxide Sn—In—O where the Sn content is about 10% or less), indium-doped zinc oxide (IZO), zinc indium tin oxide (ZITO), gallium-doped zinc oxide (GZO), gallium-doped indium oxide (GIO), fluorine-doped tin oxide (SnO₂:F), gallium indium tin oxide (GITO), cadmium oxide (CdO), and aluminum-doped zinc oxide (AZO). These semiconducting or conducting metal oxides can have dopants (such as fluorine, sulfur, lithium, rhodium, silver, cadmium, scandium, sodium, calcium, magnesium, barium, and lanthanum) to improve electron (for n-type) or hole (for p-type) mobility or conductivity. The present metal oxide-polymer blend thin film can be prepared from a precursor composition that includes an electrically insulating polymer and one or more metal oxide precursor compounds that can react to form a metal oxide lattice at relatively low processing temperatures (e.g., at

value, the present teachings also include the specific quantitative value itself, unless specifically stated otherwise. As used herein, the term "about" refers to a $\pm 10\%$ variation from the nominal value unless otherwise indicated or inferred.

It should be understood that the order of steps or order for performing certain actions is immaterial so long as the present teachings remain operable. Moreover, two or more steps or actions may be conducted simultaneously.

The present teachings provide electronic, optical, and 45 optoelectronic devices that include a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor component and/or a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film conductor component. Such metal oxide-polymer blend component generally is composed of a metal oxide (MO) lattice and an electrically 50 insulating polymer dispersed within the lattice. The inventors have found that the incorporation of a small amount of polymer into the MO lattice can disrupt its crystallinity, thereby providing an amorphous MO thin film. Surprisingly, by controlling the amount of polymer, the resulting amor- 55 phous polymer-doped MO thin film can retain or even improve upon the electronic properties (e.g., charge carrier mobility or conductivity) of the pristine MO thin film. Even more unexpectedly, the amorphous polymer-doped MO thin film has significantly improved mechanical flexibility. Most 60 notably, their electronic properties can be largely preserved upon multiple bending and relaxing cycles, thereby enabling their use in flexible electronic devices.

As shown in the examples hereinbelow, the polymer used in the present MO-polymer blend thin film can be selected 65 from various electrically insulating polymers known in the art. Suitable polymers according to the present teachings can

7

350° C. or less). In other words, the metal oxide precursor compounds are selected such that the metal oxide formation process does not damage the polymer. While conventional sol-gel precursors such as metal alkoxides can be used, in preferred embodiments, the precursor composition includes 5 a first metal oxide precursor compound and a second metal oxide precursor compound that can function, respectively, as an oxidizing agent and a fuel. This redox pair of precursors are chosen and provided under conditions to induce a combustion reaction. For example, the first metal oxide 10 precursor compound can be a metal salt including an oxidizing anion selected from a nitrate, a perchlorate, a chlorate, a hypochlorite, an azide, a peroxide, a superoxide, a high-valent oxide, an N-oxide, a persulfate, a dinitramide, a nitrocyanamide, a nitroarylcarboxylate, a tetrazolate, and 15 hydrates of the foregoing anions. The second metal oxide precursor compound can be a fuel compound selected from CF₃COCH₂COCF₃, glycine, acetylacetone, $CH_3COCHFCOCH_3$, $CH_3COCH_2C(=NH)CF_3$, CH_3C $(=NH)CHFC(=NH)CH_3$, $CH_3COCH_2C(=NCH_3)CF_3$, 20 $CH_3C(=NCH_3)CHFC(=NCH_3)CH_3, CH_3C(=NH)CHFC$ $(=NCH_3)CH_3$, Ph₂POCH₂COCH₃, urea, N-methylurea, citric acid, ascorbic acid, stearic acid, nitromethane, hydrazine, carbohydrazide, oxalyl dihydrazide, malonic acid dihydrazide, tetra formal tris azine, hexamethylenetetramine, and 25 malonic anhydride. As further examples, the first metal oxide precursor compound can be a metal salt including a fuel anion selected from an acetylacetonate, a citrate, an oxalate, an ascorbate, and a stearate; and the second metal oxide precursor compound can be an oxidizing acid (e.g., 30 HNO_3 or NH_4NO_3). When the metal oxide is a ternary or quaternary oxide, the precursor composition can include additional metal oxide precursor compounds, where such additional metal oxide precursor compounds can be a metal salt having an oxidizing anion, a fuel anion, or other anions 35 such as halides (e.g., chlorides, bromides, iodides), carbonates, acetates, formates, propionates, sulfites, sulfates, hydroxides, alkoxides, trifluoroacetates, trifluoromethanesulfonates, tosylates, mesylates, and hydrates thereof. Suitable metal oxide precursor compounds are described in U.S. 40 Pat. Nos. 8,940,578 and 8,940,579, the disclosures of which are incorporated by referenced herein for all purposes. The concentration of the various metal salts in the precursor composition can be between about 0.01 M and about 5.0 M. For example, the metal salts in combination can have 45 a concentration between about 0.02 M and about 2.0 M, between about 0.05 M and about 1.0 M, between about 0.05 M and about 0.5 M, or between about 0.05 M and about 0.25 M. The polymer typically is present at 20% by weight or less of the metal oxide precursor compounds. In preferred 50 embodiments, the polymer can be present at about 10% by weight or less of the metal oxide precursor compounds, and more preferably, at about 5% by weight or less of the metal oxide precursor compounds.

8

glycol monomethyl ether, methyl acetate, ethyl acetate, butyl acetate, ethyl-3-ethoxypropionate, and mixtures thereof. In particular embodiments, the solvent can be an alkoxyalcohol such as methoxyethanol, ethoxyethanol, methoxypropanol, ethoxypropanol, or methoxybutanol.

In some embodiments, the precursor composition can include a metal oxide nanomaterial together with or instead of the foregoing metal oxide precursor compounds. In embodiments where the precursor compounds include both metal oxide nanomaterials and metal oxide precursor compounds, the redox pair of combustion precursors can function as a binder component for the metal oxide nanomaterial, enabling lower processing temperature for forming the metal oxide-polymer blend thin film compared to embodiments where only metal oxide nanomaterials are used. As used herein, a "nanomaterial" generally has at least one dimension of about 300 nm or smaller. Examples of nanomaterials include nanoparticles (which can have irregular or regular) geometries), nanospheres, nanowires (which are characterized by a large aspect ratio), nanoribbons (which has a flat ribbon-like geometry and a large aspect ratio), nanorods (which typically have smaller aspect ratios than nanowires), nanotubes, and nanosheets (which has a flat ribbon-like geometry and a small aspect ratio). Various metal oxide nanomaterials are commercially available or can be prepared by one skilled in the art. In various embodiments, the present precursor composition can include a base, typically, NH₃. In various embodiments, the base can be introduced into the precursor composition after the various metal oxide precursor compounds have dissolved completely in the solvent or solvent mixture, but before the addition of the polymer. In various embodiments, the precursor composition can include one or more additives selected from detergents, dispersants, binding agents, compatibilizing agents, curing agents, initiators, humectants, antifoaming agents, wetting agents, pH modifiers, biocides, and bacteriostats. For example, surfactants, chelates (e.g., ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA)), and/or other polymers (e.g., polystyrene, polyethylene, polyalpha-methylstyrene, polyisobutene, polypropylene, polymethylmethacrylate and the like) can be included as a dispersant, a binding agent, a compatibilizing agent, and/or an antifoaming agent. As shown in the examples hereinbelow, by incorporating a polymer into the metal oxide lattice, the metal oxide thin film gains significantly improved mechanical flexibility. Meanwhile, by limiting the polymer concentration to less than or about 20% by weight of the metal oxide content, the electronic properties of the semiconducting or conducting metal oxide can be largely preserved. Accordingly, an aspect of the present teachings is directed to a method of fabricating a metal oxide thin film transistor, where the transistor includes a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor and/or a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film conductor. The thin film transistor can have different configurations, for example, a top-gate top-contact structure, top-gate bottomcontact structure, a bottom-gate top-contact structure, or a bottom-gate bottom-contact structure. A thin film transistor generally includes a substrate, electrical conductors (source, drain, and gate conductors), a dielectric component coupled to the gate conductor, and a semiconductor component coupled to the dielectric on one side and in contact with the source and drain conductors on the other side. As used herein, "coupled" can mean the simple physical adherence of two materials without forming any chemical bonds (e.g., by adsorption), as well as the formation of chemical bonds

The polymer and the various metal oxide precursor compounds can be dissolved in a solvent or solvent mixture. The solvent or solvent mixture can include water and/or one or more organic solvents. For example, the solvent can be selected from water, an alcohol, an aminoalcohol, a carboxylic acid, a glycol, a glycol ether, an ether acetate, a 60 hydroxyester, an aminoester, and a mixture thereof. In some embodiments, the solvent can be selected from water, methanol, ethanol, n-propanol, isopropanol, butanol, pentanol, hexyl alcohol, heptyl alcohol, ethyleneglycol, methoxyethanol, ethoxyethanol, methoxypropanol, ethoxypropanol, 65 methoxybutanol, dimethoxyglycol, N,N-dimethylformamide, propylene glycol methyl ether acetate, propylene

9

(e.g., ionic or covalent bonds) between two or more components and/or chemical moieties, atoms, or molecules thereof.

The present methods of fabricating a metal oxide thin film transistor can include forming a metal oxide-polymer blend 5 thin film, wherein the metal oxide-polymer blend thin film is prepared by depositing a thin film from a precursor composition including a first metal oxide precursor compound, a second metal oxide precursor compound, and an electrically insulating polymer in a solvent or solvent mix- 10 ture, wherein the first and second metal oxide precursor compounds include an oxidizing agent and a fuel; and annealing the thin film to convert the first and second metal oxide precursor compounds into a metal oxide lattice. Various solution processing techniques known in the art 15 can be used to deposit the metal oxide-polymer blend thin film. For example, the depositing step can be carried out by spin-coating, spray-coating, slot-coating, drop-casting, zone casting, dip coating, blade coating, rod coating, or stamping. In other embodiments, the depositing step can be carried out 20 by printing, including inkjet printing and various contact printing techniques (e.g., screen-printing, gravure printing, offset printing, pad printing, lithographic printing, flexographic printing, and microcontact printing). To ensure good film quality and compatibility with different solution pro- 25 cessing techniques, it is important that the precursor composition has tunable viscosities. In this regard, the addition of electrically insulating polymers to the metal oxide precursor composition also provides a means to adapt the precursor composition to the viscosity ranges appropriate for 30 a particular solution process. Specifically, for metal oxide precursor compositions without any polymers, the viscosity of the formulation entirely depends on the solvent viscosity given the metal salt content typically is at a very low concentration. By adding the present electrically insulating 35 polymer, the viscosity of the formulation can be increased by, for example, using polymers with chains that are more likely to entangle, increasing the polymer concentration, and/or using polymers that have a higher molecular weight. For instance, more rigid polymers such as crystalline and 40 high T_g polyolefins can provide a higher viscosity compared to more amorphous and low T_g acrylate polymers. In embodiments where the polymer is PVP, the viscosity of the precursor composition can be increased by increasing the polymer molecular weight, such as, from 25,000 to 300,000 45 g/mol. As described above, using metal oxide precursor compounds that are adapted to undergo combustion reaction can allow the annealing temperature to be less than or about 350° C. In various embodiments, the annealing temperature can 50 oxide. be less than or about 325° C., less than or about 300° C., less than or about 275° C., less than or about 250° C., less than or about 225° C., less than or about 200° C., less than or about 180° C., or as low as about 150° C.

10

pared to conventional multi-hour processes). Specifically, because film growth and annealing take place simultaneously, gas accumulation in the film is more suppressed, and the resulting film has smoother surfaces and smaller pore size when compared to spin-coated films of the same thickness, which can lead to better electronic properties.

To further illustrate, in embodiments where the metal oxide thin film transistor has a bottom gate structure, a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor is formed on the gate dielectric. The gate dielectric can be composed of inorganic (e.g., oxides such as SiO₂, Al₂O₃, or HfO₂; and nitrides such as Si_3N_4), organic (e.g., polymers such as polycarbonate, polyester, polystyrene, polyhaloethylene, polyacrylate), or hybrid organic/inorganic materials. In certain embodiments, the gate dielectric can be a metal oxidepolymer blend thin film prepared according to the present methods. Specifically, the gate dielectric can include an electrically insulating metal oxide and an electrically insulating polymer prepared from a precursor composition including a dielectric metal oxide nanomaterial and/or dielectric metal oxide precursor compounds and an electrically insulating polymer. Exemplary insulating metal oxides include alumina (Al_2O_3) , cerium oxide (CeO_x) , yttrium oxide (Y_2O_3) , titanium oxide (TiO_2) , zirconium oxide (ZrO_2) , hafnium oxide (HfO₂), tantalum oxide (Ta₂O₅), and barium and strontium titanium oxide ((Ba,Sr)TiO₃). The implementation of metal oxide thin film dielectric (whether comprising a pristine metal oxide or a metal oxide-polymer blend) with a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor according to the present teachings can lead to much improved mechanical stress tolerance and semiconductordielectric interface, which can enhance the transistor performance significantly. The gate electrode and the other electrical contacts (source and drain electrodes) independently can be composed of metals (e.g., Au, Ag, Al, Ni, Cu), transparent conducting oxides (e.g., ITO, FTO, IZO, ZITO, GZO, GIO, or GITO), or conducting polymers (e.g., poly(3,4-ethylenedioxythiophene) poly(styrenesulfonate) (PEDOT:PSS), polyaniline (PANI), or polypyrrole (PPy)). In certain embodiments, the gate electrode (and/or source and drain electrodes) of the thin film transistor can be a metal oxidepolymer blend thin film conductor according to the present teachings. For example, the metal oxide thin film transistor can include a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film conductor as the gate electrode, where the metal oxide-polymer blend thin film conductor is composed of a metal oxide lattice and an electrically insulating polymer dispersed therewithin and present at no more than about 20% by weight of the metal The substrate component can be selected from doped silicon, glass, aluminum or other metals alone or coated on a polymer or other substrate, a doped polythiophene, as well as polyimide or other plastics including various flexible plastics. In preferred embodiments, the substrate is a flexible plastic substrate with high transparency. Examples of such flexible substrates include polyesters such as polyethylene terephthalate, polyethylene naphthalate, polycarbonate; polyolefins such as polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride, and polystyrene; polyphenylene sulfides such as polyphenylene sulfide; polyamides; aromatic polyamides; polyether ketones; polyimides; acrylic resins; polymethylmethacrylate, and blends and/or copolymers thereof. In one aspect, the present teachings relate to flexible metal oxide thin film transistors. Such flexible metal oxide thin film transistors can include a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor component, wherein the metal oxide-

In some embodiments, the depositing step and the anneal- 55 ing step can be performed sequentially, and the depositing-annealing cycle can be repeated multiple times to provide the desired film thickness.

In other embodiments, the depositing step and the annealing step can be performed simultaneously, for example, by 60 spray-coating. Unlike conventional methods such as spincoating which often require multiple cycles of deposition and post annealing steps to avoid gas buildup and undesirable microstructural features in the oxide thin films if a certain thickness is desired (e.g., >10 nm), spray-coating can 65 achieve a film thickness greater than about 40 nm in a fraction of an hour without sacrificing film quality (com-

11

polymer blend thin film semiconductor component includes an amorphous semiconducting metal oxide and an electrically insulating polymer, and wherein the flexible metal oxide thin film transistor is characterized by a charge carrier mobility of at least about 0.04 cm²/Vs and is capable of retaining at least 50% of said charge carrier mobility after 100 cycles of bending and relaxing. The bending can involve bending the flexible metal oxide thin film transistor to a radius ranging from about 5 mm to about 15 mm. Particu-¹⁰ larly, the amorphous semiconducting metal oxide can be selected from α -In₂O₃, α -IZO, α -ZTO, α -IGO, α -IGZO, α -SnO₂, α -NiO, α -Cu₂O, and α -ZnO, and the electrically insulating polymer can be selected from polyethyleneimine 15 (PEI), poly(allylamine), polyvinylamine, poly(4-vinyl phenol) (PVP), poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), poly(vinyl butyral) (PVB), polystyrene (PS), poly(methyl methacrylate) poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (PMMA), and (p-HEMA), and copolymers thereof. The flexible metal oxide thin film transistors also can include a dielectric component which is an amorphous metal oxide (e.g., Al_2O_3) optionally doped with an electrically insulating polymer. The flexible metal oxide thin film transistors can further 25 include one or more conductor components (e.g., gate and/or source and drain electrodes) that are composed of an amorphous metal oxide (e.g., ZITO) optionally doped with an electrically insulating polymer. The flexible metal oxide thin film transistors can include a flexible plastic substrate. The entire device can be transparent; for example, characterized by an optical transmittance of about 70% or greater in the visible range.

12

oxide (MO) thin film transistors (TFTs), bottom-gate topcontact TFTs were fabricated on rigid silicon substrates as follows.

Doped silicon with a 300 nm thermal SiO₂ layer (p+ Si/300 nm SiO₂ wafers, WRS Materials; solvent cleaned and then treated with an oxygen plasma for 5 minutes) were used as the gate electrode and dielectric layer, respectively. The channel layer, consisting of a poly(4-vinylphenol) (PVP)doped (x % by weight) In_2O_3 thin film blend, was deposited by spin-coating (at 3500 rpm for 30 seconds) an In_2O_3 precursor/PVP blend solution $[In_2O_3 \text{ precursor: } x \% PVP;$ x=1-20% by weight] onto the 300 nm SiO_2/Si substrates, followed by annealing on a hot plate at processing temperatures (T_a) of either about 225° C. or about 250° C. for 30 minutes. This process was repeated 4 times to achieve the desired thickness (about 18 nm for pristine In_2O_3 films, and about 18 to 23 nm for MO:polymer blended films depending on the contents of PVP). The devices were completed with thermally evaporated 40 nm Al as source and drain electrodes (channel length L=50 μ m, width W=1000 μ m, respectively). The In₂O₃ precursor/PVP blend solution was prepared as follows. First, an In₂O₃ precursor solution was prepared by dissolving indium(III) nitrate hydrate, $In(NO_3)_3.xH_2O_3$, (177.4 mg) in 2-methoxyethanol (10 ml), followed by addition of NH₄OH (55 μ L) and acetylacetone (100 μ L) and stirring overnight. Separately, a polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of PVP (M_w25000) in 2-methoxyethanol and stirring overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml PVP solution. To prepare the In₂O₃ precursor/PVP blend (MO:polymer) solution, different amounts of the PVP solution was added into the In_2O_3 precursor solution to achieve a PVP weight fraction content (x %) from 1% to 20%. After addition, the MO:polymer solutions were stirred for 4 h before spin-coating. TFT characterization was performed under ambient conditions on a custom probe station using an Agilent 1500 semiconductor parameter analyzer. The charge carrier mobility μ was evaluated in the saturation region with the conventional MOSFET model by equation (1):

Polymer-doped metal oxide thin film transistors accord- 35

ing to the present teachings can be used to enable flat panel display and flexible display backplane technologies, with arrays of the TFTs acting as either drivers and/or switches. In addition, the metal oxide-polymer blend thin films according to the present teachings can be used in optical, 40 electronic, and optoelectronic devices other than thin film transistors. For example, the present metal oxide-polymer blend thin films can be used as transparent conducting metal oxides in light-emitting devices; and as electrodes or interfacial layers (e.g., hole-transport layer (HTL) or electron- 45 transport layer (ETL)) in bulk-heterojunction (BHJ-OPV) or dye-sensitized (DSSC)) photovoltaic devices.

In addition to thin film transistors and thin film photovoltaic devices, the present metal oxide-polymer blend thin films can be embodied within devices such as sensors, 50 capacitors, flexible circuits, ring oscillators, and the like.

While the above description specifically refers to metal oxide-polymer blend thin films, the present teachings can be applied analogously to semiconducting or conducting metal chalcogenides such as various metal sulfides, selenides, and 55 tellurides.

The following examples are provided to illustrate further and to facilitate the understanding of the present teachings and are not in any way intended to limit the invention.

$$I_{DS} = (WC_i/2L)\mu(V_{GS} - V_T)^2$$
(1)

where C_i is the capacitance per unit area of insulator, V_T is the threshold voltage, and V_{GS} is gate voltage. W and L are channel width and length, respectively.

Representative transfer $I_{DS}-V_{GS}$ characteristics for

Example 1

Polymer-Doped MO Thin Film Transistors on SiO₂/Si Substrates

In this preliminary study to investigate how polymer content affects charge transport in polymer-doped metal

selected devices processed at 225° C. and 250° C. are shown in FIGS. 1 and 2, respectively. FIG. 3 compares the carrier mobility (μ, square) and threshold voltage (V_T, circle) for polymer-doped In₂O₃ TFTs having different PVP concentrations, processed at 225° C. and 250° C., respectively. FIG.
4 contrasts representative transfer I_{DS}-V_{GS} and output I_{DS}-V_{DS} characteristics of (a) pristine In₂O₃ TFTs versus (b) polymer-doped In₂O₃ TFTs with 5% PVP. Table 1 below summarizes the key TFT performance parameters.

13

TABLE 1

Performance metrics^{*a*} for MO:PVP TFTs with different concentrations of PVP.

| T _a TFT | PVP Doping (%) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| (° C.) Performance | 0 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 20 |
| 225 μ (cm ² V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) V_T (V) I_{on}/I_{off} 250 μ (cm ² V ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) V_T (V) I_{on}/I_{off} | 1.52 ± 0.06 2.8 ± 2.4 $10^{4} - 10^{5}$ 3.20 ± 0.10 -12.9 ± 1.6 $10^{3} - 10^{4}$ | 1.01 ± 0.06 3.5 ± 2.6 $10^{5} - 10^{6}$ 2.43 ± 0.14 -6.8 ± 1.8 $10^{5} - 10^{6}$ | 0.92 ± 0.07 6.4 ± 3.8 $10^{5} - 10^{6}$ 2.12 ± 0.11 1.2 ± 1.7 $10^{6} - 10^{7}$ | 0.54 ± 0.05 22.7 ± 3.5 $10^{5} \cdot 10^{6}$ 1.49 ± 0.09 14.5 ± 2.4 $10^{4} \cdot 10^{5}$ | 0.04 ± 0.02 28.6 ± 4.9 $10^{5} - 10^{6}$ 0.16 ± 0.08 25.1 ± 3.5 $10^{5} - 10^{6}$ |

^{*a*}Each device metric is the average of a minimum of 15 devices.

Typical n-channel TFT behavior was observed for V_{GS} =80 V and V_{DS} =80 V operation with all the tested devices. Referring to Table 1, pristine In₂O₃ TFTs exhibit the highest mobility (μ), with μ ~1.52 cm²/Vs at T_a=225° C. and threshold voltage (V_T) shifted negatively and the off-currents were quite high (in the range of 10^{-8} A to 10^{-6} A). This is likely due to the high carrier concentrations arising from the Fermi level proximity to the conduction band minimum (CBM), and thus, it is difficult to deplete the carriers in these 25 polycrystalline In₂O₃ films.

For the polymer-doped devices, it can be seen from FIG. 3 that as the PVP concentration increased from 1% to 20%, charge carrier mobility decreased (square plot), while V_T shifted to the positive direction (circle plot), and the off- 30 current dropped. More specifically, for the In_2O_3 :1% PVP devices and the In_2O_3 :5% PVP devices, the mobilities were quite high $(In_2O_3:1\% PVP \text{ devices: } \mu=1.01 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}, V_T=3.5)$ V, $I_{off} = 10^{-9}$ A for $T_a = 225^{\circ}$ C.; p = 2.43 cm²/Vs, $V_T = -6.8$ V, $I_{off} = 10^{-9}$ A for $T_a = 250^{\circ}$ C.; $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP devices: $p = 0.92^{-35}$

Referring to FIG. 5, it can be seen that the pristine In_2O_3 films are crystalline even when annealed at a temperature as low as 225° C. The low-temperature processing was enabled by the use of redox precursors specifically intended to react μ ~3.20 cm²/Vs at T_a=250° C. Note, however, that the ₂₀ in a combustion reaction (specifically, a metal nitrate salt as the oxidant and acetylacetone as the fuel). When the annealing temperature was increased to 250° C., sharper and stronger Bragg reflections were observed, indicating enhanced film crystallinity. Noticeably, the polycrystalline In_2O_3 films became amorphous when PVP was incorporated to form a blend. Specifically, when the processing temperature is 225° C., it can be seen that incorporating as little as 1% by weight of PVP appears to frustrate crystallization. At a higher annealing temperature (250° C.), the polymer concentration needs to be increased accordingly (e.g., to 5%) by weight or more) to provide an amorphous microstructure. Next, X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements were performed to study the effects of PVP doping on amorphous In_2O_3 :x % PVP film microstructures. XAFS is a powerful tool to probe the local environments around atoms of interest and has been widely used to establish structureproperties correlations for amorphous oxides semiconductors. XAFS and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EX-AFS) experiments were conducted at sector 5BMD at DuPont-Northwestern-Dow Collaboration Assess Team (DND-CAT) at Advanced Photon Source (APS) of Argonne National Laboratory (ANL). A Si (111) double crystal monochromator was used to produce an 8 mm (horizontal) by 0.6 mm (vertical) beam. The incident beam energies were tuned to near the In K edge (27940 eV) to measure XAFS spectra. All films were measured by using the grazing incidence EXAFS mode. Two four-element silicon drift detector (SII) NanoTechnology) was used to collect the fluorescence signals. The reference powders were uniformly spread on Scotch tape (3M Corp.) and measured through transmission mode by using ionization chamber (Oxford Danfysik). XAFS spectra were extracted and normalized by using 55 ATHENA software packages. The intrinsic loss factors were obtained by modeling of the reference powders for each absorbing atom, and were fixed when fitting the films data. The bond distances, coordination numbers, Debye-Waller factors were obtained by the FEFF simulations using a cluster of the bixbyite structure with a radius of 6 Å centered on the absorbing atom (In). All the normalized absorption coefficient $\chi(k)$ were Fourier transformed using a Hanning window over the specified k-range and fit in R-space with k-weight 3. Using data obtained from the EXAFS measurements, information such as coordination number (CN), bond length, and Debye-Waller factor could be obtained from equation 2,

14

 cm^{2}/Vs , $V_{T}=6.4 V$, $I_{off}=10^{-9}-10^{49} A$ for $T_{a}=225^{\circ} C$; p=2.12 cm^2/Vs , $V_T = 1.2 V$, $I_{off} = 10^{-9} \cdot 10^{10} A$ for $T_a = 250^{\circ} C$.). Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, the V_T and I_{off} enhancements and slight decrease in p values may be $_{40}$ ascribed to carrier concentration modulation from PVPinduced electron traps.

By comparison, further increase of the PVP concentration to 10% significantly lowered the electron mobility to 0.54 cm^{2}/Vs at 225° C. and 1.49 cm^{2}/Vs at 250° C. for the 45 $In_2O_3:10\%$ PVP devices, then another order of magnitude lower for the In_2O_3 :20% PVP devices (μ =0.04 cm²/Vs at 225° C. and μ =0.16 cm²/Vs at 250° C.). Without wishing to be bound by any particular theory, these results may be attributed to the formation of large densities of electron traps 50 arising from the large quantities of residual OH groups as well as the disruption of conductive pathways (which is supported by EXAFS data, infra).

Example 2

Characterization of Polymer-Doped MO Semiconducting Films

To investigate how the incorporation of polymer affects 60 the microstructure of MO semiconducting films, grazing incidence X-ray diffraction (GIXRD) experiments were carried out for different In_2O_3 :x % PVP blend films using a Rigaku ATX-G Thin Film Diffraction Workstation using Cu $k\alpha$ radiation coupled to a multilayer mirror. Representative 65 GIXRD plots for these polymer/MO blend films annealed at 225° C. and 250° C. are shown in FIG. 5.

(2)

15

$$\chi(k) = \sum_{i} \frac{N_{i}(\theta) |f_{i}(k)| S_{0}^{2}}{kR_{i}^{2}} \sin[2R_{i}k + \phi_{i}(k)] e^{\frac{-2R_{j}}{\lambda(k)}} e^{-2\sigma_{i}^{2}k^{2}}$$

16

energy M-O-M peak, M-OH, and highest energy M-OR peak areas to the total O1s peak area are summarized in Table 2 below.

where $\chi(k)$ is the normalized absorption coefficient; S_0^2 is the intrinsic loss factor;

is the attenuation factor related to the electron mean free path $\lambda(k)$; N_i and R_i are the CN and bond distance of the ith 15 shell of the absorbing atom, respectively; $f_i(k)$ and $\phi_i(k)$ are the backscattering amplitude and the phase shift; $e^{-2\sigma_i^2 k^2}$ is the Debye-Waller factor. The Fourier transform of $\chi(k)$ can generate the pseudo-radial distribution function (p-RDF) around the central atoms. 20 Specifically, pristine In₂O₃ (F), In₂O₃:1% PVP (1%), and In₂O₃:5% PVP (5%) films processed at 250° C. were analyzed by EXAFS at the indium K edge. FIGS. 6 and 7 compare the p-RDFs and fitting results from these three films along with those from an In_2O_3 reference powder 25 (from Aldrich) (B) with a two-shell model and fitting range of k=3~11 Å⁻¹. The first shell CNs of the In—O In₂O₃:polymer films are 5.7 for In₂O₃:1% PVP and 5.6 for $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP, showing slight under-coordination versus CN=6 for In_2O_3 powder and film samples. For the second 30 shell, CN decreases more significantly, from 6 in the In_2O_3 powder and 5.08 in the pristine In_2O_3 film to 4.05 in In_2O_3 :1% PVP, and 3.81 in In_2O_3 :5% PVP. The reduced CN for the first and second shells and the blends agree with the XRD data above that crystallization is frustrated by PVP addition. It is known that in metal ion-doped In_2O_3 films, the introduction of secondary metal ions such as Zn and Ga also increases local disorder and suppresses film crystallinity. This is evident in the 40 p-RDF data showing that the In—O polyhedra remain relatively intact (in the 1^{st} shell, the CN~6) but the In-M coordination is significantly reduced, while from the XAFS results (CN~6 in the 1^{st} shell and reduction of 2^{nd} shell, higher Debye-Waller factor of 2^{nd} shell), it appears 45 that a similar mechanism operates in all the In_2O_3 :x % PVP blends, where the polymer chains disrupt oxide lattice formation at the nanoscale grain level rather than at the atomic level. Accordingly, these data substantiate that appropriate polymer incorporation can promote the amor- 50 phous state of MO semiconducting films but also can allow a sufficient density of connected M-O polyhedra for efficient charge transport. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was next utilized to better understand the chemical/structural and local 55 bonding differences between the various In_2O_3 -based films. XPS measurements (In 3d, and O 1s signals) were monitored with an Omicron ESCA Probe (Omicron Nanotechnology, Taunusstein, Germany) on In_2O_3 :x % PVP/SiO₂ film samples after surface cleaning. FIG. 8 shows the O1s spectra for In_2O_3 for various PVP compositions, ranging from 0.0% to 20. The oxygen 1s (O 1s) spectra indicate three different oxygen environments: condensed M-O-M lattice species at 529.9±0.1 eV; bulk and surface metal hydroxide (M-OH) species at 531.3±0.1 eV; 65 and weakly bound (M-OR) species, i.e., H₂O or CO₂ at 532.2±0.1 eV. Data of the O1s subpeak ratios for the lowest

| 5 | TABLE 2 |
|----|---|
| | The percentage of different oxygen 1 s (529.9 \pm |
| | 0.1 eV: M—O—M lattice oxygen; 531.3 ± 0.1 |
| | eV: M—OH metal hydroxide oxygen; 532.2 ± 0.1 |
| | eV: M—OR weakly oxygen species) from deconvolution |
| 10 | analysis under the indicated temperatures. |
| | |

| Dop | ping percentage | 0 | 1% | 5% | 10% | 20% | _ |
|---------|-----------------|------|------|------|------|------|---|
| 225° C. | М—О—М (%) | 52.6 | 38.7 | 38.1 | 38.6 | 35.4 | - |
| | М—ОН (%) | 28.2 | 34.7 | 39.3 | 39.8 | 40.8 | |
| | M—OR (%) | 19.2 | 26.6 | 22.6 | 21.4 | 23.8 | |
| 250° C. | М—О—М (%) | 56.7 | 56.3 | 49.6 | 45.5 | 40.2 | |
| | M—OH (%) | 24.4 | 26.9 | 34.7 | 37.9 | 43.1 | |
| | M—OR (%) | 18.9 | 16.8 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 16.7 | |
| | | | | | | | |

Referring to Table 2, it can be seen that M-O-M lattice species decreases from 52.6% to 35.4% as the PVP content increases from 0.0% to 20% for 225° C. processing, and from 56.7% to 40.2% for 250° C. processing. It is generally accepted that the charge transport in MO semiconductors relies on extensive M-O-M lattice network formation, while M-OH species and weakly bond species M-OR can act as electron traps. Note that greater M-O-M lattice content in In_2O_3 also reflects higher crystallinity in most cases.

However, while sizeable M-O-M content in MO films is essential for efficient charge transport, the electrical performance of crystalline MO films may suffer from electrical inhomogeneity, structural defects, and film cracking on flexible substrates, caused by grain boundaries. Furthermore, the edge sharing In-O₆ octahedra structural nature of increased Debye-Waller factor in the In_2O_3 : polymer 35 In_2O_3 can result in poor control of carrier densities, leading to high off-currents in TFT devices. Therefore, an accurate balance between M-O-M and M-OH/M-OR content may help control the carrier densities. Accordingly, an aspect of the present teachings relates to providing MO thin film semiconductors with an optimal polymer content as a compromise between M-O-M content, connectivity between In₂O₃ nano-grains, and mechanical stress tolerance. It has been reported that high-mobility MO semiconducting films that are solution-phase processable at low-temperatures can be achieved using redox precursors that are specifically intended to react in a combustion reaction. See e.g., U.S. Pat. Nos. 8,940,578 and 8,940,579, and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/493,280. To benefit from the use of these precursors, it is important to address whether polymers such as PVP are stable to the highly exothermic combustion process used to form the MO film or whether a substantial amount of oxidation/decomposition byproducts accumulate in the blend. To address these questions, XPS carbon 1s (C 1s) spectra of PVP, In₂O₃, and In₂O₃:PVP blend films were next investigated (FIG. 9). From the literature, the PVP C 1s peak has contributions from aromatic carbon-carbon bonds (~284.5 eV), carbon-carbon single bonds of the polymer backbone 60 (~285.0 eV), and carbon-oxygen single bonds (~286.2 eV), which are identifiable in the pure PVP control samples. In pure In₂O₃ films, only a very weak C 1s feature was observed at a binding energy of ~288.6 eV, which can be attributed to adventitious surface contamination arising from carbon oxides or weakly bound organic species. In contrast, the XPS data of the In_2O_3 :PVP blends suggest that, with the exception of the 1% PVP content sample which falls below

 $⁻²R_{f}$ $e^{\overline{\lambda(k)}}$

17

the current XPS sensitivity limit, the C 1s peaks can be fitted to PVP aromatic, C-C, and C-O bonds and with the intensities increasing with the PVP concentration, suggesting stability of the polymer during and after the MO lattice formation process. These data are comparable to the corre-⁵ sponding spectra (FIG. 9c cf. FIGS. 9a and 9b) obtained from MO films prepared with conventional sol-gel precursors (metal nitrate reacting with an alkoxide without fuel), thus avoiding the combustion process. The results suggest that the PVP macromolecules are largely retained through 10^{10} the 225° C. and 250° C. combustion processing and, equally important, PVP does not act as a fuel in these formulations. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was performed to further probe for any structural changes in the 15 PVP skeleton. FIGS. 10 and 11 show the FTIR spectra of pure PVP and In_2O_3 : PVP blend films after processing at two different temperatures. In all samples, the PVP hydroxyl band diagnostic of H-bonded hydroxyl groups (3360 cm^{-1}) and free hydroxyl groups (3520 cm^{-1}) is identical. In 20 addition to the hydroxyl stretching modes, another characteristic PVP band around 1020 cm⁻¹, assignable to aromatic ring modes, is observed in both pure PVP and in the In₂O₃:PVP blend films, providing further evidence of the PVP oxidative stability. These results can be qualitatively ²⁵ ascribed to the endothermic M-O-M lattice formation acting as heat absorber and the ultra-thin film oxide thickness providing a large radiative area for heat dissipation, which minimizes damage to the PVP polymer. By combining the microstructural data here and the TFT performance results from Example 1, the inventors concluded that a PVP content of less than 20% by weight (but at 1% by weight or more) allows In_2O_3 semiconducting films to have acceptable TFT performance, while having an

18

with the knowledge that high capacitance dielectrics improve metal oxide TFT performance.

Example 4

Transparent, Flexible Polymer-Doped MO TFTs

In the following series of experiments, the inventors achieved high-mobility, transparent, and ultra-flexible thin film transistors (TFT) at processing temperatures as low as 225° C. by solution-processing an amorphous metal oxide thin film (In_2O_3) doped with an insulating polymer (PVP) as the semiconductor component and by replacing Si with AryLiteTM polyester as the substrate and using α -ZITO as both the gate and source/drain electrodes.

Bottom-gate top-contact TFTs were fabricated on ZITOcoated AryLiteTM substrates. FIG. **13** illustrates the structure of these TFT devices. More specifically, a 200 nm thick amorphous $Zn_{0.3}In_4Sn_{0.3}O_3$ (α -ZITO) film was deposited on AryliteTM substrates (100 m) by PLD at room temperature in an O₂ ambient of 7.5 mTorr from dense hot-pressed ZITO-30 (30% In was replaced by Zn: Zn_{0.3}In_{1.4}Sn_{0.3}O₃) targets (25 mm diameter) to function as the gate electrode. Next, an AlO_x gate dielectric (k=9.5, 93 nm thick by profilometry) was deposited on the α -ZITO gate electrode by spin-coating/ annealing of an alumina dielectric precursor solution, which was prepared by dissolving $Al(NO_3)_3 xH_2O$ (937.8 mg) in 2-methoxyethanol to achieve a 0.25 M solution, and stirring overnight. Three cycles of spin-coating/annealing were performed to achieve the desired thickness, and the annealing was performed at 200° C. for 30 minutes. The channel layer, consisting of a poly(4-vinylphenol) (PVP)-doped (x % by weight) In₂O₃ thin film blend, was deposited by spin-coating 35 an In_2O_3 precursor/PVP blend solution $[In_2O_3$ precursor: x % PVP; x=1-20% by weight] onto the AlO_x gate dielectric. Next, the spin-coated films were annealed at about 225° C. or about 250° C. to form the doped-metal oxide (MO) lattice. Finally, α -ZITO source/drain electrodes (150 nm thick) 40 were deposited by PLD through a shadow mask, providing devices with a channel length (L) of about 50 m and a channel width (W) of about 1 mm. The In_2O_3 precursor/PVP blend solution was prepared as follows. First, an In_2O_3 precursor solution was prepared by dissolving indium(III) nitrate hydrate, $In(NO_3)_3.xH_2O_3$ (177.4 mg) in 2-methoxyethanol (10 ml), followed by addition of NH₄OH (55 μ L) and acetylacetone (100 μ L) and stirring overnight. Separately, a polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of PVP (M_{W} ~25000) in 2-methoxyethanol and stirring overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml PVP solution. To prepare the In₂O₃ precursor/PVP blend (MO:polymer) solution, different amounts of the PVP solution was added into the In_2O_3 precursor solution to achieve a PVP weight fraction content (x %) from 1% to 20%. After addition, the MO:polymer solutions were stirred for 4h before spin-coating.

amorphous microstructure. Specifically, a PVP content of 5% by weight would be used in subsequent examples with In_2O_3 films and TFTs.

Example 3

Polymer-Doped MO TFTs with AlO_x Gate Dielectric

To further enhance TFT device performance at low oper- 45 ating voltages, AlO_x was used as the gate dielectric instead of SiO₂. Specifically, TFTs having the structure Si/AlO₂/ $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP/Al were fabricated, i.e., $n^{++}-Si$ was employed as gate electrodes/substrates, a spin-coated 93 nm thick AlO_r film (unit capacitance determined to be 90.5 50) $nF \cdot cm^{-2}$) was used as the gate dielectric layer, $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP was used as the MO semiconductor channel layer, and Al source/drain electrodes (150 nm thick) were deposited by plasma laser deposition (PLD) through a shadow mask, providing devices with a channel length (L) of about 50 m 55 and a channel width (W) of about 1 mm. PLD was achieved with a 248 nm KrF excimer laser having a 25 ns pulse duration and operated at 2 Hz. The 200 mJ/pulse beam was focused onto a 1 mm×2 mm spot size. The target was rotated at about 5 rpm to prevent localized heating. The target- 60 substrate separation was fixed at 10 cm. The resulting Si/AlO_x/In₂O₃:5% PVP/Al TFTs exhibit substantially improved performance compared to those described in Example 1, with $\mu \sim 16.5 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $V_{\tau} \sim 0.6 \text{ V}$, and $I_{on}/I_{off} \sim 10^5$ for annealing at 225° C. (FIG. 12). The 65 performance improvement can be ascribed to the reduction of dielectric/MO semiconductor interfacial traps, and agrees

AryLiteTM was chosen as the substrate due to its good thermal stability and exceptionally high optical transparency. Particularly, optical spectroscopy analysis shows that
the average transmittance values of the AryLiteTM substrate and of the α-ZITO/AryLiteTM film are about 90% and 81% in the visible range (400-700 nm), respectively. Importantly, the average transmittance of a 1.5 cm×1.5 cm TFT array comprising a ZITO/AlO_x/In₂O₃:5% PVP/α-ZITO/AryLite
stack is ~76%, as shown in FIG. 14. An optical image of the corresponding TFT array is shown as the inset of FIG. 14, demonstrating good optical transparency.

19

Using data from optical spectroscopy (specifically, from the tangent line of the optical absorption α versus photon energy curves), the band gap (E_g) of a pure In_2O_3 film (annealed at 225° C.) was determined to be 3.01 eV, which is in agreement with literature values. In_2O_3 blends having 5 a 5% PVP content (In_2O_3 :5% PVP) were found to have a slightly higher E_{g} of ~3.07 eV, probably due to the introduction of the high band gap (>4 eV) polymer.

Because the film morphology of both the dielectric and the semiconductor layers can strongly affect the charge 10 carrier conducting channel of TFT devices, atomic force microscopy (AFM) images were obtained for a first film sample comprising α -ZITO film deposited on an AryLiteTM substrate, a second film sample comprising AlO_x deposited on top of an α -ZITO-coated AryLiteTM substrate, and a third 15 film sample comprising an In_2O_3 :5% PVP blend film on an AlO₁/ α -ZITO/AryLiteTM substrate, each having been annealed at 225° C. The images were taken using a Veeco Dimension Icon scanning Probe Microscope in the tapping mode and reveal that the three film samples have smooth, 20 featureless morphologies that are characterized by σ_{RMS} values of 1.08 nm, 0.78 nm, and 0.87 nm, respectively. Previous reports have stated that the σ_{RMS} of AryLiteTM substrates is ~1.1 nm, and here the smoother films with $\sigma_{RMS} < 1$ nm for both the dielectric and the blend films can be 25 due to the planarization effect of the spin-coating deposition. Next, cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed to investigate the microstructures of the flexible devices in greater detail. Specifically, crosssectional TEM measurements were performed using a 30 JEOL-2100F microscope, with samples prepared directly from actual devices using focused ion beam (FIB) techniques (FEI Helios NanoLab 600). A thin Au layer was locally deposited on $In_2O_{3/5}$ % PVP coated AlO_x/ α -ZITO/ AryLiteTM samples to protect them from damage during the 35 FIB processing. The prepared samples were lifted with an OmniProbe nanomanipulator and transferred to a semispherical Cu TEM grid. FIG. 16 shows a cross-sectional TEM image of a flexible TFT device with the structure AryLiteTM/ α -ZITO/AlO₁/ 40 $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP/Au (T_a=225° C.). It can be seen that the device has well-defined layers, and each layer has uniform thickness across the entire sample area. The amorphous nature of the various MO layers is very important for affording the mechanical flexibility of the TFT 45 device. FIG. 17 shows energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) scans of the same device area shown in FIG. 16 for Al, In, Sn, and Zn. The elemental distribution indicates a continuous layer-by-layer structure. The energy-filtered nano-beam diffraction (EF-NBD) patterns of α -ZITO, AlO_x, 50 and In_2O_3 :5% PVP film all show typical "halo rings" characteristic of amorphous films (FIG. 18). These results corroborate with XRD data (FIG. 19) that both the ZITO and AlO_x films are amorphous after annealing at 225° C. To characterize systematically the mechanical flexibility 55 of the present all-transparent In₂O₃:5% PVP devices, a series of bending tests under controlled conditions were performed (FIG. 20). As comparison, an all-transparent TFT based on pristine In_2O_3 as the semiconductor was also measured. FIG. 21 shows the transfer plots of the In_2O_3 :5% PVP devices (bottom) as well as the control In_2O_3 devices (top) as they were bent at various radii (0 mm, 10 mm, 13 mm, and 15 mm) along a curvature parallel to the channel length. FIG. 22 shows representative output and transfer plots of the 65 In₂O₃:5% PVP devices before and after 100 bending cycles at a radius of 10 mm.

20

FIG. 23 shows the dependence of TFT mobilities against bending radius of both pristine In₂O₃ TFTs and all-amorphous In_2O_3 :5% PVP TFTs. It can be seen clearly that the mobility of pristine In₂O₃ TFT deteriorates significantly from 22.2 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ (before bending) to 0.5 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ as the bending radius is decreased to 10 mm. A large V_T shift from +0.7 V to +1.9 V was also observed. On further decreasing the bending radius to 7 mm, the pristine In_2O_3 TFT no longer exhibits a transistor response. In contrast, TFT devices based on amorphous In₂O₃:5% PVP as the semiconductor exhibit a negligible mobility decline from 10.9 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ to 8.9 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ as the bending radius decreases to 10 mm, along with only a slight V_T shift from +0.6 V to +1.2 V.

FIG. 24 shows the electron mobility of the In_2O_3 :5% PVP devices bent at a radius of 10 mm after up to 100 bending cycles. It can be seen that these devices show excellent mechanical flexibility, with negligible performance decease upon bending at 10 mm even after 100 bending cycles. Moreover, the transfer and output characteristics of In_2O_3 : 5% PVP TFTs almost completely recover when the stain is relaxed, as shown in FIG. 22.

The performance variations upon mechanical stress can be rationalized by analyzing the channel film topology using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Specifically, SEM images (recorded using an FEI Quanta 600 F sFEG ESEM microscope) of 20 nm thick In₂O₃/AryLiteTM and In₂O₃:5% PVP/AryLiteTM films (T*a*=225° C.) after 100 bending cycles at 10 mm radii indicate extensive crack formation and propagation for the polycrystalline In_2O_3 films, whereas the $In_2O_3:5\%$ PVP films remain continuous and uniform.

Example 5

Additional Polymer-Doped MO TFTs

To demonstrate the generality of the present teachings, poly(vinyl butyral) (PVB), poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), and poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (p-HEMA) were used instead of PVP to prepare metal oxide precursor/polymer blend solutions (In_2O_3 :x % polymer, x=1 and 5), which were used to fabricate additional polymer-doped MO TFTs using the same fabrication process and device structure (Si/SiO₂/ In_2O_3 :x % polymer/Al) as described in Example 1. The In_2O_3 :x % PVB devices have the same channel L and W as the In_2O_3 :x % PVP devices. For the devices doped with PVA or p-HEMA, the channel L and W were 200 m and 1000 µm, respectively.

The respective polymer solutions were prepared as follows. For the PVB solution, 100 mg of PVB (M_W ~25000) was dissolved by stirring in 2-methoxyethanol overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml solution. For the PVA solution, 100 mg of PVA (M_{W} ~10000) was dissolved by stirring in warm distilled water (60° C.) overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml solution. For the p-HEMA solution, 100 mg of p-HEMA $(M_W \sim 5000)$ was dissolved by stirring in warm methanol (60° C.) overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml solution.

Representative transfer $I_{DS} - V_{GS}$ characteristics for pristine In₂O₃, In₂O₃₊₁% PVB, and In₂O₃:5% PVB TFT devices processed at 250° C. are shown in FIG. 25. Table 3 below summarizes the key TFT performance parameters.

21

TABLE 3

| | Performance metrics for In ₂ O ₃ TFTs doped with different PVB concentrations. | | | | | |
|----------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| T _a | TFT PVB Doping (%) | | | | | |
| (° C.) | Performance | 0 | 1 | 5 | | |
| 225 | $\begin{array}{l} \mu \; (\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{V}^{-1} \mathrm{s}^{-1}) \\ \mathrm{V}_{T} \left(\mathrm{V} \right) \\ \mathrm{I}_{on} / \mathrm{I}_{off} \end{array}$ | 1.52 ± 0.06 2.8 ± 2.4 10^4 - 10^5 | 0.94 ± 0.08 6.5 ± 5.7 10^{5} - 10^{6} | 0.66 ± 0.08 12.4 ± 4.7 10^{6} - 10^{7} | | |

FIG. 26 shows representative transfer I_DS — V_{GS} characteristics for pristine In_2O_3 (squares), In_2O_3 :5% PVA (triangles), and In_2O_3 :5% p-HEMA (stars) TFT devices processed at 250° C.

22

was added to achieve a 1 wt % PVP content. After addition, the IZO:PVP solution was stirred for 4h before spin-coating. The IZO:1% PVP films were annealed in dry air at 350° C. for 30 min. The following TFT characteristics were observed: $\mu(\text{cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})=0.9-1.3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, \text{ V}_T(\text{V})=-10+5; \text{ I}_{on}/\text{I}_{off}=10^4-10^5.$

For IGZO, In, Ga, and Zn solutions were prepared with $In(NO_3)_3.xH_2O$, $Zn(NO_3)_2.xH_2O$, and $Ga(NO_3)_3.xH_2O$ in 2-methoxyethanol to yield 0.05 M solutions. Next, 55 μ L of $NH_{4}OH$ and 100 µL acetylactone were added to 10 mL of the 10 metal solutions and stirred for 10 h at 25° C. Separately, a polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of PVP $(M_W \sim 25000)$ in 2-methoxyethanol and stirring overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml PVP solution. The metal solutions were combined in the desired molar ratios, followed by addition of the PVP solution to achieve a 1 wt % PVP content. The 15 IGZO: 1% PVP precursor solution was stirred for 2h before spin-coating. For 20 nm thick IGZO TFT devices, the precursor solutions were spin-coated and then annealed for 30 min at 300-400° C.; this was repeated $4 \times$ to achieve the desired thickness. The following TFT characteristics were observed: $\mu(\text{cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})=1.5-3.2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, \text{ V}_T(\text{V})=+1.5-3.2 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ 10-+20; $I_{on}/I_{off}=10^{6}-10^{8}$.

These results show that various electrically insulating polymers can be introduced into the lattice of a metal oxide thin film semiconductor at a low percentage (e.g., around 5% or less) to impart mechanical flexibility while retaining good electronic properties such as satisfactory charge carrier ²⁰ mobility (μ), threshold voltage (V_T), and current on/off ratio (I_{on}/I_{off}).

To demonstrate further the generality of the present teachings, ZnO, IGO (In:Ga=0.90:0.10 mol), ZTO (Zn:Sn=1.00: 1.00 mol), and IGZO (In:Ga:Zn=1.00:0.11:0.29 mol) were ²⁵ used instead of In_2O_3 to prepare metal oxide precursor/ polymer blend solutions with PVP as the polymer (MO: 1% polymer), which were used to fabricate additional polymerdoped MO TFTs using the same fabrication process and device structure (Si/SiO₂/In₂O₃:x % polymer/Al) as 30 described in Example 1. These TFTs had channel L=50 to 200 m and W from 500 to 5000 m, respectively.

For ZnO, Zn and Sn precursor solutions were prepared separately by dissolving 330 mg of Zn(CH₃COO)₂.2H₂O in 10 ml of 2-methoxyethanol, then adding 300 μ L of etha-35 nolamine. Separately, a polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of PVP (M_{W} ~25000) in 2-methoxyethanol and stirring overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml PVP solution. Next, the PVP solution was added to achieve a 1 wt % PVP content. After addition, the ZnO:PVP solution was stirred for 3h before spin-coating. The ZnO: 1% PVP films were annealed in dry air at 350° C. for 1h. The following TFT characteristics were observed: $\mu(\text{cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})=0.4-1.1$ $cm^2 V^{-1} s^{-1}$, $V_T(V) = -13-0$; $I_{on}/I_{off} = 10^4 - 10^6$. For IGO, MO precursor/PVP blend solutions were prepared as follows. In and Ga precursor solutions were pre- 45 pared separately by dissolving $In(NO_3)_3.5H_2O$ (195.4 mg) and Ga(NO₃)₃.5·5H₂O (177.5 mg), respectively, in 10 mL of 2-methoxyethanol, then adding 0.10 mL of acetylacetone. After stirring for 10 minutes, 57 L of 14.5 M NH₃ (aq) was added and the solution was aged for 12 h (In) and 48h (Ga), 50 respectively. Separately, a polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of PVP (M_{W} ~25000) in 2-methoxyethanol and stirring overnight to provide a 10 mg/ml PVP solution. The In and Ga precursor solutions were mixed to achieve an In:Ga molar ratio of 90:10. Next, the PVP solution was added to achieve a 1 wt % PVP content. After addition, the MO:PVP blend solution was stirred for 4h before spin-coating. The IGO:1% PVP films were annealed in dry air at 300° C. for 30 min. The following TFT characteristics were observed: $\mu(\text{cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})=5.5-6.2 \text{ cm}^2$ $V^{-1} s^{-1}, V_T(V) = -20-0; I_{on}/I_{off} = 10^3 - 10^5.$ 60 For ZTO, Zn and Sn precursor solutions were prepared separately by dissolving 164.7 mg of Zn(CH₃COO)₂.2H₂O and 144.2 mg of SnCl₂, respectively, in 5 ml of 2-methoxyethanol, then adding 154 μ L of ethanolamine. Separately, a polymer solution was prepared by dissolving 100 mg of PVP 65

 $(M_{W} \sim 25000)$ in 2-methoxyethanol and stirring overnight to

provide a 10 mg/ml PVP solution. Next, the PVP solution

Example 6

Polymer-Doped MO Conducting Films

Electrically insulating polymers also can be added to a conducting oxide film to afford the MO conductor with enhanced mechanical flexibility. Crystallization was frustrated as observed with MO thin film semiconductors, but surprisingly, the conductivity of the amorphous polymerdoped metal oxide conductor is actually higher than the crystalline pristine metal oxide conducting film.

Specifically, ITO was investigated as the transparent conducting oxide, and PVP was used as the polymer. $In(NO_3)_3$ and $SnCl_2$ were dissolved in 2 methoxyethanol to achieve a 0.1 M solution with In: Sn=9:1 molar ratio. Then, AcAc and NH₄OH were added to form the metal oxide via a combustion reaction. The ITO: polymer solution precursor solutions were prepared by adding an appropriate amount of a PVP solution (see Example 1) to the ITO precursor solution so as to achieve a PVP weight fraction content of 5%. Pristine and polymer-doped ITO films were prepared by spin-coating at 2000 rpm for 30 seconds, followed by annealing for 30 minutes at 250° C., then repeating the spin-coating/annealing cycle multiple times to achieve the desired thickness. FIG. 27 shows XRD plots for pristine ITO and PVPdoped ITO films processed at 250° C. As shown, pristine ITO is crystalline, but with the addition of 5% PVP, the metal oxide conducting film becomes amorphous. Table 4 shows that the ITO:5% PVP film actually is more conductive than the pristine ITO film.

TABLE 4

Four-probe conductivity measurements for ITO and ITO-doped films.

PVP Doping (%)

| ITO | 0 | 5 | _ |
|------------------------------------|----------------|------------|---|
| Conductivity (S cm ⁻¹) | 24.3 ± 4.5 | 57.8 ± 8.4 | - |

Example 7

Polymer-Doped MO Insulating Films

Metal oxide dielectrics such as Al_2O_3 can be made more mechanically flexible by blending them with polymer,

23

thereby further improving the overall mechanical flexibility of the entire TFT array. To illustrate, an alumina dielectric solution was prepared by dissolving precursor Al. $(NO_3)_3$.xH₂O in 2-methoxyethanol to achieve a 0.25 M solution, and then stirred overnight before deposition. The 5 Al₂O₃:polymer precursor solutions were prepared by adding the appropriate amount of a PVP solution (see Example 1) to the Al₂O₃ precursor solution so as to achieve a 5% PVP weight. After addition, these solutions were stirred for 4h before deposition. Pristine Al₂O₃ and Al₂O₃:polymer precursor solutions were spin-coated onto Si substrates and then annealed at 200° C. for 30 minutes. This spin-coating/ annealing process was repeated 3 times. FIG. 28 shows capacitance-voltage (left) and current density (J)-voltage (V) plots for Al₂O₃ and PVP-doped Al₂O₃ films processed at 200° C. Table 5 summarizes the dielectric properties of Al₂O₃ and PVP-doped Al₂O₃ films.

24

mobility μ was evaluated in the saturation region with the conventional MOSFET model by equation (1) provided in Example 1.

PEI doping was found to have a profound influence on the performance of In_2O_3 transistors. FIGS. **29**, **30** and **31** show representative I-V characteristics for the investigated PEIdoped transistors. Referring to FIG. **30**, the transport measurements clearly indicate that the off-current (I_{off}) decreases from ~10⁻⁷ A to ~10⁻¹¹ A when the PEI content increases from 0% to 1.5% and then stabilizes at higher PEI content ($\geq 1.5\%$). Interestingly, the on-current (I_{on}) follows a different behavior than the I_{off} and does not monotonically decreases as the concentration of the polymer dopant

TABLE 5

| Dielectric properties of Al ₂ O ₃ and PVP-doped Al ₂ O ₃ films. | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | PVP Doping (%) | | |
| Al_2O_3 | 0 | 5 | |
| Capacitance nF/cm ² J at 2 V | 95 10 ⁻⁷ | 92 10 ⁻⁷ | |

increases unlike what was observed for PVP doping (c.f. FIGS. 1 and 2). Specifically, I_{on} increases from 1.5×10^{-3} A (0% PEI) to 2.1×10^{-3} A (0.5% PEI) to 3.0×10^{-3} A (1% PEI). Then, the on-current starts to decrease slightly in the region of $1.5\% \sim 3\%$ (from 2.1×10^{-3} A at 1.5% to 5.8×10^{-4} A at 3%) and then falls significantly ($\sim 10^{-5}$ A) for larger PEI contents. Simultaneously, and referring to FIG. **31**, the turn-on voltage shifts to a more positive values from ~ -24 V for the pristine In_2O_3 to $-14 \sim -9$ V for ones with PEI contents of 1% - 1.5%to $\geq +2$ V for larger PEI contents (>4%).

The combined variations of the I-V characteristics result
 ²⁵ in variations of the key TFT performance parameters (Table 6), which were calculated in the saturation region using conventional MOSFET equations.

| Example 8 | | | TABLE | 6 | |
|--|----|-------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | | mance metrics b | ~ 0 | |
| PEI-Doped MO TFTs | | TFTs wit | h different conce | entrations of PEI. | |
| | | PEI concentration | - | TFT performance | |
| Polyethyleneimine (PEI) contains one of the highest den- | | | | | |
| sity of amine groups among all polymers and is known to | 35 | [%] | $\mu \text{ [cm2/Vs]}$ | V_{th} [V] | I_{on}/I_{off} |

exhibit efficient n-doping due to the electron-transfer ability of the tertiary amine groups.

The transport properties of PEI-doped MO TFTs were investigated in bottom-gate top-contact TFTs measured in ambient conditions. Briefly, TFTs were prepared on n++ Si 40 wafers with 300 nm thermally grown SiO₂ serving as gate electrodes and dielectrics, respectively. Before spin-coating, the substrates were cleaned ultrasonically in isopropyl alcohol and oxygen plasma. The channel layer, consisting of an x % by weight PEI-doped In_2O_3 blend (x % PEI: In_2O_3 ; 45 x=0-8%) was deposited from a PEI- In_2O_3 precursor solution via spin-coating at 3000 rpm for 20 s. Next, the spin-coated films were annealed at 250° C. for 30 minutes. This process was repeated three times to achieve the desired film thickness. Finally, 40 nm thick Al source/drain electrodes were 50 deposited by thermal evaporation through a shadow mask (channel length L=100 µm, channel width W=1 mm).

The In_2O_3 precursor/PEI blend solution was prepared as follows. First, an In_2O_3 precursor solution was prepared by dissolving indium(III) nitrate hydrate, $In(NO_3)_3.xH_2O$, 55 (354.8 mg) in 10 mL of high purity deionized water. PEI (Sigma-Aldrich, average M_{μ} ~25,000 by LS, average M_n ~10,000 by GPC, branched) was also dissolved in DI water to form a PEI solution with a concentration of 20 mg/mL. Both solutions were stirred for at least 6 h. Subsequently, the PEI solution was added into the In_2O_3 precursor solution to provide the desired PEI weight fraction content (x %). After addition, the In_2O_3 precursor/PEI blend solutions were stirred for 8h before spin-coating. TFT characterization was performed under ambient conditions on a custom probe station using an Agilent 1500 semiconductor parameter analyzer. The charge carrier

| 0 | 4.18 ± 0.11 | -14.6 ± 1.1 | 10^{4} |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| 0.5 | 6.78 ± 0.39 | -7.6 ± 2.4 | 10 ⁶ |
| 1.0 | 8.37 ± 0.28 | -3.9 ± 2.1 | $10^{6} \sim 10^{7}$ |
| 1.5 | 7.20 ± 0.20 | 2.1 ± 1.8 | 10^{7} |
| 2.0 | 4.52 ± 0.18 | 4.2 ± 2.0 | 10 ⁶ ~10 ⁷ |
| 2.5 | 4.10 ± 0.14 | 5.7 ± 1.3 | 10 ⁶ ~10 ⁷ |
| 3.0 | 3.22 ± 0.13 | 6.8 ± 1.6 | 10 ⁶ ~10 ⁷ |
| 4.0 | 1.51 ± 0.05 | 8.2 ± 1.4 | 10 ⁶ ~10 ⁷ |
| 6.0 | 1.01 ± 0.02 | 12.3 ± 0.8 | 10 ⁶ |

^bEach device metric is the average of a minimum of 10 devices.

As shown in Table 6, the field-effect mobility of In_2O_3 :x % PEI TFTs increases from ~4.2 to ~8.3 cm²/Vs when PEI increases from 0% to 1-1.5%, then decreases back to ~4 cm²/Vs at PEI concentration of 2-2.5% and then falling to ≤ 1 cm²/Vs at >6% PEI concentration. Concurrently, the I_{on}/I_{off} abruptly increases from ~10⁴ (0% PEI) to ~10⁶ (0.5% PEI), maximizes at ~10⁷ at 1.5% PEI, then remaining in the same level (10⁶~10⁷) for the other compositions. Interestingly, V_{th} shifts to a more positive values when the PEI concentration increases, reaching an optimal value of ~0 V for PEI concentrations of 1-1.5%. Thus, PEI doping has a unique influence on the transistor performance; the electron

doping seems to have an enhancing effect while scattering reduces transistor performance. The mechanism of how PEI operates, therefore, appears very different than that observed when doping In_2O_3 with light elements such as Ga and Zn or an amine-free insulating polymer such as PVP, which always results in lower electron mobility when an optimal I_{on}/I_{off} ratio and V_T are obtained (FIG. **32**). Therefore, the above results show that metal oxide transistors incorporating a In_2O_3/PEI blend semiconductor channel layer, where the PEI is present in the In_2O_3 matrix

25

at 0.5%-2.5% by weight, can achieve device performance exceeding those of the pure In_2O_3 matrix. In particular, a mobility higher than $\sim 8 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ was achieved on 300 nm silicon oxide dielectric, while achieving excellent on/off ratio (~10⁷), and near-zero V_{τ}(-3.9 V).

To rationalize the performance improvement of In_2O_3 :x % PEI blends, the surface morphologies of In_2O_3 thin film semiconductors with various PEI content were analyzed through atomic force microscopy (AFM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Specifically, AFM film topographies were imaged with a Veeco Demension Icon scanning Probe Microscope using tapping mode. SEM characterizations were carried out on a Hitachi SU8030 FE-SEM. The AFM and SEM images indicate that all semiconducting films are very smooth, with only a slight increase of the 15 to C=O, C-NR₃⁺ and more complicated carbon-oxygen root-mean-square (rms) roughness from 0.33 nm (0% of PEI) to 0.55 nm (6% of PEI). The SEM images also suggest no obvious phase separation upon PEI doping. The microstructure of the In_2O_3 :x % PEI blends was conducted with grazing incidence X-ray diffraction 20 (GIXRD). The GIXRD measurements were carried out with a Rigaku SmartLab Thin-film Diffraction Workstation using a high intensity 9 kW copper rotating anode x-ray source which is coupled to a multilayer optic. FIG. 33 indicates that the pristine In_2O_3 film is polycrystalline as evidenced by the 25 very sharp and strong reflection at $2\theta = 31.1^{\circ}$ (222) detected along with several weaker reflections at 22.1° (211), 36.0° (400), and 46.3° (431). PEI doping of the In_2O_3 films strongly frustrates crystallization especially for compositions with PEI >1%. After subtraction of the amorphous 30SiO_x peak, the degree of crystallinity (χ_c) of the In₂O₃:x % PEI blends was estimated from the ratio of the area under the crystalline peaks (after subtracting the amorphous peak) to the total area under the X-ray diffraction pattern. The diffraction peaks were fitted with a pseudo-Voigt function 35 of PEI and In_2O_3 : PEI blend films. Amine group peaks at (Gaussian-Lorentzian product). The χ_c of neat $\ln_2 O_3$ is ~70% which decreases to ~50% for 0.5% PEI doping. Importantly, χ_c dramatically decrease to ~9%, 7%, and 4% as the PEI doping increases to 1.5%, 3%, and 6%, respectively. These results show that In_2O_3 :x % PEI films for x 40 %>1% are mostly amorphous. Next, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was utilized to better understand the overall chemical environment for different In₂O₃:PEI compositions. Specifically, XPS was performed on Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi at a base 45 pressure of 4.5×10^{-10} mbar (UHV). XPS spectra were obtained after the surface of the film was etched for about 2 nm to minimize surface contamination. As shown in FIG. 34, the O1s spectra of all the films have similar peak shapes, which can be fitted with three indi- 50 vidual components: (1) M-O-M lattice species at 529.8±0.1 eV, (2) bulk and surface metal hydroxide (M-OH) species at 531.1±0.1 eV, and (3) weakly bound (M-OR) species such as H₂O or CO₂ at 532.2±0.1 eV. Interestingly, as the concentration of PEI increases for 0% to 6%, the oxygen content for 55 each species remain in the same range and amount at ~75-76% for M-O-M, 17-19% for M-OH, and ~5-8% for M-OR. Given sufficient M-O-M lattice formation is essential to achieve good charge transport in metal oxide semiconductors, and PEI-induced amorphous phases contain the 60 same amount of M-O-M lattice (more than 70%) as the mostly crystalline In_2O_3 matrix, the XPS data therefore is consistent with the high electron mobilities observed for PEI doped In_2O_3 compositions. To monitor chemical composition changes in In_2O_3 :x % 65 PEI blend films during sol-gel synthesis, further XPS analyses were carried out. Specifically, PEI is known to start

26

decomposing at around 250° C. FIG. 35 indicates that while the neat In₂O₃ films do not contain any traceable amounts of carbon nor nitrogen, PEI doping both at low (1-1.5%) or high concentrations (6%) results in clearly detectable N 1s and C1s peaks. Thus, even after synthesis of In_2O_3 at 250° C., the major elements of PEI are present and not just as a contamination. However, both C 1s and N 1s binding energies shift considerably compared to pristine PEI. From the literature, the PEI C 1s peak at 285.5 eV is assigned to C—C and C—N bonds whereas the additional peak at 287.5 eV might be due to C = O (potentially contributed by chain hydrolysis and/or C—NR₃⁺ due to amine group quaternization. The C 1s peak in the In_2O_3 :PEI blends can be fitted into two peaks at ~285.5 eV and ~289.0 eV, the latter associated bond species. Moreover, this peak intensifies as the PEI concentration increases, suggesting that PEI has strong reaction with oxygen during the annealing process. The N 1s binding energies in PEI are assigned to the C—N bound (399.0 eV) and to strongly adsorbed CO₂ on any of the nitrogen atoms (397.5 eV). The N 1s peak in In_2O_3 :PEI blends exhibit two peaks located at 398.0~399.0 eV and at 403.0~404.0 eV assigned to neutral nitrogen atoms partially coordinating indium cations and to positively charged ammonium nitrogen atoms. These results suggest that backbone PEI components remain within the film and that partial oxidation/quaternization/coordination occur during the annealing process. Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy is also utilized to confirm the existence of substantial amount of amine groups. FT-IR spectra were collected by Nexus 870 spectrometer (Thermo Nicolet) with a single reflection horizontal ATR accessory which having a diamond ATR crystal fixed at incident angle of 45°. FIG. 36 shows the IR spectra 1560 cm^{-1} and $3200 \sim 3400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ are very strong in pure PE film, along with an amide group at 1650 cm^{-1} due to the oxidize of PEI. While neat In_2O_3 only show week resonances at 3300~3500 cm⁻¹ due to the absorbed water in the sample, doped films clearly shows the amine group peaks at $1560 \sim 1640 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $3200 \sim 3400 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. These results indicate that, even after 250° C. annealing, substantial amount of amine groups survived the annealing process. To study the doping ability of PEI on MO films, In_2O_3 TFTs with and without a spin-coated film of PEI on top of the complete device were fabricated and tested (FIG. 37). Note, the electrical conductivity of a PEI film spin-coated on electrical contacts fabricated on Si/SiO_x substrate is negligible, confirming the insulating nature of this polymer. The I-V curves show that PEI-coated TFTs are heavily doped and cannot be turned off, demonstrating that electron transfer from the amine nitrogen to the MO lattice occurs and it is very efficient. Thus, electron doping of In_2O_3 raises the carrier concentration, increasing both off- and on-currents. In the earlier investigated devices where the PEI is intricately incorporated into the MO lattice (not just as an overlying coating), bulk-heterojunction effects are expected and the PEI clearly is affecting the microstructure of the In₂O₃ lattice. Specifically, increasing amounts of PEI are believed to affect charge transport in the In_2O_3 lattice in four ways: (1) Charge transfer—PEI should enhance the carrier concentration, increasing both I_{on} and I_{off} ; (2) Charge scattering—PEI induces elemental film contamination and create charge traps depressing transport; (3) Microstructure— GIXRD experiments clearly suggest that the amorphous portion of the film increases, which should reduce transport efficiency; and (4) Density—Upon PEI doping, the volume

27

fraction of MO will decrease, reducing the carrier density. Thus, as shown in FIGS. **29**, **30** and **31**, doping of PEI will always decrease the I_{off} of the transistor, however, for very small amount of PEI, the I_{on} and the electron mobility increases. Without wishing to be bound by any particular 5 theory, it is believed that these phenomena are due to the fact that small amounts of PEI result in a positive delicate balance between electron transfer, pre-filling of electron traps, and morphology disruption. As shown by XPS data, PEI content does not seem to affect the level of M-O-M 10 lattice in the blend, which is essential for effective electron-transporting paths.

The present teachings encompass embodiments in other specific forms without departing from the spirit or essential characteristics thereof. The foregoing embodiments are 15 therefore to be considered in all respects illustrative rather than limiting on the present teachings described herein. Scope of the present invention is thus indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description, and all changes that come within the meaning and range of 20 equivalency of the claims are intended to be embraced therein.

28

9. The device of claim **1**, wherein the device comprises both a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor and a metal oxide-polymer blend conductor component.

10. The device of claim **1**, wherein the device comprises a metal oxide dielectric component.

11. The device of claim 10, wherein the metal oxide dielectric component comprises a metal oxide lattice and an electrically insulating polymer dispersed therewithin, wherein the metal oxide lattice comprises an electrically insulating metal oxide and the electrically insulating polymer is present at no more than about 20% by weight of the electrically insulating metal oxide.

12. The device of claim 11, wherein the electrically insulating metal oxide is selected from alumina (Al_2O_3) , cerium oxide (CeO_x), yttrium oxide (Y_2O_3), titanium oxide (TiO_2) , zirconium oxide (ZrO_2) , hafnium oxide (HfO_2) , tantalum oxide (Ta_2O_5) , and barium and strontium titanium oxide ((Ba,Sr)TiO₃). **13**. The device of claim **1** configured as a metal oxide thin film transistor, the metal oxide thin film transistor comprising a substrate, a gate electrode, a gate dielectric component in contact with the gate electrode, source and drain electrodes, and a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor component in contact with the gate dielectric component on one side and the source and drain electrodes on an opposite side. 14. The device of claim 13, wherein the gate electrode comprises a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film conductor. **15**. A flexible metal oxide thin film transistor comprising a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor component, wherein the metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor component comprises an amorphous semiconducting metal oxide and an electrically insulating polymer, and wherein the flexible metal oxide thin film transistor is characterized by a charge carrier mobility of at least about $0.04 \text{ cm}^2/\text{Vs}$ and is capable of retaining at least 50% of said charge carrier mobility after 100 cycles of bending and relaxing, said bending comprising bending the flexible metal oxide thin film transistor to a radius ranging from about 5 mm to about 15 mm. 16. The transistor of claim 15, wherein the amorphous semiconducting metal oxide is selected from the group consisting of α -In₂O₃, α -IZO, α -ZTO, α -IGO, α -IGZO, α -SnO₂, α -NiO, α -Cu₂O, and α -ZnO, and the electrically insulating polymer is selected from the group consisting of polyethyleneimine (PEI), poly(allylamine), polyvinylamine, poly(4-vinyl phenol) (PVP), poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), poly(vinyl butyral) (PVB), polystyrene (PS), poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA), poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (p-HEMA), poly[styrene-co-(4-vinylphenol)], poly(4-vinylphenol-co-methyl methacrylate), and polyethylene glycol. **17**. The transistor of claim **15** further comprising a dielectric component comprising an amorphous metal oxide. 18. The transistor of claim 17, wherein the dielectric component comprises an amorphous metal oxide and an electrically insulating polymer.

What is claimed is:

1. An electronic, optical, or optoelectronic device comprising a metal oxide-polymer blend thin film semiconductor ²⁵ or conductor component, said component comprising a metal oxide lattice and an electrically insulating polymer dispersed therewithin, wherein the metal oxide lattice comprises an electrically semiconducting or conducting metal oxide and wherein the electrically insulating polymer is ³⁰ present at no more than about 20% by weight of the electrically semiconducting or conducting metal oxide.

2. The device of claim 1, wherein the electrically insulating polymer has a dielectric constant of between about 2 and about 10. 3. The device of claim 1, wherein the electrically insulating polymer is selected from the group consisting of polyethyleneimine (PEI), poly(allylamine), polyvinylamine, poly(4-vinyl phenol) (PVP), poly(vinyl alcohol) (PVA), poly(vinyl butyral) (PVB), polystyrene (PS), poly(methyl ⁴⁰ methacrylate) (PMMA), poly(2-hydroxyethyl methacrylate) (p-HEMA), poly[styrene-co-(4-vinylphenol)], poly(4-vinylphenol-co-methyl methacrylate), and polyethylene glycol. 4. The device of claim 1, wherein the electrically insulating polymer is present at no more than about 10% by 45 weight of the electrically semiconducting or conducting metal oxide. 5. The device of claim 1, wherein the electrically insulating polymer is present at no more than about 5% by weight of the electrically semiconducting or conducting ⁵⁰ metal oxide.

6. The device of claim **1**, wherein the electrically semiconducting or conducting metal oxide is in the amorphous state.

7. The device of claim 6, wherein the electrically semi-55 conducting metal oxide is selected from the group consisting

19. The transistor of claim 15, further comprising a conductor component comprising a transparent conducting thin film.
20. The transistor of claim 19, wherein the transparent conducting thin film comprises an amorphous metal oxide.

of α -In₂O₃, α -IZO, α -ZTO, α -IGO, α -IGZO, α -SnO₂, α -NiO, α -Cu₂O, and α -ZnO.

8. The device of claim **6**, wherein the electrically conducting metal oxide is selected from the group consisting of 60 α -ITO, α -ZITO, α -GZO, α -AZO, α -SnO₂:F, α -GITO, and α -CdO.

* * * * *