

US009479144B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Kuo

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,479,144 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Oct. 25, 2016**

(54) **EXTENDED RANGE RING OSCILLATOR USING SCALABLE FEEDBACK**

(2013.01); **H03K 3/0231** (2013.01); **H03K 3/03** (2013.01); **H03K 3/356043** (2013.01)

(71) Applicant: **Analog Devices, Inc.**, Norwood, MA (US)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC H03K 3/017; H03K 3/0231; H03K 3/03; H03K 3/356043
USPC 331/57, 177 R, 34; 327/156
See application file for complete search history.

(72) Inventor: **Shawn S. Kuo**, Arlington, MA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Analog Devices, Inc.**, Norwood, MA (US)

(56) **References Cited**

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(21) Appl. No.: **14/068,824**

5,596,302 A 1/1997 Mastrocola et al.
6,717,478 B1 * 4/2004 Kim H03B 5/24 331/46
7,183,864 B1 * 2/2007 Gutnik 331/57
8,004,331 B2 8/2011 Li et al.

(22) Filed: **Oct. 31, 2013**

* cited by examiner

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0266476 A1 Sep. 18, 2014

Primary Examiner — Arnold Kinkead

Related U.S. Application Data

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Patent Capital Group

(60) Provisional application No. 61/790,337, filed on Mar. 15, 2013.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A clock system including a ring oscillator having a plurality of cascaded inverters, each of the cascaded inverters having a pair of inputs coupled to outputs of a respectively adjacent inverter stage and having a pair of outputs coupled to inputs of another respectively adjacent inverter stage, each inverter stage having a common mode control circuit provided therein, and a feedback controller adapted to transmit a control signal to the common mode control circuit of at least one of the inverters.



(51) **Int. Cl.**



H03K 3/03 (2006.01)
H03K 3/356 (2006.01)
H03K 3/0231 (2006.01)
H03K 3/017 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **H03K 3/0322** (2013.01); **H03K 3/017**

20 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets








FIG. 3

300




FIG. 4
400




FIG. 5
500




FIG. 6
600

EXTENDED RANGE RING OSCILLATOR USING SCALABLE FEEDBACK

PRIORITY

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/790,337 filed on Mar. 15, 2013, which is incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND

Many processing systems require generation of clock signals at varying frequencies. Typically, a programmable clock generator includes tuning circuitry to define a clock frequency to be generated by the clock generator. Conventional clock generator designs include a voltage controlled oscillator with system components whose operational current is controlled to provide a clock signal at a desired frequency.

Ring oscillators are the architecture of choice to achieve higher tuning range in clock generators. The most common ways of improving tuning range is by varying the size of capacitors or transconductance elements within control circuits. However, these techniques have associated penalties. Capacitors, for example, are area-intensive devices which consume increasing amounts of area when the clock generators are manufactured in integrated circuits. At the lowest frequencies within the tuning range, the transconductance stages generate a lot of noise compared to the signal resulting in poor jitter performance. Accordingly, the inventor has identified a need in the art to provide a control circuit for clock generators that provide adequate control and mitigate against such penalties.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The accompanying drawings, which are included to provide a further understanding of the disclosure and are incorporated in and constitute a part of this specification, illustrate embodiments of the disclosure and together with the description serve to explain the principles of the disclosure.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a clock system according to an example embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is a model of the loop dynamics of a common mode feedback control system for a clock generator according to an example embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 3 is a circuit diagram of a differential pair according to an example embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4 is a circuit diagram of a cross-point detector according to an example embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 5 illustrates example waveforms illustrating the operation of the cross-point detector of FIG. 3 at various operational states.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram of a clock generator according to an example embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Reference will now be made in detail to embodiments, examples of which are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. In the following detailed description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present invention. However, it will be apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In

other instances, well-known methods, procedures, components, and circuits have not been described in detail so as not to unnecessarily obscure aspects of the embodiments. Wherever possible, like reference numbers will be used for like elements.

Embodiments of the present invention provide an extended range voltage controlled oscillator that includes a common control mode circuit that extends the frequency range of the voltage controlled oscillator. The voltage controlled oscillator may include a differential ring oscillator. Use of a cross control circuit may extend the tuning range of the system to beyond the minimum supported frequency of other designs. Though there are many traditional ways to implement common mode feedback, cross control feedback has a few distinct advantages. The first advantage is clock fidelity and the second is clock jitter.

Typically, common mode feedback control systems are limited by pole location, which is based on RC time constants within the control circuits. Control systems that employ large resistances may involve relatively high levels of noise. Control systems that employ large capacitors involve an area penalty, as discussed. Typically, the oscillator's operating frequency must be at least 10× the pole frequency (1/RC) of the control circuits. The techniques proposed herein, however, may cause the pole location to vary with the oscillator's operational frequency and, as a consequence, may break the 10× limitations of those other designs.

A cross control circuit can keep output signals more differential than traditional common mode feedback methods. Traditional methods measure common mode voltage in continuous time. At low frequency clocks the common mode circuit is measuring a static clock signal that has no information. Therefore, the accuracy of traditional common mode methods degrades as the clock frequency decreases. For cross control circuitry, common mode is only measured during clock transitions therefore accuracy is maintained at all frequencies. This allows the voltage controlled oscillator to implement higher fidelity clocks and/or achieve lower oscillating frequencies than traditional common mode circuits.

Another benefit of the cross control circuit is lower noise, and hence lower jitter, in the voltage controlled oscillator. A cross control circuit only generates an output during clock transitions which are the only times when signal information is present in the clock signals. During the static portion of the output clock, traditional common mode circuits will output a signal that contains no clock information but presents noise to the system.

The circuit may be realized using a differential pair that is actively loaded as the ring oscillator cell. Three or more cells can be put together to generate the ring oscillator. The current source and active load of the ring oscillator cell will be controlled by the voltage controlled oscillator control voltage (input) and cross-control common mode feedback. The cross control circuit may drive an active load or a current source.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of a clock system **100** according to an example embodiment. The clock system may include a differential ring oscillator **110**, a feedback controller **120**, and optional buffer **130**.

As shown in FIG. 1, the differential ring oscillator **110** may include a plurality of cascaded inverters **110.1-110.N**. For example, inverters **110.1-110.N** may be differential inverters, which are supplied input clock signals CLK_{Pi}, CLKN_i from a preceding inverter stage and generate an output clock signals CLK_{Pi+1}, CLKN_{i+1} to a succeeding

stage. A clock output from a final inverter (e.g., inverter **110.3** in the example of FIG. 1) may be input to the first inverter stage **110.1** in the ring oscillator **110** through a feedback loop.

Accordingly, intermediate nodes may be formed between successive stages of the cascaded inverters, each node having corresponding clock signals CLKPi, CLKNi. In some instances, each of the clock signals may be routed from a respective intermediate node to a subsequent receiving circuit, such as a signal processing system.

Each stage of inverters **110.1-110.N** may be configured with a predetermined delay. In some instances, the predetermined delay for each of the inverters INV.1-INV.N may be a unit delay. Alternatively, the predetermined delay for each of the inverters INV.1-INV.N may be variable among the inverters.

In the embodiment of FIG. 1, the ring oscillator **110** is depicted as having three stages, but other implementations may have a greater number of inverter stages to suit individual application needs. Typically, the differential ring oscillator **110** includes at least three stages of inverters **110.1-110.3** connected in a ring. In various embodiments, the number of N cascaded inverters INV.1-INV.N may be implemented using any even or odd number of inverters.

The feedback controller **120** may manage operation of the differential ring oscillator **110**. The output clock signals CLKP, CLKN may be input to feedback controller **120**, which may generate one or more feedback control signals that may be routed back to the inverters **110.1-110.N**. For example, the feedback controller **120** having inputs for clock signals CLKP, CLKN output from the ring oscillator that generates a common mode control signal CMCTRL to the inverters **110.1-110.N**. In addition, the feedback controller **120** may be a cross control block and an active or passive integrator.

Optionally, the clock generator **100** may include a buffer **130** adapted to amplify a clock signal output from the ring oscillator **110**. For example, an amplifier **130**, as shown in FIG. 1, may amplify clock signals CLKP, CLKN output from the ring oscillator **110** before outputting the clock signals from the clock generator **100**. Output clock signals CLKP, CLKN may be taken from the output of one of the inverters in the ring oscillator **110** (e.g., inverter **110.3** in the example of FIG. 1). The ring oscillator **110** or amplifier **130** transmits the (amplified) clock signals to a subsequent receiving circuit, such as a signal processing system.

The ring oscillator **110** may not require a master clock for operation; rather, initialization of the cascaded inverters may generate each of clock signal CLKP, CLKN. The period for each clock signal CLKP, CLKN may be proportional to the number N of cascaded inverter **110.1-110.N** delays present in the ring oscillator **110**. For example, a ring oscillator **110** that includes seven cascaded inverters (e.g., **110.1-110.7**), each with a 1 unit time delay may generate fourteen offset clock signals, each having a period of fourteen units. Each of the fourteen clock signals would be offset from each other by one unit. Here, a “wave” of transitions has to pass through the entire ring to define one period. The first time the wave passes by a given inverter, it causes one state change in the clock signal (e.g., high to low). The second time it passes by, it causes a second state change (low to high). So, seven inverters each with 1 unit of delay causes a period of 14 each offset from each other by 1 unit. In addition, the controller **120** may process the clock signals CLKP, CLKN according to the period of their respective duty cycles.

FIG. 2 illustrates loop dynamics of a common mode feedback control system **200** for a clock generator according to an example embodiment. The system **200** may include an amplifier **210**, a trans-conductance stage **220**, and a filter **230**.

Here, amplifier **210** represents the common mode gain of the ring oscillator **110** and optional amplifier **130**. Trans-conductance stage **220** has as an input coupled to the output of amplifier **210** (i.e., ring oscillator **110** and optional amplifier **130**). The input of trans-conductance stage **220** corresponds to output clock signals CLKP, CLKN and has a trans-conductance that is proportional to the oscillation frequency of the ring oscillator **110**. Filter **230** has an input coupled to the output trans-conductance stage **220** and an output corresponding to the feedback control signal CMCTRL of the feedback controller **120**.

As depicted in FIG. 2, the feedback control system **200** illustrates the use of negative gain to generate negative feedback. This negative sign can be in any of the three blocks or all three blocks as long as the total sum of negative signs is an odd number.

During operation, the integrator **230** will integrate the difference between the target common mode and actual common mode voltage set by the trans-conductance stage **220**. When the actual common mode equals the target common mode, the integrator output will hold steady. The output of ring oscillator **110** will output a differential clock with the proper common mode at this time.

Operation of the feedback control system **200** may proceed iteratively over time. Its loop dynamics may be governed by Black’s formula:

$$H(s) = \frac{1}{1 + A \left(gm(f) \frac{1}{sC} \right)} = \frac{1}{A \cdot gm(f)} \frac{sC}{\frac{C}{A \cdot gm(f)} s + 1}$$

Here, “s” represents frequency in the Laplace Domain, “gm(f)” represents gain of the trans-conductance stage **220** as a function of oscillating frequency “f”, “A” represents the gain of the ring oscillator and optional amplifier **210**, and “C” represents a capacitance of the integrator **230**.

As can be understood from Black’s formula, the pole $A \cdot gm(f)/C$ may move as a function of the oscillating frequency of the feedback system, which, by design, may keep the feedback loop inherently stable.

FIG. 3 is a circuit diagram of a differential pair **300** according to an embodiment of the present invention. The differential pair **300** may find application as an inverter **110.1-110.N** in the ring oscillator of FIG. 1.

As shown in FIG. 3, the differential pair **300** may include a pair of input transistors **310**, **315** each having an input coupled to a respective clock input CLKPi, CLKNi and coupled to a respective output terminal **350**, **355** at their drains. In addition, the differential pair **300** may include a pair of bias transistors **320**, **325**, coupled between a first voltage supply VDD and a respective output terminal **350**, **355** and having a gate respectively coupled to a control voltage VCTRL. A common mode control transistor **330** may be coupled between sources of the input transistors **310**, **315** and a second supply voltage VSS and have an input for a common mode control signal CMCTRL. In some instances, the differential pair **300** may include an optional pair of cross-coupled feedback transistors **340**, each coupled between the first voltage supply VDD and respective output

terminals **350**, **355** and having its gate coupled to a counter-part output terminal **355**, **350**.

The common mode control signals CMCTRL may be supplied by a feedback controller **120** as in FIG. **1**. The VCTRL control signal may be provided by a second control circuit that sets the operational frequency of the ring oscillator, such as the control circuit shown in FIG. **6**.

During operation, the CMCTRL signal may cause the common mode control transistor **330** to operate as a current source, passing a predetermined amount of current between VDD and VSS that is split among two circuit branches: a first circuit branch formed by transistors **310** and **320**, and a second circuit branch formed by transistors **315** and **325**. The VCTRL signal may set a conductance of the transistors **320** and **325**, which may act as load devices on the inverter output terminals **350**, **355**. Thus, the transistors **320**, **325** may determine a speed at which the inverter **300** changes state of output signals CLKPi+1 and CLKNi+1 in response to input signals CLKPi and CLKNi.

With a properly set common mode voltage, the inverter **300** toggles from one output state to another. Consider an example where the inverter **300** is outputting VDD on output terminal **350** (CLKNi+1) and VSS on output terminal **355** (CLKPi+1) in response to previously-asserted input signals CLKPi and CLKNi. When the input signals CLKPi and CLKNi change state, the inverter **300** may transition its output state accordingly. Specifically, output signal CLKPi+1 may transition to VDD and output signal CLKNi+1 may transition to VSS.

When CLKPi transitions to VDD, the input transistor **310** may become conductive, which may cause a voltage at the output terminal **350** to transition from VDD toward VSS. At the same time, the CLKNi input signal may transition to VSS, which may cause the input transistor **315** to become non-conductive. Transistors **320**, **325** and **330** may remain conductive as determined by the VCTRL and CMCTRL signals. Thus, output terminal **350** may be pulled to VSS and output terminal **355** may be pulled to VDD. Doing may cause output signal CLKPi+1 to transition to VDD and output signal CLKNi+1 to transition to VSS.

In an embodiment that includes the feedback transistors **340** and **345**, the cross-coupled transistors **340**, **345** may provide positive feedback to the inverter's response. Continuing with the foregoing example, as output terminal **350** transitions from VDD toward VSS, the transitioning voltage also may cause the feedback transistor **345** to become conductive. The feedback transistor **345** also may pull the output terminal **355** toward VDD in concert with transistor **325**. As the output terminal **355** transitions to VDD, the transitioning voltage at the output terminal **355** may cause the second feedback transistor **340** to become non-conductive.

The common mode control transistor **330** may set transition cross-points of the output signals on the output terminals **350** and **355** at a cross-point voltage that is at an intermediate level between VDD and VSS. The common mode control transistor **330** may be set to a conductance state that varies according to deviations from the transition cross points from an ideal value. If the cross-point voltage of the CLKPi+1 and CLKNi+1 signals are detected to be too low, then the common mode control signal CMCTRL may decrease the conductance of the transistor **330** to increase the cross-point voltage. Similarly, if the cross-point voltage of the CLKPi+1 and CLKNi+1 clock signals are detected to be too high, then the common mode control signal CMCTRL may increase the conductance of the transistor **330** to decrease the cross-point voltage.

As indicated, variations in the VCTRL signal will change the transition speed of the inverter **300** and, by extension, the oscillating frequency of the ring oscillator. The common mode feedback signal CMCTRL will vary accordingly, described in further detail below, which keeps the ring oscillator **110** running in the proper operating range.

The circuit diagram illustrated in FIG. **3** illustrates use of MOS transistors in a circuit configuration in which the VDD voltage is higher than the VSS voltage. The principles of the present invention, however, are not so limited. The inverter **300** may be implemented using other types of transistors, such as bipolar junction transistors (BJTs) or field effect transistors (FETs). Further, the device types may be inverted from the orientation shown in FIG. **3** in other implementations. For example, in the example of FIG. **3**, transistors **310**, **315** and **330** are shown as PMOS transistors and transistors **320**, **325**, **340** and **345** are shown as NMOS transistors. In another implementation, transistors **310**, **315** and **330** may be provided as NMOS transistors and transistors **320**, **325**, **340** and **345** may be provided as PMOS transistors; in such an implementation, the VDD voltage would be lower than the VSS voltage.

FIG. **4** is a circuit diagram of a cross-point detector **400**, according to an example embodiment.

As shown in FIG. **4**, the cross-point detector **400** may include two pairs of series connected transistors **410/420**, **430/440** connected between the first supply voltage VDD and an output terminal **490**, each pair **410/420**, **430/440** having a different device type than the other pair (i.e., PMOS vs. NMOS). The cross-point detector **400** may further include two pairs of series-connected transistors **450/460**, **470/480** connected between the second supply voltage VSS and the output terminal **490**, each pair **450/460**, **470/480** having a different device type than the other pair (i.e., PMOS vs. NMOS).

The cross-point detector **400** may receive differential clock signals CLKP, CLKN, which may be input respectively to one transistor in each transistor pair. For example, FIG. **4** illustrates the CLKP signal as input to transistors **410**, **440**, **460** and **470** while the CLKN signal is input to transistors **420**, **430**, **450** and **480**.

During operation, since differential clock signals are input to series connected transistors **410/420**, **430/440**, **450/460** and **470/480**, the cross-point detector **400** should not conduct current through any circuit branch except at times when the clock signals are in a transitional state. For example, in a MOS environment, when the CLKP signal is at VDD and the CLKN signal is at VSS, the NMOS transistors **420**, **430**, **450** and **480** will be conductive but the PMOS transistors **410**, **440**, **460** and **470** will not be conductive. The same holds true when the CLKP is at VSS and CLKN is at VDD.

However, when the differential clock signals are in their transition region, where neither differential clock signal is fully either at VDD or VSS, all transistors **410-480** may become partially-conductive. Current may flow from VDD and/or VSS to the output terminal **490** in accordance with the conductivity of the transistors **410-480**. Over time, the current output from terminal **490** may reflect a difference between an actual cross-point voltage of CLKP and CLKN and their ideal cross-point voltage. For example, the current may be output to an integrator that may generate the cross-point control voltage to the inverters. In some instances, the current may be passed through an optional buffer in advance of the integrator. Although FIG. **4** depicts an example cross-point detector circuit, it should be understood that alternate configurations may also be implemented.

In an embodiment, the transistors **410-480** may be designed to have size ratios between them to set the ideal cross point voltage at desired levels. For example, the ideal cross-point voltage may be set to the common mode voltage between VDD and VSS ($V_{CM} = \frac{1}{2}(V_{DD} + V_{SS})$). In one implementation, the ratios of the NMOS and PMOS transistors may be set to 0.6 W/0.18 L for NMOS transistors and 0.8 W/0.18 L for PMOS transistors manufactured in a 180 nm process.

FIG. **5** illustrates exemplary waveforms illustrating operation of the cross-point detector of FIG. **3** at various operational states. FIG. **5(a)** illustrates a scenario in which output signals CLKP, CLKN cross each other at a voltage VXLO that is lower than the common mode voltage VCM of the circuit. FIG. **5(c)** illustrates the converse scenario in which the output signals CLKP, CLKN cross each other at a voltage VXHI that is higher than the common mode voltage VCM. FIG. **5(b)** illustrates a scenario in which the circuit is brought into balance, where the output signals CLKP, CLKN cross each other at the common mode voltage VCM.

FIG. **5** also illustrates graph of currents IUP, IDN that flow respectively from the voltage supplies VDD, VSS to the output terminal in each scenario. Current idn represents a current that flows from voltage supply VSS to the output terminal **490** and current IUP represents a current that flows from the voltage supply VDD to the output terminal **490**.

In the scenario illustrated in FIG. **5(a)**, the IUP current is greater than the IDN current. This current may be accumulated in the integrator to generate a corrective control signal to the inverters (FIG. **1**). In the scenario illustrated in FIG. **5(c)**, the IDN current is greater than the IUP current. Again, the current may accumulate in the integrator to generate a corrective control signal to the inverters (FIG. **1**) in opposite polarity to the control signal that would be generated in the FIG. **5(a)** case. In the scenario illustrated in FIG. **5(b)**, the IDN and IUP currents may be balance which may cause no accumulation in the integrator and no further modification to the control signal that is passed to the inverters.

FIG. **6** is a block diagram of a clock generator **600** according to an example embodiment. As shown in FIG. **6**, the clock generator **600** may include a phase or frequency detector **610**, a low pass filter **620**, a ring oscillator **630**, a feedback divider **640**, and an optional clock divider **650**.

The phase and/or frequency detector **610** is used in a feedback loop to drive the ring oscillator **630**. Here, the phase and/or frequency detector **610** compares a reference clock signal to a feedback clock signal and generates an output signal indicating phase and/or frequency differences between the two clock signals. The output of the phase and/or frequency detector **610** is supplied to low pass filter **620** that generates an output signal representing a low frequency components (or a running average) of the output of the phase and/or frequency detector **610**.

The ring oscillator **630** (sometimes called a “voltage controlled oscillator”) generates a clock signal based on the control signal supplied by the low pass filter **620**. Although not shown, the output of ring oscillator **630** may be supplied to a comparator that converts the output of the ring oscillator **630** into a digital signal. The digital signal output of the comparator is provided to divide-by-N feedback divider **640** and optional clock divider **650**.

Feedback divider **640** generates the feedback clock signal derived from the output of the ring oscillator **630**, divided down by a first factor N1 as determined by a first control signal. Similarly, optional clock divider **650** generates a divided output clock from the output of the ring oscillator

630, divided down by a second factor N2 as determined by a second control signal. The values of N1 and N2 may be programmable.

In some instances, a clock divider (not shown) optionally may be provided between the reference clock input and the input to the phase and/or frequency detector **610** and may be controlled by its own divider control signal. Provision of the input-side clock divider and/or the output side clock divider **650** provides an additional degree of control of the operational frequency of the clock signal provided by the clock generator **600**.

The basic principle behind the extended range voltage controlled oscillator is a common mode circuit that extends the frequency range of the voltage controlled oscillator. Though there are many traditional ways to implement common mode feedback, cross control feedback has a few distinct advantages. Among these, the first advantage is clock fidelity and the second is clock jitter.

Cross control can keep the signals more differential than traditional common mode feedback methods. Traditional methods measure common mode in continuous time. At low frequency clocks the common mode circuit is measuring a static clock signal that has no information. Therefore, the accuracy of traditional common mode methods degrades as the clock frequency decreases. For cross control circuitry, common mode is only measured during clock transitions therefore accuracy is maintained at all frequencies. This allows the voltage controlled oscillator to implement higher-fidelity clocks and/or achieve lower oscillating frequencies than traditional common mode circuits.

Although the foregoing description illustrates embodiments of the present invention as applied to a single loop ring oscillator, the principles of the present invention are not so limited. The common mode control techniques may be applied also to multi-loop ring oscillators as well. Indeed, the principles of the present invention may apply to any differential oscillator having circuit stages that require self-biasing.

It will be apparent to those skilled in the art that various modifications and variations can be made in the extended range ring oscillator using scalable feedback of the present invention without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention. Thus, it is intended that the present invention cover the modifications and variations of this invention provided they come within the scope of the appended claims and their equivalents.

The invention claimed is:

1. A clock system, comprising:

a ring oscillator having a plurality of cascaded inverters, each of the cascaded inverters having a pair of inputs coupled to outputs of a respectively adjacent inverter stage and having a pair of outputs coupled to inputs of another respectively adjacent inverter stage, each inverter stage having a common mode control circuit provided therein, and a feedback controller adapted to transmit a control signal to the common mode control circuit of at least one of the inverters, wherein the feedback controller generates the control signal based on a frequency of the ring oscillator.

2. The clock system according to claim 1, further comprising an amplifier provided between the ring oscillator and the feedback controller, outputting the clock signal from the ring oscillator.

3. The clock system according to claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of inverters imposes a respective delay on propagation of a differential clock signal through the ring oscillator.

9

4. The clock system according to claim 3, wherein the delay differs between at least two of the plurality of inverters.

5. The clock system according to claim 1, wherein the feedback controller includes a cross-control circuit.

6. The clock system according to claim 5, wherein the cross-control circuit measures a common mode of the clock signal during clock transitions.

7. The clock system according to claim 5, wherein the cross-control circuit generates an output during clock transitions.

8. The clock system according to claim 5, wherein the cross-control circuit generates the control signal, and the control signal is proportional to the frequency of the ring oscillator.

9. A clock system, comprising:

amplifier stage including ring oscillator having a plurality of cascaded differential inverters, each inverter having a common mode control circuit provided therein;

a trans-conductance stage providing a pair of signals having a trans-conductance that is proportional to an oscillation frequency of the ring oscillator; and

an integrator stage that integrates a difference between a target common mode voltage and a common mode voltage.

10. A method for operating a clock system, comprising: supplying a pair of clock signals from ring oscillator generated by a plurality of cascaded inverters; and controlling a common mode operating point of at least one inverter by:

determining from cross-points of transitions of the clock signals, a deviation of the cross-points from idealized cross-points of those clock signals,

developing a control signal from the determination, wherein the control signal varies based on a frequency of the ring oscillator, and

10

passing the control signal to the at least one inverter as a feedback signal.

11. The method according to claim 10, wherein an amplifier is disposed between the ring oscillator and a feedback controller.

12. The method according to claim 10, wherein each of the plurality of cascaded inverters is configured with a predetermined delay.

13. The method according to claim 12, wherein the predetermined delay differs between at least two of the plurality of inverters.

14. The method according to claim 11, wherein the feedback controller includes a cross-control circuit.

15. The method according to claim 14, wherein the cross-control circuit measures a common mode during clock transitions.

16. The method according to claim 14, wherein the cross-control circuit generates an output during clock transitions.

17. The method according to claim 14, wherein the cross-control circuit generates the control signal, and the control signal is proportional to the frequency of the ring oscillator.

18. The clock system according to claim 1, wherein the common mode control circuit includes a common mode control transistor.

19. The clock system according to claim 9, wherein the common mode voltage has a value that is between a value of a first supply voltage and a value of a second supply voltage.

20. The method according to claim 10, wherein the control signal is developed by integrating a signal representative of the deviation.

* * * * *