

US009418413B1

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Koren et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,418,413 B1**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Aug. 16, 2016**

(54) <b>SYSTEM AND A METHOD FOR AUTOMATIC RECIPE VALIDATION AND SELECTION</b>	7,023,541 B2 * 4/2006 Mizuo et al. .... 356/237.4 7,283,882 B1 10/2007 Bransky et al. 7,383,156 B2 6/2008 Miyakawa et al. 8,290,241 B2 10/2012 Ono et al.
(75) Inventors: <b>Shimon Koren</b> , Haifa (IL); <b>Or Shur</b> , Nesher (IL)	2005/0254045 A1 11/2005 Weiss 2006/0199287 A1 * 9/2006 Fu ..... G01N 21/9501 438/16
(73) Assignee: <b>CAMTEK LTD.</b> , Migdal Haemek (IL)	2008/0226153 A1 * 9/2008 Ono et al. .... 382/141 2009/0226075 A1 * 9/2009 Hiroi et al. .... 382/149 2010/0119144 A1 * 5/2010 Kulkarni et al. .... 382/149 2010/0172570 A1 * 7/2010 Sakai et al. .... 382/151 2011/0164129 A1 7/2011 Regensburger
(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 907 days.	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(21) Appl. No.: <b>12/830,366</b>	TW 200512794 4/2005
(22) Filed: <b>Jul. 5, 2010</b>	TW I244359 11/2005

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 61/223,075, filed on Jul. 6, 2009.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06T 7/00** (2006.01)  
**G01N 21/95** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **G06T 7/001** (2013.01); **G01N 21/9501** (2013.01); **G06T 7/0004** (2013.01); **G06T 7/0006** (2013.01); **G06T 2207/30148** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
None  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,544,256 A *	8/1996	Brecher et al. ....	382/149
6,330,354 B1 *	12/2001	Companion et al. ....	382/150
6,691,052 B1 *	2/2004	Maurer .....	702/81
6,826,298 B1 *	11/2004	O'Dell et al. ....	382/149

Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, Tenth Edition, definition of "distance", 1997.\*

\* cited by examiner

*Primary Examiner* — Allen Chein


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Reches Patents

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A system, a non-transitory computer program product and a method for selecting an inspection recipe, the method includes: (i) obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device; (ii) calculating multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes; and (iii) automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances.

**19 Claims, 4 Drawing Sheets**








FIG. 1




200

FIG. 2



300

FIG. 3



400

FIG. 4

## SYSTEM AND A METHOD FOR AUTOMATIC RECIPE VALIDATION AND SELECTION

### RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims the priority of U.S. provisional patent Ser. No. 61/223,075 and filing date Jul. 6, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference by its entirety.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Wafer defect inspection is a common practice in the semiconductor manufacturing industry as a part of complicated yield analysis and control process. Although every automatic defect detection tool vendor utilizes different inspection principles and methods, all have one concept in common—the inspection recipe (also referred to as an inspection job). The mentioned inspection recipe is created with respect to some kind of representing wafer and is used for every wafer of the same origin (product, process etc.). All wafer inspection tools share the same “setup”→“run” scenario.

Most of the automatic inspection tools face the very same challenges of being invariant to process variation. That means that the same inspection recipe created using one representing wafer is being successfully used for the entire batch of wafers of the same kind.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A method for selecting an inspection recipe, the method may include according to an embodiment of the invention: obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device; calculating multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes; and automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances.

The method may include calculating multiple types of distances, at least three distances or a majority of distances out of: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation.

The method may include generating a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and the reference image; and selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range.

The method may include selecting multiple selected inspection recipes.

The method may include changing the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe.

The structural elements may be coated by a coating material that changes an optical property over time, wherein the plurality of inspection recipes differ from each other by a value of optical property of the coating material they are tuned to.

An inspection system may be provided. According to an embodiment of the invention the system may include: an image obtaining module for obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device; a distance calculator for calculating multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes;

a selecting module for automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances; and a controller for controlling the image obtaining module to apply each of the at least one selected inspection recipe.

The distance calculator may be adapted to calculate multiple types of distances, at least three distances or a majority of distances out of: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation.

The distance calculator may be adapted to generate a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and the reference image; and selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range.

The selecting module may be adapted to select multiple selected inspection recipes.

The distance calculator may be adapted to change the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe.

The structural elements may be coated by a coating material that changes an optical property over time, wherein the plurality of inspection recipes may differ from each other by a value of optical property of the coating material they are tuned to.

A computer program product is provided. It may include, according to an embodiment of the invention, a non-transient computer readable medium that stores instructions for: obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device; calculating multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes; and automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances.

The computer program product may store instructions for calculating multiple types of distances, a majority of distances or at least three distances out of: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation.

The computer program product may store instructions for generating a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and the reference image; and selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range.

The computer program product may store instructions for selecting multiple selected inspection recipes.

The computer program product may store instructions for changing the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe.

The structural elements may be coated by a coating material that changes an optical property over time, wherein the plurality of inspection recipes may differ from each other by a value of optical property of the coating material they are tuned to.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Further details, aspects and embodiments of the invention will be described, by way of example only, with reference to

the drawings. In the drawings, like reference numbers are used to identify like or functionally similar elements. Elements in the figures are illustrated for simplicity and clarity and have not necessarily been drawn to scale.

FIG. 1 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 2 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 3 illustrates a method according to an embodiment of the invention; and

FIG. 4 illustrates an inspection system according to an embodiment of the invention.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The subject matter regarded as the invention is particularly pointed out and distinctly claimed in the concluding portion of the specification. The invention, however, both as to organization and method of operation, together with objects, features, and advantages thereof, may best be understood by reference to the following detailed description when read with the accompanying drawings.

In the following detailed description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the invention. However, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the present invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances, well-known methods, procedures, and components have not been described in detail so as not to obscure the present invention.

The following method, system and computer program product provide a robust and deterministic solution to at least one known type of process variation—global contrast and/or texture and/or reflectance change of the inspected targets on the wafer. The described kind of process variation is commonly encountered at wafer coating “aging” process (the phenomena when a coating liquid changes its optical properties between a first wafer in a batch to the last wafer in the batch).

The wafer coating process can be executed by dipping a wafer in a coating liquid as a part of an electroplating process. The coating liquid can change its optical characteristics (reflection, transparency, absorption) over time—resulting in relatively large changes in the acquired image. Thus, the acquired images of ideally identical structural elements can substantially differ from each other.

The method, system and computer program product provide recipe run-time adaptation and allow robust and reliable recipe selection/validation with respect to currently executed wafer, based on the pre-set criteria. They include executing only “good” inspection recipes out of the stored pool of existing trained inspection recipes.

The method, system and computer program product may select between a number of pre-defined (such as trained) inspection recipes, each one optimized for certain conditions of the wafer. These conditional can be a global reflection of the wafer, contrast values, optical characteristics of a coating liquid and the like.

Each inspection recipe may be optimized to detect defects of a typical image of a wafer related to previously mentioned state of process variation. The inspection system may browse through the mentioned inspection recipes, qualifies it using a combination of various statistical methods versus pre-stored optic metric and decides either to execute or not with respect to minimal match score.

The described comparison and matching technique (unlike other commonly used in the industry) does not base its decision on image features or image specific gray level. The

robustness is achieved by a mentioned dedicated combination of statistical image analysis—stored wafer image reference versus run-time wafer image.

FIG. 1 illustrates a method **100** according to an embodiment of the invention.

Method **100** starts by stage **105** or receiving or generating multiple inspection recipes.

Stage **105** is followed by stage **110** of setting an inspection system according to an inspection recipe. Stage **110** may include applying a user defined optics state—including but not limited to illumination intensity, illumination type, collection set up, and magnification. Stage **110** may include applying recipe’s saved image acquisition state.

Stage **110** is followed by stage **120** of obtaining at least one image. An image that is obtained during stage **120** can be referred to as an acquired image. Stage **120** may include obtaining a sequence of acquired images of the same structural reference (or area) or of different structural elements (or areas) of the wafer.

Stage **120** is followed by stage **130** of evaluating the relationship between each of the at least one acquired images and at least one reference images associated with the inspection recipe that was used to set the inspection system during stage **110**. The evaluation is responsive to at least one predefined match criterion.

Stage **130** may be followed by stage **140** of automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances. The evaluation is responsive to at least one predefined match criterion.

Stage **130** may include comparing at least one acquired image to at least one corresponding reference image.

Stage **130** may include calculating at least one type of distance between a pair of acquired image and reference image. The reference image of each pair is associated with the currently evaluated inspection recipe—the inspection recipe that was used to set the inspection system during stage **110**. The calculating may include performing at least one statistical analysis of pixel values of each pair of acquired image and reference image.

Stage **130** may include using the following algorithms: Simplistic gray level histogram mean, L1 or L2 distribution; Chi-Square distribution; Bhattacharyya distance; Earth-mover’s distance (a.k.a. Wasserstein metric); Swain metric; and Normalized correlation.

Stage **130** is followed by stage **140** of processing the at least one type of distance calculated during stage **130** to provide a match value. The processing may include applying statistical algorithms such as mean, variance, majority weighted sum and the like.

Stage **140** is followed by stage **150** of determining whether the inspection recipe should be executed—based on the match value. The value score can be compared to a match value minimum, match value maximum or to a match value range associated with the currently evaluated inspection recipe.

Stage **150** may be followed by: (i) stage **160** of checking if other inspection recipes should be evaluated or not, (ii) stage **170** of selecting a remaining inspection recipe (if such exists) and jumping to stage **110**, and (iii) stage **180** of executing each inspection recipe that should be executed—after all inspection recipes were validated.

Alternatively, if stage **150** determines to that the inspection recipe should be executed then stage **150** may be followed by stage **190** of executing the inspection recipe. Stage **190** can be followed by a sequence of stages that may include stages **160** and **170**.

## 5

The repetition of the various stages of method **100** may result in: (i) calculating multiple types of distances between an acquired image of a structural element of the wafer and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes; and (ii) automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances.

FIG. **2** illustrates method **200** according to an embodiment of the invention.

Method **200** includes:

- i. Stage **210** of obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device. The structural element can be a bump, a trench, and the like.
- ii. Stage **220** of calculating multiple types of distances between the acquired image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes.
- iii. Stage **230** of generating a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the acquired image of the structural element and the reference image. The structural elements that are imaged may be coated by a coating material that changes an optical property over time and the plurality of inspection recipes may differ from each other by a value of optical property of the coating material they are tuned to.
- iv. Stage **240** of automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances. Stage **240** can be responsive to the match value of each pair of reference image and inspected image; and
- v. Stage **250** of executing each selected inspection recipe.

Stage **220** may include: (a) calculating multiple types of distances, (b) calculating a majority of multiple types of distances, or (c) calculating at least three types of distances out of:

- (i) A difference between gray level histograms,
- (ii) A rectilinear (L1) distance,
- (iii) Euclidian (L2) length,
- (iv) Chi-square distance,
- (v) Bhattacharyya distance,
- (vi) Wasserstein metric,
- (vii) Swain metric, and
- (viii) A normalized correlation.

Using multiple types of distances can assist in providing a robust selection of an inspected recipe. The robustness can be contributed to the different responses of the different types of distances to different types of changes such as process variations, changes in the reflectivity of a coating material and the like. It is noted that other types of distances may be calculated.

Stage **240** can include selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range. The allowable match value range is determined in advance and may be determined by an operator (or other authorized person).

Stage **240** may include selecting multiple selected inspection recipes. Each of these selected inspection recipes should be executed during stage **250**.

After executing one or more iterations of stages **210-260**, method **200** can proceed by stage **270** of updating at least one inspection recipe.

Stage **270** may include changing the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe. Stage **270** can include omitting a previously selected type of distance if that type of distance did not contribute to the selection of the

## 6

selected inspection recipe (if, for example there was a very low correlation between a value of that type of distance and a decision whether to select an associated inspection recipes). Stage **270** can include adding a new type of distance to be calculated during the next iterations of method **200**. Stage **270** may be followed by stage **210**.

Each method out of method **100** and **200** can include a stage of receiving instructions from an operator of the inspection system (or from any other authorized person). These instructions may determine the context of each inspection recipe, may determine which types of distances to calculate, how to calculate the match score and how to select an inspection recipes based on the match score. An instruction can limit the number of selected inspection recipes and can select which structural elements to image during the generation of the reference images and of the obtained images.

FIG. **3** illustrates a stage **105**, according to an embodiment of the invention.

Stage **105** starts by stage **310** of generating at least one inspection recipe.

Stage **310** may include defining a set up (a state) for image acquisition (e.g. selecting optical characteristic for image acquisition, location on a wafer or other validated object, and so forth).

Stage **310** is followed by stage **320** of defining at least one match criterion to be used when determining whether to use the inspection recipe. The match criterion may include the types (or type) of distances to be calculated between an acquired image and a reference image, the manner in which the values of the one or more types of distances are to be processed to provide a match value and values of the match value that will result in selecting the inspection recipe or rejecting it.

Stage **330** is followed by stage **330** of grabbing a reference image—using the selected set up.

Stage **330** is followed by stage **340** of storing the at least one match criterion and the reference image.

Stage **310** may be followed by stage **350** of determining if there is a need to define another inspection recipe. If the answer is positive then stage **350** is followed by stage **310**.

Another inspection recipe can be defined if, for example, only a part of the allowable changes in the optical characteristics of the wafer (or of the coating material) were “covered” by the previously defined inspection recipes.

Stage **350** may include determining to define another inspection recipe in order to comply with known manufacturing change processes (e.g. optical liquids reflection degradation, and so forth).

FIG. **4** illustrates an inspection system **400**, according to an embodiment of the invention.

The inspection system **400** includes:

- (i) an image obtaining module **410** for obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device;
- (ii) a distance calculator **420** for calculating multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes;
- (iii) a selecting module **430** for automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances; and
- (iv) a controller **440** for controlling the image obtaining module to apply each of the at least one selected inspection recipe.

The inspection system **400** can execute methods **100** and **200**. In order to execute method **105** the inspection system **400** it should include recipe generator **450**—as illustrated in FIG. **4**. It is noted that the inspection system **400** may receive



the recipes from another device or system and that the recipe generator **450** can be separate from the inspection system.

The distance calculator **420** and the selecting module **430** can be a part of processor **444**.

The distance calculator **420** may be adapted to calculate multiple types of distances, a majority of types of distances or three or more types of distances out of the following: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation.

The distance calculator **420** may be adapted to generate a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and the reference image; and selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range.

The selecting module **430** may be adapted to select multiple selected inspection recipes.

The distance calculator **420** may be adapted to change the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe.

The image obtaining module **410** can include one or more cameras, optics, and one or more sensors, image grabber (not shown) and an image processor. The image obtaining module **410** can be set into different states in response to information that is stored in different inspection recipes. The different states may differ by their optical parameters (e.g. illumination, focal length), physical parameters (e.g. distance from wafer, exposure time, chemical material used), and so forth.

Either one or methods **100**, **200** and **300** can be executed by a processor (such as processor **444**) that executed instructions. The instructions can be stored in non-transient computer readable medium such as a disk, a tape, a diskette, and the like.

For example, the computer program product may include a non-transient computer readable medium that stores instructions for: obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device; calculating multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes; and automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances.

While certain features of the invention have been illustrated and described herein, many modifications, substitutions, changes, and equivalents will now occur to those of ordinary skill in the art. It is, therefore, to be understood that the appended claims are intended to cover all such modifications and changes as fall within the true spirit of the invention.

We claim:

**1.** A method for selecting an inspection recipe, the method comprises:

obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device;

calculating, by a processor, multiple types of distances between an image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes; wherein the multiple types of distances are calculated by using different algorithms;

wherein a calculation of the multiple types of distances by the distance calculator comprises calculating at least five distances out of a group consisting of: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square dis-

tance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation; wherein different inspection recipes of the plurality of inspection recipes are optimized for different conditions of the semiconductor device; wherein each reference image is associated with an inspection recipe before the obtaining of the image of the structural element; and automatically selecting, by the processor, at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances.

**2.** The method according to claim **1**, wherein the calculating, by the processor, of the multiple types of distances comprises calculating, by the processor, each one out of (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation.

**3.** The method according to claim **1**, comprising generating, by the processor, a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and the reference image; and selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range.

**4.** The method according to claim **1**, comprising selecting, by the processor, multiple selected inspection recipes.

**5.** The method according to claim **1**, comprising changing, by the processor, the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe.

**6.** The method according to claim **1**, wherein the structural elements are coated by a coating material that changes an optical property over time, wherein the plurality of inspection recipes differ from each other by a value of optical property of the coating material they are tuned to.

**7.** The method according to claim **1** wherein the different conditions comprise a global reflection of the semiconductor device.

**8.** An inspection system, comprising:

an image obtaining module that is adapted to obtain an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device; a processor that comprises:

a distance calculator that is adapted to calculate multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images; wherein the multiple types of distances are calculated by using different algorithms;

wherein a calculation of the multiple types of distances by the distance calculator comprises calculating at least five distances out of a group consisting of: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation; wherein the plurality of reference images are obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes; wherein different inspection recipes of the plurality of inspection recipes are optimized for different conditions of the semiconductor device; wherein each reference image is associated with an inspection recipe before the obtaining of the image of the structural element; and

a selecting module that is adapted to automatically select at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances; and

9

a controller that is adapted to control the image obtaining module to apply each of the at least one selected inspection recipe.

9. The system according to claim 8, wherein a calculation of the multiple types of distances by the distance calculator comprises calculating (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation.

10. The system according to claim 8, wherein the distance calculator is adapted to generate a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and the reference image; and selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range.

11. The system according to claim 8, wherein the selecting module is adapted to select multiple selected inspection recipes.

12. The system according to claim 8, wherein the distance calculator is adapted to change the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe.

13. The system according to claim 8, wherein the structural elements are coated by a coating material that changes an optical property over time, wherein the plurality of inspection recipes differ from each other by a value of optical property of the coating material they are tuned to.

14. A computer program product comprising a nontransient computer readable medium that stores instructions that cause a processor to execute a method that comprises:

obtaining an image of a structural element of the semiconductor device;

calculating multiple types of distances between an image of the structural element and each of a plurality of reference images obtained by applying a plurality of inspection recipes;

wherein the multiple types of distances are calculated by using different algorithms;

wherein a calculation of the multiple types of distances comprises calculating at least five distances out of a group consisting of: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian

10

(L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation;

wherein different inspection recipes of the plurality of inspection recipes are optimized for different conditions of the semiconductor device;

wherein each reference image is associated with an inspection recipe before the obtaining of the image of the structural element; and automatically selecting at least one selected inspection recipe out of the plurality of inspection recipes based on values of the multiple types of distances.

15. The computer program product according to claim 14, wherein the calculating of the multiple types of distances comprises calculating each type of distance out of: (i) a difference between gray level histograms, (ii) a rectilinear (L1) distance, (iii) Euclidian (L2) length, (iv) Chi-square distance, (v) Bhattacharyya distance, (vi) Wasserstein metric, (vii) Swain metric, and (viii) normalized correlation.

16. The computer program product according to claim 14, further storing instructions that cause the processor to execute a method that comprises generating a match value for each reference image based on values of the multiple types of distances between the image of the structural element and the reference image; and selecting each inspection recipe associated with a reference image that has a match value within an allowable match value range.

17. The computer program product according to claim 14, further storing instructions that cause the processor to execute a method that comprises selecting multiple selected inspection recipes.

18. The computer program product according to claim 14, further storing instructions that cause the processor to execute a method that comprises changing the type of distances to be calculated based on evaluation results obtained by applying the at least one selected inspection recipe.

19. The computer program product according to claim 14, wherein the structural elements are coated by a coating material that changes an optical property over time, wherein the plurality of inspection recipes differ from each other by a value of optical property of the coating material they are tuned to.

\* \* \* \* \*