



US009342783B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Chang et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,342,783 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **May 17, 2016**

(54) **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DATA VERIFICATION**

5,216,612 A 6/1993 Cornett et al.
5,247,575 A 9/1993 Sprague et al.
5,325,509 A 6/1994 Lautzenheiser
5,341,429 A 8/1994 Stringer et al.

(75) Inventors: **Albert Chia-Shu Chang**, Lake Forest, CA (US); **Gregory Dean Jones**, Irvine, CA (US); **Carolyn Paige Soltes Matthies**, Ladera Ranch, CA (US)

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(73) Assignee: **Consumerinfo.com, Inc.**, Irvine, CA (US)

EP 0 419 889 4/1991
EP 0 458 698 11/1991

(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 831 days.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(21) Appl. No.: **13/620,103**

Chiba, et al., Mobility Management Schemes for Heterogeneity Support in Next Generation Wireless Networks, Next Generation Internet Networks, 3rd EuroNGI Conference on, 2007, pp. 143-150.*

(22) Filed: **Sep. 14, 2012**

(Continued)

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 12/058,632, filed on Mar. 28, 2008, now Pat. No. 8,285,656.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/921,188, filed on Mar. 30, 2007.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06N 5/02 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC . **G06N 5/02** (2013.01); **G06N 5/022** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
None
See application file for complete search history.

Primary Examiner — Wilbert L Starks

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**


Embodiments are directed to data verification of business or consumer data. Certain embodiments include a data verification system that receives or selects data to be verified, selects one or more verification methods to verify, update, and/or append/enhance the data. The data verification system may verify the data with one or more data verification methods, either alone or in combination. The methods may include a web-crawling verification method, an agent web verification method, a call verification method, a direct mail method, an email method, an in-person verification method, or other methods. The system has the ability to, automatically or manually, (1) blend automatic and manual segmentation of records or elements by criteria such as industry type, best times of day/month/year to verify, update, or append, cost, and level of importance (2) select the best verification processing method(s), and (3) manage the results and properly verify, update, append/enhance records.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,163,290 A 7/1979 Sutherland et al.
4,346,442 A 8/1982 Musmanno
4,827,508 A 5/1989 Shear
4,868,570 A 9/1989 Davis
4,935,870 A 6/1990 Burk, Jr. et al.
4,989,141 A 1/1991 Lyons et al.

9 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,528,701	A	6/1996	Aref	6,424,878	B1	7/2002	Barker et al.
5,555,409	A	9/1996	Leenstra, Sr. et al.	6,446,200	B1	9/2002	Ball et al.
5,590,038	A	12/1996	Pitroda	6,448,980	B1	9/2002	Kumar et al.
5,621,201	A	4/1997	Langhans et al.	6,453,353	B1	9/2002	Win et al.
5,628,004	A	5/1997	Gormley et al.	6,457,012	B1	9/2002	Jatkowski
5,629,982	A	5/1997	Micali	6,463,533	B1	10/2002	Calamera et al.
5,630,070	A	5/1997	Dietrich et al.	6,496,819	B1	12/2002	Bello et al.
5,640,551	A	6/1997	Chu et al.	6,496,827	B2	12/2002	Kozam et al.
5,640,577	A	6/1997	Scharmer	6,496,931	B1	12/2002	Rajchel et al.
5,655,129	A	8/1997	Ito	6,505,168	B1	1/2003	Rothman et al.
5,659,731	A	8/1997	Gustafson	6,523,021	B1	2/2003	Monberg et al.
5,666,528	A	9/1997	Thai	6,523,022	B1	2/2003	Hobbs
5,692,107	A	11/1997	Simoudis et al.	6,523,041	B1	2/2003	Morgan et al.
5,737,732	A	4/1998	Gibson et al.	6,543,683	B2	4/2003	Hoffman
5,739,512	A	4/1998	Tognazzini	6,564,210	B1	5/2003	Korda et al.
5,748,098	A	5/1998	Grace	6,574,623	B1	6/2003	Laung et al.
5,754,632	A	5/1998	Smith	6,574,736	B1	6/2003	Andrews
5,754,939	A	5/1998	Herz et al.	6,581,059	B1	6/2003	Barrett et al.
5,765,143	A	6/1998	Sheldon et al.	6,601,173	B1	7/2003	Mohler
5,768,423	A	6/1998	Aref et al.	6,601,234	B1	7/2003	Bowman-Amuah
5,774,692	A	6/1998	Boyer et al.	6,647,383	B1	11/2003	August et al.
5,778,405	A	7/1998	Ogawa	6,651,220	B1	11/2003	Penteroudakis et al.
5,797,136	A	8/1998	Boyer et al.	6,658,393	B1	12/2003	Basch et al.
5,812,840	A	9/1998	Shwartz	6,708,166	B1	3/2004	Dysart et al.
5,819,291	A	10/1998	Haimowitz et al.	6,714,944	B1	3/2004	Shapiro et al.
5,822,750	A	10/1998	Jou et al.	6,725,381	B1	4/2004	Smith et al.
5,822,751	A	10/1998	Gray et al.	6,738,748	B2	5/2004	Wetzer
5,825,884	A	10/1998	Zdepski et al.	6,748,426	B1	6/2004	Shaffer et al.
5,828,837	A	10/1998	Eikeland	6,750,985	B2	6/2004	Rhoads
5,832,068	A	11/1998	Smith	6,754,665	B1	6/2004	Futagami et al.
5,835,915	A	11/1998	Carr et al.	6,766,327	B2	7/2004	Morgan, Jr. et al.
5,844,218	A	12/1998	Kawan et al.	6,766,946	B2	7/2004	Iida et al.
5,881,131	A	3/1999	Farris et al.	6,782,379	B2	8/2004	Lee
5,893,090	A	4/1999	Friedman et al.	6,804,346	B1	10/2004	Mewhinney
5,905,985	A	5/1999	Malloy et al.	6,804,701	B2	10/2004	Muret et al.
5,930,764	A	7/1999	Melchione et al.	6,816,871	B2	11/2004	Lee
5,956,693	A	9/1999	Geerlings	6,845,448	B1	1/2005	Chaganti et al.
5,960,430	A	9/1999	Haimowitz et al.	6,850,895	B2	2/2005	Brodersen et al.
5,961,593	A	10/1999	Gabber et al.	6,868,389	B1	3/2005	Wilkins et al.
5,963,932	A	10/1999	Jakobsson et al.	6,910,624	B1	6/2005	Natsuno
5,966,695	A	10/1999	Melchione et al.	6,928,487	B2	8/2005	Eggebraaten et al.
6,014,688	A	1/2000	Venkatraman et al.	6,934,714	B2	8/2005	Meinig
6,038,551	A	3/2000	Barlow et al.	6,947,989	B2	9/2005	Gullotta et al.
6,070,147	A	5/2000	Harms et al.	6,950,858	B2	9/2005	Ogami
6,070,241	A	5/2000	Edwards et al.	6,954,757	B2	10/2005	Zargham et al.
6,072,894	A	6/2000	Payne	6,976,056	B1	12/2005	Kumar
6,073,106	A	6/2000	Rozen et al.	6,983,379	B1	1/2006	Spalink et al.
6,073,140	A	6/2000	Morgan et al.	6,983,478	B1	1/2006	Grauch et al.
6,078,892	A	6/2000	Anderson et al.	6,985,887	B1	1/2006	Sunstein et al.
6,085,242	A	7/2000	Chandra	6,999,941	B1	2/2006	Agarwal
6,119,103	A	9/2000	Basch et al.	7,003,504	B1	2/2006	Angus et al.
6,121,901	A	9/2000	Welch et al.	7,006,614	B2	2/2006	Feinberg et al.
6,128,602	A	10/2000	Northington et al.	7,016,907	B2	3/2006	Boreham et al.
6,128,624	A	10/2000	Papierniak et al.	7,028,001	B1	4/2006	Muthuswamy et al.
6,144,957	A	11/2000	Cohen et al.	7,028,052	B2	4/2006	Chapman et al.
6,151,601	A	11/2000	Papierniak et al.	7,035,699	B1	4/2006	Anderson et al.
6,157,707	A	12/2000	Baulier et al.	7,035,855	B1	4/2006	Kilger et al.
6,157,927	A	12/2000	Schaefer et al.	7,039,176	B2	5/2006	Borodow et al.
6,161,139	A	12/2000	Win et al.	7,039,607	B2	5/2006	Watarai et al.
6,178,442	B1	1/2001	Yamazaki	7,043,476	B2	5/2006	Robson
6,202,053	B1	3/2001	Christiansen et al.	7,043,531	B1	5/2006	Seibel et al.
6,223,171	B1	4/2001	Chaudhuri et al.	7,047,251	B2	5/2006	Reed et al.
6,253,202	B1	6/2001	Gilmour	7,050,982	B2	5/2006	Sheinson et al.
6,256,630	B1	7/2001	Gilai et al.	7,050,989	B1	5/2006	Hurt et al.
6,263,334	B1	7/2001	Fayyad et al.	7,062,475	B1	6/2006	Szabo et al.
6,263,337	B1	7/2001	Fayyad et al.	7,065,566	B2	6/2006	Menard et al.
6,275,824	B1	8/2001	O'Flaherty et al.	7,069,240	B2	6/2006	Spero et al.
6,304,869	B1	10/2001	Moore et al.	7,076,475	B2	7/2006	Honarvar
6,311,169	B2	10/2001	Duhon	7,082,435	B1	7/2006	Guzman et al.
6,321,205	B1	11/2001	Eder	7,092,898	B1	8/2006	Mattick et al.
6,339,769	B1	1/2002	Cochrane et al.	7,124,144	B2	10/2006	Christianson et al.
6,366,903	B1	4/2002	Agrawal et al.	7,133,935	B2	11/2006	Hedy
6,393,406	B1	5/2002	Eder	7,136,448	B1	11/2006	Venkataperumal et al.
6,397,197	B1	5/2002	Gindlesperger	7,155,739	B2	12/2006	Bari et al.
6,405,173	B1	6/2002	Honarvar et al.	7,167,907	B2	1/2007	Shaffer et al.
				7,184,974	B2	2/2007	Shishido
				7,185,016	B1	2/2007	Rasmussen
				7,188,169	B2	3/2007	Buus et al.
				7,194,416	B1	3/2007	Provost et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

7,200,602 B2	4/2007	Jonas	7,689,505 B2	3/2010	Kasower
7,219,107 B2	5/2007	Beringer	7,698,163 B2	4/2010	Reed et al.
7,222,369 B2	5/2007	Vering et al.	7,698,445 B2	4/2010	Fitzpatrick et al.
7,228,284 B1	6/2007	Vaillancourt et al.	7,707,059 B2	4/2010	Reed et al.
7,234,160 B2	6/2007	Vogel et al.	7,707,271 B2	4/2010	Rudkin et al.
7,240,059 B2	7/2007	Bayliss et al.	7,711,635 B2	5/2010	Steele et al.
7,243,369 B2	7/2007	Bhat et al.	7,730,078 B2	6/2010	Schwabe et al.
7,246,067 B2	7/2007	Austin et al.	7,739,139 B2	6/2010	Robertson et al.
7,249,048 B1	7/2007	O'Flaherty	7,742,982 B2	6/2010	Chaudhuri et al.
7,251,625 B2	7/2007	Anglum	7,747,480 B1	6/2010	Agresta et al.
7,272,591 B1	9/2007	Ghazal et al.	7,747,559 B2	6/2010	Leitner et al.
7,277,900 B1	10/2007	Ganesh et al.	7,752,236 B2	7/2010	Williams et al.
7,315,837 B2	1/2008	Sloan et al.	7,756,789 B2	7/2010	Welker et al.
7,328,233 B2	2/2008	Salim et al.	7,765,166 B2	7/2010	Beringer et al.
7,333,976 B1	2/2008	Auerbach et al.	7,765,311 B2	7/2010	Itabashi et al.
7,340,679 B2	3/2008	Botscheck et al.	7,769,696 B2	8/2010	Yoda
7,346,703 B2	3/2008	Cope	7,774,270 B1	8/2010	MacCloskey
7,356,516 B2	4/2008	Richey et al.	7,783,515 B1	8/2010	Kumar et al.
7,366,694 B2	4/2008	Lazerson	7,788,040 B2	8/2010	Haskell et al.
7,367,011 B2	4/2008	Ramsey et al.	7,792,715 B1	9/2010	Kasower
7,370,044 B2	5/2008	Mulhern et al.	7,797,252 B2	9/2010	Rosskamm et al.
7,373,335 B2	5/2008	Cleghorn et al.	7,797,725 B2	9/2010	Lunt et al.
7,376,603 B1	5/2008	Mayr et al.	7,801,812 B2	9/2010	Conlin et al.
7,383,215 B1	6/2008	Navarro et al.	7,801,956 B1	9/2010	Cumberbatch et al.
7,383,988 B2	6/2008	Slonecker, Jr.	7,810,036 B2	10/2010	Bales et al.
7,395,273 B2	7/2008	Khan et al.	7,814,005 B2	10/2010	Imrey et al.
7,403,942 B1	7/2008	Bayliss	7,818,228 B1	10/2010	Coulter
7,421,322 B1	9/2008	Silversmith et al.	7,818,229 B2	10/2010	Imrey et al.
7,421,442 B2	9/2008	Gelb et al.	7,832,006 B2	11/2010	Chen et al.
7,424,439 B1	9/2008	Fayyad et al.	7,836,111 B1	11/2010	Shan
7,433,864 B2	10/2008	Malik	7,841,008 B1	11/2010	Cole et al.
7,451,113 B1	11/2008	Kasower	7,844,604 B2	11/2010	Baio et al.
7,460,857 B2	12/2008	Roach, Jr.	7,853,518 B2	12/2010	Cagan
7,467,127 B1	12/2008	Baccash et al.	7,877,304 B1	1/2011	Coulter
7,467,401 B2	12/2008	Cicchitto	7,908,242 B1	3/2011	Achanta
7,475,118 B2	1/2009	Leiba et al.	7,912,865 B2	3/2011	Akerman et al.
7,478,157 B2	1/2009	Bohrer et al.	7,930,195 B2	4/2011	Heyns et al.
7,483,842 B1	1/2009	Fung et al.	7,930,242 B2	4/2011	Morris et al.
7,505,938 B2	3/2009	Lang et al.	7,970,679 B2	6/2011	Kasower
7,529,698 B2	5/2009	Joao	7,975,299 B1	7/2011	Balducci et al.
7,533,179 B2	5/2009	Tarquini et al.	7,983,932 B2	7/2011	Kane
7,536,346 B2	5/2009	Aliffi et al.	7,991,901 B2	8/2011	Tarquini et al.
7,543,739 B2	6/2009	Brown et al.	7,996,912 B2	8/2011	Spalink et al.
7,546,266 B2	6/2009	Beirne et al.	8,001,153 B2	8/2011	Skurtovich, Jr. et al.
7,546,271 B1	6/2009	Chmielewski et al.	8,001,235 B2	8/2011	Russ et al.
7,552,089 B2	6/2009	Bruer et al.	8,005,759 B2	8/2011	Hirtenstein et al.
7,556,192 B2	7/2009	Wokaty, Jr.	8,024,264 B2	9/2011	Chaudhuri et al.
7,559,217 B2	7/2009	Bass	8,027,871 B2	9/2011	Williams et al.
7,562,093 B2	7/2009	Gelb et al.	8,037,097 B2	10/2011	Guo et al.
7,562,184 B2	7/2009	Henmi et al.	8,060,424 B2	11/2011	Kasower
7,562,814 B1	7/2009	Shao et al.	8,065,233 B2	11/2011	Lee et al.
7,571,138 B2	8/2009	Miri et al.	8,065,264 B1	11/2011	Achanta
7,571,473 B1	8/2009	Boydstun et al.	8,078,453 B2	12/2011	Shaw
7,577,934 B2	8/2009	Anonsen et al.	8,095,458 B2	1/2012	Peterson et al.
7,584,146 B1	9/2009	Duhon	8,099,341 B2	1/2012	Varghese
7,587,366 B2	9/2009	Grim, III et al.	8,104,679 B2	1/2012	Brown
7,593,889 B2	9/2009	Raines et al.	8,127,986 B1	3/2012	Taylor et al.
7,596,512 B1	9/2009	Raines et al.	8,131,777 B2	3/2012	McCullough
7,596,716 B2	9/2009	Frost et al.	8,135,607 B2	3/2012	Williams et al.
7,603,701 B2	10/2009	Gaucas	8,161,104 B2	4/2012	Tomkow
7,606,725 B2	10/2009	Robertson et al.	8,175,889 B1	5/2012	Girulat, Jr. et al.
7,613,600 B2	11/2009	Krane	8,195,549 B2	6/2012	Kasower
7,630,989 B2	12/2009	Weir et al.	8,201,257 B1	6/2012	Andres et al.
7,634,737 B2	12/2009	Beringer et al.	8,214,238 B1	7/2012	Fairfield et al.
7,647,344 B2	1/2010	Skurtovich, Jr. et al.	8,224,723 B2	7/2012	Bosch et al.
7,653,592 B1	1/2010	Flaxman et al.	8,234,498 B2	7/2012	Britti et al.
7,653,688 B2	1/2010	Bittner	8,271,313 B2	9/2012	Williams et al.
7,668,725 B2	2/2010	Alston	8,271,378 B2	9/2012	Chaudhuri et al.
7,668,840 B2	2/2010	Bayliss et al.	8,280,805 B1	10/2012	Abrahams et al.
7,672,833 B2	3/2010	Blume et al.	8,285,656 B1	10/2012	Chang et al.
7,672,865 B2	3/2010	Kumar et al.	8,296,229 B1	10/2012	Yellin et al.
7,672,924 B1	3/2010	Scheurich et al.	8,312,033 B1	11/2012	McMillan
7,672,926 B2	3/2010	Ghazal et al.	8,321,339 B2	11/2012	Imrey et al.
7,676,751 B2	3/2010	Allen et al.	8,321,952 B2	11/2012	Spalink et al.
7,685,209 B1	3/2010	Norton et al.	8,326,672 B2	12/2012	Haggerty et al.
			8,355,967 B2	1/2013	Debie et al.
			8,364,518 B1	1/2013	Blake et al.
			8,386,377 B1	2/2013	Xiong et al.
			8,392,334 B2	3/2013	Hirtenstein et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,458,074	B2	6/2013	Showalter	2002/0198824	A1	12/2002	Cook
8,463,919	B2	6/2013	Tarquini et al.	2003/0002671	A1	1/2003	Inchalik et al.
8,468,198	B2	6/2013	Tomkow	2003/0009418	A1	1/2003	Green et al.
8,478,674	B1	7/2013	Kapczynski et al.	2003/0018549	A1	1/2003	Fei et al.
8,515,828	B1	8/2013	Wolf et al.	2003/0018578	A1	1/2003	Schultz
8,515,862	B2	8/2013	Zhang et al.	2003/0023531	A1	1/2003	Fergusson
8,560,434	B2	10/2013	Morris et al.	2003/0041050	A1	2/2003	Smith et al.
8,560,436	B2	10/2013	Ingram et al.	2003/0046311	A1	3/2003	Baidya et al.
8,566,141	B1	10/2013	Nagdev et al.	2003/0050882	A1	3/2003	Degen et al.
8,572,083	B1	10/2013	Snell et al.	2003/0069839	A1	4/2003	Whittington et al.
8,583,593	B1	11/2013	Achanta	2003/0069943	A1	4/2003	Bahrs et al.
8,606,666	B1	12/2013	Courbage et al.	2003/0097342	A1	5/2003	Whittington
8,639,616	B1	1/2014	Rolenaitis et al.	2003/0097380	A1	5/2003	Mulhern et al.
8,639,920	B2	1/2014	Stack et al.	2003/0101111	A1	5/2003	Dang et al.
8,705,718	B2	4/2014	Baniak et al.	2003/0101344	A1	5/2003	Wheeler et al.
8,725,613	B1	5/2014	Celka et al.	2003/0105728	A1	6/2003	Yano et al.
8,738,515	B2	5/2014	Chaudhuri et al.	2003/0105733	A1	6/2003	Boreham
8,738,516	B1	5/2014	Dean et al.	2003/0105742	A1	6/2003	Boreham et al.
8,768,914	B2	7/2014	Scriffignano et al.	2003/0115133	A1	6/2003	Bian
8,775,299	B2	7/2014	Achanta et al.	2003/0153299	A1	8/2003	Perfit et al.
8,781,953	B2	7/2014	Kasower	2003/0158776	A1	8/2003	Landesmann
8,782,217	B1	7/2014	Arone et al.	2003/0163513	A1	8/2003	Schaeck et al.
8,818,888	B1	8/2014	Kapczynski et al.	2003/0171942	A1	9/2003	Gaito
8,954,459	B1	2/2015	McMillan et al.	2003/0191731	A1	10/2003	Stewart et al.
8,966,649	B2	2/2015	Stack et al.	2003/0195859	A1	10/2003	Lawrence
8,972,400	B1	3/2015	Kapczynski et al.	2003/0200151	A1	10/2003	Ellenson et al.
2001/0000536	A1	4/2001	Tarin	2003/0204429	A1	10/2003	Botscheck et al.
2001/0011245	A1	8/2001	Duhon	2003/0212654	A1	11/2003	Harper et al.
2001/0029470	A1	10/2001	Schultz et al.	2003/0220858	A1	11/2003	Lam et al.
2001/0029482	A1	10/2001	Tealdi et al.	2003/0229892	A1	12/2003	Sardera
2001/0037332	A1	11/2001	Miller et al.	2004/0006488	A1	1/2004	Fitall et al.
2001/0039532	A1	11/2001	Coleman, Jr. et al.	2004/0010458	A1	1/2004	Friedman
2001/0049274	A1	12/2001	Degraeve	2004/0015714	A1	1/2004	Abraham et al.
2001/0049620	A1	12/2001	Blasko	2004/0015715	A1	1/2004	Brown
2002/0004736	A1	1/2002	Roundtree et al.	2004/0019518	A1	1/2004	Abraham et al.
2002/0010664	A1	1/2002	Rabideau et al.	2004/0019799	A1	1/2004	Vering et al.
2002/0010701	A1	1/2002	Kosciuszko	2004/0030649	A1	2/2004	Nelson et al.
2002/0013827	A1	1/2002	Edstrom et al.	2004/0044673	A1	3/2004	Brady et al.
2002/0026507	A1	2/2002	Sears et al.	2004/0052357	A1	3/2004	Logan et al.
2002/0026519	A1	2/2002	Itabashi et al.	2004/0083215	A1	4/2004	de Jong
2002/0033846	A1	3/2002	Balasubramanian et al.	2004/0088237	A1	5/2004	Moenickheim et al.
2002/0038312	A1	3/2002	Donner et al.	2004/0088255	A1	5/2004	Zielke et al.
2002/0049701	A1	4/2002	Nabe et al.	2004/0098625	A1	5/2004	Lagadec et al.
2002/0049738	A1	4/2002	Epstein	2004/0103147	A1	5/2004	Flesher et al.
2002/0052884	A1	5/2002	Farber et al.	2004/0107250	A1	6/2004	Marciano
2002/0069122	A1	6/2002	Yun et al.	2004/0111359	A1	6/2004	Hudock
2002/0077964	A1	6/2002	Brody et al.	2004/0117358	A1	6/2004	Von Kaenel et al.
2002/0082892	A1	6/2002	Raffel et al.	2004/0122696	A1	6/2004	Beringer
2002/0091650	A1	7/2002	Ellis	2004/0128150	A1	7/2004	Lundegren
2002/0091706	A1	7/2002	Anderson et al.	2004/0128156	A1	7/2004	Beringer et al.
2002/0099628	A1	7/2002	Yakaoka et al.	2004/0128230	A1	7/2004	Oppenheimer et al.
2002/0099824	A1	7/2002	Bender et al.	2004/0133509	A1	7/2004	McCoy et al.
2002/0099936	A1	7/2002	Kou et al.	2004/0133513	A1	7/2004	McCoy et al.
2002/0103809	A1	8/2002	Starzl et al.	2004/0133515	A1	7/2004	McCoy et al.
2002/0103933	A1	8/2002	Garon et al.	2004/0139025	A1	7/2004	Coleman
2002/0111816	A1	8/2002	Lortscher et al.	2004/0141005	A1	7/2004	Banatwala et al.
2002/0111910	A1	8/2002	Walsh	2004/0143482	A1	7/2004	Tivey et al.
2002/0120757	A1	8/2002	Sutherland et al.	2004/0143546	A1	7/2004	Wood et al.
2002/0128962	A1	9/2002	Kasower	2004/0143596	A1	7/2004	Sirkin
2002/0131565	A1	9/2002	Scheuring et al.	2004/0153330	A1	8/2004	Miller et al.
2002/0133504	A1	9/2002	Vlahos et al.	2004/0153448	A1	8/2004	Cheng et al.
2002/0138297	A1	9/2002	Lee	2004/0153521	A1	8/2004	Kogo
2002/0138470	A1	9/2002	Zhou	2004/0167793	A1	8/2004	Masuoka et al.
2002/0143943	A1	10/2002	Lee et al.	2004/0176995	A1	9/2004	Fusz
2002/0147801	A1	10/2002	Gullotta et al.	2004/0193538	A1	9/2004	Raines
2002/0156676	A1	10/2002	Ahrens et al.	2004/0199456	A1	10/2004	Flint et al.
2002/0156797	A1	10/2002	Lee et al.	2004/0199789	A1	10/2004	Shaw et al.
2002/0161496	A1	10/2002	Yamaki	2004/0204948	A1	10/2004	Singletary et al.
2002/0161664	A1	10/2002	Shaya et al.	2004/0220865	A1	11/2004	Lozowski et al.
2002/0165757	A1	11/2002	Lisser	2004/0220896	A1	11/2004	Finlay et al.
2002/0169747	A1	11/2002	Chapman et al.	2004/0220918	A1	11/2004	Scriffignano et al.
2002/0173984	A1	11/2002	Robertson et al.	2004/0221043	A1	11/2004	Su et al.
2002/0184255	A1	12/2002	Edd et al.	2004/0225594	A1	11/2004	Nolan, III et al.
2002/0188544	A1	12/2002	Wizon et al.	2004/0225596	A1	11/2004	Kemper et al.
2002/0198800	A1	12/2002	Shamrakov	2004/0225643	A1	11/2004	Alpha et al.
				2004/0230527	A1	11/2004	Hansen et al.
				2004/0230534	A1	11/2004	McGough
				2004/0243588	A1	12/2004	Tanner et al.
				2004/0254935	A1	12/2004	Chagoly et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2004/0267714	A1	12/2004	Frid et al.
2005/0005168	A1	1/2005	Dick
2005/0010513	A1	1/2005	Duckworth et al.
2005/0010555	A1	1/2005	Gallivan
2005/0027983	A1	2/2005	Klawon
2005/0027995	A1	2/2005	Menschik et al.
2005/0038737	A1	2/2005	Norris
2005/0044036	A1	2/2005	Harrington et al.
2005/0049991	A1	3/2005	Aggarwal
2005/0055231	A1	3/2005	Lee
2005/0058262	A1	3/2005	Timmins et al.
2005/0060332	A1	3/2005	Bernstein et al.
2005/0065809	A1	3/2005	Henze
2005/0071328	A1	3/2005	Lawrence
2005/0080821	A1	4/2005	Breil et al.
2005/0091164	A1	4/2005	Varble
2005/0097039	A1	5/2005	Kulcsar et al.
2005/0102180	A1	5/2005	Gailey et al.
2005/0108041	A1	5/2005	White
2005/0108396	A1	5/2005	Bittner
2005/0108631	A1	5/2005	Amorin et al.
2005/0113991	A1	5/2005	Rogers et al.
2005/0114335	A1	5/2005	Wesinger, Jr. et al.
2005/0114344	A1	5/2005	Wesinger, Jr. et al.
2005/0114345	A1	5/2005	Wesinger, Jr. et al.
2005/0131760	A1	6/2005	Manning et al.
2005/0137899	A1	6/2005	Davies et al.
2005/0144452	A1	6/2005	Lynch et al.
2005/0154664	A1	7/2005	Guy et al.
2005/0154665	A1	7/2005	Kerr
2005/0154769	A1	7/2005	Eckart et al.
2005/0171859	A1	8/2005	Harrington et al.
2005/0187948	A1	8/2005	Monitzer et al.
2005/0192008	A1	9/2005	Desai et al.
2005/0208461	A1	9/2005	Krebs et al.
2005/0209922	A1	9/2005	Hofmeister
2005/0226224	A1	10/2005	Lee et al.
2005/0246338	A1	11/2005	Bird
2005/0257250	A1	11/2005	Mitchell et al.
2005/0262158	A1	11/2005	Sauermann
2005/0273849	A1	12/2005	Araujo et al.
2005/0288998	A1	12/2005	Verma et al.
2006/0004731	A1	1/2006	Seibel et al.
2006/0015425	A1	1/2006	Brooks
2006/0020611	A1	1/2006	Gilbert et al.
2006/0029107	A1	2/2006	McCullough et al.
2006/0032909	A1	2/2006	Seegar
2006/0036543	A1	2/2006	Blagg et al.
2006/0036748	A1	2/2006	Nusbaum et al.
2006/0041464	A1	2/2006	Powers et al.
2006/0041500	A1	2/2006	Diana et al.
2006/0041670	A1	2/2006	Musseleck et al.
2006/0059110	A1	3/2006	Madhok et al.
2006/0064340	A1	3/2006	Cook
2006/0074986	A1	4/2006	Mallalieu et al.
2006/0074991	A1	4/2006	Lussier et al.
2006/0079211	A1	4/2006	Degraeve
2006/0080233	A1	4/2006	Mendelovich et al.
2006/0080251	A1	4/2006	Fried et al.
2006/0085361	A1	4/2006	Hoerle et al.
2006/0085454	A1	4/2006	Blegen et al.
2006/0106668	A1	5/2006	Kim et al.
2006/0129419	A1	6/2006	Flaxer et al.
2006/0129422	A1	6/2006	Kim et al.
2006/0136330	A1	6/2006	DeRoy et al.
2006/0136595	A1	6/2006	Satyavolu
2006/0143695	A1	6/2006	Grynberg
2006/0149674	A1	7/2006	Cook et al.
2006/0155573	A1	7/2006	Hartunian
2006/0155780	A1	7/2006	Sakairi et al.
2006/0161435	A1	7/2006	Atef et al.
2006/0161554	A1	7/2006	Lucovsky et al.
2006/0179050	A1	8/2006	Giang et al.
2006/0184440	A1	8/2006	Britti et al.
2006/0184585	A1	8/2006	Grear et al.
2006/0195688	A1	8/2006	Drissi et al.
2006/0218407	A1	9/2006	Toms
2006/0229799	A1	10/2006	Nimmo et al.
2006/0229943	A1	10/2006	Mathias et al.
2006/0229961	A1	10/2006	Lyftogt et al.
2006/0235935	A1	10/2006	Ng
2006/0242047	A1	10/2006	Haggerty et al.
2006/0253323	A1	11/2006	Phan et al.
2006/0253358	A1	11/2006	Delgrosso et al.
2006/0265259	A1	11/2006	Diana et al.
2006/0271457	A1	11/2006	Romain et al.
2006/0271472	A1	11/2006	Cagan
2006/0276171	A1	12/2006	Pousti
2006/0277089	A1	12/2006	Hubbard et al.
2006/0277092	A1	12/2006	Williams
2006/0277141	A1	12/2006	Palmer
2006/0282359	A1	12/2006	Nobili et al.
2006/0282429	A1	12/2006	Hernandez-Sherrington et al.
2006/0282819	A1	12/2006	Graham et al.
2006/0288090	A1	12/2006	Kraft
2006/0293979	A1	12/2006	Cash et al.
2006/0294199	A1	12/2006	Bertholf
2007/0011020	A1	1/2007	Martin
2007/0022141	A1	1/2007	Singleton et al.
2007/0022297	A1	1/2007	Britti et al.
2007/0027778	A1	2/2007	Schellhammer et al.
2007/0030282	A1	2/2007	Cash et al.
2007/0038483	A1	2/2007	Wood
2007/0038497	A1	2/2007	Britti et al.
2007/0047714	A1	3/2007	Baniak et al.
2007/0067297	A1	3/2007	Kublickis
2007/0067437	A1	3/2007	Sindambiwe
2007/0094230	A1	4/2007	Subramaniam et al.
2007/0094241	A1	4/2007	Blackwell et al.
2007/0094264	A1	4/2007	Nair
2007/0112582	A1	5/2007	Fenlon
2007/0112667	A1	5/2007	Rucker
2007/0112668	A1	5/2007	Celano et al.
2007/0118393	A1	5/2007	Rosen et al.
2007/0130070	A1	6/2007	Williams
2007/0156554	A1	7/2007	Nikoley et al.
2007/0156589	A1	7/2007	Zimler et al.
2007/0162414	A1	7/2007	Horowitz et al.
2007/0174122	A1	7/2007	Howard et al.
2007/0185797	A1	8/2007	Robinson
2007/0192122	A1	8/2007	Routson et al.
2007/0204338	A1	8/2007	Aiello et al.
2007/0208640	A1	9/2007	Banasiak et al.
2007/0214000	A1	9/2007	Shahrabi et al.
2007/0220611	A1	9/2007	Socolow et al.
2007/0226010	A1	9/2007	Larsen
2007/0226093	A1	9/2007	Chan et al.
2007/0226129	A1	9/2007	Liao et al.
2007/0244782	A1	10/2007	Chimento
2007/0250441	A1	10/2007	Paulsen et al.
2007/0250459	A1	10/2007	Schwarz et al.
2007/0261114	A1	11/2007	Pomerantsev
2007/0282730	A1	12/2007	Carpenter et al.
2007/0282736	A1	12/2007	Conlin et al.
2007/0284433	A1	12/2007	Domenica et al.
2007/0288360	A1	12/2007	Seeklus
2007/0294431	A1	12/2007	Adelman et al.
2007/0299759	A1	12/2007	Kelly
2008/0010206	A1	1/2008	Coleman
2008/0021804	A1	1/2008	Deckoff
2008/0027858	A1	1/2008	Benson
2008/0028067	A1	1/2008	Berkhin et al.
2008/0033742	A1	2/2008	Bernasconi
2008/0033956	A1	2/2008	Saha et al.
2008/0052182	A1	2/2008	Marshall
2008/0052244	A1	2/2008	Tsuei et al.
2008/0059224	A1	3/2008	Schechter
2008/0059449	A1	3/2008	Webster et al.
2008/0072316	A1	3/2008	Chang et al.
2008/0082536	A1	4/2008	Schwabe et al.
2008/0109445	A1	5/2008	Williams et al.
2008/0109875	A1	5/2008	Kraft
2008/0115191	A1	5/2008	Kim et al.
2008/0120569	A1	5/2008	Mann et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2008/0162383	A1	7/2008	Kraft	2010/0205076	A1	8/2010	Parson et al.
2008/0177655	A1	7/2008	Zalik	2010/0211636	A1	8/2010	Starkenbourg et al.
2008/0183504	A1	7/2008	Highley	2010/0217837	A1	8/2010	Ansari et al.
2008/0183564	A1	7/2008	Tien et al.	2010/0250338	A1	9/2010	Banerjee et al.
2008/0184270	A1	7/2008	Cole et al.	2010/0250410	A1	9/2010	Song et al.
2008/0201401	A1	8/2008	Pugh et al.	2010/0250411	A1	9/2010	Ogrodski
2008/0205655	A1	8/2008	Wilkins et al.	2010/0250497	A1	9/2010	Redlich et al.
2008/0208735	A1	8/2008	Balet et al.	2010/0268660	A1	10/2010	Ekdahl
2008/0208873	A1	8/2008	Boehmer	2011/0009707	A1	1/2011	Kaundinya et al.
2008/0249869	A1	10/2008	Angell et al.	2011/0016042	A1	1/2011	Cho et al.
2008/0263058	A1	10/2008	Peden	2011/0023115	A1	1/2011	Wright
2008/0270209	A1	10/2008	Mauseth et al.	2011/0029388	A1	2/2011	Kendall et al.
2008/0270294	A1	10/2008	Lent et al.	2011/0060905	A1	3/2011	Stack et al.
2008/0288283	A1	11/2008	Baldwin, Jr. et al.	2011/0071950	A1	3/2011	Ivanovic
2008/0288361	A1	11/2008	Rego et al.	2011/0078073	A1	3/2011	Annappindi et al.
2008/0300962	A1	12/2008	Cawston et al.	2011/0113084	A1	5/2011	Ramnani
2008/0301016	A1	12/2008	Durvasula et al.	2011/0113086	A1	5/2011	Long et al.
2008/0301188	A1	12/2008	O'Hara	2011/0125595	A1	5/2011	Neal et al.
2008/0306750	A1	12/2008	Wunder et al.	2011/0126275	A1	5/2011	Anderson et al.
2008/0312969	A1	12/2008	Raines et al.	2011/0137760	A1	6/2011	Rudie et al.
2008/0319889	A1	12/2008	Hammad	2011/0137789	A1	6/2011	Kortina et al.
2008/0320575	A1	12/2008	Gelb et al.	2011/0142213	A1	6/2011	Baniak et al.
2009/0006475	A1	1/2009	Udezue et al.	2011/0161218	A1	6/2011	Swift
2009/0018996	A1	1/2009	Hunt et al.	2011/0164746	A1	7/2011	Nice et al.
2009/0024428	A1	1/2009	Hudock, Jr.	2011/0166988	A1	7/2011	Coulter
2009/0024505	A1	1/2009	Patel et al.	2011/0178899	A1	7/2011	Huszar
2009/0031426	A1	1/2009	Dal Lago et al.	2011/0179139	A1	7/2011	Starkenbourg et al.
2009/0043691	A1	2/2009	Kasower	2011/0184838	A1	7/2011	Winters et al.
2009/0048877	A1	2/2009	Binns et al.	2011/0196791	A1	8/2011	Dominguez
2009/0055894	A1	2/2009	Lorsch	2011/0202474	A1	8/2011	Mele et al.
2009/0060343	A1	3/2009	Rosca	2011/0219421	A1	9/2011	Ullman et al.
2009/0094237	A1	4/2009	Churi et al.	2011/0238566	A1	9/2011	Santos
2009/0094674	A1	4/2009	Schwartz et al.	2011/0255688	A1	10/2011	Spalink et al.
2009/0106846	A1	4/2009	Dupray et al.	2011/0258050	A1	10/2011	Chan et al.
2009/0112650	A1	4/2009	Iwane	2011/0264566	A1	10/2011	Brown
2009/0113532	A1	4/2009	Lapidous	2012/0011056	A1	1/2012	Ward et al.
2009/0119169	A1	5/2009	Chandratillake et al.	2012/0016948	A1	1/2012	Sinha
2009/0126013	A1	5/2009	Atwood et al.	2012/0029956	A1	2/2012	Ghosh et al.
2009/0138335	A1	5/2009	Lieberman	2012/0030216	A1	2/2012	Churi et al.
2009/0144102	A1	6/2009	Lopez	2012/0030771	A1	2/2012	Pierson et al.
2009/0150166	A1	6/2009	Leite et al.	2012/0047219	A1	2/2012	Feng et al.
2009/0150238	A1	6/2009	Marsh et al.	2012/0054592	A1	3/2012	Jaffe et al.
2009/0164232	A1	6/2009	Chmielewski et al.	2012/0072464	A1	3/2012	Cohen
2009/0164380	A1	6/2009	Brown	2012/0078932	A1	3/2012	Skurtovich, Jr. et al.
2009/0183259	A1	7/2009	Rinek et al.	2012/0095927	A1	4/2012	Hirtenstein et al.
2009/0210807	A1	8/2009	Xiao et al.	2012/0101939	A1	4/2012	Kasower
2009/0216640	A1	8/2009	Masi	2012/0106801	A1	5/2012	Jackson
2009/0228918	A1	9/2009	Rolff et al.	2012/0110467	A1	5/2012	Blake et al.
2009/0234665	A1	9/2009	Conkel	2012/0110677	A1	5/2012	Abendroth et al.
2009/0234876	A1	9/2009	Schigel et al.	2012/0124498	A1	5/2012	Santoro et al.
2009/0240609	A1	9/2009	Cho et al.	2012/0136763	A1	5/2012	Megdal et al.
2009/0249440	A1	10/2009	Platt et al.	2012/0136774	A1	5/2012	Imrey et al.
2009/0254375	A1	10/2009	Martinez et al.	2012/0158654	A1	6/2012	Behren et al.
2009/0254656	A1	10/2009	Vignisson et al.	2012/0173339	A1	7/2012	Flynt et al.
2009/0254971	A1	10/2009	Herz et al.	2012/0179536	A1	7/2012	Kalb et al.
2009/0271265	A1	10/2009	Lay et al.	2012/0215682	A1	8/2012	Lent et al.
2009/0313049	A1	12/2009	Joao et al.	2012/0216125	A1	8/2012	Pierce
2009/0313562	A1	12/2009	Appleyard et al.	2012/0226916	A1	9/2012	Hahn et al.
2009/0327120	A1	12/2009	Eze et al.	2012/0265607	A1	10/2012	Belwadi
2009/0328173	A1	12/2009	Jakobson et al.	2012/0290660	A1	11/2012	Rao et al.
2010/0010935	A1	1/2010	Shelton	2012/0323954	A1	12/2012	Bonalle et al.
2010/0011428	A1	1/2010	Atwood et al.	2013/0018811	A1	1/2013	Britti et al.
2010/0023434	A1	1/2010	Bond	2013/0031109	A1	1/2013	Roulson et al.
2010/0030578	A1	2/2010	Siddique et al.	2013/0031624	A1	1/2013	Britti et al.
2010/0030677	A1	2/2010	Melik-Aslanian et al.	2013/0066676	A1	3/2013	Williams et al.
2010/0049803	A1	2/2010	Ogilvie et al.	2013/0125010	A1	5/2013	Strandell
2010/0094704	A1	4/2010	Subramanian et al.	2013/0132151	A1	5/2013	Stibel et al.
2010/0094758	A1	4/2010	Chamberlain et al.	2013/0173481	A1	7/2013	Hirtenstein et al.
2010/0114724	A1	5/2010	Ghosh et al.	2013/0185293	A1	7/2013	Boback
2010/0114747	A1	5/2010	Kasower	2013/0268357	A1	10/2013	Heath
2010/0145836	A1	6/2010	Baker et al.	2013/0279676	A1	10/2013	Baniak et al.
2010/0145840	A1	6/2010	Kasower	2013/0332338	A1	12/2013	Yan et al.
2010/0169159	A1	7/2010	Rose et al.	2014/0032265	A1	1/2014	Paprocki et al.
2010/0169264	A1	7/2010	O'Sullivan	2014/0032300	A1	1/2014	Zhang et al.
2010/0185546	A1	7/2010	Pollard	2014/0136422	A1	5/2014	Jung et al.
				2014/0164519	A1	6/2014	Shah

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2014/0244353 A1 8/2014 Winters
 2014/0279329 A1 9/2014 Dancel

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0 559 358	9/1993
EP	0 977 128	2/2000
EP	1 077 419	2/2001
EP	0 772 836	12/2001
EP	1 850 278	10/2007
EP	2 088 743	8/2009
GB	1 322 809	7/1973
JP	10-222559	8/1998
JP	10-261009	9/1998
JP	10-293732	11/1998
JP	2000-331068	11/2000
JP	2001-297141	10/2001
JP	2001-344463	12/2001
JP	2001-357256	12/2001
JP	2002-149778	5/2002
JP	2002-163498	6/2002
JP	2002-259753	9/2002
JP	2003-271851	9/2003
JP	2003-316881	11/2003
KR	10-2000-0036594	7/2000
KR	10-2000-0063995	11/2000
KR	10-2001-0016349	3/2001
KR	10-2001-0035145	5/2001
KR	10-2002-0007132	1/2002
KR	10-2004-0078798	9/2004
RU	2 181 216	4/2002
WO	WO 95/34155	12/1995
WO	WO 96/00945	1/1996
WO	WO 98/41931	9/1998
WO	WO 98/41932	9/1998
WO	WO 98/41933	9/1998
WO	WO 98/49643	11/1998
WO	WO 99/17225	4/1999
WO	WO 99/17226	4/1999
WO	WO 99/38094	7/1999
WO	WO 00/04465	1/2000
WO	WO 00/28441	5/2000
WO	WO 00/55778	9/2000
WO	WO 00/65469	11/2000
WO	WO 01/09752	2/2001
WO	WO 01/09792	2/2001
WO	WO 01/10090	2/2001
WO	WO 01/84281	11/2001
WO	WO 2004/031986	4/2004
WO	WO 2004/114160	12/2004
WO	WO 2005/036859	4/2005
WO	WO 2006/050278	5/2006
WO	WO 2006/110873	10/2006
WO	WO 2008/022289	2/2008
WO	WO 2008/042614	4/2008
WO	WO 2008/054403	5/2008
WO	WO 2008/057853	5/2008
WO	WO 2009/117518	9/2009
WO	WO 2010/062537	6/2010
WO	WO 2010/132492	11/2010
WO	WO 2010/150251	12/2010
WO	WO 2011/005876	1/2011
WO	WO 2013/009920	1/2013
WO	WO 2014/018900	1/2014

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Fenner, Mobile Address Management and Billing for Personal Communications, Universal Personal Communications, 1992. ICUPC '92 Proceedings., 1st International Conference on, Oct. 1992, pp. 09.06/1-09.06/5.*

BlueCava, "What We Do", <http://www.bluecava.com/what-we-do/>, downloaded on Nov. 5, 2012, 3 pages.

"Debt Settlement: Watch Video on how to Pay Your Debt Faster", <http://www.debtconsolidationcare.com/debt-settlement.html> printed Jan. 9, 2013 in 6 pages.

Iovation, Device Identification & Device Fingerprinting, <http://www.iovation.com/risk-management/device-identification>, downloaded on Nov. 5, 2012, 6 pages.

Ogg, Erica, "Apple cracks down on UDID use", <http://gigaom.com/apple/apple-cracks-down-on-udid-use/>, downloaded on Nov. 5, 2012, 5 pages.

"PremierGuide Announces Release 3.0 of Local Search Platform", Business Wire, p. 5574, Palo Alto, CA, Mar. 4, 2004.

"Qualifying for Debt Settlement", <http://www.certifieddebt.com/debt/settlement-qualifications.shtml> printed Jan. 9, 2013 in 2 pages.

"Resolve Debt for Less: With Help from Freedom Financial" <http://www.debtsettlementusa.com/> printed Jan. 9, 2013 in 6 pages.

"Settling Your Debts—Part 1 in Our Debt Settlement Series", http://www.creditinfocenter.com/debt/settle_debts.shtml printed Jan. 9, 2013 in 6 pages.

U.S. Appl. No. 12/705,489, filed Feb. 12, 2010, Bargoli et al.

U.S. Appl. No. 12/705,511, filed Feb. 12, 2010, Bargoli et al.

"Aggregate and Analyze Social Media Content: Gain Faster and Broader Insight to Market Sentiment"; SAP Partner; Mantis Technology Group; pp. 4; Apr. 2011.

Authenticom, "What's in a Name?", printed Apr. 1, 2009; <http://web.archive.org/web/20070708185835/http://www.authenticom.com/story.html> in 1 page.

Authenticom, Technical Specs, "Confidence Level Indicators (CLI)", printed Dec. 31, 2005, http://www.authenticom.com/confidence_level_indicators.html in 1 page.

Caliendo, et al., "Some Practical Guidance for the Implementation of Propensity Score Matching"; IZA: Discussion Paper Series; No. 1588; Germany; May 2005.

Chung, Charles; Internet Retailer, "Multi-channel retailing requires the cleanest data—but don't expect it from the customer", Jan./Feb. 2002.

Chores & Allowances. "Do Kids Have Credit Reports?", Oct. 15, 2007, <http://choresandallowances.blogspot.com/2007/10/do-kids-have-credit-reports.html>.

Dymi, Amilda, Need for Leads Spurs Some Upgrades, Origination News—Special Report, May 1, 2008, vol. 17, Issue No. 8, pp. 24, Atlanta, Copyright 2008 SourceMedia, Inc.

Ettorre, Paul Kahn on Exceptional Marketing. Management Review, vol. 38(11), Nov. 1994, pp. 48-51.

Gibbs, Adrienne; "Protecting Your Children from Identity Theft," Nov. 25, 2008, <http://www.creditcards.com/credit-card-news/identity-ID-theft-and-kids-children-1282.php>.

Greco, Susan, The Rating Game: Grade your Leads to Clear the Pipe Dreams from you Sales Pipeline, Online Reprint, Jan. 1998, vol. 20, Issue No. 1, p. 93 (1-3).

ID Theft Assist, "Do You Know Where Your Child's Credit is?", Nov. 26, 2007, <http://www.idtheftassist.com/pages/story14>.

IDEON, Credit-Card Registry that Bellyfopped this Year, is Drawing some Bottom-Fishers, The Wall Street Journal, Aug. 21, 1995, pp. C2.

"Impac Funding Introduces Enhanced Website for Static Pool Tracking of MBS Transactions," Waltham, MA; Webpage printed out from http://www.lewtan.com/press/1208044_Impac-Lewtan.htm on Mar. 20, 2008.

"Intelligent Miner Applications Guide"; Chapters 4-7; pp. 33-132; IBM Corp., Apr. 2, 1999.

"Japan's JAAI system appraises used cars over internet", Asia Pulse, Mar. 3, 2000.

Lanubile, et al., "Evaluating Empirical Models for the Detection of High-Risk Components: Some Lessons Learned", 20th Annual Software Engineering Workshop, Nov. 29-30, 1995, Greenbelt, Maryland, pp. 1-6.

LeadVerifier: Why Should You Use LeadVerifier?, downloaded www.leadverifier.com/LeadVerifier_Why.asp, dated Feb. from 7, 2006 on www.archive.org.

Leskovec, Jure; "Social Media Analytics: Tracking, Modeling and Predicting the Flow of Information through Networks"; WWW 2011—Tutorial; Mar. 28-Apr. 1, 2011; Hyderabad, India; pp. 277-278.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Li et al., "Automatic Verbal Information Verification for User Authentication", IEEE Transactions on Speech and Audio Processing, vol. 8, No. 5, Sep. 2000, pp. 585-596.
- LifeLock; "How can LifeLock protect my kids and family?," <http://www.lifelock.com/lifelock-for-people/how-we-do-it/how-can-lifelock-protect-my-kids-and-family>, accessed Mar. 14, 2008.
- Loshin, Intelligent Enterprise: Better Insight for Business Decisions, "Value-Added Data: Merge Ahead", Feb. 9, 2000, vol. 3, No. 3, 5 pages.
- Miller, Joe, "NADA used-car prices go online", Automotive News, Jun. 14, 1999, p. 36.
- PR Web: Press Release Newswire, Anchor Launches LeadVerifier to Verify, Correct and Enhance Internet Leads, Jul. 19, 2005, pp. 2 pages, Farmingdale, NY.
- "PrimeQ Lead Validation Techniques Gets Stronger", <http://66.102.7.104/search?g=cache:qXAdm0EXcwYJ:www.primeq.com/absolutenm/anmv...>, 2 pages, Nov. 29, 2005.
- RAP Interactive, Inc. and Web Decisions: Proudly Presents Live Decisions, A Powerful New Information and Technology Resource that Revolutionizes Interactive Marketing, downloaded from www.webdecisions.com/pdf/LiveDecisions_Bro.pdf, as printed on Aug. 13, 2007.
- Real IQ, "Lead Metrix", downloaded from <http://www.realiq.com/leadmetrix.html>, 1 page, Aug. 17, 2006.
- Real IQ, "Mortgage Industry", downloaded from <http://www.realiq.com/mortgage.html>, 4 pages, Aug. 17, 2006.
- Real IQ, ReallQ.com, retrieved from web.archive.org/http://replay.web.archive.org/20051212152337/http://www.realiq.com/mortgage.html as printed on Apr. 28, 2011, pp. 2.
- Sawyers, Arlena, "NADA to Offer Residual Guide", Automotive News, May 22, 2000, pp. 3.
- Sax, Michael M., Data Collection and Privacy Protection: An International Perspective, Presentation: Managing Online Risk and Liability Conference, Aug. 31, 1999, pp. 58.
- ServiceObjects, "DOTS Web Services—Product Directory", downloaded from http://www.serviceobjects.com/products/directory_of_web_services.asp, 4 pages, Aug. 17, 2006.
- ServiceObjects, "Real-Time Customer Intelligence for a Nickel", downloaded from <http://www.serviceobjects.com/products/default.asp>, 3 pages, Aug. 17, 2006.
- Tao, Lixin, "Shifting Paradigms with the Application Service Provider Model"; Concordia University, Canada; IEEE; Oct. 2001.
- TARGUSinfo: Intermark Media Slashes Returned Lead Costs, Improves Affiliate Relations, downloaded from www.targusinfo.com, as printed Apr. 1, 2009, 4 pgs.
- TARGUSinfo: Lead Verification, Verify Your Leads With Unique Accuracy and Ease, downloaded from www.targusinfo.com/solutions/verify/Default.asp, Oct. 28, 2005, as printed Aug. 1, 2006.
- Thoemmes, Felix, "Propensity Score Matching in SPSS", Center for Educational Science and Psychology, University of Tübingen, Jan. 2012.
- Vamosi, Robert, "How to Handle ID Fraud's Youngest Victims," Nov. 21, 2008, http://news.cnet.com/8301-10789_3-10105303-57.html.
- "WashingtonPost.com and Cars.com launch comprehensive automotive web site for the Washington area", PR Newswire, Oct. 22, 1998.
- Web Decisions, "Live Decisions", downloaded from <http://www.webdecisions.com>, 2 pages, Sep. 18, 2006.
- BackupBox, <http://mybackupbox.com> printed Feb. 8, 2013 in 2 pages.
- Hojoki, <http://hojoki.com> printed Feb. 8, 2013 in 5 pages.
- Hoofnagle, Chris Jay, "Identity Theft: Making the Known Unknowns Known," Harvard Journal of Law & Technology, Fall 2007, vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 98-122.
- IFTTT, "About IFTTT", <http://ifttt.com/wtf> printed Feb. 18, 2013 in 4 pages.
- LifeLock, Various Pages, <http://lifelock.com/>, 2007 in 49 pages.
- Mover, "One API for the Cloud", <http://mover.io> printed Feb. 6, 2013 in 3 pages.
- Otixo, "Your Dashboard for the Cloud", <http://Otixo.com/product> printed Feb. 6, 2013 in 3 pages.
- Pipes, <http://pipes.yahoo.com/pipes> printed Feb. 18, 2013 in 1 page.
- Primadesk, <http://primadesk.com> printed Feb. 8, 2013 in 1 page.
- Storage Made Easy(SME), <http://www.storagemadeeasy.com> printed Feb. 6, 2013 in 1 page.
- Zapier, "Integrate Your Web Services", <http://www.zapier.com>, printed Feb. 18, 2013 in 3 pages.
- Actuate, "Delivering Enterprise Information for Corporate Portals", White Paper, 2004, pp. 1-7.
- Akl, Selim G., "Digital Signatures: A Tutorial Survey," Computer, Feb. 1983, pp. 15-24.
- Aktas et al., "Personalizing PageRank Based on Domain Profiles", WEBKDD workshop: Webmining and Web Usage Analysis, Aug. 22, 2004, pp. 83-90.
- Aktas et al., "Using Hyperlink Features to Personalize Web Search", WEBKDD workshop: Webmining and Web Usage Analysis, Aug. 2004.
- Bitran et al., "Mailing Decisions in Catalog Sales Industry", Management Science (JSTOR), vol. 42, No. 9, pp. 1364-1381, Sep. 1996.
- Brick, et al. "Unit and Item Response, Weighting, and Imputation Procedures in the 1993 National Household Education Survey (NHES:93)" U.S. Department of Education. National Center for Education Statistics, Working Paper No. 97-05, Washington, D.C., pp. 30, Feb. 1997.
- Burr Ph.D., et al., "Utility Payments as Alternative Credit Data: A Reality Check", Asset Builders of America, Inc., Oct. 5, 2006, pp. 1-18, Washington, D.C.
- Buxfer, <http://www.buxfer.com/> printed Feb. 5, 2014 in 1 page.
- Cáceres, et al., "Measurement and Analysis of IP Network Usage and Behavior", IEEE Communications Magazine, pp. 144-151, May 2000.
- Census Geography, Excerpted from the Geographic Reference Manual, Nov. 1994, pp. 5.
- Check, <http://check.me/> printed Feb. 5, 2014 in 3 pages.
- "Consumer Reports Finds American-Made Vehicles Close Reliability Gap with European-Made Vehicle—As Japanese Continue to Set New Benchmarks for the Industry", Consumer Reports: Consumers Union, Yonkers, NY, Apr. 2003.
- Cohen et al., "Optimizer: IBM's Multi Echelon Inventory System for Managing Service Logistics", Interfaces, vol. 20, pp. 65-82, Jan.-Feb. 1990.
- "D&B Corporate Family Linkage", D&B Internet Access for U.S. Contract Customers, <<https://www.dnb.com/ecom/help/linkage.htm>> as printed Dec. 17, 2009, pp. 1.
- "Data Loss Prevention (DLP) Software", <http://www.symantec.com/data-loss-prevention/> printed Apr. 8, 2013 in 8 pages.
- "Data Protection", <http://compliantprocessing.com/data-protection/> printed Apr. 8, 2013 in 4 pages.
- Davies, Donald W., "Applying the RSA Digital Signature to Electronic Mail," Computer, Feb. 1983, pp. 55-62.
- Elmasri et al., "Fundamentals of Database Systems, Third Edition (Excerpts)", Jun. 2000, pp. 253, 261, 268-70, 278-80, 585, 595.
- Experian: Improve Outcomes Through Applied Customer Insight, Brochure, Nov. 2009, pp. 20.
- Experian: Mosaic Geodemographic Lifestyle Segmentation on ConsumerView [Data Card], as printed from http://datacards.experian.com/market?page=research/datacard_print&prin, Apr. 6, 2012, pp. 4.
- Experian: Mosaic Public Sector 2009 Launch, 2009, pp. 164.
- Experian: Mosaic United Kingdom, Brochure, Jun. 2009, pp. 24.
- Experian: Mosaic UK—Optimise the Value of Your Customers and Locations, Now and in the Future, Brochure, Sep. 2010, pp. 24.
- Experian: Mosaic UK—Unique Consumer Classification Based on In-Depth Demographic Data, as printed from <http://www.experian.co.uk/business-strategies/mosaic-uk.html>, Jul. 30, 2012, pp. 2.
- Experian: Mosaic USA, Brochure, May 2009, pp. 14.
- Experian: Mosaic USA—Consumer Lifestyle Segmentation [Data Card], Dec. 2009, pp. 2.
- Experian: Public Sector, as printed from <http://publicsector.experian.co.uk/Products/Mosaicpublicsector.aspx>, 2012, pp. 2.
- Experian, "Experian Rental Payment Data," <<http://www.experian.com/rentbureau/rental-data.html>> printed Nov. 22, 2013 in 2 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- “Fictitious Business Name Records”, Westlaw Database Directory, <<http://directoy.westlaw.com/scope/default.asp?db=FBN-ALL&RS=W...&VR=2.0>> as printed Dec. 17, 2009, pp. 5.
- Fisher, Joseph, “Access to Fair Credit Reports: Current Practices and Proposed Legislation,” *American Business Law Journal*, Fall 1981, vol. 19, No. 3, p. 319.
- Garcia-Molina, “Database Systems: The Complete Book”, Prentice Hall, 2002, pp. 713-715.
- “GLBA Compliance and FFIEC Compliance” <http://www.trustwave.com/financial-services.php> printed Apr. 8, 2013 in 1 page.
- Haffar, Imad, “‘SPAM’: A Computer Model for Management of Spare-Parts Inventories in Agricultural Machinery Dealerships”, *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, vol. 12, Issue 4, Jun. 1995, pp. 323-332.
- Handfield, Robert B. et al., “Managing Component Life Cycles in Dynamic Technological Environments”, *International Journal of Purchasing and Materials Management*, Tempe, vol. 30, No. 2, pp. 20-28, Spring 1994, ProQuest ID 590096.
- Herron, Janna, “Social Media-Based Credit Score?”, <<http://www.bankrate.com/financing/credit-cards/social-media-based-credit-score/>>, posted Friday, Jan. 13, 2012, printed Nov. 22, 2013 in 2 pages.
- Inderfurth et al., “Decision Support for Spare Parts Acquisition in Post Product Life Cycle”, *Central European Journal of Operations Research*, vol. 16, pp. 17-42, 2008 [Initially published online Dec. 21, 2007].
- Jaro, Matthew A., “Probabilistic Linkage of Large Public Health Data Files,” *Statistics in Medicine*, 1995, vol. 14, pp. 491-498.
- Käki, Anssi, “Forecasting in End-Of-Life Spare Parts Procurement”, Master’s Thesis—Helsinki University of Technology System Analysis Laboratory, Jul. 27, 2007.
- Kim, Bowon et al., “Optimal Pricing, EOL (End of Life) Warranty, and Spare Parts Manufacturing Strategy Amid Product Transition”, *European Journal of Operation Research*, vol. 188, pp. 723-745, 2008 [Initially published online May 1, 2007].
- Klein, et al., “A Constant-Utility Index of the Cost of Living”, *The Review of Economic Studies*, pp. 84-87, vol. XV-XVI, Kraus Reprint Corporation, New York, 1960.
- Klein, et al., “An Econometric Model of the United States: 1929-1952”, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1955, pp. 4-41.
- Klein, Lawrence R., “The Keynesian Revolution”, New York, The MacMillan Company, 1947, pp. 56-189.
- Krupp, James A.G.; “Forecasting for the Automotive Aftermarket”, *The Journal of Business Forecasting Methods & Systems*; Winter 1993-1994; 12, 4; ABI/Inform Global; pp. 8-12.
- Lapide, Larry, “New Developments in Business Forecasting”, *The Journal of Business Forecasting*, pp. 12-14, Spring 2002.
- LendingTree.com, “Lender Ratings & Reviews,” <<http://web.archive.org/web/20091015043716/http://www.lendingtree.com/lender-reviews/>>, Oct. 15, 2009, in 21 pages.
- Lobo, Jude, “MySAP.com Enterprise Portal Cookbook,” SAP Technical Delivery, Feb. 2002, vol. 1, pp. 1-13.
- Manilla, <http://www.manilla.com/how-it-works/> printed Feb. 5, 2014 in 1 page.
- McNamara, Paul, “Start-up’s pitch: The Envelope, please,” *Network World*, Apr. 28, 1997, vol. 14, No. 17, p. 33.
- Medick et al., “German Agency to Mine Facebook to Assess Credit-worthiness”, Jun. 7, 2012, <<http://www.spiegel.de/international/germany/german-credit-agency-plans-to-analyze-individual-facebook-pages-a-837539.html>> printed Nov. 22, 2013 in 2 pages.
- MicroBilt, “PRBC Credit Reporting Agency—Payment Reporting Builds Credit,” retrieved from <<http://www.microbilt.com/nontraditional-credit-report.aspx>> and corresponding “Sample Report,” retrieved from <[http://www.microbilt.com/pdfs/PRBC%20Sample%20Report%20\(complete\).pdf](http://www.microbilt.com/pdfs/PRBC%20Sample%20Report%20(complete).pdf)> printed Nov. 21, 2013 in 8 pages.
- Microfinance Africa, “Philippines: Microfinance Players to get Their Own Credit Info Bureau,” Apr. 5, 2011, <<http://microfinanceafrica.net/microfinance-around-the-world/philippines-microfinance-players-to-get-their-own-credit-info-bureau/>> printed Nov. 22, 2013 in 2 pages.
- Microsoft, “Expand the Reach of Your Business,” Microsoft Business Solutions, 2004, in 16 pages.
- Mint.com, <http://www.mint.com/how-it-works/> printed Feb. 5, 2013 in 2 pages.
- Moore, John R., Jr. “Forecasting and Scheduling for Past-Model Replacement Parts” *Management Science*, Application Series, vol. 18, No. 4, Part 1, Dec. 1971, pp. B200-B213.
- “Mosaic” (geodemography), available from [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mosaic_\(geodemography\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mosaic_(geodemography)), as last modified Jul. 13, 2012, pp. 4.
- Mvelopes, <http://www.mvelopes.com/> printed Feb. 5, 2014 in 2 pages.
- MyReceipts, <<http://www.myreceipts.com/>>, printed Oct. 16, 2012 in 1 page.
- MyReceipts—How it Works, <<http://www.myreceipts.com/howItWorks.do>>, printed Oct. 16, 2012 in 1 page.
- “Name Availability Records”, Westlaw Database Directory, <<http://directoy.westlaw.com/scope/default.asp?db=NA-ALL&RS=W...&VR=2.0>> as printed Dec. 17, 2009, pp. 5.
- Organizing Maniac’s Blog—Online Receipts Provided by MyQuickReceipts.com, <http://organizingmaniacs.wordpress.com/2011/01/12/online-receipts-provided-by-myquickreceipts-com/> dated Jan. 12, 2011 printed Oct. 16, 2012 in 3 pages.
- Packer, A. H., “Simulation and Adaptive Forecasting an Applied to Inventory Control”, *Operations Research*, vol. 15, No. 4, pp. 660-679, Jul. 1965.
- “Parse”, Definition from PC Magazine Encyclopedia, <http://www.pcmag.com/encyclopedia_term_0,2542,t=parse&i=48862,00.asp> as downloaded Mar. 5, 2012.
- PersonalCapital.com, <http://www.personalcapital.com/how-it-works> printed Feb. 5, 2014 in 5 pages.
- Peters, Peter-Paul, “A Spare Parts Configurator for the European Service Business” (Graduation Report); Honeywell, Industrial Service Logistic Center; Amsterdam, The Netherlands; 80 Pgs.; Mar. 2000.
- Planet Receipt—Home, <http://www.planetreceipt.com/home> printed Oct. 16, 2012 in 1 page.
- Planet Receipt—Solutions & Features, <http://www.planetreceipt.com/solutions-features> printed Oct. 16, 2012 in 2 pages.
- Ponniah, Paulraj, “Data Warehousing Fundamentals: A Comprehensive Guide for IT Professionals”, Wiley-Interscience Publication, pp. 257-289, 377-397, Aug. 3, 2001.
- Porter, G. Zell, “An Economic Method for Evaluating Electronic Component Obsolescence Solutions”, Retrieved from the web at www.gidep.org/data/dmsms/library/zell.pdf, May 1998, pp. 1-9.
- “PostX to Present at Internet Showcase”, PR Newswire, Apr. 28, 1997, pp. 2.
- PostX, “PostX® Envelope and ActiveView”, <http://web.archive.org/web/19970714203719/http://www.postx.com/priducts_fm.html>, Jul. 14, 1997 (retrieved Nov. 7, 2013) in 2 pages.
- Rahm, et al. “Data Cleaning: Problems and Current Approaches”, *Bulletin of the IEEE Computer Society Technical Committee on Data Engineering*, Dec. 2000, vol. 23, No. 4, pp. 11.
- Raman, et al., “Potter’s Wheel: An Interactive Data Cleaning System”, *Proceedings of the 27th VLDB Conference*, Roma, Italy, 2001, pp. 10.
- Roos, Gina, “Web-Based Service Helps OEMs Cure Parts Obsolescence Blues”, *Electronic Engineering Times*, p. 86, Oct. 8, 2001, Dialog 09056737 78968668.
- Santarini, Michael, “Forecasts the Probable Obsolescence of Components—Module Predicts Parts Life”, *Electronic Engineering Times*, Jan. 11, 1999, p. 48(1), Dialog 0607160353548246.
- SAS, “SAS® Information Delivery Portal”, Fact Sheet, 2008, in 4 pages.
- Schneier, B. “Applied Cryptography”, John Wiley & Sons, Second Edition, pp. 435-447, 1996.
- ShoeBoxed, <https://www.shoebboxed.com/sbx-home/> printed Oct. 16, 2012 in 4 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Smith, Richard M., "The Web Bug FAQ", Nov. 11, 1999, Version 1.0, pp. 4.

Smith, Wendell R., "Product Differentiation and Market Segmentation as Alternative Marketing Strategies", The Journal of Marketing, The American Marketing Association, Brattleboro, Vermont, Jul. 1956, vol. XXI, pp. 3-8.

Stallings, W. "Cryptography and Network Security Principles and Practice", Prentice Hall, Second Edition, pp. 295, 297, Jul. 15, 1998.

Stone, "Linear Expenditure Systems and Demand Analysis: An Application to the Pattern of British Demand", The Economic Journal: The Journal of The Royal Economic Society, Sep. 1954, pp. 511-527, vol. LXIV, Macmillan & Co., London.

Sullivan, Laurie, "Obsolete-Parts Program Thriving", EBN, Manhasset, Issue 1296, p. 26, Jan. 2002, ProQuest 10 101195090.

Various Posts from the <http://www.2p.wrox.com> Forums: http://web.archive.org/web/2005045221950/http://p2p.wrox.com/topic.asp?TOPIC_ID=6513, dated Nov. 15, 2003-Oct. 7, 2004.

Web Page posted at: http://web.archive.org/web20040805124909/http://www.oracle.com/technology/sample_codete/tech/pl_sql/htdocs/x/Case/start.htm, pp. 1 and 4 of the webpages posted on Jan. 7, 2003.

Web Pages printed Nov. 2, 2004 of Internet Draft entitled "Tunneling SSL Through a WWW Proxy", Luotonen, Ari, Netscape Communications Corporation (Dec. 14, 1995); 4 pages. <http://muffin.doit.org/docs/rfc/tunneling.sub.--ssl.html>.

Webpage printed out from http://www.jpmorgan.com/cm/ContentServer?c=TS_Content&pagename=jpmorgan%2Fts%2Fts_Content%2FGeneral&cid=1139403950394 on Mar. 20, 2008, Feb. 13, 2006, New York, NY.

Webpage printed out from <http://www.fairisaac.com/NR/rdonlyres/AC4C2F79-4160-4E44-B0CB-5C899004879A/0/ScoreNetnetworkBR.pdf> on Mar. 4, 2008.

Webster, Lee R., "Failure Rates & Life Cycle Costs", Consulting-Specifying Engineer; 23, 4; ABI/Inform Global, Apr. 1998, p. 42.

Williams, Mark, "Results of the 1998 NASFAA Salary Survey", News from NASFAA, 1998.

Working, Holbrook, "Statistical Laws of Family Expenditure", Journal of the American Statistical Association, pp. 43-56, vol. 38, American Statistical Association, Washington, D.C., Mar. 1943.

Yodlee | Money Center, <https://yodleemoneycenter.com/> printed Feb. 5, 2014 in 2 pages.

You Need a Budget, <http://www.youneedabudget.com/features> printed Feb. 5, 2014 in 3 pages.

* cited by examiner




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 2




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 4




FIGURE 5




FIGURE 6




FIGURE 7




FIGURE 8

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR DATA VERIFICATION

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/058,632 filed on Mar. 28, 2008, entitled "Systems and Methods for Data Verification," which claims the benefit of priority from U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/921,188 filed on Mar. 30, 2007, entitled "Systems and Methods for Data Verification," the entire contents of which are each hereby incorporated herein by reference in their entirety. All publications and patent applications mentioned in this specification are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety to the same extent as if each individual publication or patent application was specifically and individually indicated to be incorporated by reference.

BACKGROUND

1. Field

The present disclosure relates to the field of data verification, more particularly to improved methods and systems for verifying and/or updating data.

2. Description of the Related Art

Companies increasingly rely on internal and external data relating to their existing or potential customers in order to make critical business strategy decisions. Therefore, a business need exists for systems and methods for verifying the integrity and quality of such data.

SUMMARY OF THE DISCLOSURE

Embodiments are directed to data verification of business or consumer data. One embodiment is a data verification system that receives or selects data to be verified, selects one or more verification methods to be applied to the data, and verifies, updates, and/or appends/enhances the data.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method is configured to verify one or more types of data. The data may include, for example, business data, non-profit data, government data, credit data, financial data, securities data, consumer data, individual data, pet data, web-posting data, shopping data, email data and the like. In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to verify the data in one or more languages or formats or codes or the like.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to verify data with one or more data verification methods, either alone or in combination. The methods may include a web-crawling verification method, an agent web verification method, a call verification method, a direct-mail method, an email method, an in-person method, and/or other methods. The system may utilize a lower cost method to first verify a larger amount of data records and a higher cost method to verify a smaller amount of data records. The system may segment data into multiple segments/elements and apply a different data verification method to each segment/element.

Certain embodiments of the system comprise an artificial intelligence module that checks the accuracy and costs of the data verification methods as well as other business logic (for example, best time of day/month/year to verify) and dynamically adjusts the usage of the verification methods to meet certain pre-defined business objectives (for example, to achieve the highest accuracy at the lowest cost).

One embodiment is an automated system for verifying data comprising a data selection module configured to select at least a portion of data to be verified, and an artificial intelligence module configured to select one or more data verification methods, based on prior results of the use of the one or more data verification methods, from web-crawling, tele-verification, agent web verification, direct-mail verification, email verification, and in-person verification to apply to the selected portion of the data, wherein the data is verified, updated, or appended as a result the application of the one or more selected data verification methods to the selected portion of the data. In another embodiment, the system may further comprise cost data stored on the system indicating the cost of the data verification methods, and the artificial intelligence module is further configured to select two data verification methods using the cost data for the two data verification methods, wherein the selected data verification method with the lower cost is applied to the selected portion of the data, and the selected data verification method with the higher cost is applied to a subset of the selected portion of the data.

Another embodiment is an automated system for verifying data comprising a data segmentation module configured to segment data to be verified into a plurality of data portions, wherein each data portion comprises a characteristic and an artificial intelligence module configured to select a data verification method to apply to each of the plurality of data portions based on the characteristic of the data portion, wherein data is verified, updated, or appended as a result of the application of the selected data verification method.

Yet another embodiment is an automated method of data verification, comprising: selecting a portion of data to be verified; and selecting one or more data verification methods from web-crawling, tele-verification, agent web verification, direct-mail verification, email verification, and in-person verification to apply to the selected portion of the data, based on prior results of the use of the data verification methods, wherein the data is verified, updated, or appended as a result the application of the one or more data verification methods to the selected portion of the data. In another embodiment, the method may further comprise: storing cost data indicating the cost of data verification methods; and the selecting one or more data verification methods comprises selecting two data verification methods using the cost data for the two data verification methods, wherein the selected data verification method with the lower cost is applied to the selected portion of the data, and the selected data verification method with the higher cost is applied to a subset of the selected portion of the data.

Another embodiment is an automated method for verifying data comprising: segmenting data to be verified into a plurality of data portions, wherein each data portion comprises a characteristic; and selecting a data verification method to apply to each of the plurality of data portions based on the characteristic of the data portion, wherein data is verified, updated, or appended as a result of the application of the selected data verification method.

Another embodiment is a computer program product comprising a computer usable medium having control logic stored therein for causing a computer to verify data, the control logic comprising: a first computer readable program code means for causing the computer to select a portion of data to be verified; and a second computer readable program code means for causing the computer to select one or more data verification methods, based on prior results of the use of the one or more data verification methods, from web-crawling, tele-verification, agent web verification, direct-mail verification, email verification, and in-person verification to apply to

the selected portion of the data, wherein the data is verified, updated or appended as a result the application of the one or more selected data verification methods to the selected portion of the data.

Finally, one embodiment is a computer program product comprising a computer usable medium having control logic stored therein for causing a computer to verify data, the control logic comprising: a first computer readable program code means for causing the computer to segment data to be verified into a plurality of data portions, wherein each data portion comprises a characteristic; and a second computer readable program code means for causing the computer to select a data verification method to apply to each of the plurality of data portion based on the characteristic of the data portion, wherein data is verified, updated or appended as a result of the application of the selected data verification method.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Specific embodiments of the invention will now be described with reference to the following drawings, which are intended to illustrate embodiments of the invention, but not limit the invention:

FIG. 1 illustrates a method of data verification according to one embodiment;

FIG. 2 is a Venn diagram that shows how data verification methods are used in accordance to one embodiment;

FIG. 3 illustrates an example configuration of a data verification system and its components according to one embodiment;

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram of an example web-crawling data verification method according to one embodiment;

FIG. 5 is a flow diagram of an example tele-verification method according to one embodiment;

FIG. 6 is a flow diagram showing how data verification methods are selectively applied by segments/elements according to one embodiment;

FIG. 7 is a flow diagram showing how data verification methods are selectively applied according to one embodiment; and

FIG. 8 is a flow diagram showing an example method of ranking data verification methods according to one embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

To make critical business strategy decisions, companies often rely on internal and external data relating to their existing or potential customers. For example, some companies may conduct target marketing campaigns directed at prospective customers exhibiting characteristics of a certain market demographic, such as households with children located in the Midwest. Accordingly, these companies may obtain a listing of such households from a third party data provider. To ensure that these companies are effectively targeting the desired market segment, it is best for the consumer data to be accurate and updated. Therefore, systems and methods are needed to check and update such consumer data or business data.

The same is true for any sort of business data whether generated internally by a company or obtained from a third party data provider. For example, a company may want to market loan products to small businesses in the restaurant supply industry. However, in many instances companies simply have too much data or not enough resources to check and update the consumer or business data. Furthermore, companies often need some or all of the data on a real-time basis,

thereby preferring that such data be verified and updated continuously or periodically. For purposes of summarizing the embodiments of the invention certain aspects, advantages and novel features of the systems and methods for verifying the integrity and quality of data will be described herein. Of course, it is to be understood that not necessarily all such aspects, advantages or features will be embodied in any particular embodiment of the invention.

Data Verification Overview

FIG. 1 shows a method of data verification in accordance to one embodiment. At block 110, the data verification system receives or selects data to be verified. The system may receive data from an external source, or may select data from a database connected to the system. At block 120, the system selects one or more verification methods to be applied to the data. At block 130, the system applies the selected one or more verification methods to verify the data. At block 140, as a result of the data verification process, data may be verified, updated, and/or appended/enhanced.

In certain embodiments, a data record comprises a plurality of data elements. For example, a data record for a company may comprise data elements such as company name, company size, executive listings, address, and so forth. When a data record is verified, the original content of the record is saved. The record may also be saved along with a time identifier to indicate the time of the verification. When a data record is updated, part of the data record (for example, one or more elements) is altered. For example, the phone number of a company data record may be updated while the address remains unchanged. Finally, when a data record is appended or enhanced, additional information is newly added to the data record. For example, during the verification process it may be discovered that a company has 50 employees. The number of employees will thus be added as a new data element to the record for the company. Another example of appending or enhancing may involve discovering a relationship between two or more data records (for example, company A is a subsidiary of company B or individual A is married to individual B) and adding a relationship link between/among the records.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method is configured to verify one or more types of data. The data may include, for example, business data, non-profit data, government data, credit data, financial data, securities data, consumer data, individual data, pet data, web-posting data, shopping data, email data and the like. In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to verify the data in one or more languages or formats or codes or the like. In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to translate or standardize the data into one language, for example English, or into a standard format or code or the like before comparing the data to existing data or before storing the data in a database. Data may be segmented by industries, by types, by data having common elements suitable to be verified by a similar method, and/or by other criteria.

FIG. 2 is a Venn diagram that shows how data verification methods are used in accordance to one embodiment. A plurality of new data records 240 may be received into the data verification system. The system selects a portion of data records 250 and applies a specific data verification method to the selected portion. In certain embodiments, the system chooses from a web-crawling verification method 210, an agent web verification method 220, and a call verification/tele-verification method 230. In other embodiments, other verification methods such as direct postal mail, email, or in-person verification may be used as well. As shown in the

Venn diagram, some data records (represented by dots) may be verified by multiple methods while some data records may be verified by one method. In other embodiments, some methods will be applied to certain data elements/segments. For example, web-crawling may be applied to verify/update/append the phone number and address elements while call/tele-verification may be applied to verify/update/append other elements such as company names and owner's names.

FIG. 3 shows a data verification system in accordance to one embodiment. A data verification module 300 is a computer executable program executed on a computer server 316. The computer server 316 is in communication with one or more databases 318 that house data.

In certain embodiments, the data verification module 300 includes a data selection module 302, a verification method selection/artificial intelligence module 304, and one or more data verification method modules. The data verification module 300 may additionally include a quality control module 312 and/or a data segmentation module 314. The quality control module 312 performs quality control and/or rank the accuracy of data verification methods in some embodiments. In certain embodiments, the data segmentation module 314 segments data by data elements or segments according to certain characteristics by which data verification method may be selected. The data verification module 300 may include a cost data module 342 to monitor and/or store cost data associated with various data verification methods. Other embodiments combine or separate into fewer or more modules.

The data selection module 302 selects a plurality of data records from the one or more database(s) 318 for the verification. In certain embodiments, the verification method selection/artificial intelligence module 304 selects one or more data verification modules to execute (within the dotted box in FIG. 3). The selection may be based in part on a set of business rules, some of which may maximize cost, efficiency, and accuracy of the various verification methods, or may be based in part on the artificial intelligence module 304 monitoring and learning the performance of various verification methods and adjusting the selection accordingly.

In the sample embodiment shown in FIG. 3, the data verification method modules include a tele-verification module 306, a web-crawling module 308, an agent web verification module 310, and an other verification methods module 344, which may include methods such as direct mail, in-person verification, and so forth. In certain embodiments, the tele-verification module 306 contacts entities associated with the selected data records through communication channels 324. The entities may be individuals 332, households 334, or businesses 336. The term "entity" as used herein can comprise without limitation individuals, households, businesses, non-profit organizations, governments, or the like. Communications channels 324 may include telephone, cellphone, text messaging, email, or the like. The web-crawling module 308 may communicate through a network 320 (for example, internet, local area network (LAN), wide area network (WAN), wireless network) to access information located on data sources 338, which may be maintained by entities associated with the data records to be verified. The web-crawling module 308 may also access data located on other third-party data sources 340 such as commercial data sources or governmental data sources. Finally, the agent web verification module 310 may similarly access information maintained on data sources 338, data sources 340 and/or other available sources. These verification method modules verify, update, and/or amend/enhance the selected data records. Each of these data verification methods is further described below.

Web-Crawling

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method selects at least a portion of the data to be verified, and causes the selected data to be verified by the web-crawling-type methodology or web-crawling module 308. In certain embodiments, the terms "web-crawler" or "web-crawling" as used herein include without limitation, for example, web spider, web robot, watching, scraping, harvesting, content monitoring, extraction or other like technologies. In certain embodiments, the web-crawling module 308 comprises a computer program system that selects certain data and compares the data with similar data obtained from searching sources, such as via the internet.

By way of example and with reference to FIG. 3, web-crawling module 308 may access data sources 338 and/or 340. For example, the web-crawling module 308 may extract information from a personal page maintained by an individual 332 on a social network site 340. Alternatively, the web-crawling module 308 may extract information from a web site on the company server 338 maintained by a business 336. In addition, the web crawling module 308 may search several internet data sources 340, including but not limited to company websites, directories, search engines, chamber of commerce websites, yellow page directories, white page directories, government data, directory data, chamber of commerce data, franchise data, business financial data, business owner data, securities reports or filing data, news article data, press release data, online databases, and the like. The web crawling module 308 may utilize data findings for corroboration of other data sources as well. For example, certain data elements or data records for certain industry segments may require three sources of corroboration before a verify, an update, and/or an append is executed. The web-crawling results for may thus be one source of that corroboration. In one embodiment, the administrator of the data verification system may set a system-wide or segment-specific policy to decide how many additional sources of corroboration are required before the web-crawling results are used to verify, update, and/or append.

In certain embodiments, web-crawling is performed worldwide on data sources located anywhere in the world. In certain embodiments, the data verification system compares and updates the data based on the data found from the web-crawling. In certain embodiments, the various internet data sources have a pre-determined trustworthiness ranking. In certain embodiments, the web-crawling method or program uses such trustworthiness ranking to determine whether to update consumer data based on the data from a particular internet data source.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system completes the web-crawler comparing and updating automatically. In certain embodiments, automatic updating is completed where there is no discrepancy between the data and the data obtained from one or more of the several internet data sources. In certain embodiments, automatic updating is completed when the internet data source has a high trustworthiness ranking. In certain embodiments, the data verification system presents to an operator the original data, and the data found from the web-crawling. In certain embodiments, an operator of the data verification system compares the original data with the data found from web-crawling and based on such a comparison, the operator directs the data verification system to update the data with or ignore the data found from the web-crawling. In certain embodiments, the operator manually updates the data.

In certain embodiments, web-crawling comprises the use of watching technology that monitors, for example, a certain

website for updates to the website such that the data is only updated when website updates are detected. In certain embodiments, the data verification system updates the data when the system receives a notification from the watching technology. In certain embodiments, updates to the website includes without limitation, for example, changes in text, images or other information provided on the website; or increases/decreases in: traffic to the website, number of unique visitors to the website, purchases transacted on the website, average user duration on the website or any other website metrics or analytics; or changes in related business or industry trends, financial market valuations or any other business intelligence indicator. In certain embodiments, the website metrics or analytics is provided by third party providers including without limitation, for example, Google Inc, onestat.com, or the like.

In certain embodiments, the web-crawler automatically determines whether to update the data based on a date and time comparison, wherein, for example, the web-crawler updates the existing data with the new data found on the internet if the internet data comprises a more recent creation date and/or time. In certain embodiments, the web-crawler determines the creation date and time of the newly found internet data by analyzing the date stamp information stored on the webpage or in the code of the webpage. In certain embodiments, the web-crawler or other system is configured to update the existing data with newly found data, and store in a database the corresponding stamp date and/or time information related to the newly found data. In certain embodiments, the stored stamp date and/or time data is compared with newly discovered internet data to determine whether such internet data was more recently created. In addition, to websites, the web-crawler may monitor a variety of systems, data sets, and applications, including a network of computers, application data, database data, and so forth. In certain embodiment embodiments, some or all of the web-crawling may be performed by a third party, such as, for example 365 Media, Velocyscape, and the like. Other embodiments of the foregoing will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art from the disclosure herein.

Agent Web Verification

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method selects at least a portion of the data to be verified, and causes the selected data to be verified by the agent web verification module 310. FIG. 4 shows one embodiment of the agent web verification method. At block 410, at least one agent, preferably multiple agents, review the selected data. At block 420, the agent(s) locate the data or similar data available on the internet. At block 430, the agent(s) compare the selected data to the data found on the internet in order to verify the quality of the selected data (for example, completeness, accuracy, and so forth). At block 440, the agent(s) determine whether to verify, update, and/or append the selected data based on the data found on the internet. If so, at block 450, the agent(s) update the selected data. Otherwise, at block 460, the agents ignore the located data.

In certain embodiments, agents are located at a central location wherein they have access to the data verification system via one or more network connections, such as, for example, a local area network (LAN) connection or the like. In certain embodiments, agents are located at distributed or multiple locations wherein the agents have access to the data verification system via one or more network connections, such as, for example, a wide area network (WAN) connection or the like.

Call/Tele-Verification

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method selects at least a portion of the data to be verified, and causes the selected data to be verified by a call verification methodology. FIG. 5 shows one embodiment of the call verification method, which may be performed by the tele-verification module 306. At block 510, at least one caller, preferably multiple callers, review the selected data. The caller may be a human or may be an automated calling program executed on a computer or an electronic device. At block 520, the caller(s) contact the business(es), the individual(s), the household(s) or other entities associated with the data in order to verify, update, append/enhance the data. At block 530, the caller(s) may verify, update, append/enhance the data based on the results obtained at block 520.

In certain embodiments, the callers use predefined scripts and/or customized scripts in making their calls. Customized scripts may be used for special industry segments. The scripts are configured to increase the number of data points that can be verified and/or to maintain a favorable call experience for the recipient of the call. In certain embodiments, the callers may be monitored by monitoring agents who can provide feedback to the callers to improve future call experiences.

By way of example and with reference to FIG. 3, the tele-verification module 306 or callers may contact individuals 332, households 334, and businesses 336 by various communication channels 324, including telephoning, emailing, mailing, internet calling, text-messaging, instant messaging, video messaging, voice-mailing, faxing or the like.

In certain embodiments, the callers determine whether to update the data with the information received from the contacting or ignore the information received from the contacting. The callers may be located at a central location wherein they have access to the data verification system via a network or the like, or they may be located at multiple locations, for example, a satellite office or the caller's home, wherein the caller has access to the data verification system via a network or the like. In certain embodiments, callers may be located at one or more countries across the globe.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system allows the callers to perform the contacting through the network and over the internet through voice over internet protocol (VOIP) technology. In certain embodiments, the callers are situated or designed to work with a team wherein the team makes calls to verify one or more selected types of data and/or to verify data from one or more selected data types. The teams are segmented to better utilize the callers, and may, for example, be segmented based on strengths and/or weaknesses of the data and/or the callers. For example, certain agents are trained to verify, update, and/or append certain data elements or data records for certain industry segments.

In certain embodiments, some or all of the call verification maybe performed by a third party provider such as, for example, ePerformax, eTelecare, Direct Mail, and the like. Other embodiments of any of the foregoing will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art from the disclosure herein.

Hybrid Method

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method uses a combination of at least two of the verification methods described above (web-crawling, agent web verification, call/tele-verification, direct mail, email, in-person or other methods) to verify the data. The verification system includes a verification method selection/artificial intelligence module 304 that selects the appropriate data verification method(s). In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method selects at least a portion of the data to be verified by one of the foregoing verification methods, while

selecting another portion of the data to be verified by another one of the foregoing verification methods.

FIG. 6 shows a sample method of applying data verification methods. At block 610, data to be verified is received. At block 620, a low cost verification method is used to verify the data received at block 610. Then at block 630, a higher cost verification method is used to verify the data. The higher cost verification method may be applied to all the data that is verified by the low cost method at block 620, or may be applied to a portion of the data that is verified by the low cost method. The data is verified, updated, or appended/enhanced depending on the results of the verification performed at block 620 and/or block 630. In certain embodiments, at block 650, the results of the higher cost verification method applied at block 630 are sent back as feedback to improve the results of the low cost verification method. Examples of this feedback may include the types of data records that can be verified solely with a low cost method, or the types of data records that should be verified with a higher cost method. For example, in certain embodiments, the data verification system executes a web-crawling verification method at block 620 and then monitors or tracks the agent's determinations to rank the trustworthiness of the internet data sources at block 630. In certain embodiments, such trustworthiness ranking data is used as feedback at block 650 to improve the accuracy of the web-crawling module executed at block 620. It is recognized that the blocks in FIG. 6 can be rearranged to accommodate various configurations, for example, a higher cost method may be used first.

Data Segmentation

Returning to FIG. 3, in certain embodiments the data verification system includes a data segmentation module 314 that segments data. In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method is configured to segment the data to determine which data elements relate to high value customers or clients. For example, the determination of whether a customer or client is high value or otherwise is based on several factors, including but not limited to income, home location, net worth, credit score, and so forth. Based on the segmentation, the data verification system may verify the segmented data relating to high value customers or clients before segmented data relating to lower value customers or clients. Data designated as high value or high priority may be verified by call/tele-verification or by the verification method that is most accurate. In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to have high value or high priority data verified by a combination of the foregoing verification methods in order to double check the accuracy of the data (for example, the combination shown in FIG. 6).

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method uses the data verification methodology with the highest or higher accuracy, or highest or higher ranking, as set forth above, to verify the segmented data relating to high value customers or clients. In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method uses the data verification methodology with the lowest or lower accuracy or lowest or lower ranking, as set forth above, to verify the segmented data relating to low value customers or clients.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method uses the data verification methodology with a medium accuracy or medium ranking to verify the segmented data relating to medium value customers or clients. In certain embodiments, the data verification system and/or method is configured to segment the data as soon as the data is received, or on a periodic basis, for example, daily, monthly, yearly or

the like. Other embodiments of any of the foregoing will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art from the disclosure herein.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system performs a segmentation of the data based on related industry and/or timing, and determines which verification methodology is most or more accurate or least or less expensive for collecting data related to the industry and/or timing. For example, for financial reporting data, the data verification system, in certain embodiments, is configured to use the web-crawling methodology to retrieve financial data from a specific financial data reporting website during earnings reporting season. For example, the web-crawling method may be configured to access 10-K reports of companies two weeks after they are required to file the reports with the Securities and Exchange Commission. In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to automatically route the data to be verified to the appropriate verification methodology, for example, call verification wherein the caller is part of a virtual call center that allows the caller to work from home.

In certain embodiments, data is segmented so that new data is verified by higher cost methods, and older data is verified by lower cost methods or vice versa. In other embodiments, data is segmented to ensure proper compliance with local, state, federal, national, and/or international laws/regulations. For example, consumer data may be segmented to take into account that consumer data regulation is stricter than business data regulation. Data may also thus be segmented by geographic locations to ensure proper compliance with local laws and regulations.

Artificial Intelligence

In certain embodiments, the data verification system/method includes an automated, artificial intelligence module 304 that evolves by tracking and learning patterns of successful updates, usability, best practices within segments of data, timing of year/month/day to attain best verification/updates/appends, and so forth. The artificial intelligence module 304 may take into account results generated by both the quality control module 312 and the segmentation module 314. In certain embodiments, the system/method including the artificial intelligence module 304 has the ability to, automatically or manually, (1) blend automatic and manual segmentation of records or elements by criteria such as industry type, best times of day/month/year to verify, update, and/or append, cost, and level of importance (2) select the best verification processing method(s), and (3) manage the results and properly verify, update, append/enhance records.

In certain embodiments, the artificial intelligence module 304 is configured to store and track the time period or season when a particular verification method produces the most or more accurate results and/or least or less expensive results and/or the most or more efficient results. In certain embodiments, the artificial intelligence module 304 is configured to store industry information related to the data such that the system is configured to determine which verification method to use given a particular industry and/or season/time period.

In certain embodiments, under the hybrid method and/or the tele-verification method, the processing of data (full records or specific elements of the records) may run through multi-tiered levels of verification/updates/appends depending on type/segment of data in order to gain the best data with the least related expense. With respect to FIG. 7, the sample multi-tiered method receives data to be verified at block 710. Then data is segmented into a plurality of segments and an appropriate data verification method is determined for each segment. In addition, the order in which the data verification

11

methods are applied may also be determined. In certain embodiments, the data verification methods and their order of execution may be determined by the verification method selection/artificial intelligence module **304**.

At block **730**, a first data verification method is applied to a first segment of the data. Then, at block **740**, a second data verification method is applied to a second segment of the data. Finally, at block **750**, a third data verification method is applied to a third segment of the data. As shown by block **760**, the number of data verification methods and the number of segments can be any number and are not limited to the example shown in FIG. 7. In addition, the data verification method for each segment does not have to be different, that is, the same data verification method may be applied to multiple segments. At each block where a segment is being verified, the results may be sent as feedback to improve the learning of the verification method selection/artificial intelligence module **304**. In addition, at each block where a segment is being verified, data may be verified, updated, or amended/enhanced according to the results of the data verification (block **770**). In other embodiments, the data is segmented by different elements and methods of verification are selected based on the characteristics of the elements.

The method shown in FIG. 7 may be further illustrated by the following example. For data records within the Business Services industry segment, the artificial intelligence module **304** could recognize or learn from feedback obtained from past operations that the best way to verify/update/append this type of data records is by (1) routing the main demographic elements (name, address, phone) to a automated dialing process to test connectivity of phone, (2) using the web-crawling process to extract on-line information or check automated postal deliverability system for address element updates, and (3) sending the data records to the tele-verification method for population of other in-depth data elements. The dialing process may be a one-dial process that puts phones on an automatic dialer during off-hours or on weekends. In one embodiment, the artificial intelligence module selects a lower cost data verification method (for example, web-crawling) for a data segment/element that has a characteristic indicating reliable data is readily available on-line and a higher cost data verification method (for example, agent web verification or tele-verification) for another data segment/element with a characteristic indicating reliable data is not readily available on-line.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to include one or more methods depending on the cost. Balancing against the cost of each verification method is the fact certain data elements or certain industry segments are more valuable than others. For example, a business name element is more valuable than other details of the business, and a data record in the business segment is more valuable than a data record in the government segment. Therefore, some valuable data elements/segments may be verified by multiple methods, including an expensive method such as call/tele-verification, while other data elements/segments may have a cost threshold that allow only certain data verification methods to be used. The artificial intelligence module may take the cost of verification and the value of the data elements and/or segments into account when it selects the verification method. As another example, the web-crawling may include one or more data sources that charge fees for their use such that using the web-crawling on those data course may exceed a threshold cost. In other embodiments, other costs may be considered.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to process the data on a real-time basis. In certain

12

embodiments, the data verification system is configured to process the data on a batch processing or periodic basis.

Quality Control/Method Ranking

In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to compare the accuracy of the foregoing data verification methods and rank the methods. In certain embodiments, the results of quality control and ranking performed by the quality control module **312** are sent to the artificial intelligence module **304** to assist the learning process and enhance future selection of data verification methods.

With reference to FIG. 8, the quality control module **312** may check the accuracy of data verification methods at block **810**. In certain embodiments, the quality control involves taking a sample of data records previous verified by a data verification method and compare them to the results of another data verification method. At block **820**, the quality control module **312** is configured to dynamically rank, continuously or periodically, the foregoing data verification methods relative to their accuracy.

At block **830**, the quality control module **312** is configured to dynamically compare and/or rank, continuously or periodically, the relative expense of using the foregoing verification methods. Optionally, at block **840**, the quality control module **312** is configured to dynamically compare and/or rank, continuously or periodically, the foregoing verification methods based on other business rules.

At block **850**, in certain embodiments, the quality control module **312** is configured to switch dynamically between the foregoing data verification methods. At block **860**, in certain embodiments, the quality control module **312** is configured to increase the use of highly ranked data verification methods over lower ranked data verification methods based on accuracy, expense, or other ranking criteria (for example, regulatory compliance, timing, and so forth). In certain embodiments, at block **870**, the data verification system provides reports, written or graphical or otherwise, for comparing the verification methods. The data verification system may provide reports that include without limitation, for example, dashboards, scorecards, or the like.

In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to signal to an operator or system administrator or project manager or the like when a certain data verification methodology falls below a certain accuracy level. In certain embodiments, the data verification system is configured to cause or start an investigation when the data verification system detects that a certain data verification methodology has fallen below a certain accuracy threshold.

Various Embodiments of System and Method Implementations

In certain embodiments, the systems and methods for verifying and updating data may be embodied in part or in whole in software that is running on a computing device. The functionality provided for in the components and modules of the computing device may comprise one or more components and/or modules. For example, the computing device may comprise multiple central processing units (CPUs) and a mass storage device, such as may be implemented in an array of servers.

In general, the word “module,” “application”, or “engine,” as used herein, refers to logic embodied in hardware and/or firmware, and/or to a collection of software instructions, possibly having entry and exit points, written in a programming language, such as, for example, Java, C, and/or C++. These may be compiled and linked into an executable program, installed in a dynamic link library, or may be written in an interpreted programming language such as, for example,

BASIC, Perl, or Python. It will be appreciated that modules, applications, and engines may be callable from others and/or from themselves, and/or may be invoked in response to detected events or interrupts. Instructions may be embedded in firmware, such as an EPROM.

It will be further appreciated that hardware may be comprised of connected logic units, such as gates and flip-flops, and/or may be comprised of programmable units, such as programmable gate arrays or processors. The modules, applications, and engines described herein are in certain applications preferably implemented as software modules, but may be represented in hardware or firmware in other implementations. Generally, the modules, applications, and engines described herein refer to logical modules that may be combined with other modules and/or divided into sub-modules despite their physical organization or storage.

In some embodiments, the computing device(s) communicates with one or more databases that store information on individuals, households, and businesses, including credit data and/or non-credit data. This database or databases may be implemented using a relational database, such as SQLite, Sybase, Oracle, CodeBase, MySQL, and Microsoft® SQL Server as well as other types of databases such as, for example, a flat file database, an entity-relationship database, and object-oriented database, and/or a record-based database.

In certain embodiments, the computing device is IBM, Macintosh, and/or Linux/Unix compatible. In another embodiment, the computing device comprises a server, a laptop computer, a cell phone, a Blackberry, a personal digital assistant, a kiosk, or an audio player, for example. In certain embodiments, the computing device includes one or more CPUs, which may each include microprocessors. The computing device may further include one or more memory devices, such as random access memory (RAM) for temporary storage of information and read only memory (ROM) for permanent storage of information, and one or more mass storage devices, such as hard drives, diskettes, or optical media storage devices. In certain embodiments, the modules of the computing are in communication via a standards based bus system, such as bus systems using Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI), Microchannel, SCSI, Industrial Standard Architecture (ISA) and Extended ISA (EISA) architectures, for example. In certain embodiments, components of the computing device communicate via a network, such as a local area network that may be secured.

The computing is generally controlled and coordinated by operating system software, such as the Windows 95, Windows 98, Windows NT, Windows 2000, Windows XP, Windows Vista, Linux, SunOS, Solaris, PalmOS, Blackberry OS, or other compatible operating systems. In Macintosh systems, the operating system may be any available operating system, such as MAC OS X. In other embodiments, the computing device may be controlled by a proprietary operating system. Conventional operating systems control and schedule computer processes for execution, perform memory management, provide file system, networking, and I/O services, and provide a user interface, such as a graphical user interface (GUI), among other things.

The computing device may include one or more commonly available input/output (I/O) devices and interfaces, such as a keyboard, mouse, touchpad, microphone, and printer. Thus, in certain embodiments the computing device may be controlled using the keyboard and mouse input devices, while in another embodiment the user may provide voice commands to the computing device via a microphone. In certain embodiments, the I/O devices and interfaces include one or more display device, such as a monitor, that allows the visual pre-

sentation of data to a user. More particularly, a display device provides for the presentation of GUIs, application software data, and multimedia presentations, for example. The computing device may also include one or more multimedia devices, such as speakers, video cards, graphics accelerators, and microphones, for example.

In certain embodiments, the I/O devices and interfaces provide a communication interface to various external devices. For example, the computing device may be configured to communicate with one or more networks, such as any combination of one or more LANs, WANs, or the Internet, for example, via a wired, wireless, or combination of wired and wireless, communication links. The network communicates with various computing devices and/or other electronic devices via wired or wireless communication links.

Although the foregoing disclosure has been described in terms of certain embodiments, other embodiments will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art from the disclosure herein. Moreover, the described embodiments have been presented by way of example only, and are not intended to limit the scope of the disclosure. Indeed, the novel methods and systems described herein may be embodied in a variety of other forms without departing from the spirit thereof. Accordingly, other combinations, omissions, substitutions and modifications will be apparent to the skilled artisan in view of the disclosure herein. For purposes of discussing the invention, certain aspects, advantages and novel features of the invention have been described herein. Of course, it is to be understood that not necessarily all such aspects, advantages or features will be embodied in any particular embodiment of the disclosure.

What is claimed is:

1. An automated system for verifying data, the system comprising:
 - an electronic data store that stores at least one data element associated with each of a plurality of individuals; and
 - a computing device in communication with the electronic data store and that is configured to:
 - retrieve from the electronic data store a plurality of data elements associated with an individual;
 - select one or more of the plurality of data elements associated with the individual to be verified;
 - electronically locate data similar to the selected one or more data elements associated with the individual on one or more network-accessible computing systems, wherein electronically locating the data similar to the selected one or more data elements comprises searching a plurality of websites for data associated with the individual;
 - compare the selected one or more data elements to the similar located data; and
 - based at least in part on the comparison, store one or more updated or appended data elements associated with the individual in the electronic data store, wherein the one or more data elements are updated or appended based at least in part on the located data.
2. The system of claim 1, wherein comparing the selected one or more data elements to the similar located data comprises determining data quality of both the selected data and the located data.
3. The system of claim 2, wherein the data quality is determined based at least in part on at least one of data completeness or data accuracy.
4. A computer-implemented method comprising:
 - as implemented by one or more computing devices configured with specific executable instructions,

15

retrieving from an electronic data store a plurality of data elements associated with an individual;
 selecting one or more of the plurality of data elements associated with the individual to be verified;
 electronically locating data similar to the selected one or more data elements associated with the individual on one or more network-accessible computing systems, wherein electronically locating the data similar to the selected one or more data elements comprises searching a plurality of websites for data associated with the individual;
 comparing the selected one or more data elements to the similar located data; and
 based at least in part on the comparison, storing one or more updated or appended data elements associated with the individual in the electronic data store, wherein the one or more data elements are updated or appended based at least in part on the located data.

5. The computer-implemented method of claim 4, wherein comparing the selected one or more data elements to the similar located data comprises determining data quality of both the selected data and the located data.

6. The computer-implemented method of claim 5, wherein the data quality is determined based at least in part on at least one of data completeness or data accuracy.

7. A computer-readable, non-transitory storage medium storing computer executable instructions that, when executed by one or more computer systems, configure the one or more computer systems to perform operations comprising:

16

retrieving from an electronic data store a plurality of data elements associated with an individual;
 selecting one or more of the plurality of data elements associated with the individual to be verified;
 electronically locating data similar to the selected one or more data elements associated with the individual on one or more network-accessible computing systems, wherein electronically locating the data similar to the selected one or more data elements comprises searching a plurality of websites for data associated with the individual;
 comparing the selected one or more data elements to the similar located data; and
 based at least in part on the comparison, storing one or more updated or appended data elements associated with the individual in the electronic data store, wherein the one or more data elements are updated or appended based at least in part on the located data.

8. The computer-readable, non-transitory storage medium of claim 7, wherein comparing the selected one or more data elements to the similar located data comprises determining data quality of both the selected data and the located data.

9. The computer-readable, non-transitory storage medium of claim 8, wherein the data quality is determined based at least in part on at least one of data completeness or data accuracy.

* * * * *