

US009315950B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Browning et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,315,950 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Apr. 19, 2016**

(54) **PAVING STONES**

(71) Applicant: **Oldcastle Architectural, Inc.**, Atlanta, GA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Leonard Browning**, Aurora, IL (US);
Robert J. Lundell, Stillwater, MN (US)

(73) Assignee: **Oldcastle Architectural, Inc.**, Atlanta, GA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/056,220**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 17, 2013**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2014/0112715 A1 Apr. 24, 2014

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 61/716,033, filed on Oct. 19, 2012.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
E01C 5/06 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **E01C 5/06** (2013.01); **E01C 2201/02** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC E01C 5/00; E01C 5/06; E01C 5/005; E01C 2201/02; E01C 2201/06; E01C 2201/12
USPC 404/34, 37, 41, 42
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

653,515 A	7/1900	Kennedy
1,474,779 A	11/1923	Kammer
1,479,647 A	1/1924	Carroll
1,600,787 A	9/1926	Ardit
1,953,657 A	4/1934	Pierce
2,050,299 A	8/1936	Evers
D102,144 S	12/1936	Parker
2,605,681 A	8/1952	Thrief
2,606,428 A	8/1952	Oldfather
2,662,343 A	12/1953	Rice
2,893,098 A	7/1959	Tilley
2,991,213 A	7/1961	Williams
3,171,335 A	3/1965	Pincon et al.
D204,803 S	5/1966	Leeth
3,267,823 A	8/1966	MacRae

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

BE	570711	11/1961
CA	1150553	7/1983

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

U.S. Appl. No. 14/948,527, filed Nov. 23, 2015, Castonguay et al.
(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Abigail A Risic

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Generally L-shaped, square, rectangular, triangular, hexagonal, parallelogram and other-shaped paving stones with inter-fitting vertical spacers forming serpentine side contact surfaces that provide enhanced stone-to-stone interlocking in both water-permeable and water-impermeable paving installations.

20 Claims, 10 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,386,001 A	5/1968	Slosberg et al.	D399,978 S	10/1998	Barth et al.
3,600,773 A	8/1971	Davis et al.	D404,147 S	1/1999	Woolford
D230,478 S	2/1974	Littman et al.	5,884,445 A	3/1999	Woolford
D231,926 S	6/1974	Appleton et al.	5,887,846 A	3/1999	Hupp
3,870,423 A	3/1975	Peitz, Jr.	5,902,069 A	5/1999	Barth et al.
3,903,702 A	9/1975	Appleton	5,921,705 A	7/1999	Hodson et al.
3,947,192 A	3/1976	Rosenberger	5,945,181 A	8/1999	Fisher
4,026,083 A	5/1977	Hoyt et al.	D424,212 S	5/2000	Abbrancati
4,078,760 A	3/1978	Mullins	D426,897 S	6/2000	Abbracati
4,105,354 A	8/1978	Bowman	6,073,411 A	6/2000	Ciccarello
4,125,341 A	11/1978	Reinschutz	D429,343 S	8/2000	Milot
4,131,406 A	12/1978	Fresquez	D429,530 S	8/2000	Fleishman
4,135,840 A	1/1979	Puccini et al.	D431,870 S	10/2000	Ziegler, Jr.
4,217,740 A	8/1980	Assanti	D431,871 S	10/2000	Abbrancati
4,231,677 A	11/1980	Roming	6,168,347 B1	1/2001	Milot et al.
D257,824 S	1/1981	Puccini et al.	D439,677 S	3/2001	Mattox
D257,825 S	1/1981	Puccini et al.	6,263,633 B1	7/2001	Hagenah
4,287,141 A	9/1981	Russell	D452,015 S	12/2001	Aurelius
4,313,689 A	2/1982	Reinschutz	RE37,694 E	5/2002	Riccobene
4,349,293 A	9/1982	Rosenberger	D463,866 S	10/2002	Jang
4,354,773 A	10/1982	Noack	6,471,440 B1	10/2002	Scheiwiller
4,407,480 A	10/1983	Trimmer et al.	D471,990 S	3/2003	Riccobene
D272,037 S	1/1984	Puccini	6,536,988 B2	3/2003	Geiger
4,452,419 A	6/1984	Saleeba	D480,819 S	10/2003	Barbier
4,510,725 A	4/1985	Wilson	6,668,484 B2	12/2003	Riccobene
4,544,305 A	10/1985	Hair	D486,246 S	2/2004	Manthei
D281,505 S	11/1985	Larsesn et al.	D488,566 S	4/2004	Fleishman
4,572,699 A *	2/1986	Rinninger 404/42	6,715,956 B1	4/2004	Weber et al.
4,609,303 A	9/1986	Shumaker	D492,796 S	7/2004	Price
4,627,764 A	12/1986	Scheiwiller	6,881,463 B2	4/2005	Riccobene
D287,884 S	1/1987	Scheiwiller	D505,733 S	5/2005	Castonguay et al.
4,761,095 A	8/1988	Bartlechner	D506,013 S	6/2005	Anderson et al.
4,773,790 A	9/1988	Hagenah	D522,667 S	6/2006	Castonguay et al.
4,776,723 A	10/1988	Brimo	D536,058 S	1/2007	Riccobene
4,792,257 A	12/1988	Rinninger	D537,501 S	2/2007	Riccobene
4,828,426 A	5/1989	Hendricks et al.	D537,959 S	3/2007	Castonguay et al.
4,834,575 A	5/1989	Barth	D540,954 S	4/2007	Bouchard
4,838,728 A	6/1989	McKeever	D541,436 S	4/2007	Wissman
4,919,565 A	4/1990	Göpfert	D543,642 S	5/2007	Castonguay et al.
4,921,372 A	5/1990	Hybertson	D550,375 S	9/2007	Thomassen et al.
D314,240 S	1/1991	Scheiwiller	D553,260 S	10/2007	Castonguay et al.
4,997,308 A	3/1991	Welling, Jr.	D553,759 S	10/2007	Hamel
5,051,023 A	9/1991	Yoshida et al.	7,393,155 B2	7/2008	Riccobene
5,108,219 A	4/1992	Hair	7,425,106 B2	9/2008	Altmann et al.
5,133,620 A	7/1992	Scheiwiller	D578,658 S	10/2008	Keys
5,201,843 A	4/1993	Hair	D586,925 S	2/2009	Riccobene
5,211,895 A	5/1993	Jacklich, Sr.	D590,070 S	4/2009	Castonguay et al.
5,230,584 A	7/1993	Grossman	D590,071 S	4/2009	Castonguay et al.
5,244,303 A	9/1993	Hair	D590,072 S	4/2009	Castonguay et al.
D342,528 S	12/1993	Hupp	D602,173 S	10/2009	Thomassen
5,267,810 A	12/1993	Johnson	D602,604 S	10/2009	Harris
D343,237 S	1/1994	Johnson, II	D606,210 S	12/2009	Thomassen
D343,238 S	1/1994	Hair	7,637,688 B2	12/2009	Riccobene
5,277,514 A	1/1994	Glickman	7,674,067 B2	3/2010	Riccobene
5,281,047 A	1/1994	Skaug	D618,364 S	6/2010	Schrom et al.
5,286,139 A	2/1994	Hair	D620,616 S	7/2010	Ciccarello
D349,967 S	8/1994	Krueger et al.	D624,202 S	9/2010	Thomassen et al.
5,342,142 A	8/1994	Barth et al.	D624,203 S	9/2010	Thomassen et al.
5,348,417 A	9/1994	Scheiwiller	7,811,027 B2 *	10/2010	Scheiwiller 404/38
5,449,245 A	9/1995	Glickman	7,850,393 B2	12/2010	Hamel
5,486,066 A	1/1996	Hagenah	D640,800 S	6/2011	Thomassen
5,487,526 A	1/1996	Hupp	D643,544 S	8/2011	Thomassen
5,496,129 A	3/1996	Dube	7,988,382 B2	8/2011	Castonguay et al.
5,520,388 A	5/1996	Osborn	7,993,718 B2	8/2011	Riccobene
5,524,396 A	6/1996	Lalvani	D645,573 S	9/2011	Dallaire et al.
5,560,173 A *	10/1996	Scheiwiller 52/608	D645,574 S	9/2011	Thomassen
5,568,391 A	10/1996	Mckee	8,011,152 B2	9/2011	Thomassen
5,588,775 A	12/1996	Hagenah	D646,600 S	10/2011	Minkkinen
5,597,591 A	1/1997	Hagenah	8,132,981 B2	3/2012	Castonguay et al.
5,619,830 A	4/1997	Osborn	D660,982 S	5/2012	Thomassen
5,625,990 A	5/1997	Hazlett	D664,677 S	7/2012	Riccobene
5,645,369 A	7/1997	Geiger	8,226,323 B2	7/2012	Bouchard et al.
5,713,155 A	2/1998	Prestele	8,282,311 B2	10/2012	Chow
5,797,698 A *	8/1998	Barth et al. 404/39	8,298,641 B2	10/2012	Riccobene
D397,802 S	9/1998	Terry	8,337,116 B2	12/2012	Castonguay et al.
			8,413,397 B2	4/2013	Lacas et al.
			8,500,361 B2	8/2013	Castonguay et al.
			D695,915 S	12/2013	Dignard et al.
			D695,916 S	12/2013	Dignard et al.

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

D695,917 S 12/2013 Dignard et al.
 D695,918 S 12/2013 Dignard et al.
 D695,919 S 12/2013 Dignard et al.
 D695,920 S 12/2013 Dignard
 D695,921 S 12/2013 Dignard
 D695,922 S 12/2013 Dignard
 8,609,215 B2 12/2013 Riccobene
 8,616,803 B2* 12/2013 Gebhart 404/38
 8,667,752 B2* 3/2014 Pollack 52/302.1
 8,668,404 B2 3/2014 Bouchard et al.
 8,747,019 B2 6/2014 Castonguay et al.
 8,769,896 B2 7/2014 Lacas et al.
 8,967,907 B2 3/2015 Castonguay et al.
 9,057,197 B2 6/2015 Lacas et al.
 9,193,215 B2 11/2015 Castonguay et al.
 2003/0007834 A1* 1/2003 Bolduc et al. 404/41
 2007/0077387 A1 4/2007 Riccobene
 2007/0217865 A1 9/2007 Castonguay et al.
 2008/0095577 A1 4/2008 Brun
 2008/0101860 A1* 5/2008 Scheiwiller 404/42
 2008/0209828 A1 9/2008 Riccobene
 2008/0240857 A1 10/2008 Ciccarello
 2009/0097916 A1* 4/2009 Schroder 404/41
 2010/0236174 A1 9/2010 Castonguay et al.
 2010/0307092 A1 12/2010 Bouchard et al.
 2011/0067333 A1 3/2011 Lacas et al.
 2012/0003040 A1 1/2012 Castonguay et al.
 2012/0057933 A1* 3/2012 Gebhart 404/41
 2012/0189386 A1 7/2012 Castonguay et al.
 2012/0247050 A1 10/2012 Bouchard et al.
 2013/0017016 A1 1/2013 Castonguay et al.
 2013/0259569 A1 10/2013 Castonguay et al.
 2013/0263543 A1 10/2013 Lacas et al.
 2013/0302088 A1* 11/2013 Penshorn 404/41
 2014/0047788 A1 2/2014 Riccobene
 2014/0112715 A1 4/2014 Browning et al.
 2014/0169878 A1* 6/2014 MacDonald et al. 404/41
 2014/0205807 A1 7/2014 Lacas et al.
 2014/0241799 A1 8/2014 Castonguay et al.
 2015/0104588 A1 4/2015 Castonguay et al.
 2015/0176224 A1 6/2015 Dignard et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 2083215 5/1994
 CA 2519296 10/2004
 CA 2569998 5/2006
 CA 2616200 4/2008
 CH 562921 6/1975
 DE 7122262 11/1971
 DE 3533020 3/1987
 DE 4036461 A1* 5/1991 E01C 5/06
 DE 9211118 3/1993
 DE 4232300 3/1994
 DE 4333942 4/1995
 DE 19747421 4/1999
 DE 19937639 2/2000
 DE 29922003 2/2000
 DE 10001967 7/2001
 DE 20101214 5/2002
 EP 0424592 5/1991
 EP 0666372 A1 8/1995
 FR 2354416 1/1978
 GB 1094632 12/1967
 GB DES. 1047163 12/1987
 GB 2208883 4/1989
 GB 2214206 8/1989
 JP 2002/0285504 10/2002
 JP 1180760 6/2003
 JP 1180761 6/2003
 JP 1180860 6/2003
 JP 1180861 6/2003
 JP 2004-124634 4/2004
 JP 3640654 1/2005

NL 7415523 6/1976
 SE DES. 44357 10/1988
 WO 94/15025 7/1994
 WO 0144578 6/2001
 WO 01/53612 7/2001
 WO 02059423 8/2002
 WO 02/089934 11/2002
 WO 02095133 11/2002
 WO 2005084900 9/2005
 WO 2006045192 5/2006
 WO 2009039617 4/2009
 WO 2009140760 11/2009

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Lawrence, Backyard Brickwork, 1989, p. 76, Garden Way Publishing, Pownal, VT, U.S.A.
 Fitzgerald, Basic Masonry Illustrated, a Sunset Book, 1981, pp. 76-77, Lane Publishing Co., Menlo Park, CA, U.S.A.
 Bomanite Corp., "Leadership: A Reputation for Excellence, Innovation & Experience", 5 sheets of literature, available at least as early as Oct. 24, 2004.
 Brickform Patterns—1 Sheet, 1994.
 Brickform Texture Mats—2 Sheets, 1988.
 Brickform Tools-Texture Mats—4 Sheets, available at least as early as Oct. 24, 2004, 4 sheets.
 Color Tile Advertisement, Royal Rock Ceramic Tile, Jan. 14, 1990, Houston Post, Houston, TX, U.S.A.
 Creative Impressions, Ltd., Export Price List and Drawings, Apr. 1990, U.K.
 Exhibit G-Photocopy of Front of Color Tile Royal Rock Ceramic Tile, available at least as early as Oct. 24, 2004.
 Exhibit H-Photocopy of Rear of Color Tile Royal Rock Ceramic Tile, available at least as early as Oct. 24, 2004.
 Decristoforo, Handyman's Guide to Concrete and Masonry, 1978, pp. 183-189, Reston Publishing Co., Inc., Reston, VA, U.S.A.
 Decristoforo, Handyman's Guide to Concrete and Masonry Handbook, 1960, p. 70, Arco Publishing Co., Inc., New York City, NY, U.S.A.
 Lasting Impressions in Concrete, Inc., available at least as early as Oct. 24, 2004, 6 sheets of literature.
 Patterned Concrete Industries, Inc., Specifications, available at least as early as Oct. 24, 2004, 3 sheets.
 Sweet's Catalog, vol. 2 Bomacron Patterns, 1994.
 Sweets General Building and Renovation, 1993 Catalog File, p. 11, Anchor Buylines 6518, 04200/ANC.
 Duncan, The Complete Book of Outdoor Masonry, 1977, pp. 342-345, TAB Books, Blue Ridge Summit, PA, U.S.A.
 Uni-Group U.S.A.—Manufacture of Uni Paving Stones The Original. The Best., 1992, Palm Beach Gardens, FL, U.S.A.
 Grunbaum, B. and Shephard, G.C., "Tilings and Patterns," 1987, pp. 288-290, 510 W.H. Freeman and Company, New York, N.Y.
 "Landscapes Become Dreamscapes," Pavestone Company, 2003, 2 pages.
 Neolithics Masonry Design, www.neolithicsusa.com, Nov. 2003, 3 pages.
 Author: Jinny Beyer, Designing Tessellations: The Secrets of Interlocking Patterns, Chapter 7: The Keys to creating Interlocking Tessellations: pp. 1-7, 16-17 and 125-165, 1999.
 Nature Walk™ Natural Flagstone Appeal for Pedestrian Traffic, 2001, 4 pages.
 Website: www.sf-koooperation.de/english/index—Pentalith, Canteon, Jul. 2001, 3 pages.
 Website: www.sf-koooperation.de/english/index—Canteon®; CIS 300-10; Pentalith, Sep. 2003, 5 pages.
 Retaining Walls, Pavestone Brochure, published 2002, 6 pages.
 Concrete Landscaping/Products, Oldcastle Brochure, published 2002, 12 pages.
 Website: www.mathforum.org/sum95/suzanne/whattess.html—What is Tessellation?—dated Apr. 24, 2002, 4 pages.
 Beautiful Edgers, Pavestone Brochure, published 2002, 5 pages.
 Website: www.superstone.com—Split Rock, Dec. 2002, 1 page.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Website: www.matcrete.net/RandomStone.htm—Matcrete The Ultimate in Concrete Design, Dec. 2002, 1 page.

Patio Dreamscapes, Pavestone Brochure; Sandstone System, published 2003, 5 pages.

Landscaping Stones, Mat Stone Brochure, Nature Walk, Garden Walk, published 2003, 2 pages.

Paving Stone Dreamscapes, Pavestone Brochure, published 2003, 13 pages.

Website: www.geckostone.com—Geckostone™, Mar. 2003, 4 pages.

Website: www.learningcompanyschool.com—TesselMania! Deluxe, Jun. 2003, 3 pages.

Website: riverdeep.net/products/other/tesselmania.jhtml—TesselMania!, Jun. 2003, 4 pages.

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4





Fig. 5

Fig. 6






Fig. 7




Fig. 8




Fig. 9




Fig. 10




Fig. 11




Fig. 12

PAVING STONES**CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION**

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 61/716,033 for "Paving Stones" filed Oct. 19, 2012, Len Browning and Robert J. Lundell, inventors, which is incorporated in this application in its entirety by this reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to paving stones and blocks, natural and manmade.

BACKGROUND

Paving stones have been in use for thousands of years. Initially such stones were literally that, naturally occurring stones or rocks of various shapes and compositions. Even the earliest installers of such stones recognized that stones of regular shapes and sizes facilitated their installation and effectiveness in use. That doubtless led initially to care in selecting stones and placing them and later to preparatory shaping of stones to facilitate their installation and function. Eventually such regular shapes came to be embodied in man-made bricks and "stones," including a variety of different shapes and compositions.

Notwithstanding thousands of years of human experience in paving a variety of different surfaces for a variety of different reasons and uses, the need continues for pavers that can better address certain requirements.

Among those requirements are the need to successfully transfer the load of vehicles that use the paving to the subgrade without damaging the base course or its stability.

As is explained by the ICPI Tech SPEC Number 1 (Glossary of Terms for Segmental Concrete Pavement), interlocking concrete pavement is a system of paving that consists of discrete, hand-sized paving units with either rectangular or dentated shapes manufactured from concrete. The units are placed in an interlocking pattern, compacted into coarse bedding sand, the joints filled with sand and compacted again to start interlock.

Interlocking results when frictional forces between paving units prevent them from rotating, or moving horizontally or vertically in relation to each other. Interlocking is also defined as the inability of a concrete paver to move independently of its neighbors. Friction forces enable load transfer among the paving units. There are three kinds of load transfer, vertical interlock, horizontal interlock and rotational interlock. Vertical interlock is achieved by shear transfer of loads to surrounding units through sand in the joints. Horizontal interlock is primarily achieved through the use of laying patterns that disperse forced from braking and accelerating vehicles. Rotational interlock is achieved by using pavers of sufficient thickness, placed closely together, and restraining them by a stationary edge such as a curb.

Interlocking is not, however, the only characteristic of concern. Many paver installations need to accommodate the flow of water through the paved surface and into underlying structures, installations of which pavers are sometimes referred to as permeable interlocking concrete pavement.

Likewise, it is important the pavers be attractive, easy to install manually or with mechanical systems, and that they be easy to manufacture economically, quickly and reliably with-

out undue complexity in the manufacturing equipment and without undue difficulty associated with wear of the manufacturing equipment.

SUMMARY

The terms "invention," "the invention," "this invention" and "the present invention" used in this patent are intended to refer broadly to all of the subject matter of this patent and the patent claims below. Statements containing these terms should be understood not to limit the subject matter described herein or to limit the meaning or scope of the patent claims below. Embodiments of the invention covered by this patent are defined by the claims below, not this summary. This summary is a high-level overview of various aspects of the invention and introduces some of the concepts that are further described in the Detailed Description section below. This summary is not intended to identify key or essential features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended to be used in isolation to determine the scope of the claimed subject matter. The subject matter should be understood by reference to appropriate portions of the entire specification of this patent, any or all drawings and each claim.

The pavers of this invention are attractive, easy and inexpensive to manufacture and install and can be assembled into strong paver systems that achieve good vertical, horizontal and rotational interlocking, balanced with substantial permeability to allow water to drain through such systems into underlying structures.

Pavers of this invention may be made in a number of different shapes, usually generally (a) square, (b) rectangular, (c) triangular, or (d) that can be thought of as combinations of squares, rectangles and triangles (such as L-shaped and parallelogram-shaped stones). The pavers of this invention may also be made in other shapes such as hexagons.

Significantly, the vertical walls of these pavers include "serpentine," "sinuous," "wavy," "saw tooth," "sinusoidal" or "crenelated" portions (when viewed in plan or "from above") that are positioned to inter-fit with like-shaped portions of other pavers of this system in assemblies of pavers. (The term "serpentine" will generally be used in this patent to mean all of the above and other appropriate terms for wall portions of the general shapes described and depicted herein).

These serpentine wall portions contact abutting paver wall portions to maintain desired separation between pavers to permit vertical water flow when desired. Moreover, the serpentine wall portions providing superior frictional vertical interlocking, as well very effective horizontal and rotational interlocking between pavers. These serpentine wall portions are easier to produce and more durable than more angular side wall shapes. Superior frictional interlocking enables pavers of particular geometries and dimensions to accommodate more water flow because there is relatively more room for vertical water flow channels.

While serpentine wall portions can be used with numerous other paver shapes such as hexagons, among others, they are well-adapted for use with pavers that are rectangles, including squares, or combinations of rectangles, such as L-shaped pavers, triangles, parallelograms and combinations of triangles and rectangles.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Illustrative embodiments of the present invention are described in detail below with reference to the following drawing figures:

3

FIG. 1 is an isometric view of a generally L-shaped embodiment of a paving stone of the this invention.

FIG. 2 is a top plan view of two abutting L-shaped stones like the one shown in FIG. 1.

FIG. 3 is a top plan view of one embodiment of a mold 5 pallet layout of L-shaped stones like those shown in FIG. 1.

FIG. 4 is a top plan view of an arrangement of several different paving stone embodiments of this invention.

FIG. 5 is a model of a paving stone edge shape illustrating the length of contacting faces with an exemplary serpentine 10 block edge shape.

FIG. 6 is an enlarged fragment of FIG. 5 taken at circle "6."

FIG. 7 is a horizontal cross section of the stone of FIG. 1.

FIG. 8 is an enlarged fragment of FIG. 7 taken at circle "8."

FIG. 9 is a view similar to FIG. 1 with a "sinuous" or 15 "serpentine" portion of the paver wall projected and flattened to show its greater effective contact area.

FIG. 10 depicts an alternative embodiment of the pavers of this invention with abutting walls for substantially water impermeable paving installations.

FIG. 11 depicts a top plan view of hexagonal paving stone of this invention.

FIG. 12 is a top plan view of an assembly of hexagonal stone like the stone of FIG. 11.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The subject matter of embodiments of the present invention is described here with specificity to meet statutory requirements, but this description is not necessarily intended to limit the scope of the claims. The claimed subject matter may be embodied in other ways, may include different elements or steps, and may be used in conjunction with other existing or future technologies. This description should not be interpreted as implying any particular order or arrangement 30 among or between various steps or elements except when the order of individual steps or arrangement of elements is explicitly described.

FIGS. 1 and 2 depict an L-shaped paving stone 10 having false joints 12 that look like the full joints 14 visible in FIG. 2 to create an installed pattern appearing to be made of square pavers 16. Each stone 10 has side walls 18 and spacers 20 with curved cross-section crowns 22. Spacers 20 serve at least two purposes. First, they separate stones 10 in a pavement installation so that (a) there are vertical channels 17 between stones 10 that can "filled" with clean, open graded fine aggregate and through which water can flow and (b) stones 10 will be uniformly spaced and aligned in paving installations. Second, the spacers provide interlocking contact surfaces 21 between 40 tiles that interlock the stones 10 vertically, horizontally and rotationally.

As may be appreciated by reference to FIG. 2, arrangements of L-shaped stones 10 with the square paver units 16 oriented in rows and columns will result in inter-fitting of spacers 20 with the crown or furthest protruding portion 22 of spacers 20 on one stone 10 in contact with the side walls 18 of an adjacent stone 10.

FIG. 8 provides an enlarged fragment showing an exemplary spacer 20 geometry and dimensions, but other geometries and dimensions are also possible. The tops 9 of spacers 20 can be square to the spacer 20 and paver walls 18, but a sloping transition as depicted in the drawings typically is easier to manufacture and more durable in transportation, placement and use. In embodiments of this invention depicted in the drawings, (apart from the spacer top or transition 9) 60 each spacer has two planer surfaces joined to each other by a curved or crown surface 22. Utilizing the geometry and

4

dimensions depicted in the figures for spacers 20 (with spacers 20 projecting or protruding from walls 18 about one-third inch (specifically, for instance, projecting 0.336 inches (8.53 mm)) will provide spacing (15 on FIG. 2) of approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ to $\frac{3}{8}$ inch between opposed walls 18 in assemblies of L-shaped blocks 10.

Use of spacer 20 walls 11 at an inside angle relative to the plane of wall 18 of approximately 45 degrees and, therefore, at an outside angle relative to the plane of wall 18 of approximately 135 degrees (so that the two spacer walls 11 of each spacer 20 are separated by approximately 90 degrees from each other) is beneficial and therefore desirable. For instance, use of the curved crown 22 and the planar wall angles described here makes the spacers easy to form and durable in manufacture, shipment, placement and use. However, other angles could also be used, and other shorter or longer radii than the radius of approximately 0.4 inches or less (about 10 mm or less) shown in FIG. 8 could be used.

As may be appreciated by reference to FIG. 7, the locations 20 of spacers 20 on opposite walls of L-shaped pavers 10 are offset from each other by one-half of the distance between adjacent spacers 20 on each paver 10. This facilitates the inner-fitting of spacers 20 on abutting pavers 10 (illustrated in FIGS. 2, 4 and 9). The illustrated arrangement of pairs of spacers 18 result in automatic inter-fitting of spacers in substantially all practical arrangements of L-shaped blocks 10.

Alternative sizes and shapes of pavers are shown in FIG. 4, which includes small square pavers 22, large square pavers 24, large rectangular pavers 26, small rectangular pavers 28, small triangular pavers 29, large triangular pavers 31 and parallelogram pavers 33. Hexagonal pavers 37 are shown in FIGS. 11 and 12. As is depicted in FIGS. 4 and 12, the narrow rectangular pavers 28 have room for only one spacer 20 one each paver 28 end 35 using spacers 20 of the size and locations depicted in the drawings. As is clear from the Figures, particularly including FIG. 4, this invention may be embodied in pavers having a number of different general shapes. For simplicity only, however, much of the description herein focuses on and describes an exemplary L-shaped paver 10. The embodiments of the present invention and the following patent claims should not be understood to be limited to L-shaped pavers or any other particular paver shape unless a description or claim explicitly contains such a limitation.

As mentioned above, vertical interlocking between paving stones is achieved by shear transfer of loads to surrounding units. This occurs as a result of contact between the sides of pavers and through coarse sand or other aggregated in the joints between the opposed sides of closely spaced pavers. Some horizontal interlocking occurs for the same reason, but the laying pattern of pavers and interlocking between pavers also contribute to horizontal interlocking.

The "serpentine," "sinuous," "wavy," "saw tooth," "sinusoidal" or "crenelated" portions of the side walls of the pavers of this invention provide enhanced vertical interlocking because they increase and optimize the surface areas of abutting pavers that are in contact with each other (directly or through coarse sand or other aggregate in the joints). Simply stated, more surface contact (for a given side wall portion), better resists relative vertical movement between adjacent stones, and this results is greater vertical interlocking. The surface interface between abutting pavers 10 of this invention is not a plane (as would be the case with flat walls) but is an undulating or wavy surface.

Horizontal interlocking occurs as a result of similar contact 65 between the sides of pavers and through coarse sand or other aggregate in the joints between the opposed sides of closely spaced pavers. Significantly, horizontal interlocking also

5

occurs in the interlocking structure of the side walls **18**. Force applied horizontally tending to cause relative horizontal movement between abutting stones is resisted by friction and by the protruding ridges **20** that transfer force from the ridges **20** on one paver **10** to the ridges **20** on an abutting paver **10**.

FIGS. **5** and **6** facilitate description of these reasons that enhanced interlocking may be achieved in embodiments of this invention. FIG. **5** depicts a hypothetical square paver **30** that has spacers **20** that are shown (on the top and right sides) inter-fitted with other spacers **20** on a second square paver **32**. The “contact path” **34** (that is, an “edge view” of a contact surface between pavers) is illustrated, together with a hypothetical “straight line” contact path **36**. As can be easily seen by comparison of these lines **34** and **36**, the serpentine path is longer. For a 12 inch square paver **30** with the spacer **20** shape and geometry illustrated in the figures and described above, the serpentine path has been calculated to be 8.62% longer than the straight line path **36**, which means an 8.62% larger contact surface. Such a larger, sinusoidal, wavy or undulating contact surface **38** is depicted in FIG. **9** as if separated from the stone **10** and is compared to a flat surface **40** occupying the same portion of the stone **10** wall **18** as the undulating surface **38**. If undulating surface **38** is “flattened,” it is the same height but is wider and therefore a larger surface **42**. Thus the vertically-extending straight, horizontally-extending serpentine contact surface **38** provides a greater contact area for a given width of paver **10** wall **18**. This reduction in the portion of the side that needs to be used in interlocking permits a larger area where the facing walls **18** are separated. At the same time it provides enhanced interlocking, a vertically-extending straight, horizontally-extending serpentine surface shape permits and facilitates formation of the pavers **10** using conventional paver-making equipment and likewise facilitates relative vertical movement of pavers **10** in placement or removal of the pavers.

As a consequence of the capacity for achieving acceptably significant interlocking utilizing the serpentine shapes described above, greater portions of a particular side wall **18** can be straight and separated from the opposing side wall **18** of an adjacent block, thereby providing greater areas **17** (see FIG. **2**) and greater capacity for flow of water down between the opposed side walls **18** and into underlining structure in a paving system designed to permit water infiltration.

If embodiments of the pavers **10**, **19**, **24**, **26**, **28**, **29**, **31**, **33** and **37** of this invention are to be used in installations where water infiltration is not needed, as is illustrated in FIG. **10**, opposed portions of the walls **17** of pavers **50** can abut at **52** (with space for coarse concrete sand), thereby enhancing frictional interlocking of the pavers because of the greater wall contact. Additional spacers **20** could also be used to further enhance interlocking since areas with wide gaps for water infiltration are not needed.

The design of the spacer **20** of this invention permits the produced products to grow slightly in size as a result of wear of the manufacturing molds and, in effect, adjust to the enlarged units without creating interferences because the interfacing block shapes are forgiving and can be simply scaled up or down without changing block to block relationships.

In addition to increased frictional area between abutting pavers as a result of the serpentine frictional area, the projecting spacers resist relative motion of pavers horizontally because the projecting spacers **20** act as “stops” resisting such relative movement.

Different arrangements of the components depicted in the drawings or described above, as well as components and steps not shown or described are possible. Similarly, some features

6

and subcombinations are useful and may be employed without reference to other features and subcombinations. Embodiments of the invention have been described for illustrative and not restrictive purposes, and alternative embodiments will become apparent to readers of this patent. Accordingly, the present invention is not limited to the embodiments described above or depicted in the drawings, and various embodiments and modifications can be made without departing from the scope of the claims below.

That which is claimed is:

1. An assembly of dry-cast concrete pavers arranged on a permeable substrate,

wherein each paver comprises:

- a. generally planer, generally parallel top and bottom surfaces,
- b. generally planer vertical walls perpendicular to the top and bottom surfaces, and
- c. a pair of vertically extending spacers protruding from at least one vertical wall, wherein each spacer comprises:
 - i. two planer surfaces arranged at approximately 90 degrees to each other and arranged at an outside angle of approximately 135 degrees relative to the vertical wall,
 - ii. wherein the two planer surfaces form a triangular portion that protrudes from the vertical wall, wherein the triangular portion comprises a rounded point having a radius of 10 mm or less,
 - iii. wherein a distance from the vertical wall to the rounded point is approximately 8.5 mm,

wherein at least two pavers are arranged in an abutting relationship so that the pair of spacers protruding from the vertical wall of the first paver inter-fit with the pair of spacers protruding from the vertical wall of the second paver,

wherein adjacent planer surfaces of the inter-fit spacers are in frictional contact with each other to vertically, horizontally, and rotationally interlock the abutting pavers.

2. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein a combined length of the two planer surfaces and the rounded point of each spacer is at least 8% greater than a length of a base of the triangular portion that extends between the two planer surfaces adjacent the vertical wall.

3. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein the rounded point of each spacer is in contact with the vertical wall of the abutting paver.

4. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein the spacers separate the opposed vertical walls of the abutting pavers by approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ inch to $\frac{3}{8}$ inch.

5. The assembly of claim **4**, wherein gaps between the opposed vertical walls of the abutting pavers contain clean, open graded fine aggregate.

6. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein a plurality of the pavers are generally L-shaped in plan.

7. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein a plurality of the pavers are generally square in plan.

8. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein a plurality of the pavers are generally rectangular in plan.

9. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein a plurality of the pavers are generally triangular in plan.

10. The assembly of claim **1**, wherein a plurality of the pavers are generally parallelogram-shaped in plan.

11. An assembly of dry-cast concrete pavers arranged on a permeable substrate,

7

wherein each paver comprises:

a generally polygonal body;

a pair of generally congruent first and second walls extending radially from a first vertex of the polygonal body and being rotationally spaced from each other by an interior angle greater than 180° so that the first vertex is concave; and

a pair of vertically extending spacers protruding from the first wall and the second wall, wherein each spacer comprises:

two planer surfaces arranged at approximately 90 degrees to each other and arranged at an outside angle of approximately 135 degrees relative to the vertical wall,

wherein the two planer surfaces form a triangular portion that protrudes from the vertical wall, wherein the triangular portion comprises a rounded point having a radius of 10 mm or less,

wherein at least two pavers are arranged in an abutting relationship so that the pair of spacers protruding from the first wall of the first paver inter-fit with the pair of spacers protruding from the first wall of the second paver,

wherein adjacent planer surfaces of the inter-fit spacers are in frictional contact with each other to vertically, horizontally, and rotationally interlock the abutting pavers.

8

12. The assembly of claim 11, wherein a distance from each wall to the rounded point of each spacer is the same.

13. The assembly of claim 11, wherein a distance from each wall to the rounded point of each spacer is approximately 8.5 mm.

14. The assembly of claim 11, wherein a combined length of the two planer surfaces and the rounded point of each spacer is at least 8% greater than a length of a base of the triangular portion that extends between the two planer surfaces adjacent each wall.

15. The assembly of claim 11, wherein the rounded point of each spacer is in contact with the opposing wall of the abutting paver.

16. The assembly of claim 11, wherein the spacers separate the opposed walls of the abutting pavers by approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ inch to $\frac{3}{8}$ inch.

17. The assembly of claim 16, wherein gaps between the opposed walls of the abutting pavers contain clean, open graded fine aggregate.

18. The assembly of claim 11, wherein the polygonal body is generally L-shaped.

19. The assembly of claim 11, wherein the polygonal body is a combination of rectangles.

20. The assembly of claim 11, wherein the polygonal body is a combination of shapes from the group consisting of rectangles, triangles, parallelograms.

* * * * *