

(12) **United States Patent**
Shoemaker et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,299,186 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Mar. 29, 2016**

- (54) **OCCLUSION REDUCTION AND MAGNIFICATION FOR MULTIDIMENSIONAL DATA PRESENTATIONS**
- (71) Applicant: **Noregin Assets N.V., L.L.C.**, Dover, DE (US)
- (72) Inventors: **Garth B. D. Shoemaker**, Vancouver (CA); **David J. P. Baar**, Vancouver (CA); **Ali Solehdin**, Vancouver (CA)
- (73) Assignee: **CALLAHAN CELLULAR L.L.C.**, Wilmington, DE (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/563,178**
(22) Filed: **Dec. 8, 2014**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2015/0084960 A1 Mar. 26, 2015
Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation of application No. 12/773,185, filed on May 4, 2010, now Pat. No. 8,907,948, which is a continuation of application No. 11/214,886, filed on Aug. 31, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,714,859.
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/606,906, filed on Sep. 3, 2004.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06T 15/40 (2011.01)
G06T 1/00 (2006.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06T 15/20** (2013.01); **G06T 15/40** (2013.01); **G06T 17/20** (2013.01); **G06T 17/205** (2013.01); **G06F 2203/04805** (2013.01); **G06T 2219/2016** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G06T 19/00; G06T 19/20
USPC 345/418, 419, 421, 427, 661
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,201,546 A 8/1965 Richardson
3,704,938 A 12/1972 Fanselow
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 2350342 11/2002
CA 2386560 11/2003
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS


“Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/691,686, (Nov. 22, 2010), 16 pages.
(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Joni Richer
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Ltd.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method in a computer system for generating a presentation of a region-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen, the original image being a collection of polygons having polygons defined by three or more shared edges joined at vertex points, the method comprising: establishing a lens for the region-of-interest, the lens having a magnified focal region for the region-of-interest at least partially surrounded by a shoulder region across which the magnification decreases, the focal and shoulder regions having respective perimeters; subdividing polygons in the collection of polygons proximate to at least one of the perimeters, as projected with the polygons onto a base plane, by inserting one or more additional vertex points and additional edges into the polygons to be subdivided; and, applying the lens to the original image to produce the presentation by displacing the vertex points onto the lens and perspectively projecting the displacing onto a view plane in a direction aligned with a viewpoint for the region-of-interest.

21 Claims, 12 Drawing Sheets



(51)	Int. Cl.		5,812,111 A	9/1998	Fuji et al.
	G06T 15/00	(2011.01)	5,818,455 A	10/1998	Stone et al.
	G06T 15/20	(2011.01)	5,848,231 A	12/1998	Teitelbaum et al.
	G06T 17/20	(2006.01)	5,852,440 A	12/1998	Grossman et al.
			5,872,922 A	2/1999	Hogan et al.
			5,909,219 A	6/1999	Dye
(56)	References Cited		5,923,364 A	7/1999	Rhodes et al.
	U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		5,926,209 A	7/1999	Glatt
			5,949,430 A	9/1999	Robertson et al.
			5,950,216 A	9/1999	Amro et al.
			5,959,605 A	9/1999	Gilblom
	3,739,739 A	6/1973 Brase	5,969,706 A	10/1999	Tanimoto et al.
	3,762,799 A	10/1973 Shapiro	5,973,694 A	10/1999	Steele et al.
	4,581,647 A	4/1986 Vye	5,991,877 A	11/1999	Luckenbaugh
	4,630,110 A	12/1986 Cotton et al.	5,999,879 A	12/1999	Yano
	4,688,181 A	8/1987 Cottrell et al.	6,005,611 A	12/1999	Gullichsen et al.
	4,757,616 A	7/1988 Hills	6,037,939 A	3/2000	Kashiwagi et al.
	4,790,028 A	12/1988 Ramage	6,052,110 A	4/2000	Sciammarella et al.
	4,800,379 A	1/1989 Yeomans	6,057,844 A	5/2000	Strauss
	4,885,702 A	12/1989 Ohba	6,064,401 A	5/2000	Holzman et al.
	4,888,713 A	12/1989 Falk	6,067,372 A	5/2000	Gur et al.
	4,970,028 A	11/1990 Kenyon et al.	6,072,501 A	6/2000	Bier
	4,985,849 A	1/1991 Hideaki	6,073,036 A	6/2000	Heikkinen et al.
	4,992,866 A	2/1991 Morgan	6,075,531 A	6/2000	DeStefano
	5,031,918 A	7/1991 Brill	6,081,277 A	6/2000	Kojima
	5,048,077 A	9/1991 Wells et al.	6,084,598 A	7/2000	Chekerylla
	5,175,808 A	12/1992 Sayre	6,091,771 A	7/2000	Seeley et al.
	5,185,599 A	2/1993 Dorrnink et al.	6,108,005 A	8/2000	Starks et al.
	5,185,667 A	2/1993 Zimmerman	6,128,024 A	10/2000	Carver et al.
	5,200,818 A	4/1993 Neta et al.	6,133,914 A	10/2000	Rogers et al.
	5,206,721 A	4/1993 Ashida et al.	6,147,709 A	11/2000	Martin et al.
	5,227,771 A	7/1993 Kerr et al.	6,154,840 A	11/2000	Pebley et al.
	5,250,934 A	10/1993 Denber et al.	6,160,553 A	12/2000	Robertson et al.
	5,258,837 A	11/1993 Gormley	6,184,859 B1	2/2001	Kojima
	5,261,041 A	11/1993 Susman	6,198,484 B1	3/2001	Kameyama
	5,269,687 A	12/1993 Mott et al.	6,201,546 B1	3/2001	Bodor et al.
	5,275,019 A	1/1994 Pagani	6,201,548 B1	3/2001	Cariffe et al.
	5,309,279 A	5/1994 Halstead	6,204,845 B1	3/2001	Bates et al.
	5,321,807 A	6/1994 Mumford	6,204,850 B1	3/2001	Green
	5,329,310 A	7/1994 Liljegren et al.	6,215,491 B1	4/2001	Gould
	5,341,466 A	8/1994 Perlin et al.	6,219,052 B1	4/2001	Gould
	5,369,527 A	11/1994 McCracken	6,241,609 B1	6/2001	Rutgers
	5,416,900 A	5/1995 Blanchard et al.	6,246,411 B1	6/2001	Strauss
	5,432,895 A	7/1995 Myers	6,249,281 B1	6/2001	Chen et al.
	5,451,998 A	9/1995 Hamrick	6,256,043 B1	7/2001	Aho et al.
	5,459,488 A	10/1995 Geiser	6,256,115 B1	7/2001	Adler et al.
	5,473,740 A	12/1995 Kasson	6,256,737 B1	7/2001	Bianco et al.
	5,521,634 A	5/1996 McGary	6,262,734 B1	7/2001	Ishikawa
	5,523,783 A	6/1996 Cho	6,266,082 B1	7/2001	Yonezawa et al.
	5,528,289 A	6/1996 Cortjens et al.	6,271,854 B1	8/2001	Light
	5,539,534 A	7/1996 Hino et al.	6,278,443 B1	8/2001	Amro et al.
	5,581,670 A	12/1996 Bier et al.	6,278,450 B1	8/2001	Arcuri et al.
	5,583,977 A	12/1996 Seidl	6,288,702 B1	9/2001	Tachibana et al.
	5,588,098 A	12/1996 Chen et al.	6,304,271 B1	10/2001	Nehme
	5,594,859 A	1/1997 Palmer et al.	6,307,612 B1	10/2001	Smith et al.
	5,596,690 A	1/1997 Stone et al.	6,320,599 B1	11/2001	Sciammarella et al.
	5,598,297 A	1/1997 Yamanaka et al.	6,337,709 B1	1/2002	Yamaashi et al.
	5,610,653 A	3/1997 Abecassis	6,346,938 B1	2/2002	Chan et al.
	5,613,032 A	3/1997 Cruz et al.	6,346,962 B1	2/2002	Goodridge
	5,638,523 A	6/1997 Mullet et al.	6,359,615 B1	3/2002	Singh
	5,644,758 A	7/1997 Patrick	6,381,583 B1	4/2002	Kenney
	5,651,107 A	7/1997 Frank et al.	6,384,849 B1	5/2002	Morcos et al.
	5,652,851 A	7/1997 Stone et al.	6,392,661 B1	5/2002	Tankersley
	5,657,246 A	8/1997 Hogan et al.	6,396,648 B1	5/2002	Yamamoto et al.
	5,670,984 A	9/1997 Robertson et al.	6,396,962 B1	5/2002	Haffey et al.
	5,680,524 A	10/1997 Maples et al.	6,400,848 B1	6/2002	Gallagher
	5,682,489 A	10/1997 Harrow et al.	6,407,747 B1	6/2002	Chui et al.
	5,689,287 A	11/1997 Mackinlay et al.	6,411,274 B2	6/2002	Watanabe et al.
	5,689,628 A	11/1997 Robertson	6,416,186 B1	7/2002	Nakamura
	5,721,853 A	2/1998 Smith	6,417,867 B1	7/2002	Hallberg
	5,729,673 A	3/1998 Cooper et al.	6,438,576 B1	8/2002	Huang et al.
	5,731,805 A	3/1998 Tognazzini et al.	6,487,497 B2	11/2002	Khavakh et al.
	5,742,272 A	4/1998 Kitamura et al.	6,491,585 B1	12/2002	Miyamoto et al.
	5,745,166 A	4/1998 Rhodes et al.	6,504,535 B1	1/2003	Edmark
	5,751,289 A	5/1998 Myers	6,515,663 B1	2/2003	Hung et al.
	5,754,348 A	5/1998 Soohoo	6,515,678 B1	2/2003	Boger
	5,764,139 A	6/1998 Nojima et al.	6,522,341 B1	2/2003	Nagata
	5,786,814 A	7/1998 Moran et al.	6,523,024 B1	2/2003	Yajima et al.
	5,798,752 A	8/1998 Buxton et al.	6,542,191 B1	4/2003	Yonezawa
	5,808,670 A	9/1998 Oyashiki et al.			

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,552,737	B1	4/2003	Tanaka et al.
6,559,813	B1	5/2003	DeLuca et al.
6,577,311	B1	6/2003	Crosby et al.
6,577,319	B1	6/2003	Kashiwagi et al.
6,584,237	B1	6/2003	Abe
6,590,568	B1	7/2003	Astala et al.
6,590,583	B2	7/2003	Soohee
6,608,631	B1	8/2003	Milliron
6,612,930	B2	9/2003	Kawagoe et al.
6,631,205	B1	10/2003	Melen et al.
6,633,305	B1	10/2003	Sarfeld
6,690,387	B2	2/2004	Zimmerman et al.
6,704,034	B1	3/2004	Rodriguez et al.
6,720,971	B1	4/2004	Yamamoto et al.
6,721,655	B1	4/2004	Utsumi
6,727,910	B2	4/2004	Tigges
6,731,285	B2	5/2004	Matchen
6,731,315	B1	5/2004	Ma et al.
6,744,430	B1	6/2004	Shimizu
6,747,610	B1	6/2004	Taima et al.
6,747,611	B1	6/2004	Budd et al.
6,760,020	B1	7/2004	Uchiyama et al.
6,768,497	B2	7/2004	Baar et al.
6,798,412	B2	9/2004	Cowperthwaite
6,833,843	B2	12/2004	Mojaver et al.
6,842,175	B1	1/2005	Schmalstieg et al.
6,874,126	B1	3/2005	Lapidous
6,882,755	B2	4/2005	Silverstein et al.
6,906,643	B2	6/2005	Samadani et al.
6,911,975	B2	6/2005	Iizuka et al.
6,919,921	B1	7/2005	Morota et al.
6,924,822	B2	8/2005	Card et al.
6,938,218	B1	8/2005	Rosen
6,956,590	B1	10/2005	Barton et al.
6,961,071	B2	11/2005	Montagnese et al.
6,975,335	B2	12/2005	Watanabe
6,985,865	B1	1/2006	Packingham et al.
7,038,680	B2	5/2006	Pitkow
7,055,095	B1	5/2006	Anwar
7,071,971	B2	7/2006	Elberbaum
7,084,886	B2	8/2006	Jetha et al.
7,088,364	B2	8/2006	Lantin
7,106,349	B2	9/2006	Baar et al.
7,133,054	B2	11/2006	Aguera y Arcas
7,134,092	B2	11/2006	Fung et al.
7,158,878	B2	1/2007	Rasmussen et al.
7,173,633	B2	2/2007	Tigges
7,173,636	B2	2/2007	Montagnese
7,194,697	B2	3/2007	Sinclair, II et al.
7,197,718	B1	3/2007	Westerman et al.
7,197,719	B2	3/2007	Doyle et al.
7,213,214	B2	5/2007	Baar et al.
7,233,942	B2	6/2007	Nye
7,246,109	B1	7/2007	Ramaswamy
7,256,801	B2	8/2007	Baar et al.
7,274,381	B2	9/2007	Mojaver et al.
7,275,219	B2	9/2007	Shoemaker
7,280,105	B2	10/2007	Cowperthwaite
7,283,141	B2	10/2007	Baar et al.
7,310,619	B2	12/2007	Baar et al.
7,312,806	B2	12/2007	Tigges
7,321,824	B1	1/2008	Nesbitt
7,411,610	B2	8/2008	Doyle
7,423,660	B2	9/2008	Ouchi et al.
7,443,396	B2	10/2008	Ilic
7,450,114	B2	11/2008	Anwar
7,472,354	B2	12/2008	Jetha et al.
7,486,302	B2	2/2009	Shoemaker
7,489,321	B2	2/2009	Jetha et al.
7,493,572	B2	2/2009	Card et al.
7,495,678	B2	2/2009	Doyle et al.
7,580,036	B2	8/2009	Montagnese
7,667,699	B2	2/2010	Komar
7,698,653	B2	4/2010	Roman et al.
7,714,859	B2	5/2010	Shoemaker
7,737,976	B2		
7,761,713	B2		
7,773,101	B2		
2001/0040585	A1		
2001/0040636	A1		
2001/0048447	A1		
2001/0055030	A1		
2002/0033837	A1		
2002/0038257	A1		
2002/0044154	A1		
2002/0062245	A1		
2002/0075280	A1		
2002/0087894	A1		
2002/0089520	A1		
2002/0093567	A1		
2002/0101396	A1		
2002/0122038	A1		
2002/0135601	A1		
2002/0143826	A1		
2002/0171644	A1		
2002/0180801	A1		
2003/0006995	A1		
2003/0007006	A1		
2003/0048447	A1		
2003/0052896	A1		
2003/0052900	A1		
2003/0061211	A1		
2003/0076363	A1		
2003/0100326	A1		
2003/0103063	A1		
2003/0105795	A1		
2003/0112503	A1		
2003/0118223	A1		
2003/0137525	A1		
2003/0151625	A1		
2003/0151626	A1		
2003/0174146	A1		
2003/0179198	A1		
2003/0179219	A1		
2003/0179237	A1		
2003/0196114	A1		
2003/0210281	A1		
2003/0227556	A1		
2003/0231177	A1		
2004/0026521	A1		
2004/0056869	A1		
2004/0056898	A1		
2004/0111332	A1		
2004/0125138	A1		
2004/0150664	A1		
2004/0194014	A1		
2004/0217979	A1		
2004/0240709	A1		
2004/0257375	A1		
2004/0257380	A1		
2005/0041046	A1		
2005/0134610	A1		
2005/0259118	A1		
2005/0278378	A1		
2005/0285861	A1		
2006/0022955	A1		
2006/0026521	A1		
2006/0033762	A1		
2006/0036629	A1		
2006/0059432	A1		
2006/0082901	A1		
2006/0098028	A1		
2006/0139375	A1		
2006/0192780	A1		
2006/0214951	A1		
2007/0033543	A1		
2007/0064018	A1		
2007/0097109	A1		
2009/0141044	A1		
2009/0147023	A1		
2009/0172587	A1		
2009/0265656	A1		
2009/0284542	A1		
2010/0026718	A1		
6/2010	Lantin		
7/2010	Baar		
8/2010	Shoemaker		
11/2001	Hartford et al.		
11/2001	Kato et al.		
12/2001	Jogo		
12/2001	Han		
3/2002	Munro		
3/2002	Joseph et al.		
4/2002	Baar et al.		
5/2002	Niu et al.		
6/2002	Tigges		
7/2002	Foley et al.		
7/2002	Baar		
7/2002	Cromer et al.		
8/2002	Huston et al.		
9/2002	Cowperthwaite		
9/2002	Watanabe et al.		
10/2002	Day et al.		
11/2002	Reshetov et al.		
12/2002	Doyle et al.		
1/2003	Smith et al.		
1/2003	Baar et al.		
3/2003	Harju et al.		
3/2003	Higgins et al.		
3/2003	Card et al.		
3/2003	Shultz et al.		
4/2003	Murphy		
5/2003	Grube et al.		
6/2003	Mojaver et al.		
6/2003	Anderson et al.		
6/2003	Lantin		
6/2003	Rahn et al.		
7/2003	Smith		
8/2003	Shoemaker		
8/2003	Komar et al.		
9/2003	Kenoyer		
9/2003	Uchiyama		
9/2003	Nakano et al.		
9/2003	Nelson et al.		
10/2003	Brew et al.		
11/2003	Ellis et al.		
12/2003	Doyle		
12/2003	Montagnese et al.		
2/2004	Colas et al.		
3/2004	Jetha et al.		
3/2004	Jetha et al.		
6/2004	Baar et al.		
7/2004	Jetha et al.		
8/2004	Baudisch		
9/2004	Anwar		
11/2004	Baar et al.		
12/2004	Shoemaker		
12/2004	Cowperthwaite		
12/2004	Herbert et al.		
2/2005	Baar et al.		
6/2005	Doyle et al.		
11/2005	Mojaver et al.		
12/2005	Frank		
12/2005	Fraser		
2/2006	Kennedy		
2/2006	Hotelling et al.		
2/2006	Card et al.		
2/2006	Gray		
3/2006	Bells		
4/2006	Shoemaker		
5/2006	Baar		
6/2006	Rasmussen et al.		
8/2006	Lantin		
9/2006	Baar et al.		
2/2007	Ngari et al.		
3/2007	Shoemaker et al.		
5/2007	Shoemaker et al.		
6/2009	Shoemaker		
6/2009	Jetha et al.		
7/2009	Carlisle		
10/2009	Jetha		
11/2009	Baar		
2/2010	Jetha		

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2010/0033503 A1 2/2010 Baar
 2010/0045702 A1 2/2010 Doyle
 2010/0201785 A1 8/2010 Lantin
 2010/0262907 A1 10/2010 Shoemaker et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA 2393708 1/2004
 CA 2394119 1/2004
 EP 0635779 1/1995
 EP 0650144 4/1995
 EP 0816983 7/1998

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/368,267, (Jun. 11, 2010), 12 pages.
- “Notice of Allowability”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/364,450, (Jun. 18, 2010), 2 pages.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/388,437, (Jun. 23, 2010), 7 pages.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/764,724, (Jul. 1, 2010), 20 pages.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/673,038, (Jul. 22, 2010), 39 pages.
- “Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/691,686 (Sep. 1, 2010), 16 pages.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/138,979, (Sep. 17, 2010), 11 pages.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/541,778, (Sep. 29, 2010), 10 pages.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/695,104, (Oct. 1, 2010), 9 pages.
- “Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/159,205, (Oct. 6, 2010), 16 pages.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/236,694, (Oct. 13, 2010), 16 pages.
- Lieberman, Henry “Power of Ten Thousand—Navigating in Large Information Spaces”, *Proceedings of the 7th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*, Marina del Rey, California, United States, (Nov. 1994), pp. 15-16.
- Mills, Michael et al., “A Magnifier Tool for Video Data”, *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*, (1992), pp. 93-96, Jun. 1992.
- Kline, Richard L., et al., “Improving GUI Accessibility for People with Low Vision”, *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems*, (1995), pp. 114-121, May 1995.
- Perlin, Ken et al., “Pad—an alternative approach to the computer interface”, *International Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques, Proceedings of the 20th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*, (1993), pp. 57-64.
- Bier, Eric A., et al., “The Movable Filter as a User Interface Tool—The Video”, *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Conference companion on Human factors in computing systems*, (1995), pp. 413-414, May 1995.
- Bier, Eric A., et al., “Toolglass and Magic Lenses—The See-Through Interface”, *International Conference on Computer Graphics and Interactive Techniques Proceedings of the 20th annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques*, (1993), pp. 73-80.
- Bier, Eric A., et al., “Toolglass and Magic Lenses—The See-Through Interface”, *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Conference companion on Human factors in computing systems*, (1994), pp. 445-446.
- Kamba, Tomonari et al., “Using Small Screen Space More Efficiently”, *CHI 96 Vancouver, BC Canada*, (1996), pp. 383-390.
- “Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/368,263, (Nov. 5, 2010), 7 pages.
- “Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/764,724, (Nov. 9, 2010), 21 pages.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., “A Framework for Elastic Presentation Space”, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby; British Columbia XP001051168; cited in the application figures 2.13, 3.1-3.31, 4.1-4.19, 5.14, (Mar. 1999), pp. 7, 14, 34, 38, 65, 112, 123, and 126.
- “Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/935,222, (Feb. 20, 2009), 8 pages.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., et al., “A Framework for Unifying Presentation Space”, *Proceedings of UIST '01: ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, Orlando, FL, USA; XP002249323 2001, New York, NY, USA, ISBN: 1-58113-438-X, (Nov. 14, 2001), pp. 61-70, 64.
- Ikedo, Tsuneo “A Realtime Video-Image Mapping Using Polygon Rendering Techniques”, *IEEE Intl. conf on Ottawa, ONT, Canada Jun. 3-6, 1997, Los Alamitos, CA, USA; IEEE Comput. Soc, US, XP010239181, ISBN: 0-8186-7819-4 Sections 2.4.4; Multimedia Computing and Systems '97 Proceedings*, (Jun. 3, 1997), pp. 127-134.
- Bouju, Alain et al., “Client-Server Architecture for Accessing Multimedia and Geographic Databases within Embedded Systems”, *Database and Expert Systems Applications, 1999 Proceedings. Tenth International Workshop on Florence, Italy Sep. 1-3, 1999, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, IEEE Comput. Soc, US, XP010352370; ISBN:0-7695-0281-4, abstract, figure 2, (Sep. 1-Mar. 1999), pp. 760-764.*
- Robertson, George G., et al., “The Document Lens”, *UIST. Proceedings of the Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology*, abstract figures 3,4, (Nov. 3, 1993), pp. 101-108.
- Dursteler, Juan C., “The Digital Magazine of InfoVis.net”, Retrieved from: <<http://www.infovis.net/printMag.php?num=85&lang=2>> on Nov. 9, 2006, (Apr. 22, 2002), 2 pages.
- “Presentation for CGDI Workshop”, Retrieved from: http://www.geoconnections.org/developersCorner/devCorner_devNetwork/meetings/2002.05.30/IDELIX_CGDI_20020530_dist.pdf, (May 2002), 19 pages.
- Kuederle, Oliver “Presentation of Image Sequences: A Detail-in-context Approach”, Thesis, Simon Fraser University, (Aug. 2000), pp. 1-3, 5-10, 29-31.
- Microsoft Corp., “Microsoft Paint”, (1981-1998), pp. 1-14.
- “Electronic Magnifying Glasses”, *IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin*, IBM Corp., New York, US, vol. 37, No. 3; XP000441501, ISSN: 0018-8689 the whole document; (Mar. 1, 1994), pp. 353-354.
- Keahey, T. A., “The Generalized Detail-In-Context Problem”, *Information Visualization 1998, Proceedings; IEEE Symposium on Research Triangle, CA, USA; Los Alamitos, CA, USA, IEEE Comput. Soc, US: XP010313304; ISBN: 0-8186-9093, (Oct. 1998), pp. 44-51, 152.*
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., et al., “3-Dimensional Pliable Surfaces: For the Effective Presentation of Visual Information”, *Proceedings of the 8th annual ACM symposium on User Interface and software technology*; (Nov. 14-17, 1995), pp. 217-226.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., et al., “Extending Distortion Viewing from 2D to 3D”, *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, IEEE Inc. New York, US, vol. 17, No. 4; XP000927815, ISSN: 0272-1716, (Jul. 1997), pp. 42-51.
- Viega, J et al., “3D magic lenses”, *Proceedings of the 9th annual ACM symposium on User Interface software and technology*; Pub 1996 ACM Press New York, NY, USA, (1996), 51-58.
- Cowperthwaite, David J., “Occlusion Resolution Operators for Three-Dimensional Detail-In-Context”, Burnaby, British Columbia: Simon Fraser University; (2000), 166 pages.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., “A Framework for Elastic Presentation Space”, Thesis Simon Fraser University, XP001051168; Chapter 3-5; appendix A,B; (Mar. 1999), pp. 1-271.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., et al., “Exploring Distinct Aspects of the Distortion Viewing Paradigm”, Technical Report TR 97-08, School of Computer Science, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada; (Sep. 1997), 14 pages.
- Cowperthwaite, David J., et al., “Visual Access for 3D Data”, *Proceedings of ACM CHI 96 Conference on Human Factors in Computer Systems*, vol. 2 of Short Papers; Alternative Methods of Interaction; (1996), 5 pages.
- Keahey, T. A., “Visualization of High-Dimensional Clusters Using Nonlinear Magnification”, Technical Report LA-UR-98-2776, Los Alamos National Laboratory; (1998), 8 pages, Jun. 1998.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Tigges, M. et al., "Generalized Distance Metrics for Implicit Surface Modeling", *Proceedings of the Tenth Western Computer Graphics Symposium*: (Mar. 1999), 5 pages.
- Bossen, Frank "Anisotropic Mesh Generation With Particles", Technical Report CMU-CS-96-134, CS Dept, Carnegie Mellon University; (May 13, 1996), pp. 1-59.
- Bossen, Frank J., et al., "A Pliant Method for Anisotropic Mesh Generation", *5th Intl. Meshing Roundtable*; (Oct. 1996), pp. 63-74.
- Wilson, et al., "Direct Volume Rendering Via 3D Textures", Technical Report UCSC-CRL-94-19, University of California, Santa Cruz, Jack Baskin School of Engineering; (Jun. 1994), 11 pages.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., "A Framework for Elastic Presentation Space", PhD thesis, Simon Fraser University; Available at <<http://pages.cpsc.ucalgary.ca/~sheelagh/wiki/uploads/Main/Thesis/pre.pdf>>; (Mar. 1999), pp. 69, 72, 78-83, 98-100, 240, 241.
- Keahey, T. A., et al., "Techniques for Non-Linear Magnification Transformations", Information Visualization '96, Proceedings IEEE Symposium on, San Francisco, CA, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, *IEEE Comput. Soc.*, US: XP010201943; ISBN: 0-8186-7668-X the whole document, (Oct. 28, 1996), pp. 38-45.
- Tominski, Christian et al., "Fisheye Tree Views and Lenses for Graph Visualization", pp. 1-8, Jul. 2006.
- Keahey, T. A., "Getting Along: Composition of Visualization Paradigms", Visual Insights, Inc.; (2001), 4 pages.
- Sakamoto, Chikara et al., "Design and Implementation of a Parallel Pthread Library (PPL) with Parallelism and Portability", *Systems and Computers in Japan*, New York, US, vol. 29, No. 2; XP000752780, ISSN: 0882-1666 abstract, (Feb. 1, 1998), pp. 28-35.
- Deng, Ke et al., "Texture Mapping with a Jacobian-Based Spatially-Variant Filter", *Proceedings 10th Pacific Conference on Computer Graphics and Applications*, XP00224932, ISBN: 0-7695-1784-6 the whole document, (Oct. 2002), pp. 460-461.
- Welsh, Michelle "Futurewave Software", *Business Wire*; (Nov. 15, 1993), 2 Pages.
- Lamar, Eric et al., "A Magnification Lens for Interactive Volume Visualization", *ACM: Ninth Pacific Conference on Computer Graphics and Applications (PG'01)*, 2001, (Oct. 2001), pp. 1-10.
- Fitzmaurice, George et al., "Tracking Menus", *UIST*; (2003), pp. 71-79, Nov. 2003.
- Stone, et al., "The movable filter as a user interface tool", *Proceedings of CHI ACM*; (1992), 18 pages.
- Baudisch, P. et al., "Halo: a Technique for Visualizing Off-Screen Locations", *CHI*; Retrieved from: <www.patrickbaudisch.com/.../2003-Baudisch-CHI03-Halo.pdf>, (Apr. 5-10, 2003), 8 pages.
- Baudisch, Patrick et al., "Drag-And-Pop: Techniques for Accessing Remote Screen Content On Touch-And-Pen-Operated Systems", *Interact '03*, (2003), pp. 57-64.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., et al., "Making Distortions Comprehensible", Visual Languages, Proceedings, 1997 IEEE Symposium On Isle of Capri, Italy, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, *IEEE Comput. Soc.*, US, Sep. 23, 1997; XP010250566, ISBN: 0-8186-8144-6, (Sep. 23-26, 1997), pp. 36-45.
- Ito, Minoru et al., "A Three-Level Checkerboard Pattern (TCP) Projection Method for Curved Surface Measurement", *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 28, No. 1, XP004014030, ISSN 0031-3203, (1995), pp. 27-40, Jan. 1995.
- Keahey, T. A. et al., "Nonlinear Magnification Fields", Information Visualization, 1997, Proceedings, IEEE Symposium on Phoenix, AZ, USA, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, *IEEE Comput. Soc.*, US; XP010257169; ISBN: 0-8186-8189-6, (Oct. 1997), pp. 51-58 and 121.
- Rauschenbach, Uwe "The Rectangular Fish Eye View as an Efficient Method for the Transmission and Display of Large Images", *Image Processing, ICIP 99*, Proceedings, 1999 International Conference on, Kobe Japan, Oct. 24-28, 1999, Piscataway, NJ, USA, IEEE, US, XP010368852, ISBN 0-7803-5467-2 p. 115, left-hand column—p. 116, paragraph 3, p. 118, paragraph 7.1: (Oct. 1999), pp. 115-119.
- Keahey, T. A., "Nonlinear Magnification", (Indiana University Computer Science), (1997), 196 pages.
- Watt, et al., "Advanced Animation and Rendering Techniques", (Addison-Wesley Publishing) (1992), p. 106-108.
- Boots, Barry N., "Delanay Triangles: An Alternative Approach to Point Pattern Analysis", *Proceedings of the Association of American Geographers*, vol. 6, (1974), pp. 26-29.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., et al., "Distortion Viewing Techniques for 3-Dimensional Data", Information Visualization '96, Proceedings IEEE Symposium on San Francisco, CA, USA, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, *IEEE Comput. Soc.*, US Oct. 28, 1996, XP010201944; ISBN: 0-8186-7668-X, (Oct. 1996), 8 pages.
- Leung, Y. K., et al., "A Review and Taxonomy of Distortion-Oriented Presentation Techniques", *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 'Online! vol. 1, No. 2, XP002252314; Retrieved from the Internet: <[URL:http://citeseer.nj.nec.com/~leung94review.html](http://citeseer.nj.nec.com/~leung94review.html)> retrieved on Aug. 8, 2003 the whole document, (Jun. 1994), pp. 126-160.
- "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 10/358,394, (Mar. 13, 2009), 36 pages.
- Sarkar, Manojit et al., "Stretching the Rubber Sheet: A Metaphor for Viewing Large Layouts on Small Screens", *Proc. of the 6th Annual ACM Symposium. on User interface Software and Technology*, Atlanta, GA, (Nov. 1993), pp. 81-91.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., et al., "Graph Folding: Extending Detail and Context Viewing into a Tool for Subgraph Comparisons", *In Proceedings of Graph Drawing 1995*, Passau, Germany, (1995), 13 pages, Sep. 1995.
- Carpendale, M. Sheelagh T., "A Framework for Elastic Presentation Space", Available at <<http://pages.cpsc.ucalgary.ca/~sheelagh/personal/thesis/>>, (Nov. 19, 1999), 1 page.
- "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/542,120, (Jan. 22, 2009), 12 pages.
- "Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/410,024, (Mar. 11, 2009), 20 pages.
- "Foreign Office Action", Application Serial No. 2002-536993, (Mar. 11, 2009), 4 pages.
- "Notice of Allowance & Examiner's Amendment", U.S. Appl. No. 11/401,349, (Apr. 17, 2009), 10 pages.
- Schmalstieg, Dieter et al., "Using Transparent Props for Interaction with the Virtual Table", *Proceedings of the 1999 symposium on Interactive 3D graphics.*, (Apr. 26, 1999), 8 pages.
- "Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 10/705,199, (May 12, 2009), 13 pages.
- "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/541,778, (Jun. 19, 2009), 11 pages.
- "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/673,038, (Jul. 13, 2009), 30 pages.
- "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/410,024, (Jul. 20, 2009), 12 pages.
- Smith, Philip W., et al., "Efficient Techniques for Wide-Angle Stereo Vision Using Surface Projection Models", *1999 Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, Available at <<http://iee.org/stamp.jsp?arnumber=17045>>, (Jun. 1999), pp. 113-118.
- "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/159,205, (Jul. 27, 2009), 13 pages.
- "Advisory Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/249,493, (Aug. 11, 2009), 5 pages.
- "Advisory Action", U.S. Appl. No. 10/705,199, (Aug. 18, 2009), 3 pages.
- "Restriction Requirement", U.S. Appl. No. 11/935,222, (Aug. 20, 2009), 6 pages.
- "Advisory Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/249,493, (Sep. 14, 2009), 4 pages.
- "Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 12/364,450, (Sep. 30, 2009), 10 pages.
- "Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 10/358,394, (Oct. 8, 2009), 7 pages.
- "Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/935,222, (Nov. 24, 2009), 8 pages.
- "Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/541,778, (Dec. 4, 2009), 12 pages.
- "Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 11/214,886, (Dec. 15, 2009), 16 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“BPAI Decision”, U.S. Appl. No. 10/682,298, (Dec. 30, 2009), 14 pages.

“Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/410,024, (Jan. 4, 2010), 7 pages.

“Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/673,038, (Jan. 8, 2010), 33 pages.

“Advisory Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/541,778, (Feb. 1, 2010), 3 pages.

“Advisory Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/935,222, (Feb. 4, 2010), 3 pages.

“Restriction Requirement”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/368,263, (Mar. 9, 2010), 7 pages.

“Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 10/705,199, (Mar. 10, 2010), 18 pages.

“Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/691,686, (Mar. 18, 2010), 17 pages.

“Advisory Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/673,038, (Mar. 25, 2010), 7 pages.

“Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/159,205, (Mar. 25, 2010), 16 pages.

“Notice of Allowance”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/364,450, (Apr. 19, 2010), 4 pages.

“Non-Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 11/236,694, (Apr. 20, 2010), 9 pages.

“Non Final Office Action”, U.S. Appl. No. 12/368,263, (Apr. 30, 2010), 9 pages.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 5A





FIG. 6



700

FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11

**OCCLUSION REDUCTION AND
MAGNIFICATION FOR
MULTIDIMENSIONAL DATA
PRESENTATIONS**

CROSS-REFERENCE

This application is a continuation of, and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/773,185, entitled "Occlusion reduction and Magnification for Multidimensional Data Presentations," filed on May 4, 2010, which in turn claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/214,886, entitled "Occlusion Reduction and Magnification for Multidimensional Data Presentations," filed on Aug. 31, 2005, which in-turn claims priority to U.S. Provisional Patent Application Ser. No. 60/606,906, entitled "Occlusion Reduction and Magnification Methods and Applications for Multidimensional Data Presentation," filed on Sep. 3, 2004, all of which are hereby incorporated by reference in their entireties.

BACKGROUND

Multidimensional and three-dimensional ("3D") presentations of information present specific challenges not found in two-dimensional ("2D") presentations. For example, in 3D presentations certain elements may be occluded by the presence of other elements in the presentation. Traditional approaches to dealing with occlusion avoidance in 3D presentations include techniques such as cutting planes, viewer navigation, filtering of information, and transparency. While these methods provide clearer visual access to elements of interest, they remove much of the contextual information from a presentation.

In 2D presentations all information is restricted to a plane perpendicular to a view point. The addition of the third spatial variable (or z component) in 3D presentations allows objects to be interposed or positioned between the viewpoint and other objects in a scene, thus partially or completely hiding them from view. The preservation of spatial relationships and presentation of relationships to the occluding objects is important in constructing a physically plausible scene, or in other words, for maintaining the detail of the scene in the context in which it exists. For example, in volumetric rendering of 3D data it is often the case that the near-continuous nature of the data makes occlusion of interior features of the data inevitable. This phenomenon is important in supporting the perception of the scene as a 3D presentation, but a user may very well wish to examine these hidden interior features and regions.

Solutions are available that provide visual access (i.e., clear lines of sight) to previously occluded elements. Several of these solutions are described by Cowperthwaite (Cowperthwaite, David J., Occlusion Resolution Operators for Three-Dimensional Detail-In-Context (Burnaby, British Columbia: Simon Fraser University, 2000), which is incorporated herein by reference. Cutting planes may be used to remove information from a scene. Increasing transparency (or reducing the opacity) of objects allows more distant objects to be seen through those more proximal to the viewer. Navigation of the viewer, whether egocentric (moving the viewer within the data space) or exocentric (moving or re-orientation of the data space) may lead to a configuration where occlusion is resolved. Finally, information filtering may be used to reduce the density of data in a representation. These are all common methods of occlusion resolution and all operate by reducing the amount (or visibility) of contextual information in the final presentation. Similar methods such as panning zooming

and filtering have also been traditionally applied to dealing with large or congested displays of information in 2D. Thus, the removal of information from a presentation has been one approach to dealing with occlusion in large information spaces.

Another approach has been the development of "detail-in-context" presentation algorithms. The field of detail-in-context viewing is concerned with the generation of classes of information presentations where areas or items defined as focal regions or regions-of-interest are presented with an increased level of detail, without the removal of contextual information from the original presentation. For example, regions of greatest interest may be displayed at an enlarged size, providing more visual detail, while the scale of the surrounding context may be adjusted to provide the space for the magnification of the region-of-interest.

Thus, in 3D computer graphics and 3D information presentations generally, occlusion of objects of interest by other objects in the viewer's line of sight is a common problem. U.S. Pat. No. 6,798,412, which is incorporated herein by reference, describes methods of occlusion reduction based on displacements orthogonal to the line of sight and based on a variety of distance metrics and shaping functions. What are now needed are additional methods and improvements to methods for occlusion reduction and magnification.

A need therefore exists for an improved method and system for reducing occlusion and providing magnification in multidimensional data presentations. Accordingly, a solution that addresses, at least in part, the above and other shortcomings is desired.

SUMMARY

According to one aspect, there is provided a method in a computer system for generating a presentation of a region-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen, the original image being a collection of polygons having polygons defined by three or more shared edges joined at vertex points, the method comprising: establishing a lens for the region-of-interest, the lens having a magnified focal region for the region-of-interest at least partially surrounded by a shoulder region across which the magnification decreases, the focal and shoulder regions having respective perimeters; subdividing polygons in the collection of polygons proximate to at least one of the perimeters, as projected with the polygons onto a base plane, by inserting one or more additional vertex points and additional edges into the polygons to be subdivided; and, applying the lens to the original image to produce the presentation by displacing the vertex points onto the lens and perspectively projecting the displacing onto a view plane in a direction aligned with a viewpoint for the region-of-interest.

According to another aspect, there is provided a method in a computer system for generating a presentation of an object-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen, the original image being a collection of objects, the object-of-interest being one object in the collection, the method comprising: establishing a viewpoint for the object-of-interest; establishing a path through the original image between the viewpoint and the object-of-interest; extruding points on the object-of-interest along the path toward the viewpoint to define a volume for determining minimum displacements from the path for objects intersected by the volume; and, displacing one or more of the objects away from the path according to a transformation function and the minimum displacements to locations within the original image where

substantially all of the objects displaced remain visible and do not occlude the object-of-interest when viewed from the viewpoint.

In accordance with further aspects there is provided an apparatus such as a data processing system, a method for adapting this system, as well as articles of manufacture such as a computer readable medium having program instructions recorded thereon for practicing the method of the invention.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Further features and advantages of the embodiments will become apparent from the following detailed description, taken in combination with the appended drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a graphical representation illustrating the geometry for constructing a three-dimensional perspective viewing frustum, relative to an x, y, z coordinate system, in accordance with elastic presentation space graphics technology;

FIG. 2 is a graphical representation illustrating the geometry of a presentation in accordance with elastic presentation space graphics technology;

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating a data processing system adapted to implement an embodiment of the invention;

FIG. 4 is a partial screen capture illustrating a GUI having lens control elements for user interaction with detail-in-context data presentations;

FIG. 5 is a diagram illustrating an original configuration of a 2D cross-sectional view of a structure;

FIG. 5A is a diagram illustrating extrusion of points on the surface of the object-of-interest along a path toward a viewpoint.

FIG. 6 is a diagram illustrating direction vectors to points in the structure of FIG. 5 lying on or near a sight-line;

FIG. 7 is a diagram illustrating a final configuration resulting from the application of an occlusion reducing transformation function to the structure of FIG. 5;

FIG. 8 is a diagram illustrating a distortion function or lens;

FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating an original image or representation in the form of a mesh composed of polygons (e.g., triangles);

FIG. 10 is a detail view of a portion of the mesh of FIG. 9; and,

FIG. 11 is a flow chart illustrating operations of software modules within the memory of a data processing system for generating a presentation of a region-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen, the original image being a collection of polygons having polygons defined by three or more shared edges joined at vertex points, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

It will be noted that throughout the appended drawings, like features are identified by like reference numerals.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In the following description, numerous specific details are set forth to provide a thorough understanding. However, it is understood that the techniques described herein may be practiced without these specific details. The term "data processing system" is used herein to refer to any machine for processing data, including the navigation systems, computer systems, and network arrangements described herein. The embodiments may be implemented in any computer programming language provided that the operating system of the data processing system provides the facilities that may support the requirements of the present invention. Any limitations presented would be a result of a particular type of operating

system or computer programming language and would not be a limitation of the present embodiments.

The "screen real estate problem" generally arises whenever large amounts of information are to be displayed on a display screen of limited size. Known tools to address this problem include panning and zooming. While these tools are suitable for a large number of visual display applications, they become less effective where sections of the visual information are spatially related, such as in layered maps and three-dimensional representations, for example. In this type of information display, panning and zooming are not as effective as much of the context of the panned or zoomed display may be hidden.

A recent solution to this problem is the application of "detail-in-context" presentation techniques. Detail-in-context is the magnification of a particular region-of-interest (the "focal region" or "detail") in a data presentation while preserving visibility of the surrounding information (the "context"). This technique has applicability to the display of large surface area media (e.g. digital maps) on computer screens of variable size including graphics workstations, laptop computers, personal digital assistants ("PDAs"), and cell phones.

In the detail-in-context discourse, differentiation is often made between the terms "representation" and "presentation".

A representation is a formal system, or mapping, for specifying raw information or data that is stored in a computer or data processing system. For example, a digital map of a city is a representation of raw data including street names and the relative geographic location of streets and utilities. Such a representation may be displayed visually on a computer screen or printed on paper. On the other hand, a presentation is a spatial organization of a given representation that is appropriate for the task at hand. Thus, a presentation of a representation organizes such things as the point of view and the relative emphasis of different parts or regions of the representation. For example, a digital map of a city may be presented with a region magnified to reveal street names.

In general, a detail-in-context presentation may be considered as a distorted view (or distortion) of a portion of the original representation or image where the distortion is the result of the application of a "lens" like distortion function to the original representation. A detailed review of various detail-in-context presentation techniques such as "Elastic Presentation Space" ("EPS") (or "Pliable Display Technology" ("PDT")) may be found in a publication by Marianne S. T. Carpendale, entitled "A Framework for Elastic Presentation Space" (Carpendale, Marianne S. T., A Framework for Elastic Presentation Space (Burnaby, British Columbia: Simon Fraser University, 1999)), and incorporated herein by reference.

In general, detail-in-context data presentations are characterized by magnification of areas of an image where detail is desired, in combination with compression of a restricted range of areas of the remaining information (i.e. the context), the result typically giving the appearance of a lens having been applied to the display surface. Using the techniques described by Carpendale, points in a representation are displaced in three dimensions and a perspective projection is used to display the points on a two-dimensional presentation display. Thus, when a lens is applied to a two-dimensional continuous surface representation, for example, the resulting presentation appears to be three-dimensional. In other words, the lens transformation appears to have stretched the continuous surface in a third dimension. In EPS graphics technology, a two-dimensional visual representation is placed onto a surface; this surface is placed in three-dimensional space; the surface, containing the representation, is viewed through per-

spective projection; and the surface is manipulated to effect the reorganization of image details. The presentation transformation is separated into two steps: surface manipulation or distortion and perspective projection.

FIG. 1 is a graphical representation illustrating the geometry **100** for constructing a three-dimensional (“3D”) perspective viewing frustum **220**, relative to an x, y, z coordinate system, in accordance with elastic presentation space (EPS) graphics technology. In EPS technology, detail-in-context views of two-dimensional (“2D”) visual representations are created with sight-line aligned distortions of a 2D information presentation surface within a 3D perspective viewing frustum **220**. In EPS, magnification of regions of interest and the accompanying compression of the contextual region to accommodate this change in scale are produced by the movement of regions of the surface towards the viewpoint (“VP”) **240** located at the apex of the pyramidal shape **220** containing the frustum. The process of projecting these transformed layouts via a perspective projection results in a new 2D layout which includes the zoomed and compressed regions. The use of the third dimension and perspective distortion to provide magnification in EPS provides a meaningful metaphor for the process of distorting the information presentation surface. The 3D manipulation of the information presentation surface in such a system is an intermediate step in the process of creating a new 2D layout of the information.

FIG. 2 is a graphical representation illustrating the geometry **200** of a presentation in accordance with EPS graphics technology. EPS graphics technology employs viewer-aligned perspective projections to produce detail-in-context presentations in a reference view plane **201** which may be viewed on a display. Undistorted 2D data points are located in a basal plane **210** of a 3D perspective viewing volume or frustum **220** which is defined by extreme rays **221** and **222** and the basal plane **210**. The VP **240** is generally located above the centre point of the basal plane **210** and reference view plane (“RVP”) **201**. Points in the basal plane **210** are displaced upward onto a distorted surface **230** which is defined by a general 3D distortion function (i.e. a detail-in-context distortion basis function). The direction of the perspective projection corresponding to the distorted surface **230** is indicated by the line FPo-FP **231** drawn from a point FPo **232** in the basal plane **210** through the point FP **233** which corresponds to the focus or focal region or focal point of the distorted surface **230**. Typically, the perspective projection has a direction **231** that is viewer-aligned (i.e., the points FPo **232**, FP **233**, and VP **240** are collinear).

EPS is applicable to multidimensional data and is well suited to implementation on a computer for dynamic detail-in-context display on an electronic display surface such as a monitor. In the case of two dimensional data, EPS is typically characterized by magnification of areas of an image where detail is desired **233**, in combination with compression of a restricted range of areas of the remaining information (i.e. the context) **234**, the end result typically giving the appearance of a lens **230** having been applied to the display surface. The areas of the lens **230** where compression occurs may be referred to as the “shoulder” **234** of the lens **230**. The area of the representation transformed by the lens may be referred to as the “lensed area”. The lensed area thus includes the focal region and the shoulder. To reiterate, the source image or representation to be viewed is located in the basal plane **210**. Magnification **233** and compression **234** are achieved through elevating elements of the source image relative to the basal plane **210**, and then projecting the resultant distorted surface onto the reference view plane **201**. EPS performs detail-in-context presentation of n-dimensional data through

the use of a procedure wherein the data is mapped into a region in an (n+1) dimensional space, manipulated through perspective projections in the (n+1) dimensional space, and then finally transformed back into n-dimensional space for presentation. EPS has numerous advantages over conventional zoom, pan, and scroll technologies, including the capability of preserving the visibility of information outside **234** the local region of interest **233**.

For example, and referring to FIGS. 1 and 2, in two dimensions, EPS can be implemented through the projection of an image onto a reference plane **201** in the following manner. The source image or representation is located on a basal plane **210**, and those regions of interest **233** of the image for which magnification is desired are elevated so as to move them closer to a reference plane situated between the reference viewpoint **240** and the reference view plane **201**. Magnification of the focal region **233** closest to the RVP **201** varies inversely with distance from the RVP **201**. As shown in FIGS. 1 and 2, compression of regions **234** outside the focal region **233** is a function of both distance from the RVP **201**, and the gradient of the function describing the vertical distance from the RVP **201** with respect to horizontal distance from the focal region **233**. The resultant combination of magnification **233** and compression **234** of the image as seen from the reference viewpoint **240** results in a lens-like effect similar to that of a magnifying glass applied to the image. Hence, the various functions used to vary the magnification and compression of the source image via vertical displacement from the basal plane **210** are described as lenses, lens types, or lens functions. Lens functions that describe basic lens types with point and circular focal regions, as well as certain more complex lenses and advanced capabilities such as folding, have previously been described by Carpendale.

FIG. 3 is a block diagram illustrating a data processing system **300** adapted to implement an embodiment. The data processing system **300** is suitable for implementing EPS technology, for displaying detail-in-context presentations of representations in conjunction with a detail-in-context graphical user interface (“GUI”) **400**, as described below, and for controlling detail-in-context lenses in detail-in-context presentations while reducing occlusion and improving magnification. The data processing system **300** includes an input device **310**, a central processing unit (“CPU”) **320**, memory **330**, and a display **340**. The input device **310** may include a keyboard, a mouse, a trackball, an eye tracking device, a position tracking device, or a similar device. The CPU **320** may include dedicated coprocessors and memory devices. The memory **330** may include RAM, ROM, databases, or disk devices. And, the display **340** may include a computer screen, terminal device, or a hardcopy producing output device such as a printer or plotter. The data processing system **300** has stored therein data representing sequences of instructions which when executed cause the method described herein to be performed. Of course, the data processing system **300** may contain additional software and hardware a description of which is not necessary for understanding.

Thus, the data processing system **300** includes computer executable programmed instructions for directing the system **300** to implement the embodiments. The programmed instructions may be embodied in one or more software modules **331** resident in the memory **330** of the data processing system **300**. Alternatively, the programmed instructions may be embodied on a computer readable medium (such as a CD disk or floppy disk) which may be used for transporting the programmed instructions to the memory **330** of the data processing system **300**. Alternatively, the programmed instructions may be embedded in a computer-readable, signal-bear-

ing medium that is uploaded to a network by a vendor or supplier of the programmed instructions, and this signal-bearing medium may be downloaded through an interface to the data processing system 300 from the network by end users or potential buyers.

As mentioned, detail-in-context presentations of data using techniques such as pliable surfaces, as described by Carpendale, are useful in presenting large amounts of information on limited-size display surfaces. Detail-in-context views allow magnification of a particular region-of-interest (the “focal region”) 233 in a data presentation while preserving visibility of the surrounding information 210. In the following, a GUI 400 is described having lens control elements that can be implemented in software and applied to the editing of multi-layer images and to the control of detail-in-context data presentations. The software can be loaded into and run by the data processing system 300 of FIG. 3. In general, applications in computer graphics systems are launched by the computer graphics system’s operating system upon selection by a user from a menu or other GUI. A GUI is used to convey information to and receive commands from users and generally includes a variety of GUI objects or controls, including icons, toolbars, drop-down menus, text, dialog boxes, buttons, and the like. A user typically interacts with a GUI by using a pointing device (e.g., a mouse) to position a pointer or cursor over an object and “clicking” on the object.

FIG. 4 is a partial screen capture illustrating a GUI 400 having lens control elements for user interaction with detail-in-context data presentations. Detail-in-context data presentations are characterized by magnification of areas of an image where detail is desired, in combination with compression of a restricted range of areas of the remaining information (i.e. the context), the end result typically giving the appearance of a lens having been applied to the display screen surface. This lens 410 includes a “focal region” 420 having high magnification, a surrounding “shoulder region” 430 where information is typically visibly compressed, and a “base” 412 surrounding the shoulder region 430 and defining the extent of the lens 410. In FIG. 4, the lens 410 is shown with a circular shaped base 412 (or outline) and with a focal region 420 lying near the center of the lens 410. However, the lens 410 and focal region 420 may have any desired shape. As mentioned above, the base of the lens 412 may be coextensive with the focal region 420.

In general, the GUI 400 has lens control elements that, in combination, provide for the interactive control of the lens 410. The effective control of the characteristics of the lens 410 by a user (i.e., dynamic interaction with a detail-in-context lens) is advantageous. At any given time, one or more of these lens control elements may be made visible to the user on the display surface 340 by appearing as overlay icons on the lens 410. Interaction with each element is performed via the motion of an input or pointing device 310 (e.g., a mouse) with the motion resulting in an appropriate change in the corresponding lens characteristic. As will be described, selection of which lens control element is actively controlled by the motion of the pointing device 310 at any given time is determined by the proximity of the icon representing the pointing device 310 (e.g. cursor) on the display surface 340 to the appropriate component of the lens 410. For example, “dragging” of the pointing device at the periphery of the bounding rectangle of the lens base 412 causes a corresponding change in the size of the lens 410 (i.e. “resizing”). Thus, the GUI 400 provides the user with a visual representation of which lens control element is being adjusted through the display of one or more corresponding icons.

For ease of understanding, the following discussion will be in the context of using a two-dimensional pointing device 310 that is a mouse, but it will be understood that the embodiments may be practiced with other 2D or 3D (or even greater numbers of dimensions) pointing devices including a trackball, a keyboard, an eye tracking device, and a position tracking device.

A mouse 310 controls the position of a cursor icon 401 that is displayed on the display screen 340. The cursor 401 is moved by moving the mouse 310 over a flat surface, such as the top of a desk, in the desired direction of movement of the cursor 401. Thus, the two-dimensional movement of the mouse 310 on the flat surface translates into a corresponding two-dimensional movement of the cursor 401 on the display screen 340.

A mouse 310 typically has one or more finger actuated control buttons (i.e. mouse buttons). While the mouse buttons can be used for different functions such as selecting a menu option pointed at by the cursor 401, the disclosed embodiment may use a single mouse button to “select” a lens 410 and to trace the movement of the cursor 401 along a desired path. Specifically, to select a lens 410, the cursor 401 is first located within the extent of the lens 410. In other words, the cursor 401 is “pointed” at the lens 410. Next, the mouse button is depressed and released. That is, the mouse button is “clicked”. Selection is thus a point and click operation. To trace the movement of the cursor 401, the cursor 401 is located at the desired starting location, the mouse button is depressed to signal the computer 320 to activate a lens control element, and the mouse 310 is moved while maintaining the button depressed. After the desired path has been traced, the mouse button is released. This procedure is often referred to as “clicking” and “dragging” (i.e. a click and drag operation). It will be understood that a predetermined key on a keyboard 310 could also be used to activate a mouse click or drag. In the following, the term “clicking” will refer to the depression of a mouse button indicating a selection by the user and the term “dragging” will refer to the subsequent motion of the mouse 310 and cursor 401 without the release of the mouse button.

The GUI 400 may include the following lens control elements: move, pickup, resize base, resize focus, fold, magnify, zoom, and scoop. Each of these lens control elements has at least one lens control icon or alternate cursor icon associated with it. In general, when a lens 410 is selected by a user through a point and click operation, the following lens control icons may be displayed over the lens 410: pickup icon 450, base outline icon 412, base bounding rectangle icon 411, focal region bounding rectangle icon 421, handle icons 481, 482, 491 magnify slide bar icon 440, zoom icon 495, and scoop slide bar icon (not shown). Typically, these icons are displayed simultaneously after selection of the lens 410. In addition, when the cursor 401 is located within the extent of a selected lens 410, an alternate cursor icon 460, 470, 480, 490, 495 may be displayed over the lens 410 to replace the cursor 401 or may be displayed in combination with the cursor 401. These lens control elements, corresponding icons, and their effects on the characteristics of a lens 410 are described below with reference to FIG. 4.

In general, when a lens 410 is selected by a point and click operation, bounding rectangle icons 411, 421 are displayed surrounding the base 412 and focal region 420 of the selected lens 410 to indicate that the lens 410 has been selected. With respect to the bounding rectangles 411, 421 one might view them as glass windows enclosing the lens base 412 and focal region 420, respectively. The bounding rectangles 411, 421 include handle icons 481, 482, 491 allowing for direct manipulation of the enclosed base 412 and focal region 420 as

will be explained below. Thus, the bounding rectangles **411**, **421** not only inform the user that the lens **410** has been selected, but also provide the user with indications as to what manipulation operations might be possible for the selected lens **410** through use of the displayed handles **481**, **482**, **491**. Note that it is well within the scope of the present embodiment to provide a bounding region having a shape other than generally rectangular. Such a bounding region could be of any of a great number of shapes including oblong, oval, ovoid, conical, cubic, cylindrical, polyhedral, spherical, etc.

Moreover, the cursor **401** provides a visual cue indicating the nature of an available lens control element. As such, the cursor **401** will generally change in form by simply pointing to a different lens control icon **450**, **412**, **411**, **421**, **481**, **482**, **491**, **440**. For example, when resizing the base **412** of a lens **410** using a corner handle **491**, the cursor **401** will change form to a resize icon **490** once it is pointed at (i.e. positioned over) the corner handle **491**. The cursor **401** will remain in the form of the resize icon **490** until the cursor **401** has been moved away from the corner handle **491**.

Lateral movement of a lens **410** is provided by the move lens control element of the GUI **400**. This functionality is accomplished by the user first selecting the lens **410** through a point and click operation. Then, the user points to a point within the lens **410** that is other than a point lying on a lens control icon **450**, **412**, **411**, **421**, **481**, **482**, **491**, **440**. When the cursor **401** is so located, a move icon **460** is displayed over the lens **410** to replace the cursor **401** or may be displayed in combination with the cursor **401**. The move icon **460** not only informs the user that the lens **410** may be moved, but also provides the user with indications as to what movement operations are possible for the selected lens **410**. For example, the move icon **460** may include arrowheads indicating up, down, left, and right motion. Next, the lens **410** is moved by a click and drag operation in which the user clicks and drags the lens **410** to the desired position on the screen **340** and then releases the mouse button **310**. The lens **410** is locked in its new position until a further pickup and move operation is performed.

Lateral movement of a lens **410** is also provided by the pickup lens control element of the GUI. This functionality is accomplished by the user first selecting the lens **410** through a point and click operation. As mentioned above, when the lens **410** is selected a pickup icon **450** is displayed over the lens **410** near the centre of the lens **410**. Typically, the pickup icon **450** will be a crosshairs. In addition, a base outline **412** is displayed over the lens **410** representing the base **412** of the lens **410**. The crosshairs **450** and lens outline **412** not only inform the user that the lens has been selected, but also provides the user with an indication as to the pickup operation that is possible for the selected lens **410**. Next, the user points at the crosshairs **450** with the cursor **401**. Then, the lens outline **412** is moved by a click and drag operation in which the user clicks and drags the crosshairs **450** to the desired position on the screen **340** and then releases the mouse button **310**. The full lens **410** is then moved to the new position and is locked there until a further pickup operation is performed. In contrast to the move operation described above, with the pickup operation, it is the outline **412** of the lens **410** that the user repositions rather than the full lens **410**.

Resizing of the base **412** (or outline) of a lens **410** is provided by the resize base lens control element of the GUI. After the lens **410** is selected, a bounding rectangle icon **411** is displayed surrounding the base **412**. For a rectangular shaped base **412**, the bounding rectangle icon **411** may be coextensive with the perimeter of the base **412**. The bounding rectangle **411** includes handles **491**. These handles **491** can be

used to stretch the base **412** taller or shorter, wider or narrower, or proportionally larger or smaller. The corner handles **491** will keep the proportions the same while changing the size. The middle handles (not shown) will make the base **412** taller or shorter, wider or narrower. Resizing the base **412** by the corner handles **491** will keep the base **412** in proportion. Resizing the base **412** by the middle handles will change the proportions of the base **412**. That is, the middle handles change the aspect ratio of the base **412** (i.e. the ratio between the height and the width of the bounding rectangle **411** of the base **412**). When a user points at a handle **491** with the cursor **401** a resize icon **490** may be displayed over the handle **491** to replace the cursor **401** or may be displayed in combination with the cursor **401**. The resize icon **490** not only informs the user that the handle **491** may be selected, but also provides the user with indications as to the resizing operations that are possible with the selected handle. For example, the resize icon **490** for a corner handle **491** may include arrows indicating proportional resizing. The resize icon (not shown) for a middle handle may include arrows indicating width resizing or height resizing. After pointing at the desired handle **491** the user would click and drag the handle **491** until the desired shape and size for the base **412** is reached. Once the desired shape and size are reached, the user would release the mouse button **310**. The base **412** of the lens **410** is then locked in its new size and shape until a further base resize operation is performed.

Resizing of the focal region **420** of a lens **410** is provided by the resize focus lens control element of the GUI. After the lens **410** is selected, a bounding rectangle icon **421** is displayed surrounding the focal region **420**. For a rectangular shaped focal region **420**, the bounding rectangle icon **421** may be coextensive with the perimeter of the focal region **420**. The bounding rectangle **421** includes handles **481**, **482**. These handles **481**, **482** can be used to stretch the focal region **420** taller or shorter, wider or narrower, or proportionally larger or smaller. The corner handles **481** will keep the proportions the same while changing the size. The middle handles **482** will make the focal region **420** taller or shorter, wider or narrower. Resizing the focal region **420** by the corner handles **481** will keep the focal region **420** in proportion. Resizing the focal region **420** by the middle handles **482** will change the proportions of the focal region **420**. That is, the middle handles **482** change the aspect ratio of the focal region **420** (i.e. the ratio between the height and the width of the bounding rectangle **421** of the focal region **420**). When a user points at a handle **481**, **482** with the cursor **401** a resize icon **480** may be displayed over the handle **481**, **482** to replace the cursor **401** or may be displayed in combination with the cursor **401**. The resize icon **480** not only informs the user that a handle **481**, **482** may be selected, but also provides the user with indications as to the resizing operations that are possible with the selected handle. For example, the resize icon **480** for a corner handle **481** may include arrows indicating proportional resizing. The resize icon **480** for a middle handle **482** may include arrows indicating width resizing or height resizing. After pointing at the desired handle **481**, **482**, the user would click and drag the handle **481**, **482** until the desired shape and size for the focal region **420** is reached. Once the desired shape and size are reached, the user would release the mouse button **310**. The focal region **420** is then locked in its new size and shape until a further focus resize operation is performed.

Folding of the focal region **420** of a lens **410** is provided by the fold control element of the GUI. In general, control of the degree and direction of folding (i.e. skewing of the viewer aligned vector **231** as described by Carpendale) is accomplished by a click and drag operation on a point **471**, other

than a handle **481**, **482**, on the bounding rectangle **421** surrounding the focal region **420**. The direction of folding is determined by the direction in which the point **471** is dragged. The degree of folding is determined by the magnitude of the translation of the cursor **401** during the drag. In general, the direction and degree of folding corresponds to the relative displacement of the focus **420** with respect to the lens base **410**. In other words, and referring to FIG. 2, the direction and degree of folding corresponds to the displacement of the point FP **233** relative to the point FPo **232**, where the vector joining the points FPo **232** and FP **233** defines the viewer aligned vector **231**. In particular, after the lens **410** is selected, a bounding rectangle icon **421** is displayed surrounding the focal region **420**. The bounding rectangle **421** includes handles **481**, **482**. When a user points at a point **471**, other than a handle **481**, **482**, on the bounding rectangle **421** surrounding the focal region **420** with the cursor **401**, a fold icon **470** may be displayed over the point **471** to replace the cursor **401** or may be displayed in combination with the cursor **401**. The fold icon **470** not only informs the user that a point **471** on the bounding rectangle **421** may be selected, but also provides the user with indications as to what fold operations are possible. For example, the fold icon **470** may include arrowheads indicating up, down, left, and right motion. By choosing a point **471**, other than a handle **481**, **482**, on the bounding rectangle **421** a user may control the degree and direction of folding. To control the direction of folding, the user would click on the point **471** and drag in the desired direction of folding. To control the degree of folding, the user would drag to a greater or lesser degree in the desired direction of folding. Once the desired direction and degree of folding is reached, the user would release the mouse button **310**. The lens **410** is then locked with the selected fold until a further fold operation is performed.

Magnification of the lens **410** is provided by the magnify lens control element of the GUI. After the lens **410** is selected, the magnify control is presented to the user as a slide bar icon **440** near or adjacent to the lens **410** and typically to one side of the lens **410**. Sliding the bar **441** of the slide bar **440** results in a proportional change in the magnification of the lens **410**. The slide bar **440** not only informs the user that magnification of the lens **410** may be selected, but also provides the user with an indication as to what level of magnification is possible. The slide bar **440** includes a bar **441** that may be slid up and down, or left and right, to adjust and indicate the level of magnification. To control the level of magnification, the user would click on the bar **441** of the slide bar **440** and drag in the direction of desired magnification level. Once the desired level of magnification is reached, the user would release the mouse button **310**. The lens **410** is then locked with the selected magnification until a further magnification operation is performed. In general, the focal region **420** is an area of the lens **410** having constant magnification (i.e. if the focal region is a plane). Again referring to FIGS. 1 and 2, magnification of the focal region **420**, **233** varies inversely with the distance from the focal region **420**, **233** to the reference view plane (RVP) **201**. Magnification of areas lying in the shoulder region **430** of the lens **410** also varies inversely with their distance from the RVP **201**. Thus, magnification of areas lying in the shoulder region **430** will range from unity at the base **412** to the level of magnification of the focal region **420**.

Zoom functionality is provided by the zoom lens control element of the GUI. Referring to FIG. 2, the zoom lens control element, for example, allows a user to quickly navigate to a region of interest **233** within a continuous view of a larger presentation **210** and then zoom in to that region of interest **233** for detailed viewing or editing. Referring to FIG. 4, the

combined presentation area covered by the focal region **420** and shoulder region **430** and surrounded by the base **412** may be referred to as the “extent of the lens”. Similarly, the presentation area covered by the focal region **420** may be referred to as the “extent of the focal region”. The extent of the lens may be indicated to a user by a base bounding rectangle **411** when the lens **410** is selected. The extent of the lens may also be indicated by an arbitrarily shaped figure that bounds or is coincident with the perimeter of the base **412**. Similarly, the extent of the focal region may be indicated by a second bounding rectangle **421** or arbitrarily shaped figure. The zoom lens control element allows a user to: (a) “zoom in” to the extent of the focal region such that the extent of the focal region fills the display screen **340** (i.e. “zoom to focal region extent”); (b) “zoom in” to the extent of the lens such that the extent of the lens fills the display screen **340** (i.e. “zoom to lens extent”); or, (c) “zoom in” to the area lying outside of the extent of the focal region such that the area without the focal region is magnified to the same level as the extent of the focal region (i.e. “zoom to scale”).

In particular, after the lens **410** is selected, a bounding rectangle icon **411** is displayed surrounding the base **412** and a bounding rectangle icon **421** is displayed surrounding the focal region **420**. Zoom functionality is accomplished by the user first selecting the zoom icon **495** through a point and click operation. When a user selects zoom functionality, a zoom cursor icon **496** may be displayed to replace the cursor **401** or may be displayed in combination with the cursor **401**. The zoom cursor icon **496** provides the user with indications as to what zoom operations are possible. For example, the zoom cursor icon **496** may include a magnifying glass. By choosing a point within the extent of the focal region, within the extent of the lens, or without the extent of the lens, the user may control the zoom function. To zoom in to the extent of the focal region such that the extent of the focal region fills the display screen **340** (i.e. “zoom to focal region extent”), the user would point and click within the extent of the focal region. To zoom in to the extent of the lens such that the extent of the lens fills the display screen **340** (i.e. “zoom to lens extent”), the user would point and click within the extent of the lens. Or, to zoom in to the presentation area without the extent of the focal region, such that the area without the extent of the focal region is magnified to the same level as the extent of the focal region (i.e. “zoom to scale”), the user would point and click without the extent of the lens. After the point and click operation is complete, the presentation is locked with the selected zoom until a further zoom operation is performed.

Alternatively, rather than choosing a point within the extent of the focal region, within the extent of the lens, or without the extent of the lens to select the zoom function, a zoom function menu with multiple items (not shown) or multiple zoom function icons (not shown) may be used for zoom function selection. The zoom function menu may be presented as a pull-down menu. The zoom function icons may be presented in a toolbar or adjacent to the lens **410** when the lens is selected. Individual zoom function menu items or zoom function icons may be provided for each of the “zoom to focal region extent”, “zoom to lens extent”, and “zoom to scale” functions described above. In this alternative, after the lens **410** is selected, a bounding rectangle icon **411** may be displayed surrounding the base **412** and a bounding rectangle icon **421** may be displayed surrounding the focal region **420**. Zoom functionality is accomplished by the user selecting a zoom function from the zoom function menu or via the zoom function icons using a point and click operation. In this way,

a zoom function may be selected without considering the position of the cursor **401** within the lens **410**.

The concavity or “scoop” of the shoulder region **430** of the lens **410** is provided by the scoop lens control element of the GUI. After the lens **410** is selected, the scoop control is presented to the user as a slide bar icon (not shown) near or adjacent to the lens **410** and typically below the lens **410**. Sliding the bar (not shown) of the slide bar results in a proportional change in the concavity or scoop of the shoulder region **430** of the lens **410**. The slide bar not only informs the user that the shape of the shoulder region **430** of the lens **410** may be selected, but also provides the user with an indication as to what degree of shaping is possible. The slide bar includes a bar (not shown) that may be slid left and right, or up and down, to adjust and indicate the degree of scooping. To control the degree of scooping, the user would click on the bar of the slide bar and drag in the direction of desired scooping degree. Once the desired degree of scooping is reached, the user would release the mouse button **310**. The lens **410** is then locked with the selected scoop until a further scooping operation is performed.

Advantageously, a user may choose to hide one or more lens control icons **450, 412, 411, 421, 481, 482, 491, 440, 495** shown in FIG. 4 from view so as not to impede the user’s view of the image within the lens **410**. This may be helpful, for example, during an editing or move operation. A user may select this option through means such as a menu, toolbar, or lens property dialog box.

In addition, the GUI **400** maintains a record of control element operations such that the user may restore pre-operation presentations. This record of operations may be accessed by or presented to the user through “Undo” and “Redo” icons **497, 498**, through a pull-down operation history menu (not shown), or through a toolbar.

Thus, detail-in-context data viewing techniques allow a user to view multiple levels of detail or resolution on one display **340**. The appearance of the data display or presentation is that of one or more virtual lenses showing detail **233** within the context of a larger area view **210**. Using multiple lenses in detail-in-context data presentations may be used to compare two regions of interest at the same time. Folding enhances this comparison by allowing the user to pull the regions of interest closer together. Moreover, using detail-in-context technology such as PDT, an area of interest can be magnified to pixel level resolution, or to any level of detail available from the source information, for in-depth review. The digital images may include graphic images, maps, photographic images, or text documents, and the source information may be in raster, vector, or text form.

For example, in order to view a selected object or area in detail, a user can define a lens **410** over the object using the GUI **400**. The lens **410** may be introduced to the original image to form the a presentation through the use of a pull-down menu selection, tool bar icon, etc. Using lens control elements for the GUI **400**, such as move, pickup, resize base, resize focus, fold, magnify, zoom, and scoop, as described above, the user adjusts the lens **410** for detailed viewing of the object or area. Using the magnify lens control element, for example, the user may magnify the focal region **420** of the lens **410** to pixel quality resolution revealing detailed information pertaining to the selected object or area. That is, a base image (i.e., the image outside the extent of the lens) is displayed at a low resolution while a lens image (i.e., the image within the extent of the lens) is displayed at a resolution based on a user selected magnification **440, 441**.

In operation, the data processing system **300** employs EPS techniques with an input device **310** and GUI **400** for select-

ing objects or areas for detailed display to a user on a display screen **340**. Data representing an original image or representation is received by the CPU **320** of the data processing system **300**. Using EPS techniques, the CPU **320** processes the data in accordance with instructions received from the user via an input device **310** and GUI **400** to produce a detail-in-context presentation. The presentation is presented to the user on a display screen **340**. It will be understood that the CPU **320** may apply a transformation to the shoulder region **430** surrounding the region-of-interest **420** to affect blending or folding in accordance with EPS technology. For example, the transformation may map the region-of-interest **420** and/or shoulder region **430** to a predefined lens surface, defined by a transformation or distortion function and having a variety of shapes, using EPS techniques. Or, the lens **410** may be simply coextensive with the region-of-interest **420**.

The lens control elements of the GUI **400** are adjusted by the user via an input device **310** to control the characteristics of the lens **410** in the detail-in-context presentation. Using an input device **310** such as a mouse, a user adjusts parameters of the lens **410** using icons and scroll bars of the GUI **400** that are displayed over the lens **410** on the display screen **340**. The user may also adjust parameters of the image of the full scene. Signals representing input device **310** movements and selections are transmitted to the CPU **320** of the data processing system **300** where they are translated into instructions for lens control.

Moreover, the lens **410** may be added to the presentation before or after the object or area is selected. That is, the user may first add a lens **410** to a presentation or the user may move a pre-existing lens into place over the selected object or area. The lens **410** may be introduced to the original image to form the presentation through the use of a pull-down menu selection, tool bar icon, etc.

Advantageously, by using a detail-in-context lens **410** to select an object or area for detailed information gathering, a user can view a large area (i.e., outside the extent of the lens **410**) while focusing in on a smaller area (or within the focal region **420** of the lens **410**) surrounding the selected object. This makes it possible for a user to accurately gather detailed information without losing visibility or context of the portion of the original image surrounding the selected object.

Now, according to the present embodiment, improved methods are provided for occlusion reduction and magnification for multidimensional data presentations.

In 3D computer graphics and 3D information presentations generally, occlusion of objects of interest by other objects in the viewer’s line of sight is a common problem. U.S. Pat. No. 6,798,412, which is incorporated herein by reference, describes methods of occlusion reduction based on displacements orthogonal to the line of sight and based on a variety of distance metrics and shaping functions. The present embodiment provides additional methods and improvements to methods for occlusion reduction. Furthermore, a lens definition that combines occlusion reduction and magnification is provided.

For reference, FIGS. 5-7 show 2D cross-sectional views of a linear occlusion reducing transformation in operation. FIG. 5 is a diagram illustrating an original configuration **500** of the 2D cross-sectional view of a structure **510**. The structure **510** is defined by a number of information points **501** arranged in a matrix. A region-of-interest (or an object-of-interest) **520** is shown near the centre of the structure **510**. A viewpoint **530** for the region-of-interest **520** is shown near the bottom right-hand side of the structure **510**. A sight-line **540** connects the region-of-interest **520** to the viewpoint **530**. In other words,

the region-of-interest **520** and the viewpoint **530** define the line of sight through the structure **510**.

FIG. **5A** is a diagram illustrating extrusion of points on the object-of-interest (illustrated as lines **502**, **504** extending from the object-of-interest **520**) along the path, such as the sight-line **540**, toward the viewpoint **530**. The extrusions, represented as lines **502**, **504**, form a volume that may be used to determine minimum displacements from the path for objects intersected by the volume. By extruding the points on the object-of-interest **520**, objects that occlude the object-of-interest may be displaced away from the path. For example, the points **501** intersected by the lines **502**, **504** or lying within the volume formed by lines **502**, **504** may be displaced away from the sight-line **540** according to a transformation function and the minimum displacements to locations within the original image, such as shown in FIG. **6**.

FIG. **6** is a diagram illustrating direction vectors **650** to points **670** in the structure **510** of FIG. **5** lying on or near the sight-line **540**. The distance of each point **670** is measured to the nearest point **660** on the sight-line **540**. A direction vector **650** from the nearest point **660** on the sight-line **540** to the point being adjusted **670** is also determined. When the occlusion reducing transformation is applied, points will be moved in the direction of these direction vectors **650**. The lengths of the direction vectors **650** form an input to a transformation function. The result of this function is used to determine the displacement for each point. Points closest to the line of sight are moved the furthest in distance, and points originally lying further away are moved in successively smaller increments in distance. In other words, the lengths of the direction vectors **650** form inputs to the function that determines the magnitude of resulting displacement vectors. The direction of the resulting displacement vectors will be parallel to the input direction vectors. Eventually a smooth transition is made to points which are far enough away as to be unaffected by the transformation.

FIG. **7** is a diagram illustrating a final configuration **700** resulting from the application of the occlusion reducing transformation function to the structure **510** of FIG. **5**. In this final configuration **700**, a clear line of sight from the viewpoint **530** to the region-of-interest **520** is established. Thus, the effect of an occlusion reducing transformation is to provide a clear line of sight, or visual access, to an object or region-of-interest within a 3D visual representation by adjusting the layout.

It is helpful to know the magnitude of the displacements required to clear the line of sight **540** to the object of interest **520** for the methods described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,798,412. According to one embodiment, the amplitude of displacements is increased until an extrusion of the object of interest **520** toward the viewpoint **530** does not intersect any other objects (e.g., **550** in FIG. **5**). This extrusion test can be performed, for example, by projecting any point or locus of points on the object **520** in a direction towards the viewpoint **530**. If this projection yields no intersections with other objects **550**, then the line of sight **540** can be considered to be cleared, and the minimum magnitude of the occlusion reduction displacements has been achieved. This criterion provides a means of testing whether a given displacement operation or other occlusion reduction method has resulted in the elimination or reduction of occlusion. The extrusion of all object points in this manner defines a volume which must be cleared of obstructions for the complete elimination or reduction of occlusion. Advantageously, such an extrusion coupled with the orthogonal displacement as described above from U.S. Pat. No. 6,798,412 defines a minimum displacement from the line of sight **540** and hence an optimal occlusion reduction.

According to another embodiment, a method for the subdivision of polygons to improve displacement quality is provided. For reference, FIG. **8** is a diagram illustrating a distortion function **230** or lens **410**. In operation, the lens **410** may be adjusted with the GUI **400** of FIG. **4**. FIG. **9** is a diagram illustrating an original image or representation in the form of a mesh **900** composed of polygons (e.g., triangles) **910**. And, FIG. **10** is a detail view of a portion of the mesh **900** of FIG. **9**. In FIG. **8**, the lens **410** is defined by a distortion function **230** that is a Gaussian function. The mesh **900** of FIG. **9** is fitted to the distortion function **230** or lens **410** of FIG. **8** in that the mesh **900** is aligned to the Hessian of the Gaussian function (i.e., the polygons near the centre of the mesh **900** are smaller in size than those near the outer edges of the mesh). Of course, the mesh **900** may have polygons **910** that are not pre-fitted to the lens **410**. In any event, with such a mesh **900** defined, the application of a lens **410** to an original image may be simplified as in general only the vertices **920** of each polygon **910** need be displaced. Points lying between the vertices **920** may then be interpolated. The method described here with respect to FIGS. **8-10** is one approach of generating a random looking mesh in the hope that it will closely approximate a lens. What will be described next is an improved methodical approach to meshing a polygon.

When dealing with 3D polygonal (e.g., triangle **910** shown in 2D in FIG. **9**) data, displacing existing vertices **920** is often not enough to produce a good quality lensed scene. For example, a problem arises when individual triangles **910** are large in relation to the lens **230**, **410**. In these cases it is possible for the triangles **910** to overlap the lens **410**, but have no vertices **920** in the lens **410**, under which circumstance none of the vertices **920** would be displaced. This can result in a non-ideal situation where a triangle **910** is not displaced even though it intersects the lens **410**. As another example, it is possible for one or multiple vertices **920** to lie within the lens **410**, under which circumstance those vertices **920** would be displaced, but the connecting edges **930** would remain straight, not curved according to the geometry specified by the lens **410**. The solution to these problems in this embodiment is to subdivide triangles **910** that intersect the lens **410** in such a way that the displaced triangles will adequately approximate the distortion specified by the lens **410**. This is accomplished by inserting extra vertices **920** and edges **930** into the triangle geometry **900**. In the case of a circular lens **410** with a circular focal region **420**, for example, a circle of edges **930** around the bounds or perimeter (e.g., **411** or **412**) of the lens **410**, one around the focal bounds or perimeter (e.g., **421**) of the lens **410**, and possibly one or more at intermediate points (e.g., **430**) in between the focal region **420** and the bounds **411**, **412** is inserted. According to another embodiment, additional edges **930** and vertices **920** may be inserted radially from the center of the lens **410**, like bicycle spokes, to improve the appearance of the lens **410**.

Note that the notion of a “mesh”, while appropriate for 2D applications, is not entirely appropriate for 3D applications. For 3D applications, the expression a “collection of polygons” is more appropriate as in general 3D data is considered to be a collection of isolated triangles (polygons). In the method described above, what is accomplished is the meshing of a polygon **910**, or a subdividing of a polygon into many polygons. Thus, for 3D applications, FIGS. **9** and **10** may be described as illustrating a “collection of polygons” **900** rather than a mesh composed of polygons. For example, in the case of a CAD drawing with multiple parts, there could be a separate mesh or collection of polygons associated with each part.

Another difference between 2D and 3D applications is that in 3D applications, data vertices **920** can be located anywhere in the 3D space. This affects the manner in which a detail-in-context presentation for an original 3D image is generated. In particular, with respect to lens definition, in 2D, the lens (e.g., **230** in FIG. 2) is defined as existing in the plane **210** of the original image or data. However, in 3D, there is no inherent data plane **210**. Therefore, an arbitrary plane is chosen on which the lens **410** may be defined. The arbitrary plane can be chosen to be orthogonal to the line of sight (e.g., **231** in FIG. 2). The lens can be defined on the arbitrary plane and then projected onto a view plane (e.g., **201** in FIG. 2) or screen to achieve a desired size or magnification.

Now, to subdivide the triangles or polygons **910** to adequately approximate the distortion specified by the lens **410**, a first step is project the perimeter of the lens **411**, **412** and/or focal region **421** onto the arbitrary plane. This projection may be a perspective projection or an orthonormal projection. After the subdivision of polygons **910**, the resulting collection of polygons are displaced onto the lens **410** and perspectively projected onto a view plane in a viewpoint aligned direction.

Note that occlusion reduction can also be performed with an orthonormal camera projection. In an orthonormal projection, first, an arbitrary perspective projection is used with a standard displacement function (as described above), second, the point to be displaced is translated onto the lens surface, and third, the point is perspectively projected onto the desired plane. The difference between this new point and the original point is what is used for the displacement in an orthonormal projection.

According to another embodiment, a method for providing a lens-dependent level of detail in a presentation is provided. Now, 3D models can sometimes be very complex, taxing the processor **320** and memory **330** subsystems of a data processing system **300**. Reducing model complexity can help deal with this problem. Coupling level of detail with lens position can be used to keep polygon count in a mesh **900** low, while still providing high polygon counts where they are needed. The low level polygon count models can be arrived at in several ways. First, a user can explicitly specify a simple geometry version of a complex assembly. For example, the rendering software **331** may be instructed to replace a complex engine assembly in a representation of an automobile with a simple cylinder assembly. Second, the rendering software **331** can use automated model simplification algorithms to arrive at simpler models, provided that these algorithms themselves are not excessively computationally expensive.

According to another embodiment, 3D magnification lenses and a method for occlusion reduction with magnification are provided. In this embodiment, detail-in-context lens magnification, as described in U.S. Pat. Nos. 6,768,497 and 6,798,412 and U.S. patent application Ser. Nos. 10/021,313, 10/137,648, and 10/166,736, which are incorporated herein by reference, is extended to magnification of 3D objects. Algorithmically, there are several methods of providing this. One method is to project 3D data vertices onto a plane perpendicular to the line of sight, apply a 2D lens to the vertices (e.g., **920**) in the plane, and then “un-project” the vertices back into 3D. An occlusion reduction operation for the magnified object can then be applied after the magnification step (i.e., the application of the 2D lens). The un-project step may be performed in two ways. The first method is to translate the lensed point along a line specified by the viewpoint and the lensed point, a distance equal to the distance of the original projection. The direction of translation is opposite to the original projection. The second method is the same as the

first, except the distance of the translation is calculated such that the point will be co-planar with the original data vertex point, with the plane defined as being perpendicular to the line of sight.

According to another embodiment, a method for selective and automatic occlusion reduction based on object recognition or object attributes is provided. In many cases it is desirable to preserve the location of specific occluding objects, to prevent the separation of related or grouped objects, or to limit the allowed displacement of specific objects. According to this embodiment, pattern recognition or object recognition methods are used to automatically detect specific objects (e.g., **550** in FIG. 5) and apply known constraints to their allowed displacements. For example, an object of interest may be recognized by querying a database or table of object features of a given assembly with a query containing identifying parameter values of the object-of-interest. Alternately, raster pattern matching algorithms may be applied to compare a digital photograph of the part of interest with the actual rendered objects of the assembly to identify a matching part. According to another embodiment, maximum displacements and related attributes are stored with the objects and retrieved during the occlusion reduction operations to constrain object displacements.

The above described method (i.e., with respect to polygon subdivision) may be summarized with the aid of a flowchart. FIG. 11 is a flow chart illustrating operations **1100** of software modules **331** within the memory **330** of a data processing system **300** for generating a presentation of a region-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen **340**, the original image being a collection of polygons **900** having polygons **910** defined by three or more shared edges **930** joined at vertex points **920**, in accordance with an embodiment.

At step **1101**, the operations **1100** start.

At step **1102**, a lens **230**, **410** is established for the region-of-interest, the lens **230**, **410** having a magnified focal region **233**, **420** for the region-of-interest at least partially surrounded by a shoulder region **234**, **430** across which the magnification decreases, the focal and shoulder regions having respective perimeters **421**, **412**.

At step **1103**, polygons **910** of the collection of polygons **900** proximate to at least one of the perimeters **421**, **412**, as projected with the polygons **910** onto a base plane **210**, are subdivided by inserting one or more additional vertex points **920** and additional edges **930** into the polygons **910** to be subdivided.

At step **1104**, the lens **230**, **410** is applied to the original image to produce the presentation by displacing the vertex points **920** onto the lens **230**, **410** and perspectively projecting the displacing onto a view plane **201** in a direction **231** aligned with a viewpoint **240** for the region-of-interest.

At step **1105**, the operations **1100** end.

Preferably, the method further includes positioning the one or more additional vertex points and additional edges to align with the at least one of the perimeters **421**, **411**, **412**. Preferably, the focal region **420** has a size and a shape and the method further includes receiving one or more signals to adjust at least one of the size, shape, and magnification of the focal region **420**. Preferably, the method further includes displaying the presentation on the display screen **340**. Preferably, the lens is a surface. Preferably, the method further includes receiving the one or more signals through a graphical user interface (“GUI”) **400** displayed over the lens **410**. Preferably, the GUI **400** has means for adjusting at least one of the size, shape, and magnification of the focal region **420**. Preferably, at least some of the means are icons. Preferably, the

means for adjusting the size and shape is at least one handle icon **481**, **482** positioned on the perimeter **421** of the focal region **420**. Preferably, the means for adjusting the magnification is a slide bar icon **440**, **441**. Preferably, the method further includes receiving the one or more signals from a pointing device **310** manipulated by a user. Preferably, the pointing device **310** is at least one of a mouse, a trackball, and a keyboard. Preferably, the shoulder region **430** has a size and a shape and further comprising receiving one or more signals through a GUI **400** displayed over the lens **410** to adjust at least one of the size and shape of the shoulder region **430**, wherein the GUI **400** has one or more handle icons **491** positioned on the perimeter **411**, **412** of the shoulder region **430** for adjusting at least one of the size and the shape of the shoulder region **430**. Preferably, the method further includes selecting the base plane **210**. Preferably, the polygons **910** are orthonormally projected onto the base plane **210**. Preferably, the polygons **910** are perspectively projected onto the base plane **210**. Preferably, the original image is a three-dimensional original image. Preferably, the method further includes positioning the one or more additional vertex points **920** and additional edges **930** to align with radii of the lens **410**.

While this discussion involved a method, a person of ordinary skill in the art will understand that the apparatus discussed above with reference to a data processing system **300**, may be programmed to enable the practice of the method. Moreover, an article of manufacture for use with a data processing system **300**, such as a pre-recorded storage device or other similar computer readable medium including program instructions recorded thereon, may direct the data processing system **300** to facilitate the practice of the method. It is understood that such apparatus and articles of manufacture also come within the scope.

In particular, the sequences of instructions which when executed cause the method described herein to be performed by the data processing system **300** of FIG. **3** can be contained in a data carrier product according to one embodiment of the invention. This data carrier product can be loaded into and run by the data processing system **300** of FIG. **3**. In addition, the sequences of instructions which when executed cause the method described herein to be performed by the data processing system **300** of FIG. **3** can be contained in a computer software product according to one embodiment. This computer software product can be loaded into and run by the data processing system **300** of FIG. **3**. Moreover, the sequences of instructions which when executed cause the method described herein to be performed by the data processing system **300** of FIG. **3** can be contained in an integrated circuit product including a coprocessor or memory according to one embodiment of the invention. This integrated circuit product can be installed in the data processing system **300** of FIG. **3**.

The embodiments described above are intended to be exemplary only. The scope of the invention is therefore intended to be limited solely by the scope of the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for generating a presentation of a region-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen, wherein the original image comprises a plurality of polygons and each of the plurality of polygons is defined by three or more shared edges joined at vertex points, the method comprising:

establishing, with a computer system, a lens for the region-of-interest, wherein the lens comprises a magnified focal region for the region-of-interest at least partially surrounded by a shoulder region, wherein the shoulder region provides context for the focal region with respect

to portions of the image outside of the lens by preserving visibility of information surrounding the focal region; and

when at least one of the plurality of polygons intersects at least one of a perimeter of the focal region or a perimeter of the shoulder region thereby forming at least one intersecting polygon, subdividing the at least one intersecting polygon by inserting at least one additional vertex point and at least one additional edge into the at least one intersecting polygon.

2. The method of claim **1**, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is proximate to at least one of the perimeter of the focal region or the perimeter of the shoulder region as projected with the at least one intersecting polygon onto a base plane.

3. The method of claim **2**, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is orthonormally projected onto the base plane.

4. The method of claim **2**, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is perspectively projected onto the base plane.

5. The method of claim **1**, further comprising applying the lens to the original image to produce the presentation by displacing the vertex points onto the lens and perspectively projecting the displacing onto a view plane in a direction aligned with a viewpoint for the region-of-interest.

6. The method of claim **1**, further comprising positioning the at least one additional vertex point and the at least one additional edge to align with the at least one of the perimeter of the focal region or the perimeter of the shoulder region.

7. The method of claim **1**, further comprising positioning the at least one additional vertex point and the at least one additional edge to align with radii of the lens.

8. A data processing system configured for generating a presentation of a region-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen, wherein the original image comprises a plurality of polygons, wherein each of the plurality of polygons is defined by three or more shared edges joined at vertex points, and wherein the data processing system comprises a processor and memory having instructions that are executable by the processor to cause the data processing system to perform operations comprising:

establishing a lens for the region-of-interest, wherein the lens comprises a magnified focal region for the region-of-interest at least partially surrounded by a shoulder region, wherein the shoulder region provides context for the focal region with respect to portions of the image outside of the lens by preserving visibility of information surrounding the focal region; and

when at least one of the plurality of polygons intersects at least one of a perimeter of the focal region or a perimeter of the shoulder region thereby forming at least one intersecting polygon, subdividing the at least one intersecting polygon by inserting at least one additional vertex point and at least one additional edge into the at least one intersecting polygon.

9. The data processing system of claim **8**, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is proximate to at least one of the perimeter of the focal region or the perimeter of the shoulder region as projected with the at least one intersecting polygon onto a base plane.

10. The data processing system of claim **9**, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is orthonormally projected onto the base plane.

11. The data processing system of claim **9**, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is perspectively projected onto the base plane.

21

12. The data processing system of claim 8, wherein the instructions are executable by the processor to cause the data processing system to perform further operations comprising: applying the lens to the original image to produce the presentation by displacing the vertex points onto the lens and perspectively projecting the displacing onto a view plane in a direction aligned with a viewpoint for the region-of-interest.

13. The data processing system of claim 8, wherein the instructions are executable by the processor to cause the data processing system to perform further operations comprising: positioning the at least one additional vertex point and the at least one additional edge to align with the at least one of the perimeter of the focal region or the perimeter of the shoulder region.

14. The data processing system of claim 8, wherein the instructions are executable by the processor to cause the data processing system to perform further operations comprising: positioning the at least one additional vertex point and the at least one additional edge to align with radii of the lens.

15. At least one non-transitory computer-readable medium for use with a presentation of a region-of-interest in an original image for display on a display screen, wherein the original image comprises a plurality of polygons, wherein each of the plurality of polygons is defined by three or more shared edges joined at vertex points, and wherein the at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium includes instructions executable to cause a data processing system to:

establish a lens for the region-of-interest, wherein the lens comprises a magnified focal region for the region-of-interest at least partially surrounded by a shoulder region, wherein the shoulder region provides context for the focal region with respect to portions of the image outside of the lens by preserving visibility of information surrounding the focal region; and

when at least one of the plurality of polygons intersects at least one of a perimeter of the focal region or a perimeter of the shoulder region thereby forming at least one inter-

22

secting polygon, subdivide the at least one intersecting polygon plurality of by inserting at least one additional vertex point and at least one additional edge into the at least one intersecting polygon.

16. The at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim 15, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is proximate to at least one of the perimeter of the focal region or the perimeter of the shoulder region as projected with the at least one intersecting polygon onto a base plane.

17. The at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim 16, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is orthonormally projected onto the base plane.

18. The at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim 16, wherein the at least one intersecting polygon is perspectively projected onto the base plane.

19. The at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim 15, including instructions executable to further cause the data processing system to:

apply the lens to the original image to produce the presentation by displacing the vertex points onto the lens and perspectively projecting the displacing onto a view plane in a direction aligned with a viewpoint for the region-of-interest.

20. The at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim 15, including instructions executable to further cause the data processing system to:

position the at least one additional vertex point and the at least one additional edge to align with the at least one of the perimeter of the focal region or the perimeter of the shoulder region.

21. The at least one non-transitory computer-readable medium of claim 15, including instructions executable to further cause the data processing system to:

position the at least one additional vertex point and the at least one additional edge to align with radii of the lens.

* * * * *