

US008897554B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Lee**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,897,554 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Nov. 25, 2014**

(54) **VIDEO COMPARISON USING COLOR HISTOGRAMS**

(75) Inventor: **Morris Lee**, Palm Harbor, FL (US)

(73) Assignee: **The Nielsen Company (US), LLC**, Schaumburg, IL (US)

(\* ) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 371 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/324,692**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 13, 2011**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2013/0148884 A1 Jun. 13, 2013

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06K 9/00** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
USPC ..... **382/165**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
USPC ..... 382/164, 165, 170, 305; 348/700, 722; 725/19; 709/224

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

4,996,594 A	2/1991	Murayama
5,426,684 A	6/1995	Gaborski et al.
5,546,475 A	8/1996	Bolle et al.
5,594,807 A	1/1997	Liu
5,708,767 A	1/1998	Yeo et al.
5,767,893 A	6/1998	Chen et al.
5,805,733 A	9/1998	Wang et al.
5,821,945 A	10/1998	Yeo et al.
5,956,026 A	9/1999	Ratakonda
5,990,980 A	11/1999	Golin

6,157,744 A	12/2000	Nagasaka et al.
6,185,314 B1	2/2001	Crabtree et al.
6,243,713 B1	6/2001	Nelson et al.
6,263,088 B1	7/2001	Crabtree et al.
6,278,989 B1	8/2001	Chaudhuri et al.
6,366,680 B1	4/2002	Brunk et al.
6,430,312 B1	8/2002	Huang et al.
6,556,710 B2	4/2003	Pass et al.
6,611,622 B1	8/2003	Krumm

(Continued)

**FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS**

EP	1873715	1/2008
EP	1873717	1/2008
GB	2349031	10/2000
WO	9967695	12/1999

**OTHER PUBLICATIONS**

Nikolaidis et al., "Image and Video Fingerprinting for Digital Rights Management of Multimedia Data," 2006 International Symposium on Intelligent Signal Processing and Communication Systems (ISPACS2006), Tottori, Japan (7 pages).

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Duy M Dang

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Hanley, Flight & Zimmerman, LLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Methods, apparatus and articles of manufacture for video comparison using color histograms are disclosed. An example method disclosed herein to compare a first video and a second video comprises obtaining a first color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of the first video, obtaining a second color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of the second video, determining a first comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the first color histogram and adjusted bin values of the second color histogram, and determining whether the first video and the second video match based on the first comparison metric.

**20 Claims, 11 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,675,174	B1	1/2004	Bolle et al.
6,708,167	B2	3/2004	Lee et al.
6,721,447	B1	4/2004	Kim et al.
6,724,915	B1	4/2004	Toklu et al.
6,741,655	B1	5/2004	Chang et al.
6,782,125	B2	8/2004	Lee et al.
6,782,127	B1	8/2004	Lee et al.
6,810,145	B2	10/2004	Briand et al.
6,940,545	B1	9/2005	Ray et al.
6,941,321	B2	9/2005	Schuetze et al.
6,944,332	B1	9/2005	Brechner
7,003,518	B2	2/2006	Lee et al.
7,051,271	B1	5/2006	Chiu et al.
7,103,222	B2	9/2006	Peker
7,170,566	B2	1/2007	McGee et al.
7,203,366	B2	4/2007	Miyatake et al.
7,283,668	B2	10/2007	Moon et al.
7,340,096	B2	3/2008	Stauder et al.
7,376,271	B2	5/2008	Lee et al.
7,411,698	B2	8/2008	Gallina
7,756,299	B2	7/2010	Higaki
8,004,576	B2	8/2011	Sharma
2002/0021836	A1	2/2002	Lee et al.
2004/0133927	A1	7/2004	Sternberg et al.
2004/0218837	A1	11/2004	Shiyama
2005/0172312	A1	8/2005	Lienhart et al.
2006/0187358	A1	8/2006	Lienhart et al.
2006/0195860	A1	8/2006	Eldering et al.
2006/0242667	A1	10/2006	Petersen et al.
2006/0248569	A1	11/2006	Lienhart et al.

2008/0059899	A1	3/2008	Gemmell et al.
2008/0068622	A1	3/2008	Deng et al.
2008/0226173	A1	9/2008	Yuan et al.
2009/0125510	A1	5/2009	Graham et al.
2009/0198599	A1	8/2009	Hui et al.
2010/0177234	A1	7/2010	Ogura et al.
2010/0226574	A1	9/2010	Omori
2010/0316290	A1	12/2010	Jia
2011/0221920	A1	9/2011	Gwak
2011/0268320	A1	11/2011	Huang et al.
2013/0148882	A1	6/2013	Lee
2013/0148883	A1	6/2013	Lee

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Non-Final Office Action", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/324,624, dated Feb. 27, 2014 (6 pages).

United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Non-Final Office Action", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/324,695, dated Aug. 20, 2013 (5 pages).

United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Notice of Allowance", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/324,695, dated Jan. 10, 2014 (5 pages).

United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Non-Final Office Action", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 14/263,501 dated Jun. 26, 2014 (5 pages).

United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Notice of Allowance", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/324,624, dated Jul. 8, 2014 (9 pages).

United States Patent and Trademark Office, "Notice of Allowance", issued in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 14/263,501, dated Oct. 8, 2014 (5 pages).




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 4




FIG. 5






FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8






FIG. 9




FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13



## 1

VIDEO COMPARISON USING COLOR  
HISTOGRAMS

## FIELD OF THE DISCLOSURE

This disclosure relates generally to comparing videos and, more particularly, to video comparison using color histograms.

## BACKGROUND

Image processing techniques for comparing videos can have many practical applications. For example, video comparison techniques that compare an input, or test, video to one or more reference videos can be applied to the detection and identification of broadcast, on-demand and/or online media content selected for viewing by viewers, the detection and verification of advertisements presented with or otherwise accompanying broadcast, on-demand and/or online media content, the detection and verification of product placements in television programming, etc. Prior video comparison techniques include techniques that compare different videos using video and/or image signatures and techniques that perform pixel-by-pixel comparison of video frames from the different videos.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an example video comparison system for comparing videos using color histograms as disclosed herein.

FIG. 2 is a block diagram of an example video frame sampler for sampling a sequence of video frames of a video in the example video comparison system of FIG. 1.

FIGS. 3A-3B illustrate example video frame sampling operations that may be performed by the example video frame sampler of FIG. 2.

FIG. 4 is a block diagram of an example histogram generator for generating color histograms in the example video comparison system of FIG. 1.

FIG. 5 is a block diagram of an example histogram comparator for comparing color histograms in the example video comparison system of FIG. 1.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram of an example bin adjuster that may be used to implement the example histogram comparator of FIG. 5.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram of an example comparison metric determiner that may be used to implement the example histogram comparator of FIG. 5.

FIG. 8 is a flowchart representative of example machine readable instructions that may be executed to implement the example video comparison system of FIG. 1.

FIG. 9 is a flowchart representative of example machine readable instructions that may be executed to implement the example histogram generator of FIG. 4, and/or used to implement portions of the example machine readable instructions of FIG. 8.

FIG. 10 is a flowchart representative of example machine readable instructions that may be executed to implement the example bin adjuster of FIG. 6 in the example histogram comparator of FIG. 5, and/or used to implement portions of the example machine readable instructions of FIG. 8.

FIG. 11 is a flowchart representative of example machine readable instructions that may be executed to implement the example comparison metric determiner of FIG. 7 in the

## 2

example histogram comparator of FIG. 5, and/or used to implement portions of the example machine readable instructions of FIG. 8.

FIG. 12 is a flowchart representative of example machine readable instructions that may be executed to process a set of reference videos to determine a respective set of reference color histograms for use by the example video comparison system of FIG. 1.

FIG. 13 is a block diagram of an example processing system that may execute the example machine readable instructions of FIGS. 8-11 and/or 12 to implement the example video comparison system of FIG. 1, the example video frame sampler of FIG. 2, the example histogram generator of FIG. 4, the example histogram comparator of FIG. 5, the example bin adjuster of FIG. 6 and/or the example comparison metric determiner of FIG. 7.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Methods, apparatus and articles of manufacture for video comparison using color histograms are disclosed herein. In general, a color histogram for a video (e.g., corresponding to a video frame or a sequence of video frames from a video) includes a set of bins (also referred to herein as color bins) representing a respective set of possible colors that may be included in the video frame(s). For example, a particular bin corresponding to a particular color (or, in other words, a particular color bin) can have a bin value representing a count of the number of pixels of the video frame(s) (or a sampled version/subset of the video frame(s)) having that particular color. In some examples, the color histogram for a video can be stored in a data format that may be used as a signature (e.g., a unique or substantially unique proxy) representative of the video. In such examples, the color histogram for a video may be referred to as the video's color histogram signature.

An example method disclosed herein to compare a first video and a second video using color histograms includes obtaining a first color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of the first video (e.g., a reference video), and obtaining a second color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of the second video (e.g., a test video, also referred to herein as an input video). The disclosed example method also includes determining a first comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the first color histogram and adjusted bin values of the second color histogram. The disclosed example method further includes determining whether the first video and the second video match based on the first comparison metric.

As described in detail below, in some examples, the method obtains a color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of a video by sampling the sequence of video frames from the video and further sampling a set of all or at least some of the pixels from each one of the sequence of video frames (e.g., using uniform or random sampling of pixels in each of the video frames). The example method then quantizes color values of the set of pixels from the sequence of video frames and includes the set of pixels in color bins of the color histogram based on the quantized color values of the set of pixels.

In some examples, the disclosed example method determines the adjusted bin values of the second color histogram (for use in determining the first comparison metric) by scaling bin values of the second color histogram by a scale factor (e.g., such as a scale factor of 2 or another value) to determine scaled bin values of the second color histogram. The example method then determines an adjusted bin value of the second color histogram for a particular color bin to be a smaller (e.g.,



minimum) of a scaled bin value of the second color histogram for the particular color bin or a respective bin value of the first color histogram for the particular color bin. In some examples, the method determines the first comparison metric by determining the differences between the bin values of the first color histogram and the respective adjusted bin values of the second color histogram, and summing the differences to determine the first comparison metric.

In some examples, the disclosed example method determines that the first video and the second video match, or substantially match, when the first comparison metric is less than or equal to a threshold. In some examples, the method further includes determining a second comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the second color histogram and adjusted bin values of the first color histogram. In such examples, the method determines whether the first video and the second video match based on the first comparison metric and the second comparison metric. For example, the method can determine that the first video and the second video match when at least one of the first comparison metric or the second comparison metric is less than or equal to the threshold. The example method can also determine that the first video and the second video match do not match when the first comparison metric and the second comparison metric are each greater than the threshold.

As noted above, image processing techniques for comparing videos can have many practical applications. However, at least some prior video comparison techniques are limited in that they are able to indicate that two videos match only when the videos are identical or nearly identical. However, in at least some circumstances, it may be beneficial to identify video content as belonging to a group of similar, but not identical, content. Groups of similar video content include, for example: (1) groups of commercial advertisements having the same video but different audio tracks; (2) groups of commercial advertisements having minor producer modifications, such as one scene being replaced with a different scene in an otherwise similar advertisement; (3) groups of commercial advertisements in which different text is overlaid on a the same video content; (4) groups of video clips corresponding to the same content but with different network logos overlaid on different video clips; (5) groups of video clips corresponding to the same content but truncated to different lengths; (6) groups of video clips corresponding to the same content but having different image/frame sizes; etc. Unlike such prior video comparison techniques, video comparison using color histograms as disclosed herein can identify videos that are identical (or nearly) identical, and can also identify videos that have similar, but not necessarily identical, content.

Turning to the figures, a block diagram of an example video comparison system **100** that may be used to compare videos using color histograms in accordance with the example methods, apparatus and articles of manufacture disclosed herein is illustrated in FIG. **1**. The video comparison system **100** includes an example reference video library **105** that stores a set of one or more reference videos for comparison with a test video, also referred to herein as an input video. The reference video(s) stored in the reference video library **105** can correspond to any type(s) of video(s) obtained from any type(s) of source(s). For example, the reference videos stored in the reference video library **105** can include videos of broadcast, on-demand and/or online media contact capable of being selected for viewing by viewers, videos of advertisements captured from broadcast, on-demand and/or online media content, videos of product placements observed in television programming, etc. The reference video library **105** can correspond to any type or combination of temporary and/or

permanent tangible storage media, such as one or more of cache, volatile memory, flash memory, disk storage, etc., including but not limited to one or more of the mass storage devices **1330** and/or volatile memory **1318** in the example processing system **1300** of FIG. **13**, which is described in greater detail below.

The example video comparison system **100** also includes an example video frame sampler **110** to sample or otherwise obtain a respective sequence of video frames from a respective reference video stored in the reference video library **105**. The video frame sampler **110** samples a particular reference video at a frame rate, resolution and duration to obtain the sequence of video frames for the reference video. In some examples, the frame rate, resolution and/or duration that determine how the video frame sampler **110** is to sample reference video(s) can be specified as variable input parameters to the video comparison system **100** (e.g., to trade-off accuracy vs. processing speed). Additionally or alternatively, one or more of these parameters can be configuration parameter(s) set during initialization of the video comparison system **100**.

In some examples, the video comparison system **100** of FIG. **1** includes an example composite reference image storage **115** to store a composite reference image formed by combining the sequence of video frames (also referred to herein as the sequence of reference video frames) obtained by the video frame sampler **110** for a particular reference video. For example, the video frame sampler **110** can tile reference video frames in the sequence of video frames for a particular reference video to form a tiled array of reference video frames representing the reference video. This tiled array of reference video frames is stored in the composite reference image storage **115** as the respective composite reference image for this particular reference video. The horizontal and vertical dimensions (e.g., in numbers of frames) for the tiled image arrays forming the composite reference images stored in the composite reference image storage **115** can be specified as variable input parameters to the video comparison system **100** and/or can be configuration parameter(s) set during initialization of the video comparison system **100**.

In some examples, the video frame sampler **110** may sample a subset of the reference video frames from the sequence of reference video frames to form a composite reference image representing the reference video. In such examples, the video frame sampler **110** may obtain reference video frames at a video frame rate (e.g., 30 frames per second or some other frame rate) and then sample a subset of these reference video frames at sampled frame times (t) corresponding to a subset of the frame times (e.g., time boundaries corresponding to a  $\frac{1}{30}$  second sampling interval or some other frame sampling interval) at which the reference video frames are obtained. For example, the video frame sampler **110** can sample (e.g., select) reference video frames at sampled frame times that are selected randomly from the set of frame times at which the reference video frames exist (also referred to herein as random sampling), and/or that are selected uniformly from the set of frame times at which the reference video frames exist (also referred to herein as uniform sampling), etc. Additionally or alternatively, in some examples, the video frame sampler **110** may include sampled pixel(s) and/or subregion(s) of a particular reference video frame, rather than the entire reference video frame, in the reference composite image. For example, the video frame sampler **110** may select pixel(s) (and/or subregion(s) of reference video frames having specified or otherwise determined shape(s) and size(s) (e.g., rectangular)) at specified or otherwise determined horizontal (x) and vertical (y) integer pixel coordinates



## 5

(s), and at sampled frame times (t) selected (e.g., randomly or uniformly) from the set of valid reference video frame times (e.g.,  $\frac{1}{30}$  second time intervals), for inclusion in a sequence or array of reference video frames forming the composite reference image representing the reference video. The composite reference image storage **115** can correspond to any type or combination of temporary and/or permanent tangible storage media, such as one or more of cache, volatile memory, flash memory, disk storage, etc., including but not limited to one or more of the mass storage devices **1330** and/or volatile memory **1318** in the example processing system **1300** of FIG. **13**, which is described in greater detail below.

The video comparison system **100** of the illustrated example includes an example histogram generator **120** to generate a respective reference color histogram for each reference video included in the set of reference videos stored in the reference video library **105**. The resulting set of one or more reference color histograms generated by the histogram generator **120** are stored in an example reference histogram library **125** included in the video comparison system **100**. For example, a reference color histogram generated by the histogram generator **120** for a particular reference video includes a set of bins (also referred to herein as color bins) representing a respective set of possible colors that may be included in the sequence of video frames obtained by the video frame sampler **110** for the reference video. For a particular bin corresponding to a particular color (or, in other words, a particular color bin), the histogram generator **120** determines a bin value for the color bin that represents a count of the number of pixels of the reference video frames included in the sequence of video frames for the particular reference video (or a sampled version/subset of the sequence of reference video frames) having the particular color associated with the color bin. In some examples, the histogram generator **120** processes reference video frames (and/or sampled pixels(s)/sub-region(s) of the reference video frames) individually (e.g., sequentially as the frames and/or sampled pixels(s)/sub-region(s) are obtained and/or buffered by the video frame sampler **110**) to count pixel colors and update the reference color histogram for a particular reference video being processed. In such examples, the composite reference image storage **115** and any composite image processing by the video frame sampler **110** can be omitted from the video comparison system **100**. In other examples (e.g., in which the composite reference image storage **115** is present), the histogram generator **120** processes the respective composite reference image determined for the particular reference video to determine the respective reference color histogram for the reference video. The reference histogram library **125** used to store the set of reference color histograms generated by the histogram generator **120** can correspond to any type or combination of temporary and/or permanent tangible storage media, such as one or more of cache, volatile memory, flash memory, disk storage, etc., including but not limited to one or more of the mass storage devices **1330** and/or volatile memory **1318** in the example processing system **1300** of FIG. **13**, which is described in greater detail below.

In the example of FIG. **1**, the video comparison system **100** uses the set of reference histograms stored in the reference histogram library **125** to compare a reference video stored in the reference video library **105** with a test video (also referred to herein as an input video) obtained via an example test video interface **130**. For example, the video comparison system **100** determines whether the test video obtained via the test video interface **130** matches a selected reference video stored in the reference video library **105** and, if so, identifies that the matching reference video is the same as or is at least similar

## 6

to the test video. The test video interface **130** can be implemented by, for example, a camera or other image sensing device to capture a video of a display of a multimedia presentation device (e.g., a television, computer monitor, smart-phone, etc.) in the viewing field of the camera/imaging device, etc. Additionally or alternatively, the test video interface **130** can be configured to electrically, optically or otherwise communicatively couple with a video output of the multimedia presentation device. Additionally or alternatively, the test video interface **130** can be implemented by a computer or other processing device configured to obtain test videos from one or more network sources (e.g., servers) communicatively coupled to the test video interface **130** (e.g., via the Internet and/or one or more other networks).

The example video comparison system **100** also includes an example video frame sampler **135** to sample or otherwise obtain a respective sequence of video frames from the test video obtained via the test video interface **130**. Like the video frame sampler **110**, the video frame sampler **135** samples the test video at a frame rate, resolution and duration to obtain the sequence of video frames for the test video. In some examples, the frame rate, resolution and/or duration that determine how the video frame sampler **135** is to sample the test video can be specified as variable input parameters to the video comparison system **100** (e.g., to trade-off accuracy vs. processing speed). Additionally or alternatively, one or more of these parameters can be configuration parameter(s) set during initialization of the video comparison system **100**. The frame rate, resolution and/or duration of the sequence of video frames obtained by the video frame sampler **135** for the test video can be the same as or different from the frame rate, resolution and/or duration of the sequence of video frames obtained by the video frame sampler **110** for a reference video to be compared with the test video. In some examples, the video frame samplers **110** and **135** may be implemented by the same video frame sampler, whereas in other examples, the video frame samplers **110** and **135** may be implemented by different video frame samplers. An example implementation of the video frame samplers **110** and **135** is illustrated in FIG. **2**, which is described in greater detail below.

In some examples, the video comparison system **100** of FIG. **1** includes an example composite test image storage **140** to store a composite test image formed by combining the sequence of video frames (also referred to herein as the sequence of test video frames) obtained by the video frame sampler **135** for the test (e.g., input) video. For example, the video frame sampler **135** can tile test video frames in the sequence of video frames of the test video to form a tiled array of test video frames representing the test video. This tiled array of test video frames is stored in the composite test image storage **140** as the respective composite test image for this particular test (e.g., input) video. The horizontal and vertical dimensions (e.g., in numbers of frames) for the tiled image array forming the composite test image stored in the composite test image storage **140** can be specified as variable input parameters to the video comparison system **100** and/or can be configuration parameter(s) set during initialization of the video comparison system **100**. Furthermore, the horizontal and vertical dimensions for the composite test image stored in the composite test image storage **140** can be the same as or different from the horizontal and vertical dimensions for the composite reference image(s) stored in the composite reference image storage **115**.

In some examples, the video frame sampler **135** may sample a subset of the test video frames from the sequence of test video frames to form a composite test image representing the test video. In such examples, the video frame sampler **135**



may obtain test video frames at a video frame rate (e.g., 30 frames per second or some other frame rate) and then sample a subset of these test video frames at sampled frame times (t) corresponding to a subset of the frame times (e.g., time boundaries corresponding to a  $\frac{1}{30}$  second sampling interval or some other frame sampling interval) at which the test video frames are obtained. For example, the video frame sampler **135** can sample (e.g., select) test video frames at sampled frame times that are selected randomly from the set of frame times at which the test video frames exist (also referred to herein as random sampling times), and/or that are selected uniformly from the set of frame times at which the test video frames exist (also referred to herein as uniform sampling times), etc. Additionally or alternatively, in some examples, the video frame sampler **135** may include sampled pixel(s) and/or subregion(s) of a particular test video frame, rather than the entire test video frame, in the test composite image. For example, the video frame sampler **135** may select pixel(s) (and/or subregion(s) of test video frames having specified or otherwise determined shape(s) and size(s) (e.g., rectangular)) at specified or otherwise determined horizontal (x) and vertical (y) integer pixel coordinates (s), and at sampled frame times (t) selected (e.g., randomly or uniformly) from the set of valid test video frame times (e.g.,  $\frac{1}{30}$  second time intervals), for inclusion in a sequence or array of test video frames forming the composite test image representing the test video. The composite test image storage **140** can correspond to any type or combination of temporary and/or permanent tangible storage media, such as one or more of cache, volatile memory, flash memory, disk storage, etc., including but not limited to one or more of the mass storage devices **1330** and/or volatile memory **1318** in the example processing system **1300** of FIG. **13**, which is described in greater detail below. Furthermore, the reference video library **105**, the composite reference image storage **115**, the reference histogram library **125** and the composite test image storage **140** can be implemented by the same or different storage media.

The video comparison system **100** of the illustrated example includes an example histogram generator **145** to generate a color histogram for the test video obtained from the test video interface **130**. As such, the color histogram generated by the histogram generator **145** for the test video is also referred to herein as the test color histogram. Similar to the reference color histogram(s) generated by the histogram generator **120**, a test color histogram generated by the histogram generator **145** for the test video includes a set of bins (e.g., color bins) representing a respective set of possible colors that may be included in the sequence of video frames obtained by the video frame sampler **135** for the test video. For a particular bin corresponding to a particular color (e.g., for a particular color bin), the histogram generator **145** determines a bin value for the color bin that represents a count of the number of pixels of the test video frames included in the sequence of video frames for the test video (or a sampled version/subset of the sequence of test video frames) having the particular color associated with the color bin. In some examples, the histogram generator **145** processes test video frames (and/or sampled pixels(s)/subregion(s) of the test video frames) individually (e.g., sequentially as the frames and/or sampled pixels(s)/subregion(s) are obtained and/or buffered by the video frame sampler **135**) to count pixel colors and update the test color histogram for the test video being processed. In such examples, the composite test image storage **140** and any composite image processing by the video frame sampler **135** can be omitted from the video comparison system **100**. In other examples (e.g., in which the composite test image storage **140** is present), the histogram generator **145** processes the

respective composite test image determined for the test image to determine the test color histogram for the test video. In some examples, the histogram generators **120** and **145** may be implemented by the same histogram generator, whereas in other examples, the histogram generators **120** and **145** may be implemented by different histogram generators. An example implementation of the histogram generators **120** and **145** is illustrated in FIG. **4**, which is described in greater detail below.

The example video comparison system **100** of FIG. **1** includes an example histogram comparator **150** to compare the test color histogram generated by the histogram generator **145** for the test video with a reference color histogram stored in the reference histogram library **125** for a particular (e.g., selected) reference video to be compared with the test video. In some examples, the histogram comparator **150** determines one or more comparison metrics that quantify the results of comparing a particular reference video with the test video. For example, the histogram comparator **150** determines a first comparison metric quantifying comparison of a particular reference video with the test video based on differences between bin values of the reference color histogram for the particular reference video and adjusted bin values of the test color histogram. As described in greater detail below, the histogram comparator **150** determines adjusted bin values of the test color histogram based on comparing scaled bin values of the test color histogram with the bin values of the reference color histogram for the particular reference video. Because the adjusted bin values are determined based on the bin values of the particular reference color histogram, the histogram comparator **150** may determine different test histogram adjusted bin values when comparing the test video to different reference videos.

In some examples, the histogram comparator **150** determines a second comparison metric quantifying comparison of the particular reference video with the test video by swapping the roles of the reference color histogram and the test color histogram in determining the comparison metric. In other words, in such an example, the histogram comparator **150** determines the second comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the test color histogram and adjusted bin values of the reference color histogram for the particular reference video being compared with the test video. For example, similar to determining the adjusted bin values of the test color histogram, the histogram comparator **150** determines adjusted bin values of the reference color histogram for the particular reference video based on comparing scaled bin values of the reference color histogram with the bin values of the test color histogram.

Adjustment of test color histogram bin values (and reference color histogram bin values, in some examples), as described in greater detail below, can permit the video comparison system **100** to identify matching videos (e.g., videos that are identical or at least having similar content) even when the color histograms for the videos are different if, for example, the respective bin values of the two color histograms for the color bins are within a scale factor of each other. Such adjustment of the bin values of the test and/or reference color histograms can enable the video comparison system **100** to identify groups of similar, but not identical, video content, such as: (1) groups of commercial advertisements having the same video but different audio tracks; (2) groups of commercial advertisements having minor producer modifications, such as one scene being replaced with a different scene in an otherwise similar advertisement; (3) groups of commercial advertisements in which different text is overlaid on the same video content; (4) groups of video clips corresponding to the



same content but with different network logos overlaid different video clips; (5) groups of video clips corresponding to the same content but truncated to different lengths; (6) groups of video clips corresponding to the same content but having different image/frame sizes; etc. In some examples, the scale factor is used to determine the scaled bin values of a particular color histogram (from which the adjusted bin values are determined). In such examples, the scale factor can be specified as a variable input parameter to the video comparison system **100** (e.g., to trade-off false matching vs. missed matching results during system operation). Additionally or alternatively, the scale factor can be a configuration parameter that is set during initialization of the video comparison system **100**.

The histogram comparator **150** of the illustrated example also processes the one or more comparison metrics to determine whether the test video obtained via the test video interface **130** matches the particular reference video selected from the reference video library **105**. For example, the histogram comparator **150** may determine that the test video matches the particular reference video stored in the reference video library **105** if at least one of the comparison metrics quantifying comparison of the reference video with the test video is less than or equal to a threshold. In some examples, the threshold can be specified as a variable input parameter to the video comparison system **100** (e.g., to trade-off false matching vs. missed matching results during system operation). Additionally or alternatively, the threshold can be a configuration parameter that is set during initialization of the video comparison system **100**.

In the illustrated example of FIG. 1, the video comparison system **100** further includes an example results interface **155** to provide the results of comparing the test video obtained via the test video interface **130** to a particular reference video selected from the reference video library **105**. For example, the results interface **155** reports or otherwise outputs the video comparison results using any appropriate data format for presentation to a user and/or sending to a receiving device. For example, the results interface **155** indicates whether the test video has been determined to match a selected reference video stored in the reference video library **105**. In some examples, the results interface **155** also reports the comparison metric(s) associated with the matching reference video.

A block diagram of an example video frame sampler **200** that may be used to implement either or both of the video frame samplers **110** and/or **135** of FIG. 1 is illustrated in FIG. 2. The video frame sampler **200** of the illustrated example includes an example image sampler **205** to capture or otherwise sample a sequence of video frames from a video input to the video frame sampler **200** (e.g., such as a reference video or a test video as described above). In the illustrated example, the image sampler **205** samples the input video at a frame rate, resolution and duration that are configured by an example sampling controller **210**. In some examples, the sampling frame rate, resolution and duration parameters may be variable input parameters and/or initial configuration parameters (or a combination thereof) provided to sampling controller **210**. For example, the sampling controller **210** can be configured with sampling frame rate, resolution and duration parameters to cause the image sampler **205** to sample an input image at a frame rate of one frame every  $\frac{1}{5}=0.2$  seconds (or some other rate), with a frame resolution of  $128 \times 128$  pixels (or some other resolution) and a duration of 30 seconds (or some other duration).

Example video frame sampling operations that may be performed by the example video frame sampler **200** of FIG. 2 are illustrated in FIGS. 3A-B. In particular, FIG. 3A illus-

trates example composite images **305** and **310** that may be formed by the video frame sampler **200** for different respective input videos. The composite images **305** and **310** may be processed by, for example, the histogram generators **120** and/or **145** to generate color histograms for the input videos represented by the composite images **305** and **310**, as described above. For example, the composite image **305** may be a composite reference image corresponding to a reference video sampled by the video frame sampler **200** implementing the video frame sampler **110** of FIG. 1, and the composite image **310** may be a composite test image corresponding to a test video sampled by the video frame sampler **200** implementing the video frame sampler **135** of FIG. 1. In the illustrated example composite image **305**, the video frame sampler **200** tiles the reference video frames in the sequence of video frames sampled from the reference video into a tiled array containing rows of four (4) reference video frames, with the number of rows determined by the duration and sampling rate used to sample the reference video. In the illustrated example composite image **310**, the video frame sampler **200** tiles the test video frames in the sequence of video frames sampled from the test video into a tiled array containing rows of four (4) test video frames, with the number of rows determined by the duration and sampling rate used to sample the test video.

As illustrated in the examples of FIG. 3A, the sizes of the composite images determined for different videos may be different based on, for example, different frame rate, resolution and duration parameters used to configure the sampling of different videos. In examples in which the video frame sampler **200** forms composite images for sampled videos, such as the composite images **305** and/or **310**, the composite image for a particular video can be processed, as described above and in further detail below, to determine a color histogram for the particular video. In other examples, the video frame sampler **200** may not form a composite image for a particular video and, instead, the color histogram for the particular video is formed by processing the sequence of video frames sampled from the video (and/or sampled pixels (s)/subregion(s) of the video frames) individually and accumulating the bin counts for the different video frames to form the color histogram for the particular video.

FIG. 3B illustrates an example operation **350** of the video frame sampler **200** of FIG. 2 in which the video frame sampler **200** samples pixel(s) (and/or subregion(s)) of video frames of a video (possibly after buffering) to form the sequence of pixels (or the composite image) from which the color histogram representative of the video is to be determined. As illustrated in the example of FIG. 3B, the video frame sampler **200** samples (e.g., selects) pixel(s) (and/or subregion(s)) at horizontal (x) and vertical (y) integer pixel coordinates(s), and at sampled frame times (t) selected (e.g., randomly or uniformly) from the set of valid frame times (e.g.,  $\frac{1}{30}$  second time intervals) for the video frames of the video. For example, in the operation **350**, the video frame sampler **200** selects video frames **351-355** at sampled frame times  $t_1-t_5$ , respectively. For frame **351** having sampled time  $t_1$ , the video frame sampler **200** samples (e.g., selects) pixels (or regions) at pixel coordinates (x1a, y1a) and (x1b, y1b). Similarly, the video frame sampler **200** samples pixels (or regions) at pixel coordinates (x2a, y2a) and (x2b, y2b) for frame **352** having sampled time  $t_2$ , samples pixels (or regions) at pixel coordinates (x3a, y3a) and (x3b, y3b) for frame **353** having sampled time  $t_3$ , samples pixels (or regions) at pixel coordinates (x4a, y4a) and (x4b, y4b) for frame **354** having sampled time  $t_4$ , and samples pixels (or regions) at pixel coordinates (x5a, y5a) and (x5b, y5b) for frame **355** having sampled time  $t_5$ . The



pixels (or regions) at pixel coordinates (x1a, y1a), (x1b, y1b), (x2a, y2a), (x2b, y2b), (x3a, y3a), (x3b, y3b), (x4a, y4a), (x4b, y4b), (x5a, y5a) and (x5b, y5b) are then included in the sequence of pixels (or composite image) from which the color histogram representative of the video is to be determined. Although the same number of pixels (or regions) are sampled from each of the sampled video frames in the illustrated example operation 350, in other example implementations, the video frame sampler 200 can sample different numbers of pixels (or regions) from different video frames of the video for which a color histogram is to be determined.

A block diagram of an example histogram generator 400 that may be used to implement either or both of the histogram generators 120 and/or 145 of FIG. 1 is illustrated in FIG. 4. The histogram generator 400 of the illustrated example includes an example image sampler 405 to sample an input image to determine a set of pixels of the image. The input image samples by the image sampler 405 can correspond to a composite image (e.g., such as a composite reference image or a composite test image, as described above) or a video frame sampled from a video (e.g., such as a reference video frame sampled from a reference video or a test video frame sampled from a test video). For example, the image sampler 405 can sample a subset or all of the pixels of the input image to obtain the set of pixels from which the color histogram is to be determined for the video from which the input image was obtained. In some examples, the image sampler 405 employs uniform sampling to sample a number of pixels (e.g., such as 1000 or some other number) that are uniformly-spaced in the input image (e.g., and that form a uniformly spaced grid of pixels in the image) to obtain the sampled set of pixels of the image. In other examples, the image sampler 405 employs random sampling to randomly sample a number of pixels (e.g., such as 1000 or some other number) in the input image to obtain the sampled set of pixels of the image. In some examples, the image sampler 405 can be omitted and all of the pixels of the image are included in the set of pixels processed by the histogram generator 400 to determine the color histogram for the video corresponding to the input image.

The histogram generator 400 of FIG. 4 also includes an example color quantizer 410 to quantize the color values of the pixels in the set of pixels of the input image. Each pixel of the image is associated with a set of color values, such as three color values corresponding to a red color value, a green color value and a blue color value. In the illustrated example, the color quantizer 410 quantizes each color value of a pixel into a set of levels. For example, the color quantizer 410 may use any appropriate quantization technique to quantize the red color value of each pixel into R intensity levels, the green color value of each pixel into G intensity levels, and the blue color value of each pixel into B intensity levels. The values of R, G and B can be the same or different, and may be variable input parameters and/or initial configuration parameters of the histogram generator 400.

The number of levels into which the color quantizer 410 quantizes each color value determines the resulting number of possible color combinations that can be represented by the quantized pixels, which corresponds to the number of color bins of the color histogram determined by the histogram generator 400. In other words, the aforementioned quantization of the red, green and blue color values yields  $R \times G \times B$  color combinations and, thus, the color histogram determined by the histogram generator 400 of the illustrated example has  $R \times G \times B$  color bins. For example, if  $R=G=B=8$ , then the total number of possible color combinations and, thus, the total number of color bins is  $8 \times 8 \times 8 = 512$ . Color quantization as performed by the color quantizer 410 can reduce processing

requirements and improve video matching robustness, such as in circumstances in which small color variations between videos occur due to, for example, video frame smoothing and/or other processing of the test and/or reference videos.

In other examples, the quantized color values of a particular pixel are combined (e.g., concatenated) with the quantized color values of one or more other pixels in a neighborhood of the particular pixel to determine the quantized color combination for the particular pixel. The value of the quantized color combination for the particular pixel (e.g., the value obtained by combining the quantized color values of the particular pixel with those of the neighboring pixel(s)) then determines the histogram color bin in which the pixel is to be included. Like before, the resulting number of possible color combinations that can be represented by the combination of quantized pixels in a neighborhood corresponds to the number of color bins of the color histogram determined by the histogram generator 300. In other words, if the quantized color values of a particular pixel are combined with the quantized color values of  $N-1$  neighboring pixels, then the number of possible color combinations associated with combining the neighboring quantized pixels and, thus, the number of color histogram bins is  $(R \times G \times B)^N$ . For example, if  $R=G=B=2$  (corresponding to binary, or 1 bit, quantization) and the quantized color values of a particular pixel are combined with the quantized color values of a first neighbor pixel located a first number (e.g., 5 or some other number) of pixels up from the particular pixel and a second neighbor pixel located a second number (e.g., 5 or some other number) of pixels to the left of the particular pixel, then the total number of possible color combinations for the combination of a pixel with its  $N-1$  neighboring pixels and, thus, the total number of color bins is  $(2 \times 2 \times 2)^3 = 512$ .

In the illustrated example, the histogram generator 400 includes an example color counter 415 to count the numbers of times each possible quantized color combination appears in the sampled set of pixels of the input image. For example, the color counter 415 can store each possible color combination that can be exhibited by the quantized pixels as a respective element of a data array (e.g., with the integer value of a particular color combination forming the index for its respective element in the data array). In such an example, the color counter 415 increments the values of the array elements to count the numbers of times each different color combination appears in the quantized set of pixels. To determine a color histogram corresponding to a particular video, the color counter 415 further increments the values of the array elements to count the numbers of times each different color combination appears in the respective, quantized sets of pixels for the input frames forming the sequence of input frames sampled from the particular video and applied to the histogram generator 400 for processing. The resulting counts of the different color combinations appearing in the quantized sets of pixels of the sequence of input images forms the color histogram of the video corresponding to this sequence of input images.

An example histogram formatter 420 is included in the histogram generator 400 to format the color histogram determined by the image sampler 405, the color quantizer 410 and the color counter 415 for subsequent processing. For example, the histogram formatter 420 may output a data array in which each element is indexed by a respective possible color combination and in which the element values correspond to the counts of the different color combinations appearing in the sequence of video frames sampled from a particular video and processed by the histogram generator 400. In some examples, the histogram formatter 420 may



format the data array into a numeric value that may be used as a signature or, in other words, a color histogram signature, of the video corresponding to the sequence of input images. For example, the histogram formatter **420** may concatenate the bin values of the data array representing the video's color histogram into a numeric value (e.g., such as a binary value) forming the color histogram signature of the video.

A block diagram of an example implementation of the histogram comparator **150** of FIG. **1** is illustrated in FIG. **5**. The histogram comparator **150** of FIG. **5** includes an example histogram interface **505** to obtain a color histogram of a test video (also referred to herein as the test color histogram) that is to be compared with a color histogram of a reference video (also referred to herein as the reference color histogram) also obtained via the example histogram interface **505**. For example, the histogram interface **505** may receive the test color histogram from the histogram generator **145**, and the histogram interface **505** may receive the reference color histogram from the histogram generator **120** and/or the reference histogram library **125**. The histogram interface **505** can be implemented by any type(s) of data interface(s), communication bus(es), function(s), module(s), etc., capable of receiving the color histograms and/or color histogram signatures from the histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**.

In the illustrated example, the histogram interface **505** enables the histogram comparator **150** to determine multiple comparison metrics quantifying comparison of a reference video corresponding to a reference color histogram applied to the histogram interface **505** with a test video corresponding to a test color histogram applied to the histogram interface **505**. In particular, the histogram comparator **150** of the illustrated example determines a comparison metric based on differences between bin values of a baseline color histogram corresponding to a first video and adjusted bin values of a trial color histogram corresponding to a second video. In the context of determining comparison metrics quantifying comparison of a reference video with a test video, the histogram comparator **150** enables different comparison metrics to be determined by assigning, for example, the reference color histogram to be the baseline color histogram and the test color histogram to be the trial color histogram to determine a first comparison metric, and then assigning the test color histogram to be the baseline color histogram and the reference color histogram to be the trial color histogram to determine a second comparison metric. In the illustrated example, the input color histogram (e.g., reference or test color histogram) assigned to be the trial color histogram is stored in an example trial histogram storage **510**, and the input color histogram (e.g., reference or test color histogram) assigned to be the baseline color histogram is stored in an example baseline histogram storage **515**. The trial histogram storage **510** and the baseline histogram storage **515** can correspond to any type or combination of temporary and/or permanent tangible storage media, such as one or more of cache, volatile memory, flash memory, disk storage, etc., including but not limited to one or more of the mass storage devices **1330** and/or volatile memory **1318** in the example processing system **1300** of FIG. **13**, which is described in greater detail below.

The example histogram comparator **150** of FIG. **5** also includes an example bin adjuster **520** to determine adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram that are based on the bin values of the baseline color histogram and a scale factor. In the illustrated example, the bin adjuster **520** determines the adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram by scaling bin values of the trial color histogram by a scale factor (e.g., such as a scale factor of 2 or another value) to determine scaled bin values of the trial color histogram. As noted above, the scale

factor can be a variable input parameter, a configuration parameter set during initialization, etc. Using the scaled bin values, the bin adjuster **520** compares the scaled bin values of the trial color histogram to the respective bin values of the baseline color histogram to determine the adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram.

For example, the bin adjuster **520** can determine an adjusted bin value of the trial color histogram to be the smaller of the respective scaled bin value of the trial color histogram or the respective bin value of the baseline color histogram. Mathematically, such an adjusted bin value for a particular color combination *C* in the test color histogram can be determined using Equation 1, which is:

$$\text{AdjustedHistogramTrial}[C] = \min\{K \times \text{HistogramTrial}[C], \text{HistogramBaseline}[C]\}. \quad \text{Equation 1}$$

In Equation 1,  $\text{HistogramTrial}[C]$  corresponds to the bin value for the color combination *C* in the trial color histogram,  $\text{HistogramBaseline}[C]$  corresponds to the bin value for the color combination *C* in the baseline color histogram, *K* is the scale factor used to scale the bin values of the trial color histogram to determine the scaled bin values,  $\min\{\}$  is a function that selects a minimum value from a set of input values, and  $\text{AdjustedHistogramTrial}[C]$  is the resulting adjusted bin value for the color combination *C* in the trial color histogram. An example implementation of the bin adjuster **520** is illustrated in FIG. **6**, which is described in greater detail below.

The illustrated example histogram comparator **150** of FIG. **5** further includes an example comparison metric determiner **525** to determine a comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the baseline color histogram and the adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram. In some examples, the metric determiner **525** determines the comparison metrics by determining the differences between the bin values of the baseline color histogram and the respective adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram for the same color combinations and summing the differences to determine the comparison metric. In such examples, the difference between the bin value for the color combination *C* in the baseline color histogram and the respective adjusted bin value for the color combination *C* in the trial color histogram can be represented mathematically as the quantity  $\text{Difference}[C]$  and determined using Equation 2, which is:

$$\text{Difference}[C] = \text{HistogramBaseline}[C] - \min\{K \times \text{HistogramTrial}[C], \text{HistogramBaseline}[C]\}. \quad \text{Equation 2}$$

Using Equation 2, the comparison metric can be represented mathematically as the quantity  $\text{ComparisonMetric}$  and determined mathematically by summing the differences between the bin values of the baseline color histogram and the respective adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram in accordance with Equation 3, which is:

$$\text{ComparisonMetric} = \sum_C \{\text{Difference}[C]\} = \sum_C \{\text{HistogramBaseline}[C] - \min\{K \times \text{HistogramTrial}[C], \text{HistogramBaseline}[C]\}\}. \quad \text{Equation 3}$$

In Equation 3,  $\sum_C\{\}$  denotes the sum over the set of possible color combinations  $\{C\}$  represented by the trial and baseline color histograms. An example implementation of the comparison metric determiner **525** is illustrated in FIG. **7**, which is described in greater detail below.

In the illustrated example, the bin adjuster **520** and the comparison metric determiner **525** determine two compari-



son metrics quantifying comparison of the reference color histogram applied to the histogram interface **505** for a selected reference video with the test color histogram applied to the histogram interface **505** for a test video. For the first comparison metric, the reference color histogram for the reference video is assigned to be the baseline color histogram (whose bin values are not adjusted), and the test color histogram for the test video is assigned to be the trial color histogram (whose bin values are adjusted). For the second comparison metric, the test color histogram for the test video is assigned to be the baseline color histogram (whose bin values are not adjusted), and the reference color histogram for the reference video is assigned to be the trial color histogram (whose bin values are adjusted).

An example threshold comparator **530** is included in the example histogram comparator **150** of FIG. **5** to compare the comparison metric(s) obtained from the comparison metric determiner **525** to a threshold to determine whether the particular reference video associated with input reference color histogram matches the test video associated with the input test color histogram. As noted above, the threshold can be a variable input parameter, a configuration parameter set during initialization, etc. In the illustrated example, the threshold comparator **530** indicates that the particular reference video is a match to the test video if either or both of the first comparison metric (corresponding to the reference color histogram being the baseline color histogram and the test color histogram being the trial color histogram) and/or the second comparison metric (corresponding to the test color histogram being the baseline color histogram and the reference color histogram being the trial color histogram) is/are less than or equal to the threshold. Otherwise, if both comparison metrics are greater than the threshold, the threshold comparator **530** indicates that this particular reference video is not a match to the test video.

A block diagram of an example implementation of the bin adjuster **520** of FIG. **5** is illustrated in FIG. **6**. The example bin adjuster **520** of FIG. **6** includes an example bin scaler **605** and an example value selector **610**. In the illustrated example, the bin scaler **605** determines the scaled bin values of the trial color histogram by scaling the trial color histogram's bin values by the scale factor, as described above. For example, the bin scaler **605** can determine the scaled bin value for a particular color combination  $C$  in the trial color histogram to be  $K \times \text{HistogramTrial}[C]$ , where  $\text{HistogramTrial}[C]$  corresponds to the bin value for the color combination  $C$  in the trial color histogram, and  $K$  is the scale factor used to scale the bin values of the trial color histogram to determine the scaled bin values.

The value selector **610** of the illustrated example determines the adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram to be the smaller of the scaled bin values of the trial color histogram as determined by the bin scaler **605** or the respective bin values of the baseline color histogram, as described above. For example, the value selector **610** can use Equation 1, which is described above, to select the adjusted bin value,  $\text{AdjustedHistogramTrial}[C]$ , for the color combination  $C$  in the trial color histogram to be the minimum of either the scaled bin value,  $K \times \text{HistogramTrial}[C]$ , for this color combination  $C$  in the trial color histogram or the respective bin value,  $\text{HistogramBaseline}[C]$ , for this color combination  $C$  in the baseline color histogram.

A block diagram of an example implementation of the comparison metric determiner **525** of FIG. **5** is illustrated in FIG. **7**. The example comparison metric determiner **525** of FIG. **7** includes an example bin difference determiner **705** and an example difference summer **710**. In the illustrated

example, the bin difference determiner **705** determines the differences between bin values of the baseline color histogram and respective adjusted bin values of the trial color histogram for the same color combinations. For example, the bin difference determiner **705** can use Equation 2, which is described above, to determine, for each color combination  $C$ , the respective difference,  $\text{Difference}[C]$ , between the bin value for the color combination  $C$  in the baseline color histogram and the respective adjusted bin value for the color combination  $C$  in the trial color histogram.

The difference summer **710** of the illustrated example determines the sum of the differences obtained from the bin difference determiner **705**. For example, the difference summer **710** can use Equation 3, which is described above, to determine the sum,  $\sum_C \{ \}$ , of the differences,  $\text{Difference}[C]$ , over the set of possible color combinations  $\{C\}$ . In the illustrated example, this sum forms the comparison metric that quantifies the result of comparing the trial color histogram and the baseline color histogram, as described above.

While example manners of implementing the video comparison system **100** have been illustrated in FIGS. **1-7**, one or more of the elements, processes and/or devices illustrated in FIGS. **1-7** may be combined, divided, re-arranged, omitted, eliminated and/or implemented in any other way. Further, the example video frame samplers **110**, **135** and/or **200**, the example histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**, the example test video interface **130**, the example histogram comparator **150**, the example results interface **155**, the example image sampler **205**, the example sampling controller **210**, the example image sampler **405**, the example color quantizer **410**, the example color counter **415**, the example histogram formatter **420**, the example histogram interface **505**, the example bin adjuster **520**, the example comparison metric determiner **525**, the example threshold comparator **530**, the example bin scaler **605**, the example value selector **610**, the example bin difference determiner **705**, the example difference summer **710** and/or, more generally, the example video comparison system **100** of FIGS. **1-7** may be implemented by hardware, software, firmware and/or any combination of hardware, software and/or firmware. Thus, for example, any of the example video frame samplers **110**, **135** and/or **200**, the example histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**, the example test video interface **130**, the example histogram comparator **150**, the example results interface **155**, the example image sampler **205**, the example sampling controller **210**, the example image sampler **405**, the example color quantizer **410**, the example color counter **415**, the example histogram formatter **420**, the example histogram interface **505**, the example bin adjuster **520**, the example comparison metric determiner **525**, the example threshold comparator **530**, the example bin scaler **605**, the example value selector **610**, the example bin difference determiner **705**, the example difference summer **710** and/or, more generally, the example video comparison system **100** could be implemented by one or more circuit(s), programmable processor(s), application specific integrated circuit(s) (ASIC(s)), programmable logic device(s) (PLD(s)) and/or field programmable logic device(s) (FPLD(s)), etc. When any of the apparatus or system claims of this patent are read to cover a purely software and/or firmware implementation, at least one of the example video comparison system **100**, the example video frame samplers **110**, **135** and/or **200**, the example histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**, the example test video interface **130**, the example histogram comparator **150**, the example results interface **155**, the example image sampler **205**, the example sampling controller **210**, the example image sampler **405**, the example color quantizer **410**, the example color counter **415**,



the example histogram formatter **420**, the example histogram interface **505**, the example bin adjuster **520**, the example comparison metric determiner **525**, the example threshold comparator **530**, the example bin scaler **605**, the example value selector **610**, the example bin difference determiner **705** and/or the example difference summer **710** are hereby expressly defined to include a tangible computer readable medium such as a memory, digital versatile disk (DVD), compact disk (CD), Blu-ray Disc™ etc., storing such software and/or firmware. Further still, the example video comparison system **100** as illustrated in FIGS. **1-7** may include one or more elements, processes and/or devices in addition to, or instead of, those illustrated in FIGS. **1-7**, and/or may include more than one of any or all of the illustrated elements, processes and devices.

Flowcharts representative of example machine readable instructions for implementing the example video comparison system **100**, the example video frame samplers **110**, **135** and/or **200**, the example histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**, the example test interface **130**, the example histogram comparator **150**, the example results interface **155**, the example image sampler **205**, the example sampling controller **210**, the example image sampler **405**, the example color quantizer **410**, the example color counter **415**, the example histogram formatter **420**, the example histogram interface **505**, the example bin adjuster **520**, the example comparison metric determiner **525**, the example threshold comparator **530**, the example bin scaler **605**, the example value selector **610**, the example bin difference determiner **705** and/or the example difference summer **710** are shown in FIGS. **8-12**. In these examples, the machine readable instructions represented by each flowchart may comprise one or more programs for execution by a processor, such as the processor **1312** shown in the example processing system **1300** discussed below in connection with FIG. **13**. The one or more programs, or portion(s) thereof, may be embodied in software stored on a tangible computer readable storage medium such as a CD-ROM, a floppy disk, a hard drive, a digital versatile disk (DVD), a Blu-ray Disc™ or a memory associated with the processor **1312**, but the entire program or programs and/or portions thereof could alternatively be executed by a device other than the processor **1312** (e.g., such as a controller and/or any other suitable device) and/or embodied in firmware or dedicated hardware (e.g., implemented by an ASIC, a PLD, an FPLD, discrete logic, etc.). Also, one or more of the machine readable instructions represented by the flowchart of FIGS. **8-12** may be implemented manually. Further, although the example machine readable instructions are described with reference to the flowcharts illustrated in FIGS. **8-12**, many other methods of implementing the example video comparison system **100**, the example video frame samplers **110**, **135** and/or **200**, the example histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**, the example test interface **130**, the example histogram comparator **150**, the example results interface **155**, the example image sampler **205**, the example sampling controller **210**, the example image sampler **405**, the example color quantizer **410**, the example color counter **415**, the example histogram formatter **420**, the example histogram interface **505**, the example bin adjuster **520**, the example comparison metric determiner **525**, the example threshold comparator **530**, the example bin scaler **605**, the example value selector **610**, the example bin difference determiner **705** and/or the example difference summer **710** may alternatively be used. For example, with reference to the flowcharts illustrated in FIGS. **8-12**, the order of execution of the blocks may be changed, and/or some of the blocks described may be changed, eliminated, combined and/or subdivided into multiple blocks.

As mentioned above, the example processes of FIGS. **8-12** may be implemented using coded instructions (e.g., computer readable instructions) stored on a tangible computer readable medium such as a hard disk drive, a flash memory, a read-only memory (ROM), a CD, a DVD, a cache, a random-access memory (RAM) and/or any other storage media in which information is stored for any duration (e.g., for extended time periods, permanently, brief instances, for temporarily buffering, and/or for caching of the information). The tangible computer readable medium can be local to and/or remote from the processor(s), device(s), apparatus, etc., that is/are to execute the coded instructions. As used herein, the term tangible computer readable medium is expressly defined to include any type of computer readable storage and to exclude propagating signals. Additionally or alternatively, the example processes of FIGS. **8-12** may be implemented using coded instructions (e.g., computer readable instructions) stored on a non-transitory computer readable medium, such as a flash memory, a ROM, a CD, a DVD, a cache, a random-access memory (RAM) and/or any other storage media in which information is stored for any duration (e.g., for extended time periods, permanently, brief instances, for temporarily buffering, and/or for caching of the information). As used herein, the term non-transitory computer readable medium is expressly defined to include any type of computer readable medium and to exclude propagating signals. Also, as used herein, the terms “computer readable” and “machine readable” are considered equivalent unless indicated otherwise. Furthermore, as used herein, when the phrase “at least” is used as the transition term in a preamble of a claim, it is open-ended in the same manner as the term “comprising” is open ended. Thus, a claim using “at least” as the transition term in its preamble may include elements in addition to those expressly recited in the claim.

Example machine readable instructions **800** that may be executed to implement the example video comparison system **100** of FIG. **1** are represented by the flowchart shown in FIG. **8**. The example machine readable instructions **800** may be executed, for example, when a test video is to be compared with one or more reference videos. With reference to the preceding figures, the machine readable instructions **800** of FIG. **8** begin execution at block **805** at which the test video interface **130** of the video comparison system **100** obtains, as described above, a test (e.g., input) video for comparison with a reference video stored in the reference video library **105**. At block **810**, the video frame sampler **135** of the video comparison system **100** samples or otherwise obtains a sequence of test video frames (and/or sampled pixel(s)/subregion(s) of the test video frames) from the test video, as described above. At block **815**, the histogram generator **145** of the video comparison system **100** determines a test color histogram, as described above, for the sequence of test video frames obtained at block **810** for the test video obtained at block **805**. In some examples, at block **815** the histogram generator **145** can process the sequence of test video frames (and/or sampled pixels(s)/subregion(s) of the test video frames) individually to accumulate a test color histogram for the test video, whereas in other examples, the histogram generator **145** can process a composite test image, as described above. Example machine readable instructions that may be used to implement the processing at block **815** are illustrated in FIG. **9**, which is described in greater detail below.

At block **820** the video comparison system **100** accesses the reference histogram library **125** of the video comparison system **100** to obtain a reference color histogram for a reference video in the reference video library **105** to be compared with the test video. As described above, the histogram gen-



erator **120** of the video comparison system **100** can be used to generate a reference color histogram for the reference video using processing similar to the processing (e.g., such as the processing at block **815**) performed to generate the test color histogram for the test video.

At block **825**, the histogram comparator **150** of the video comparison system **100** determines adjusted bin values of the test color histogram generated at block **815** for the test video for use in determining a first comparison metric quantifying comparison of the test video with the reference video. For example, at block **825**, the bin adjuster **520** of the histogram comparator **150** uses the bin values of the reference color histogram obtained at block **820** and a scale factor, as described above, to determine the adjusted bin values of the test color histogram. Example machine readable instructions that may be used to implement the processing at block **825** are illustrated in FIG. **10**, which is described in greater detail below.

At block **830**, the histogram comparator **150** determines, based on the bin values of the reference color histogram obtained at block **820** and the adjusted bin values of the test color histogram determined at block **825**, a first comparison metric that quantifies the results of comparing the reference video with the test video. For example, at block **830**, the comparison metric determiner **525** of the histogram comparator **150** can determine the first comparison metric by summing the differences between bin values of the reference color histogram and respective adjusted bin values of the test color histogram, as described above. Example machine readable instructions that may be used to implement the processing at block **830** are illustrated in FIG. **11**, which is described in greater detail below.

At block **835**, the histogram comparator **150** of the video comparison system **100** determines adjusted bin values of the reference color histogram obtained at block **820** for the reference video for use in determining a second comparison metric quantifying comparison of the test video with the reference video. For example, at block **835**, the bin adjuster **520** of the histogram comparator **150** uses the bin values of the test color histogram generated at block **815** for the test video and a scale factor, as described above, to determine the adjusted bin values of the reference color histogram. Example machine readable instructions that may be used to implement the processing at block **835** are illustrated in FIG. **10**, which is described in greater detail below.

At block **840**, the histogram comparator **150** determines, based on the bin values of the test color histogram determined at block **815** and the adjusted bin values of the reference color histogram determined at block **835**, a second comparison metric that quantifies the results of comparing the reference video with the test video. For example, at block **840**, the comparison metric determiner **525** of the histogram comparator **150** can determine the second comparison metric by summing the differences between bin values of the test color histogram and respective adjusted bin values of the reference color histogram, as described above. Example machine readable instructions that may be used to implement the processing at block **840** are illustrated in FIG. **11**, which is described in greater detail below.

At block **845**, the threshold comparator **530** of the histogram comparator **150** compares the first and second comparison metrics quantifying comparison of the test video with the reference video to a threshold, as described above. In the illustrated example, if at least one of the first comparison metric and/or the second comparison is less than or equal to the threshold (or otherwise meets the threshold) at block **845**, then at block **850** the histogram comparator **150** identifies the

reference video as being a match of the test video. However, if both the first and second comparison metrics are greater than the threshold (or otherwise do not meet the threshold) at block **845**, then at block **855** the histogram comparator **150** indicates that the reference video does not match the test video. Execution of the example machine readable instructions **800** then ends.

Example machine readable instructions **815** that may be used to implement one or more of the histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**, and/or to perform the processing at block **815** of FIG. **8**, are illustrated in FIG. **9**. For brevity, execution of the machine readable instructions **815** of FIG. **9** is described from the perspective of implementation by the histogram generator **400**. However, the machine readable instructions **815** of FIG. **9** could additionally or alternatively be executed by the histogram generators **120** and/or **145**.

With reference to the preceding figures, execution of the machine readable instructions **815** of FIG. **9** begins at block **905** at which the image sampler **405** of the histogram generator **400** samples, as described above, pixels of an input sequence of video frames of a video or, alternatively, a composite image formed from the sequence of video frames, to determine a set of pixels of the video for which a color histogram is to be determined. At block **910**, the color quantizer **410** of the histogram generator **400** quantizes the color values (e.g., three color values, such as red, blue and green) of the sampled pixels into respective sets of color levels, as described above, to yield a total number of possible color combinations and, thus, a total number of color bins represented by the color histogram. At block **915**, the color counter **415** of the histogram generator **400** counts the numbers of times each possible quantized color combination appears in the sampled set of pixels to determine the bin values of the color bins of the color histogram. At block **920**, the histogram formatter **420** of the histogram generator **400** formats the bin values of the color bins into a color histogram data representation, such as a color histogram signature, for the input video, which may be stored and/or used in subsequent processing. Execution of the example machine readable instructions **815** then ends.

Example machine readable instructions **1000** that may be used to implement the bin adjuster **520** of the histogram comparator **150**, and/or to perform the processing at blocks **825** and/or **835** of FIG. **8**, are illustrated in FIG. **10**. With reference to the preceding figures, execution of the machine readable instructions **1000** of FIG. **10** begins at block **1005** at which the bin adjuster **520** obtains the scale factor described above. At block **1010**, the bin adjuster **520** scales the bin values of a trial color histogram by the scale factor obtained at block **1005** to obtain scaled bin values of the trial color histogram, as described above. For example, to determine the first comparison metric described above that quantifies comparison of the test video and the reference video, the histogram interface **505** of the histogram comparator **150** assigns the test color histogram representative of the test video to be the trial color histogram processed at block **1010**. As another example, to determine the second comparison metric described above that quantifies comparison of the test video and the reference video, the histogram interface **505** assigns the reference color histogram representative of the reference video to be the trial color histogram processed at block **1010**.

At block **1015**, the bin adjuster **520** begins processing to adjust each bin value of the trial color histogram. For example, at block **1020**, the bin adjuster **520** determines the adjusted bin value for a particular color bin to be the smaller, or minimum, of the scaled bin value for this color bin or the bin value of a baseline color histogram, as described above.



For example, to determine the first comparison metric described above that quantifies comparison of the test video and the reference video, the histogram interface **505** assigns the reference color histogram representative of the reference video to be the baseline color histogram used in the processing at block **1020**. As another example, to determine the second comparison metric described above that quantifies comparison of the test video and the reference video, the histogram interface **505** assigns the test color histogram representative of the test video to be the baseline color histogram used in the processing at block **1020**. After the bin values of the trial color histogram have been adjusted (block **1025**), at block **1030** the bin adjuster **520** outputs the adjusted bin values determined for the trial color histogram. For example, the adjusted trial color histogram output at block **1030** corresponds to the adjusted test color histogram when determining the first comparison metric described above, whereas the adjusted trial color histogram output at block **1030** corresponds to the adjusted reference color histogram when determining the second comparison metric described above. Execution of the example machine readable instructions **1000** then ends.

Example machine readable instructions **1100** that may be used to implement the comparison metric determiner **525** of the histogram comparator **150**, and/or to perform the processing at blocks **830** and/or **840** of FIG. **8**, are illustrated in FIG. **11**. With reference to the preceding figures, execution of the machine readable instructions **1100** of FIG. **11** begins at block **1105** at which the comparison metric determiner **525** obtains a baseline color histogram for use in determining a comparison metric quantifying comparison of the reference video with the test video. For example, to determine the first comparison metric described above that quantifies comparison of the test video and the reference video, the histogram interface **505** assigns the reference color histogram representative of the reference video to be the baseline color histogram obtained at block **1105**. As another example, to determine the second comparison metric described above that quantifies comparison of the test video and the reference video, the histogram interface **505** assigns the test color histogram representative of the test video to be the baseline color histogram obtained at block **1105**. At block **1110**, the comparison metric determiner **525** obtains the adjusted trial color histogram having adjusted bin values as determined by, for example, the bin adjuster **520**, the example machine readable instructions **825**, etc. For example, the adjusted trial color histogram obtained at block **1110** corresponds to the adjusted test color histogram when determining the first comparison metric described above, whereas the adjusted trial color histogram obtained at block **1110** corresponds to the adjusted reference color histogram when determining the second comparison metric described above.

At block **1115**, the comparison metric determiner **525** begins determining differences between bin values of the baseline color histogram and respective adjusted bin values of the adjusted trial color histogram. For example, at block **1120**, the comparison metric determiner **525** determines, for each color bin, a difference between the bin value of the baseline color histogram and the adjusted bin value of the trial color histogram for the particular color bin. After the differences for all color bins have been determined (block **1125**), at block **1130** the comparison metric determiner **525** sums the difference values determined for each color bin to determine a comparison metric (e.g., the first comparison metric or the second comparison metric described above) quantifying

comparison of the reference video with the test video. Execution of the example machine readable instructions **1100** then ends.

Example machine readable instructions **1200** that may be executed to determine the reference color histograms stored in the reference histogram library **125** of the example video comparison system **100** and/or obtained at block **820** of example machine readable instructions **800** are represented by the flowchart shown in FIG. **12**. With reference to the preceding figures, execution of the example machine readable instructions **1200** of FIG. **12** begins at block **1205** at which the video frame sampler **110** obtains the reference video(s) from the reference video library **105** for which reference color histogram(s) is(are) to be determined. At block **1210**, video frame sampler **110** samples or otherwise obtains sequence(s) of video frames (and/or sampled pixel(s)/subregion(s) of the reference video frames) from the reference video(s), as described above. In some examples, the video frame sampler **110** also determines composite reference image(s) by tiling the sequence(s) of reference video frames (and/or sampled pixel(s)/subregion(s) of the reference video frames) at block **1210**. At block **1215**, the histogram generator **120** determines the reference color histogram(s) for the reference videos(s) using the sequence(s) of reference video frames and/or composite reference image(s) obtained at block **1210**, as described above. For example, the histogram generator **120** can execute the example machine readable instructions **815** illustrated in FIG. **9** to determine the reference color histogram(s) at block **1215**. At block **1220**, the histogram generator **120** stores the generated reference color histogram(s) in the reference histogram library **125**. Execution of the example machine readable instructions **1200** then ends.

FIG. **13** is a block diagram of an example processing system **1300** capable of executing the instructions of FIGS. **8-12** to implement the example video comparison system **100**, the example video frame samplers **110**, **135** and/or **200**, the example histogram generators **120**, **145** and/or **400**, the example test video interface **130**, the example histogram comparator **150**, the example results interface **155**, the example image sampler **205**, the example sampling controller **210**, the example image sampler **405**, the example color quantizer **410**, the example color counter **415**, the example histogram formatter **420**, the example histogram interface **505**, the example bin adjuster **520**, the example comparison metric determiner **525**, the example threshold comparator **530**, the example bin scaler **605**, the example value selector **610**, the example bin difference determiner **705** and/or the example difference summer **710** of FIGS. **1-7**. The processing system **1300** can be, for example, a server, a personal computer, a mobile phone (e.g., a smartphone, a cell phone, etc.), a personal digital assistant (PDA), an Internet appliance, a DVD player, a CD player, a digital video recorder, a Blu-ray player, a gaming console, a personal video recorder, a set top box, a digital camera, or any other type of computing device.

The system **1300** of the instant example includes a processor **1312**. For example, the processor **1312** can be implemented by one or more microprocessors and/or controllers from any desired family or manufacturer. The processor **1312** includes a local memory **1314**, and executes coded instructions **1316** present in the local memory **1314** and/or in another memory device.

The processor **1312** is in communication with a main memory including a volatile memory **1318** and a non-volatile memory **1320** via a bus **1322**. The volatile memory **1318** may be implemented by Static Random Access Memory (SRAM), Synchronous Dynamic Random Access Memory (SDRAM),



## 23

Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM), RAMBUS Dynamic Random Access Memory (RDRAM) and/or any other type of random access memory device. The non-volatile memory **1320** may be implemented by flash memory and/or any other desired type of memory device. Access to the main memory, including the memories **1318** and **1320**, is controlled by a memory controller.

The processing system **1300** also includes an interface circuit **1324**. The interface circuit **1324** may be implemented by any type of interface standard, such as an Ethernet interface, a universal serial bus (USB), and/or a PCI express interface.

One or more input devices **1326** are connected to the interface circuit **1324**. The input device(s) **1326** permit a user to enter data and commands into the processor **1312**. The input device(s) can be implemented by, for example, a keyboard, a mouse, a touchscreen, a track-pad, a trackball, a trackbar (such as an isopoint), a voice recognition system, and/or any other human-machine interface.

One or more output devices **1328** are also connected to the interface circuit **1324**. The output devices **1328** can be implemented, for example, by display devices (e.g., a liquid crystal display, a cathode ray tube display (CRT)), by a printer and/or by speakers. The interface circuit **1324**, thus, typically includes a graphics driver card.

The interface circuit **1324** also includes a communication device such as a modem or network interface card to facilitate exchange of data with external computers via a network (e.g., an Ethernet connection, a digital subscriber line (DSL), a telephone line, coaxial cable, a cellular telephone system, etc.).

The processing system **1300** also includes one or more mass storage devices **1330** for storing machine readable instructions and data. Examples of such mass storage devices **1330** include floppy disk drives, hard drive disks, compact disk drives and digital versatile disk (DVD) drives. In some examples, the mass storage device **1330** may implement the reference video library **105**, the composite reference image storage **115**, the reference histogram library **125**, the composite test image storage **140**, the trial histogram storage **510** and/or the baseline histogram storage **515**. Additionally or alternatively, in some examples the volatile memory **1318** may implement the reference video library **105**, the composite reference image storage **115**, the reference histogram library **125**, the composite test image storage **140**, the trial histogram storage **510** and/or the baseline histogram storage **515**.

Coded instructions **1332** corresponding to the instructions of FIGS. **8-12** may be stored in the mass storage device **1330**, in the volatile memory **1318**, in the non-volatile memory **1320**, in the local memory **1314** and/or on a removable storage medium, such as a CD or DVD **1336**.

As an alternative to implementing the methods and/or apparatus described herein in a system such as the processing system of FIG. **13**, the methods and or apparatus described herein may be embedded in a structure such as a processor and/or an ASIC (application specific integrated circuit).

Finally, although certain example methods, apparatus and articles of manufacture have been described herein, the scope of coverage of this patent is not limited thereto. On the contrary, this patent covers all methods, apparatus and articles of manufacture fairly falling within the scope of the appended claims either literally or under the doctrine of equivalents.

What is claimed is:

1. A method to compare a first video and a second video, the method comprising:

## 24

obtaining a first color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of the first video;

obtaining a second color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of the second video;

determining adjusted bin values of the second color histogram;

determining a first comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the first color histogram and the adjusted bin values of the second color histogram; and

determining whether the first video and the second video match based on the first comparison metric.

2. A method as defined in claim **1**, wherein determining the adjusted bin values of the second color histogram comprises: scaling bin values of the second color histogram by a scale factor to determine scaled bin values of the second color histogram; and

determining an adjusted bin value of the second color histogram for a respective color bin to be a smaller of a scaled bin value of the second color histogram for the respective color bin and a respective bin value of the first color histogram for the respective color bin.

3. A method as defined in claim **2** wherein the scale factor is substantially equal to two.

4. A method as defined in claim **1** wherein determining the first comparison metric comprises summing the differences between the bin values of the first color histogram and the respective adjusted bin values of the second color histogram to determine the first comparison metric.

5. A method as defined in claim **1** wherein determining whether the first video and the second video match comprises determining that the first video and the second video match when the first comparison metric is less than or equal to a threshold.

6. A method as defined in claim **1** further comprising: determining a second comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the second color histogram and adjusted bin values of the first color histogram; and determining whether the first video and the second video match based on the first comparison metric and the second comparison metric.

7. A method as defined in claim **6** wherein determining whether the first video and the second video match comprises determining that the first video and the second video match when at least one of the first comparison metric or the second comparison metric is less than or equal to a threshold.

8. A method as defined in claim **1** wherein obtaining the second color histogram corresponding to the sequence of frames of the second video comprises:

tiling the sequence of frames of the second video to form a composite image;

quantizing color values of a set of pixels sampled from the composite image; and

including the set of pixels in color bins of the second color histogram based on the quantized color values of the set of pixels.

9. A tangible machine readable medium comprising machine readable instructions which, when executed, cause a machine to at least:

obtain a first color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of a first video;

obtain a second color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of a second video;

determine adjusted bin values of the second color histogram;

determine a first comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the first color histogram and the adjusted bin values of the second color histogram; and



25

determine whether the first video and the second video match based on the first comparison metric.

10. A tangible machine readable medium as defined in claim 9 wherein the machine readable instructions, when executed, further cause the machine to determine the adjusted bin values by:

scaling bin values of the second color histogram by a scale factor to determine scaled bin values of the second color histogram; and

determining an adjusted bin value of the second color histogram for a respective color bin to be a smaller of a scaled bin value of the second color histogram for the respective color bin and a respective bin value of the first color histogram for the respective color bin.

11. A tangible machine readable medium as defined in claim 9 wherein the machine readable instructions, when executed, further cause the machine to sum the differences between the bin values of the first color histogram and the respective adjusted bin values of the second color histogram to determine the first comparison metric.

12. A tangible machine readable medium as defined in claim 9 wherein the machine readable instructions, when executed, cause the machine to determine that the first video and the second video match when the first comparison metric is less than or equal to a threshold.

13. A tangible machine readable medium as defined in claim 9 wherein the machine readable instructions, when executed, further cause the machine to:

determine a second comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the second color histogram and adjusted bin values of the first color histogram; and determine whether the first video and the second video match based on the first comparison metric and the second comparison metric.

14. A tangible machine readable medium as defined in claim 13 wherein to determine whether the first video and the second video match, the machine readable instructions, when executed, cause the machine to determine that the first video and the second video match when at least one of the first comparison metric or the second comparison metric is less than or equal to a threshold.

15. An apparatus comprising:

storage to store a set of reference color histograms corresponding to a respective set of reference videos;

a histogram generator to generate a test color histogram corresponding to a sequence of frames of an input test video; and

a histogram comparator to compare the test color histogram and a first reference color histogram corresponding to a first reference video to determine whether the input test video and the first reference video substantially match, the histogram comparator to:

determine a first comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the first reference color histogram and adjusted bin values of the test color histogram, the adjusted bin values of the test color histo-

26

gram being determined by comparing scaled bin values of the test color histogram with respective ones of the bin values of the first reference color histogram; determine a second comparison metric based on differences between bin values of the test color histogram and adjusted bin values of the first reference color histogram, the adjusted bin values of the first reference color histogram being determined by comparing scaled bin values of the first reference color histogram with respective ones of the bin values of the test color histogram; and

determine whether the input test video and the first reference video substantially match based on the first comparison metric and the second comparison metric.

16. An apparatus as defined in claim 15 wherein the histogram comparator is to determine the adjusted bin values by: scaling bin values of the test color histogram by a scale factor to determine the scaled bin values of the test color histogram;

determining a respective adjusted bin value of the test color histogram for a respective color bin to be a smaller of a scaled bin value of the test color histogram for the respective color bin and a respective bin value of the first reference color histogram for the respective color bin;

scaling bin values of the first reference color histogram by the scale factor to determine the scaled bin values of the first reference color histogram; and

determining a respective adjusted bin value of the first reference color histogram for the respective color bin to be a smaller of a scaled bin value of the first reference color histogram for the respective color bin and a respective bin value of the test color histogram for the respective color bin.

17. An apparatus as defined in claim 16 wherein the scale factor is substantially equal to two.

18. An apparatus as defined in claim 15 wherein the histogram comparator is to determine the first comparison metric by summing the differences between the bin values of the first reference color histogram and the respective adjusted bin values of the test color histogram, and the histogram comparator is to determine the second comparison metric by summing the differences between the bin values of the test color histogram and the respective adjusted bin values of the first reference color histogram.

19. An apparatus as defined in claim 15 wherein the histogram comparator is to determine that the first reference video substantially matches the input test video when at least one of the first comparison metric is less than or equal to a threshold.

20. An apparatus as defined in claim 19 wherein the histogram comparator is to determine that the first reference video does not substantially match the input test video when the first comparison metric and the second comparison metric are each greater than the threshold.

\* \* \* \* \*