

US008862268B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Robinson et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,862,268 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Oct. 14, 2014**

(54) **ADAPTABLE INTEGRATED ENERGY CONTROL SYSTEM FOR ELECTROSURGICAL TOOLS IN ROBOTIC SURGICAL SYSTEMS**

(2013.01); *A61B 2017/00973* (2013.01); *A61B 2017/00477* (2013.01); *A61B 18/1206* (2013.01)

USPC **700/245**; 700/247; 700/248; 700/250; 700/251; 700/259

(71) Applicant: **Intuitive Surgical Operations, Inc.**, Sunnyvale, CA (US)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

USPC 700/1, 11, 2, 245, 247, 250, 251, 259, 700/264, 3, 9; 600/102, 109, 117, 229, 407, 600/427, 429, 1, 139, 142, 205, 206, 41; 901/1, 15, 19, 2, 27, 30, 36, 6, 8, 9; 318/568.11, 568.12, 568.13

See application file for complete search history.

(72) Inventors: **David W. Robinson**, Mountain View, CA (US); **Thomas R. Nixon**, San Jose, CA (US); **Michael Hanuschik**, Mountain View, CA (US); **Randal P. Goldberg**, San Jose, CA (US); **Jason Hemphill**, Los Gatos, CA (US); **David Larkin**, Menlo Park, CA (US); **Paul Millman**, San Jose, CA (US)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(73) Assignee: **Intuitive Surgical Operations, Inc.**, Sunnyvale, CA (US)

6,040,537 A * 3/2000 McClintock 200/86.5
6,074,388 A * 6/2000 Tockweiler et al. 606/34

(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(21) Appl. No.: **13/800,856**

CN 101297760 A 11/2008
WO 9749340 A1 12/1997

(22) Filed: **Mar. 13, 2013**

(Continued)

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2013/0231681 A1 Sep. 5, 2013

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 12/400,653, filed on Mar. 9, 2009, now Pat. No. 8,423,182.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Applied Surgical, Data Sheet for Gemini Operating Room, 1 Page, 2006; Internet: <http://appliedsurgicalsolutions.com/>.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Ian Jen

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G06F 19/00 (2011.01)
A61B 19/00 (2006.01)
G05B 19/04 (2006.01)
A61B 18/00 (2006.01)
A61B 18/14 (2006.01)
A61B 17/00 (2006.01)
A61B 18/12 (2006.01)

(57)


ABSTRACT

A method for a minimally invasive surgical system is disclosed including reading first tool information from a storage device in a first robotic surgical tool mounted to a first robotic arm to at least determine a first tool type; reading equipment information about one or more remote controlled equipment for control thereof; comparing the first tool information with the equipment information to appropriately match a first remote controlled equipment of the one or more remote controlled equipment to the first robotic surgical tool; and mapping one or more user interface input devices of a first control console to control the first remote controlled equipment to support a function of the first robotic surgical tool.

24 Claims, 31 Drawing Sheets

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **A61B 19/56** (2013.01); **A61B 2018/00988** (2013.01); **A61B 18/14** (2013.01); **A61B 2017/00482** (2013.01); **A61B 19/2203**



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,113,596 A * 9/2000 Hooven et al. 606/42
 6,493,608 B1 * 12/2002 Niemeyer 700/302
 6,522,906 B1 * 2/2003 Salisbury et al. 600/407
 6,840,938 B1 1/2005 Morley et al.
 6,849,071 B2 2/2005 Whitman et al.
 6,994,708 B2 2/2006 Manzo
 D517,501 S * 3/2006 Kotyk D13/167
 7,217,269 B2 * 5/2007 El-Galley et al. 606/34
 7,320,700 B2 1/2008 Cooper et al.
 7,353,068 B2 * 4/2008 Tanaka et al. 700/17
 7,367,973 B2 5/2008 Manzo et al.
 7,428,439 B1 * 9/2008 Reynolds et al. 700/17
 7,594,912 B2 9/2009 Cooper et al.
 8,398,541 B2 3/2013 Dimairo et al.
 8,398,634 B2 3/2013 Manzo et al.
 8,423,182 B2 4/2013 Robinson et al.
 2003/0050654 A1 * 3/2003 Whitman et al. 606/167
 2005/0008043 A1 1/2005 Kousek et al.
 2005/0080403 A1 * 4/2005 Takahashi 606/1
 2005/0251228 A1 * 11/2005 Hamel 607/60
 2006/0079889 A1 4/2006 Scott
 2006/0087746 A1 4/2006 Lipow
 2007/0016174 A1 * 1/2007 Millman et al. 606/1
 2007/0078539 A1 * 4/2007 Kuhner et al. 700/90
 2008/0046122 A1 * 2/2008 Manzo et al. 700/245
 2008/0140158 A1 * 6/2008 Hamel et al. 607/60
 2008/0217564 A1 * 9/2008 Beyar et al. 250/515.1
 2009/0012533 A1 * 1/2009 Barbagli et al. 606/130
 2009/0024142 A1 1/2009 Ruiz
 2009/0248041 A1 10/2009 Williams et al.
 2010/0082039 A1 4/2010 Mohr et al.
 2010/0228249 A1 9/2010 Mohr et al.
 2010/0234857 A1 9/2010 Itkowitz et al.
 2010/0305427 A1 12/2010 Huber et al.
 2011/0238079 A1 * 9/2011 Hannaford et al. 606/130
 2014/0081455 A1 3/2014 Goldberg et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 200898085 8/2008
 WO WO-2010008126 A1 1/2010

WO WO-2011060139 A2 5/2011
 WO WO-2011125007 A1 10/2011

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dugan, Kelli M., "Stepping Out," Birmingham Business Journal, Mar. 24, 2006, 2 pages; Internet: <http://www.oadi.org/client%20news/Applied%20Surgical%2032406.pdf>.
 Harris, William, "How Haptic Technology Works," downloaded Oct. 24, 2008, 6 pages; Internet: <http://electronics.howstuffworks.com/gadgets/other-gadgets/haptic-technology4.htm>.
 Linemaster Switch Corp., Brochure titled "Precision Begins with a Linemaster Switch," 8 pages, 2000.
 Linemaster Switch Corp., Data Sheet for Linemaster Wireless Linear Foot Switch, Lit-002 Rev D, 2 pages, downloaded Jan. 2, 2009; Internet: <http://www.linemaster.com/media/DataSheets/Lit-002%20Rev%20Dsm.pdf>.
 Linemaster Switch Corp., Information sheet for Linemaster Infrared Wireless Linear Foot Switch, 2 pages, downloaded Jan. 2, 2009; Internet: <http://www.linemaster.com/wirelesslinear.shtml>.
 Medical Design Magazine, "Wireless Footswitch Controls Several Surgical Devices," Nov. 1, 2006, 1 page; Internet: http://medicaldesign.com/engineering-prototyping/wireless_footswitch_controls/index.html.
 PCT/US10/26307 International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority, mailed Jul. 22, 2010, 9 pages.
 Vertut, Jean and Phillipe Coiffet, Robot Technology: Teleoperation and Robotics Evolution and Development, English translation, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, USA 1986, vol. 3A, 332 pages.
 Wikipedia, entry on "Ergonomics," printed Feb. 24, 2009 at 11:24 p.m., 10 pages; Internet: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ergonomics>.
 Erickson, J.R. et al., "Connectors Take On A new Life," Published Online on Sep. 1, 2012, <URL: <http://wvwww.designworldonline.com/connectors-take-on-a-new-life/>>.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion for Application No. PCT/US2013/068059, mailed on Feb. 11, 2014, 18 pages.
 International Search Report and Written Opinion for Application No. PCT/US2013/059938, mailed on Dec. 10, 2013, 11 pages.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1A




FIG. 1B




FIG. 2A




FIG. 2D




FIG. 2C




FIG. 2B





FIG. 3A






FIG. 3C




FIG. 4





FIG. 5A








FIG. 5C








FIG. 7A




FIG. 7C




FIG. 7B




FIG. 7D





FIG. 7F








FIG. 8








FIG. 12




FIG. 13A




FIG. 13B





FIG. 14

FIG. 15






FIG. 16




FIG. 17A




FIG. 17B

Pedal press activates switch in the core after correct mapping




FIG. 18A








FIG. 18B






FIG. 21

1

**ADAPTABLE INTEGRATED ENERGY
CONTROL SYSTEM FOR
ELECTROSURGICAL TOOLS IN ROBOTIC
SURGICAL SYSTEMS**

This application is a divisional of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/400,653, filed Mar. 9, 2009, entitled "Adaptable Integrated Energy Control System for Electrosurgical Tools in Robotic Surgical Systems," which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

FIELD

The embodiments of the invention are generally related to integrated control of equipment that supports tools and the equipment to tool interface in robotic surgical systems.

BACKGROUND

Robotic surgical systems may have multiple robotic arms to which a plurality of robotic surgical tools (also referred to as robotic surgical instruments) may be coupled. One such category of robotic surgical tools is electrosurgical tools which includes a monopolar electrosurgical tool or a bipolar electrosurgical tool as well as harmonic, laser, ultrasound tools. Another category of robotic surgical tools is tissue manipulation tools which may have articulated end effectors (such as jaws, scissors, graspers, needle holders, micro dissectors, staple applicators, tackers, suction/irrigation tools, clip applicators, or the like) or non-articulated end effectors (such as cutting blades, irrigators, catheters, suction orifices, or the like) without electrosurgical elements. While electrosurgical tools are mechanically coupled to a robotic arm to control its movement, they are also coupled to electrosurgical energy generating units (ESUs) so that energy may be applied to tissue at or near its end effectors.

Electrosurgical tools in a robotic surgical system may be mechanically controlled by one or both of a surgeon's left and/or right hands and electrically controlled to deliver energy to tissue by a surgeon's foot. When viewing an image of an electrosurgical tool and tissue on a display device captured through a camera, it may be difficult to see the effect of an inadvertent application of energy to the tissue. While a single mis-application of energy to tissue may cause some damage, increasing damage is likely to be caused to tissue with repetitive mis-application of energy.

The inadvertent application of energy to tissue may be caused by a mis-positioned foot over an incorrect pedal. To avoid a mis-positioned foot, a surgeon may have to look away from the display device to see his foot and properly position it over the proper one of a plurality of foot pedal switches. The inadvertent application of energy to tissue may also be caused surgeon confusion as to which of a plurality of electrosurgical tools in the surgical site is being energized. Moreover, if a surgeon's concentration is narrowly focused on the wrong surgical tool, he may not see the tissue damage being done by its mis-application. Energizing the proper electrosurgical tool in a surgical site can increase surgical efficiency and avoid excessive tissue damage to a patient.

Furthermore with additional types of robotic surgical tools being used with controllable equipment, it has become more difficult for a surgeon to simultaneously control all of the desired instruments.

2

BRIEF SUMMARY

The embodiments of the invention are summarized by the claims that follow below.

BRIEF DESCRIPTIONS OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A is a block diagram of a first robotic surgery system to perform minimally invasive robotic surgical procedures using a robotic electrosurgical tool.

FIG. 1B is a block diagram of a second robotic surgery system to perform minimally invasive robotic surgical procedures using a robotic electrosurgical tool.

FIG. 2A is a perspective view of a robotic surgical manipulator with a plurality of robotic surgical arms at least one of which includes a robotic electrosurgical tool.

FIG. 2B illustrates mounting of the robotic electrosurgical tool to an adapter of the robotic surgical arm.

FIG. 2C illustrates a top view of the adapter of the robotic surgical arm of FIG. 2C to which the robotic electrosurgical tool may be mounted.

FIG. 2D illustrates a back side of an exemplary robotic electrosurgical instrument or tool that interfaces to a robotic surgical arm.

FIG. 3A is a perspective view of a robotic surgical master control console (surgeons console).

FIG. 3B is a perspective view of an exemplary gimbaled control input wrist pivotally supporting a master grip control handle (also referred to as a master grip control input) for the robotic surgical master control console of FIG. 3A to control robotic surgical tools including a robotic electrosurgical tool.

FIG. 3C is a cross-sectional view schematically illustrating the master grip control handle (also referred to as a master grip control input) pivotally coupled to the control input wrist of FIG. 3B with elements coupled to the feedback generator to provide haptic/tactile user feedback.

FIG. 4 is a perspective view of the stereo viewer of the master control console of FIG. 3A illustrating a graphical user interface overlaid onto video images of a surgical site.

FIGS. 5A-5C illustrate images displayed by a display device including a graphical user interface overlaid onto video images of a work site in accordance with one embodiment of the invention.

FIGS. 6A-6B illustrate magnified images of master control icons including a master grip map and a pedal map.

FIGS. 7A-7F are various views of an integrated pedal system of the surgeon's control console.

FIG. 8 is a perspective view of the integrated pedal system assembled to the surgeon's control console.

FIG. 9 is a perspective view of a workspace in the surgeon's console showing a left master controller and a right master controller.

FIG. 10 is a magnified perspective view of the right master grip and right control input wrist of the right master controller shown in FIG. 9.

FIG. 11A is a magnified perspective view of the ergonomic control panel in the surgeon's console shown in FIG. 9.

FIG. 11B is a top view of the liquid crystal display (LCD) touchpad screen in the surgeon's console shown in FIG. 9.

FIG. 12 illustrates waveform diagrams of different signal profiles of signals that may be used to provide user feedback; the handedness—left or right hand (or sidedness—left or right side) of the user feedback; and differential user feedback with different signal patterns.

FIGS. 13A-13B are flowchart diagrams illustrating methods for enhanced activation of an electrosurgical device.

FIG. 14 is a flow chart illustrating a method for generating a graphical user interface (GUI) and displaying the GUI with video images to provide visual feedback to a user.

FIG. 15 is a flow chart of a method of swapping energy handedness from one surgical tool in one side to another surgical tool in an opposite side.

FIG. 16 illustrates a block diagram of an integrated robotic surgical control system.

FIGS. 17A-17B illustrate simplified block diagrams of alternate embodiments of the integrated robotic surgical control system.

FIGS. 18A-18B illustrate a block diagram of the pedal control logic and alternate input/output signal flow corresponding to the alternate embodiments of the integrated robotic surgical control system shown in FIGS. 17A-17B.

FIG. 19 illustrates a perspective view of a smart cable.

FIGS. 20A-20C illustrate schematic diagrams of how a switch may be adapted to different types of control lines for different remote controlled equipment.

FIG. 21 illustrates a block diagram of a computer system including the functionality of the integrated user interface controller.

Note that these figures are for illustration purposes and do not necessarily reflect the actual shape, size, or dimensions of objects being illustrated.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In the following detailed description of the embodiments of the invention, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the embodiments of the invention. However, it will be obvious to one skilled in the art that the embodiments of the invention may be practiced without these specific details. In other instances well known methods, procedures, components, and circuits have not been described in detail so as not to unnecessarily obscure aspects of the embodiments of the invention.

Introduction

Robotic surgery may be used to perform a wide variety of surgical procedures, including but not limited to open surgery, neurosurgical procedures (such as stereotaxy), endoscopic procedures (such as laparoscopy, arthroscopy, thoracoscopy), and the like. During these robotic surgical procedures, surgeons may use high voltage, low current electrical energy of various wave forms to perform such tasks as cautery, cutting tissue, or sealing a vessel. Electrical energy supply devices (referred to as electrosurgical generating units ESU) are coupled to surgical instruments and typically activated by a foot pedal switch of a foot pedal. One or more foot pedals in a surgeon's console and their corresponding switches may be used to activate these electrical energy supply devices. The foot pedal switches in the surgeon's console replace the original equipment manufactures (OEM) foot pedal switches that are packaged with the ESUs as standard equipment. An OEM pedal directly connects to a specific ESU and may not be used to control other equipment.

In one embodiment of the invention, a method for a minimally invasive surgical system is disclosed including reading first tool information from a storage device in a first robotic surgical tool mounted to a first robotic arm to at least determine a first tool type; reading equipment information about one or more remote controlled equipment for control thereof; comparing the first tool information with the equipment information to appropriately match a first remote controlled equipment of the one or more remote controlled equipment to the first robotic surgical tool; and mapping one or more user

interface input devices of a first control console to control the first remote controlled equipment to support a function of the first robotic surgical tool.

In another embodiment of the invention, an adaptable integrated user interface controller for a control console is disclosed. The adaptable integrated user interface controller includes mapping logic to couple to one or more user interface input devices to receive control signals in response to selection of the one or more user interface input devices; and an adaptable input/output (I/O) interface coupled to the mapping logic. The adaptable I/O interface couples to one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment. The adaptable I/O interface reads equipment information of the one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment and adapt signal levels of the one or more user interface input devices to signal levels of the one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment. The mapping logic selectively maps the one or more user interface input devices to the one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment in response to the equipment information regarding the one or more remote controlled equipment, tool information of one or more robotic surgical tools controllable by the control console, and an active tool control signal selected by a user. The active tool control signal swaps control of at least one user interface device to the remote controlled equipment between the one or more robotic surgical tools.

In yet another embodiment of the invention, another adaptable integrated user interface controller for a control console is disclosed. The adaptable integrated user interface controller in this case includes mapping logic to couple to one or more user interface input devices to receive control signals in response to selection of the one or more user interface input devices; and a tool interface coupled to one or more robotic surgical tools. The tool interface reads tool information from one or more robotic surgical tools that are controllable by the control console and reads equipment information of one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment from the one or more intelligent robotic surgical tools. The mapping logic selectively maps the one or more user interface input devices to the one or more intelligent robotic surgical tools to remotely control the one or more remote controlled equipment through the intelligent one or more robotic surgical tools. The selective mapping performed by the mapping logic is in response to the equipment information of the one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment, tool information of the one or more intelligent robotic surgical tools, and an active tool control signal selected by a user. The active tool control signal swaps control of at least one user interface device to the remote controlled equipment between the one or more intelligent robotic surgical tools.

In still another embodiment of the invention, a minimally invasive surgical system is disclosed. The minimally invasive surgical system includes one or more robotic surgical tools, an integrated user interface controller, and a processor coupled to the integrated user interface controller and the one or more robotic surgical tools. The integrated user interface controller has a first interface coupled to one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment and a second interface coupled to one or more user interface input devices of a first control console. The integrated user interface controller further has mapping logic to map the one or more user interface input devices to control one or more of the one or more pieces of first remote controlled equipment. In response to stored program instructions in a storage device coupled to the processor, the processor becomes configured to read first tool information from a storage device in a first robotic surgical tool mounted to a first robotic arm to at least determine a first tool

5

type; read equipment information about one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment for control thereof; compare the first tool information with the equipment information to appropriately match the one or more remote controlled equipment to the first robotic surgical tool; and control the mapping logic to map the one or more user interface input devices of the first control console to control the first remote controlled equipment to support a function of the first robotic surgical tool.

Robotic Surgical Systems

Robotic surgery generally involves the use of a robot manipulator that has multiple robotic manipulator arms. One or more of the robotic manipulator arms often support a robotic surgical tool or instrument which may be an electro-surgical tool or a non-electrosurgical tool. One or more of the robotic manipulator arms are often used to support a surgical image capture device such as an endoscope (which may be any of a variety of structures such as a laparoscope, an arthroscope, a hysteroscope, or the like), or, optionally, some other imaging modality (such as ultrasound, fluoroscopy, magnetic resonance imaging, or the like). Typically, the robotic manipulator arms will support at least two robotic surgical tools corresponding to the two hands of a surgeon and one image capture device.

Referring now to FIG. 1A, a block diagram of a robotic surgery system **100A** is illustrated to perform minimally invasive robotic surgical procedures using robotic electrosurgical tools **101A** and **101B**. Each of the robotic electrosurgical tools **101A** and **101B** are robotic endoscopic surgical instrument that are manipulated by a slaved robotic manipulator and remotely controlled by control signals received from a master control console. In contrast, manual endoscopic surgical instruments are directly controlled by hand. Robotic electrosurgical tool **101A** is a bipolar electrosurgical tool. Robotic electrosurgical tool **101B** is a monopolar electrosurgical tool.

A user or operator **O** (generally a surgeon) performs a minimally invasive surgical procedure on patient **P** by manipulating input devices at a master control console **150**. The master control console **150** may also be referred to herein as a control console, a surgeon console, or a master console. A computer **151** of the console **150** directs movement of robotically controlled endoscopic surgical instruments (generally numbered **101**), effecting movement of the instruments using a robotic surgical manipulator **152**. The robotic surgical manipulator **152** may also be referred to as robotic patient-side cart system or simply as a cart. The robotic surgical manipulator **152** has one or more robotic arms **153**. Typically, the robotic surgical manipulator **152** includes at least three robotic manipulator arms **153** supported by linkages, with a central arm supporting an endoscopic camera **101C** and the robotic surgical arms **153** to left and right of center supporting tissue manipulation tools and the robotic surgical tool **101A**.

An assistant **A** may assist in pre-positioning of the robotic surgical manipulator **152** relative to patient **P** as well as swapping tools or instruments **101** for alternative tool structures, and the like, while viewing the internal surgical site via an assistant's display **154**. The image of the internal surgical site shown to **A** by the assistant's display **154** and operator **O** by surgeon's console **150** is provided by one of the surgical instruments **101** supported by the robotic surgical manipulator **152**.

Generally, the robotic arms **153** of robotic surgical manipulator **152** include a positioning portion and a driven portion. The positioning portion of the robotic surgical manipulator **152** remains in a fixed configuration during surgery while manipulating tissue. The driven portion of the robotic surgical

6

manipulator **152** is actively articulated under the direction of the operator **O** generating control signals at the surgeon's console **150** during surgery. The actively driven portion of the arms **153** is herein referred to as an actuating portion **158**. The positioning portion of the robotic arms **153** that are in a fixed configuration during surgery may be referred to as positioning linkage and/or "set-up joint" **156,156'**.

To support the functionality of the electrosurgical robotic tools **101A-101B**, the robotic surgical system **100** may further include one or more electrosurgical generators **102A-102B**. The one or more electrosurgical generators **102A-102B** are remotely controlled by the master console **150** over the control cables **109A-109B** by a surgeon operating the master console.

The electrosurgical generator **102A** is a bipolar generator. A pair of wires **106A-106B** couple between the bipolar electrosurgical generator **102A** and a bipolar electrosurgical robotic tool **101A**. The pair of wires pair of wires **106A-106B** may transfer the energy of the bipolar electrosurgical generator **102A** to a respective pair of end effectors of the bipolar electrosurgical robotic tool **101A** to cauterize or seal tissue.

The electrosurgical generator **102B** is a monopolar generator. A wire **107** couples between the monopolar electrosurgical generator **102B** and a monopolar electrosurgical robotic tool **101B**. A ground wire **108** couples between the monopolar electrosurgical generator **102B** and patient **P**. The wire **107** may transfer the energy of the monopolar electrosurgical generator **102B** to an end effector of the monopolar electrosurgical robotic tool **101B** to cauterize or seal tissue. A monopolar electrosurgical generator and a bipolar electrosurgical generator may be combined together into one electrosurgical generator **102A'** that can be remotely controlled by two sets of controls from the control console **150**. That is, a first set of controls of the equipment **102A'** can be used to control one function of the remote controlled equipment to supply (e.g., monopolar electrosurgical energy) a first robotic surgical tool while a second set of controls of the equipment can be used to control another function of the remote controlled equipment to supply (e.g., bipolar electrosurgical energy) a second robotic surgical tool. The remote controlled equipment may also be referred to as remote controllable equipment or remote controlled supply equipment. The robotic surgical tools that couple to the remote controlled equipment to receive a supply may also be referred to as supply controllable tools.

Much of the description herein is directed to remote controlled electrosurgical generators and control of the supply of electrosurgical energy to robotic electrosurgical tools. However, the description herein is more general in that it is equally applicable to other types of remote controlled supply equipment (e.g., laser generator) and supply controllable tools (e.g., laser surgical tool). The remote controlled supply equipment may be used to supply vacuum, gasses, liquids, energy (e.g., electrical, laser, ultrasound), mechanical torques, mechanical push/pull forces, data signals, control signals, etc. to support functions of other types of robotic surgical tools (e.g., ultrasound, lasers, staplers). For example, a robotic surgical tool may combine the function of laser cutting and ultrasound together that is supported by a remote controlled laser generator and a remote controlled ultrasound generator, both of which can be remotely controlled from the surgeon console. For further detail, see U.S. application Ser. No. 12/060,112, entitled ROBOTIC SURGICAL TOOLS FOR LASER MARKING AND LASER CUTTING, filed by Matthew Williams et al. on Mar. 31, 2008, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Referring now to FIG. 1B, a block diagram of a robotic surgery system **100B** is illustrated. The robotic surgery system **100B** is similar to the robotic surgery system **100A** but with a control cart **150B** being introduced between the surgeon's console **150A** and the patient side cart **152**. The control cart **150B** includes a computer **151B**, and optionally, an external monitor **154**. To further control or support the robotic surgical tools, the control cart **150B** includes one or more pieces of remote controllable equipment **102A'-102N'**.

One piece of remote controllable equipment **102A'** mounted in the control cart may be an electrosurgical generator that combines a monopolar electrosurgical generator and a bipolar electrosurgical generator together to supply electrosurgical energy to two electrosurgical tools **101A,-101B**. A pair of wires **106A-106B** couple between the electrosurgical generator **102A'** for a bipolar electrosurgical robotic tool **101A**. The pair of wires pair of wires **106A-106B** may transfer the energy of the bipolar electrosurgical generator **102A'** to a respective pair of end effectors of the bipolar electrosurgical robotic tool **101A** to cauterize or seal tissue. A wire **107** couples between the electrosurgical generator **102A'** and a monopolar electrosurgical robotic tool **101B**. A ground wire **108** (not shown in FIG. 1A, see FIG. 1B) is used to couple between the electrosurgical generator **102A'** and a patient P.

A control cable **110** couples between the computer **151B** of the control cart **150B** and the surgeon's console to control the surgical system, including the remote controllable equipment and the robotic arms and robotic surgical tools. A control cable **111** is coupled the computer **151B** and the patient side cart **152** for the surgeon's console to control the robotic arms and robotic surgical tools through the control cart.

Smart cables **112A-112N** may be respectively coupled between the one or more pieces of remote controllable equipment **102A'-102N'** and the computer **151B** in the control cart **150B**. With these connections, the surgeon's console can control the remote controllable equipment with its foot pedals and master controllers. In this manner, the control of the remote controllable equipment **102A'-102N'** may be integrated into the surgeon's console. Its foot pedals and master controllers become integrated control mechanisms that a surgeon may use to control every aspect of the surgical system to make robotic surgery more efficient. Advanced user interfaces may be used to provide improved control and feedback of operating the remote controllable equipment with the robotic surgical tools.

Patient Side Cart (Robotic Surgical Manipulator)

Referring now to FIG. 2A, a perspective view of the robotic surgical manipulator **152** is illustrated. The robotic surgical manipulator **152** may also be referred to as a patient side cart (PSC).

The robotic surgical manipulator **152** has one or more robotic surgical arms **153**. The robotic arm **153C** includes an electrosurgical robotic tool **101A** coupled thereto. The robotic surgical manipulator **152** further includes a base **202** from which the robotic surgical instruments **101** may be supported. More specifically, the robotic surgical instruments **101** are each supported by the positioning linkage **156** and the actuating portion **158** of the arms **153**. It should be noted that these linkage structures are here illustrated with protective covers **206,208** extending over much of the robotic arms. It should be understood that these protective covers **206,208** are optional, and may be limited in size or entirely eliminated in some embodiments to minimize the inertia that is manipulated by the servomechanism, and to limit the overall weight of robotic surgical manipulator **152**.

Each of the robotic surgical tools **101A-101C**, releasably couple to a moveable carriage **237** near an end of each robotic

surgical arm. Each moveable carriage **237**, with the robotic surgical tool mounted thereto, can be driven to translate along a linear guide formation **260** in the actuating portion **158** of the robotic surgical arms **153** in the direction of arrow **257**.

The robotic surgical manipulator **152** generally has dimensions suitable for transporting between operating rooms. It typically can fit through standard operating room doors and onto standard hospital elevators. The robotic surgical manipulator **152** may have a weight and a wheel (or other transportation) system that allows the cart to be positioned adjacent an operating table by a single attendant. The robotic surgical manipulator **152** may be sufficiently stable during transport to avoid tipping, and to easily withstand overturning moments that may be imposed at the ends of the robotic arms during use.

Each of the robotic manipulating arms **153** preferably includes a linkage that constrains the movement of the surgical tool **101** mounted thereto. More specifically, linkage includes rigid links coupled together by rotational joints in a parallelogram arrangement so that the robotic surgical tools rotate around a point in space. At the point in space, the robotic arm can pivot the robotic surgical tool about a pitch axis and a yaw axis. The pitch and yaw axes intersect at the point, which is aligned along a shaft of robotic surgical tool. The shaft is a rotatable hollow tube that may have a number of cables of a cable drive system to control the movement of the end effectors **212**.

The robotic arm provides further degrees of freedom of movement to the robotic surgical tool. Along an insertion axis, parallel to the central axis of the shaft of the robotic surgical tool, the robotic surgical tool may slide into and out from a surgical site as indicated by arrow **257**. The robotic surgical tool can also rotate about the insertion axis. As the robotic surgical tool slides along or rotates about the insertion axis, the center point is relatively fixed with respect to the base patient side cart **152**. That is, the entire robotic arm is generally moved in order to maintain or re-position back to the center point.

The linkage of the robotic arm may be driven by a series of motors therein in response to commands from a processor or computer. The motors in the robotic arm are also used to rotate and/or pivot the robotic surgical tool at the center point around the axes. If a robotic surgical tool **101** further has end effectors to be articulated or actuated, still other motors in the robotic arm may be used to control the end effectors. Additionally, the motion provided by the motors may be mechanically transferred to a different location such as by using pulleys, cables, gears, links, cams, cam followers, and the like or other known means of transfer, such as pneumatics, hydraulics, or electronics.

The robotic surgical tools **101** are generally sterile structures, often being sterilizable and/or being provided in hermetically sealed packages for use. As the robotic surgical tools **101** will be removed and replaced repeatedly during many procedures, a tool holder could potentially be exposed to contamination if the interface directly engages the tool holder. To avoid contamination to a tool holder and possible cross contamination between patients, an adaptor for coupling to robotic surgical tools **101** is provided in a robotic arm of the robotic surgical manipulator.

Referring now to FIGS. 2B-2D, the mounting of the robotic surgical tool **101A** to an adaptor **228** of the robotic surgical arm is now briefly described.

The robotic surgical arm **153** may include an adaptor **228** to which the electrosurgical robotic tool **101A** or other surgical tool **101** may be mounted. FIG. 2D illustrates a front side of an exemplary adaptor **228**. The front side of the adaptor **128** is

generally referred to as a tool side **230** and the opposite side is generally referred to as a holder side (not shown).

FIG. 2B illustrates a back side of an exemplary electrosurgical robotic tool **400** as the surgical robotic tool **101A**. The robotic surgical tool **400** includes an exemplary mountable housing **401** including an interface base **412** that can be coupled to the adapter **228** to mount the tool **400** to a robotic arm of a surgical robotic manipulator. The interface base **412** and the adapter **228** may be electrically and mechanically coupled together to actuate the robotic surgical tool **400**. Rotatably coupled to the interface base **412** are one or more rotatable receiving members **418**, also referred to as input disks. Each of the one or more rotatable receiving members **418** includes a pair of pins **422A** and **422B** generally referred to as pins **422**. Pin **422A** is located closer to the center of each rotatable receive member **418** than pin **422B**. The one or more rotatable receiving members **418** can mechanically couple respectively to one or more rotatable drivers **234** of the adapter **228**. The robotic surgical tool **101A** may further include release levers **416** to release it from the adapter **228** and the robotic arm.

The interface base **412** may further include one or more electrical contacts or pins **424** to electrically couple to terminals of an electrical connector **242** of the adapter **228**. One or more terminals of the electrical connector **242** that can couple to the electrical contacts or pins **424** of the tool may be used to make electrocautery connections, such as between an integrated controller and the tool and/or between the tool and electrosurgical generating units. The interface base **412** may further include a printed circuit board **425** and one or more integrated circuits **426** coupled thereto and to the one or more pins **424**. The one or more integrated circuits **426** store tool information that may be used to identify the type of robotic surgical tool coupled to the robotic arm, so that it may be properly controlled by the master control console **150**.

Referring to FIGS. 2B and 2D, a robotic electrosurgical tool or instrument **400** is illustrated. The robotic electrosurgical tool or instrument **400** includes a mountable housing **401**, an elongated shaft **404** having a proximal end and a distal end; and end effectors (not shown) coupled near the distal end of the shaft **404**. The mountable housing **401** includes an interface or tool base **412** coupled to the proximal end of the shaft **404**. The mountable housing **401** may further include one or more electrical connectors **474A-474B**, a cover **472**, and one or more release levers **416**. At the distal end of the shaft **404**, a mechanical wrist (not shown) may be used to move the end effectors.

The interface or tool base **412** of the tool **400** can couple to an adapter **228** so that it is removeably connectable to the robotic surgical system. Other surgical tools with the same type of tool base may also couple to the adapter and then the robotic arm. During surgery, the adapter **228** is coupled to the moveable carriage **237**. Thus, with the electrosurgical tool **400** mounted to the adapter **228**, it can translate with the carriage **237** along an insertion axis of the robotic surgical arm **153** as indicated by arrow **257** in FIG. 2A. The tool base **412** includes receiving elements or input disks **418** that releaseably couple through an adapter to a rotatable driving element **234** that is mounted on the carriage **237** of the robotic arm assembly **153**. The rotatable driving elements **234** of the carriage **237** are generally coupled to actuators (not shown), such as electric motors or the like, to cause selective angular displacement of each in the carriage **237**.

When mounted to a robotic surgical arm **153**, end effectors may have a plurality of degrees of freedom of movement relative to arm **153**, in addition to actuation movement of the end effectors. The end effectors of the robotic surgical tool are

used in performing a surgical operation such as cutting, shearing, grasping, gripping, clamping, engaging, or contacting tissue adjacent a surgical site. With an electrosurgical tool, a conductor electrically communicates with at least one of the end effectors to deliver electrical energy to tissue clamped by the gripping jaws.

As shown in FIG. 2D, the tool base **412** may be enclosed by a cover **472** to which one or more electrical connectors **474A-474B** may be mounted. The one or more electrical connectors **474A-474B** can receive one or more cables **106A-106B**, **107** to couple to an electrosurgical generator unit, such as the bipolar generator **102A**, the monopolar generator **102B**, or a combined monopolar/bipolar generator **102A'** illustrated in FIG. 1A. One or more wires within the tools electrically couple between the electrical connectors **474A-474B** and the electrodes at the one or more end effectors of the tool. Alternatively, one or more terminals of the electrical connector **242** that can couple to the electrical contacts or pins **424** of the tool may be used to make the electrocautery connections between the tool and the electrosurgical generating units.

The adapter **228** includes one or more rotatable drivers **234** rotatably coupled to a floating plate **236**. The rotatable drivers **234** are resiliently mounted to the floating plate **236** by resilient radial members which extend into a circumferential indentation about the rotatable drivers. The rotatable drivers **234** can move axially relative to floating plate **236** by deflection of these resilient structures.

The floating plate **236** has a limited range of movement relative to the surrounding adaptor structure normal to the major surfaces of the adaptor. Axial movement of the floating plate helps decouple the rotatable drivers **234** from a robotic surgical tool **101** when its release levers **416** are actuated.

The one or more rotatable drivers **234** of the adapter **228** may mechanically couple to a part of the surgical tools **101**. Each of the rotatable drivers **234** may include one or more openings **240** to receive protrusions or pins **422** of rotatable receiving members **418** of the robotic surgical tools **101**. The openings **240** in the rotatable drivers **234** are configured to accurately align with the rotatable receiving elements **418** of the surgical tools **101**.

The inner pins **422A** and the outer pins **422B** of the rotatable receiving elements **418** respectively align with the opening **240A** and the opening **240B** in each rotatable driver. The pins **422A** and openings **240A** are at differing distances from the axis of rotation than the pins **422B** and openings **240B** so as to ensure that rotatable drivers **234** and the rotatable receiving elements **418** are not aligned 180 degrees out of phase from their intended position. Additionally, each of the openings **240** in the rotatable drivers may be slightly radially elongated so as to fittingly receive the pins in the circumferential orientation. This allows the pins **422** to slide radially within the openings **240** and accommodate some axial misalignment between the tool and the adapter **228**, while minimizing any angular misalignment and backlash between the rotatable drivers **234** and the rotatable receiving elements **418**. Additionally, the interaction between pins **422** and openings **240** helps restrain the robotic surgical tool **101** in the engaged position with the adapter **228** until the release levers **416** along the sides of the housing **401** push on the floating plate **236** axially from the interface so as to release the tool **101**.

When disposed in a first axial position (away from the tool side **230**) the rotatable drivers are free to rotate without angular limitation. The one or more rotatable drivers **234** may rotate clockwise or counter-clockwise to further actuate the systems and tools of the robotic surgical instruments **101**. However, as the rotatable drivers move axially toward the tool

side 230, tabs (extending radially from the rotatable drivers) may laterally engage detents on the floating plates so as to limit the angular rotation of the rotatable drivers about their axes. This limited rotation can be used to help engage the rotatable drivers the rotating members of the tool as the pins 422 may push the rotatable bodies into the limited rotation position until the pins are aligned with (and slide into) the openings 240 in the rotatable drivers.

While rotatable drivers 234 are described here, other types of drivers or actuators may be provided in the adapter 228 to actuate systems or tools of the robotic surgical instruments 101. The adapter 228 further includes terminals of an electrical connector 242 to couple to electrical contacts or pins 424 of surgical instruments 101 to make an electrical connection as well.

The mounting of robotic surgical tool 101A to the adapter 228 generally includes inserting the tip or distal end of the shaft or hollow tube of the robotic surgical tool through a cannula (not shown) and sliding the interface base 412 into engagement with the adapter 228, as illustrated in FIG. 2C. A lip 232 on the tool side 230 of the adaptor 228 slideably receives the laterally extending portions of the interface base 412 of the robotic surgical tool. A catch 244 of adapter 228 may latch onto the back end of the interface base 412 to hold the tool 101A in position. The protrusions or pins 422 extending from the one or more rotatable members 418 of the robotic surgical tool couple into the holes 240A-240B (generally referred to as holes or openings 240) in the rotatable drivers 234 of the adapter 228.

The range of motion of the rotatable receiving elements 418 in the robotic surgical tool may be limited. To complete the mechanical coupling between the rotatable drivers of the adapter and the rotatable receiving elements 418, the operator O at the surgical master control console 150 may turn the rotatable drivers in one direction from center, turn the rotatable drivers in a second direction opposite the first, and then return the rotatable drivers to center. Further, to ensure that the pins 422 enter openings 240 of rotatable drivers adapter 228, the adapter 228 and tool 101A mounted thereto may be moved together. The adapter 228 and tool 101A mounted thereto may be moved to an initial position so that the tip or distal end of the shaft or hollow tube is disposed within a cannula (not shown).

To dismount and remove the robotic surgical tool 101A, the release levers 416 may be squeezed pushing out on the mountable housing 401 to release the pins 422 from the holes 240 and the catch 244 from the back end of the interface base. The mountable housing 401 is then pulled up to slide the interface base 412 up and out from the adapter 228. The mountable housing 401 is continually pulled up to remove the tip or distal end of the shaft or hollow tube out from the cannula 219. After the robotic surgical tool 101A is dismounted, another robotic surgical tool may be mounted in its place, including a new or freshly sterilized electrosurgical robotic tool 400.

As previously discussed, the robotic surgical tool 101A may include one or more integrated circuits 426 to identify the type of robotic surgical tool coupled to the robotic arm, such that it may be properly controlled by the master control console 150. However, the robotic surgical system may determine whether or not the robotic surgical tool is compatible or not, prior to its use.

The system verifies that the tool is of the type which may be used with the robotic surgical system 100. The one or more integrated circuits 426 may signal to the computer 151 in the master control console 150 data regarding compatibility and tool-type to determine compatibility as well as control infor-

mation. One of the integrated circuits 426 may include a non-volatile memory to store and read out data regarding system compatibility, the tool-type and the control information. In an exemplary embodiment, the data read from the memory includes a character string indicating tool compatibility with the robotic surgical system 100. Additionally, the data from the tool memory will often include a tool-type to signal to the master control console how it is to be controlled. In some cases, the data will also include tool calibration information. The data may be provided in response to a request signal from the computer 151.

Tool-type data will generally indicate what kind of tool has been attached in a tool change operation. The tool-type data may include information on wrist axis geometries, tool strengths, grip force, the range of motion of each joint, singularities in the joint motion space, the maximum force to be applied via the rotatable receiving elements, the tool transmission system characteristics including information regarding the coupling of rotatable receiving elements to actuation or articulation of a system within the robotic surgical instrument.

For example, the tool-type data might indicate that an electrosurgical robotic instrument 101A has been mounted to the robotic arm or not. Relevant to energy activation of an electrosurgical instrument, additional tool type data related to primary and/or secondary energy sub-features may further be stored. For example, energy sub-features may include what type of electrosurgical energy the tool may receive (e.g., bipolar or monopolar cutting & monopolar coagulating), maximum peak energy, minimum harmonic energy frequency, maximum harmonic energy frequency, and whether or not a laser is also provided for cutting. As new energy or other types of modalities are introduced for robotic surgical tools, its tool-type data can be readily stored and communicated to the robotic surgical system so that the system can adaptively control remote controllable equipment and multiple types of robotic surgical tools mounted to robotic arms of the robotic surgical system.

Instead of storing all of the tool-type data in the one or more integrated circuits 426, most of the tool-type data may optionally be stored in memory or a hard drive of the computer 151 in the robotic surgical system 100. An identifier may be stored in the one or more integrated circuits 426 to signal the computer 151 to read the relevant portions of data in a look up table store in the memory or the hard drive of the computer. The tool-type data in the look-up table may be loaded into a memory of computer 151 by the manufacturer of the robotic surgical system 100. The look-up table may be stored in a flash memory, EEPROM, or other type of non-volatile memory. As a new tool-type is provided, the manufacturer can revise the look-up table to accommodate the new tool-specific information. It should be recognized that the use of tools which are not compatible with the robotic surgery system, for example, which do not have the appropriate tool-type data in an information table, could result in inadequate robotic control over the robotic surgical tool by the computer 151 and the operator O.

In addition to the tool-type data, tool specific information may be stored in the integrated circuit 426, such as for reconfiguring the programming of computer 151 to control the tool. There may be calibration information, such an offset, to correct a misalignment in the robotic surgical tool. The calibration information may be factored into the overall control of the robotic surgical tool. The storing of such calibration information can be used to overcome minor mechanical inconsistencies between tools of a single type. For example, the tool-type data including the tool-specific data may be used to

generate appropriate coordinate transformations and servo drive signals to manipulate the robotic arm and rotate the rotatable drivers **234**. In this case, the integrated circuit **426** includes the information to set up the control system to drive the end effectors in the tool to have a maximum joint torque setting so that the jaws of a robotic gripping tool or a robotic electro-surgical tool can clamp to tissue with a maximum force.

Additionally, some robotic surgical tools have a limited life span. Tool life and cumulative tool use information may also be stored on the tool memory and used by the computer to determine if the tool is still safe for use. Total tool life may be measured by clock time, by procedure, by the number of times the tool has been loaded onto a holder, and in other ways specific to the type of tool. Tool life data is preferably stored in the memory of the tool using an irreversible writing process.

Master Control Console (Surgeon's Console)

Referring now to FIG. 3A, a perspective view of the robotic surgical master control console **150,150A** is illustrated. The master control console **150,150A** may also be referred to as the surgeon's console. The master control console **150** generates the control signals to control surgical tools (e.g., the electro-surgical robotic instruments) in a surgical site and medical equipment that supports the surgical tools (e.g., electro-surgical generators).

The master control console **150** of the robotic surgical system **100** includes a binocular or stereo viewer **312**, an arm-rest **314**, a microphone **315**, a pair of master controllers **925L,925R** (generally referenced by **325**) for end effector input control, wrist input control, and arm input control within a workspace **316**, one or more speakers **315L,315R**, and foot pedals **318** (including foot pedals **318A-318B**), and a viewing sensor **320**.

In one embodiment of the invention, the master control console **150** further includes an integrated computer **151**. In another embodiment of the invention, as shown in FIG. 1B, the computer may be distributed from the master control console as a computer **151B** that is an element of the central control cart **150B**. In either case, the computer **151,151B** may include one or microprocessors **302** to execute instructions and a storage device **304** to store software with executable instructions that may be used to generate control signals to control the robotic surgical system **100**. The computer **151,151B** may further include a sound generator **317** coupled to the microprocessors **302** and one or more speakers (left speaker **315L**, right speaker **315R**) to generate audible sounds; a haptic/tactile feedback generator **321** coupled to the microprocessors **302** and one or more vibrating devices at the master controls, the arm rest, and/or the foot pedals to generate vibrations; a graphics controller/generator **322** coupled to the microprocessors **302** and the stereo viewer **312** to generate a graphical user interface (GUI) and fuse the GUI data together with frames of camera image data of a surgical site for display on the display devices of the stereo viewer **312**.

The viewer **312** has at least one display where images of a surgical site may be viewed to perform minimally invasive surgery. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the viewer **312** is a stereo viewer with left and right display devices **452L,452R** as illustrated in FIG. 4. FIG. 4 illustrates a perspective view of the stereo viewer **312** of the master control console **150,150A**. To provide a three-dimensional perspective, the viewer **312** includes stereo images for each eye including a left image **450L** and a right image **450R** of the surgical site including any robotic surgical tools respectively in a left viewfinder **451L** and a right viewfinder **451R**. The images **450L** and **450R** in the viewfinders are provided by the

left display device **452L** and a right display device **452R**, respectively. The display devices **452L,452R** may optionally be pairs of cathode ray tube (CRT) monitors, liquid crystal displays (LCDs), or other type of image display devices (e.g., plasma, digital light projection, etc.). In the preferred embodiment of the invention, the images are provided in color by a pair of color display devices **452L,452R**; such as color CRTs or color LCDs. A graphical user interface may be displayed like borders **461L,461R** around or near edges of each of the display devices **452L,452R**.

Referring now to FIGS. 3A-3C and FIG. 9, a left master controller **905L** and a right master controller **905R** (including master grip **325**) in the workspace **316** can be used to generate control signals for the patient side cart **152** to control the robotic arms and the surgical tools mounted thereto. The left master controller **905L** and the right master controller **905R** are positioned in the workspace **316** disposed beyond the arm support **314** and below the viewer **312**.

When using the master control console **150,150A**, the operator O (surgeon or user) typically sits in a chair, moves his or her head into alignment with the viewer **312**, and couples his/her fingers to the master grips **325** of left master controller **905L** and the right master controller **905R**, one in each hand, while resting their forearms against the arm rest **314**. This allows the master grips **325** of the left master controller **905L** and the right master controller **905R** to be moved easily in the control space **316** in both position and orientation to generate control signals.

Additionally, the operator O can use his feet to control the foot-pedals to change the configuration of the surgical system and generate additional control signals to control the robotic surgical instruments or other medical equipment coupled to the system.

To ensure that the operator is viewing the surgical site when controlling the robotic surgical tools **101**, the master control console **150** may include the viewing sensor **320** disposed adjacent the binocular display **312**. When the system operator aligns his or her eyes with the binocular eye pieces of the display **312** to view a stereoscopic image of the surgical worksite, the operator's head sets off the viewing sensor **320** to enable the control of the robotic surgical tools **101**. When the operator's head is removed the area of the display **312**, the viewing sensor **320** can disable or stop generating new control signals in response to movements of the master grips in order to hold the state of the robotic surgical tools.

The computer **151** with its microprocessors **302** interprets movements and actuation of the left master controller **905L** and the right master controller **905R** (and other inputs from the operator O or other personnel) to generate control signals to control the robotic surgical instruments **101** in the surgical worksite. In one embodiment of the invention, the computer **151** and the viewer **312** map the surgical worksite into the controller workspace **316** so it feels and appears to the operator that the left master controller **905L** and the right master controller **905R** are working over a surgical worksite.

Master Controllers with Control Input Grips

Referring momentarily now to FIG. 9, a perspective view of the controller workspace **316** at the surgeon's console **150,150A** is illustrated. The surgeon's console **150,150A** has a left master controller **905L** and a right master controller **905R**. The left master controller **905L** includes a left control input arm **935L**, a left control input wrist **952L** and a left control input grip **925L**. The right master controller **905R** includes a right control input arm **935R**, a right control input wrist **952R** and a right control input grip **925R**.

Referring now to FIG. 3B, a perspective view of a control input wrist **352** representative of the left control input wrist

952L and the right control input wrist 952R is illustrated. The master controllers 905L, 905R at the surgeons console include a control input grip or master grip 325 and a control input wrist 352 coupled together to a control arm (see control input arms 935L, 935R in FIG. 9). The control input wrist 352 is a gimbaled device that pivotally supports the master grip 325 of the master control console 150 to generate control signals that are used to control the robotic surgical manipulator 152 and the robotic surgical tools 101, including electrosurgical robotic tools 101A, 101B. A pair of control input wrists 352 for the left and right master controllers are supported by a pair of control input arms (not shown in FIG. 3B) in the workspace 316 of the master control console 150, 150A. The control input wrist 352 includes first, second, and third gimbal members 362, 364, and 366. The third gimbal member 366 is rotationally coupled to a control input arm (not shown) of the master control console 150, 150A.

The master grip 325 includes a tubular support structure 351, a first grip 350A, and a second grip 350B. The first grip and the second grip are supported at one end by the structure 351. The master grip 325 can be rotated about axis G illustrated in FIGS. 3B-3C. The grips 350A, 350B can be squeezed or pinched together about the tubular structure 351. The “pinching” or grasping degree of freedom in the grips is indicated by arrows Ha, Hb in FIG. 3B and arrows H in FIG. 3C.

The master grip 325 is rotatably supported by the first gimbal member 362 by means of a rotational joint 356g. The first gimbal member 362 is in turn, rotatably supported by the second gimbal member 364 by means of the rotational joint 356f. Similarly, the second gimbal member 364 is rotatably supported by the third gimbal member 366 using a rotational joint 356d. In this manner, the control wrist allows the master grip 325 to be moved and oriented in the workspace 316 using three degrees of freedom.

The movements in the gimbals of the control wrist 352 to reorient the master grip in space can be translated into control signals to control the robotic surgical manipulator 152 and the robotic surgical tools 101.

The movements in the grips 350A, 350B of the master grip 325 can also be translated into control signals to control the robotic surgical manipulator 152 and the robotic surgical tools 101. In particular, the squeezing motion of the master grips 350A, 350B over their freedom of movement indicated by arrows Ha, Hb or H, may be used to control the end effectors of the robotic surgical tools.

To sense the movements in the master grip 325 and generate control signals, sensors can be mounted in the handle 325 as well as the gimbal member 362 of the control input wrist 352. Exemplary sensors may be a Hall effect transducer, a potentiometer, an encoder, or the like.

Referring now to FIG. 3C, a cross-sectional view of the master grip 325 and gimbal member 362 of the control input wrist 352 is illustrated. FIG. 3C provides an example as to how the master grip 325 can be mounted to the control input wrist 352 to sense the gripping and rotation of the handle to control robotic surgical tools 101.

As illustrated in FIG. 3C, the exemplary gimbal member 362 includes beveled gears 368a, 368b which can couple the rotational motion of the master grip 325 to a roll sensor 370. The roll sensor 370 may use a potentiometer or encoder 370b included in a roll motor 370a to sense the rotation. Alternatively, a separate roll sensor, such as a potentiometer, may be directly coupled to the shaft 380 to sense the rotation of the master grip. In any case, a roll sensor senses the roll motion of the master grip 325 and generates control signals in response thereto to control the robotic surgical tools 101.

To sense a squeezing motion in the grips 350A, 350B of the master grip 325, a remote sensing assembly 386 may be included by the gimbal member 362. The first and second grips 350A, 350B are adapted to be squeezed together by a hand of an operator O so as to define a variable grip separation. The grip separation may be determined as a function of a variable grip angle with an axis or as a function of a variable grip separation distance, or the like. Alternative handle actuations, such as movement of a thumbwheel or knob may also be provided in the handle to control the robotic surgical instruments 101.

In the exemplary embodiment, the remote sensor assembly 386 includes a circuit board 394 on which a first and a second Hall effect sensors, HE1, HE2 are mounted. A magnet 396 is disposed distally beyond the circuit board 394 and the Hall effect sensors. A magnetic mass 398 is axially coupled to the proximally oriented surface 390 of a push rod 384. Thus, the magnetic mass 398 moves (as shown by Arrow J) with the push rod 384 and varies the magnetic field at the Hall effect sensors in response to actuation of the grips 350A, 350B.

To translate the squeezing action of the grips 350A, 350B to the sensor 386, the gimbal member 362 includes a push rod 384 within the tubular handle structure 351. Each of the grips 350A, 350B pivot about a respective pivot 334a, 334b in the tubular handle structure 351. Urging links 335a, 335b respectively couple between the grips 350A, 350B and a first end of the push rod 384. The squeezing action of the grips 350A, 350B is translated into a linear motion on the push rod 384 by means of urging links 335a, 335b as shown by arrow A in FIG. 3C. A second end of the push rod 384 couples to the sensor 386. As discussed previously, the magnetic mass 398 is axially coupled to the surface 390 of the push rod 384 in order to sense the linear motion in the push rod and the squeezing motion of the grips 350A, 350B.

A biasing mechanism such as spring 392 applies a force against the squeezing motion of the grips to return them to full open when the grips are released. The biasing spring 392 may be a linear or non-linear elastic device biasing against the depression of grips 350A, 350B, e.g., a single or multiple element assembly including springs or other elastic members. For example, spring 392 may comprise a concentric dual spring assembly whereby one spring provides a “softer” bias response as the grips 350A, 350B are initially depressed, and a second spring provides a superimposed “firm” bias response as the grips 350A, 350B approach a fully depressed state. Such a non-linear bias may provide a pseudo force-feedback to the operator.

The master grip may be an ideal place to provide haptic/tactile feedback to a user’s hands through a vibrating device or mechanism. The magnet 396 of the master grip may be an electro-magnet under control of the haptic/tactile feedback generator as a vibrating device to generate vibrations in the master grip for haptic/tactile user feedback. Alternatively, another type of vibrating device may be an element of the master grip and controlled by the haptic/tactile feedback generator. The control input wrist 325 may have such a vibrating device or mechanism 399 coupled to the haptic/tactile feedback generator 321 to generate vibrations in the control input wrist that may be felt by a user. A vibrating device 355 coupled to the feedback generator 321 may be a part of the master grip near where the user’s fingers make contact. Alternatively, pre-existing electric motors in each control input wrist of the master controllers may be used to generate vibrations. A feedback signal may cause the motor to alternatively rotate back and forth over a small arc to generate a vibration. For example, roll motor 370a may be coupled to the haptic/tactile feedback generator 321 and rotated back and forth over

one or a couple of segments of the encoder **370b**. U.S. Pat. No. 6,522,906 issued to Salisbury, Jr., et al. on Feb. 18, 2003; and U.S. Pat. No. 6,493,608 issued to Niemeyer on Dec. 10, 2002 also describe using haptic feedback at the master controllers.

Referring now back to FIG. 9, an arm-support or arm-rest **314** in the surgeon's console **150,150A** may include a left vibrating feedback mechanism **936L** and a right vibrating feedback mechanism **736R** positioned in the arm wrist to be under an area where a surgeon (user or operator O) may rest his left and right forearms. The left vibrating feedback mechanism **936L** and the right vibrating feedback mechanism **736R** couple to the haptic/tactile feedback generator **321** to receive a left feedback signal and a right feedback signal. In response to the feedback signal from the haptic/tactile feedback generator **321**, either the left vibrating feedback mechanism **936L** or the right vibrating feedback mechanism **736R** can generate vibrations in the arm-rest **314** that may be felt by a user's forearm resting on the arm-rest.

It should be noted that a wide variety of vibration devices or mechanisms may be used to generate vibrations that the operator O (user, surgeon) may feel when operating the controls at the surgeons' console **150,150A**.

Pedal System for Integrated Control

Referring now to FIG. 7A, a surgical console pedal system **700** is illustrated in a top view. The pedal system **700** includes a movable pedal tray **702**, a lower left pedal assembly **704L**, a lower right pedal assembly **704R**, a left vertical switch pedal assembly **706L**, a right vertical switch pedal assembly **706R**, an upper level pedal assembly **708L**, and an upper right level pedal assembly **708R**. The pedal system **700** may include a drive assembly to move the moveable pedal tray **702** over a floor. The pedal system **700** may further include a lift assembly to raise the moveable pedal tray above the floor when transporting the surgeon console and lower the pedal tray when its pedals are ready to be used by a user.

Each of the pedal assemblies may be assigned by the robotic surgical system to control medical equipment that may couple to one or more surgical tools. The functionality controlled by each pedal may be context sensitive and switch depending upon the type of surgical tools being controlled. In one embodiment, the pedal system is assigned to control electrosurgical tools in response to one or more electrosurgical tools being mounted to one or more of the robotic arms respectively. The robotic surgical system may sense that one or more electrosurgical tools are mounted to one or more of the robotic arms.

The movable pedal tray **702** has a base portion **711** and an upper tier or terrace portion **712** coupled to and elevated above the base portion **711**. The pedal tray **702** has a center chair cut-out or opening **710** in a front edge of the base portion **711** so that a wheel of a chair may roll on the floor therein to appropriately adjust the position of the user at the surgeon's console.

The lower left pedal assembly **704L** and the lower right pedal assembly **704R** are positioned within the base portion **711** of the pedal tray. The upper left pedal assembly **708L** and the upper right pedal assembly **708R** are positioned within the upper tier or terrace portion **712** slightly elevated above the lower left pedal assembly **704L** and the lower right pedal assembly **704R**. The upper tier or terrace portion **712** may be U-like shaped to receive the left vertical switch pedal assembly **706L** in a left side and the right vertical switch pedal assembly **706R** in a right side.

The left vertical switch pedal assembly **706L** is situated near the lower left pedal assembly **704L** so that a user's left foot may move horizontally to toggle the switch. The right vertical switch pedal assembly **706R** is located near the lower

right pedal assembly **704R** so that a user's right foot may toggle the switch by horizontal movement. The pedals **704L-704R** and **708L-708R** are horizontal switch pedals that may be activated when a users foot moves vertically downward.

Referring momentarily to FIG. 8, the surgeon's console pedal system **700** is shown moveably coupled to the surgeon's console **150, 150A**. The surgeon's console pedal system **700** is movable within an opening **804** of the base **801** of the surgeon's console **150, 150A** as shown by the arrow **802**. The pedal tray **702** moves inward and outward over the floor within the opening **804** of the surgeon's console between left and right pontoons **805L,805R** of the base **801** of the surgeon's console. This is so the pedal positions can be adjusted to custom fit a size of the surgeon seated at a chair near the surgeon's console. Digital numbers representing the position of the pedal tray **702** with respect to the console **150,150A** may be stored in a storage device for later recall by the surgeon. The left and right pontoons **805L,805R** include left and right brake pedals **850L,850R**, each a latchable pedal, that may be used to lock the casters (not shown) of the surgeons console to keep it stationary.

Referring now to FIGS. 7B and 7F, a top view and a side view of the pedal system **700** are respectively illustrated. The pedal system **700** includes a pair of left rollers **715L** rotatably coupled to a left side and a pair of right rollers **715R** rotatably coupled to right side of the moveable pedal tray. In one embodiment of the invention, the pair of left rollers **715L** and the pair of right rollers **715R** respectively roll along a left lift/guide rail **716L** and a right lift/guide rail **716R** to guide the pedal tray within the opening **804** of the base **801** when raised above the floor for transporting or moving the surgeon's console. In another embodiment of the invention, the pair of left rollers **715L** and the pair of right rollers **715R** respectively roll along the left guide rail **716L** and the right guide rail **716R** to guide the pedal tray within the opening **804** of the base **801** when the pedal tray is near or configured to roll along the floor. Otherwise when the pedal tray is lowered to the floor rolling upon rollers (e.g., see rollers **735R** in FIG. 7F), the left guide rail **716L** and the right guide rail **716R** are sufficiently low so that the rollers the pair of left rollers **715L** and the pair of right rollers **715R** need not roll along the left guide rail **716L** and the right guide rail **716R**. At the bottom, the pedal tray includes a pair of rollers **735R** pivotally coupled to the right side of the pedal tray and a similar pair of rollers pivotally coupled to the left side of the pedal tray to roll along the floor and move the pedal tray when lowered with the castor brakes of the surgeons console are set.

As mentioned previously, the pedal tray **702** may be vertically raised above the floor and lowered to the floor as indicated by the arrowhead **739**. The lift mechanism or assembly of the pedal system **700** includes a linkage on each side pivotally coupled between the lift/guide rails **716L,716R**, each other and a frame **750** of a drive assembly of the pedal system. The lift assembly of the pedal system further includes a pair of springs **734** each with an end coupled to the linkage in each side to apply a force and raise the pedal tray above the floor. Each spring **734** has an opposite end coupled to the surgeon's console schematically indicated as a ground link in FIG. 7F. In one embodiment, each spring **734** may be coupled to the base **801** of the surgeon's console to push up on the pedal tray to raise it above the floor. In another embodiment, each spring may be coupled to the base **801** to pull up on the pedal tray to raise it above the floor. In one embodiment of the invention the spring **734** is a gas spring.

The springs **734** pre-load the linkage to raise the pedal tray above the floor within the opening. To lower the pedal tray **702**, a user presses down on either brake pedal **850L, 850R**

shown in FIG. 8 to press down on the linkage and overcome the force applied by the springs 734. Either brake pedal can be latched in place to hold the pedal tray down against the force of the springs 734. A torsion bar 738 coupled between the linkage on each side transfers torque from one side to the other to lower each side of the tray together, if either brake pedal 850L, 850R is pressed. To raise the pedal tray 702, both brake pedals 850L, 850R are unlatched so that the springs 734 coupled to lift rails can force up and raise the pedal tray 702 above the floor.

The linkage in each side of the pedal system allows the pedal tray to be raised and lowered substantially in parallel to the floor. The linkage in each side of the pedal system includes an upper link 740 and a lower link 742 to form a four bar or parallelogram linkage with the lift/guide rail 716R, 716L and the frame of the drive assembly coupled to base providing the other links. The upper link 740 pivots with the torsion bar 738 near one end. An opposite end of the upper link 740 pivots around a pivotal shaft 746. The lower link 742 pivots near one end about a pivotal shaft 746. At an opposite end, the lower link 742 pivots about a pivotal shaft 747. The pivotal shafts 745-746 on each side are coupled to lift/guide rails 716R, 716L of each side of the pedal system 700. The pivotal shaft 747 may be coupled to the frame 750 of the back drive assembly.

To raise the pedal tray, each side of the linkage is preloaded to be raised up by the springs 734 mounted between the upper link 740 and the base (or ground) of the surgeon's console. A pick up bracket 748 couples between an end of the gas spring 734 and near a midpoint of the upper link 740 to couple the spring 734 to the linkage. The preloaded force of the spring applied to the linkage tries to keep the rails 716R, 715L (and therefore the foot pedal tray 702) in the raised position. A user presses down on either brake pedal 850L, 850R to drive the upper link 740 down as well, lowering both the linkage and the foot pedal tray 702. If a user pushes sufficiently far enough, either brake pedal 850R, 850L latches in a down position to hold the linkage and the foot pedal tray in the lowered position so that it can roll over the floor. In the down or lowered position, the rollers 735R and the foot pedal tray can rest on the floor without its wheels 715L, 715R resting on the lift/guide rails 716L, 716R.

The pivot point for the upper link 740 near the drive assembly is the torsion bar 738. The torsion bar extends across to the mirrored linkage assembly in the other side. FIGS. 7B and 7C illustrate the torsion bar 738 coupled between the upper links 740 in each side. For this reason, the two linkages and rails in each side move up and down together, even if only one side's brake pedal 850L or 850R is pressed. When the latches of the brake pedals 850L, 850R are released, the brake pedals can move up to their raised position. The springs 734 lift the linkage in each side up above the floor along with the lift/guide rails. The left and right lift/guide rails 716L, 716R engage the left and right rollers 715L, 716R to lift the foot pedal tray 702 to its raised position for travel.

To move the pedal tray 702 horizontally within the opening 804 along the floor when lowered or within the guide rails, the pedal system 700 further includes a drive mechanism or drive assembly. The drive assembly of the pedal system 700 includes a tray motor 708 coupled to a frame 750 and a scissor arm assembly 720 coupled between the tray motor 708 and the pedal tray 702. The frame 750 is coupled to the base 801 of the surgeon's console. The tray motor 708 includes a rotatable shaft coupled to an end of a threaded drive rod 719 to apply a force to the moveable pedal tray 702. The threaded drive rod 719 is rotatably coupled to the base so that it can rotate in place. One end of a pair of cross arms of the scissor

arm assembly 720 is threadingly and pivotally coupled to the threaded drive rod 719. An opposite end of the pair of cross arms of the scissor arm assembly 720 is pivotally coupled to a back side of the pedal tray 702. As the shaft of the tray motor 718 rotates in one direction, the threaded drive rod 719 rotates in that one direction so that the scissors arm assembly 720 opens and pushes out the pedal tray 702. As the shaft of the tray motor 718 rotates in an opposite direction, the threaded drive rod 719 rotates in the opposite direction so that the scissors arm assembly 720 closes and pulls in the pedal tray 702.

In FIG. 7C, a side perspective view of the pedal system 700 is illustrated. The left vertical switch pedal assembly 706L and the right vertical switch pedal assembly 706R are pivotally coupled to the left and right sides of the pedal tray. Each of the left vertical switch pedal 706L and the right vertical switch pedal 706R includes a vertical switch assembly 722. Each of the pedal assemblies may include a spring so as to be spring loaded so they are momentarily closed when pressed on by the users foot and then spring back to switch open when the users foot is removed.

Referring now to FIG. 7E, a cutaway view of the vertical switch assembly 722 is illustrated for each of the vertical switch pedals 706L-706R. The vertical switch assembly 722 includes a rod 730 coupled to the base of the pedal tray about which the pedal may pivot to open and close an electrical switch 732. The vertical switch assembly may further include a spring to push back on the pedal. Assuming a normally open switch, horizontal foot movement against the pedal causes it to pivot about the rod 730 to compress the spring and close the electrical switch 732. Releasing the foot pressure on the pedal allows the spring push back out, pivoting the pedal back to a normal position and opening the electrical switch.

Referring now to FIG. 7D, each of the horizontal pedal assemblies 704L-704R and 708L-708R are spring loaded and include a horizontal switch assembly 724. Each of the horizontal switch assembly 724 includes an electrical switch 725. The horizontal switch assembly may further include a spring to push back on the pedal. Assuming a normally open switch, vertical foot movement pressing down against the pedal causes the spring to compress and the electrical switch 732 to close. Releasing the foot pressure on the pedal causes the pedal to spring back and open the electrical switch 732.

Each of the horizontal switch assembly may further include a vibrating device 726 to provide haptic/tactile user feedback. Each of the horizontal switch assembly may further include a sensing device 727 to sense when a foot is over the pedal and ready to press down to close the switch and generate a control signal to control the robotic surgical system.

Each of the switches 725, 732 activated by the pedal assemblies may be of different switch types, such as a toggle switch (toggling between opened and closed switch states), a normally open-momentarily closed switch, or a normally closed-momentarily open switch. Furthermore, the type of signal generated by the switch may be translated, encoded, or adapted to a proper voltage to control various types of medical equipment.

Ergonomic Control

Referring now FIG. 9, a perspective view of the person of the workspace 316 of the surgeon's console 150, 150A is illustrated. As mentioned previously, the pedal tray 702 of the pedal system at the surgeon's console moves so that the pedal positions are comfortably reached by the surgeon. For each surgeon, a desired saved pedal position can be recalled and the pedal tray can be automatically adjusted to custom fit a size of the surgeon.

To initially control (and override the automated adjustments) the movement of the pedal tray **702** of the pedal system **700**, as well as other ergonomic positions, the surgeon's console **150,150A** includes an ergonomic control panel **911** next to the arm wrist **314**. The ergonomic control panel **911** is better illustrated in FIG. **11A**.

Referring now to FIG. **11A**, a magnified perspective view of the ergonomic control panel **911** is illustrated. The ergonomic control panel **911** includes a pedal tray adjustment toggle switch **1110**, an arm-rest height adjustment toggle switch **1112**, a display height adjustment toggle switch **1114**, and a display angle adjustment toggle switch **1116**. Each of these toggle switches may be moved up or down to energize a motor to change position settings. For example, the pedal tray adjustment toggle switch **1110** when pushed upward may turn on an electric motor to adjust the pedal tray inward. When the pedal tray adjustment toggle switch **1110** is pushed downward it may turn on the electric motor to adjust the pedal tray outward.

The switches **1110**, **1112**, **1114**, and **1116** of the control panel **911** are positioned into a console map **1101** of the panel **911** with icons of the console in the background so that it is clear what function each has. The pedal tray adjustment toggle switch **1110** that moves the pedal tray **702** is located near feet icon of the console map to indicate position adjustments of the pedals. The arm-rest height adjustment toggle switch **1112** is located on an arm-rest icon to indicate height adjustments of the arm-rest. The display height adjustment toggle switch **1114** is located on a monitor icon to indicate height adjustments of the stereo display. The display angle adjustment toggle switch **1116** is located on an axis icon near the monitor icon to indicate angle adjustments of the monitor.

The ergonomic settings of the surgeon's console set by each of the toggle switches may be saved into a user account for each respective user. The information regarding the account may be stored in a storage device of the computer **151**. Each user account, including the saved ergonomic adjustments, may be secured with a login identification ID and optionally a password.

Referring now back to FIG. **9**, the surgeon's console **150, 105A** further includes a liquid crystal display (LCD) touchpad screen **912** mounted in the arm-rest **314** to access the user accounts. The LCD touchpad screen **912** is located near the center of the arm-rest **314** between positions where a surgeon's arms may wrest so that it may be viewable during surgery and avoid extraneous readings from the arms touching the touch screen.

Referring now to FIG. **11B**, a top view of the liquid crystal display (LCD) touchpad screen **912** is illustrated. The saved ergonomic setting for a user may be recalled by logging into the robotic surgical system through the use of the touchpad screen **912**. A surgeon (user or operator **O**) may enter or select his or her login ID and optionally enter a password by means of a touch sensitive keypad **1122** of the touchpad screen **912**. After a successful login to a user account, the system recalls the ergonomic settings, including the pedal tray adjustment setting, the arm-rest height adjustment setting, the display height setting, and display angle setting. The system then automatically readjusts the positions of the pedal tray, the arm-rest and the stereo display to those saved settings. For example, the position setting of the pedal tray **702** with respect to the console **150,150A** is recalled from the storage device and automatically adjusted to that setting that is associated with the user account. If any of the settings are undesirable to the user, he can reset the adjustments for current use and then save the new settings upon logging out of the system for later recall.

Referring now back to FIG. **9**, the position of the master controllers **905L** and **905R** may be adjusted to a desired position by a user without moving/controlling the robotic arms and robotic surgical tools. This is by selectively disengaging the master controllers from the slave controlled arms and tools, sometimes referred to as clutching. That is, the master controllers **905L** and **905R** can be clutched from the robotic arms and surgical tools to adjust their positions.

Previously, this may have been performed by pressing a pedal or a combination of pedals to clutch the master controllers. In this case, both of the master controllers were clutched. However, there are times when only one master controller need be clutched. This may be the case, for example, if only one needs to be repositioned. Alternatively, one of the master control arms may be used to control a mouse pointer (sometimes referred to as a masters as mice mode) to select items from an on-screen menu system in the graphical user interface for further control of the robotic surgical system and/or its surgical tools.

To further control different modes and independently adjust the positioning of the left master controller and the right master controller, the left master grip **925L** and the right master grip **925R** may each may include one or more switches.

Referring now FIG. **10**, a magnified perspective view of the right master grip **925R** and right control input wrist **952R** of the right master controller is illustrated. The right master grip **925R** and right control input wrist **952R** is also representative of the left master grip and left master wrist portion of the left master controller.

Each of the left master grip **925L** and the right master grip **925R** includes a front side switch **1010F** and a back side switch **1010B** that can be controlled by fingers of the user (surgeon, operator **O**). The front and back side switches on each master controller may be identical but read independently by the system. However, software may programmed to perform the same action in response to activation of either the front or back side switch. This permits a user to grasp the masters in different ways and still control a controllable feature with either of the front side switch **1010F** and the back side switch **1010B** of a respective master grip. Collectively, the front side switch **1010F** and the back side switch **1010B** of both master controllers may be referred to herein as a switch **1010**.

In one embodiment of the invention, the switch **1010** is a slideable switch that can move from a closed position to an open position and back again. The switch slides along a tubular support structure **351** of the master control input grip **925R,925L**. In one embodiment of the invention, the switch **1010** is not spring loaded so that it remains in whatever position is chosen by the user. In another embodiment of the invention, the switch **1010** is spring loaded, requiring the user to maintain pressure on the switch in one of the positions (open or closed), otherwise having it slide back to a normal position (closed or open) when the sliding pressure on the switch is released.

The switch **1010** of each master grip can be programmed to independently clutch each of the left master controller and right master controller from the respective slave controlled elements (left robotic arm/tool and right robotic arm/tool) of the patient side cart **152**. After clutching the left or right master controller, the clutched master controller can be repositioned within the workspace so as to be in a better position to control the robotic surgical tool when the master controller is re-engaged (un-clutched) to control the respective slave

controlled elements of the patient side cart **152**. The master controller can be re-engaged by switching the switch back to normal control mode.

When clutched by the switch **1010**, the clutched master controller also may be used as a multi-dimensional computer mouse moving in multiple dimensions over a multi-dimensional space displayed by a stereo viewer. In one case, the clutched master controller is used as a three-dimensional computer mouse moving in three dimensions over a three-dimensional space displayed by the stereo viewer. The user interface in that case may have certain three dimensional aspects such as described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/189,615 entitled INTERACTIVE USER INTERFACES FOR ROBOTIC MINIMALLY INVASIVE SURGICAL SYSTEMS, filed on Aug. 11, 2008, by Simon DiMaio, for example, and incorporated herein by reference.

While the switches **1010** in each master controller can independently clutch each master controller, a clutch pedal or clutch pedal sequence may still be provided/used to jointly clutch both master controllers together, such as when the surgical procedure may be completed, for example. Furthermore, while one of the switches **1010** may be used to enter a masters-as-mice mode, the masters as mice mode may alternatively be entered by stepping on a foot pedal or by pressing a button on a touchpad interface, for example. Moreover, the functionality of the switches **1010** may be expanded. For example, the switches **1010** may be selectively used to activate an energy device with a user's hand, swap control from one slave arm to another, or activate a new feature. Furthermore, front and back switches **1010E-1010B** may be programmed to perform different actions in response to varying contexts or user interface modes.

Electro Surgical Instruments

Robotic electrosurgical tools can be mounted to one or more of the robotic arms of the patient side cart of the robotic surgical system. Details of robotic electrosurgical tools are described in U.S. Pat. Nos. with filing dates and named inventor as follows U.S. Pat. No. 6,840,938, Dec. 21, 2001, Morley et al.; and U.S. Pat. No. 6,994,708, Apr. 18, 2002, Scott Manzo; and U.S. Pat. No. 7,320,700, Jan. 22, 2008, Cooper et al.; and U.S. Pat. No. 7,367,973, May 6, 2008, Manzo et al.; and Ser. No. 11/238,794, Sep. 28, 2005, Scott Manzo; and Ser. No. 11/535,426, Sep. 26, 2006, Manzo et al.; all of which are incorporated herein by reference.

Generally, robotic electrosurgical instruments and systems can be used for electrosurgical treatment of tissue during minimally invasive robotic surgical procedures. The electrosurgical instruments are capable of treating tissue with heat produced by electrical energy while cutting, shearing, grasping, engaging, or contacting treatment tissue. For example, an electrocautery instrument may be used to apply an electrical current to tissue in a surgical site so as to burn or seal ruptured blood vessels.

Electrosurgical instruments may apply a high-frequency alternating current to surrounding tissue, thereby to cause the tissue temperature to rise to the point where the tissue is cut or coagulates. Alternatively, electrosurgical instruments may apply heat to tissue by means of electrically generated heat inside the instrument. Regardless, electrosurgical treatments may further reduce bleeding of tissue by cauterizing tissue and coagulating blood, or achieve various other desired effects on the treatment tissue such as sealing tissue together. The electrosurgical treatment is carried out in a safe and effective manner that incorporates a variety of safety features to prevent current leakage to non-target tissue so as to reduce collateral tissue damage, unwanted burning, or the like.

For electrosurgical instruments, a range of supply settings may be used for cutting, coagulation and the like. An exemplary power supply can create a power output up to approximately one-hundred-twenty (120) watts (W) for cauterizing the target tissue. The voltage used with a bipolar robotic cauterizer tool is generally between zero (0) volts (V) and one thousand (1000) V peak-to peak, and preferably between one-hundred (100) V and five-hundred (500) V. As long as the jaws and electrodes are both in good contact with the tissue intended to be cauterized and/or cut, there is much less chance of voltage from the electrodes arcing to other conductive components on the instrument (e.g., the wrist, shaft, or pulleys). It should be appreciated, however, that the voltage setting of the electrosurgical power generator will vary depending on the specific dimensions of the electrodes, the tissue to be treated, and the like.

Referring now to FIG. 2A, robotic electrosurgical instruments **101A**, **101B** and endoscopic camera **101C** are mounted to the robotic surgical arms **153**. Each of the robotic electrosurgical instruments typically include an elongated shaft, with proximal end coupled to a housing and a distal end having one, two, or more end effectors **212**. The end effectors **212** are generally mounted on wrist-like mechanisms pivotally coupled to the distal ends of the shafts, for enabling the instruments to perform one or more surgical tasks. Generally, the elongated shafts of surgical instruments allow the end effectors **212** to be inserted through entry ports in a patient's body so as to access the internal surgical site. Movement of the end effectors **212** and the electrosurgical instruments is generally controlled via master controls at the master console **150,150A**.

Electrosurgical instruments may be categorized as being monopolar or bipolar. For either electrosurgical tool type, the energization of one or both electrodes in the end effectors **212** is controllable by the surgeon operating the surgeon's console **150,150A**.

For a monopolar electrosurgical tool, the patient is grounded and a voltage is supplied to tissue through an electrode coupled to an end effector. In the monopolar tool, an electrically conductive cable within the tool extends from one plug **474A** of the housing **401** to the electrode at the end effector. An external cable with first and second ends, releaseably plugs into the plug **474A** at the first end and to an appropriate electrical generating source unit (ESU) at the second end. The plug **474A** of the tool may typically be a conventional banana-type plug to receive a banana-type connector.

For a bipolar electrosurgical tool, a voltage is supplied to tissue through the use of two electrodes, a first electrode coupled to a first end effector and a second electrode coupled to a second end effector. The two electrodes on the end effectors of the bipolar tool when energized are set at two different electrical potentials and preferably do not come in contact with each other when energy is applied.

In a bipolar tool, a pair of electrically conductive cables within the tool extend from two plugs **474A,474B** of the housing **401** to the two electrodes at the respective two end effectors. A pair of external cables with first and second ends, releaseably plug into the plugs **474A,474B** at the first end and to a pair of plugs of an appropriate electrical generating source unit (ESU) at the second end. The plugs **474A, 474B** of the tool may typically be a conventional banana-type plug to receive a banana-type connector.

It will be appreciated that a number of elements of the tool are formed of a non-conductive or insulative material, such as, ULTEM, electrical grade fiberglass/vinyl ester composite material, or a liquid crystal polyester VECTRAN or coated

with a non-conductive or insulative material, e.g., a nylon or parylene, such as Nylon-11 or Parylene C. For example, the housing **401** is typically of a non-conductive plastics material. As another example, the shaft **404** is typically made entirely from a nonconductive material, or at least sheathed in such a material, to insulate the shaft from the patient, in particular in the region of the port of entry coincident with the center of rotation. One nonconductive material for the shaft comprises an electrical grade fiberglass/vinyl ester composite material. Alternatively, a shaft of stainless steel or carbon fiber may be coated with, e.g., a nylon or parylene, such as Nylon-11 or Parylene C. It has been found that the electrode at the end effectors should be insulated from the rest of the instrument **400** so as to inhibit current leakage from the electrode to other elements in the instrument **400**.

While electro-surgical tools and systems are described herein with respect to the embodiments of the invention, the principles, methods, techniques, systems and apparatus of the invention may be applicable to other types of tools and systems.

User Interfaces for Electro-surgical Systems

An electro-surgical tool may be mounted to any one or more of the robotic arms of a surgical system and manipulated by a surgeon from the surgeon's console. In some cases, two electro-surgical tools are mounted to a left robotic arm and a right robotic arm. Previously, keeping track of which tool in which side or hand was to be electrically activated was left to memory, particularly when the same type of electro-surgical tool was mounted to each arm. Different foot pedals for different types of electro-surgical tools may have provided some guidance on the handedness of the electro-surgical tools.

An enhanced user interface for electro-surgical systems is disclosed herein to allow control swapping between robotic surgical tools, such as a plurality of robotic electro-surgical tools, and to provide an indication to a surgeon as to which side and tools are ready to provide electro-surgical energy and which side is actually activated (firing) to deliver electro-surgical energy to the tissue.

During initial testing of a graphical user interface for a new integrated energy management system, a bright blue colored border was placed around an edge of either the left or right side of the surgeon's display to indicate if the left or right tool was receiving energy. It was discovered that surgeons sometimes do not notice the bright blue colored border at the edge of a display, perhaps because they are focusing on the tissue of interest in the center of the display. As a result, surgeons sometimes do not realize which electro-surgical tool is ready to be energized (activated), or that they are energizing (activating) an electro-surgical tool when they did not intend to. Alternatively, a surgeon may not realize they are energizing (activating) an electro-surgical tool on the wrong side, opposite the desired side.

The enhanced user interface for electro-surgical systems disclosed herein can provide further information to the surgeon so they know which side and tool is ready to provide electro-surgical energy and which side is actually activated (firing) to provide electro-surgical energy. There are three possible ways that may be used alone or in combinations of two or more to provide an enhanced user interface for electro-surgical systems that target the human senses: an enhanced visual display, an enhanced audio output, and/or haptic output or feedback.

Enhanced Graphical User Interface for Electro Surgical Systems

One possible method of further informing a surgeon of the electro-surgical tools is to provide an enhanced visual display through a graphical user interface (GUI) that is displayed to

the surgeon at the surgeon's console. A graphical user interface may provide a persistent visual indication that is easier to notice on the periphery of the screen. The GUI may alternatively provide movement in the peripheral vision of the user which tends to be highly effective at attracting user attention. For example, a moving barber pole pattern that zips up and down at the side of the screen, or a different color or light on the side corresponding to the "hot hand" are examples of such displays. The GUI may provide persistent, and/or a momentary indication of an electro-surgical instrument having the capability of being activated. This indication may be associated with or overlaid upon the image of the instrument itself. The GUI may also provide a momentary visual indication whenever energy is activated. For example the border around the left or right side could flash or change color when energy is activated to give the user a visual change when energy is activated.

In FIG. 4, a graphical user interface may be displayed around or near edges of the display like a border. In a stereoscopic display **312**, a left user interface border **461L** and a right user interface border **461R** may be overlaid (fused) onto the video images of a surgical site as shown displayed on display devices **452L, 452R**.

Referring now to FIGS. 5A-5C, an electro-surgical graphical user interface (GUI) **502** for a surgical system is shown overlaid onto (fused together with) video images **501** of a surgical site in a display **500**. Note that the left robotic surgical tool image **101L** and the right robotic surgical tool image **101R** in FIGS. 5A-5C are exemplary images and may not necessarily be representative of electro-surgical tools nor the specific types of robotic surgical tools indicated by the GUI **502**. The GUI **502** may be fused together and overlaid onto the video images **501** by a graphics generator **322**. The display **500** shown in FIGS. 5A-5C may represent the left display **452L**, the right display **452R**, or what the surgeon sees when viewing the stereoscopic display **312**.

The GUI **502** may be overlaid as a user interface border on the video images **501** including a left colored border **502L** and a right colored border **502R**. The GUI **502** further includes a left tool number icon **504L**, a right tool number icon **504R**, a swap tool number icon **504S**, a left tool type text icon **505L**, a right tool type text icon **505R**, a swap icon **506**, and a swap tool type text icon **509**. The user interface **502** further includes master control icons **510** and an energy ball icon **508**. The user interface **502** may further include a camera orientation icon **507**. The camera orientation icon **507** may be used to indicate the orientation of the video or camera images **501** captured by the endoscopic camera.

In FIG. 5A, the left colored half frame or left colored border **502L** and the right colored half frame or the right colored border **502R** may be used different colors to indicate either an inactive energy tool type or an active energy tool type controlled by the left hand and right hand respectively. For example, the left colored border **502L** may have a color (e.g., a brown color) to indicate an inactive energy tool type controlled by the left hand, foot and/or side of the surgeon's console that does not have the capability to couple energy into tissue. The right colored border **502R** may have a color (e.g., a blue color) to indicate an active energy tool type that is capable of coupling energy into tissue to cut or cauterize and is controlled by the right hand, foot and/or side of the surgeon's console. If the energy control is multiplexed to the opposite side, the right colored border **502R** may change color (e.g., to a brown color) such as illustrated in FIG. 5C to indicate that the right hand tool will not be activated to couple energy into tissue, even though the tool has that capability.

In FIG. 5A, the GUI 502 illustrates that the right-hand instrument is an energy instrument (e.g., a permanent spatula cautery tool) and is ready to fire or apply energy to tissue. The color of the right half-frame 502R on the right-hand side informs the user that when one of the energy pedals is stepped on, the instrument currently controlled by the user's right hand will become energized to apply energy into tissue.

The robotic surgical tool mounted to robotic arms of the patient side cart may both be electrosurgical tools. In which case, it may be desirable to swap energy control of the surgeon's console between tools controlled by the left and right hands. This may be referred to as swapping the handedness (or sidedness) of the energy control of the surgeon's console, even though the same foot and foot pedals are used to control the electrosurgical tool.

The energy ball icon 508 indicates the handedness of the energy control of the surgeon's console. If the handedness of the energy control is swapped, the energy ball icon 508 is accordingly swapped between the right side (near center) and the left side (near center) of the display to indicate which tool may be energy controlled by controls (e.g., the foot pedals) at the surgeon's console.

The background color and symbol overlaid onto the energy ball may be used to indicate either an inactive energy tool type or an active energy tool type controlled by the respective handedness. This is because the handedness of the energy control may be swapped to a tool that is incapable of delivering energy to tissue. In FIG. 5A, the energy ball icon 508 is illustrated with a blue background and a yellow lightning bolt, for example, to indicate an active energy handedness associated with the right tool. In FIG. 5C, an inactive energy ball icon 508" is illustrated with a grey background and a grey strike-through lightning bolt, for example, to indicate an inactive energy handedness associated with the left tool in contrast with the active energy ball icon 508.

The left tool icon number 504L and the right tool icon number 504R may illustrate the number of the tools that are mounted to robotic arms of the patient side care that are capable of being controlled by the surgeon's console. The colors of the left tool icon number 504L and the right tool icon number 504R may be colored similar to the left colored border 502L and the right colored border 502R to further indicate either an inactive energy tool type or an active energy tool type controlled by the left hand and right hand respectively. The swap tool icon number 504S indicates the tool number to which a swap of control may be made by the surgeon's console. The swap tool icon number 504S may be colored to indicate that its associated robotic tool is not presently controlled by the surgeon's console. The swap icon 506 indicates whether or not an alternate tool may be controlled by a master grip of the surgeon's console and that a swap may be made. The position of the swap icon near the right or left side border of the user interface indicates the handedness of the swapped tool. The swap tool text icon 509 in the right side of the GUI indicates the alternate tool that may be controlled with the right master grip of the surgeon's console.

If a tool swap is initiated by a surgeon, the swap tool icon number 504S and the right tool icon 504R may swap positions and colors about the swap icon 506 to indicate the associated robotic tool which is presently controlled and which tool is in not presently controlled (also referred to as being idle) by the right master grip of the surgeon's console. The right tool type text icon 505R and the swap tool text icon 509 may also swap positions and colors to further indicate the active robotic surgical tool which is presently controlled by the surgeon's right hand on the right master grip of the surgeon's console in contrast to the idle robotic surgical tool. Generally, robotic

surgical tools controllable by the right master controller are represented in the right half of the user interface and robotic surgical tools controllable by the left master controller are represented in the left half of the user interface.

The camera orientation icon 507, near a top center of the GUI 502, illustrates the orientation of the endoscopic camera with respect to a reference, the patient side cart. This allows the surgeon to understand the orientation of the video images with respect to the patient side cart of the robotic surgical system. This may help orient the patient's body as well so that the surgeon is better equipped to understand the orientation of organs and tissue therein.

The master control icons 510 illustrate a mapping of the physical controls at the surgeon's console. The master control icons 510 include a pedal map and a master grip map. The master control icons 510 are best illustrated in FIG. 6A-6B and are now described in further detail.

Referring now to FIGS. 6A-6B, a magnified view of the master control icons 510 is illustrated, including pedal icons 600 and master control grip icons 620. The pedal icons 600 include a lower left pedal icon 604L, a lower right pedal icon 604R, a left switch pedal icon 606L, a right switch pedal icon 606R, an upper left pedal icon 608L, and an upper right pedal icon 608R. The pedal icons 600 may further include an upper pedal function icon 611U and a lower pedal function icon 611L. The master control grip icons 620 include a left grip control icon 625L and a right grip control icon 625R.

The pedal icons 600 of the master control icons 510 are displayed in a pedal map (position and orientation) that matches the position and orientation of the respective controllable foot pedals (e.g., see FIG. 7A). The pedal map reminds the surgeon which foot (left or right) and foot position (top, bottom, or side position) controls the controllable foot pedals. In this manner, to increase efficiency, a surgeon may not need not move his head away from the stereoscopic display and view his feet over the controllable foot pedals to control them.

The master control grip icons 620 are displayed in a master grip map, a left master control grip icon 625L representing a status of the left master grip and a right master control grip icon 625R representing a status of the right master grip of the surgeons' console. The master grip map of the master control grip icons 620 may also remind the surgeon where to position his hands to grab the master grips. The status indication provided by the master control grip icons may indicate which master control grip may be clutched to reposition a hand in space or control some other controllable feature at the surgeon's console.

During electrosurgical procedures, the controllable foot pedals (e.g., see FIG. 7A) may have assigned functionality. The pedal icons may indicate the assigned functionality of the controllable foot pedals. As illustrated in FIG. 6A, an upper pedal function icon 611U near the upper right pedal icon 606R indicates Mono Cut (monopolar cutting) associated with the upper right pedal while the lower pedal function icon 611L near the lower right pedal icon 604R indicates Mono Coag (monopolar coagulation) associated with the lower right pedal. The pedal map of pedal icons may further include a pedal outline or halo 612 around one of the pedal icons to indicate the activation of pedal to the user and application of energy to tissue.

The pedal map of pedal icons may further include a left function icon 610L near the left switch pedal icon 606L associated with the functionality of the left horizontal switch pedal and a right function icon 610R near the left switch pedal icon 606L associated with the functionality of the right horizontal switch pedal. In FIG. 6A, a swap tool icon 610L in the

shape of the letter “S” with arrowheads is illustrated near the left switch pedal icon **606L**. An energy swap icon **610R** in the shape of a lighting bolt with arrowheads nearby is illustrated near the right switch pedal icon **606R** to illustrate the energy swapping functionality of the right horizontal switch pedal.

The left grip control icon **625L** and/or the right grip control icon **625R** may inform the user of active control of the respective left and/or right tools at the surgeon’s console. In response to a left master switch or a right master switch, the left and/or right tools may be clutched from control of the robotic arms and surgical tools they control. Either or both icons may indicate inactive tool control—clutch of the master grips at the surgeon’s console from tool control—so that the left master grip and/or the right master grip may be used to control other features of the robotic surgical system without movement of a surgical tool.

As discussed previously, the energy ball icon **508** indicates the handedness of the energy control of the surgeon’s console. In FIG. **6A**, the right-handed energy ball **508** is illustrated to the right of the master control icons **510**. In this case, the electro-surgical tool in the right hand is to be energized by one or more pedals at the surgeon’s console. The magnified view of the pedal map shows which pedal is currently being activated by outlining or haloing the Mono Coag pedal icon **604R** with a colored halo or outline **612** (e.g., orange color) that differs from the color fill (e.g., blue) of the pedal icon. The colored halo or outline **612** activation indicator reinforces the information provided to the user as which pedal is being activated.

The pedal map of pedal icons, including the colored halo or outline **612** indicator, helps to teach users the foot pedal layout without requiring them to remove their head from the 3D stereoscopic display to actually look at the position of their feet over the pedals. Unlike the colored side-bar or activated energy strip bar or border **522**, the pedal map of pedal icons and the colored halo or outline **612** activation indicator is placed more towards the center of the surgeons vision so as to not rely on peripheral vision alone. If the surgeon steps on the Mono Coag energy pedal, the Mono Coag pedal icon **604R** is outlined or haloed by the colored halo or outline **612** activation indicator as best shown in FIG. **6A**. If the surgeon steps on the Mono Cut energy pedal instead, the Mono Cut pedal icon **608R** would be outlined or haloed by the colored halo or outline **612** activation indicator instead of the Mono Coag pedal icon **604R**. In either case, the colored side-bar or activated energy strip border **522** is displayed in an active side such as shown in FIG. **5B** when either energy pedal is selected by the user and energy is ready to be delivered to an instrument. A terracing of the upper and lower foot pedals deters the surgeon from concurrently pressing both upper and lower foot pedals.

To switch the energy activation from a tool in one hand to a tool in the opposite hand, an energy swap pedal (e.g., pedal **706R**—a horizontal switch pedal) is selected by the surgeon’s foot to toggle the control. The energy ball **508** moves from the right side to the left side in the GUI near the pedal map of the pedal icons **600**. FIG. **6B** illustrates an energy ball icon **508** positioned in the GUI to the left of the master control icons.

In FIG. **6B**, the left tool is a bipolar electro-surgical tool such that the lower right foot pedal (e.g., pedal **704R**) is activate as indicated by the lower pedal function **611L**. With a bipolar electro-surgical tool, only one foot pedal may be used to control energy. Thus, the upper pedal function icon **611U** indicates no functionality associated with the upper right foot pedal—an inactive foot pedal. The upper pedal icon **608R**

may further indicate its inactive status by being filled with an inactive color (e.g., a grey color fill) and/or displayed with a strike-through.

The pedal icons themselves may have different colors or overlaid illustrations to further indicate their functionality to the surgeon. The upper pedal icon **608L** may include an illustration of crossed doubled headed arrows (an up and down arrow crossing over a left and right arrow) to indicate that it allows free movement of the master manipulators, temporarily decoupling them from the surgical instruments. The lower left pedal icon **604L** has an illustration of a camera icon to further inform the user that it allows the user to control the motion of the endoscopic camera. The lower right pedal icon **604R** may have a different color fill (e.g., blue colored fill) than the upper right pedal icon **608R** (e.g., yellow colored fill) to illustrate different controls to apply different levels of energy to a mono-polar electro-surgical tool. The lower right pedal icon **604R** may have a different color fill to illustrate a single activate control of a bipolar electro-surgical tool while the upper right pedal icon **608R** may not be present or provide an indication of being inactive.

Referring now to FIG. **5B**, assume the surgeon activated the energy pedal **704R** to begin energizing the electro-surgical tool on the right hand side. The pedal halo **612** surrounds the lower right pedal icon **604R** to illustrate activation by the pedal **704R**. An activated energy strip border **522** with a different color than the right side border **502R** may be overlaid onto the right hand side during electro-surgical tool activation. The activated energy strip border **522** further illustrates energy activation of the right side tool and not the left side tool. The surgeon can readily see the activated energy strip border **522** because it changes the right side border **502R** when the foot pedal is depressed to activate the electro-surgical generating unit (ESU) to couple energy into tissue. If instead the left hand side is to be activated, the activated energy strip border **522** with a different color than the left side border **502L** may be overlaid onto the left hand side during electro-surgical tool activation.

In FIG. **5B**, the pedal halo or outline **612** surrounding the lower right pedal icon **604R** illustrates activating monopolar coagulation energy. A colored bar (the activated energy strip border **522**) on the right side of the display serves as a change in the user’s peripheral vision. This change in the user’s peripheral vision reinforces informing the user of which side energy is being applied, without requiring the user to actively look at the indicator (the colored bar or activated energy strip border **522**). As mentioned previously, instead of the activated energy strip border with a different color, a graphical barber-pole that illustrates movement may be used in the GUI to inform a user. Alternatively, a graphical spinning wheel may be displayed in a side or corner of the GUI. In yet another embodiment, a bright pulsing light may slide or bounce up and down along a side portion of the GUI border. Alternatively, a series of graphical light bars may light up when electro-surgical energy is to be supplied to a tool. Collectively, these graphical display devices may be referred to as an activated energy indicator. While the graphical images of an activated energy indicator have been described as being in the periphery and overlaid onto a portion of the GUI border, they may be located elsewhere. For example, an activated energy indicator may be overlaid on top of and/or automatically positioned near the images of the robotic surgical tools or instruments. The activated energy indicator in this case may track the tools or instruments as they move around the surgical site.

A surgeon may toggle left/right hand side control with an “energy swap” pedal whenever desired, independently of

whether there is an electro-surgical capable tool in either hand. However, the active energy color border (e.g., blue) is displayed in the right and/or left user interface borders **502L-502R** only when all of the necessary conditions for enabling energy activation are met. Whenever the energy swap pedal is pressed, the side (left or right) capable of delivering energy changes. This is represented in the GUI by the little energy ball **508**, **508"** near the lower border (frame-half) portion in either side of the display. The energy ball swaps sides each time the energy swap pedal is pressed.

When the energy ball is swapped to a side that has an electro-surgical capable tool mounted to its robotic arm and the appropriate electro-surgical generator for that type of tool is also detected, the GUI displays an active border (e.g., bright or blue in color) on the same side as the energy ball, an active energy ball **508** with an active background or fill color (e.g., bright or blue in color) and an active symbol such as a yellow colored lightning bolt, and an inactive border (e.g., dark or brown in color) on the opposite side of the energy ball.

In FIG. 5A, by use of the energy swap pedal, the surgeon has assigned energy to the right hand side, denoted by the active energy ball **508** to the right of the foot pedal map of the master control icons **510** next to the right tool text icon **505R** with the exemplary text Permanent Spatula Cautery.

If a user swaps the energy ball when there is an energy-capable instrument on both hands, the active colored half-frame jumps from left to right along with the active energy ball, thus immediately visually notifying a user that a change has taken place, and providing a constant indication as to which side (left or right) will be activated when the energy pedal(s) are pressed. If a user fails to pay attention to the bright blue frame and steps on one of the energy pedals when the "wrong" side is selected, the appearance of the activated energy strip bar **522** (e.g., a bright orange bar) serves as a visual reminder that is very strong in the peripheral vision as to which side is being activated. This visual feedback to the surgeon/user can help mitigate mistakes and reinforce in the user's minds which side energy has been swapped to.

When an energy ball **508** is swapped to a side that does not have an electro-surgical capable tool, or if the system does not detect the necessary electro-surgical generator (ESU) for an electro-surgical tool currently controlled by that side, the GUI display an inactive border color (e.g., dark or brown color) on the opposite side of the energy ball, inactive border color (e.g., dark or brown color) on the same side as the energy ball, and an inactive energy ball **508"** with an inactive background or fill color (e.g., dark or gray color) and an inactive symbol such as a faint outline of a lightning bolt with a diagonal slash through it (e.g., a diagonal strike-through).

Referring now to FIG. 5C, the energy swap pedal **706R** is selected to swap energy control to the left hand side tool. The energy ball icon **508"** is located to the left of the master control icons **510** to indicate the energy foot pedals are used to control a left hand side tool. However, the robotic surgical tool controlled by the left hand side is not capable of providing energy to tissue—it is not an electro-surgical tool. While the energy ball icon **508"** is located on the left hand side of the user interface, it has an inactive color fill (e.g., a gray color fill) and a strike-through lightning bolt to inform the user that energy will not be provided to the tissue in this case, even if an energy pedal is selected. Further, a color (e.g., brown) of the left side border **502L** and the right hand side border **502R'** indicate a lack of energy capability in both the left and right hand sides. That is, in this case energy will not be provided to the tissue through either the left hand or right hand robotic surgical tools.

In FIG. 5C, the surgeon has enabled the left hand side to deliver energy. However, the tool mounted to the robotic arm under control of the left hand side is a Cadiere Forceps which is not an energy-capable tool. Although the energy ball **508"** has been moved to the left hand side, there is now no capacity to deliver energy to tissue. Thus, both the left and right half frames or borders of the GUI are colored as being inactive (e.g. dark or brown color). The GUI would be displayed the same if there were an energy-capable tool in the left hand and an inappropriate electro-surgical energy generating device were connected to the system. The indication to the surgeon that no energy is available is most quickly evident by the lack of an active half frame (e.g., blue or bright color) on the left or right of the screen, and can be seen by looking at the type of energy ball being displayed as well.

A user may decide to swap the tool that is being mechanically controlled by the left or right master grips and associated left and right hands by selecting a tool swap pedal (e.g., pedal **706L** shown in FIG. 7A).

The visual feedback provided by the GUI is somewhat master-centric in the sense that it informs the user as to whether the instrument controlled by his left hand or right hand will fire (energize or become active) when one of the energy pedals is pressed. In the case of three or more instruments, this paradigm doesn't need to change. At any one time, only one instrument is actively assigned to be controlled by the left master grip/left hand and only one instrument is actively assigned to be controlled by the right master grip/right hand. The actively controlled instruments have active tool text icons **505R,505L** displayed in the graphical user interface that are large with bold text. In contrast, idle instruments that are waiting to be controlled by a swap control signal from the user have a swap tool text icon **509**.

The swap tab or swap tool text icon **509** off to the side in the corner of the GUI is smaller in size and color filled to indicate inactive control. (e.g., dark or gray or brown colored tab). The swap tool text icon **509** includes text indicating the type of instrument (e.g., a double fenestrated grasper) that is mounted to another one of the right side arms. The swap tool text icon or swap tab in the right side indicates that the third instrument is associated with the right master. However, the third instrument is currently not the active instrument in the right side as shown in the Figures. The first instrument indicated by the right tool type text tab or icon **505R** is active. If a user activates the tool swap or arm swap pedal **706L**, his right master would cease controlling the Permanent Spatula Cautery instrument, and would start controlling the Double Fenestrated Grasper instrument. This change may be reflected in the GUI by swapping the positions of the two instrument name tabs or icons **505R** and **509** or **505L** and **509**. The tool swap pedal **706L** may generate a tool swap signal to swap the kinematic control by the right master grip between a pair of robotic surgical tools. With a change of context, it may also be used to generate a console swap signal to swap some control over the remote controlled equipment and robotic surgical tools between a pair of surgeon control consoles.

Enhanced Haptic User Interface for Electro Surgical Systems

In addition or alternatively to visual feedback provided by the GUI, tactile feedback may be used to indicate the energy status of an electro-surgical tool to a user. A user may be informed as to whichever electro-surgical instrument is ready to be energized (sometimes referred to as being hot or ready to fire) by one or more types of vibration at points where a user makes contact with the surgeon's console **150,150A**. For example, the haptic feedback output may be coupled to the surgeon's hands, feet, and/or arms.

A vibration representing the haptic or tactile feedback may be achieved by use of a dedicated (e.g. vibrotactile) device or by making further use of one or more pre-existing devices in the surgeon's console, such as the powered axis of the input control wrists of the master controllers. In either case, a haptic/tactile feedback generator **321** in the surgeons console **150,150A**, such as illustrated in FIG. **3A**, may be used to generate and control the haptic feedback. The haptic/tactile feedback generator **321** may generate a left feedback control signal to be coupled to left side vibrating mechanisms/devices and a right feedback control signal to be coupled to right side vibrating mechanisms/devices.

Haptic feedback may be provided to the surgeon's hands. In FIG. **3C**, each master grip control input **325** (left and right side) may include a vibrating feedback mechanism **399** to provide tactile feedback. Alternatively, the roll motor **370a** of the input control wrist or an electro magnet **396** of the master grip control input may be controlled by the haptic feedback generator **321** in the surgeons console to generate a vibration in the left or right master grip controller **325**.

Haptic feedback may be provided to the surgeon's feet. In FIG. **7D**, each of the horizontal pedal assemblies **704L-704R** may further include a vibrating feedback mechanism **726**. In FIG. **7E**, each of the vertical pedal assemblies **706L-706R** may further include a vibrating feedback mechanism **736**.

Haptic feedback may be provided to the surgeon's arms. In FIG. **9**, the arm-rest **314** in the Surgeon's console may include a left vibrating feedback mechanism **936L** and a right vibrating feedback mechanism **736R**.

These vibrating feedback mechanisms, alone or in combination, may be used to provide feedback to the user regarding the left or right side activation of energy to tissue. In the arm rest or at the master controllers, a left side vibrating feedback mechanism may be activated to indicate left side activation of energy supplied to the left handed tool (or that left side is ready to fire) and a right side vibrating feedback mechanism may be activated to indicate right side activation of energy supplied to the right handed tool (or that right side is ready to fire). Alternatively, different vibrating patterns may be used to distinguish between left side activation and right side activation of energy, such as for the haptic feedback provided to a surgeon's foot. The surgeon could sense the difference in the vibrating patterns with his foot. As discussed further herein, several different signal profiles of signals may be coupled to the vibrating feedback mechanisms to generate vibrations for vibrating patterns.

Enhanced Audible User Interface for Electro Surgical Systems

In addition to visual feedback provided by the GUI and/or tactile/haptic feedback provided by a vibrating device, auditory feedback may be used to indicate the energy status of an electrosurgical tool to a user. The audible feedback, alone or in combination with visual and haptic feedback, may be used to provide feedback to the user regarding the left or right side activation of energy to tissue.

The surgeon's console **150,150A** may include one or more audio transducers or speakers. In FIG. **3A**, the surgeon's console **150,150A** includes a left speaker **315L** and a right speaker **315R** coupled to a sound generator **317**. When the user/surgeon is properly positioned, his left ear is near the left speaker and his right ear is near the right speaker.

The sound generator may be a stereo sound generator to independently couple sounds to the left speaker **315L** and the right speaker **315R**. In this case, the left speaker generating a sound may be used to indicate a left side activation of energy to tissue and the right speaker generating a sound may be used to indicate a right side activation of energy to tissue. Alternatively,

tively, the sound generator may be a mono sound generator to couple the same sounds to the left speaker **315L** and the right speaker **315R**. In this case, different audible patterns may be used to distinguish between left side activation and right side activation of energy to tissue, such as for the haptic feedback provided to a surgeon's foot. The surgeon could sense the difference in the audible patterns.

Enhanced Feedback Signaling

The type of feedback provided to a user at the surgeon's console **150, 150A** may have one or more different forms—visual feedback, haptic/tactile feedback, and/or audible feedback. The feedback may be seen by the user's eyes; felt by the user's feet, hands, and/or arms; or heard by the user's ears. The feedback itself may be of a distinguishable pattern and provide further information if different signal patterns/profiles are used.

Referring now to FIG. **12**, different signal profiles of signals that may be used to provide user feedback. In one case, the feedback pattern/provide may be a constant vibration, a constant buzzing sound, or a constant visual flashing display in the GUI that a user can sense. In another case, the feedback may be periodic or metronome-like, such as a periodic vibration felt by the user, a periodic noise generated by left and/or right speakers, or a periodic visual flash generated on the display that a user may see.

To generate a constant feedback due to a vibration, a buzz or a flashing may be generated by a sinusoidal curve **1201A**, a square wave curve for **1201B**, or a triangular waveform **1201C**. Each of these may have a fifty percent (50%) duty cycle to generate the constant feedback. To generate a periodic feedback, such as a periodic vibration, periodic noise, or periodic visual flash, a waveform coupled into the feedback transducer may have a periodic pulse such as the triangular waveform **1202A** or the periodic pulse waveform **1202B** illustrated in FIG. **12**. In this case, the waveforms each have a duty cycle that is less than or greater than fifty percent (50%). The feedback signals may be tuned or adjusted to suit each user's individual preference. That is, the rate/frequency, amplitude, duty cycle, etc., can be tuned or adjusted to suit a user preference in distinguishing between left and right activation, for example.

The constant feedback and the periodic feedback are distinguishable and may be used to indicate different feedback information to the user. The periodic feedback and the constant feedback may be used to distinguish between right activation and left activation of an electrosurgical instrument when equally coupled to one or more speakers **315L,315R** in a mono audible system or one or more energy pedals **704R, 708R** in the right side of the pedal tray.

To further distinguish between left and right, the constant feedback (e.g., waveforms **1201A, 1201B, 1201C**) may be coupled into a left master controller **905L**, a left pedal **704L, 708L**, and/or a left speaker **315L** to indicate left hand side energy activation for a left hand side tool; while the periodic feedback (e.g., waveforms **1202A, 1202B**) may be coupled to the right speaker **315R**, the right pedals **704R, 708R**, and/or the right master controller **905R** to indicate right hand side activation of the right hand side tool. Alternatively, the periodic feedback may be coupled to the left side transducers to indicate left hand side energy activation for a left hand side tool; while the constant feedback may be coupled to the right side feedback transducers to indicate right hand side activation of the right hand side tool. In this manner, the user is further informed of the side of activation by the handedness of the feedback.

For example, a persistent audio indication (e.g., a 1 Hz "metronome" click) may be coupled to the user's left or right

ear by the respective speaker to indicate that the left or right hand side tool will be receiving energy. Alternatively, a momentary audio indication (e.g., clicks, buzzing) may be coupled to the user's left or right ear by the respective speaker when the energy is activated in the left or right tool. In another embodiment of the invention, a mono system, a metronome like tone may be coupled into speakers of the surgeon's console to indicate left hand side tool activation energy while a momentary audio indication may be coupled into the surgeon's console to indicate right hand side tool activation energy.

A persistent haptic indication (e.g., a haptic "metronome" pulse) may be coupled to the left or right hand grip by respective vibrating devices to indicate that the left or right hand side tool will be receiving energy. Alternatively, a persistent haptic indication may be coupled to a foot pedal to differentiate from energy activation of the left hand side from the right hand side. Alternatively, a persistent haptic indication may be coupled to the left portion or the right portion of the arm rest by respective vibrating devices to distinguish between energy activation of the left hand side and energy activation of the right hand side. In another embodiment, a momentary haptic indication (e.g., click, buzz vibration) may be coupled to the master control (left or right) by a respective vibrating device corresponding to the instrument that receives the energy.

A persistent visual indication (e.g., a barber pole) may be overlaid onto a left or right active strip in the user interface by the graphics generator to indicate that the left or right hand side tool will be receiving energy. In another embodiment, a momentary indication (e.g., a periodic flash of a left or right side active strip in the graphical user interface by the graphics generator may be used to indicate that the left or right hand side tool will be receiving energy.

The overall time period that the feedback signals are generated for either side may vary on how it is desired that the feedback be conveyed. For example, the feedback signal may be constantly generated during surgery in the side that has the hot hand to energize an electrosurgical tool. Alternatively, the feedback signal may be generated to provide feedback only while a user depresses an energy pedal to energize an electrosurgical tool. In yet another alternate embodiment of the invention, the feedback signal may be generated for an overall predetermined time period after the user only touches the pedal. In this case, the foot pedals (such as the energy pedals) may include a feather-touch sensing device or an optical sensing device 727 as shown in FIG. 7D to detect when a user's foot is hovering over a particular pedal that may be integrated with their switches. In another embodiment of the invention, feedback may only be provided when a pedal is ready to be activated or be pressed, such as to fire to couple energy into tissue. If no electrosurgical tool is present on the side selected, the pedal is not ready to be activated and thus feedback would not be provided that would indicate it was ready. If an electrosurgical tool is present on the side selected but no generator is coupled to the electrosurgical tool or the wrong energy is coupled to the electrosurgical tool or being controlled by the foot pedal, the pedal is not ready to be activated and thus feedback would not be provided that would indicate it was ready.

Enhanced Energy Activation Control

Methods to prevent or mitigate the effects of incorrect energy activation are now described.

In the case where a user (surgeon, operator O) has forgotten or fails to check the left or right status of energy, it is possible that he or she will fire the incorrect energy device by simply stepping on one of the energy activation pedals. That is, electrosurgical energy may be applied to the tool on the

wrong side if the wrong side is selected for application of energy by the energy pedals. To avoid this, user feedback may be provided before the electrosurgical energy is applied to the tool so that the user may back off from firing the incorrect energy device.

Immediately upon pressing the energy pedal, the user may be given sided feedback (alternatively referred to as handedness feedback) indicating which electrosurgical tool, the left side tool or the right side tool (respectively controlled by the left or right master grip), is going to fire. This sided feedback, as described previously, could be the visual feedback, haptic feedback, audio feedback, or a combination thereof. For example, a buzzing sound and a flashing icon on the left hand or right hand side of display could indicate which handed energy tool, the energy instrument controlled by the left hand or the right hand, is going to fire, before it does fire or as it actually does fire.

If, simultaneously, the energy is actually applied to tissue while the sided feedback is presented, some damage may possibly occur to patient tissue. If the sided feedback is rapidly presented to the user, it can allow a user to quickly cease application of energy and thus mitigate any possible damage. Moreover, a brief delay in the actual application of energy after the sided feedback is presented, may allow the user to detect the feedback and cease the mis-application of energy to tissue before it occurs. An application of energy to tissue by an electrosurgical tool on the wrong side is an exemplary mis-application of energy.

Referring now to FIG. 13A, a flowchart diagram is illustrated for enhanced activation of an electrosurgical device in accordance with one embodiment of the invention. The method begins at block 1300 then goes to block 1302.

At block 1302, a determination is made if the input device is activated to apply electrosurgical energy to a tool. If the input device is not activated, the process loops back around to block 1302 which is then repeated. If the input device is activated, the process goes to block 1304. The input device in this case may be an energy pedal that is pressed upon by a user's foot to close a switch to eventually cause electrosurgical energy to be applied to a tool with a selected handedness. The input device request the application of electrosurgical energy in this case before it is to be applied.

At block 1304, the user is provided feedback of the activation of the input device to request the application of electrosurgical energy. The user feedback may be in the form of that previously described. The user feedback provides not only identification of activeness but the handedness of the activation. Prior to applying energy to tissue through the electrosurgical device, a delay period may be provided to allow time for the user to back off. The process goes to block 1306.

At block 1306, the system waits for a predetermined period of time (delay) before applying electrosurgical energy in order to allow the user to receive the feedback and decide whether or not to cancel the activation of an electrosurgical device. The process goes to block 1308.

At block 1308, a determination is made if the input device has been deactivated. If so the process goes to 1313. If not, the process goes to block 1310. In the case of an electrosurgical tool, a user may release the energy pedal by lifting up and taking his foot off the pedal to allow it to open the switch and deactivate the application of electrosurgical energy. The process then goes to block 1310.

At block 1310, assuming the input device was not deactivated, the electrosurgical device is activated to apply energy to tissue of a patient. The process then goes to block 1312.

At block 1312, a determination is made if the input device has been deactivated. If not, the process loops back around to

block **1312** and continues to sense whether or not the input device has been deactivated. If it is detected that the input device has been deactivated, the user's foot has been lifted off the pedal, the process goes to block **1313**.

At block **1313**, with the input device being deactivated, the electro-surgical device is deactivated so that energy is not further delivered to tissue. The process then loops back around to block **1302** to continue through the loop.

In this manner, each time that an input device is activated to cause electro-surgical energy to be delivered to tissue, a check is made whether or not a mistake has been made by the surgeon in activating the electro-surgical device. However, a surgeon may only need be reminded once and a check made once whether or not it is proper to activate an electro-surgical device. In which case, the repetitive delay period introduced by block **1306** may be unnecessary after a first check has been made.

Referring now to FIG. **13B**, a flowchart of a process for enhanced activation of an electro-surgical tool is illustrated in accordance with another embodiment of the invention. In this process, there is an initial check to determine if it is proper to activate an electro-surgical device. Subsequently, if the electro-surgical tool is repeatedly used within a predetermined period of time, there is no delay in the activation of the electro-surgical device. The process shown in FIG. **13B** can be viewed as having a delayed activation loop with a delayed activation and a subsequent no delay activation loop. The process begins with block **1300** and then goes to block **1302**.

At block **1302**, a determination is made if the input device is activated to apply electro-surgical energy to a tool. If the input device is not activated, the process loops back around to block **1302** which is then repeated. If the input device is activated, the process goes to block **1304**.

At block **1304**, user feedback is generated and provided to the user to indicate the start of the electro-surgical activation process. The process then goes to block **1306**.

At block **1306**, a delay of a predetermined period of time is introduced before activation of the electro-surgical device. This delay allows some time for the user to receive the feedback and make a decision to deactivate the input device to avoid tissue damage if miss-applied. If the feedback agrees with the user selection, the user may not deactivate the input device and waits the predetermined period of time before electro-surgical energy is applied. After the delay, the process goes to block **1308**.

At block **1308**, a determination is made if the input device has been deactivated. If so, the process loops back around to block **1302**. If not, the input device remains activated and the process goes to block **1310**.

At block **1310**, the electro-surgical device is activated such that electro-surgical energy is delivered to tissue at the end of the tool with the selected handedness. The process then goes to block **1312**.

At block **1312**, a determination is made again if the input device is deactivated. If not, the process loops around continuously to detect when the input device is deactivated. If it was detected that the input device has been deactivated, the process continues and goes to block **1313**.

At block **1313**, with the input device being deactivated, the electro-surgical device is deactivated in response thereto. The process then goes to block **1314**.

At block **1314**, a determination is made if the input device has been re-activated. If so, the process goes to block **1318**. If the input device has not been re-activated, the process goes to block **1316**. The input device may be one or more energy pedals that are pressed by a user's foot to request the application of electro-surgical energy to tissue.

At block **1316**, a determination is made if a predetermined period of time has elapsed prior to the reactivation of the input device. The predetermined period of time allows the system to determine if the electro-surgical device is going to be repeatedly used or not. An electro-surgical tool is repeatedly used if the time period is short prior to reactivation of the input device. If the input device is reactivated before the predetermined time period lapses, the process goes to block **1318**. If the predetermined time period has elapsed without the input device being re-activated, the process goes back to block **1302** to repeat the initial delayed activation loop and allow the user to back off from input activation and the application of electro-surgical energy to tissue.

At block **1318**, the user is provided feedback of the repeated activation of the electro-surgical device. The process then loops around back to block **1310** where the electro-surgical device is activated once again. The blocks **1310-1318** are repeated when the electro-surgical device is used repeatedly with short periods of time before reactivation.

Graphical User Interface Formation Methods

Referring now to FIG. **14**, a flow chart is illustrated of a process for generating a graphical user interface and displaying the GUI with video images to provide visual feedback to a user. The process begins at process block **1400** and then goes to process block **1404**.

At process block **1404**, a first color bordered portion in a first side of a user interface is generated in order to indicate an energy activation status of a first electro-surgical tool that is associated with the first side. A second color border portion is generated in the second side of the user interface in order to indicate the energy activation status of a second tool the type of tool associated with the second side. For example, as shown in FIG. **5A**, the right side colored border, **502R**, is colored blue to indicate that the first tool is an electro-surgical tool that may be activated by a surgeon. In contrast, the left color border at **502L** may be colored brown to indicate the lack of an electro-surgical tool (a surgical tool without energy capability) being associated with the left hand and therefore may not be controlled to provide energy to tissue. The process then goes to block **1406**.

At process block **1406**, an energy handedness assignment icon (an energy ball icon **508**) is generated in the first side or second side of the user interface to indicate left or right handedness and an active or inactive energy control of the robotic surgical tool in the side selected by the user. The process then goes to block **1408**.

At block **1408**, an iconic pedal map including pedal icons are generated near a center of the user interface to indicate pedal control functions of the surgical system. The iconic pedal map may include one or more swap or control pedal icons. The iconic pedal map is a subset of master control icons that may be displayed by the graphical user interface. The iconic pedal map may illustrate the control status of the pedals in the robotic surgical system. The pedal icons and their positions in the pedal map may be a scaled representation of the foot pedals that may be controlled by the user's feet. The process then moves to block **1410**.

At block **1410**, an iconic master grip handle map including master grip icons is generated to indicate left and right active or inactive master grip control. As shown in FIG. **5A**, the master grip handle map may be a part of the master control icons **510**. The master grip icons may be scaled representations of the master grip input controls that may be controlled by the user's hands. The process then goes to block **1412**.

At block **1412**, one or more text tabs may be generated to indicate the type of surgical tools that are mounted and currently available to the user for control during surgery. A first

text tab in the first side of the user interface located at the first electro-surgical tool is generated to indicate its tool type. A second text tab in the second side of the user interface associated with a second tool is generated to indicate its tool type. A third text tab in the first side or second side of the user interface may be generated and associated with the third tool to indicate the tool type to which a swap may occur. In FIG. 5A, a left tool type text icon 505L, a right tool text icon 505R, and a swap tool text icon 509 are illustrated. The process then goes to block 1414.

At block 1414, one or more tool icon numbers associated with control of the respective one or more surgical tools is generated. In FIG. 5A, a right tool number icon 504R, a left tool icon 504L, and a swap tool icon number 504S are generated in the user interface. The process then goes to block 1416.

At block 1416, a camera orientation icon is generated in the user interface associated with the orientation of an endoscopic camera that captures the images of the surgical work-site. This provides an indication of the orientation of the camera with respect to the images of the surgical site to provide a frame of reference. The process then goes to process block 1418.

At process block 1418, the user interface is overlaid onto video images in the display device. The user interface is graphically generated and fused together with video images by the graphics generator 322 so that it is overlaid on top of the video images. With the graphical user interfaced fused together with the video images, pixel information of image frames may be sent to the display device for display.

Icons generated for the user interface may change in color (bright or dark color), position (left or right), and information content (active icon/inactive icon). Moreover, the video images may change over time as more frames of images are captured by the endoscopic camera. In which case, one or more of the process steps may repeated as need to continuously generate the graphical user interface overlaid onto the video images. If a surgery is completed, the camera is turned off and the process may then go to block 1499 and end. While a number of the processes are shown and described as being performed serially, one or more of them may be concurrently performed as if being performed in parallel.

Swapping Energy Handedness

Previously, swapping energy handedness or simply energy swapping was described with reference to FIG. 5A, as well as other figures. The method of energy swapping at the surgeon's console is now described with reference to the flow chart of FIG. 15.

Referring now to FIG. 15, a method of swapping energy handedness from one surgical tool in one side to another surgical tool in an opposite side is illustrated by a flowchart. The process begins at process block 1500 then goes to process block 1502.

At process block 1502, a determination is made if an energy swap is requested to swap the handedness of the energy control from left to right or right to left. An energy swap may be requested by the user by pressing on the energy swap pedal 706R. If an energy swap is not requested, the process loops around back to block 1502 until the energy swap is requested by the user by way of the selection of the energy swap pedal. If an energy swap has been requested (energy swap pedal has been pressed) by the user so that energy control is to be passed from one handed side to the other, the process goes to process block 1504.

At process block 1504, energy control handedness is swapped from a first side to a second side in response to the selection of the energy swap pedal. For example, the energy

control handedness may be swapped from the right hand as shown by the user interface illustrated in FIG. 5A to the left hand as shown by the user interface illustrated in FIG. 5C. The process then goes to process block 1506.

At process block 1506, the energy-handedness assignment icon (energy ball 508) is swapped from the first side to the second side of the user interface to indicate the energy handedness swap to a user. For example, FIG. 5A shows the energy ball icon 508 in the right color border 502R. After the energy swap request from the user, the energy ball icon swaps sides. As shown in FIG. 5C, for example, the energy ball icon 508" is in the left side border 502L after the swap. The process then goes to block 1508.

At block 1508, determination is made if the second side has an electro-surgical capable tool installed and if so, is there an appropriate electro-surgical generator under control of the second side input devices. If the second side has both an electro-surgical capable tool installed and an appropriate electro-surgical generator under its control, then the process goes to process block 1510. If not, the process goes to block 1512.

At block 1510, assuming the second side has an electro-surgical capable tool installed and an appropriate electro-surgical generator is controlled by the second side input devices, then an active energy border and an active energy-handedness assignment icon are displayed in the second side of the user interface. An inactive energy border may be displayed in the first side of the user interface as the energy control is now with the opposite side. The right side border 502R shown in FIG. 5A illustrates an active energy border with an active energy ball icon 508. After the swap, the right side border 502R' shown in FIG. 5C illustrates an inactive energy border.

At block 1512, assuming that the second side does not have an electro-surgical capable tool installed or alternatively, there is not an appropriate electro-surgical generator controlled by the second side input devices, then an inactive energy border is displayed in the first and second sides of the user interface. FIG. 5C illustrates an inactive energy border in both the left side border 502L and the right side border 502R'. Also, an inactive energy handedness assignment icon 508" may be generated and displayed in the user interface, such as shown in FIG. 5C.

Upon completion of the energy control swap from one hand to the other and the display of the proper feedback to the user in the user interface 1512, the process may go to block 1599 and end until the user selects to make another energy control swap.

Adaptable Integrated Control of Remote Controlled Equipment

In a number of surgical procedures, surgeons want to be able to use one more pieces of controlled equipment for robotic surgical tools in addition to an electro-surgical generating unit (ESU) for a monopolar or bipolar electro-surgical tool. For example, they may want to use an ultrasound tool or a laser capable tool that requires an ultrasound generator or a laser generator as the controlled equipment. In order to use the extra controlled equipment, an external dedicated activation pedal was placed outside the surgeon console 150,150A. Previously, the surgeon had to move their foot off the pedals 318 to activate the extra controlled equipment to provide a signal to the addition robotic surgical tool. Movement of the foot away from the pedals 318 to control the external dedicated activation pedal is inconvenient and can result in less efficiency during surgery as the surgeon looks away from the monitor down to his foot.

In order to provide increased flexibility for surgeons to use a plurality of ESUs as well as other remote controllable equipment with robotic surgical instruments in a surgical proce-

ture, an adaptable integrated interface is provided between the between ESUs, activation pedals, and the robotic surgical instruments. The adaptable integrated interface is programmable by software so that the remote controlled equipment is controlled by the pedals of the surgeons console in response to the type robotic surgical instruments, controlled equipment supporting the respective instruments, and a user selection of the one or more active tools. A surgeon can control multiple ESUs and other controlled equipment, such as suction pumps, irrigation pumps, and stapling devices, from the surgeons console by an adaptable integrated interface controller and integrated control system.

Referring now to FIG. 16, a block diagram of an integrated robotic surgical control system 1600 is illustrated. At the heart of the integrated control system is an integrated user interface controller 1651. The integrated user interface controller 1651 may be located at the surgeon's console 150 or the control cart 150B shown in FIG. 1B. The integrated user interface controller 1651 includes a plurality of input-output interfaces 1671A-1671N and a signal mapping device (also referred to as mapping logic) 1672 coupled together. The integrated user interface controller 1651 may further include a user input interface 1681 coupled between the mapping device 1672 and the user interface input devices 1601A-1601B to generate control signals in response to selection of the one or more foot pedal switches. The integrated user interface controller 1651 may further include a user output interface 1691 to couple to user interface output devices 1610A-1610B and a processor interface to couple to a processor (not shown, see FIG. 21) to inform a user of the status (e.g., active or hot-ready to fire- or inactive) of the robotic surgical tools by various user interface techniques described herein. The integrated user interface controller 1651 may further include a tool interface 1692 coupled to the mapping logic 1672 to communicate with one or more robotic surgical tools 101A-101N or intelligent robotic surgical tools 101A'-101B'. The tool interface may read tool information from the one or more robotic surgical tools 101A-101N or intelligent robotic surgical tools 101A'-101B'.

The integrated controller 1651 is coupled in communication with user interface input devices 1601A,1601B and user interface output devices 1610A,1610B to respectively receive input commands from the user and provide feedback to the user. The integrated controller 1651 may also be coupled in communication with one or more electrosurgical generating units 102A', 102B' or other remote controllable equipment 1602. The electrosurgical units 102A', 102B' and the other remote controllable equipment 1602 are remotely controlled from the surgeon's console and may be collectively referred to herein as remote controllable equipment 1610. The integrated user interface controller 1651 may be in further communication with one or more robotic electrosurgical tools 101A, 101B and/or other robotic surgical tools 101N coupled to the remote controllable equipment 1610.

The integrated user interface controller 1651 may receive information about the electrosurgical units 102A',102B' and the other remote controllable equipment 1602 to which it is coupled in order to properly control the equipment in its functional support of the robotic surgical tools. To provide information about the remote controllable equipment coupled to the integrated controller 1651, one or more smart cables 1652 may be used.

Smart cables 1652 are specially designed cables that furnish information regarding the electrosurgical units 102A', 102B' and other remote controllable equipment 1602 to the integrated user interface controller 1651. The smart cables 1652 couple between an activation port of the ESU or con-

trolled equipment and an input/output interface 1671A-1671N of the integrated controller 1651. The input/output interfaces 1671A-1671N of the integrated controller have software controlled switches that can adapt to the type of signaling needed to activate an ESU or other controlled equipment. The smart cables have unique identifiers that identify the ESU or other controlled equipment to the integrated controller so that the foot pedal switches of the surgeon's console are properly mapped to perform the correct action, as if they were the standard issue foot pedal provided with the ESU or other controlled equipment. The specially designed cables may also have further information, regarding the ESU or other remote controlled equipment that it identifies, that can also be passed on to the integrated controller.

The smart cable 1652 may include a data cable 1661 and a control cable 1662. The data cable 1661, which may not couple to the controlled equipment 1610, passes information regarding the remote controllable equipment 1610 to the integrated user interface controller 1651. The control cable 1662, coupled between the controlled equipment 1610 and the integrated user interface controller 1651, allows the input/output interface 1671N of the integrated controller to emulate the functionality of the foot pedal switch the controlled equipment 1610 is expecting. The emulation of the foot pedal switch in effect passes control signals from the integrated user interface controller 1651 to the remote controllable equipment 1610 over the control cable 1662 when a foot pedal at the surgeon's console is activated.

Alternatively a bidirectional data cable 1654, such as an RS232 cable, may be coupled between the integrated user interface controller 1651 and the remote controllable equipment 1610 so that information may be readily passed bidirectionally between each. Instead of or in addition to the control cable 1662, control signals may also be passed over the bidirectional data cable 1654 from the integrated user interface controller 1651 to the remote controllable equipment 1610 instead of emulating the functionality of a foot pedal switch.

The integrated user interface controller 1651 may also be in communication with the one or more robotic surgical tools 101A-101N mounted to the robotic arms over electrical couplings 1621A-1621N facilitated by pins 424 of the tools coupled to terminals of the connector 242 of the robotic arms. The integrated user interface controller 1651 receives information about the one or more robotic surgical tools 101A-101N to properly map and control the remote controllable equipment 1610 that supports the functions of the robotic surgical tools. The one or more integrated circuits 426 in a tool stores information about the robotic surgical tool that is provided to the integrated user interface controller 1651.

When a robotic surgical instrument that use a particular energy type is mounted to a robotic arm, it is identified to the integrated user interface controller 1651 and matched with an appropriate piece of remote controllable equipment, such as an ESU. A user interface input 1601A,1601B and a user interface output 1610A,1610B may be provided to a surgeon at the surgeons console 150,150A such that they can select which instruments they want to actively control with their left and right hands through the left and right master grips of the surgeon's console. Some of the controllable features of the equipment and/or tools can be mapped to one or more foot pedal switches.

The integrated user interface controller 1651 includes the plurality of input-output interfaces 1671-1671N and the signal mapping device 1672 coupled together in order to map the one or more foot pedal switches of the one or more user interface inputs 1601A,1601B to the remote controllable

equipment **1610**. In this manner, each piece of the remote controllable equipment **1610** may be selectively controlled by one or more foot pedal switches. Some of the one or more foot pedal switches may be used to selectively control the kinematics of a robotic arm and tool, such as the endoscopic camera and the robotic arm to which it is attached. Another signal mapping device and additional input/output interfaces (not shown in FIG. **16**) may be used to map the left and right master grips to selectively control the kinematics of other robotic arms and the robotic surgical tools coupled thereto. The swap command can selectively alter the mapping of the left and right master grips to selectively control the kinematics of another robotic arm and robotic surgical tool coupled thereto while another is idle.

The mapping can be automatically performed by the integrated controller **1651** or it may be optionally selected by a surgeon using the user interface inputs **1601A,1601B**. In either case, a surgeon can easily operate the remote controllable equipment **1610** and selectively control the supply of energy, fluids, gasses, etc. over the cables/hoses **1626** to the robotic surgical tools **101A-101N** and its application to tissue or the surgical site by using the foot pedals and other provided by the surgeon's console. Multiplexing the functionality of the pedals at the surgeon's console vitiates the need for external independent pedal switches to activate the remote controllable equipment. The user interface output **1610A,1610B** informs the surgeon which robotic surgical instrument **101A-101N** will be supplied with energy, fluids, gasses, etc. over the cables/hoses **1626** and which instrument will apply it within the surgical site.

The integrated controller **1651** may be in communication with more than one surgeon's console to receive the first user interface input **1601A** of a first surgeon's console and a second user interface input **1601B** of a second surgeon's console. Additionally, the integrated user interface controller **1651** may provide the first user interface output **1610A** for the first surgeon's console and a second user interface output **1610B** for the second surgeon's console. The second surgeon's console may be offsite located in a different room, building, city, and/or country from that of the first surgeon console.

The first and second user interface inputs **1601A,1601B** may each include the one or more foot pedals **704L-704R, 706L-706R, 708L-708R**, and the master controllers **925L-925R**, each having the switch **1010**, as described herein. The first and second surgeon's consoles may interchangeably remotely control the remote controlled equipment and the robotic surgical tools. For example, one or more foot pedals (of the first user interface **1601A**) of a first control console may be used to remotely control a first piece of remote controlled equipment to support functions of a first robotic surgical tool while one or more foot pedals **1601B** (of the second user interface **1601B**) of a second control console may be used to remotely control a second piece of remote controlled equipment to support functions of a second robotic surgical tool. Alternatively, the first surgeon control console may control the kinematics of the robotic surgical tools while the second surgeon control console may control the one or more pieces of the remote controlled equipment to support the functions of the robotic surgical tools by controlling the supply of vacuum, gasses, liquids, and energy to the robotic surgical tools. A console swap signal may be generated by a switch (e.g., pedal switch **706L** of the first control console) to map the appropriate foot pedals of either the first or second surgeon console to the remote controlled equipment.

The first and second user interface output **1610A,1610B** may each include a graphical user interface **501** that is displayed on one or more displayed devices **500** to provide

visual feedback, one or more speakers **315** to provide audible feedback, and/or one or more vibrating mechanisms at the master controller **925** or elsewhere on the surgeon's console to provide haptic/tactile feedback. Other devices in the first and second user interface output **1610A,1610B** may be used to provided user feedback.

Referring now to FIG. **17A**, a simplified block diagram of the integrated robotic surgical control system is illustrated to describe a first method of operation regarding the control of electrosurgical tools and electrosurgical units to provide energy to tissue. The integrated controller **1651** is coupled in communication with the robotic surgical tools **101A,101B**; the electrosurgical units **102A-102C**; and a lower right foot pedal **704R** and an upper right foot pedal **708R**. To provide a consistent user interface input to the user, the lower right foot pedal **704R** switches a primary energy source on/off while the upper right pedal **708R** switches a secondary energy source on/off for a mono-polar ESU and a mono-polar tool, regardless of the handedness.

The integrated controller **1651** includes pedal control logic **1700A** that selectively controls how control signals from the foot pedals are selectively mapped into controlling the electrosurgical units. The pedal control logic **1700A**, along with the mapping logic **1672** and I/O interfaces **1617A-1617N**, multiplexes control signals from the pedal switches to control the one or more electrosurgical units to supply energy to the electrosurgical tools **101A-101B**. The pedal control logic **1700A** may include the mapping logic **1672** that maps foot pedal control signals from the foot pedal switches to the appropriate controlled equipment **102A-102C**. The I/O interfaces **1617A-1617N** are represented by a core of switches **1718** in FIG. **17A**.

The electrosurgical units **102A,102C** supply energy to the electrosurgical tools **101A-101B** over energy supply cables **1726A,1726C**.

Information from the smart cables **1622** or other cables is used to identify the electrosurgical units to the pedal control logic **1700A**. The robotic surgical tools **101A-101B**, when mounted to a robotic arm, communicate information regarding their tool type to the pedal control logic **1700A** over the connections **1621A-1621B**. In this manner, the pedal control logic knows the electrosurgical units **102A-102C** and the robotic surgical tools **101A-101B** that are a part of the integrated robotic surgical system **1600**. The engagement of a tool to the robotic arm can trigger a mapping of the foot pedals to remote controlled equipment. In response to the information about the robotic electrosurgical tools **101A-101B**, the electrosurgical units **102A-102C**, and one or more user selections, the pedal control logic **1700A** multiplexes or maps the control signals from the pedals to the appropriate electrosurgical units **102A, 102C** to provide energy to the appropriate robotic surgical tool as desired by the user at the surgeon's control console. That is, the mapping of the foot pedals to the remote controlled equipment is a hot hand mapping and not just a functional mapping. The user selects which of one or more robotic surgical tools mounted to the robotic arms is to be active (selects the handedness) to receive a supply from the remote controlled equipment under control of one or more foot pedals in the surgeon's console. If the remote controlled equipment is inappropriate for the selected active robotic surgical tool, the foot pedals are disabled (they will not fire) so that the remote controlled equipment will not supply the vacuum, gas, liquid, or energy to the robotic surgical tool as a safety measure.

After the mapping is completed correctly, a foot pedal may be pressed to activate a switch to signal to the remote controlled equipment to supply vacuum, gasses, liquids, energy,

mechanical torques, mechanical forces, data signals, control signals, etc. to one or more robotic surgical tools.

In an alternate embodiment of the invention, the pedal control logic need not be coupled to the electrosurgical units **102A-102C**. Instead, a control signal path may provided from the robotic surgical tools to the electrosurgical units or other remote controllable equipment so that the tools control their own supply of electrical energy, gas, liquid, etc. from the ESUs or the other remote controllable equipment.

Referring now to FIG. 17B, a simplified block diagram another embodiment of the integrated robotic surgical control system is illustrated in which pedal control logic **1700B** of the integrated user interface controller **1651'** is coupled between the foot pedal switches **704L, 704R, 708L, 708R** and intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'**. In this case, the integrated user interface controller **1651'** need not be coupled to the remote controllable equipment **1610** (including the ESUs **102A-102B**). Thus, the communication interface between the integrated user interface controller **1651'** and the intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** may be simplified.

The intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** are similar to the robotic surgical tools **101A-101B** but additionally include their own controller and optionally, an adaptable input/output interface **1771** to generate control signals to control the remote controllable equipment **1610** in response to a user's inputs from the user interface input devices **1601A-1601B**. That is, the intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** may act as a control signal relay to relay the commands of the user to the remote controllable equipment. The adaptable I/O interface **1771** may read equipment information from the one or more pieces of remote controlled equipment **102A-102B** (alternatively from a storage device in one or more smart cables) and may adapt signal levels to the control signal levels that the remote controlled equipment desires. That is, the adaptable I/O interface **1771** may emulate the foot pedal switch ordinarily expected by the remote controlled equipment.

The plurality of foot pedals **704L-704R, 708L-708R** are coupled to the pedal control logic **1700B** to receive control signals from the user. The pedal control logic **1700B** communicates and is coupled to each of the robotic surgical tool **101A'-101B'** by means of a data/control connection **1722A-1722B**. A control signal path is provided by a switch control cable **1724A-1724B** coupled between the robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** and the electrosurgical units **102A, 102B**. The cables **1724A-1724B** may be a smart cable including data lines to read data (e.g., equipment information) and control lines or cables to control the remote controlled equipment (e.g., the electrosurgical generating units). Electrical energy generated by the electrosurgical units **102A, 102B** when activated, is supplied from the ESU to the respective robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** over one or more energy supply cables **1726A-1726B**. In the case of a bipolar ESU and bipolar robotic electrosurgical tool, two energy supply cables are coupled between the ESU and the tool. With different types of remote controlled equipment, the cables **1726A-1726B** may be referred to as supply cables to supply a vacuum, gasses, liquids/fluids, or energy (e.g., electrosurgical, laser, ultrasound) to a robotic surgical tool.

In an alternate embodiment of the invention, the robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** may be standard the robotic surgical tools **101A-101B** and the cables **1724A-1724B, 1726A-1726B, 1722A-1722B** may couple to an adaptable I/O interface **1771'** in the robotic arms **153** to control the remote

controlled equipment and the supply to the robotic surgical tools, as shown by the optical cables around the robotic surgical tool **101A'** in FIG. 17B.

The pedal control logic **1700B** includes the mapping logic **1672** to appropriately map foot pedal control signals from the foot pedal switches over the data/control connection **1722A-1722B** to the appropriate robotic surgical tool **101A'-101B'**. Information regarding the robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** is received by the pedal control logic **1700B** over the data/control connections **1722A-1722B**. The data/control coupling **1722A-1722B** passes requests/control signals from the foot pedals to the respective robotic surgical tool **101A'-101B'**. The robotic surgical tool **101A'-101B'** passes the request/control signal to the respective electrosurgical unit **102A-102B** via a respective switch control cable **1724A-1724B**. In this manner, the robotic surgical tools themselves control the supply of electrosurgical energy over the energy cables **1726A-26B**. Note that the switch control cable **1724A-1724B** may be grouped together with the respective energy cable(s) **1726A, 1726B** to provide a single cable coupled between the remote controllable equipment **1610** (ESU) and the robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'**.

Upon mounting the intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** to the robotic arms of the robotic surgical system, the system reads the stored data in the tool to recognize the type of tool and properly communicate with it and the corresponding remote controllable equipment. Additionally, the intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** may have a cable detection device or switch to detect when one of the cables **1724A-1724B** or **1726A-1726B** is plugged into the tool. Upon detection, information regarding the remote controlled equipment, such as the electrosurgical unit may be downloaded. Alternatively, the ESU may send a low energy signal that can be recognized by the instrument when they are coupled together. The connectors of the tool may be polled by software to detect the low energy signal indicating a connection has been made. Information from the electrosurgical units may then be downloaded and read by the intelligent robotic surgical tools.

The intelligent robotic surgical tools or instruments **101A'-101B'** may directly communicate with an electrosurgical generating unit ESU to directly command it to energize and supply electrical energy to the surgical tool. Alternatively, the intelligent robotic surgical tools or instruments **101A'-101B'** may have a relay to control the activation of energy by the electro-surgical units or prevent the supply of energy to a tool in the case of a misconnection in the control cable. In either case, the electrosurgical generating units **102A-102B** may have an integrated control switch or signal translating unit **1728** coupled to the switch control cable **1724A-1724B**. The integrated control switch or signal translating unit **1728** converts signals on the switch control cable into a firing signal to control an electrosurgical unit **102A** or **102B** or other controlled equipment. If no signal translation is needed, the unit **1728** may just be an input receiver to receive a control signal from the intelligent robotic surgical tool.

Referring now to FIG. 18A, a block diagram of the pedal control logic **1700A** shown in FIG. 17A is illustrated. To control the multiplexing of signals from the foot pedal switches to the remote controllable equipment **1610**, the pedal control logic **1700A** receives information regarding the installed tool types from the one or more tools **101**, information regarding the available remote controllable equipment from the equipment itself, and control signals from the user controllable switches that affect how some foot pedal switches may control the remote controllable equipment. These user controllable switches may include a function

mode control switch associated with a foot pedal **706L**, a swap switch associated with the foot pedal **706R**, and/or one or more master switches **1010** associated with the master grips of the master controllers. In this case, the mapping of the foot pedal switches by the pedal control logic is context sensitive.

In particular, the energy swap switch of the swap pedal **706R** (also referred to as a control swap pedal) contextively controls the mapping or multiplexing of the control signals from the foot pedal switches to the remote controllable equipment **1610**. The energy swap switch of the swap pedal **706R** determines which one of two electrosurgical tools mounted to the robotic surgical arms is to have its remote controlled equipment controlled by the energy control foot pedals. The energy swap switch of the right vertical pedal **706R** alters the handedness of the foot pedal control supplying energy to the electro-surgical tools, swapping between the left hand side and the right hand side. The energy swap switch of the right vertical pedal **706R** generates a control swap signal (also referred to as an active tool signal or an energy swap signal for electrosurgical tools and generators) to alter the mapping of the control signals from the foot pedal switches of pedals **704R, 708R** to the available electrosurgical generators for support of the available energy tools that is selected. For example, the control swap signal may swap between active control of a first remote controlled equipment and a second remote controlled equipment in the support (e.g., supply of vacuum, gasses, liquids, energy, mechanical torques, mechanical forces, data signals, control signals, etc.) to a first robotic surgical tool and a second robotic surgical tool, respectively. Alternatively, it may alter the control of the same piece of remote controlled equipment that can support two or more tools concurrently. For example, the control swap signal may swap control of a first remote controlled equipment in the support (e.g., supply of vacuum, gasses, liquids, energy, etc.) to the first robotic surgical tool and the second robotic surgical tool.

A function/mode control switch in a left vertical pedal **706L** (also referred to as an arm or tool swap pedal) may also be used to control the multiplexing of the foot pedal control signals through the pedal control logic to the electrosurgical tools **101**. The function/mode control switch of the left vertical pedal **706L** may generate a tool swap signal to swap the kinematic control of one tool for another. For example, on a right hand side, one tool is active and under control of the master controller at the surgeon's console while another tool is inactive or idle. Electrical energy is typically not supplied to an inactive or idle tool that is not controlled by one of the master controllers. With each tool swap between tools, the controlled features and the remote controlled equipment can change. Thus, with each tool swap signal to change control between swappable tools, the mapping or multiplexing of the control signals from the foot pedal switches to the remote controllable equipment **1610** can change.

If a master switch **1010** is selected (such as to clutch one of the master controllers), the pedal control logic may also disable one or more control signals from the foot pedal switches in the foot pedals **704L, 704R, 706L, 706R, 708L, 708R**. In this case, one or more of the input/output interfaces of the integrated controller may be disabled so that an inadvertent press on a foot pedal does not supply energy to a tool that is clutched or disengaged from a master controller.

The tool types of the one or more robotic surgical tools **101** also influences the mapping or multiplexing of the control signals from the foot pedal switches. Each piece of remote controllable equipment **1610** may require one or more control signals from one or more foot pedals for its features to be

controlled properly. For example, consider an electrosurgical tool that is mated to robotic surgical arm is a bipolar collector surgical tool. In this case, only one foot pedal switch is needed to activate the controlled equipment to supply electrosurgical energy to the bipolar tool. In another example, consider the installed tool type is a monopolar electrosurgical tool. In this case, a pair of foot pedal switches may be used to control the remote controllable equipment to supply primary and secondary electrical energy to the monopolar electrosurgical tool. In either case, the pedal control logic surveys all the remote controllable equipment **1610** coupled to it and all the type of robotic surgical tools **101** mounted to the robotic surgical arms in order to determine how to map control signals from the foot pedals to control the remote controllable equipment. With smart cables, a surgeon can dynamically map the foot pedals to energy instruments without explicit communication with the electrosurgical generating units (ESUs).

Referring now to FIG. **18B**, a block diagram of the pedal control logic **1700B** shown in FIG. **17B** is illustrated. Pedal control logic **1700B** interfaces to the foot pedal switches **704L-704R**, and **708L-708R** to receive control signals that are multiplexed into the one or more electrosurgical tools **101** mounted to the robotic surgical arms, instead of the remote controlled equipment **1610**. Otherwise, the pedal control logic **1700B** is similar in that it may also receive information regarding the installed tool types, the available remote controllable equipment **1610**, an energy/control swap signal from the pedal switch **706R**, an arm/tool swap signal from the pedal switch **706L**, and a function/mode control switch in order to multiplex the control signals towards the appropriate electrosurgical tool. The pedal control logic **1700B** is also context sensitive similar to the pedal control logic **1700A**. In this manner, a surgeon can dynamically map the foot pedals to energy instruments.

The adaptable integrated controller allows a plurality of electrosurgical generating units (ESU) to be coupled to and controlled by a single surgeon console. The adaptable integrated controller vitiates a need for extension pedals outside of the normal working footspace of the surgeon's console to control additional remote controlled equipment of the system. The robotic surgical system can be set up with a proper mapping of the foot pedals to the remote controlled equipment and the robotic surgical tools prior to a surgical procedure to increase efficiency. The graphical user interface described herein may be displayed to the surgeon to communicate the state of the system and how the energy pedals are mapped.

Software, hardware, or a combination thereof may be used to control the active mapping of multiple remote controlled pieces of equipment (ESUs) to one or more instruments and one or more foot pedal switches.

Smart Cable

Referring now to FIG. **19**, a perspective view of a smart cable **1652** is illustrated. The smart cable **1652** includes a first cable portion **1652A** between a first connector **1910** and a data storage device **1902**, and a second cable portion **1652B** between a second connector **1912** and the data storage device **1902**. The second connector **1912** of the smart cable **1652** is configured to interface to a receptacle of one or more of the remote controllable equipment **1610**. The first connector **1910** may be configured to couple to the integrated controller **1651** or to the intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'**. To avoid disconnections, the first and second connectors may be lockable connectors, such as a bayonet or a threaded connector, that couple to bayonet or threaded receptacles, respectively.

The first cable portion **1652A** of the smart cable has both data and switch control lines. The data lines are routed between the data storage device **1902** and the first connector **1910** to read information from the data storage device. As the input/output interfaces **1671A-1671N** of the controllable equipment interface **2110** and adaptable input/output interface **1771** can adapt to the required signaling for each remote controllable equipment, there is no need for signal conversion in the smart cable. The switch control lines may be routed between the first connector **1910** and the second connector **1912** bypassing the data storage device **1902**. In this manner, the second cable portion **1652B** of the smart cable **1652** may not have data lines but switch control lines alone.

The data storage device **1902** may be an integrated circuit chip with a non-volatile memory to store an identifying number or information of a particular piece of remote controllable equipment **1610**. For example, the identification or information (e.g., make, model, remote controls, signal levels, etc.) may indicate the manufacture of the remote controllable equipment, the type of remote controllable equipment, and the manner in which the remote controllable equipment may be controlled by the foot pedal switches of the foot pedals. The information in the data storage device (also referred to as equipment information) provides a description of the remote controllable equipment (e.g., ESU) to which the smart cable is attached so that the user interface may adapt. With the addition of the tool information (e.g., instrument location information, tool type information, etc.) from the tools mounted to the robotic arms, the foot pedals can be mapped to appropriately control the remote controllable equipment when a tool is active.

Alternatively, given remote controllable equipment **1610** with improved communications capability, the smart cable may be replaced by a bidirectional data cable, such as an RS232 cable for example. In this case, data lines may be coupled between the remote controllable equipment **1610** and the integrated controller **1651** so that data can be bi-directionally communicated. The integrated controller **1651** can then poll the remote controllable equipment for information that can be used to set up and remotely control it to supply energy, gas, or liquids to a robotic surgical tool.

Adaptable Input/Output Interface

As described previously, the integrated controller **1651** has a plurality of adaptable input-output (I/O) interfaces **1671A-1671N**. Each of the intelligent robotic surgical tools **101A'-101B'** may include an adaptable input output interface **1771** to couple to remote controllable equipment. The input-output interfaces **1671A-1671N,1771** can adapt to the type of signal requirements needed by the remote controllable equipment **1610** over the control lines of the smart cable. That is, signal levels formed by the closing and opening of the foot pedal switches can be adapted by the adaptable I/O interfaces to signal levels to control the remote controlled equipment. Each of the I/O interface adaptors includes a control switch **2002**. The control switch **2002** may be a transistorized switch or a mechanical relay type switch with a control input terminal to open and close the switch.

FIGS. **20A-20C** illustrate how the switch **2002** may be adapted to different types of control lines. Each input-output interface **1671A-1671N** may include one or more control switches **2002** in order to control one or more features of the remote controllable equipment **1610**. The connections of each switch **2002** may be made under software control to adapt to the type of signaling needed to control the remote controlled equipment **1610**.

In FIG. **20A**, a first remote controllable equipment is coupled to an input-output interface **1671** by a first cable. A

control line **2004A** to the remote controlled equipment is normally pulled up by a pull-up resistor R_{pu} to a pull up voltage V_c by the first remote controllable equipment. The switch **2002** has one pole coupled to the control line **2004A** and another pole coupled to ground. When the switch **2002** is closed by a control signal applied to its control terminal from a mapped foot pedal, its poles are coupled together to pull down the control switch line **2004** to signal to the remote controllable equipment, as if a foot pedal were directly attached to the control line **2004A**.

In FIG. **20B**, a second remote controlled equipment differing from the first is coupled to the input-output interface **1671** by a second cable. In this case, a control line **2004B** is pulled to ground through a pull-down resistor R_{pd} . The switch **2002** has one pole coupled to the control line **2004B** and another pole coupled to a voltage source V_x . In this case when the switch **2002** is closed by a control signal applied to its control terminal from a mapped foot pedal, its poles are coupled together to pull up the control line **2004B** to the voltage supplied by the voltage source V_x .

In FIG. **20C**, a third remote controlled equipment differing from the first and the second is coupled to the input-output interface **1671** by a third cable. A pair of control lines **2004C, 2004D** are provided by the smart cable to control the remote controllable equipment **1610**. The pair of control lines are coupled to the poles of the switch **2002**. The control lines **2004C** and **2004D** may be shorted together by a foot pedal switch to signal the remote controlled equipment. In this case, the control switch **2002** is coupled between the control lines **2004C,2004D** so that when it is closed, it shorts them together. The control terminal of the switch **2002** receives a control signal from a respectively mapped pedal to open and close the switch.

Computer System with Integrated Controller

Referring now to FIG. **21**, a block diagram of a computer system **151,151B** with the function of the integrated user interface controller **1651** is illustrated. The computer system **151B** further includes one or more microprocessors **302**, a scratch pad storage device **304A** (memory), a disc like storage device **304B** for permanent storage of software, a patient side cart (PSC) interface **2152**, an audio generator **317**, a graphics generator (video card) **322**, and a feedback generator **324** coupled together as shown. Each of the elements of the computer **151,151B** may be coupled to a central bus **2100** to communicate with one of the microprocessors **302** or otherwise be directly coupled to a microprocessor via a dedicated bus.

The integrated controller **1651** includes a remote controllable equipment interface **2120**, a surgeon's console interface **2150**, and a cross point switch **2170**.

The remote controllable equipment interface **2120** interfaces the computer to the remote controllable equipment **1610**, such as electrosurgical generating units **102A', 102B'**, by means of smart cables, RS232 cables, or other communication channels.

The surgeon's console interface **2110** interfaces the computer to the surgeon's console **150,150A**. The surgeon's console interface **2110** couples to the user interface inputs **1601A,1601B** and the user interface outputs **1610A,1610B**. The surgeon's console interface **2110** receives control signals from some of the switches of the pedals and couples those into the cross point switch for mapping. The surgeon's console interface **2110** receives control signals from some of the switches of the pedals and couples those onto the bus to be read by the microprocessor for control of the cross-point switch. Other control signals, such as from the master con-

trollers, are coupled onto the bus to be read and processed by the microprocessor and the software programs it executes for controlling the system.

The cross point switch **2170**, coupled between the surgeon's console interface **2110** and the controllable equipment interface **2120**, may be used to map the control signals from the foot pedals of the surgeon's console to the remote controllable equipment. Otherwise, the cross point switch may be implemented in software or a combination of software and hardware. The pedal control logic **1700A**, **1700B** described previously may be circuit logic, software stored on the disc storage device **304B** executed by the microprocessor, or a combination thereof to control the mapping. With software, the microprocessor can control the cross point switch **2170** to perform the appropriate mapping of the foot pedals to the remote controlled equipment in response to information it receives about the system.

The patient side cart (PSC) interface **2152** interfaces to the patient side cart **152** to control the kinematics of the slave robotic surgical arms and the robotic surgical tools. The PSC interface **2152** receives the video images captured by the endoscopic camera and other sensor data or the surgical arms and tools.

The feedback generator **324** is coupled to the audio generator **317** and the graphics generator **322** to generate audible user feedback and/or visual user feedback. The feedback generator **324** may generate feedback control signals for devices at the surgeon's console to provide tactile or vibratory user feedback. The feedback control signals may be coupled into the devices at the surgeon's console through the surgeon's console interface **1602**.

Video signals from the graphics generator **322**, fusing images of the surgical site together with the graphics of the graphical user interface, may be output to the surgeon's console through the surgeon's console interface **2150** for display on a display device.

Audio signals generated by the audio generator **317**, including audio signals generated to provide audible feedback, may be coupled into the speakers of the surgeon's console through the surgeon's console interface **2150**.

CONCLUSION

Some portions of the preceding detailed description have been presented in terms of algorithms and symbolic representations of operations on data bits within a computer memory or storage device. These algorithmic descriptions and representations are the tools used by those skilled in the data processing arts to most effectively convey the substance of their work to others skilled in the art. An algorithm is herein, and generally, conceived to be a self-consistent sequence of operations leading to a desired result. The operations are those requiring physical manipulations of physical quantities. Usually, though not necessarily, these quantities take the form of electrical or magnetic signals capable of being stored, transferred, combined, compared, and otherwise manipulated. It has proven convenient at times, principally for reasons of common usage, to refer to these signals as bits, values, elements, symbols, characters, terms, numbers, or the like.

It should be kept in mind, however, that all of these and similar terms are to be associated with the appropriate physical quantities and are merely convenient labels applied to these quantities. Unless specifically stated otherwise as apparent from the above discussion, it is appreciated that throughout the description, discussions utilizing terms such as "processing" or "computing" or "calculating" or "deter-

mining" or "displaying" or the like, refer to the non-manual, automatic, or automated action and processes of a computer system, or similar electronic computing device, that manipulates and transforms data represented as physical (electronic) quantities within the computer system's registers and memories into other data similarly represented as physical quantities within the computer system memories or registers or other such information storage, transmission or display devices.

The embodiments of the invention also relate to an apparatus or system for performing the operations described herein. This apparatus may be specially constructed for the required purposes, or it may comprise a general-purpose computer selectively activated or reconfigured by a computer program stored in the computer.

One or more elements in embodiments of the invention may be implemented in software to execute on a processor of a computer system. When implemented in software, the elements of the embodiments of the invention are essentially the code segments to perform the necessary tasks. The program or code segments can be stored in a processor readable storage medium or device that may have been downloaded by way of a computer data signal embodied in a carrier wave over a transmission medium or a communication link. The processor readable storage device may include any medium that can store information including an optical medium, semiconductor medium, and magnetic medium. Processor readable storage device examples include an electronic circuit; a semiconductor device, a semiconductor memory device, a read only memory (ROM), a flash memory, an erasable programmable read only memory (EPROM); a floppy diskette, a CD-ROM, an optical disk, a hard disk, or other storage device. The code segments may be downloaded via computer networks such as the Internet, Intranet, etc.

Note that the processes and displays presented may not inherently be related to any particular computer or other apparatus. Various general-purpose systems may be used with programs in accordance with the teachings herein, or it may prove convenient to construct a more specialized apparatus to perform the operations described. The required structure for a variety of these systems will appear as elements in the claims. In addition, the embodiments of the invention are not described with reference to any particular programming language. It will be appreciated that a variety of programming languages may be used to implement the teachings of the invention as described herein.

While certain exemplary embodiments of the invention have been described and shown in the accompanying drawings, it is to be understood that such embodiments are merely illustrative of and not restrictive on the broad invention, and that the embodiments of the invention not be limited to the specific constructions and arrangements shown and described, since various other modifications may occur to those ordinarily skilled in the art. For example, some embodiments of the invention were particularly described with reference to electrosurgical systems and tools but are applicable to other types of remote controlled medical equipment and their respective surgical instruments.

What is claimed is:

1. A user interface controller for a teleoperated surgical system, the user interface controller comprising:
 - mapping logic stored in a non-transitory memory, the mapping logic for being logically coupled to:
 - one or more input devices, the mapping logic receiving signals in response to user input at the or each input device;

53

one or more surgical tools, controllable by the controller, the mapping logic receiving tool information from the one or more surgical tools; and
 one or more remote controlled equipment for operably coupling to and to actuate a function of the one or more surgical tools, the mapping logic receiving equipment information about the one or more remote controlled equipment from the one or more surgical tools; and
 wherein the mapping logic selectively maps input devices to the one or more surgical tools and the one or more remote controlled equipment in response to:
 receiving the equipment information, and
 receiving the tool information.

2. The user interface controller of claim 1, wherein:
 the one or more surgical tools comprise a monopolar electrosurgical tool and a bipolar electrosurgical tool;
 the one or more remote controlled equipment comprise a monopolar electrosurgical generator and a bipolar electrosurgical generator.

3. The user interface controller of claim 1, wherein the one or more surgical tools are configured to be respectively operably coupled to the one or more remote controlled equipment to read equipment information, to control the one or more remote controlled equipment, and to receive a supply of vacuum, gas, liquid, energy, mechanical torque, mechanical force, data signal, or control signal from the one or more remote controlled equipment.

4. The user interface controller of claim 3, wherein the one or more surgical tools are configured to be respectively operably coupled to the one or more remote controlled equipment by:
 a first cable comprising one or more data lines to read equipment information from a storage device and comprising one or more control lines to control the one or more remote controlled equipment, and
 a second cable to receive the supply of vacuum, gas, liquid, energy, mechanical torque, mechanical force, data signal, or control signal from the one or more remote controlled equipment.

5. The user interface controller of claim 3, the one or more surgical tools comprising an input/output (I/O) interface to operably couple to the one or more remote controlled equipment, the input/output interface is configured to read equipment information of the one or more remote controlled equipment and adapt signal levels to control signal levels of the one or more remote controlled equipment.

6. The user interface controller of claim 2, wherein, in response to an active tool control signal generated in response to input at the one or more input devices, the mapping logic selectively maps the one or more input devices to control one of the monopolar electrosurgical generator to actuate the monopolar electrosurgical tool or the bipolar electrosurgical generator to actuate the bipolar electrosurgical tool.

7. The user interface controller of claim 6, wherein:
 the active tool control signal is an energy swap signal produced in response to user input at the one or more input devices; and
 in response to the energy swap signal, the mapping logic selectively maps the one or more input devices to swap the one or more input devices between controlling the monopolar electrosurgical generator to actuate a function of the monopolar electrosurgical tool and controlling the bipolar electrosurgical generator to actuate a function of the bipolar electrosurgical tool.

54

8. The user interface controller of claim 1, wherein the one or more input devices comprise one or more foot pedal switches.

9. The user interface controller of claim 1, wherein the one or more surgical tools communicate with the one or more remote controlled equipment to command the one or more remote controlled equipment to supply to the one or more tools at least one of a vacuum, gas, liquid, energy, mechanical torque, mechanical force, data signal, and control signal.

10. The user interface controller of claim 1, wherein the mapping logic further selectively maps the one or more input devices to the one or more surgical tools and the one or more remote controlled equipment in response to user input at the one or more input devices to swap control of a respective input device over a respective remote controlled equipment from one surgical tool to another surgical tool.

11. The user interface controller of claim 1, wherein the one or more remote controlled equipment are operable to actuate a function of the one or more surgical tools on a basis of input at the one or more input devices.

12. A surgical system, comprising:
 one or more input devices;
 mapping logic stored in non-transitory memory for being logically coupled to the one or more input devices and to receive control signals in response to actuation of the one or more input devices by a user;
 one or more remote controlled equipment;
 an input/output interface for being operably coupled to the one or more remote controlled equipment and for being operably coupled to the mapping logic, wherein the input/output interface receives equipment information about the one or more remote controlled equipment and issues signals to control the one or more remote controlled equipment; and
 one or more surgical tools for being operably coupled to the input/output interface;
 wherein the mapping logic, in response to receiving the equipment information via the input/output interface, automatically maps the one or more remote controlled equipment to be operably coupled to the one or more surgical tools and to the one or more input devices.

13. The surgical system of claim 12, wherein the one or more surgical tools comprise the input/output interface.

14. The surgical system of claim 12, further comprising a user interface controller for being operably coupled to the one or more input devices, to the one or more remote controlled equipment, and to the one or more surgical tools, wherein the user interface controller comprises the input/output interface.

15. The surgical system of claim 12, wherein the one or more surgical tools comprise a controller to generate control signals to control the one or more remote controlled equipment in response to user input at the one or more input devices.

16. The surgical system of claim 15, wherein the one or more surgical tools communicate with the one or more remote controlled equipment to command the one or more remote controlled equipment to supply to the one or more tools at least one of a vacuum, gas, liquid, energy, mechanical torque, mechanical force, data signal, and control signal.

17. The surgical system of claim 12, wherein:
 the mapping logic automatically maps each of the one or more input devices to one of the one or more remote controlled equipment and to one of the one or more surgical tools; and
 the mapping logic performs automatic mapping in response to receiving equipment information from the

55

one or more remote controlled equipment and tool information from the one or more surgical tools.

18. The surgical system of claim **17**, further comprising a user input control logic stored in the non-transitory memory to detect the one or more remote controlled equipment operably coupled to the one or more input devices and to detect the one or more surgical tools operably coupled to the input devices to, wherein the user input control logic maps signals from the one or more input devices to control the one or more controlled equipment on the basis of the equipment information.

19. The surgical system of claim **12**, wherein each of the one or more surgical tools are respectively coupled to a respective one of the one or more remote controlled equipment by:

a first cable to read the equipment information from a storage device of the one or more remote controlled equipment over one or more data lines in the first cable, and to control the one or more remote controlled equipment over one or more control lines in the first cable, and a second cable to receive at least one of a vacuum, gas, liquid, energy, mechanical torque, mechanical force, data signal, and control signal from the one or more remote controlled equipment.

20. A surgical system, comprising:
one or more input devices;
one or more remote controlled equipment; and
one or more surgical tools configured to be operably coupled to the one or more remote controlled equipment;
wherein the one or more surgical tools comprise a controller to generate control signals to control the one or more remote controlled equipment in response to user input from the one or more input devices.

21. The surgical system of claim **20**, further comprising mapping logic stored in non-transitory memory, the mapping logic being operably coupled to the one or more input devices and receiving control signals in response to actuation of the one or more input devices, wherein:

the one or more surgical tools operably couple to the one or more remote controlled equipment via an input/output interface of the one or more surgical tools and read equipment information of the one or more remote controlled equipment via the input/output interface; and

56

the mapping logic automatically maps the one or more remote controlled equipment to the one or more surgical tools and to the one or more input devices in response to receiving the equipment information.

22. A user interface controller for a teleoperated surgical system, the user interface controller comprising:

mapping logic stored in non-transitory memory, the mapping logic being logically coupled to:

receive one or more signals from one or more input devices in response to user input at the one or more input devices,

receive tool information from one or more surgical tools controllable by the user interface controller,

receive equipment information about one or more remote controlled equipment,

map the one or more surgical tools and the one or more remote controlled equipment to the one or more user input devices in response to the received tool information and the received equipment information, and

actuate one or more functions of the mapped one or more surgical tools in response to the one or more signals received from the one or more input devices, the one or more functions being associated with the mapped one or more remote controlled equipment.

23. The user interface controller of claim **22**, wherein each of the one or more surgical tools are respectively coupled to a respective one of the one or more remote controlled equipment by:

a first cable to read the equipment information from a storage device of the one or more remote controlled equipment over one or more data lines in the first cable, and to control the one or more remote controlled equipment over one or more control lines in the first cable, and a second cable to receive at least one of a vacuum, gas, liquid, energy, mechanical torque, mechanical force, data signal, and control signal from the one or more remote controlled equipment.

24. The user interface controller of claim **22**, wherein the one or more surgical tools communicate with the one or more remote controlled equipment to command the one or more remote controlled equipment to supply to the one or more tools at least one of a vacuum, gas, liquid, energy, mechanical torque, mechanical force, data signal, and control signal.

* * * * *