

(12) United States Patent Ritz et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 8,745,441 B2 (45) Date of Patent: Jun. 3, 2014

(54) **PROCESSOR REPLACEMENT**

- (75) Inventors: Andrew J. Ritz, Sammamish, WA (US);
 Ellsworth D. Walker, Seattle, WA (US);
 Yimin Deng, Sammamish, WA (US);
 Christopher Ahna, Puyallup, WA (US)
- (73) Assignee: Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA (US)

5,689,701 A	11/1997	Ault et al.
5,815,731 A	9/1998	Doyle et al.
5,913,058 A *	6/1999	Bonola 713/2
6,199,179 B1	3/2001	Kauffman et al.
6,226,734 B1	5/2001	Kleinsorge
6,247,109 B1	6/2001	Kleinsorge
6,421,679 B1	7/2002	Chang et al.
6,502,162 B2	12/2002	Blumenau
6,546,415 B1	4/2003	Park et al.
6,574,748 B1	6/2003	Andress et al.

(Continued)

- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 181 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: **13/044,391**
- (22) Filed: Mar. 9, 2011

(65) Prior Publication Data
 US 2011/0161729 A1 Jun. 30, 2011

Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation of application No. 11/675,290, filed on Feb. 15, 2007, now Pat. No. 7,934,121.
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/866,821, filed on Nov.
 21, 2006, provisional application No. 60/866,817,
 filed on Nov. 21, 2006, provisional application No. 60/866,815, filed on Nov. 21, 2006.

(51) Int. Cl. *G06F 11/00* (2006.01)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN1015424339/2009CN10155379110/2009(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

"Intel Hyper-Threading Technology." Intel Corporation. Jan. 2003.*

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Philip Guyton
(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — John Jardine; Andrew Sanders; Micky Minhas

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Techniques for transparently replacing a processor, that receives interrupts in a partitioned computing device, with a replacement processor, are disclosed. In at least some embodiments, methods are discussed for directing the interrupts to an unchangeable identifier mapped to the processor's identifier and replacing the processor with the replacement processor. An intermediary, such as an I/O APIC, is used for storing the unchangeable identifier. The mapping may use logical mode delivery, physical mode delivery, or interrupt mapping.



20 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets



Page 2

(56)	References Cited	"Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Jan. 27, 2010),9
		pages.
U.S.	PATENT DOCUMENTS	"Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Oct. 4, 2010),11
6 500 154 D1		pages.
6,598,174 B1	7/2003 Parks et al.	"International Search Report", PCT/2007/085184, (Mar. 28, 2008),2
6,640,278 B1 6,684,230 B1	10/2003 Nolan 1/2004 Momoh et al.	pages.
6,792,564 B2	9/2004 Ahrens, Jr. et al.	"International Search Report", PCT/US2007/085216, (Apr. 21,
6,895,586 B1	5/2005 Brasher et al.	2008),2 pages.
6,907,474 B2	6/2005 Oshins et al.	"International Search Report", PCT/US2007/085216, (Apr. 22,
6,986,014 B2	1/2006 Qureshi et al.	2008),2 pages.
7,007,192 B2*		"Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (May 24,
7,039,827 B2	5/2006 Meyer et al. 5/2006 Helerer et al.	2010),10 pages.
7,051,243 B2 7,065,761 B2	5/2006 Helgren et al. 6/2006 Foster	"Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Jun. 24,
7,114,064 B2	9/2006 Ramesh	2009),9 pages.
7,171,590 B2	1/2007 Kadoi	"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Jan. 19, 2011),7
7,275,180 B2	9/2007 Armstrong et al.	pages.
7,343,515 B1	3/2008 Gilbertson et al.	"Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority", PCT/
7,363,394 B2	4/2008 Shen et al.	US2007/085180, (Apr. 21, 2008),3 pages.
7,404,105 B2*		"Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority", PCT/
7,426,657 B2 7,480,911 B2*	9/2008 Zorek et al. 1/2009 Lee et al	US2007/085216, (Apr. 22, 2008),4 pages.
7,493,515 B2	2/2009 Armstrong et al. 107104	"Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority", PCT/
7,509,375 B2	3/2009 Christian	US2007/085184, (Mar. 28, 2008),3 pages.
7,543,182 B2	6/2009 Branda et al.	Fletcher, M et al., "Realtime Reconfiguration Using an IEC 61499
7,562,262 B2	7/2009 Kondajeri	Operating System", Proceedings of the 15th International Parallel &
7,877,358 B2	1/2011 Ritz et al.	Distributed Processing Symposium, San Francisco, Calif, Apr. 23-27,
7,934,121 B2	4/2011 Ritz	2001., (Apr. 2001),7 pages.
8,086,906 B2 8,473,460 B2	12/2011 Ritz 6/2013 Ritz et al.	Milojicic, D et al., "Global Memory Management for a Multi Com-
8,543,871 B2	9/2013 Ritz et al.	puter System", Proceedings of the 4th USENIX Windows Symposium,
2002/0016892 A1	2/2002 Zalewski et al.	Seattle, Wash., Aug. 3-4, 2000., (Aug. 2000),12 pages.
2002/0053009 A1	5/2002 Selkirk et al.	Moore, R.W. et al., "Knowledge-Based Grids", <i>Proceedings of the</i>
2002/0144193 A1	10/2002 Hicks	18th IEEE Symposium on Mass Storage Systems, Apr. 17-20, 2001, San Diego, Calif., 2000, pp. 29-39.
2002/0170039 A1	11/2002 Kovacevic et al.	"Foreign Office Action", Chinese Application No. 200780042960.2,
2003/0037278 A1 2003/0163744 A1	2/2003 Olarig 8/2003 Yamazaki	(Mar. 22, 2012), 6 pages.
2003/0212884 A1	11/2003 Lee et al.	"European Search Report", Application No. 07864653.6, (Nov. 17,
2004/0107383 A1	6/2004 Bouchier et al.	2011), 7 pages.
2004/0193950 A1	9/2004 Gagne et al.	"Foreign Office Action", Chinese Application No. 200780042960.2,
2004/0221193 A1	11/2004 Armstrong et al.	(Nov. 30, 2011), 6 pages.
2005/0283641 A1	12/2005 Clark et al.	"Chinese First Office Action", Application No. 200780042949.6,
2006/0010344 A1*		(Aug. 4, 2010),8 Pages.
2006/0095624 A1 2007/0061634 A1	5/2006 Raj et al. 3/2007 Marisetty	"Chinese First Office Action", Application No. 200780042958.5,
2007/0067673 A1	3/2007 Avizienis	(Sep. 13, 2010),3 Pages.
2008/0010527 A1*		"Chinese First Office Action", Application No. 200780042960.2,
2008/0028413 A1	1/2008 Conklin et al.	(Nov. 4, 2010),10 Pages.
2008/0120486 A1	5/2008 Ritz et al.	"Chinese Notice of Grant", Application No. 2000780042960.2, (Jul.
2008/0120515 A1	5/2008 Ritz et al.	26, 2012),4 pages.
2008/0120518 A1 2008/0201603 A1	$\frac{5}{2008}$ Ritz et al. 8/2008 Ritz et al.	"Chinese Notice of Grant", Application No. 200780042958.5, (Nov.
2008/0201603 A1 2010/0262590 A1	8/2008 Ritz et al. 10/2010 Holt	6, 2012),4 pages. "Chinaga Office Action" Application No. CN200780042058 5
2010/0202390 A1 2012/0054538 A1	3/2010 Ritz	"Chinese Office Action", Application No. CN200780042958.5, Decision of Rejection, (Jun. 24, 2011), 5 Pages.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

JP	2010510592	4/2010
JP	2010510607	4/2010
KR	20090081404	7/2009
KR	20090081405	7/2009
KR	20090082242	7/2009
MX	2009004896	6/2009
WO	WO-2008064198	5/2008
WO	WO-2008064213	5/2008
WO	WO-2008073683	6/2008

"Chinese Second Office Action", Application No. 200780042958.5, (Apr. 17, 2012), 3 pages.

"EP Communication", EP Application No. 0786453.6, (Dec. 6, 2011),1 page.

"European Search Report", Application No. 0786453.6, (Nov. 17, 2011), 7 Pages.

"Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,243, (Feb. 19, 2010),12 pages.

"Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,243, (Jun. 1, 2012),11

pages.

"Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, (Oct. 5, 2010),10

WU 0/2008 WU-2000075005

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Nguyen et al. "Detecting Multi-Core Processor Topology in an IA-32 Platform." Intel Corporation. 2006.*

Shanley et al. "The Unabridged Pentium 4 IA32 Processor Genealogy." Addison Wesley. Jul. 26, 2004. retrieved from Safari Tech Books Online.*

"Advisory Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Dec. 7, 2010),3 pages.

pages. "Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, (Aug. 4, 2011), 4 pages. "Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,272, (Mar. 18, 2009), 9 Pages. "Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 13/289,776, (Dec. 6, 2012), 6 pages. "International Search Report", Application No. PCT/US2007/ 085180, (Apr. 21, 2008), 2 pages. "Issue Notification", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,272, (Jan. 5, 2011),1 Page.

Page 3

"Reply to Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,272, (Jun. 15, (56)**References** Cited 2010), 10 pages. OTHER PUBLICATIONS "Reply to Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Apr. 27, 2010), 15 pages. "Issue Notification", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Apr. 6, 2011),1 "Reply to Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Nov. 24, Page. 2010), 15 pages. "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, (Mar. 29, "Reply to First Chinese Office Action", Application No. 2010), 9 pages. 200780042958.5, (Nov. 18, 2010), 13 Pages. "Non Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 13/044,391, (Nov. 6, "Reply to First Chinese Office Action", Application No. 2012),11 Pages. 200780042960.2, (Dec. 10, 2010), 7 Pages. "Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,243, (Aug. 7, "Reply to Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, 2009),12 Pages. (Jun. 29, 2010), 14 pages. "Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,243, (Dec. 8, "Reply to Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,272, 2011),15 Pages.

"Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, (Mar. 4, 2011),10 pages.

"Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,272, (Aug. 7, 2009),10 Pages.

"Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 13/289,776, (Aug. 23, 2012),11 pages.

"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,243, (Feb. 26, 2013), 8 Pages.

"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, (Aug. 24, 2011), 8 pages.

"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,272, (Sep. 21, 2010),19 Pages.

"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 13/289,776, (May 13, 2013), 6 pages.

"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 13/289,776, (Feb. 22, 2013), 7 pages.

"Notice of Allowance", Application No. 70080042960.2, (Jul. 25, 2012), 6 Pages.

"Preliminary Report on Patentability", Application No. PCT/ US2007/85184, (May 26, 2009), 6 pages.

"Preliminary Report on Patentability", Application No. PCT/ US2007/85216, (May 26, 2009), 8 pages.

"Reply to Chinese Office Action", Application No. 200780042958.5, Request for Re-Examination along with Amended Claims in the response,(Sep. 23, 2011),14 pages. "Reply to EP Communication", Application No. EP0786465.6, (Jun. 15, 2012), 14 pages. "Reply to Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, (Aug. 11, 2011), 13 pages.

(Nov. 3, 2009), 17 pages.

"Reply to Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,290, (Aug. 20, 2010), 16 pages.

"Reply to Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 12/675,290, (Oct. 26, 2009), 16 pages.

"Reply to Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 13/289,776, (Nov. 27, 2012), 20 pages.

"Reply to Second Chinese Office Action", Application No. 200780042958.5, (Jun. 15, 2012), 12 Pages.

"Reply to Second Chinese Office Action", Application No. 200780042960.2, (Dec. 16, 2011), 2 pages.

"Reply to Third Chinese Office Action", Application No. 200780042960.2, (May 21, 2012), 8 Pages.

"Response to Non-Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,261, (May 15, 2011), 20 pages.

"Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 11/657,243, (Feb. 19, 2010), 12 pages.

"Final Office Action", U.S. Appl. No. 13/044,391, (Apr. 11, 2013), 17

pages.

"International Search Report", PCT Application 2007/085180, (Apr. 21, 2008), 2 pages.

"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 11/675,243, (Sep. 27, 2012), 8 pages.

"Notice of Allowance", U.S. Appl. No. 13/289,776, (May 13, 2013), 5 pages.

"Notice of Allowance", Application No. CN200780042958.5, (Nov. 6, 2012), 4 pages.

* cited by examiner

U.S. Patent Jun. 3, 2014 Sheet 1 of 6 US 8,745,441 B2





U.S. Patent US 8,745,441 B2 Jun. 3, 2014 Sheet 2 of 6







U.S. Patent Jun. 3, 2014 Sheet 3 of 6 US 8,745,441 B2



Fig.4A.





Fig.4B.

U.S. Patent Jun. 3, 2014 Sheet 4 of 6 US 8,745,441 B2

500) 506



*Fig.*5.

U.S. Patent Jun. 3, 2014 Sheet 5 of 6 US 8,745,441 B2







U.S. Patent Jun. 3, 2014 Sheet 6 of 6 US 8,745,441 B2





1

PROCESSOR REPLACEMENT

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of and claims priority to 5 U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/675,290, entitled "Transparent Replacement of a System Processor," filed on Feb. 15, 2007, which in turn claims priority to U.S. Pat. No. 7,877,358, entitled "Replacing System Hardware," filed on Feb. 15, 2007; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/675,243, 10 entitled "Driver Model for Replacing Core System Hardware," filed on Feb. 15, 2007; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 11/675,261, entitled "Correlating Hardware Devices" Between Local Operating System and Global Management Entity," filed on Feb. 15, 2007; and U.S. Provisional Pat. App. 15 No. 60/866,821, entitled "Transparent Replacement of a System CPU," filed on Nov. 21, 2006; and U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 60/866,817, entitled "Driver Model for Replacing" Core System Hardware," filed Nov. 21, 2006; and U.S. Provisional Pat. App. No. 60/866,815, entitled "Replacing Sys-²⁰ tem Hardware," filed Nov. 21, 2006, the disclosures of which are hereby incorporated in their entirety by reference herein.

2

ing servers has the effect of putting all of one's eggs into one basket. This puts a greater burden of reliability on the one physical device. If a server is implemented on many separate computing devices and a computing device fails, usually there are other computing devices that are able to take over for the failed computing device. The process of having one computing device take over for a failing computing device is referred to as "failover."

SUMMARY

This summary is provided to introduce a selection of concepts in a simplified form that are further described below in the Detailed Description. This summary is not intended to identify key features of the claimed subject matter, nor is it intended to be used as an aid in determining the scope of the claimed subject matter. Techniques for transparently replacing an interrupt controlled processor with a replacement processor are disclosed. Rather than directing interrupts directly to processors, interrupts are directed to an identifier mapped to a processor's identifier. Before the interrupts are directed to the replacement processor, the replacement processor's identifier is mapped to the identifier. The interrupts are directed to the ²⁵ identifier. The mapping of an identifier to processor's identifiers rather than directly to processors allows processors to be transparently replaced. In at least some embodiments, the identifier can be unchangeable. A processor is replaced with a replacement processor by temporarily restricting the interrupts that are directed to the processor to be replaced; activating the replacement processor; mapping the replacement processor's identifier to the identifier; isolating the processor to be replaced; and using the mapping of the identifier to the replacement processor's identifier to direct subsequent interrupts to the replacement processor. In at least some embodiments, an intermediary, such as an I/O APIC, stores the identifier.

BACKGROUND

A microprocessor is an electronic device capable of performing the processing and control functions for computing devices such as desktop computers, laptop computers, server computers, cell phones, laser printers, and so on. Typically, a microprocessor comprises a small plastic or ceramic package 30 that contains and protects a small piece of semiconductor material that includes a complex integrated circuit. Leads connected to the integrated circuit are attached to pins that protrude from the package allowing the integrated circuit to be connected to other electronic devices and circuits. Micro- 35 processors are usually plugged into or otherwise attached to a circuit board containing other electronic devices. While a microprocessor integrated circuit typically includes only one computing unit, i.e., one processor, it is possible to include multiple processors in a microprocessor 40 integrated circuit. The multiple processors, which are often referred to as "cores," are included in the same piece of semiconductor material and connected to the microprocessor package pins. Having multiple cores increases the computing power of the microprocessor. For example, a microprocessor 45 with four cores can provide almost the same amount of computing power as four single-core microprocessors. Harnessing the increased computing power that multiple-core microprocessors provide allows computing functions that previously required multiple computing devices to be per- 50 formed with fewer computing devices. For example, a server implemented across 32 traditional computing devices, i.e., a 32-way server, may be implemented by eight microprocessors, each having four cores. Taking the concept one step further, if each individual core is 55 eight times more powerful than one of the 32 computing devices, a 32-way server may be implemented by one microprocessor with four cores. Reducing the number of microprocessors reduces the cost of the server, the amount of energy required to power the server, and the amount of maintenance 60 the server requires. The advantages of using multiple-core microprocessors are driving a trend toward "server consolidation." Server consolidation is the process of taking multiple servers, possibly each providing a different service, and providing all of the 65 services on one physical device, e.g., a four-core processor. While reducing costs, energy, and maintenance, consolidat-

The mapping of the identifier to the replacement processor's identifier may be used for logical mode delivery, physical mode delivery, or interrupt mapping.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The same numbers are used throughout the drawings to reference like features.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an example computing device capable of supporting partition unit replacement in accordance with one or more embodiments;

FIG. **2** is a block diagram of an example partition containing a plurality of partition units, one of which is unassociated, in accordance with one or more embodiments;

FIG. **3** is a block diagram of the example partition illustrated in FIG. **2** reconfigured to include the previously unassociated partition unit in accordance with one or more embodiments;

FIG. 4A is a block diagram of an example partition unit containing a processor, memory controller, and memory blocks in accordance with one or more embodiments;
FIG. 4B is a block diagram of an example partition unit containing a processor in accordance with one or more embodiments;

FIG. **5** is a block diagram of a plurality of devices connected to an example I/O APIC in accordance with one or more embodiments;

FIG. **6** is an functional flow diagram of an example process for replacing a processor in accordance with one or more embodiments; and

3

FIG. 7 is a block diagram of an example device interacting with an example intermediary, an example failing processor, and an example replacement processor, in accordance with one or more embodiments.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Functionally, a server is an entity on a network that provides a service. For example, a web page server provides a service that returns web pages in response to web page requests. Other example servers are an email server that returns email messages for particular users, a video server that returns video clips from a video archive, etc. Physically, a server is a stand-alone, self-contained computing device that is often connected to other servers through networking or 15 a similar protocol. Traditionally, there is a one-to-one mapping of a functional server to a physical server. For example, an email server is implemented on one traditional physical server. If a traditional physical email server fails, the email service can be restored by replacing the failed physical email 20 server with another physical server. An example physical server contains a microprocessor, a memory controller, and memory blocks controlled by the memory controller. The memory controller and the memory blocks controlled by the memory controller are often referred 25 to as a unit, i.e., a memory unit. Physical servers may also contain additional microprocessors, memory controllers, memory blocks, and other electronic devices such as interrupt processors. Hence, physical servers containing only a microprocessor and/or memory unit should be construed as 30 examples and not limiting. As with many types of computing devices, the operation of a physical server is controlled by a software program called an operating system. A physical server executes the instructions contained in a copy of the operating system, i.e., an instance of the operating system. Multiple-core microprocessors make it possible to implement more than one functional server on a physical server by partitioning the resources available on the physical server into individually manageable "partitions" comprising "partition units." A partition unit comprises an electrically isolatable 40 microprocessor, a memory unit, and/or perhaps other electronic devices, e.g., an interrupt processor. A partition comprises one or more partition units. Hence, a partition is an electrically isolatable set of partition units and electronic devices within a physical server that can run an independent 45 instance of an operating system, i.e., a local operating system, to implement a functional server. Hereinafter, except where noted, the term "server" refers to a physical server. In at least some embodiments, partitioning is dynamic. That is, partitioning is performed on active computing 50 devices, i.e., computing devices that are energized and performing useful functions. Also In at least some embodiments, partitioning is transparent. That is, partition units are assigned to, or removed from, partitions with little or no impact on the services the server provides. To support dynamic, transparent 55 partitioning, partition units are managed as whole units and not subdivided. For example, a partition unit is moved into a partition as a unit. Therefore, when a partition unit is replaced, all of the devices in the partition unit are replaced. A server that is capable of being partitioned is a partitionable server. A 60 server system, i.e., system, comprising partitionable servers is a partitionable system. A partitionable system provides flexibility in the number and configuration of partition units and electronic devices assigned to a partition. Partitionable systems support "server consolidation." Server consolidation is the process of taking multiple traditional servers, possibly each providing a different service,

4

and providing all of the services on one partitionable server. While reducing cost, energy, and maintenance, consolidating service puts a greater burden of reliability on the partitionable server. Whereas a traditional server implemented on many separate computing devices usually has spare computing devices that are able to take over for failing computing devices, a partitionable server needs to look elsewhere for "backup" computing power. The process of having one computing device take over for a failing computing device is referred to as "failover." Techniques have been developed for traditional server configurations to perform failover in a controlled and orderly fashion to ensure that no data is lost and no ongoing processes are interrupted during the transition from the failing computing device to the replacement computing device. In traditional server configurations the failing "computing device" was itself a server. Since servers connect to each other through a network and are not tightly tied together, work needed to be broken into small pieces and shared across the servers, i.e., packetized. This made it easy to replace a failing server since the failing server's work packets could be re-routed. With server consolidation the overhead of the packetizing of the work is gone, but so is the ease of completely removing a server. In order to implement servers on partitionable servers that are as robust and reliable as traditional servers, similar techniques are required. It is impractical to make partitionable servers more reliable by notifying each of the high-level software applications when a failover is required. To enable high-level software applications to respond to such a notification would require that the computer code for each application be modified to adapt to the failover. Even notifying applications would probably not be enough to provide failover without a mechanism to replace a portion of a running server, which is not usually required in traditional server configurations. Instead, it is 35 more practical and advantageous to involve only the lowest

level software in the failover and allow the upper level software, e.g., applications, to behave as though no hardware change has happened.

An implementation of an orderly, low-level, partitionable server failover involves a global management entity and one or more local operating systems. Examples of a global management entity are a service processor (SP) and a baseboard management controller (BMC). An SP is a specialized microprocessor or microcontroller that manages electronic devices attached to a circuit board or motherboard, such as memory controllers and microprocessors. A BMC is also a specialized microcontroller embedded on a motherboard. In addition to managing electronic devices, a BMC monitors the input from sensors built into a computing system to report on and/or respond to parameters such as temperature, cooling fan speeds, power mode, operating system status, etc. Other electronic devices may fulfill the role of a global management entity. Hence, the use of an SP or BMC as a global management entity should be construed as examples and not limiting. A local operating system is an instance of an operating system that runs on one partition. Partition units, which contain logical devices that represent one or more physical devices, are assigned to a specific partition to ensure that the logical devices cannot be shared with logical devices in other partitions, ensuring that a failure will be isolated to a single partition. Such a partition unit may indicate which physical addresses are serviced by a given memory controller and, thereby, map the physical memory addresses to the memory controller and to the physical partition unit containing the 65 memory controller. More than one partition unit may be used to boot and operate a partition. Unused or failing partition units may be electrically isolated. Electrically isolating par-

5

tition units is similar to removing a server from a group of traditional servers with the advantage that partition units may be dynamically reassigned to different partitions. Managing, e.g., adding or replacing, the partition units in a partitionable server allows a failover to be performed in a controlled and 5 orderly fashion to ensure that the partitionable server is as robust and reliable as traditional servers.

An example computing device 100 for implementing a partitionable server capable of supporting partitions and partition unit addition and/or replacement is illustrated in block 10 diagram form in FIG. 1. The example computing device 100 shown in FIG. 1 comprises a service processor (SP) 102 that is connected to a memory that stores SP firmware 104 and a routing table 106. The computing device 100 also comprises processor A 108 connected to memory block A 110, processor 15 B 112 connected to memory block B 114, processor C 116 connected to memory block C 118, and processor D 120 connected to memory block D 122. The processors 108, 112, 116, and 120 are interrupt controlled processors, i.e., processors that are capable of responding to interrupt signals 20 directed to the processors. Each of the processors 108, 112, 116, and 120 contains four cores designated 0, 1, 2, and 3, which are capable of responding to interrupt signals. The SP 102, which is controlled by the SP firmware 104, uses routing table 106 to manage the processors 108, 112, 25 126, 120 and memory blocks 110, 114, 118, and 122. Computing device 100 also comprises I/O (input/output) circuitry 124, mass storage circuitry 126, communication circuitry 128, environmental circuitry 130, and a power supply 132. The computing device 100 uses the I/O circuitry 124 to com-30municate with I/O devices. The computing device 100 uses the mass storage circuitry 126 to interact with internally and externally connected mass storage devices. The computing device 100 uses the communication circuitry 128 to communicate with external devices, usually over networks. The com-35 puting device 100 uses the environmental circuitry 130 to control environmental devices such as cooling fans, heat sensors, humidity sensors, etc. The power supply 132 powers the computing device 100. If, for example, SP 102 is replaced by a BMC, the BMC may communicate with and control the 40 environmental circuitry 130 and the power supply 132 more precisely. In FIG. 1, which illustrates an example computing device for implementing a partitionable server, an example partition unit is formed by a processor A 108 and a memory block A 45 110, which is connected to processor A 108. Similarly, three more example partition units are formed by processor B 112 and memory block B 114; processor C 116 and memory block C 122; and processor D 120 and memory block D 122. The four example partition units form an example partition that is 50 managed by an SP 102. FIGS. 2 and 3 illustrate, in diagrammatic form, an example partition similar the partition of FIG. **1** having partition units similar to the partition units formed from the processors and memory blocks shown in FIG. 1.

6

grams in both FIG. 2 and FIG. 3 illustrate the same four partition units, the partition 200*a* shown in FIG. 2 comprises a different set of partition units when compared to the partition 200*b* shown in FIG. 3.

The partition 200*a* illustrated in FIG. 2 comprises: processor A 202 and memory 204; processor B 206 and memory 208; and processor C 210 and memory 212. In FIG. 2 the partition unit comprising processor D 214 and memory 216 is not included in partition 200a. In contrast to the partition 200*a* shown in FIG. 2, the partition 200*b* shown in FIG. 3 has been changed to comprise a different set of partition units, i.e., a different set of processors and memory blocks. The partition 200b shown in FIG. 3 comprises: processor B 206 and memory 208; processor C 210 and memory 212; and processor D 214 and memory 216. In FIG. 3, the partition unit comprising the processor A 202 and memory 204 is not included in the partition 200b whereas the partition unit comprising processor D 214 and memory 216 is included in partition 200*a* shown in FIG. 2. In effect, the partition unit comprising processor D 214 and memory 216 replaces the partition unit comprising processor A 202 and memory 204. Such a replacement would be desirable if, for example, processor A 202 and/or memory 204 were failing. Partition 200*a* and partition 200*b* are in effect the same partition in that they have the same partition identifier (ID), the difference being that partition 200*a* is made up of a different set of partition units than is partition **200***b*. Prior to the transfer, the partition IDs of processors A, B and C were the ID of partition 200a/200b. The partition ID of processor D was different or not set, i.e., zeroed depending on the prior status of the partition unit including processor D. Regardless of the partition ID of processor D, as explained more fully below, after the transfer the partition ID of processor D becomes the ID of partition 200a/200b. Replacing a partition unit involves identifying the hardware devices that are to be replaced and the replacement hardware devices. It is common for a processor, such as processor A 202, to have an Advanced Programmable Interrupt Controller ID (APIC ID) identifying the processor. Similarly, within a partition's local operating system, a memory unit's physical address uniquely identifies the memory unit. Within a partition's local operating system, such as partition 200*a*'s local operating system, a processor's APIC ID is uniquely identifies the processor. A computing device, such as computing device 100, shown in FIG. 1, may include a plurality of partitions. Each partition in the plurality of partitions runs a local operating system having a local view of the partition. The global management entity, such as SP 102, maintains a global namespace containing identifiers that uniquely identify each of the partitions with which the global management entity communicates. During a partition unit replacement, such as the partition unit replacement shown in FIGS. 2 and 3 and described above, the global management entity and the local operating system of a partition communicate information concerning partition units. For example, the SP 102, shown in FIG. 1, i.e., the global management entity, communicates with partition 200*a*'s local operating system during the replacement of a partition unit. In order for the global management entity to distinguish the partition units of the same partition as well as the partition units of different partitions, the global management entity stores a unique identifier for each partition unit. The unique partition unit identifiers, i.e., partition unit IDs, enable the global management entity to access the resources, e.g., processors and memory units, of each of the partition units of each of the plurality of partitions. In contrast, a

The replacement of partition units may be understood by 55 comparing the block diagram shown in FIG. 2 to the block diagram shown in FIG. 3. Both of the block diagrams shown in FIG. 2 and FIG. 3 include the same four partition units. Each of the partition units comprises a processor and memory: processor A 202, connected to memory 204; pro-60 cessor B 206, connected to memory 208; processor C 210, connected to memory 212; and processor D 214, connected to memory 216. As discussed more fully below with respect to FIGS. 4A and 4B, partition units may include additional elements, such as a memory controller and large memory 65 blocks or may not include memory other than the small memory associated with a processor. While the block dia-

7

partition's local operating system can access only the resources included in the partition.

Partition unit IDs are a combination of the partition ID and a hardware device identifier such as an APIC ID for a processor or a physical address for a memory unit. For example, to 5 create a unique global identifier for processor C 210, processor C 210's APIC ID is combined with partition 200a's partition ID. Similarly, to create a unique global identifier for memory 212, memory 212's physical address is combined with partition 200*a*'s partition ID.

When a partition unit is replaced, each of the hardware devices in the partition unit is replaced. For example, as shown in FIGS. 2 and 3, a first partition unit comprises processor A 202 and the memory 204 that is connected to processor A 202; and, a second partition unit comprises proces- 15 sor D 214 and the memory 216 that is connected to processor A 214. When the second partition unit replaces the first partition unit, processor D 214 replaces processor A 202 and the memory 216 replaces memory 204. While a single processor and a single memory block, such 20 as processor A 202 and memory 204, may comprise a partition unit, a partition unit may have other forms. A detailed view of an example partition unit 400 having a different form is illustrated in FIG. 4A. In FIG. 4A, as in FIG. 1, the example partition unit 400 comprises a processor 402 containing four 25 cores: 0, 1, 2, and 3 connected to a memory controller 404 that is connected to two memory blocks—memory block A 406 and memory block B 410. The processor 402 communicates with memory controller 404, which controls memory block A 406 and memory block B 410. Another form of a partition unit 30is illustrated in FIG. 4B. The partition unit **450** shown in FIG. **4**B contains a processor 452 that, like the processor illustrated in FIG. 4A, includes four cores: 0, 1, 2 and 3. The partition unit shown in FIG. 4B does not include memory or a memory controller or blocks of 35 memory. Other partition units may contain other logical devices that represent physical devices in addition to a processor, memory controller, and memory blocks and various combinations thereof well known to those skilled in the art. Hence, the partition units 400 and 450 should be construed as 40 examples and not limiting. A logical device in a typical partition unit may be capable of notifying the local operating system of the device's status. Alternatively, or in addition, the local operating system controlling the partition unit may use predictive analysis to assess 45 the status of the logical device and determine if the logical device might be failing and thus, may be a candidate for replacement. While a person, such as a system administrator, might check device status as a part of regular maintenance, in at least some embodiments the hardware itself can notify the 50 local operating system of an impending failure. In some situations, it may be desirable to upgrade a processor from one model to another model or to add processors and/or memory to a system. While a system administrator may perform such functions, in at least some embodiments such replacements 55 and additions can be automated by using explicitly programmed instructions or by periodically timed instructions that make use of partitions, partition units, and the ability of hardware to report status. Processes, such as the processes in a local operating sys- 60 tem, that are running on a processor that is to be replaced must be quiesced, i.e., put into an inactive state, because if the processor is in use, the processor's state is constantly changing. If the processor's state is changing, the processor cannot be safely and reliably replaced because the processor's state 65 cannot be safely and reliably transferred. Therefore, a pause operation is executed by the processor that is to be replaced,

8

e.g., a failing processor, to prevent the processor's state from changing. Those skilled in the art and others will appreciate that the process of pausing a processor to prevent the processor's state from changing is referred to as "quiescing" the processor. A system, such as the computing device 100 shown in FIG. 1, may also be quiesced by pausing or deactivating physical devices, e.g., processors A 108, B 112, etc., in the system and pausing threads of execution. Pausing or deactivating devices in the system and pausing threads of execution 10 prevents devices and threads of execution from attempting to interrupt a processor that is to be replaced.

A partition unit, such as the partition unit 450 illustrated in FIG. 4B, may need to be replaced because the partition unit contains a failing processor, e.g., processor 452. In order to replace the failing processor, perhaps using a process such as the example process described above, in at least some embodiments the failing processor can first be identified. A way to identify a processor, failing or otherwise, is to use an Advanced Programmable Interrupt Controller identifier (APIC ID). A processor's APIC ID is stored in the processor in logical and/or physical form. A processor's logical APIC ID can be changed in the processor, usually by reprogramming a register containing the APIC ID. A processor's physical APIC ID is hardwired into the processor, i.e., fixed, and cannot be changed. An operating system, e.g., a local operating system, uses APIC IDs to, for example, schedule new threads on processors and direct interrupts to particular processors. Processors may be physical, for example processor **452** shown in FIG. **4**B; or logical, for example core "0" in processor 452. When an operating system starts, each logical processor is assigned a unique, initial APIC ID. The initial APIC ID is composed of the physical processor's ID and the logical processor's ID within the physical processor. An operating system may use initial APIC IDs to direct interrupts to particular processors. A device, e.g., a disc drive, may transmit an interrupt signal directly to the processor using a message signaled interrupt (MSI). A device interrupt may instead be routed into an intermediary software entity, i.e., an intermediary. The device generates a signal that is transmitted to the intermediary and the intermediary forwards the signal to the processor. An example traditional intermediary is an Input/ Output Advanced Programmable Interrupt Controller (I/O APIC). The block diagram in FIG. 5 illustrates certain functional parts of an example I/OAPIC 500 and two example devices device A 502 and device B 504. There may be more functional parts and more devices. Hence, the number of devices and functional parts should be construed as examples and not limiting. Example I/O APIC 500, shown in FIG. 5, contains a redirection table **506**. The redirection table **506** contains redirection table entries (RTEs). The redirection table **506** comprises an RTE for device A 508 that is associated with device A 502 and an RTE for device B 516 that is associated with device B 504. The RTE for device A 508 comprises a destination APIC ID 510, an interrupt vector 512, and a mode (mode descriptor) **514**. The RTE for device B **516** comprises a destination APIC ID 518, an interrupt vector 520, and a mode (mode descriptor) 522. An interrupt vector is an entry that contains, or refers, to code that handles the particular kind of interrupt. An interrupt may be a conveyed over a dedicated, physical "wire," i.e., physical connection, connected to a specific entry number in the I/O APIC. The replacement of a partition unit, such as the partition unit **450** illustrated in FIG. **4**B, involves transferring the state of the failing processor to a spare processor intended to replace the failing processor. A processor's state may include,

9

but is not limited to, information stored in the processor's external registers, information in the processor's internal registers and circuitry, and the physical APIC ID and logical APIC ID. Not all processors are able to have their physical APIC ID transferred or changed to accept another physical APIC ID. The physical APIC ID may be hardwired inside of a processor. In such processors, the physical APIC ID of a first processor cannot be transferred to a second processor. Hence, the second processor is unable to take on the physical identity of the first processor.

There are ways to allow the physical APIC ID of a processor to be unique yet still transfer the state of the processor, e.g., a failing processor, to another processor, e.g., a replacement processor. To other entities, the two processors will appear to be identical. Thus, for example, an interrupt 15 directed to the failing processor will instead be directed to the replacement processor. As described above, on many processors, the APIC ID, i.e., the physical and/or logical APIC ID, may be hardwired inside of the processor preventing the identity of the processor from 20 being transferred. Rather than relying directly on the APIC ID to identify a processor, a processor's APIC ID, i.e., physical and/or logical APIC ID, may be hidden inside of a service, making it possible to present the other parts of the system with a service for doing operations that involve the APIC ID with- 25 out direct reference to the APIC ID. In discussing such a service, it is helpful to divide a system, e.g., computing device **100** into two portions—a service processor (SP) portion and a non-SP portion. The SP portion is the combination of the SP 102, the SP firmware 104, and the routing table 106. The 30 non-SP portion comprises the remaining items in the computing device 100. To transparently replace a processor, the non-SP portion is isolated from the identity of the processor allowing the processor identifier to be remapped. Remapping processor identifiers is accomplished by a set of instructions 35 that may be stored in the SP portion, e.g., the SP firmware 104, or stored in other memory and pointed to by the SP firmware 104. The set of instructions for remapping processor identifiers is referred to hereafter as the "processor remapping" service". Rather than relying directly on a processor's APIC ID to identify the processor, the processor's APIC ID is hidden inside of the processor remapping service, making it possible to present the non-SP portion with a service for doing operations that involve APIC IDs without direct reference to the 45 APIC IDs. The processor remapping service assigns and accepts "unchangeable" APIC IDs, i.e., APIC IDs that do not change and are used by the non-SP portion. The processor remapping service converts the APIC ID to the appropriate changeable APIC ID. Hence, during a processor replacement, 50 whether the changeable APIC ID is physical or logical, the changeable APIC ID can be changed to refer to a replacement processor; thus, making the processor replacement transparent.

10

level software. The logical APIC ID is changeable and can be easily transferred to another processor without relying on I/O APICs and MSIs. By inserting the failing processor's logical APIC ID into the replacement processor, the failing processor's logical APIC ID is mapped to the replacement processor.

If logical mode delivery is not available in a computing device and/or system, physical mode delivery may be used to transparently replace processors. Physical mode delivery 10 involves an intermediary. An example intermediary is a redirection table in an I/O APIC. (See FIG. 5 and the foregoing) description.) In physical mode delivery, an I/O APIC's redirection table, i.e., an intermediary, stores APIC IDs for processors. The APIC IDs are mapped to the processors' physical APIC IDs. A processor's APIC ID is used by the non-SP portion allowing the processor to be replaced by another processor having a different physical APIC ID without disturbing operations in the non-SP portion. In the physical mode delivery process an I/O APIC provides an interface through which an SP interacts with the I/O APIC. The physical mode delivery process takes advantage of the already existing I/O APIC interface to program a new physical ID, i.e., the physical ID of the replacement processor, into the I/O APIC's redirection table. In the interrupt remapping process, the processor remapping service redirects interrupts from a processor that is replaced, e.g., processor A 202, to a replacement processor, e.g., processor D 214. Traditionally, devices that generate interrupts, such as a disc drive controller in the mass storage circuitry 126 of computing device 100, store the APIC ID of a processor that is intended to receive the interrupts, i.e., the destination processor. If the disc drive controller is "hardwired," i.e., connected physically by a wire, circuit board trace, etc., to an I/O APIC, the disc drive controller sets the voltage on the wire to a level that triggers the programmed instructions in the I/O APIC's redirection table. Usually there are other devices that are connected into other entries in the I/O APIC's redirection table. Hence, there needs to be an interface at the connections of the I/O APIC to manage the arriving interrupts. In the logical mode delivery, physical mode delivery, and interrupt remapping processes, the local operating system is quiesced and the state is transferred. In at least some embodiments, external devices are not aware of the physical APIC ID. Using logical delivery is the easiest way to accomplish that. If a device is "hardwired," i.e., connected physically by a wire, circuit board trace, etc., to an I/O APIC, the device sets the voltage on the wire to a level that triggers the programming in the I/O APIC. It is likely that there are other devices that hook into other entries in the redirection table in the I/O APIC. The I/O APIC is, in effect, a shared state repository. Hence, there needs to be some interface at the connections of the I/O APIC to manage the shared state repository, i.e., the I/O APIC. An example process for replacing a processor, e.g., a failing processor, is illustrated in FIG. 6. The process begins at block 600 in which a global management entity, e.g., SP 102 shown in FIG. 1, determines that a processor needs to be replaced. For example, the global management entity detects 60 that the processor has produced a certain quantity and type of errors that indicate that the processor may fail and determines that the processor needs to be replaced. At block 602, the global management entity selects a replacement processor, e.g., processor D 214 of partition 200a shown in FIG. 2. A replacement processor may be selected from a plurality of spare processors according to selection criteria such as speed, specialized computing features, etc. It is also possible to

An example processor remapping service provides three 55 processes for transparently replacing processors: logical mode delivery, physical mode delivery, and interrupt remapping. By using one of the three processes during a processor replacement, the details of which processor is being used are abstracted out and hidden from the non-SP portion. 60 In the logical mode delivery process, the system, e.g., computing device **100**, is configured such that the non-SP portion uses only logical APIC IDs and is not permitted to access or use physical APIC IDs. In the logical mode delivery process, the processor remapping service uses the logical 65 APIC ID as a remapping register. A logical APIC ID of a processor can be programmed, i.e., changed, usually by low

11

select a replacement processor from a plurality of processors executing less important processes. Less important processes may be halted or moved to other processors to allow a processor to be reused as a replacement processor.

To enable the selection of replacement processors from a 5 plurality of spare and/or other sources of processors, the global management entity may maintain a processor database that contains selection criteria values for each processor managed by the global management entity. If a processor is failing, the global management entity forms database queries 10 that, when submitted to the database, retrieve a list of replacement processor candidates from the database. An example database query includes the identity of the failing processor and a list of relevant selection criteria and selection criteria parameters. The database, using the query, retrieves the selec- 15 tion criteria values of the failing processor, compares the selection criteria values of the failing processor to the selection criteria values of spare, or otherwise potentially available, processors in the processor database. The identities of processors with selection criteria values that meet the selec- 20 tion criteria values of the failing processor are returned, in a list, to the global management entity. The global management entity selects a replacement processor from the list, perhaps using other criteria. It is also possible for the processor database to return the identity of only one replacement processor 25 that is then used by the global management entity. Other ways of selecting replacement processors using selection criteria are possible. Hence, using a processor database to select replacement processors should be construed as examples and not limiting. 30 Continuing in FIG. 6 at block 604, the global management entity temporarily restricts interrupts to the processor to be replaced to reduce the activity of the processor thus preparing the processor for replacement. At block 606, the replacement processor, perhaps selected using the selection process 35 described above, is activated. At block 608, the global management entity sets the partition ID of the replacement processor to the partition ID of the processor to be replaced in order to "move" the replacement processor into the partition of the processor to be replaced. For example, the partition ID 40 of processor D 214, shown in FIG. 2, is set to the partition ID of processor A 202 in order to move processor D 214 into partition 200a, the partition of processor A 202. At block 610, the local OS, i.e., local operating system, is quiesced. Those skilled in the art will appreciate that quiescing an operating 45 system, e.g., a local operating system, is a process for reducing the activity of an operating system. At block 612, the global management entity transfers the state of the processor to be replaced to the replacement processor. An example processor state is illustrated in FIG. 7 and 50 described later below. At block 614, the global management entity maps the replacement processor to the identity of the processor to be replaced and updates the local OS's state to reflect the mapping. More specifically, the replacement processor is mapped to the complete ID, i.e., the combination of 55 the partition ID and the APIC ID, of the processor to be replaced and the local OS's state is updated to reflect the mapping. At block 616, the local OS is unquiesced, i.e., the local OS is reactivated. After block 616, the process ends. FIG. 7 is a block diagram showing how an example device 60 interacts with an example intermediary to send a signal to an example failing processor, which is routed to an example replacement processor. In FIG. 7, an example device 700 contains the APIC ID of the destination processor 702. A signal 720 carries the APIC ID of destination processor 702 to 65 an intermediary for remapping interrupts 722. The intermediary for remapping interrupts 722 contains an I/O APIC data

12

structure 724. The I/O APIC 724 contains the APIC ID of the destination processor 726. The device 700 may send the APIC ID of a destination processor such as failing processor 706 via a direct signal 704 or via a signal 720 through the intermediary for remapping interrupts 722, which sends a forwarded signal 728 to the destination (failing) processor 706. The failing processor 706 has a state 714. The state 714 of the failing processor 706 is determined by the state of externally accessible registers 708, the internal state 710 of the failing processor 706, and a local APIC ID 712. The local APIC ID contains a physical address and a logical address. While the state describing a processor normally includes the state of externally accessible registers 708, the internal state 710 of the failing processor 706, and a local APIC ID 712, the state of the failing processor 706 may also include other elements or may not include one or more of the previously mentioned elements. Hence the contents of the state that describe the state of a processor should be construed as examples and not limiting. The state **714** of failing processor 706 is transferred to, or copied to, the state 718 of a replacement processor **716**. While one or more embodiments have been illustrated and described, it will be appreciated that various changes can be made therein without departing from the spirit and scope of the disclosed embodiments.

What is claimed is:

 A computer-implemented method comprising: selecting a first processor associated with a computing device to be used to replace a second processor associated with the computing device;

quiescing an operating system for the computing device responsive to a determination that the second processor is to be replaced;

mapping the first processor to an identifier of the second processor, the mapping comprising:
determining a logical identifier (ID) and a partition ID associated with the second processor;
using a combination of the logical ID and the partition ID as a remapping register; and
inserting the combination of the logical ID and the partition ID associated with the second processor into the first processor; and

unquiescing the operating system responsive to said mapping.

2. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein selecting the first processor to be used to replace the second processor is responsive to an indication of a failure of the second processor.

3. The computer-implemented method of claim **1**, wherein selecting the first processor to be used to replace the second processor comprises selecting the first processor from a plurality of processors included in a database of processors, the database including selection criteria values for each of the plurality of processors.

4. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein selecting the first processor to be used to replace the second processor comprises selecting the first processor from a plurality of processors based on one or more selection criteria, the selection criteria comprising a speed of the first processor or one or more specialized computing features of the first processor.
5. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the logical ID comprises an advanced programmable interrupt controller (APIC) ID.
6. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein mapping the first processor to the identifier of the second processor is performed by a service processor that is associ-

10

13

ated with the computing device and is separate from the first processor and the second processor.

7. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising redirecting interrupts from the second processor to the first processor based at least in part on the mapping.

8. The method of claim **1**, further comprising reconfiguring a partition associated with the second processor to include the first processor and exclude the second processor by replacing a partition ID associated with the first processor with a partition ID associated with the second processor.

9. A computer-implemented method comprising: determining that a first processor associated with a computing device is to be replaced with a second processor

14

14. The method of claim 9, wherein said remapping comprises replacing the physical identifier for the first processor with the physical identifier for the second processor in a redirection table that tracks physical identifiers for a plurality of processors.

15. A device comprising:

a memory; and

a service that resides at least in part on the memory, the service being configured to enable a first processor of a plurality of processors to be replaced with a second processor of the plurality of processors, the service being further configured to perform one or more operations comprising:

determining a logical identifier and a partition ID mapped to the first processor and causing the logical identifier and the partition ID to be remapped to the second processor to enable communication to be directed to the second processor;

associated with the computing device;

- reconfiguring a partition unit of a partition associated with ¹⁵ the first processor to include the second processor and exclude the first processor by replacing a partition unit ID associated with the second processor with a partition unit ID associated with the first processor, the partition unit ID associated with the first processor including a ²⁰ combination of a partition ID and a hardware device identifier;
- determining that a first identifier is mapped to a physical identifier for the first processor, the first identifier enabling communication with the first processor; and ²⁵ remapping the first identifier to a physical identifier for the second processor to enable communication to be directed to the second processor.

10. The computer-implemented method of claim **9**, wherein determining that the first processor is to be replaced ³⁰ with the second processor comprises selecting the second processor from a plurality of processors included in a database of processors, the database including selection criteria values for each of the plurality of processors.

11. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, ³⁵ wherein the physical identifier for the first processor comprises an advanced programmable interrupt controller (APIC) identifier for the first processor and the physical identifier for the second processor comprises an APIC identifier for the second processor. **12**. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, further comprising: quiescing an operating system associated with the first processor prior to mapping the first identifier to the 45 physical identifier for the second processor; and unquiescing the operating system after mapping the first identifier to the physical identifier for the second processor. **13**. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, further comprising redirecting interrupts from the second processor 50 to the first processor based at least in part on the mapping.

- determining a first identifier mapped to a physical identifier for the first processor and causing the first identifier to be remapped to a physical identifier for the second processor such that communication is directed to the second processor; and
- causing a partition associated with the first processor to be reconfigured to include the second processor by replacing a partition ID associated with the second processor with the logical identifier and the partition ID associated with the first processor.

16. The device of claim **15**, wherein the logical identifier comprises an advanced programmable interrupt controller (APIC) identifier.

17. The device of claim 15, wherein the service is further configured to enable the first processor to be replaced with the second processor responsive to a detection by the service of a failure of the first processor.

18. The device of claim **15**, wherein the service is further configured to be implemented by a service processor that is separate from the plurality of processors.

19. The device of claim **15**, wherein the one or more operations further comprise causing an operating system of the device to be put into an inactive state during at least one of the logical identifier and the partition ID being remapped to the second processor, or the first identifier being remapped to the physical identifier for the second processor.

20. The device of claim **15**, the one or more operations further comprising causing the first identifier to be remapped to the physical identifier for the second processor by replacing the physical identifier for the first processor with the physical identifier for the second processor in a redirection table that tracks physical identifiers for the plurality of processors.

* * * * *