

(12) United States Patent Can et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 8,300,938 B2 (45) Date of Patent: Oct. 30, 2012

- (54) METHODS FOR SEGMENTING OBJECTS IN IMAGES
- (75) Inventors: Ali Can, Troy, NY (US); Alberto
 Santamaria-Pang, Schnectady, NY (US)
- (73) Assignee: General Electric Company, Niskayuna, NY (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 348 days.

Y, Freund et al., "A Decision-Theoretic generalization of On-Line Learning and an Application to Boosting," Journal of computer and System Sciences, vol. 55, 1997, Article No. SS971504, pp. 119-139. G. Lin et al., A Hybrid 3D Watershed algorithm Incorporating Gradient Cues and Object Models for Automatic Segmentation of Nuclei in Confocal Image Stacks, Wiley-Liss Inc., 2003, vol. 56A, pp. 23-36. J. Lindblad et al., "Image Analysis for Automatic Segmentation of Cytoplasms and classification of Rac1 Activation," Wiley-Liss Inc., vol. 57A, 2004, pp. 23-33.

T. Mouroutis et al., "Robust cell nuclei segmentation using statistical modelling," Bioimaging, vol. 6, PII: S0966-9051, 1998, pp. 79-91.
D. Padfield et al., "Spatio-Temporal Cell Segmentation and Tracking for Automated Screening," IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging, ISBN: 978-1-4244-2002-5, May 14-17, 2008, pp. 376-379.
P.S. Umesh Adiga et al., "An efficient method based on watershed and rule-based merging for segmentation of 3-D histo-pathological images," Pergamon, The Journal of the Pattern Recognition Society, vol. 34, 2001, pp. 1449-1458.

- (21) Appl. No.: **12/757,668**
- (22) Filed: Apr. 9, 2010
- (65) Prior Publication Data
 US 2011/0249883 A1 Oct. 13, 2011

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner — Anh Hong Do(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Jenifer E. Haeckl

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method for segmenting a digital image into a plurality of target objects, comprising, generating a plurality of probability maps of the image, wherein each probability map is derived from a different segmentation classifier; generating a combined probability map based on the plurality of probability maps; mapping a plurality of image points based on one or more local object maxima; applying one or more object constraints based at least in part on the mapped points to identify local object information; applying one or more regional thresholds to the combined probability map, given the local object information and a background mask, to segment the image into regions; creating a segmented image at least in part by merging the segmented regions with corresponding local object maxima; and at least temporarily storing or displaying the segmented image on a digital device.

7,035,461	B2 *	4/2006	Luo et al.	382/167
7,263,220	B2 *	8/2007	Crandall et al	382/165
7,636,485	B2 *	12/2009	Simon et al.	382/254
2007/0026525	A1	2/2007	Marcelpoil et al.	

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

C. Wahlby et al., "Sequential Immunofluorescence Staining and Image Analysis for Detection of large Numbers of antigens in Individual Cell Nuclei," Wiley-Liss Inc., 2002, Section 47, pp. 32-41.
A. Can et al., "Techniques for Cellular and Tissue-Based Image Quantitation of Protein Biomarkers," Published in Microscopic Image Analysis for Lifescience Applications, Chapter 8, Aug. 2008, pp. 1-8.

11 Claims, 6 Drawing Sheets



U.S. Patent Oct. 30, 2012 Sheet 1 of 6 US 8,300,938 B2





U.S. Patent Oct. 30, 2012 Sheet 2 of 6 US 8,300,938 B2







U.S. Patent Oct. 30, 2012 Sheet 3 of 6 US 8,300,938 B2





Fig. 3A





Fig. 3*C*



U.S. Patent Oct. 30, 2012 Sheet 4 of 6 US 8,300,938 B2





Fig. 4A









Fig. 4*C*





U.S. Patent Oct. 30, 2012 Sheet 5 of 6 US 8,300,938 B2

Fig. 5A Fig. 5B 5*C* 5**D**-





Fig. 5C

Fig. 5D

U.S. Patent Oct. 30, 2012 Sheet 6 of 6 US 8,300,938 B2







Fig. 6C



METHODS FOR SEGMENTING OBJECTS IN IMAGES

BACKGROUND

The invention relates generally to digital images and more specifically to segmentation of objects in the digital images to extract content from the images.

Segmenting images of complex, three-dimensional materials into discrete and identifiable objects or targets for analy-10 sis is a challenging problem because of the high degree of variability associated with the materials, and inconsistencies between, and anomalies introduced by, the imaging systems

various parameters or predefined rules such as, for example, a weighted average or sum. A watershed method is applied, together with one or more morphological constraints to the integrated, but stronger, combined segmentation, to identify and segment the nuclear regions of the image. The methods are first described here using a more general workflow, where weak segmentation algorithms are combined to generate a strong segmentation algorithm, that may be applied to a variety of images for a variety of purposes. The general method is then applied to a specific, but non-limiting, example in which an image of a biological sample is segmented into cells. Although the specific example uses a subset of segmentation algorithms comprising, curvature based segmentation, image gradients, Gabor filters, and intensity, that are particularly useful with images of biological materials, the methods of the invention may be applied to other types of subject matter and so may comprise alternative subsets of algorithms. An embodiment of the method of the invention, for segmenting a digital image into a plurality of target objects, comprises: generating a plurality of probability maps of the image, wherein each probability map is derived from a different segmentation classifier; generating a combined probability map based on the plurality of probability maps; mapping a plurality of image points based on one or more local object maxima; applying one or more object constraints based at least in part on the mapped points to identify local object information; applying one or more regional thresholds to the combined probability map, given the local object information and a background mask, to segment the image into regions; creating a segmented image at least in part by merging the segmented regions with corresponding local object maxima; and at least temporarily storing or displaying the segmented image on a digital device.

themselves.

For example, segmenting or delineating images of biologi-15 cal tissue samples into its constituent parts, such as cells and cellular nuclei, poses a particular significant problem due to additionally introduced variability associated with in staining of the biological material and fluorescence-based microscopy imaging. The three dimensional nature of thin tissue sections 20 introduces out of focus artifacts in magnifications greater than $10\times$. As an example, the quantification of proteins expression at sub-cellular level is an imperative step in the image analysis process for the quantification of protein expressions of tissue samples. This type of quantitative analy-25 sis enables biologists and pathologists to analyze, with a high level of detail, a molecular map of thousands of cells within a given cancer tumor. It also provides new insights into the complex pathways of protein expressions. With the advent of automated image acquisition platforms, such as General 30 Electric's InCell 2000 analyzer, there is an increased need for high content image analysis in the form of automated methods for extracting and analyzing such content from tissue samples.

With regard specifically to biological sample analysis, 35 there are numerous problems associated with detecting and delineating cell nuclei. Cells are three-dimensional objects, and the images of such cells capture a two-dimensional projection that corresponds to the given slice of the tissue. Partial cell volumes that are outside the focal plane are commonly 40 observed. Nuclei shape and size also vary widely across different tissue types and even within the same tissue type. For example, the shape of epithelial cell nuclei in lung tissue is different than the shape of stromal cell nuclei in lung tissue. The grade of a given cancer also may significantly affect the 45 shape and the size of the nuclei. For example, the size of the cell nuclei in breast cancer is a diagnostic indicator. In addition to cellular variations, staining quality and tissue processing also vary from sample to sample; although nonspecific binding and tissue autofluorescence can be reduced, 50 they typically cannot be eliminated; the image acquisition system further introduces noise, particularly, for example, if the image acquisition camera is not actively cooled; and most microscopes are manufactured with tolerances up to 20% non-uniformity of illumination.

DRAWINGS

BRIEF DESCRIPTION

These and other features, aspects, and advantages of the present invention will become better understood when the following detailed description is read with reference to the accompanying drawings in which like characters represent like parts throughout the drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 is a flow diagram of an example of the method and system of the invention for segmenting an image;

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram of a specific example of the method and system of the invention for segmenting an image; FIGS. **3A-3D** are examples of probability maps based four weak classifiers. FIG. 3A was generated using a curvaturebased classifier, FIG. 3B was generated using a Gabor filter bank, FIG. 3C was generated using a gradient classifier, and FIG. **3**D was generated using an intensity classifier.

FIG. 4A is an example of a probability map generated using an example of a strong segmentation classifier, FIG. 4B is an example of a map showing the detected object centers, FIG. 4C is an example of a weighted image using morpho-55 logical constraints, FIG. 4D is an example of a segmented image, and FIG. 4E is an example of a final segmented image merged with the mapped nuclei.

The methods of the invention provide a highly robust boosted approach wherein the technical effect is to segment 60 images into discreet or targeted objects. The methods build a strong or reliably consistent segmentation result from a plurality of generally weaker or less consistent segmentation results. Each weaker segmentation method generates a probability map that captures different, yet complementary, infor- 65 mation. The strong segmentation, integrates the probability results from the weaker segmentation methods, based on

FIG. **5**A is an example of an original, unsegmented image with a portion outlined in a red square, FIG. **5**B is an example of a final segmented image generated using an example of a method of the invention with the same corresponding portion outlined in a red, FIG. 5C is a magnified view of the outlined portion of FIG. 5A, and FIG. 5D is a magnified view of the corresponding outlined portion of FIG. 5B. FIG. 6A is another example of an original, unsegmented image of a xenograft model with a portion outlined in a red square, FIG. 6B is an example of a final segmented image

5

3

generated using an example of a method of the invention with the same corresponding portion outlined in a red, FIG. 6C is a magnified view of the outlined portion of FIG. 5A, and FIG. 6D is a magnified view of the corresponding outlined portion of FIG. 5B.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The methods and systems provide significant improvements to previous methods for segmenting digital images. 10 The methods in part construct a strong classifier from a number (N) of weak classifiers. The term weak classifier is used in this description merely to denote a classifier that, when used alone, does not provide a reproducibly strong, consistent segmented image, as does the stronger classifier of the inven-15 tion which comprises a combination of a plurality of individual weaker classifiers. Each of the weaker classifiers, used in one or more of the embodiments described, provides unique and different information in the form of a probability estimate whether a given pixel belongs to a target object, such 20 as a nucleus of a cell. A combination classifier of the invention combines the results of the weaker individual classifier results. The stronger, classifier integrates both global and local information derived from the weaker segmentations to generate a more consistently accurate segmented image. In 25 one or more of the embodiments, a watershed algorithm, together with one or more local constraints, is applied to the stronger data to identify and map individual target objects, such as cell nuclei. To more clearly and concisely describe and point out the 30 subject matter of the claimed invention, the following definitions are provided for specific terms, which are used in the following description and the appended claims. Throughout the specification, exemplification of specific terms should be considered as non-limiting examples.

4

As used herein, the term "digital device" refers to any device that can at least temporarily store, display, generate, manipulate, modify or print a digital image.

The methods and system may be used to segment of a broad class of objects in digital images. The methods and systems may be used, for example, to segment objects that have elliptical shapes, such as those found in images associated with industrial inspection and medical and biological imaging.

One or more embodiments of the methods construct a probability map using a novel boosting approach, to which a watershed algorithm, with at least one object constraint, is applied. A strong classifier is constructed, together with one or more morphological constraints, based on a plurality of weaker classifiers that provide complementary information such as, but not limited to, shape, intensity and texture information. In one example, detection of cell nuclei comprises modeling various nuclei attributes such as shape, intensity and texture in the cell. For example, although the overall shape of many types of cell nuclei is circular or elliptical, there is considerable variation in size and shape depending on tissue type and morphology. With regard to texture, when imaging cells, nuclei texture may vary, in part, due to uneven binding and distribution of the fluorescent dyes applied to the cellular material or tissue sample. Image intensity also varies between images and across a single and may be caused by a number of factors, some of which are associated with microscopy system itself. In one example, detection of two-dimensional cell nuclei obtained from three-dimensional tissue sections comprises modeling various nuclei attributes such as shape, intensity and texture in the cell. For example, although the overall shape of many types of cell nuclei is circular or elliptical, there is considerable variation in size and shape depending on 35 tissue type and morphology. With regard to texture, when imaging cells, nuclei texture may vary, in part, due to uneven binding and distribution of the fluorescent dyes applied to the cellular material or tissue sample. Image intensity also varies between images and across a single and may be caused by a number of factors, some of which are associated with microscopy system itself. An embodiment of the method of the invention, that is readily applicable to a variety of modalities and purposes, is generally shown and referred to in the flow diagram of FIG. 1 as method 10. In this embodiment, three segmentation classifiers 12A, 12B, and 12C are applied in step 14 to image 16. As an example, such classifier may comprise shape, intensity, and textural primitives. The resulting probability maps $P_1 \dots$ P_N generated by the three weaker segmentation classifiers 12A-12N are used to generate a stronger segmentation classifier 18 that is based on a weighted combination of the weaker classifiers. The method is not limited to using a specific number of weaker classifiers (e.g. $S_1 \dots S_N$) or a specific number of morphological constraints (e.g. $M_1 \ldots M_N$) and may be extended or enhanced with any suitable type and number of individual classifiers and constraints. Individual, weaker classifiers may include, but are not limited to, shape features (such as, regular and irregular elliptical, circular, semi-circular shapes), intensity features (such as, homogeneity, histogram based-methods), textural features, (such as fractals, wavelets, second or higher order statistics). Combination classifier 18, together with combined morphological constraints 20A, 20B and 20C, is then applied to image 16 using one or more regional thresholding algorithms, in step 22, together with one or more local constraints, to generate a resulting image 24, segmented at least in part into the target objects (e.g. cell nuclei).

As used herein, the term "target object" refers to any item of interest, to which a plurality of different classifiers or definitions can be applied, for the purpose of extracting content from a segmented digital image.

As used herein, the term "classifier" refers to one or more 40 parameters of a digital image that can be expressed as an algorithm.

As used herein, the term "probability map" refers to a map, of all or a portion of the pixels or image points in a digital image, which indicates the likelihood that a given pixel falls 45 within a class based on a classifier previously applied to the digital image. The map may be virtual, actual, stored or ephemeral, depending on a given application or system.

As used herein, the term "local object maxima" refers to the highest value or degree as defined by a given classifier, 50 among the pixels or image points associated with a discrete target object in a digital image.

As used herein, the term "object constraint" refers to one or more algorithmic statements or rules that may be applied to an object that may include, but are not limited to, those that 55 define or limit the object's context or situation; a property, attribute or characteristic of the object; and conditions or expression qualifiers. As used herein, the term "local object information" refers to any information associated with a given object including, 60 but not necessarily limited to, facts, data, conclusions, estimates, statistics, transformations, and conditions associated with an object. As used herein, the term "regional threshold" refers to a rule or statement that is applied to an image to segment the 65 image into regions such as, for example, watershed or watershed-based algorithms.

5

Following is a non-limiting example used to illustrate various embodiments of the method and system. Example

Following is an example of the method of the invention, for segmenting an image **32**, which is generally shown and 5 referred to in FIG. **2** as method **30**. This example is segmenting an image of a tissue sample into cells with identified cell nuclei. The example combines the results of four different weaker segmentations **34A-34D** (classifiers) into a stronger segmentation **36** (combined classifier) (FIG. **4**A). The first 10 step comprises generating a strong segmentation classifier using a plurality of weaker segmentation algorithms. In this example, the strong classifier is generated using a curvature based segmentation algorithm, two different gradient based segmentation algorithms and an intensity based algorithm, 15 which can be expressed as follows:

6

Next, geometrical information relating to the structure of cell nuclei is integrated (FIG. **3**B) using, in this example, a Gabor filter bank **34**B, which is a set of digital filters derived from multiplication of a Gaussian function and a harmonic function illustrated below:

$$g(x, y; \lambda, \theta, \psi, \sigma, \gamma) = e^{-\frac{x'^2 + \gamma^2 y'^2}{2\sigma^2}} \cos\left(2\pi \frac{x'}{\lambda} + \psi\right)$$

 $x' = x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta$, and $y' = -x\sin\theta + y\cos\theta$,

where λ is the wavelength, θ is the orientation angle, ψ is the offset, σ is the width of the Gaussian kernel, and γ is the spatial aspect ratio, which defines the ellipticity of the filter. The filter bank is constructed with three filters $G_L = \{G_1, G_2, G_3\}$, where each filter resembles an anisotropic Gaussian kernel at three different orientations: 0, 45 and 90 degrees, with an anisotropic Gaussian ratio of 4:1 and wavelength set to 1. The image captures geometrical information derived from the defined filter bank and is suitable to detecting elliptical structures at different orientations. The resulting image I_{Gabor} is the maximum response of each filter and may be defined as:

$\begin{array}{c} p_{s} = w_{1}p_{CBS} + w_{2}p_{Gabor} + w_{3}p_{Gradient} + w_{4}p_{I}, \ w_{1} + w_{2} + w_{3} + w_{4} = 1 \end{array}$

Where p_{CBS} represents the probability map that is computed using a curvature based segmentation algorithm, p_{Gabor} represents the probability map that is computed using a Gabor filter based segmentation algorithm, $p_{Gradient}$ represents the probability map that is computed using a gradient segmentation and p_I represents the probability map that is computed using an intensity based segmentation. The resulting probability map is a weighted average of the individual probability maps that are generated by the individual weak segmentation algorithms. The weights may be determined empirically or using supervised classification algorithms.

The method comprises generating a probability map for each of the four weaker segmentation algorithms. The probability map (FIG. **3**A), in this example, that is generated using a curvature based segmentation algorithm **34**A represented by p_{CBS} . To estimate the probability map, the eigenvalues $\lambda_1(x, y), \lambda_2(x, y)$, of a Hessian matrix, are numerically computed from:

$I_{Gabor}(x,y) = \max(G_1 *I(x,y), G_2 *I(x,y), G_3 *I(x,y)),$

where * denotes the convolution operator. The image I_{Gabor} captures the geometrical information derived from the defined filter bank and is suitable for detecting elliptical structures, such as cells and cell nuclei, at different orientations.

The response of the filter bank can be interpreted as the maximum likelihood of a given pixel to be nuclei. The response is maximum in the center, and close to zero near the borders. Then, a mapping function, p_{Gabor} : $R \rightarrow [0,1]$, is defined from the response of the filter bank I_{Gabor} . The mapping function p_{Gabor} is constructed so that it can be interpreted as a likelihood function that captures relevant morphological information from the given filter bank.

$$\lambda_{12}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial^2 I(x, y)}{\partial x^2} + \\ \frac{\partial^2 I(x, y)}{\partial y^2} \mp \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial^2 I(x, y)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2 I(x, y)}{\partial y^2}\right)^2 + 4\frac{\partial^2 I(x, y)}{\partial x \partial y}} \end{array} \right\},$$

and the following two curvature features are estimated by:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x, y) &= \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_1(x, y)}{\lambda_2(x, y)} \right), \\ \phi(x, y) &= \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{\lambda_1(x, y)^2 + \lambda_2(x, y)^2}}{I(x, y)} \right), \\ \text{where } -\frac{3\pi}{4} &\leq \theta(x, y) \leq \frac{\pi}{4}, \text{ and } 0 \leq \phi(x, y) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Images of DAPI channels in cell-based tissue comprise 40 rich morphological nuclei information. Due to different sources of noise and variability, a simple thresholding of the DAPI image alone will not result in segmented nuclei regions. However, the morphological information a DAPI image provides can be used with other image transformations. In this example, the DAPI channel is used as a source of morphological information. The DAPI image is preprocessed by applying morphological operations to the image, such as erosion and dilation. Then a function 34D, $p_T : R \rightarrow [0,1]$, is defined, which maps the intensity values to probabilities (FIG. 3D). To implement such function, a parametric sigmoid function is defined to map the image intensity values to probability values. The parametric sigmoid function may be defined as:

55

In this example, since the cell nuclei have a blob-like

morphology which is bright, then the eigenvalues are negative and have an angle which is less than $\pi/2$. A probability map p_{CBS} is estimated iteratively, where the probability that a pixel 60 will belong in a blob-like structure is at a maximum when the pixel is at the center of the blob structure. Then a binary mask is estimated by selecting a threshold value, estimating the distance transform of the binary mask, where the response of the distance transform is at a maximum in the center of the 65 blob-like structures and decreases outward toward the border or membrane of the cell or nucleus in this example.

 $p_I(x) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-(m_I x + b_I)}},$

wherein the parameters m_I , b_I are estimated from the image intensity values.

In this example, an estimate (FIG. **3**C) is also generated based on a gradient segmentation algorithm **34**C. The gradient segmentation is based on the magnitude of the gradient and has a maximum response at the border of the object, (e.g. in this example, the membrane of the nucleus) and a minimum response inside the object (e.g. nucleus). This is a pen-

20

30

7

alty or distinguishing element that is used to separate the nuclei. The information of the gradient is complementary to the probability maps.

In addition to generating the probability maps, seed points are also determined (FIG. 4B). The seed points I_{Seeds} are 5 located at the local maximum probabilities that are, in this example, normally at the center of the nuclei, and they are defined as

$I_{Seeds} = I_{CBS} \overset{Seed}{\cup} I_{Gabor} \overset{Seed}{\longrightarrow}$

where I_{CBS}^{Seed} (38A) are the center points of the blob-like objects and I_{Gabor}^{Seed} (38B) are nuclei center point derived from the Gabor filter bank. The I_{CBS}^{Seed} comprise the centroid points of individual nuclei that are identified by those regions that have a local maxima, and they are defined as: 15

8

tively. FIGS. 6C and 6D show of the original image and the segmentation, respectively. The variations in shape, size, and appearance shown are due to non uniformity of the fluorescent dye. The segmentation results from the method are highly accurate and consistent, even in images where the cell nuclei are crowded, overlapping and frequently touching. As shown in FIG. 6, cells 50, 36, and 37 are clear distinguishable. While only certain features of the invention have been illustrated and described herein, many modifications and changes will occur to those skilled in the art. It is, therefore, to be understood that the appended claims are intended to cover all such modifications and changes as fall within the true spirit

of the invention.

$I_{CBS}^{Seed} = \bigcup_{i} \operatorname{centroid}(c_i),$

where c_i is a single connected region which represents a nuclei cell. The single connected regions c_i are estimated from the probability map I_{CBS} by applying the watershed transform to the distance transform image, derived from binary volume B_{CBS} . I_{Gabor} comprises the points that correspond to regional maxima corresponding to the response of the Gabor filter bank and they are defined as:

$$I_{Gabor}^{Seed} = \bigcup_{i} regMax(c_i)$$

where regMax is the local maxima operation.

Once the seed points are determined, morphological con- 35

The invention claimed is:

1. A method for segmenting a digital image into a plurality of target objects, comprising,

generating a plurality of probability maps of the image, wherein each probability map is derived from a different segmentation classifier;

generating a combined probability map based on the plurality of probability maps;

mapping a plurality of image points based on one or more local object maxima;

applying one or more object constraints based at least in part on the mapped points to identify local object information;

applying one or more regional thresholds to the combined probability map, given the local object information and a background mask, to segment the image into regions;
creating a segmented image at least in part by merging the segmented regions with corresponding local object maxima; and

- at least temporarily storing or displaying the segmented image on a digital device.
- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the object

straints **40** are derived from the seed points to ensure that the nuclei are effectively separated. FIG. **4**B shows the detected nuclei center points I_{Seeds} . These are used as a set **42** to impose regions of local minimum in the watershed algorithm. The background is also excluded, so regions corresponding to 40 local minima are imposed only in the foreground. The background is estimated from the combined stronger probability map generated from the individual weaker segmentations.

As shown in FIG. 2, given the determined seed points and the background mask, a watershed step 44 is then carried out 45 by applying the morphological constraints to the weighted image (FIG. 4C), as illustrated below:

 I_{Nuclei} =watershed($Dist(p_{s,\alpha}), I_{Seeds}$),

where $p_{s,\alpha}$ is the binary image **46** (FIG. **4**D) after applying a 50 threshold value α from the probability image p_s .

FIG. 4C is the local constraints image, notice that the nuclei center is black since it corresponds to a local minimum, and the cell borders are brighter since they correspond to local maximum. FIG. 4D presents the detected nuclei regions, and 5B are the original and the segmented image, respec-

constraints is a morphological constraint.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein the morphological constraint is based on a cell nucleus.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the target objects are biological cells.

5. The method of claim **1**, wherein segmentation classifiers are selected from a group consisting of size, shape, intensity, texture, wavelets and fractals.

6. The method of claim **1**, wherein the combined probability map is based on a weighted average of the plurality of probability maps.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein the weighted average is defined empirically.

8. The method of claim 6, wherein the weighted average is predefined.

9. The method of claim 1, wherein at least one of the segmentation classifiers is based on object curvature.

10. The method of claim 9, wherein at least one of the segmentation classifiers is a set of digital filters derived at least in part from a Gaussian function and an harmonic function.

11. The method of claim 9, wherein one or more of the segmentation classifiers is based on gradient, intensity, wavelets or fractals.

* * * * *