

US007668737B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Streepy, Jr.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 7,668,737 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Feb. 23, 2010**

(54) **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INTERFACING
WITH A MULTI-LEVEL DATA STRUCTURE**

4,965,763 A 10/1990 Zamora
4,974,191 A 11/1990 Amirhodsi et al.
5,018,067 A * 5/1991 Mohlenbrock et al. 600/300

(75) Inventor: **Larry V. Streepy, Jr.**, Ridgway, CO
(US)

(73) Assignee: **Health Language, Inc.**, Denver, CO
(US)

(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 1270 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-
claimer.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Keith Campbell, Diane Oliver, Kent Spackman, Edward Shortliffe;
Representing Thoughts, Words and Things in the UMLS; Sep./Oct.
1998; Journal of American Medical Informatics Association; vol. 5,
No. 5, pp. 421-431.*

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **10/660,934**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 12, 2003**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2004/0049522 A1 Mar. 11, 2004

Related U.S. Application Data

(62) Division of application No. 09/829,461, filed on Apr.
9, 2001, now Pat. No. 7,120,646.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/00 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **705/3; 707/4; 707/3; 707/104.1;**
706/50; 705/2; 704/9; 600/300; 382/280

(58) **Field of Classification Search** **705/2,**
705/3; 600/300; 706/50; 707/4; 704/9
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,177,514 A 12/1979 Rupp
4,780,831 A * 10/1988 Iwata et al. 382/280
4,839,853 A 6/1989 Deerwester et al.
4,868,733 A 9/1989 Fujisawa et al.
4,914,590 A 4/1990 Loatman et al.

Primary Examiner—C. Luke Gilligan

Assistant Examiner—Dilek B Cobanoglu


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Sentry Law Group; Steven P.
Wigmore

(57)

ABSTRACT

The present invention provides a method and system for
interfacing with a multi-level data structure by selecting a
concept object stored in the multi-level data structure, dis-
playing a first image representing the selected concept object,
displaying one or more second images generally above the
first image, and displaying a first connector connecting each
second image to the first image. Whenever the selected con-
cept object has one or more child concept objects, one or more
third images are displayed generally below the first image,
and a second connector is displayed connecting each third
image to the first image. Whenever the selected concept
object has one or more lateral concept objects, one or more
fourth images are displayed generally on one or both sides of
the first image, and a third connector is displayed connecting
each fourth image to the first image.

17 Claims, 20 Drawing Sheets



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,056,021	A	10/1991	Ausborn		
5,130,924	A	7/1992	Barker et al.		
5,230,072	A	7/1993	Smith et al.		
5,315,646	A	5/1994	Babson, III et al.		
5,325,293	A *	6/1994	Dorne	705/2	
5,359,724	A	10/1994	Earle		
5,369,763	A	11/1994	Biles		
5,379,366	A	1/1995	Noyes		
5,386,556	A	1/1995	Hedin et al.		
5,560,005	A	9/1996	Hoover et al.		
5,594,837	A	1/1997	Noyes		
5,615,362	A	3/1997	Jensen et al.		
5,619,709	A	4/1997	Caid et al.		
5,630,125	A	5/1997	Zellweger		
5,644,686	A	7/1997	Hekmatpour		
5,675,745	A	10/1997	Oku et al.		
5,675,784	A	10/1997	Maxwell et al.		
5,684,985	A	11/1997	Ahmadi		
5,696,885	A	12/1997	Hekmatpour		
5,706,506	A	1/1998	Jensen et al.		
5,720,007	A	2/1998	Hekmatpour		
5,724,575	A	3/1998	Hoover et al.		
5,742,811	A	4/1998	Agrawal et al.		
5,767,854	A	6/1998	Anwar		
5,768,578	A	6/1998	Kirk et al.		
5,778,345	A	7/1998	McCartney		
5,781,879	A	7/1998	Arnold et al.		
5,802,508	A	9/1998	Morgenstern		
5,806,056	A	9/1998	Hekmatpour		
5,809,476	A *	9/1998	Ryan	705/2	
5,809,499	A	9/1998	Wong et al.		
5,819,270	A	10/1998	Malone et al.		
5,838,965	A	11/1998	Kavanagh et al.		
5,873,056	A	2/1999	Liddy et al.		
5,924,074	A	7/1999	Evans		
5,970,463	A *	10/1999	Cave et al.	705/3	
5,974,389	A	10/1999	Clark et al.		
5,978,804	A	11/1999	Dietzman		
5,983,170	A	11/1999	Goodman		
6,055,494	A *	4/2000	Friedman	704/9	
6,083,276	A	7/2000	Davidson et al.		
6,101,515	A	8/2000	Wical et al.		
6,137,911	A	10/2000	Zhilyaev		
6,163,781	A	12/2000	Wess, Jr.		
6,178,416	B1	1/2001	Thompson et al.		
6,219,632	B1	4/2001	Schumacher et al.		
6,237,006	B1 *	5/2001	Weinberg et al.	707/103 R	
6,243,669	B1	6/2001	Heriguchi et al.		
6,260,008	B1	7/2001	Sanfilippo		
6,275,789	B1	8/2001	Moser et al.		
6,278,968	B1	8/2001	Franz et al.		
6,282,507	B1	8/2001	Horiguchi et al.		
6,282,538	B1	8/2001	Woods		
6,304,259	B1	10/2001	DeStefano		
6,313,390	B1	11/2001	Adriaans et al.		
6,314,556	B1	11/2001	DeBusk et al.		
6,345,387	B1	2/2002	Morrison		
6,353,817	B1 *	3/2002	Jacobs et al.	706/50	
6,356,864	B1	3/2002	Foltz et al.		
6,490,581	B1 *	12/2002	Neshatfar et al.	707/4	
6,551,243	B2 *	4/2003	Bocionek et al.	600/300	
6,611,846	B1 *	8/2003	Stoodley	707/104.1	
6,618,733	B1 *	9/2003	White et al.	707/103 Y	
6,915,254	B1 *	7/2005	Heinze et al.	704/9	
7,110,955	B1 *	9/2006	Barhnart et al.	705/3	
7,222,066	B1 *	5/2007	Oon	704/9	
2002/0010582	A1	1/2002	Firman		
2002/0049600	A1	4/2002	L'Esperance et al.		
2002/0091680	A1 *	7/2002	Hatzis et al.	707/3	
2002/0198885	A1	12/2002	Streepy		

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Flip Korn, "A Taxonomy of Browsing Methods: Approaches to the 'Lost in Concept Space' Problem" Online, [Online] 1996, pp. 1-27, XP002443738 Retrieved from the Internet: URL: <http://citeseer.ist.psu.edu/cache/papers/cs/3507/http:zSzzSzwww.cs.umd.edu/zSprojectszSchcilzSzvisible-humananzSzvhpzSzgraphbrowse.pdf/korn96taxonomy.pdf> [retrieved on Jul. 20, 2007] (Pertinent pages abstract, p. 4, last paragraph—p. 12, paragraph 2).

Cerveri P. et al., "Java interface to human anatomy knowledge", Euromicro Conference, 2000. Proceedings of The 25th Sep. 5-7, 2000, Los Alamitos, CA, USA, IEEE Comput. Soc, US, vol. 2, Sep. 5, 2000. pp. 384-390, XP010514269, ISBN: 0-7695-0780-8 (Pertinent, the whole document).

Beaza-Yates R. et al, "Modern Information Retrieval", Modern Information Retrieval, Harlow: Addison-Wesley, GA, 1999, pp. 257-339, XP002210866, ISBN: 0-201-39829-X (Pertinent, p. 269-p. 271; p. 318-p. 321).

Furnas G. W. et al, "Multitrees: enriching and reusing hierarchical structure" Proceedings of Chi: ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, xx, xx, Apr. 24, 1994, pp. 330-336, XP002378400.

Dyke Parunak Van H, "Hypermedia Topologies and User Navigation" Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Hypertext, Nov. 1989, pp. 43-50, XP000865502 (Pertinent, the whole document).

European Search Report for Application No. EP 02 73 1315 dated Jul. 26, 2007.

* cited by examiner




Fig. 1










Fig.2





*Fig.5*



*Fig. 7*




Fig. 8A

872

874

876

878

Concept Type Displayability Settings			
Check concept types that should be displayed for the respective components			
Concept Type	Plex	Attribute Table	Search Dialog
CPT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
First DataBank	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
FOUNDATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
HCPC8	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ICD-10	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ICD-10-AM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ICD-9-CM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ICPC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
MeSH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NIC	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Fig.8B

836

838

840

842

GUID

Concept Type Name

RoseBilling

Concept Type Nickname

RoseBilling

Description

Hierarchical Rose Billing Code

Fig.8C




Fig. 9






Fig. 10A








Fig. 11A



Edit Relation Definition

GUID:

Relation Name:

Has Rose Code

Relation Nickname:

Rose Code

Description:

Relates a concept to a Rose billing system code

Attribute	Value
Is Reciprocal	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reciprocal Name	
Type Restriction	Equal
Source Type	ICD-9-CM
Target Type	ICD-9-CM
Hierarchical	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inheritance Relation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Cardinality	Single-Valued
Ordered	<input type="checkbox"/>
Transitive	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acyclic	<input type="checkbox"/>
Inheritable	<input type="checkbox"/>
Relationship qualifier	None
Displayable	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Immutable	<input type="checkbox"/>

OK

Cancel

1170

1172

1174

1176

1178

1180

1182

1184

Fig.11D






Fig. 12A

*Fig. 12B**Fig. 12D*





Fig. 12C



Edit User Preferences [X]

1326 Session Settings

1351 ☒ Restore last active concept

1356 ☒ Restore window position and dimensions

1361 ☒ Restore concept bookmarks

1311 ☒ Enable Plex resizing

1321 Navigation and Modeling Settings

1327 ☐ View Retired Concepts in navigation hierarchy

1346 ☐ Auto-replace subsumed/subsuming parents when adding a Parent

1348 ☒ Restore term candidates

1314 User Information

E-mail Address 1316

SMTP Host 1318

1337 Properties

1339 Facets Relations

Facet	Displayed
Abbreviation Code	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
AHFS Class ID	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CPT CODE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Definition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dispensable ID	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

1341

1343

1346

Fig.13B




Fig. 15




Fig. 14

1

**METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR INTERFACING
WITH A MULTI-LEVEL DATA STRUCTURE****CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATION**

This application is a divisional of and claims priority to U.S. application Ser. No. 09/829,461, filed Apr. 9, 2001 now U.S. Pat. No. 7,120,646, entitled "Method and System for Interfacing With A Multi-Level Data Structure," the entire contents of which are incorporated by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to the field of computer systems and, in particular, to a method and system for interfacing with a multi-level data structure.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

As demonstrated by the Unified Medical Language System ("UMLS"), merging multiple incompatible terminology "ideas" into one imposes a unity upon concepts that are not equivalent. This unification results in ambiguity of interpretation and a loss of structural integrity of the original coding system. While the UMLS has achieved the goal of imposing order upon unrelated data sets, it results in inappropriate representation of all the coding systems involved and, worse, true errors in the stated congruence of conceptual models.

Accordingly, there is a need for a multi-level data structure that provides a wide breadth of standardized knowledge, representing the concepts of every aspect of an enterprise. In addition, there is a need for a method and system that interfaces with the multi-level data structure and that enables easy access, use and maintenance of standardized knowledge relating to an enterprise.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention provides a method and system for interfacing with a multi-level data structure that encompasses a wide breadth of standardized knowledge representing the concepts of every aspect of an enterprise. The multi-level data structure of the present invention provides concepts and relationships that provide controlled terminology completely capturing the language of an enterprise. Moreover, the multi-level data structure or knowledge model of the present invention provides for the accurate and complete representation of all terminology systems thereby maintaining the truth and integrity of each of those terminology systems.

In one representative embodiment of the present invention, an interface provides access to a multi-level data structure that has concepts and relationships that provide controlled medical terminology that completely captures the language of healthcare. The present invention also includes a multidimensional semantic content network. The interface of the present invention provides a language-modeling environment enabling the user to maintain and enhance the semantic content. A graphical user interface ("GUI") is also provided with which a user can easily navigate in order to use and maintain the terminology content.

The present invention provides a method for interfacing with a multi-level data structure by selecting a concept object stored in the multi-level data structure, displaying a first image representing the selected concept object, displaying one or more second images generally above the first image, and displaying a first connector connecting each second

2

image to the first image. Each second image represents a parent concept object of the selected concept object. Whenever the selected concept object has one or more child concept objects, one or more third images are displayed generally below the first image, and a second connector is displayed connecting each third image to the first image. Each third image represents a child concept object of the selected concept object. Whenever the selected concept object has one or more lateral concept objects, one or more fourth images are displayed generally on one or both sides of the first image, and a third connector is displayed connecting each fourth image to the first image. Each fourth image represents a lateral concept object of the selected concept object.

The present invention also provides a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium for interfacing with a multi-level data structure. The computer program includes code segments for selecting a concept object stored in the multi-level data structure, displaying a first image representing the selected concept object, displaying one or more second images generally above the first image, and displaying a first connector connecting each second image to the first image. Each second image represents a parent concept object of the selected concept object. Whenever the selected concept object has one or more child concept objects, one or more third images are displayed generally below the first image, and a second connector is displayed connecting each third image to the first image. Each third image represents a child concept object of the selected concept object. Whenever the selected concept object has one or more lateral concept objects, one or more fourth images are displayed generally on one or both sides of the first image, and a third connector is displayed connecting each fourth image to the first image. Each fourth image represents a lateral concept object of the selected concept object.

In addition, the present invention provides a system for interfacing with a multi-level data structure that includes a computer, a display communicably connected to the computer, a memory communicably connected to the computer for storing the multi-level data structure, and a computer program resident on the computer. The computer program selects a concept object stored in the multi-level data structure, displays a first image representing the selected concept object, displays one or more second images generally above the first image, and displays a first connector connecting each second image to the first image. Each second image represents a parent concept object of the selected concept object. Whenever the selected concept object has one or more child concept objects, one or more third images are displayed generally below the first image, and a second connector is displayed connecting each third image to the first image. Each third image represents a child concept object of the selected concept object. Whenever the selected concept object has one or more lateral concept objects, one or more fourth images are displayed generally on one or both sides of the first image, and a third connector is displayed connecting each fourth image to the first image. Each fourth image represents a lateral concept object of the selected concept object.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The above and further advantages of the present invention may be understood by referring to the following description in conjunction with the accompanying drawings in which corresponding numerals in the different figures refer to the corresponding parts in which:

FIG. 1 depicts a deployment model of a language engine in accordance with the present invention;

3

FIG. 2 depicts an object model of a language engine in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 3A depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 3B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing an enlarged view of focus concept **310**, lateral relation **315** and navigation line **320** from FIG. 3A in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 4 depicts an illustrative diagram of an overall system in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 5 depicts a flow diagram of general navigation in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 6A depicts a flow diagram of searching in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 6B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a search screen with results in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 7 depicts a flow diagram of managing taxonomies in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 8A depicts an illustrative diagram of manipulating information in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 8B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing displayability settings in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 8C depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a definition editor in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 9 depicts an illustrative diagram of name assignments in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 10A depicts an illustrative diagram of data association in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 10B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a definition management dialog box in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 11A depicts an illustrative diagram of linking concepts in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 11B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing parent/child and sibling relationship in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 11C depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing an alternative definition management dialog box in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 12D depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing an alternative definition editor in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 12A depicts an illustrative diagram of creating custom lists in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 12B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a term phrase editor in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 12C depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a custom list manager in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 12D depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a custom list search screen with results in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 12E depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing export formats in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 13A depicts a flow diagram of personalizing the user environment in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 13B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing user preferences in accordance with the present invention;

4

FIG. 14 depicts a flow diagram of reporting in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 15 depicts a flow diagram of generating interfaces in accordance with the present invention; and

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

While the making and using of various embodiments of the present invention are discussed herein in terms of a controlled medical vocabulary engine, it should be appreciated that the present invention provides many applicable inventive concepts that can be embodied in a wide variety of specific contexts. The specific embodiments discussed herein are merely illustrative of specific ways to make and use the invention and are not meant to limit its scope in any way.

The present invention provides a method and system for interfacing with a multi-level data structure that encompasses a wide breadth of standardized knowledge representing the concepts of every aspect of an enterprise. The multi-level data structure of the present invention provides concepts and relationships that provide controlled terminology completely capturing the language of an enterprise. Moreover, the multi-level data structure or knowledge model of the present invention provides for the accurate and complete representation of all terminology systems thereby maintaining the truth and integrity of each of those terminology systems.

In one representative embodiment of the present invention, an interface provides access to a multi-level data structure that has concepts and relationships that provide controlled medical terminology that completely captures the language of healthcare. The present invention also includes a multidimensional semantic content network. The interface of the present invention provides a language-modeling environment enabling the user to maintain and enhance the semantic content. A graphical user interface ("GUI") is also provided with which a user can easily navigate in order to use and maintain the terminology content.

The controlled vocabulary engine and GUI of the present invention are centered around the Systematized Nomenclature of Medicine Reference Terminology ("SNOMED RT") of the College of American Pathologists. Added to this core are concepts and relationships that completely capture the language of healthcare. Additional terminology sets can be added to supplement the clinical foundation supplied by SNOMED RT. These terminology sets may include administrative terminologies, such as ICD and CPT, pharmacy terminologies, MeSH, UMLS CUI codes, and others that are necessary for the management of clinical healthcare.

Referring to FIG. 1, a deployment model of a language engine **100** in accordance with the present invention is shown. The language engine **100** uses a three-tier deployment architecture, which includes a front tier **102** for client applications, a middle tier **104** for application services and a back tier **106** for a knowledge base. This deployment model offers the benefit of proven services, such as scalability, fault tolerance, etc., while providing an application programmer with several alternatives to choose from, when it comes to integrating the language model and its content within their application. The multi-level data structure or language model and its content will hereinafter be referred to as "the Lexicon."

The Lexicon content provided by the present invention is unique in the industry in its breadth of coverage for Healthcare industry standards. In addition to providing SNOMED/RT as the clinical foundation, the Lexicon contains the administrative terminologies needed in today's healthcare environment: The diagnosis and procedure codes (e.g. ICD 9 CM, ICD10, ICD 10AM) needed by billing applications;

5

drug codes needed by pharmacy applications (e.g., FDB and Multum), MeSH codes needed by literature search engines and more. While SNOMED RT provides a clinical foundation, administrative terminologies are logically mapped to their SNOMED RT counterparts and to each other, as appropriate.

The main service mechanism is delivered using a three-tier deployment architecture with access provided through Enterprise JavaBeans (“EJB”) and Common Object Request Broker Architecture (“CORBA”). The present invention facilitates local, enterprise-specific modifications and additions to the terminology content. New concepts can be added and linked to the reference standards. Unique concepts, such as charges, can be added. Microglossaries (term lists) can be managed for specific applications.

The front tier **102** client applications include various applications that provide access to the middle tier **104** application services and thus control of the language model and its content. The front tier **102** client applications may include LEXscape **108**, Vendor applications **110**, LEXindex **112** and Web Browser **114**. LEXscape **108** is a graphical user interface (“GUI”) for browsing and manipulating the Lexicon. A screen shot of LEXscape **108** is depicted in FIG. 2. LEXscape **108** is a content management facility for use by administrators and content editors of the Lexicon. Vendor applications **110** are user specific applications that access the middle tier **104** application services. LEXindex **112** is a GUI for indexing (or tagging) documents with concept identifiers. Web Browser applications **114** allow users to access the middle tier **104** application services via a wide area network, such as the Internet.

The middle tier **104** application services include an Application Server **116** and a Web Server **118**. The Application Server **116**, such as BEA’s WebLogic, provides the Enterprise Java Bean (“EJB”) server and container that provide access to the exposed object model via standard remote and serialized objects. The object model includes core objects, such as Relation **120**, Concept **122**, Term **124**, Microglossary **126** and Facet **128**, that will be discussed in more detail in reference to FIG. 2. The core objects **120**, **122**, **124**, **126** and **128** are implemented as visible EJB objects (i.e., accessible remotely), while supporting objects are passed as serialized objects. This model properly optimizes and makes trade-offs between round-trip method invocation time and EJB modeling. The EJB objects are also made available as CORBA objects (using IIOP). This provides support for distributed access from non-Java environments. The Application Server **116** may be hosted on either a Windows NT, Windows 2000, or AIX platform.

The Web Server **118** hosts servlets **130** that provides access to the Lexicon via an HTML/XML data stream over HTTP. The Web Server **118** provides servlet-based functionality, such as indexing and searching, when the full power of LEXscape **108** is not needed. For example, LEXindex **112** uses the servlet **130** to perform the indexing operation and retrieve the index results. When accessed by LEXindex **112** using an HTTP GET operation, the servlet **130** returns a simple web form that can be used to access the indexing services. When a URL or text buffer is submitted, an HTTP POST operation is sent back to the same servlet **130**. In response to the POST operation, LEXindex **112** retrieves the contents of the indicated URL (or text buffer) and then indexes the document using the requested query set. The results of the index operation are returned to the client in an XML document.

The database tier **106** handles the relational database that holds the Lexicon, mapped into relational form. The middle tier **104** provides the necessary relational-to-object mapping

6

for the database. Access to the database is made through JDBC from within the Application Server **116**. Preferably, application programmers are not provided direct access to the back tier **106**. The Lexicon utilizes a highly optimized, simple dB schema to hold the relational form of the Lexicon. The present invention supports the use of MS SQL Server 7.0 and Oracle 8i running on a Windows NT or Windows 2000 Server platform, and Oracle 8i running on AIX.

The present invention provides an application programming interface (“API”) having three major functional categories: (1) Direct and Related Object Access; (2) Searching; and (3) Exporting. Each of the objects in the model has a unique identifier. This identifier can be used to directly access the object on the server. For example, an application may store the Concept ID during data entry and then later access that Concept **122** via the stored ID. Each object API provides methods for accessing the related objects. For example, from a Concept **122**, you can obtain all the associated Terms **124**. From a Term **124**, you can access the associated Concept **122**. Navigation between objects is simple and intuitive.

The API includes a wide variety of search capabilities. Client applications can search for Concepts **122** by any of the following attributes: (1) by a specified Term type’s text (the text of a Synonym, for example); by the text of any related Term **124**; or by the value of a specified Facet **128**, such as SNOMED code. On any of these searches, wildcards may be used. For example, one could search for Concepts **122** that have an ICD-9 code starting with “207.” Further, the search may be constrained to locating Concepts **122** in a specified Taxonomy **202** (FIG. 2), or of a given ConceptType **222** (FIG. 2). Client applications may search for Terms **124** by any of the following attributes: (1) by text; or (2) by text and a specified Term type (only misspellings, for example). In addition to the above search mechanisms, client applications can also search Microglossaries **126** for term text or facet values.

The present invention can be used to provide data to applications that will not be continually connected to the Lexicon server by exporting data to external files. Exports can be made of specified Concepts **122**, entire sub-hierarchies, and Microglossaries **126**. The client has control over exactly what data are exported and the format of the export, such as XML, Comma-separated Values (CSV), or Query Set (intended for use in generating query sets to be used by the LEXindex tools).

The system can be accessed by logging-on through the use of a user ID and password. Security permissions are assigned on an individual or group basis, through the user ID. Security permissions determine the types of modeling activities that a user can perform. Some users may be limited to merely viewing, while others may be given full access to the entire range of functions available in the system. A “content administrator” has the highest access. Only content administrators may make changes that can potentially alter SNOMED RT or other coding systems.

Now referring to FIG. 2, an object model **200** of a language engine in accordance with the present invention is shown. Object model **200** includes the following objects: Concept **122**, Relation **120**, Facet **128**, ConceptType **222**, Relation-Definition **220**, FacetDefinition **228**, Taxonomy **202**, Microglossary **126**, MicroglossaryEntry **226**, Term **124**, TermType **224** and TermPhrase **204**. The Lexicon is exposed to client applications **108**, **110**, **112** and **114** (FIG. 1) via object model **200**. Objects that need to be remotely accessible are implemented as EJB objects, while those that are not are implemented as serialized objects. FIG. 2 uses UML syntax to represent the Lexicon objects and their associations. Infor-

mation regarding UML syntax can be found at the web site <http://www.rational.com/uml/index.jtmpl>.

The present invention provides an “aligned model” for representing terminology standards. This means that each standard is uniquely represented and then alignments (relationships) are created between the concepts in each standard. The power of this object model **200** lies in the understanding that the relationships between terminology systems must be represented independently of the conceptual ideas within the individual terminologies. This approach to terminology modeling provides unparalleled ability to represent and access the multitude of terminology standards in a specified field while remaining open to extension and enhancement by the end-user.

The object model **200** is preferably a meta-model, which means that the customer can define entirely new model component types. The use of a meta-model enables the present invention to accurately and completely represent nearly any terminology standard. Instead of trying to force each standard into a rigid, pre-defined structure, the object model **200** can be adapted to represent the standard in its true form.

The meta-model components define the attributes, or properties, for instances of each model type. For example, ConceptType **222** defines instances of Concept objects **122**, RelationDefinition **220** defines instances of a Relation **120**, and FacetDefinition **228** defines instances of a Facet **128**. This defining relationship is comparable to the relationship between a Class definition and a Class instance in object oriented programming languages.

Each of the major terminology systems, such as SNOMED RT, ICD-9 and MeSH, are defined as unique Concept types **222**. This allows the present invention system to recognize that the “ideas” represented in the terminology are defined within different logical realms. Even though Concepts **122** from different types can be related, they are not the exact same idea. For example, the concept of “Diabetes Mellitus” in SNOMED RT is related to the concept of “Diabetes Mellitus” in ICD-9, but they are fundamentally different ideas.

A RelationDefinition **220** essentially defines a unique way in which one or more Concepts **122** may be related. The present invention comes pre-configured with several useful RelationDefinitions **220**. For example, the IS-A RelationDefinition **220** defines a hierarchical relationship between two Concepts **122** where one concept is the “parent” and one is the “child”. Further, the parent is more general than the child and the child is more specific than the parent. Another example is “SNOMED-ICD_9”, a relation that provides a correspondence between a SNOMED concept and it’s closest (semantically similar) concept within ICD-9.

As mentioned above, Facets **128** are the mechanism used to store attributional data on an object within the Lexicon content. All of the core model components can have attributes, including Concept **122**, Term **124**, Relation **120**, Microglossary **126**, and MicroglossaryEntry objects **226**. The FacetDefinition **228** specifies the properties of a type of Facet **128**, including the restrictions on which types of object Facet **128** instances may be applied (e.g., a modeler may wish to restrict the use of a Facet **128** to only Term objects **124**), and constraints on the values the Facet **128** instances may hold (such as restricting values to integers or floating point numbers).

All of the meta-model components share a set of common properties: (1) a Globally Unique ID (“GUID”); (2) a localized display name; (3) a localized description; and (4) a nickname. The GUID is a unique ID of the object that is a large string of meaningless numbers used to uniquely identify all the major objects in the system. Preferably, the algorithm used to generate a GUID ensures the no two systems can

generate the same GUID. The localized display name is specific to a given language locale, such as “en_US” for US English. Each component can have multiple display names associated with it so that multiple languages can be supported. Likewise, the localized description allows multiple descriptions to be associated with each meta-model component. The Nickname eases access from within customer written applications, meta-model components each have a text nickname. This nickname must be unique among all the objects of a given type. Finder methods allow an application to locate a component by nickname. Thus, an application can locate the ConceptType **222** for SNOMED RT by doing a lookup on the nickname “SNOMED_RT” instead of embedding the GUID (a string of 32 hex digits) constant within their application. This increases readability and maintainability of the customer written code.

Each major terminology system (such as SNOMED, ICD-9, or MeSH) is defined as a unique ConceptType **222**. This allows the present invention to recognize that the “ideas” represented in the terminology are defined within different logical realms. Even though Concepts **122** from different types can be related, they are not the exact same idea. For example, the concept of “Diabetes Mellitus” in SNOMED is related to the Concept **122** of “Diabetes Mellitus” in ICD-9, but they are fundamentally different ideas.

The use of ConceptTypes **222** is not limited to representing medical terminologies. For example, assume that an enterprise wants to store within the lexicon supplies that can be consumed during various procedures. They can create a new ConceptType **222** to contain the supply objects and then relate each procedure to the supplies that are, or can be, used during that procedure. There is no limit to the types of data that can be modeled and correlated within the lexicon.

A RelationDefinition **220** essentially defines a unique way in which one or more Concepts **122** may be related. Each Relation **120** has a single “source” Concept **122** and the instance relates that source to one or more “target” Concepts **122**. Since most terminology standards utilize a hierarchical, or taxonomic structure, the present invention comes with a parent/child relation already defined. The parent/child relation (also called an IS-A relation) defines a hierarchical relationship between two Concepts **122** where one concept is the “parent” and one is the “child”, the parent being a more general idea than the child. The parent/child relation also defines a classification system wherein the child is classified as a “kind of” the parent. For example, the Concept **122** of “Peptic Ulcer” is a child of “GI Ulcer” which is a child of “Disease of GI tract” which is (skipping some intermediate concepts) a child of “Disease”. Thus, “Peptic Ulcer” is a more specific form of “GI Ulcer” and it is classified as a “Disease”. Further, the Concept **122** of “Peptic Ulcer” has a relationship (of type “Associated Topography”, as defined by SNOMED) to the “Upper GI tract”, meaning that this disease affects the upper GI tract.

SNOMED RT, for example, defines numerous “role” relationships. These roles provide additional semantic definition to the medical concepts that are being related. The present invention represents each of these role types using a RelationDefinition **220**. Then, instances of the Relation **120** embody the specific relationships defined by SNOMED RT. A few of the roles are explained below for clarity.

Associated Topography For disorders, names the site affected by a condition, or the affected site resulting in a condition.

For procedures, names the anatomical site affected by a procedure.

Associated Function Relates a finding or disorder to its associated biologic function. Whereas lung cancer has topog-

raphy of “Lung”, a voice disorder has functional feature “voice”. There is no topographical location for voice since it really has no one single site that creates it. A sexual disorder would have functional feature “sex” or “sexual behavior.”

Branch Of Names the relationship of arteries, veins, nerves, lymphatics, and other similar structures to their branches.

Procedure Approach Names the directional, spatial, or relational access to the topographic site of a procedure. An “excision of the leg by cutaneous approach” has a procedural approach of “cutaneous approach”

Relations **120** allow one to richly articulate the complex interactions of medical information. In its simplest form a “relation” allows us to say that the clinical disease, “Diabetes Mellitus” is equivalent to the billing code ICD-9 “250”. Equivalent relations are often referred to as “crosswalks” or “crossmaps” and the present invention comes with a rich assortment of these crosswalks. Even simple relations such as these can be made more complex in the medical realm when one considers the reciprocity of relations. If we assert that “A” is related to “B”, it may also be true that “B” has some relation to “A”. The knowledge model supports the definition of such reciprocal relations, with the full understanding that they are not always true and must be carefully articulated. Further, relations between billing codes and clinical notions may not be one-to-one. That is to say that a given ICD-9 code may be more specific than, equal to, or more general than its related clinical Concept **122**. The knowledge model allows such clarifications to be expressed with the “Relationship” property, see below for details.

But Relations **120** are useful for more than just saying that two things are equivalent. They provide a robust mechanism for specifying arbitrary types of relationship between objects. It is these complex relations that allow us to represent the information needed by medical logic modules, billing modules, rules engines, and more.

For example, a medical logic module might depend upon relations in the lexicon to support the following alerts: (1) alert the clinician to do an eye exam whenever the diagnosis of diabetes is made; and (2) if the diagnosis of meningitis is made, make sure the patient has neck stiffness and fever. In another example, a billing logic module might depend upon relations in the Lexicon to send an ICD-9 code (“250”) to a billing application each time a clinician enters a diagnosis of “Diabetes Mellitus.” In yet another example, a business logic module might depend upon relations in the lexicon to assert enterprise policy: (1) if an upper GI series is ordered, a GI consult is required; and (2) if a 5th generation antibiotic is ordered, an Infectious Disease consult is required.

Each RelationDefinition **220** holds the properties that define characteristics of the instances of the relation type. The salient properties are listed below.

Property	Description
Displayable	Whether instances of this relation should be displayed (by default) within visual clients.
Immutable	Indicates if, once an instance of this relation is created, it can be modified or removed by the standard modeler security level. This setting provides support for controlling the modifiability of relations defined by external standards (like SNOMED) or by vendor customers that wish to prevent their customers from modifying pre-defined relation instances.
Hierarchical	Indicates if this relation is hierarchical in nature. Hierarchical relations can be used by LEXscape to provide a hierarchy view. By definition, the source

-continued

Property	Description
	Concept 122 is “greater than” the target Concept 122 in the hierarchy; i.e., the source is the parent and the target is the child.
Inheritance	Indicates if facet values inherit along this relation
Inheritable	Indicates if this relation is inheritable along hierarchical lines. If true, then when a parent is added to a concept 122 (or a new child is created), then the parent’s values for this relation will be inherited by the child 122.
Cardinality	Either single (1:1) or multiple (1:M).
Ordered	For 1:M relations, indicates if the set contents are ordered.
TypeRestriction	Indicates the restrictions, if any, on the source and target concept types. Possible values are: None No restrictions Equal The types are not individually restricted, but the source and target must be of the same type.
	LimitSource Limits the source concept type to the type indicated in the SourceType property.
	LimitTarget Limits the target concept type to the type indicated in the TargetType property.
	LimitBoth Limits the source and target concept type to the types indicated in the appropriate property.
SourceType	If used, this is a ConceptType ID indicating that this relation can only be applied to Concepts of that type.
TargetType	If used, this is a ConceptType ID indicating that this relation can only be targeted at Concepts of that type.
Relationship	In essence, how do the concepts 122 compare to each other. The options are: None The concepts are simply related, no comparison is being made. Equal They are equivalent concepts. More general The source Concept 122 is more general than the target Concept 122. More specific The source Concept 122 is more specific than the target Concept 122. Note that “More general” and “More specific” are commonly used to relate coding concepts to foundation (clinical) concepts.
Transitive	Indicates if this is a transitive relationship. That is, if Concept A is related to Concept B, which is related to Concept C, is Concept A related to Concept C?
Acyclic	If this Relation 120 is hierarchical, then this property is always TRUE. For non-hierarchical Relations, this flag indicates whether the server must enforce acyclic relationship graphs.
Reciprocal	A flag indicating if this Relation 120 can be viewed in a reciprocal (inverse) manner. For example, the inverse of “Has Parts” is “Part Of”. Some relations may not reasonably be, or make no sense when inverted.
ReciprocalName	If Reciprocal is TRUE, then this field provides the display name to use when referencing the reciprocal view of a relation.

A FacetDefinition **228** defines the properties of facet values that can be applied to many of the core model objects. Facets **128** can be applied to Concepts **122**, Relations **120**, Terms **124**, Microglossaries **126**, and MicroglossaryEntries **226**. Facets **128** are attributional data, often application-specific, associated with a model object.

Facet values are used to represent the “code” values that most terminology standards associate with a conceptual object within the terminology. For example, the SNOMED Code associated with a specific concept is stored in a facet value on that Concept **122** object, and the SNOMED Description Id associated with a term is stored in a facet value on the Term **124** object. Similarly, the ICD-9 code is associated with an ICD-9 concept using a facet value.

11

Facets **128** are the typical place that an application would store information regarding a model object, such as a cost code, or ancillary ordering code for a Concept **122** that represents an orderable test. Another example, applicable to an e-commerce setting, would be to store identifiers indicating items for sale that are related to a specific symptom or diagnosis, such as syringes or blood test kits for Diabetes.

Each FacetDefinition **228** holds the properties that define characteristics of the instances of that Facet type. The salient properties are listed below.

Property	Description
Displayable	Whether instances of this Facet 128 should be displayed (by default) within visual clients.
Value Type	Controls the type of values that can be specified in instances of this Facet 128 . Options are: String Any value. Integer Values must be strings that are legal integer numbers, e.g., 12345. Float Values must be swings that are legal floating-point numbers, e.g., 54.321.
Immutable	Indicates if, once an instance of this Facet 128 is created, it can be modified or removed by the standard modeler security level. This setting provides support for controlling the modifiability of facet values defined by external standards (like SNOMED) or by vendor customers that wish to prevent their customers from modifying pre-defined facet instances.
Inheritable	Indicates if this Facet 128 is inheritable along hierarchical lines. If true, then when a parent is added to a Concept 122 (or a new child is created), then the parent's values for this Facet 128 will be inherited by the child.
Cardinality	Either single or multiple. Single cardinality facets can only have a single value, while multiple cardinality facets may have multiple values. Cardinality has a specific impact on inheritable facets, as shown in the table below.

Note that the Inheritability and Cardinality attributes of a FacetDefinition **228** interact as defined in the table below.

	Non-Inherited	Inherited
Single Cardinality	The Facet 128 may be given only a single value and it will apply only to the Concept 122 on which the Facet 128 is mentioned. None of that Concept's 122 descendants are affected. In essence this type of Facet 128 is local to the Concept 122 on which it is placed (mentioned).	The Facet 128 will apply to the Concept 122 on which it is mentioned and to all of that Concept's 122 descendants. If a Concept 122 inherits the Facet 128 from multiple parents, only a single value will be selected. Further, a Facet 128 of this type placed (mentioned) on a given Concept 122 overrides any value it might inherit from its parents, and this new value is then inherited down to all the descendants of said Concept 122 .
Multiple Cardinality	The Facet 128 may be given one or more values and they will apply only to the Concept 122 on which the Facet 128 is mentioned. None of that Concept's 122 descendants are affected. In essence this type of Facet 128 is local to the	The Facet 128 may be given one or more values and they will apply to the Concept 122 on which it is mentioned and to all of that Concept's 122 descendants. If a Concept 122 inherits the Facet 128 from multiple parents, all the values from all the parents are accumulated. Any value for a Facet 128 of this type mentioned on a given Concept 122 is added to the set of values

12

-continued

Non-Inherited	Inherited
Concept 122 on which it is placed (mentioned).	inherited to further descendants.

The core, or central object within the Lexicon is the Concept **122**. As described above, it represents a “unique entity of medical domain knowledge.” Its defining ConceptType **222** categorizes each Concept **122**. Every Concept **122** has at least one parent Concept **122** and zero or more child Concepts **122** (determined by instances of the CHILD relation type). Concepts **122** that have no children are referred to as leaf Concepts **122**.

A Concept **122** may represent something as broad as “Diagnosis” (i.e., the class of all diagnoses), to extremely granular entities necessary for clinical documentation, such as “Biliary Calculus (or Gallstone).” Concepts **122** are organized into a multi-axial hierarchy. This means that a Concept **122** can have multiple parents, i.e., it can reside in multiple classifications. For example, the Concept **122** representing “Bacterial Pneumonia” is classified within “Infection of Trunk” as well as within “Bacterial Infectious Disease.” Each Concept **122** should be unique and distinct from all other concepts.

Much of a Concept's **122** meaning stems from its relations to other concepts. Its parentage determines the classification of the Concept **122**, while the Concept **122** itself determines one level of classification for all its descendants. Its non-hierarchical relations can specify additional semantic context. For example, the SNOMED Roles associate a Concept **122** with affected topographic location, morphologies, etc. Concept **122** objects may have an arbitrary number of Facets **128**. However, essentially all the interesting data regarding a Concept **122** resides in other objects associated with the Concept **122** object. These objects are discussed below.

Terms **124** hold the words used to verbalize a Concept **122**. Terms **124** are organized within language locales (such as “en_US”) to support international usage. Terms **124** are also the words used to document an encounter with a patient. When a physician documents that a patient has a broken leg, the phrase used to do so comes from the Term **124** associated with the Concept **122** of “Broken Leg”. Each Concept **122** can have numerous Terms **124** associated with it, but each Term **124** object is associated with exactly one Concept **122** object. Each Term **124** has a number of attributes that further define its applicability for different uses. The attributes are: (1) the language locale in which this Term **124** is used, “en_US” for example; (2) the text string, as in “Broken Leg”, (3) Display Term, which marks the Term **124** that should be used by default to display the associated Concept **122** (This term is the one LEXscape uses to render the nodes in the Concept Display); and (4) the Term Type **224**, which indicates the primary use of the Term **124**. Term objects **124** may have an arbitrary number of Facets **128**.

The various TermTypes **224** are listed below:

Synonym	The set of Synonym terms for a Concept 122 are all the standard ways of expressing the Concept 122 . The use of synonymous Terms 124 is the standard method for providing local variations in terminology, both for an Enterprise and for individual caregivers.
Consumer Term	A “common name” or colloquialism for a medical Concept 122 . For example, the Term “Pass out” is a

-continued

	consumer Term for “Syncope”.
Grammatical Variant	Grammatical variants, noun and verb tenses, etc., of the preferred Term 124 or synonym. For example, “nervousness” vs. “nervous”, “break” vs. “broken”.
Abbreviation	An accepted abbreviation or shortened form. For example, “Coronary Artery Bypass Graft” is often abbreviated as “CABG.”
Misspelling	A common misspelling of another Term 124. Misspellings can be entered to aid in searching, especially when supporting lay people. Note that misspellings should never be used to document, but are useful information for locating Concepts.
Short	Many coding systems use lengthy, detailed text strings to refer to Concepts 122. These lengthy strings are often truncated for use by applications. The only difference between these terms is the length, or detail, of the phrase. This term type indicates a “short” phrase for the Concept 122. Note that the determination of what is short, medium, or long is up to the creators of the coding system.
Medium	A “medium” length phrase.
Long	A “long” phrase.
Coding Modifier	The term type “Coding Modifier” indicates a term that must be used in the context of the hierarchy in which it lives. An example from ICD-10 is a term “Lips”. Out of the context of the hierarchy, it is not meaningful (worse it is ambiguous). However, within the context of the hierarchy, this term indicates a sub-classification of the parent concept (“Malignancy of”) clarifying its usage to the “Lips”.

Each Concept 122 object may have an arbitrary number of Relation 120 instances associated with it. A Relation 120 is a connection between one or more Concepts 122. A relation 120 provides an explanation for the way in which two concepts interact with one another. IS-A (parent-child or hierarchical) relations suggest a relation in which the parent concept is larger/broader and the child concept is more granular. Each Relation 120 instance holds the Concept Id (GUID) of the source Concept 122 and the target Concept(s) 122. All the pertinent information regarding the usage of the Relation 120 is specified in the RelationDefinition 220. Each instance of a Relation 120 is either mentioned or inherited. When a Relation 120 is created on a given source Concept 122, the Relation 120 is mentioned on that Concept 122. If the RelationDefinition 220 indicates that the Relation 120 is inheritable, then inherited instances of the Relation 120 will be added to all the descendents of the original source Concept 122. Note that in each inherited Relation 120, the source Concept 122 is the current descendent, not the original source Concept 122. Inherited Relation 120 instances cannot be modified. Relation 120 objects may have an arbitrary number of Facets 128.

A role relation is a special type of relation strictly defined by SNOMED to suggest horizontal relations that are definitional and not assertional. Example: “Asthma” has topography “tracheobronchial tree” is a SNOMED role relation. It relates two distinct concepts (a diagnosis and a body part, in this example) in a defining way.

Arbitrary Relations can be used in many ways to associate concepts of interest. For example, a SNOMED clinical diagnosis can be related to its ICD billing code. This would permit an interaction between a clinical documentation system and a billing application. Or an agency might wish to link a clinical diagnosis with its common symptoms to fuel a decision support system.

A FacetDefinition 228 defines each Facet 128 instance. The core model objects can have Facets 128 that hold attributional information about the object. Facets 128 are the typical place that an application would store information

regarding an object, such as a cost code, or ancillary ordering codes. Facets 128 are also used to hold the “code” values defined by most terminology standards. Facets 128 can be applied to the following object types: (1) Concept 122; (2) Term 124; (3) Relation 120; (4) Microglossary 126; and (5) MicroglossaryEntry 226.

When applied to Concept 122 objects, each instance of a Facet 128 is additionally defined as mentioned or inherited. When a Facet 128 is created on a given Concept 122, the Facet 128 is mentioned on that Concept 122. If the FacetDefinition 228 indicates that the Facet 128 is inheritable, then inherited instances of the Facet 128 will be added to all the descendents of the original Concept 122. Inherited Facet 128 instances cannot be modified, but they can be overridden by mentioning an instance of the Facet 128 on a descendent of the original Concept 122. The cardinality of the Facet 128 determines how inherited and mentioned Facets 128 interact.

Each Concept 122 is uniquely categorized by its defining ConceptType 222. Concepts 122 may be additionally categorized into Taxonomies 202. A Taxonomy 202 object is applied to a single Concept 122 object and defines a named sub-hierarchy of the Lexicon content. All the descendents of the target Concept 122 are part of the Taxonomy 202. The taxonomy name can then be used to constrain certain operations, such as searching, to Concepts 122 that reside within the sub-hierarchy. For example, the “Disease” taxonomy refers to the entire sub-hierarchy containing the Concept “Disease” and all of its descendants. Enterprises may specify their own names for identified sub-hierarchies and use them to bound searches or control exports.

As the name implies, a Microglossary 126 is a subset list (micro) of Terms 124 (glossary) from the Lexicon allowing users to view a custom subset of the Lexicon content rather than viewing the entirety of the Lexicon content. These Term 124 lists can be used for any purpose, at the discretion of the application designers. Typical uses are for creating “pick” lists for use within an application to speed structured data entry during an encounter. For example, a Microglossary 126 could be created that contains the top 25 chief complaints that a GP sees every day. Another example would be a list of medications that the Pharmacy has decided to carry for specific treatments, such as Beta-blockers. Microglossaries 126 are provided primarily for application use.

A Microglossary 126 contains an ordered list of MicroglossaryEntry 226 objects, described below, and an associated ExportContext. A Microglossary 126 can be exported to an external file. At the time of the export, the application (or user via LexScape 108) can select which attributes are to be exported. For example, an application may export the Term text, the related Concept ID, and the Concept’s SNOMED ID. The ExportContext saves the settings from the last export performed on a Microglossary 126. This allows for an automated re-export using the same settings. Microglossary 126 objects may have an arbitrary number of Facets 128.

Facets 128 can be applied to entire Microglossaries 126 to provide application-level information about an entire list. For example, a Microglossary 126 containing blood tests required for management of pregnant patients may have a Facet 128 that contains an instruction to display this list only for Obstetric encounters. Similarly a Microglossary 126 containing drugs to treat asthma in children might have a Facet 128 to constrain display of the list to encounters with pediatric patients.

Each entry in a Microglossary 126 contains the following: (1) the TermPhrase 204, which is an object holding one or more Term 124 references; and (2) a set of Facets 128. Facet values placed on a MicroglossaryEntry 226 provide a mecha-

nism to associate data with a Term **124** “in context” of the MicroglossaryEntry **226**. Facet values associated with a MicroglossaryEntry **226** are intended to provide a simple storage area for use by the application developer. With proper application support, this allows individual caregivers to manage their own term lists.

As another example, a Pharmacy could provide a Microglossary **226** of drugs orderable for certain conditions, in this case Beta-blockers. Based upon negotiated contracts, supply, or other factors, the Pharmacy manager will determine the “Beta-blocker of choice” on a semi-regular basis. Instead of having to notify every prescribing physician, the Pharmacy manager could simply assign a DRUG_CHOICE of “BETA1” to the drug of choice. Now, the physician that wants to prescribe a Beta-blocker and doesn’t need a specific drug (based on other findings) can simply order “BETA1”. This provides the Pharmacy with the control they need to manage costs in a manner that is extremely simple to administer.

The use of Facet values on MicroglossaryEntry **226** objects provides for “in context” information, i.e., the facet value is unique to the combination of Microglossary **126** and Term **124**. It is often inappropriate to place a Facet value on the Term **124** object, since there is no “context” for the Term **124** object. In the example above, it might not be appropriate to place the “BETA1” facet value on the Term “Atenolol”, so the value is placed on the MicroglossaryEntry **226** in the specific formulary (Microglossary **126**) in which it is located. In another formulary, possibly for use at a different clinic, Atenolol may not be the preferred beta-blocker, and in that MicroglossaryEntry **226** it would not have the “BETA1” facet value.

A TermPhrase **204** is an ordered set of one or more Terms **124** that represent a collection of Concepts **122**, such as “Dialysis without complications”. TermPhrase **204** objects live strictly within a given MicroglossaryEntry **226** object. They have no life beyond their use in a Microglossary **126**. Each TermPhrase **204** holds either a single term, or multiple, ordered Terms **124**. If the TermPhrase **204** holds multiple Terms **124**, it is said to be compound. Compound TermPhrase **204** objects are primarily used for rapid documentation and to handle combinatorial coding sets required by applications. A new Concept **122** could be created to represent the combination of Terms **124**, but that could lead to combinatoric explosion and general, non-useful clutter within the Lexicon. The TermPhrase **204** object should address existing incompatibilities between coding schemes of vastly different granularities that could not otherwise co-exist in the same data source.

Referring now to FIG. 3, an illustrative example of the LexScape **108** graphical user interface display in accordance with the present invention is shown. LexScape **108** provides a fully graphical, highly intuitive, interface with which users navigate the multidimensional semantic network of the Lexicon content. LEXScape **108** provides the language-modeling environment used to maintain and enhance the Lexicon content. The display **300** includes several adjustable viewing areas. Concept display **305** is the viewing area of the graphical user interface (“GUI”) where the lexicon is depicted graphically. The selected or focus concept **310** is the concept that is being worked on. Images representing the concepts or concept objects are typically displayed as text strings, which is usually the name of the displayed concept. Its facets and relations are listed in attribute panel **330**. Lateral relation **315** depicts other concepts connected to the focus concept. A line or other type of connector between the two represents either a lateral relation or navigational line, such as navigation line **320**. The appearance of a target concept and line connecting

it with its source concept is an aid to navigation that remains only for the duration of the current work session. Pushpin **325** enables the user to stack multiple attributes panels **330** or term facet panels **355**. The user can click pushpin **325** to retain a panel while navigating to other concepts. Clicking a panel tab brings that panel to the front. To remove a tacked panel, the user clicks that panel’s tab to bring it to the front and then clicks pushpin **325** to release it.

Attribute panel **320** lists the lateral relations and facets of focus concept **310**. Concept details **325** contain details relating to focus concept **310** such as its unique identifier, type, and taxonomy. Term panel **340** lists names for focus concept **310**. Term panel **340** also indicates such information as the display name, a retired term, text and type for focus concept **310**. Workbench **345** is the work area where the user can temporarily store terms and term phrases while modifying them. Microglossaries tab **350** displays the microglossaries panel. This panel will be blank until a microglossary has been created. Term facets tab **355** displays the term facets panel. Relation facets tab **360** displays the relation facets panel. Relation facets provide arbitrary data that may be stored in relations. Term phrase editor tab **365** displays the term phrase editor that is used to build phrases that can be stored as microglossary entries. Status bar **370** displays informational messages about system status and activities.

FIG. 3B depicts an illustration of a LEXScape graphical user interface display representing an enlarged view of focus concept **310**, lateral relation **315** and navigation line **320** from FIG. 3A in accordance with the present invention. Focus concept **311** is laterally related to concepts **317**. This relationship is depicted by lines **313**. Lines **313** are also navigation lines. Focus concept **311** can also have lateral relationships that can be viewed from a different perspective. Focus concept **311** becomes one of concepts **323** when focus concept **319** is selected. Lines **321** indicate the lateral relationship, as well as functioning as navigation lines.

Before the user can create and modify such object values as concepts, facets, relations and microglossaries, the user must first define the objects. The present invention provides many predefined objects such as concept type, relation definition, facet definition and microglossary definition. When the user begins adding objects and values by adding new concepts and facets, the first step is to decide the type of object or value to be added. To view a list of predefined object types, the user selects the appropriate “Edit [object type] Definitions” in the “Edit” selection from the menu bar at the top of the main GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. For example, if the user wants to see a list of relation types, the appropriate selection would be “Edit Relation Definitions.” The list appears as part of a dialog box that also allows the user to create new objects, delete objects and edit objects.

In addition, a user can open multiple main windows at one time. A new window is opened by selecting the “New Window” entry from the File menu, or by pressing a button on the toolbar. The new window is initially focused on the concept that was the focus concept of the window from which the “new window” operation was performed. Each window may be navigated independently. Drag and drop operations work between main windows. Using “side by side” windows dramatically simplifies the creation of lateral maps between concept types.

FIG. 4 depicts an illustrative diagram of an overall system in accordance with the present invention. The user logs-on in block **405**. The main display appears in block **410**. The user can then view concept display **415**, attribute panel **420**, term panel **425**, workbench **430** and multi-panel **435**. Contained within concept display **415** is focus concept **440** and any types

of associations related to focus concept **440** such as lateral relation **445** and/or navigation line **450**. From attribute panel **420**, the user can view lateral relation detail **455** and focus concept detail **460**. Term panel **425** allows the user to access terms for manipulations as described herein. Workbench **430** allows the user to temporarily store concepts and/or terms while working on them as described herein. Multi-panel **435** lists term name **465** as well as term facets tab **470**, relation facets tab **475**, microglossaries tab **480** and term phrase editor **485**. Specific functions of each of these tabs is described in greater detail herein.

FIG. **5** depicts a flow diagram of general navigation in accordance with the present invention. Navigation begins in start terminal **505** at the main display in block **510**. From the main display in block **510**, the user can opt to navigate by concept in block **515**, setting the focus concept in block **535** which then displays information related to that concept in block **555**. Alternatively, the user can navigate through the GO menu of block **520**. The user selects the location in block **540** from the GO menu list. The system then takes the user to that location in block **555**. Additionally, the user can navigate through the use of previously stored bookmarks in block **525**. The user selects the bookmarked location in block **545** and the system takes the user to that location in block **555**. Finally, the user can navigate through the use of terms in block **530**. By double-clicking on the displayed concept (designated by term) in block **550**, the user selects the desired concept. The system then displays information related to that concept in block **555**. Navigation ends in terminal **560**.

There are several navigation methods that may be employed: the concept display, the GO menu, bookmarking or by term. Each of these methods can be easily accessed through the main GUI display as exemplified by FIG. **3**. Additionally, the present invention provides methods of temporary linking multiple aspects together for easy access through the usage of a "pushpin." The pushpin enables the user to retain concept, term facet and relation facet panels by stacking multiple panels of the same kind for comparison purposes.

Navigation through the concept display is accomplished by clicking on the concept as shown in the main GUI display as shown in FIG. **3**. The new concept then becomes the focus concept. A focus concept can indicate, through the use of colors, its relationship to other concepts (i.e., parents, children, and facets). For example, the display of a blue background when the mouse cursor is placed over a focus concept can indicate that the concept has children. A green background could indicate that the focus concept is a "leaf concept" (i.e. one that has no children).

Navigation through the use of the GO menu is accomplished by selecting "GO" from the menu bar at the top of the main GUI display as shown in FIG. **3**. This functions in a manner similar to a WEB browser. The "GO" menu item "remembers" the locations within the concepts that the user has accessed and displays these locations in a list. The user can select the desired location from the list and will then be returned to that location. The "GO" menu item is reset when the user returns to the top-level concept.

Navigation through the use of bookmarks is a two-step process. First, the bookmark must be set. This can be accomplished in a variety of ways. The first way is by right-clicking the desired concept and then selecting "Bookmark this Concept" from the menu that is displayed. The second way is by navigating to the concept and then selecting "Bookmark" from the "Bookmarks" menu item on the main GUI screen as displayed in FIG. **3**. Alternatively, the user can select a concept and then click the "Bookmark" icon on the toolbar as

shown on the main GUI screen of FIG. **3**. To return to a desired concept, the user can select the "Bookmarks" menu item from the main GUI screen as shown in FIG. **3**. This also functions in a manner similar to a WEB browser. A list of bookmarks will be displayed, from which the user can select the desired concept in order to navigate to that concept. By double-clicking a concept listed in attribute panel **330**, term panel **340**, search results or a microglossary, the user can be navigated to the desired concept.

FIG. **6** depicts a flow diagram of searching in accordance with the present invention. The search begins in terminal **605** at the main display in block **610**. The user can search through the file menu in block **615** or through the toolbar icon in block **620**. The system displays a search dialog box in block **625**. The user then enters search criteria and conducts the search in block **630**. The system displays the search results in block **635**. The user can also navigate by using these results as described herein. The search ends in terminal **640**.

Searches can be performed in a variety of ways, such as exact match, contains and fuzzy search. Searches can be restricted by taxonomy or concept type. There are two (2) ways to access the search function. First, the user can select "Search" from the "File" menu item as shown in the GUI display of FIG. **3**. Alternatively, the user can select the "Search" icon from the toolbar as shown in the GUI display of FIG. **3**. Either of these methods opens the search dialog box.

The search dialog box allows the user to conduct a full text search or a search by term or by facet. Drop down selection boxes display lists of available terms or facets, depending on the type of search selected. The user can also select whether to restrict either the taxonomy or the concept type. Additionally, the user can choose the type of search methods from such options as: exact match; contains; starts with; and fuzzy. A text box enables the user to conduct searches on keywords not specifically found in either the term or facet drop down selection boxes. A full text type search is also available; this option implicitly inserts the "and/or" operators between all terms entered in the text box. Search results are returned in groups of 25 in alphabetical order by default. Other types of sorts and groupings may alternatively be used. A user can also navigate by using the search results. For example, by double-clicking on a returned result, the user can display the concept. Right-clicking on a returned result can display a menu containing options such as activate (navigate to the concept), show properties, add to exports or export now.

FIG. **6B** depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a search screen with results in accordance with the present invention. Box **650** contains search criteria, such as full text **652**, term **654** and facet **654** and their corresponding drop down selection boxes **656**, taxonomy **658** and concept type **658** restrictions and their corresponding drop down selection boxes **660**, search types exact match, contains, starts with and fuzzy **662** and text box **664**. When the user selects to search by term **654** or facet **654**, the corresponding drop down selection box **656** will become accessible. Term **654** and facet **654** may not both be selected at the same time; the user can only select either term **654** or facet **654**. Similarly, the user cannot select to restrict by both taxonomy **658** and concept type **658** at the same time. Again, when the user selects to restrict by taxonomy **658** or concept type **658**, the corresponding drop down selection box **660** will become accessible. Also, the user may only select one search type at a time from exact match, contains, starts with and fuzzy **662**. The search commences when search **666** is pressed.

Search results are displayed in box **670**. Each result **672** is displayed on a separate line. A result **672** can be used to

navigate as previously described. The user can jump forward in the search result list by pressing next **674** and can jump backward in the search result list by pressing back **676**. Line **678** displays the location of the user in the results list. The screen is closed by pressing close **680**.

A taxonomy is a division of items in two ordered groups, categories, or hierarchies. Medical information, for example, can best be present within hierarchies. In the present invention, a taxonomy is a hierarchy of concepts. For example, “procedure” is a taxonomy. All the children of “procedure” are a part of that taxonomy. The top level of a taxonomy is the root concept. The root concepts of the present invention are defined by the enterprise in which it is used. For example, the root concepts for the healthcare industry are: SNOMED RT; HCPCS 2000 (HCFA Common Procedure Coding System); ICD-10 (International Statistical Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision); ICD-10 AM (International Statistical Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems, Tenth Revision Australian Modification); CPT (Physicians’ Current Procedural Terminology); and ICD-9 CM (The International Classification of Diseases: 9th Revision-Clinical Modification). Users can define new taxonomies at any level below the root level. When a user defines a new taxonomy, the user does not create a new hierarchy by giving a name to a portion of an existing hierarchy. Identifying new taxonomies can optimize searching. For example, a cardiologist doing modeling solely in the area of cardiac disease could create a taxonomy with the concept “myocardial disease” as its top-level concept. This would enable searches that are restricted to this taxonomy.

FIG. 7 depicts a flow diagram of managing taxonomies in accordance with the present invention. The creation starts in terminal **705**. The user has the option to either add or remove the taxonomy in decision point **720**. If the user does neither, the taxonomy management flow ends in terminal **755**. If the user chooses to add a taxonomy in decision point **720**, the user then enters the name in block **725** and sets the system creation process into motion in block **730**. The system displays status messages in block **735** during the creation process. If, however, the user chooses to remove a taxonomy in decision point **720**, the user selects the root-level concept in block **710**. The system then checks to verify that the user has selected a root-level concept in decision point **715**. If the user has not selected a root-level concept, the user is not allowed to proceed and is returned to block **710**. If, however, the user has selected a root-level concept, the system then displays the selected root-level concept selection(s) in block **740**. The user then confirms their selection(s) in block **745** and sets the system removal process into motion in block **750**. The taxonomy management process ends in terminal **755**.

A user can create new taxonomies and delete those taxonomies that the user has created. To create a new taxonomy, the user navigates to the root-level concept under which the new taxonomy will be placed. Then, the user selects “Create Taxonomy” from the “Edit” menu item on the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. A dialog box that allows the user to enter the name of the new taxonomy will then be displayed. After entering the name, the user selects “OK.” The time it takes to create a new taxonomy will be based on the number of concepts in the custom taxonomy. Status messages may be displayed to update the user as to the progress of the creation. A status bar may also supply additional messages related to the creation. Once the new taxonomy is created, it will be displayed in the GUI screen as shown in FIG. 3.

To remove a taxonomy, the user navigates to the root-level concept of the custom taxonomy. Then, the user selects “Remove Taxonomy” from the “Edit” menu item as shown on

the GUI display of FIG. 3. The present invention prevents the user from removing a taxonomy if the root-level concept has not been selected. Once the correct level has been selected, a dialog box will be displayed the selected taxonomy. Multiple taxonomies can also be selected. They will all be displayed in the dialog box. The user can then select the one or more taxonomies (thereby reconfirming the choices) for removal and select “OK.”

FIG. 8 depicts an illustrative diagram of manipulating information in accordance with the present invention. The user selects the object to be manipulated in block **805**. From there, the user can choose to add/remove in block **810**, show/hide in block **815**, “drag & drop” in block **820**, export in block **825** and/or retire concepts in block **830**. If the user chooses to add/remove in block **810**, the user can further choose to create in block **835**, delete in block **850** and/or clone concepts in block **865**. Creating in block **835** and deleting in block **850** each operate on numerous objects. Create in block **835** allows the user to create concepts in block **837**, concept types in block **839**, facet values in block **841**, terms in block **843** and taxonomies in block **845**. Delete in block **850** allows the user to delete concepts in block **852**, concept types in block **854**, facet values in block **856**, terms in block **858** and taxonomies in block **860**. An alternative form of concept creation is to clone concepts in block **865**. The user can show/hide in block **815**. This operation can be performed on concepts in block **870** and/or terms in block **875**. Certain objects can be “dragged & dropped” in block **820**. “Drag & drop” can be used for such purposes as creating relations and formulating term phrases, as discussed herein. If the user chooses to export certain objects in block **825**, the user must also choose from the available export formats, such as XML in block **880**, CSV in block **885** and query sets in block **890**. The user can also choose to retire concepts in block **830**. Retired concepts are not removed from the system, but acquire a “read-only” type status.

The present invention enables the user to manage, export and retire concepts. Concepts can be shown or hidden. The user can clone concepts, add or remove facet values, add or remove terms, change term text, drag concepts to the workbench, include concepts on term phrases, include concepts in term lists (microglossaries), add or remove concepts, add or remove taxonomies.

Hiding or displaying concepts is accomplished by first choosing “Select Concept Type Displayability” from the “Edit” menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. This results in a listing of available Concepts and their displayability settings in various locations of the present invention. Checking a setting displays all concepts of the selected type; removing a check hides the concept.

FIG. 8B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing displayability settings in accordance with the present invention. Column **872** contains all of the concept types saved in the system. Columns **874**, **876** and **878** contain settings for individual components. For example, selecting a checkbox under column **878** indicates that the associated concept type should be included in search results, such as those displayed in box **670** of FIG. 6. Additionally, selecting a checkbox under column **876** allows the associated concept type to be visible in attribute panel **330** of FIG. 3. Selecting a checkbox under column **874** allows the associated concept type to be visible in concept display **305** of FIG. 3. Deselecting a checkbox under any column causes the associated concept type to be hidden in the related location. Alternatively, selecting a checkbox could hide the associated concept type, while deselecting could show it. Checkboxes

are merely one illustrative method of indicating a selection. Other methods, such as Y/N, H/S and radio buttons may also be used.

To create a new concept, the user navigates to the concept under which the new concept will be placed. The user then selects "Create Child Concept" from the "Edit" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. This causes a dialog box to be displayed in which the user can enter the new concept name, set its facets and view its type. The child inherits the type from the parent. The user then selects "OK" and the new concept is created.

An alternative method for creating concepts is by cloning. This is accomplished by navigating to the concept to be cloned and making it the focus concept. The user then selects "Clone Concept" from the "Edit" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. This displays a dialog box containing the concept being cloned and allowing the user to enter a name for the new concept. The type is also shown, but again is unmodifiable. The user then selects "OK" and the new concept is cloned from the existing concept.

New concept types can also be created. This is accomplished by selecting "Edit Concept Types" from the "Edit" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. A dialog box is displayed, listing available concept types available for modifications and deletions and containing an option for creating a new concept type. The selection of the "New" option results in another dialog box. The user then can enter a new concept type name, a nickname and a description. If the new concept type name is not entered, the nickname will be used for display purposes. In this case, the nickname will be required. Alternatively, if the nickname is not entered, the new concept type name can be used in its place. In this case, the new concept type name will be required. The description is also optionally, but can be made mandatory. Selection of the "OK" button returns the user to dialog box containing the listing of available concept types. Selecting "OK" on this dialog box returns the user to the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3.

FIG. 8C depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a definition editor in accordance with the present invention. Similar editors may be used for concepts, relations, facets, concept types and taxonomies. Box 836 is a unique identifier assigned by the system. Box 838 is a text box for entering a name identifier. If entry is required in box 838, then the entry must be unique. Box 840 is a text box for entering a nickname. If entry is not required in box 838, then it will be required in box 840. The converse is also true. If entry is required in box 840, then the entry must be unique. Box 842 is a text box for entering a description of the entered object. Box 842 may be either optional or mandatory.

A concept's properties can also be viewed and added. This can be accomplished by right-clicking the desired concept. The resultant menu displays such options as: show concept properties and add concept properties.

Concepts and their associated facets can also be exported into such formats as XML (extensible Markup Language), a query set and CSV (comma-separated values). This is accomplished by navigating to the concept, right-clicking on it and selecting either "Mark for Export" or "Export Now" from the resultant menu. The first selection adds the concept to a list of concepts to be exported. This list can be accessed by selecting "Concept Export Manager" from the "File" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. This displays a dialog box containing selected concepts and their relations and descendents. The second selection adds the concept to a list of concepts to be exported and immediately commences the export procedure by displaying the dialog box containing selected con-

cepts and their relations and descendents. The Export Manager can also be invoked from a button on the toolbar.

In this dialog box, the user has the option of deselecting the concept(s) and/or their relations and/or descendents. The user can further refine the export by selecting the "Enable Export Filters" option. This allows the user to include or exclude concepts based on facets. Any number of filters can be used. After making selections, the user clicks "Next." The procedure then differs based on whether or not filters have been enabled.

If filters have been enabled, a dialog box is displayed allowing the user to define and add filters based on facets. Various types of filters can be used, such as "include-if-present" and "exclude-if-present." Selecting "Next" resumes the basic export procedure.

At this point, the user is allowed to select the format into which the concepts will be exported. Again, the user selects "Next" to continue the export procedure. A dialog box is then displayed allowing the user to perform a variety of export-related functions such as specifying the export destination (for example, exporting to a new file or appending to an existing file) and testing the export. It is also possible to test the export and then save it to a file. Clicking "Finish" completes the export. The export may also be halted by selecting "Stop the Export." If the user selects CSV format, they may then select specific facets to be included as columns in the export. This option is not available for XML format since XML format contains all information on the concept being exported.

A concept that has been retired, has been removed from use but is still in the system. Retired concepts can be cloned, displayed or hidden. They may also be "unretired." However, terms, facets or relations cannot be added to retired concepts. All of the descendents of a concept selected to be retired must also be retired or moved to become the children of other concepts before a concept can be retired. Additionally, when a concept is retired, its terms are also retired.

To retire a concept, the user navigates to the concept and right-clicks on it. Then, the user selects "Retire Concept" from the resultant menu. A confirmation box is then displayed. The user clicks "OK" to retired the concept. When displayed, retired concepts can be visually designated by such means as a special symbol.

FIG. 9 depicts an illustrative diagram of name assignments in accordance with the present invention. The user commences a name assignment process in block 905. The user can choose to create in block 910, assign types in block 915, manage facets in block 920 or add a name to a concept in block 925. If the user chooses to create in block 910, the user can do so by either manipulating current terms in block 930 or importing terms in block 940. Manipulating terms in block 930 can further be broken down into the actions of adding in block 932, modifying in block 934, removing in block 936 and retiring in block 938. Before importing terms in block 940, the user must have or have created a text file containing those terms in block 942. Once the text files exists, the user can then import it in block 944. There are a number of types that can be assigned in block 915. These are, for example: synonym in block 950, misspelling in block 952, abbreviation in block 954, grammatical variant in block 956, consumer terms in block 958, short in block 960, medium in block 962, long in block 964 and coding modifiers in block 966. These are discussed in greater detail herein.

Terms give names to concepts. When creating a concept, the user must assign it at least one term so that the concept can have a display term. After a concept has been created, the user can add new terms to it, retire outdated terms, change a term's

type and reassign the display term. As with retired concepts, retired terms can also be shown or hidden. Terms can be used to build term lists, also known as microglossaries. Terms have facets and types. Term facets enable the user to link codes or other data to terms in the same manner that concept facets link associated data with concepts.

There are a variety of term types, such as: synonym (for example, asthma), misspelling (for example, azma for asthma), abbreviation (for example MI for myocardial infarction), grammatical variant (for example reddish as a variant of red), consumer term (for example, heart attack for myocardial infarction), short (for example, exploratory heart surgery), medium (for example, cardiectomy, exploratory w/removal, FB; w/o bypass), long (exploratory heart surgery with removal of foreign body; without bypass), coding modifier (external upper lip). Coding modifiers represent a portion of a diagnosis, procedure, or other entity. They are used in a coding system to reduce text by omitting the common part of a statement that would be repeated for a series of codes. For example, one group of coding modifiers from the ICD-10 series lists various areas of a lip (C00.0-.9). Use of one of these coding modifiers also assumes the inclusion of the text from code C00 which is "malignant neoplasm of lip."

The present invention allows the user to import lists of words that can be used as terms. By creating a plain text file of these words and importing the file into the present invention through the "Import Term Candidates" option on the "File" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. Once imported, these words appear on the workbench as shown in the GUI display of FIG. 3.

To add a new term to a concept, the user navigates to the concept and right-clicks on it. From the resultant menu, the user then selects "Add Term." A dialog box is then displayed enabling the user to enter the new term and select its type. The present invention checks to ensure that no identical active term exists for this concept in the current locale. If the term is unique, it is assigned and appears in the term panel for that concept.

To change a term type, the user right-clicks on the term and selects "Change Term Type" from the resultant menu (Terms may also be added, replaced and retired from the same menu.). A type list dialog box appears from which the user can select the new type. The change is completed when the user selects "Change" from the dialog box.

The display term for a concept can be changed if the concept has more than one term assigned to it. This is accomplished by right-clicking the term that will become the new display term and selecting "Make Display Term" from the resultant menu (This menu also allows the user to add terms, retire and replace terms, retire terms, change term type and access properties.). The present invention requests confirmation of the desired action. Selecting "Yes" completes the action.

FIG. 10A depicts an illustrative diagram of data association in accordance with the present invention. The user commences a data association process in block 1005. This process can be performed either through GUI access in block 1010 or menu access in block 1015. GUI access in block 1010 allows the user to work with concepts in block 1020, terms in block 1030 or relations in block 1040. For each of these objects, the user can edit (blocks 1022, 1032 and 1042, respectively), delete and confirm (blocks 1024 and 1028, 1024 and 1038, and 1044 and 1048, respectively) and modify (blocks 1026, 1036, and 1046, respectively). Menu access in block 1015 allows the user to perform data associations for microglossa-

ries in block 1050. Microglossaries and the associated actions performed upon them will be described in greater detail in relation to FIG. 12 herein.

Facets store values associated with concepts, terms, relations, microglossaries and microglossary entries. Facets have a variety of attributes, among them mentioned versus inherited, cardinality and inheritability. Facet values are "mentioned" only once. This is as related to the first concept to which it is added. Facet values can be inherited many times. If the facet is defined as inheritable, changes made to facet values on the concept where the facet value is mentioned affect not only the mentioned facet values, but also their descendents. Cardinality determines whether a facet can have only one, or multiple values of a particular facet on any given concept or term. Inheritability determines whether facet values are inherited by the concept's descendents.

Some facets store coding systems such as MeSH and LOINC. Others store information that will be used by external applications. For the healthcare industry, coding systems such as LOINC, MedicineNet, MeSH and UMLS, are stored in facets. Facets can be created, changed and deleted. Concept facets, term facets and relation facets are accessible from the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. Two (2) types of microglossary facets currently are available: those associated with the entire microglossary, and those associated with entries in the microglossary. Microglossary facets are accessed by selecting the "Microglossary Manager" from the "File" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. Microglossaries will be discussed in greater detail in relation to FIG. 12.

To manage facet definitions, the user selects "Edit Facet Definitions" from the "Edit" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. A facet management dialog box is displayed, listing all existing facet definitions and allowing the user to edit, create and delete. Selecting "New" will display a facet definition dialog box in which the user enters the facet name and/or nickname (one or the other will always be required and must be unique) and an optional, but highly useful, description. Various other facet attributes can also be set, such as type restriction, cardinality, displayability, inheritability, immutability and applicabilities (for example, term and concept). Clicking "OK" after entering and setting the facet name and attributes creates the new type of facet and closes the facet definition dialog box.

FIG. 10B depicts an illustration of a LEXscape graphical user interface display representing a definition management dialog box in accordance with the present invention. Similar editors may be used for concepts, relations, facets, concept types and taxonomies. The object names are listed in column 1060. New objects can be added by pressing new 1064. Existing objects can be modified by pressing edit 1062 or deleted by pressing delete 1066. Object applicabilities are indicated in column 1068. A checkmark in 1070, 1072, 1074, 1076 and/or 1078 of any row indicates that the associated object is applied in that manner. For instance, a checkmark in 1070 of any row indicates that the associated object is applicable to terms. Or, a checkmark in 1078 indicates that the associated object is applicable to relations.

To modify an existing facet definition, the user would highlight the desired facet definition and select "Edit" on the facet management dialog box. This displays the facet definition dialog box with the data related to the selected facet definition displayed. The user can then make modifications to the facet definitions in the areas as described in relation to creating new facet definitions.

To delete an existing facet definition, the user highlights the desired facet definition and selects "Delete" on the facet

25

management dialog box. The system then requests confirmation prior to performing the deletion.

The procedures for adding facet values to concepts, terms and relations are similar. In each case, the user must navigate to the concept, term or relation to which the facet value will be added. To add a facet value to a concept, the user right-clicks within the body of the attribute panel on the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3 (or on a row in the panel if a values are present). From the resultant menu, the user then selects "Add Facet." To add a facet value to a term or relation, selects the term or relation then clicks within the term or relations panel to access the context menu for that panel. To select a relation, the user then clicks its relation line in the concept display. Once the relation is selected, the name of the relation is displayed in the tab of the relation facets panel. To select a term, click that term in the term panel. In each case, the final result will be a dialog box listing the available facet types and a value data entry box. From the list, the user clicks the desired facet type and enters the facet's value. Finally, the user clicks "Add" and the new facet value is displayed as related to the concept, term or relation that the user selected.

Facet values can also be modified and/or deleted. To modify a facet value, the user right-clicks the facet value in the attribute or term panel of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. The user then selects "Edit Facet Value" from the resultant menu. A dialog box will then appear allowing the user to enter and new value for the facet. Clicking "Change" completes the process. To delete a facet value, the user right-clicks on the concept, term or relation from which the facet value is to be deleted. The user then selects "Remove Facet Value" from the resultant menu. The system requests confirmation of the action prior to processing the deletion request.

FIG. 11 depicts an illustrative diagram of linking concepts in accordance with the present invention. The user commences the relations process in block 1105. The user can manage hierarchical (parent/child) relations in block 1110, lateral relations in block 1115 or create new definitions in block 1120. Parent/child relations in block 1110 can be removed by deleting the link between them in block 1139. Creation of a parent/child relation can be accomplished in two (2) ways. The user can drag the parent to the workbench in block 1127, select the child in block 1129 and drag the parent above the child in block 1131. Alternatively, the user can drag the child to the workbench in block 1133, select the parent in block 1135 and drag the child below the parent in block 1137. Lateral relations in block 1115 are created by dragging the target to the workbench in block 1145, selecting the source in block 1150, choosing the relation type in block 1149, selecting both the source and the target in block 1151, and adding the lateral relation in block 1153. Creating a new definition in block 1120 involves adding in block 1155, modifying in block 1160 and deleting in block 1165.

A concept is defined by its relations, which link two concepts. The present invention provides two (2) predefined relation types: hierarchical (parent-child) and lateral. New relations types can be created. Parent-child relations are created when new concepts are added. Existing concepts can be linked by adding new parent-child relations. This can be accomplished by adding a new parent to a child concept, or by adding a new child to a parent concept.

FIG. 11B depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing parent/child and sibling relationships in accordance with the present invention. Focus concept 1112 has two (2) parents 1114 and three (3) children 1116. To view the siblings of focus concept 1112, the user selects one of the parents 1114, making it focus concept 1124.

26

Focus concept 1112 becomes child 1122 when parent 1114 becomes focus concept 1124. Focus concept 1112/child 1122 has two (2) siblings 1126.

The new parent cannot already exist within the child's hierarchy, either as an ancestor or a descendent. If it does, the present invention will generate a message. The message may be simply an error message or may offer an alternative action such as replacing the parent. If such an alternative is selected, inheritable properties of the new parent are added to the child concept, retaining its inheritability.

To add a parent, the user drags the concept to which the parent is to be added to the workbench on the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. Then, the user navigates to the concept to be added as the new parent and makes it the focus concept. The user drags the child concept from the workbench to an area below the new parent in the concept display. Alternatively, the parent concept could be dragged to the workbench, the child concept made the focus concept in the concept display, and the parent concept then dragged to an area above the child concept in the concept display. To remove a parent-child link, the user clicks the link and then confirms the removal for the system.

Lateral relations are not hierarchical. They may or may not define the focus concept. The present invention represents all SNOMED RT roles as lateral relations that define the source concept. Another type of lateral relation is a code set mapping, such as that which links SNOMED RT to ICD or CPT codes, but does not define either SNOMED RT or the coding concept. Code set mappings link a concept to another concept that represents a code in a set such as CPT or ICD-10. It is useful, for example, to link a clinical concept with an administrative coding concept.

To add a lateral relation, the user navigates to the concept that will be the target of the new relation and drags the concept to the workbench on the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. Next, the user navigates to the concept that will be the source of the new relation and right-clicks in the body of the attribute panel of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. From the resultant menu, the user then selects "Add Relation." A dialog box is displayed containing drop down selection boxes that list available relations and available concepts. The user selects the relation type and the concept that had been previously dragged to the workbench. Finally, the user clicks "Add" to establish the relation. A relation can also be created by dragging and dropping a concept from the workbench onto the attribute panel. In this case the add relation dialog appears, with the list of relation types already filtered down to those relation types that can legally have the focus concept as a source and the dropped concept as a target.

To create a new relation definition, the user selects "Edit Relation Definitions" from the "Edit" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. A relation management dialog box is displayed from which the user can select a relation name and opt to add, edit or delete. Selecting "New" displays a relation definition dialog box. Again, the user will enter either or both the name and nickname (one of which will be required and must be unique) and an optional description. Various relation attributes can also be selected such as: is reciprocal, reciprocal name, type restriction, source type, target type, hierarchical, inheritance relation, cardinality, ordered, transitive, acyclic, inheritable, relationship qualifier, displayable and immutable. Once all of the desired selections have been made, the user clicks "OK" to create the new relation type. The user is then returned to the relation management dialog box.

FIG. 11C depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing an alternative definition

management dialog box in accordance with the present invention. Similar dialog boxes may be used for concepts, relations, facets, concept types and taxonomies. The object names are listed in column **1154**. New objects can be added by pressing new **1158**. Existing objects can be modified by pressing edit **1156** or deleted by pressing delete **162**. The dialog box can be closed by pressing close **1164**.

FIG. **11D** depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing an alternative definition editor in accordance with the present invention. Similar editors may be used for concepts, relations, facets, concept types and taxonomies. Box **1170** is a unique identifier assigned by the system. Box **1172** is a text box for entering a name identifier. If entry is required in box **1172**, then the entry must be unique. Box **1174** is a text box for entering a nickname. If entry is not required in box **1172**, then it will be required in box **1174**. The converse is also true. If entry is required in box **1174**, then the entry must be unique. Box **1176** is a text box for entering a description of the entered object. Box **1176** may be either optional or mandatory. Column **1178** lists various attributes that may be assigned to the object being defined. The values for each attribute is entered/selected in column **1180**. Selecting OK **1182** creates a new object and closes the dialog box. Selecting cancel **1184** closes the dialog box, but does not create a new object.

FIG. **12** depicts an illustrative diagram of creating custom lists in accordance with the present invention. The user creates custom lists through the Microglossary Manager in block **1202**. The user can edit in block **1204**, create in block **1206**, open in block **1208**, export in block **1210**, associate facets in block **1212** and/or search in block **1214**. Editing in block **1204** can further be broken down into deleting in block **1216**, modifying in block **1218**, rearranging/reordering in block **1220** and copying in block **1222**. The user can choose to delete rows in block **1224**, columns in block **1226** or all in block **1228**. Rearranging/reordering in block **1220** can be performed using "click & drag" in block **1230** or manually in block **1232**. The user can create terms in block **1234** and term phrases in block **1236**. Currently, there are two (2) methods for opening microglossaries in block **1208**: double-clicking the microglossary in block **1238** and right-clicking the microglossary in block **1240**. The user can export all microglossaries in block **1242**, a selected group in block **1244** or an individual microglossary in block **1246**. All in block **1242** and group in block **1244** create a list in block **1248**. Individual in block **1246** both creates the list and automatically displays it in block **1250** wherein all export lists are viewed. The user then selects the export format and desired filters in block **1252**. Then, the user sets the system export process into motion in block **1254**. Facets can be associated in block **1212** to entire microglossaries in block **1256** or on an individual basis in block **1258**. The user can search microglossaries in block **1214** by term in block **1260** or properties in block **1262**. In either case, the user must also select the search scope in block **1264**, the search type in block **1266** and enter keywords in block **1268**, prior to setting the system search process into motion in block **1270**.

Microglossaries are custom lists made up of terms from the present invention and may also contain user-entered values. Microglossaries are built from terms, term phrases and facets. A microglossary might contain a list of commonly used drugs, major diagnosis areas, common procedures, or even a listing of ICD concepts. Microglossaries can be used as input to other applications to create, for example, a pick list. The following actions can be performed on microglossaries: add and delete terms; add, modify and delete term phrases; copy terms among open microglossaries; associate facets with the

entire microglossary; build microglossary entries (one per row) by adding terms and term phrases; associate user-defined facets with entries; display concept and term facets associated with entries; delete entries (rows in the microglossary); delete entry values; and delete entire microglossaries.

Any term from any taxonomy within the present invention can be added to a microglossary. From the GUI display as shown in FIG. **3**, display terms from the concept display, terms from the term panel and selected terms and term phrases from the workbench can be added to a microglossary. The display term from search results can be added to a microglossary. Selected terms and term phrases can be added to a microglossary from another microglossary.

Term phrases are built by dragging terms onto the workbench on the GUI display as shown in FIG. **3** and then arranging them in the term phrase editor. The individual terms used to build a term phrase retain their unique identifiers that had been assigned to them by the present invention at their creation. But, a term phrase is not automatically assigned a unique identifier. However, it may be possible to create an option whereby a user may alternatively define a default setting to accomplish this task. Editing functions in the term phrase editor includes selection of multiple terms and individual terms, rearranging/reordering terms, adding terms, deleting terms. Once the desired phrase is created, the output option allows the user to send the created phrase to the workbench from which it can be dragged into one or more microglossaries.

FIG. **12B** depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing a term phrase editor in accordance with the present invention. The phrase being edited is displayed in box **1235**. Terms **1237** can be dragged and reordered. Alternatively, terms **1237** can be reordered by selecting an individual term **1237** and pressing arrow keys **1239**. Terms **1237** can be deleted by selecting an individual term **1237** and pressing x-key **1241**. Output options **1243** determine where the destination of the phrase displayed in box **1235**. Apply **1249** applies reordering, adding and deletion changes to the phrase displayed in box **1235**. Cancel **1247** closes the term phrase editor without saving any changes. OK **1245** saves the changes to the location indicated by output options **1243**.

All functions related to microglossaries are accessed by selecting "Microglossary Manager" from the "File" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. **3**. The Microglossary Manager currently enables the user to view all current microglossaries, search microglossaries and manipulate microglossaries. The microglossary view may be arranged in a manner similar to the Windows Explorer system, using folders, group folders and sub-folders. Selecting a specific microglossary displays its description and its facets.

FIG. **12C** depicts an illustration of a LExScape graphical user interface display representing custom list manager **1200** in accordance with the present invention. Menu item **1282** contains selections for all actions that may be performed on custom lists. Custom lists **1288** are displayed when tab **1284** is selected. Tab **1286** displays FIG. **12D**. Specific information related to a selected custom list can be viewed by selecting tabs **1290**, **1292** and **1294**.

To edit a microglossary, the user selects the microglossary and the "Edit Microglossary Properties" option on the "Action" menu of the Microglossary Manager. This results in the display of a dialog box that allows the user to modify the name, group name and description of the microglossary.

To create a microglossary, the user selects "New Microglossary" from the "Action" menu of the Microglossary Man-

ager. A dialog box is then displayed enabling the user to enter a name, group name and description for the new microglossary.

Microglossaries can be opened in a number of ways. First, the user opens the Microglossary Manager as described above. The user can then either double-click the desired microglossary or right-click the desired microglossary to display the context menu containing the “Open Microglossary” option.

A microglossary entry is a row in the microglossary that contains a term or term phrase and may also contain the term’s associated concept or term facets as well as microglossary entry facets. Any number of terms can be added to a microglossary any number of times. Terms can be added by dragging them onto the cell that contains the words “no entries yet” or on top of terms already listed. New entries are added to the bottom of the list. A couple of ways to add a concept’s display term to a microglossary is by dragging the concept to a microglossary from the workbench or from the concept display, both on the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3, or by selecting “Add to Active Microglossary” from the “Edit” menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3.

Microglossary term phrase entries can be replaced by highlighting and then right-clicking the microglossary entry. The user then selects the “Edit Term Phrase” option from the resultant menu. Other terms and/or phrases can then be used to build the new term phrase. Once the desired term phrase has been created, the user then selects the destination as either the workbench or “Replace in Microglossary.” The user can also edit existing phrases in microglossaries by dragging them back to the term phrase editor.

User-defined facets can be associated with either entire microglossaries or with individual entries (rows) within a microglossary. To add facets to an entire microglossary, the user first selects or creates an appropriate facet definition. Then, the user opens the Microglossary Manager as described above. Next, the user highlights the microglossary with which the facet definition is to be associated. A facet panel will become visible at the bottom of the Microglossary Manager. The user then right-clicks the facet panel and selects “Add Facet” from the resultant menu. A dialog box appears with a drop down selection box from which the user can select an available facet. The label for the text data entry box indicates the type of data expected (string, floating point or integer, for example). The user enters the facet value in the data entry box and then clicks “Add.”

Microglossary entry facets associate miscellaneous data with individual entries in a microglossary. To add facets to microglossary entries, the user locates or creates an appropriate facet definition. Then, the user opens the Microglossary Manager as described above and opens the microglossary to which the entry facets are to be added. Next, the user right-clicks the “Term Name” column header and selects “Add Entry Facet Column” from the resultant menu. From the displayed dialog box, the user selects the name of the facet definition to be added and then clicks “OK.” Once the entry facet column has been created, entry facet values can be entered/edited by typing directly into the cell in the table at the intersection of the entry row and facet column.

Concept and term facets can be displayed using the Microglossary Manager. After opening the desired microglossary, the user right-clicks on the “Term Name” column header and selects either the “Display Concept Facet Column” or the “Display Term Facet Column” from the resultant menu. Select facets for inclusion in the microglossary by turning on their display values. The user then clicks “OK.”

To copy a term from an open microglossary the user highlights, then right-clicks the term in the Microglossary Manager, then selects the “Copy to Open Microglossary” option from the resultant menu.

Individual cells (for entry facet columns), rows and columns can be deleted from microglossaries. To delete a microglossary entry, the user highlights, then right-clicks its “Term Name” cell, the selects “Delete Entry” from the resultant menu. To delete an entry facet, the user highlights, then right-clicks the cell to be deleted, then selects the “Delete [entry facet column name] values” from the resultant menu.

Microglossary entries can be rearranged/reordered. Columns can be reordered by clicking and dragging the column header. Rows can be sorted by value in a given column in either ascending or descending order. Rows can also be rearranged manually by clicking and dragging the entry.

Microglossaries can be copied. To accomplish this, the user highlights the microglossary to be copied and selects “Copy Microglossary” from the “Actions” menu of the Microglossary Manager. To close a microglossary, the user right-clicks the desired microglossary in the Microglossary Manager and selects “Close Microglossary” from the resultant menu. To delete a microglossary, the user right-clicks the desired microglossary in the Microglossary Manager and selects “Delete Microglossary” from the resultant menu.

Microglossaries can be searched for terms or properties (name, group or description) or any combination of these elements. To search a microglossary, the user selects the “Search” tab in the Microglossary Manager. Next, the user selects the search scope, such as properties, terms or both and a type of search, such as exact match, contains or starts with. Then, the user enters a search string into the text box and clicks “Search.” Search results can be displayed any number of ways, including in a hierarchical manner similar to the listing of microglossaries in the Microglossaries Manager. Users can use microglossary search results to navigate by either double-clicking the microglossary in the search results or right-clicking the microglossary in the search results and selecting “Open Microglossary” from the resultant menu.

FIG. 12D depicts an illustration of a LEXscape graphical user interface display representing a custom list search screen with results in accordance with the present invention. Custom lists can be searched for properties 1272a, terms 1272b or both 1272c. The search type can be exact match 1274a, contains 1274b or starts with 1274c. The search term is entered in box 1276. The search commences when search 1278 is pressed. Search results are listed in box 1280. The custom list 1281 in which the search term appears is emphasized.

Microglossaries can be exported for use in other applications. Microglossaries can be exported individually, as a set or entirely. As described above in relation to exporting concepts, the export file formats currently available are XML, CSV and query set. The export process places each microglossary in a separate file in a directory named after the microglossary group name. The export directory is currently specified in a separate properties file under the section “Export Properties.”

To export all microglossaries, the user selects “Export All Microglossaries” from the “Actions” menu of the Microglossaries Manager. The export process for single and multiple microglossaries is similar to that previously described in relation to exporting concepts. To export a single microglossary, the user highlights the desired microglossary, right-clicks and either selects “Export Now” or “Mark for Export.” The latter adds the microglossary to an export list. The former invokes the export process. To export numerous microglossaries, the user highlights and marks each microglossary, individually adding them to the export list.

31

While exporting all or a single microglossary automatically displays the list, marking microglossaries for export requires an extra step. The user must select "Export Now" from the "Actions" menu of the Microglossary Manager. Eventually, each microglossary export procedure displays the list of microglossaries marked for export. At this point, the user can remove microglossaries from the list, include related concepts and/or enable filters as previously described in relation to exporting concepts. After making selections, the user clicks "Next." The procedure then differs based on whether or not filters have been enabled.

If filters have been enabled, a dialog box is displayed allowing the user to define and add filters based on facets. Various types of filters can be used, such as "include-if-present" and "exclude-if-present." Selecting "Next" resumes the basic export procedure.

At this point, the user is allowed to select the format into which the concepts will be exported. If the microglossaries are to be exported in CSV format, additionally selections related to delimitation will be required. As with Concept exports in CSV format, the user can select the set of facet values to export as columns in the output. The export may also be sorted by term text or by facet value. After the selections have been made, the user clicks "Next" to continue. The system then displays an export message indicating that the user may complete the export by selecting "Finish," can the export by selecting "Stop Export" and/or save the export criteria, but do not export the microglossaries. The system displays status messages as the export progresses.

FIG. 12E depicts an illustration of a LEXscape graphical user interface display representing export formats in accordance with the present invention. Formats such as XML **1253a**, query set **1253b** and CSV **1253c** can be selected. The user can decide to exclude column headers from the export by selecting box **1255**. If the user selects CSV **1253c** as the export format, the list of facet values in box **1259** becomes accessible for the user to select specific facet values to include in the export. Additionally, if the user selects CSV **1253c** as the export format, the user may either accept the default values or indicate values for field quote string **1257a**, field separator string **1257b**, value quote string **1257c** and value separator string **1257d**. The values may be empty. The export may be sorted by selecting box **1261** and indicating sort by term text **1263a** or sort by facet value **1263b**. If the export is to be sorted by facet value **1263b**, drop down selection box **1265** becomes accessible for the user to select on which facet type to sort. Back **1267** essentially clears export choices. Cancel **1271** closes the dialog box without exporting. Next **1269** performs the export.

FIG. 13 depicts a flow diagram of personalizing the user environment in accordance with the present invention. The user can modify user preferences in block **1305** by resizing in block **1310**, setting e-mail parameters in block **1315**, setting display parameters in block **1320** and setting restore parameters in block **1325**. The user can resize fonts in block **1330** and panels in block **1335**. Display parameters in block **1320** include show/hide settings in block **1340** and auto-perform parameters in block **1345**. Finally, the user can restore last active settings in block **1350**, window settings in block **1355** and bookmarks in block **1360**.

Several of the elements of FIG. 3 can be resized and some user preferences can be set. For instance, the font size for concept display **305** of FIG. 3 can be modified. Located around focus concept **330** will be a circle. By clicking and dragging the circle, the user can resize the font. To shrink fonts in concept display **305**, the user selects the circle and moves the mouse toward the center of the circle. To expand

32

fonts in concept display **305**, the user selects the circle and moves the mouse toward the edges of the GUI display. To resize the panels, the user places the mouse cursor over either a vertical or horizontal bar separating the panels. When the cursor turns into a double-sided arrow, the user then clicks and drags the bar to resize the panel.

There are several things that can be accomplished by setting user preferences: the user's preferred configurations can be saved for later use; e-mail information can be recorded to facilitate sending system error messages; facets to be included in the content display can be identified; and the type of value to be included in the attributes panel can be selected for relations. To access user preferences, the user selects "Edit Preferences" from the "Edit" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3.

The user can modify a wide variety of preferences, such as: restore last active concept; restore window position and dimensions; restore concept bookmarks; enable plex resizing. The last active concept is the concept that was in focus when the user last exited the system. If preferred, the system can restore that concept rather than returning the user to the root concept. Restoring window positions and dimensions restores the window settings established in previous sessions. Restoring concept bookmarks saves bookmarks. Enabling plex resizing turns on the circle in the concept display, enabling the user to resize display fonts. The user may also enter an e-mail address and SMTP host and set facet and relation display properties.

The user can also set navigation and modeling settings, such as: view coding concepts in navigation hierarchy, view retired concepts in navigation hierarchy; auto-replace subsumed parents on addParent; and restore term candidates. Viewing coding concepts in navigation hierarchy includes coding concepts in the concept display. View retired concepts in navigation hierarchy includes retired concepts in the concept display. Auto-replace subsumed parents on addParent, in effect, performs a delete parent on a child's current location, followed by an add new child to the new location when a user attempts to add a child that is already a descendent of the concept WITHOUT asking the user to verify the modification. Restore term candidates restores term candidates in the workbench from the previous session.

FIG. 13B depicts an illustration of a LEXscape graphical user interface display representing user preferences in accordance with the present invention. Box **1326** contains session settings, such as restore last active concept **1351**, restore window position and dimensions **1356**, restore concept bookmarks **1361** and enable plex resizing **1311**. Box **1321** contains navigation and modeling settings, such as view retired concepts in navigation hierarchy **1327**, auto-replace subsumed/ subsuming parents when adding a parent **1346** and restore term candidates **1348**. Box **1314** contains user information, such as e-mail address **1316** and SMTP host **1318**. Box **1337** contains show/hide properties for such objects as facets **1339** and relations **1343**. Column **1341** displays the object name. Column **1346** enables the user to select or deselect the display option.

FIG. 14 depicts a flow diagram of reporting in accordance with the present invention. The user commences report generation in block **1405**. The report is selected in block **1410**. Report restrictions are selected in block **1415** or ignored in block **1420**. The report is then viewed in block **1425**.

There are currently a limited number of predefined reports included in the present invention. They can be accessed from the "Reports" menu item of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. These predefined reports are: duplicate terms, concepts with duplicate facet values, and branch factor. Duplicate

terms creates a list of terms associated with concepts of the same type that have the same display term. After selecting this report, the user can select one or more concept types and apply those types as report restrictions. The user can also choose to "Ignore Restriction." Concepts with duplicate facet values creates a list of concepts that share a facet with identical values. Branch factor reports concepts with greater than a user-specified number of children.

FIG. 15 depicts a flow diagram of generating interfaces in accordance with the present invention. The interface generation starts in terminal 1505. The user then decides the type of interface generation in decision point 1510. If the user chooses to extract identifiers in block 1515, the user can then imbed (hard-code) the identifiers in to the interface application. Alternatively, the user can choose to have the present invention export literal constants in block 1520. The user selects object types in block 1530, indicates the storage destination in block 1535 and sets the system interface generation process into motion in block 1540. The interface generation process ends in terminal 1545.

Java interface files can be generated using the present invention. There are currently two (2) main ways to accomplish this. The first is to extract the unique identifiers from the present invention and imbed them directly in the application. The second is to use the present invention to export literal constants of the unique identifiers as Java interface files.

When the present invention creates Java interface files by exporting literal constants of unique identifiers, it includes only identifiers for relation definitions, facet definitions, concept types and taxonomies. The generated interface files can then be included in an application so that these objects can be referenced by their nicknames, rather than by hard-coding the unique identifiers.

To generate interface code using the present invention, the user would select "Generate Interface Code" from the "File" menu of the GUI display as shown in FIG. 3. A dialog box is then displayed containing available object types, such as concept type, relation definition, facet definition and taxonomy. All are currently selected by default. The user can individually select and/or deselect object types. The user then indicates the destination for the interface code either by accepting the given location, typing in the location or browsing the system to select the location. The user then clicks "Generate" to generate code.

Now referring to FIG. 16, an illustration of a LExIndex graphical user interface display in accordance with the present invention is shown. The end user determines the set of concepts used in the indexing operation by creating a custom query set. A query set is a subset of the concepts in the Lexicon chosen for relevance to the indexing task to be performed. All of the Terms associated with each Concept form the "evidence phrases" to determine when a concept is referenced in a given document. Using the Lexicon content to construct query sets, the LExIndex tools provide a powerful mechanism to tag documents with relevant Concepts. Concept-based indexing is a powerful model for organizing documents around the Lexicon Concept(s) that are referred to in the document.

What is claimed is:

1. In a computer system, a computer-implemented method for displaying and creating relationships between different medical sources on a display device, the computer-implemented method comprising:

receiving a selection of a medical concept with a computer for display on the display device;
in response to the receiving the selection of the medical concept, the computer:

displaying a first image in a first window with the display device comprising an alphanumeric string representing the selected medical concept;

displaying one or more second images with the display device and along one or more respective geometrical rays originating from a central region of the first image, each second image comprising an alphanumeric string representing a parent concept of the selected medical concept and displaying a first symbol on the display device along each respective geometrical ray originating from the central region of the first image;

displaying a billing code comprising an alphanumeric string in a second window adjacent to the first window with the display device, the billing code originating from a first medical source associated with the selected medical concept;

displaying a medical code adjacent to the billing code in the second window with the display device, the medical code comprising an alphanumeric string originating from a second medical source that is different from the first medical source and is associated with the selected medical concept;

receiving input comprising alphanumeric text through a third window on the display device; and
modifying, removing or creating relationships between said medical concepts.

2. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the first medical source comprises at least one of International Statistical Classification of Disease and Related Health Problems (ICD) and Physicians' Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) billing codes.

3. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the second medical source comprises at least one of systemized nomenclature medical reference terminology (SNOMED RI), MeSH, UMLS CUI, and pharmacy terminology.

4. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the selected medical concept is a first medical concept, the computer implemented method further comprising:

receiving input comprising a second medical concept other than the selected first medical concept;

creating an association between the received input comprising the second medical concept and the selected first medical concept; and

storing the association between the received input comprising the second medical concept and the selected first medical concept in memory.

5. The computer-implemented method of claim 4, wherein the received medical concept is a child concept relative to the selected medical concept.

6. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving a medical term;

creating an association between the received medical term and the selected medical concept;

storing the association between the medical term and the selected medical concept in memory.

7. The computer-implemented method of claim 6, wherein the received medical term comprises one of a synonym, consumer term, grammatical variant, abbreviation, misspelling, truncation, phrase, and a code modifier.

8. The computer-implemented method of claim 6, further comprising storing the received medical term in a glossary comprising terms.

9. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:

35

receiving input defining a new taxonomy, the taxonomy comprising a hierarchy of medical information; and storing the input in memory.

10. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:

receiving an inquiry;

searching a source comprising the medical concept for the inquiry; and

displaying one or more medical concepts related to the inquiry with the display device.

11. In a computer system, a computer-implemented method for displaying relationships between medical databases on a display device, the computer-implemented method comprising:

receiving a selection of a medical concept with a computer for display on the display device;

in response to the selection, the computer:

displaying a first image in a first window with the display device comprising an alphanumeric string representing the selected medical concept;

displaying one or more second images with the display device and along one or more respective geometrical rays originating from a central region of the first image, each second image comprising an alphanumeric string representing a parent concept of the selected medical concept and displaying a first symbol on the display device along each respective geometrical ray originating from the central region of the first image;

displaying a health care management term comprising an alphanumeric string in a second window adjacent to the first window with the display device, the health care management term being associated with the selected medical concept;

displaying a medical procedure comprising an alphanumeric string in a third window adjacent to the first and second windows with the display device, the medical procedure being associated with the first medical concept; and

receiving input comprising alphanumeric text through a third window on the display device; and

modifying, removing or creating relationships between said medical concepts.

12. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein the health care management term further comprises one of an international statistical classification of disease (ICD) and related health problems term and a Physician's Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) term.

36

13. The computer-implemented method of claim 11, wherein the health care management term further comprises one of a MESH and UMLS CUI term.

14. In a computer system, a computer-implemented method for displaying relationships between medical databases on a display device, the computer-implemented method comprising:

receiving a selection of a medical concept with a computer for display on the display device;

in response to the selection, the computer:

displaying a first image in a first window with the display device comprising an alphanumeric string representing the selected medical concept;

displaying one or more second images with the display device and along one or more respective geometrical rays originating from a central region of the first image, each second image comprising an alphanumeric string representing a parent concept of the selected medical concept and displaying a first symbol on the display device along each respective geometrical ray originating from the central region of the first image;

displaying a first medical code comprising an alphanumeric string in a second window adjacent to the first window with the display device, the first medical code being associated with the medical concept;

displaying a second medical code comprising an alphanumeric string in the second window adjacent to the first medical code with the display device, the second medical code being associated with the medical concept; and

receiving input comprising alphanumeric text through a third window on the display device; and modifying, removing or creating relationships between said medical concepts.

15. The computer-implemented method of claim 14, wherein displaying the first code further comprises displaying one of an international statistical classification of disease (ICD) and related health problem code and a Physician's Current Procedural Term (CPT) code.

16. The computer-implemented method of claim 14, wherein displaying the second code further comprises displaying one of a MESH and UMS CUI Code.

17. The computer-implemented method of claim 14, further comprising displaying a medical procedure comprising an alphanumeric string in a third window adjacent to the first and second windows with the display device, the medical procedure being associated with the medical concept.

* * * * *