



US007570190B1

(12) **United States Patent**
Pagones et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 7,570,190 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Aug. 4, 2009**

(54) **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR OPERATING A COMPARATOR**

(75) Inventors: **Andrew J. Pagones**, Palatine, IL (US);
Poojan A. Wagh, Sleepy Hollow, IL (US)

(73) Assignee: **Motorola, Inc.**, Schaumburg, IL (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/057,696**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 28, 2008**

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H03M 1/12 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **341/155**; 341/166

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 341/118,
341/120, 143, 166, 155
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,543,793 A * 8/1996 Saiki 341/155

5,883,590 A * 3/1999 Sugden et al. 341/164
6,792,042 B1 * 9/2004 Bae 375/238
6,965,339 B2 11/2005 Midya et al.
7,313,005 B2 * 12/2007 Azuma et al. 363/41
2008/0048898 A1 2/2008 Miller et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Lukas Dörner et al—"A 3mW 74dB SNR 2MHz CT ΔE ADC with a Tracking-ADC-Quantizer in 0.13 μm CMOS"—pp. 492-493, 612—Chapter 27.1 of ISSCC—Session 27—"Filters and Continuous-Time ΔE Converters"—2005 IEEE—Int'l Solid-State Circuits Conference.


* cited by examiner

Primary Examiner—Brian Young

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method and system for operating a comparator (602) is provided. The method includes analyzing an output (612) of the comparator (602) based on one or more of a transition of the output, present operational state of the comparator, and at least one time instant corresponding to the output. The method further includes controlling an operational state of the comparator (602) based on the analysis of the output (612).

27 Claims, 9 Drawing Sheets






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8




FIG. 9

1

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR OPERATING A
COMPARATOR

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates generally to electronic circuits. More specifically, the present invention relates to comparators used in electronic circuits.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Comparators are widely used in electronic circuits to compare analog signals. Depending on a mathematical relation between instantaneous values of the analog signals, an output of a comparator attains one of a plurality of predefined voltage levels. For example, if an instantaneous voltage of an input signal is greater than an instantaneous voltage of a reference signal, the output of the comparator may attain +L Volts, while the comparator may attain -L Volts if the instantaneous voltage of the input signal is lesser than the instantaneous voltage of the reference signal.

In some electronic circuits, in addition to the analog signals, the comparator may receive a periodic trigger signal. The trigger signal may enable the comparator only at one time instant within each period of the trigger signal. In other words, the comparator compares the analog signals only when a trigger signal is present. Such a scenario exists, for example, in a Pulse Width Modulated Analog to Digital Converter (PWM-ADC) as described in U.S. Pat. No. 6,965,339B2, assigned to Motorola, Inc., and herein incorporated by reference

In a PWM-ADC, an input analog signal is compared with a reference triangular signal to produce a PWM signal. In order to further process the PWM signal with a digital circuit, the PWM signal, which is continuous in time, needs to be converted into a discrete time signal. In other words, the PWM signal needs to be sampled at regular time instants. One method of obtaining a discrete time PWM signal is to periodically trigger the comparator with a quantization clock. The quantization clock quantizes the transitions of the PWM signal along the time axis.

As a result of triggering the comparator using the quantization clock at regular time instants, the output of the comparator includes a series of sample values. Additionally, the frequency of the quantization clock is selected to be high enough to yield an over sampled PWM signal for better performance of the PWM-ADC. However, triggering a comparator at high frequencies results in considerable amount of power dissipation in the comparator.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

The accompanying figures, where like reference numerals refer to identical or functionally similar elements throughout the separate views and which together with the detailed description below are incorporated in and form part of the specification, serve to further illustrate various embodiments and to explain various principles and advantages all in accordance with the present invention.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an example of a Pulse Width Modulated Analog to Digital Converter (PWM-ADC) in which various embodiment of the invention may function.

FIG. 2 is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operating a comparator, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 3 is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operating a comparator, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention.

2

FIG. 4 is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operating a comparator, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 5 is a graph illustrating the operation of a comparator in a PWM-ADC, in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 6 is a block diagram illustrating a system for comparing a plurality of signals, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 7 is a block diagram illustrating a system for comparing a plurality of signals, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 8 is a block diagram illustrating the components of an output analyzing module, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 9 is a block diagram illustrating a system for comparing a plurality of signals, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention.

Skilled artisans will appreciate that elements in the figures are illustrated for simplicity and clarity and have not necessarily been drawn to scale. For example, the dimensions of some of the elements in the figures may be exaggerated relative to other elements to help to improve understanding of embodiments of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Before describing in detail embodiments that are in accordance with the present invention, it should be observed that the embodiments reside primarily in combinations of method steps and apparatus components related to a method and system for operating a comparator. Accordingly, the system components and method steps have been represented where appropriate by conventional symbols in the drawings, showing only those specific details that are pertinent to understanding the embodiments of the present invention so as not to obscure the disclosure with details that will be readily apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art having the benefit of the description herein.

In this document, relational terms such as first and second, top and bottom, and the like may be used solely to distinguish one entity or action from another entity or action without necessarily requiring or implying any actual such relationship or order between such entities or actions. The terms "comprises," "comprising," or any other variation thereof, are intended to cover a non-exclusive inclusion, such that a process, method, article, or apparatus that comprises a list of elements does not include only those elements but may include other elements not expressly listed or inherent to such process, method, article, or apparatus. An element preceded by "comprises . . . a" does not, without more constraints, preclude the existence of additional identical elements in the process, method, article, or apparatus that comprises the element.

Generally speaking, pursuant to various embodiments, the present invention provides methods and systems for operating a comparator. The method includes analyzing an output of the comparator based on one or more of a transition of the output, present operational state of the comparator, and at least one time instant corresponding to the output. The method further includes controlling an operation state of the comparator based on the analysis of the output. The operational state of the comparator may be one of a disabled state, an enabled state, and a low power state.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an example of a Pulse Width Modulated Analog to Digital Converter (PWM-ADC) in which various embodiment of the invention may func-

tion. The PWM-ADC **100** converts an input analog signal **102**, which is continuous in time and amplitude, to a digital Pulse Width Modulated (PWM) signal **104**, which is quantized in both time and amplitude. A duty cycle of the digital PWM signal **104** over a time interval is proportional to an amplitude of the input analog signal **102** over the time interval.

To convert the input analog signal **102** to the digital PWM signal **104**, the input analog signal **102** is fed into a noise shaping filter **106**. The noise shaping filter **106** yields a filtered signal **108** from the input analog signal **102**. Thereafter, a comparator **110** receives the filtered signal **108** and a reference triangular signal **112**. Thereafter, the comparator **110** samples the filtered signal **108** at a rate that corresponds to a frequency of the reference triangular signal **112**. Additionally, the comparator **110** receives a quantization clock **114**, which periodically triggers the comparator **110**. Therefore, for quantization, the comparator **110** compares the filtered signal **108** with the reference triangular signal **112** at a time instant within each period of the quantization clock **114**. As a result, an output **116** of the comparator **110** includes a series of sample values of a PWM signal. A duty cycle of the PWM signal over a time interval is proportional to the amplitude of the filtered signal **108** over the time interval. More specifically, the filtered signal **108** is sampled by the comparator **110** in order to convert amplitude information of the filtered signal **108** into duty cycle information of the PWM signal.

Further, a frequency of the quantization clock **114** is maintained several times higher than the frequency of the reference triangular signal **112**. As a result, the PWM signal includes more samples than are required to reconstruct a corresponding continuous time PWM signal. Maintaining the frequency of the quantization clock **114** higher than the frequency of the reference triangular signal **112** results in better performance of the PWM-ADC **100**.

Finally, the output **116** of the comparator **110** is fed to a latch **118** to yield the digital PWM signal **104**. The latch **118** may be, for example, a D flip-flop. The latch **118** may be synchronized with the comparator **110** using the quantization clock **114**. Therefore, output of the latch **118** includes samples which occur at a rate equal to the frequency of the quantization clock **114**.

To further improve performance of the PWM-ADC **100**, a feedback signal **120** derived from output of the latch **118** is fed back to input of the PWM-ADC **100**. More specifically, output of the latch **118** is fed back to the noise shaping filter **106** through a summer **122**. The summer **122** also receives the input analog signal **102**. Therefore, input to the noise shaping filter **106** includes a sum of the input analog signal **102** and the feedback signal **120**.

FIG. **2** is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operating a comparator, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. The comparator receives a plurality of input signals and a periodic sampling wave. The periodic sampling wave enables the comparator at regular time instants. For example, in the PWM-ADC **100**, the comparator **110** receives the filtered signal **108** and the reference triangular signal **112**, as input signals, and generates a PWM signal at the output **116** of the comparator **110**. Additionally, the comparator **110** receives the quantization clock **114** (periodic sampling wave) which enables the comparator **110** at regular time instants in order to quantize the transitions of the PWM signal along the time axis.

At step **202**, an output of the comparator is analyzed. The output is analyzed based on one or more of: transitions of the output, present operational state of the comparator, and at least one time instant corresponding to the output. The output

of the comparator includes a sequence of sample values occurring at the regular time instants. The sample values may be one of a plurality of voltages and a plurality of current levels. For example, the sample values may be one of +L Volts and -L Volts. The comparator may include more than one output. For example, the comparator may include two output terminals. In this case, a voltage level at a first output terminal indicates a first mathematical relationship between input signals, and a voltage level at a second output terminal indicates a second mathematical relationship between input signals. Each of the first mathematical relationship and the second mathematical relationship may be one of, but are not limited to, > (greater than), < (less than), \leq (less than or equal to), \geq (greater than or equal to), \neq (not equal to) and = (equal to) mathematical relationships.

The output of the comparator may be analyzed to detect an occurrence of one or more transitions of the output. This is further explained in detail in conjunction with FIG. **3**, described later. Based on the occurrence of one or more transitions detected in the output, an occurrence of a transition of the output may be predicted. The transition for which the occurrence is predicted may be one of a present and a future transition. This is further explained in detail in conjunction with FIG. **4**, described later. Alternatively, a signal dependent on the output of the comparator may be analyzed. For example, in the PWM-ADC **100**, the output of the latch **118**, which is dependent on output of the comparator **110**, may be analyzed.

Based on the analysis of the output of the comparator, an operational state of the comparator is controlled at step **204**. The operational state of the comparator may be one of an enabled state, a disabled state, and a low power state. The operational state of the comparator may be controlled based on the analysis of the output of the comparator. For example, the operational state of the comparator may be changed from the enabled state to the disabled state.

In an embodiment, the analysis of the output may indicate that the output of the comparator may remain constant over a time interval. In this case the comparator may operate in one of the disabled state and the low power state during the time interval. Alternatively, the analysis of the output may indicate that the output of the comparator may undergo a transition over a time interval. In this case the comparator may operate in the enabled state during the time interval. As a result, a considerable amount of power may be saved by disabling the comparator over a time interval when output of comparator is unlikely to change. This is further explained in detail in conjunction with FIG. **5**, described later.

In order to control the operational state of the comparator, the power supplied to the comparator may be controlled. Alternatively, the periodic sampling wave received by the comparator may be gated to control the operational state of the comparator. For example, in the PWM-ADC **100**, the operational state of the comparator **110** may be controlled by gating the quantization clock **114**. More specifically, the comparator **110** may be disabled over a time interval by preventing the quantization clock **114** from triggering the comparator **110** during the time interval.

FIG. **3** is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operating the comparator, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention. At step **302**, an occurrence of one or more transitions of the output of the comparator is detected. A transition of the output corresponds to a time instant when inputs to the comparator satisfy a condition which causes a transition of the output. A transition of the output may be a result of a change in a mathematical relationship between input signals of the comparator. For instance, in the compara-

5

tor **110** of the PWM-ADC **100**, before a time instant t_c , amplitude of the filtered signal **108** may be greater than amplitude of the reference triangular signal **112**, and after the time instant t_c , amplitude of the filtered signal **108** may be less than amplitude of the reference triangular signal **112**. Therefore, since a mathematical relationship between the filtered signal **108** and the reference triangular signal **112** changes at t_c , a transition of the output occurs at t_c .

A transition of the output of the comparator may generally correspond to a change between a plurality of output states of the comparator. Each of the plurality of output states may correspond to a mathematical relation between input signals of the comparator. The output state may be, but not limited to, one or more of a voltage level, a current level, and a frequency. More specifically, a transition of the output of the comparator may be a change from one predefined voltage level to another predefined voltage level. For example, a transition of the output of the comparator **110** corresponds to a change from +L Volts to -L Volts.

Thereafter, based on the detection of one or more transitions of the output of the comparator, the operational state of the comparator is controlled at step **304**. The comparator is operated in one of the disabled state and the low power state based on the detection of one or more transitions. For example, in the PWM-ADC **100**, subsequent to a detection of a transition of the output from +L volts to -L volts, the comparator **110** may be disabled by preventing the quantization clock **114** from triggering the comparator **110**.

In an embodiment, the operational state of the comparator may be controlled based on an occurrence of a transition of a signal which is dependent on the output of the comparator. For example, in the PWM-ADC **100**, output of the latch **118** is dependent on the output **116** of the comparator **110**. Therefore, the operational state of the comparator **110** may be controlled based on a detection of a transition in output of the latch **118**.

By operating the comparator in one of a disabled state and a low power state subsequent to the detection of one or more transitions of the output of the comparator, considerable amount of power may be saved. However, it is desirable to operate the comparator in an enabled state during a time interval when the input signals satisfy a condition which causes a transition of the output of the comparator. In other words, it is desirable to operate the comparator in an enabled state during a time interval when the output of the comparator is likely to undergo a transition.

FIG. **4** is a flow diagram illustrating a method of operating a comparator, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention. At step **402**, an occurrence of one or more transitions of the output of the comparator is detected. Thereafter, at step **404**, the time of occurrence of one or more transitions is determined. For example, in the PWM-ADC **100**, a consecutive sequence of transitions of the output of the comparator **110** may be detected, and time instants corresponding to the consecutive sequence of transitions may be determined.

Thereafter, at step **406**, one or more time intervals between one or more transitions of the output are computed. A time interval between consecutive transitions may be computed from the time of occurrence of the consecutive transitions. For example, if a first transition of the output occurred at time **T1** and a second transition of the output occurred at time **T2**, then the time interval between the first transition and the second transition may be computed by subtracting **T1** from **T2**.

In an embodiment, a time interval between two transitions may be computed by counting a number of cycles of a periodic sampling wave during the time interval. The periodic

6

sampling wave may be a quantization clock which triggers the comparator. It will be apparent to a person skilled in the art that the time interval between the two transitions may be computed by counting a number of cycles of any periodic wave.

Based on one or more time intervals, one or more time instants corresponding to one or more transitions of the output of the comparator are predicted at step **408**. For example, based on the time intervals between consecutive sequence of transitions, a time instant corresponding to a transition may be predicted. In other words, based on time instants of past transitions of the output, a time instant corresponding to an occurrence of a future transition is predicted. In another embodiment, one or more time instants corresponding to one or more transitions of the output may be predicted based on the time of occurrence of one or more transitions. The time of occurrence of a transition may be relative to a reference periodic signal. For example, a time instant (T_f) corresponding to an occurrence of a transition from +L Volts to -L Volts may be determined relative to the reference triangular signal **112**. Subsequently, a time of occurrence of a consecutive transition from +L Volts to -L volts may be predicted based on T_f .

Subsequently, at step **410**, the operational state of the comparator is controlled based on one or more time instants predicted. Following the prediction of a time instant corresponding to the occurrence of a transition of the output, the comparator may operate in an enabled state at the time instant. Further, the comparator may operate in one of a disabled state and a low power state before the time instant. Referring to the example given above, a time of occurrence of a transition from +L Volts to -L volts may be predicted based on T_f . Following the prediction, the comparator may operate in an enabled state at T_f . Further, the comparator may operate in one of a disabled state and a low power state before T_f .

FIG. **5** is a graph **500** illustrating the operation of the comparator **110** in the PWM-ADC **100**, in accordance with an exemplary embodiment of the invention. The graph **500** shows the waveforms corresponding to the filtered signal **108**, the reference triangular signal **112**, the quantization clock **114**, the output **116** of the comparator **110**, and an operational state **502** of the comparator **110**. In this exemplary embodiment the reference triangular signal **112** is a sawtooth wave. Alternatively, the reference triangular signal **112** may be a triangular wave.

The comparator **110** compares the filtered signal **108** and the reference triangular signal **112** at each time instant corresponding to a period of the quantization clock **114**. Therefore, the output **116** includes a series of samples as shown in FIG. **5**. The value of a sample at a time instant is based on a mathematical relationship between the filtered signal **108** and the reference triangular signal **112** at the time instant. For example, between a time instant **504** and a time instant **506**, amplitude of the reference triangular signal **112** is greater than amplitude of the filtered signal **108**. Accordingly, the value of samples of the output **116** of the comparator **110** between the time instant **504** and the time instant **506** is equal to +L volts. On the other hand, between the time instant **506** and a time instant **508**, amplitude of the reference triangular signal **112** is lesser than amplitude of the filtered signal **108**. Accordingly, the value of samples of the output **116** of the comparator **110** between the time instant **506** and the time instant **508** is equal to -L volts.

The output **116** of the comparator **110** is analyzed to detect an occurrence of a transition of the output **116**, and based on the detection; the operational state **502** of the comparator **110** is controlled. For example, a transition is detected at a time

instant **510**. Subsequently, at the time instant **512**, the operational state **502** is changed from the enabled state to the disabled state.

Further, time instants corresponding to one or more transitions of the output **116** are determined and a time interval between one or more transitions of the output **116** are computed. For example, a time interval between a transition occurring at a time instant **514** and a transition occurring at the time instant **510** is computed. The time interval may be computed by counting the number of cycles of the quantization clock **114** that occur during the time interval. Subsequently, based on the time interval, a time instant corresponding to a transition of the output **116** may be predicted. For example, based on time interval between the time instant **514** and the time instant **512**, a time instant **518** may be predicted. Accordingly, the operational state **502** is changed from the disabled state to the enabled state at the time instant **518**. However, actual transition of the output **116** occurs at a time instant **516**. Therefore, in order to predict more accurately, more than one time intervals between transitions of the output **116** may be analyzed.

Alternatively, a time instant corresponding to a transition may be predicted based on a time of occurrence of one or more transitions of the output **116**. For example, time instant **514** may be determined corresponding to the occurrence of a transition of the output **116** from +L Volts to -L volts in relation to reference triangular signal **112**. Subsequently, time instant **516** may be predicted corresponding to a transition of the output **116** from +L Volts to -L Volts. Following the prediction, the comparator **110** may operate in the enabled state at time instant **516**. Further, the comparator **110** may operate in one of the disabled state and the low power state before time instant **516**.

FIG. **6** is a block diagram illustrating a system **600** for comparing a plurality of signals, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. The system **600** may be a PWM-ADC. The system **600** includes a comparator **602** and a control logic module **604**, which is operatively coupled to the comparator **602**. The comparator **602** receives a plurality of input signals. For example, the comparator **602** receives an input analog signal **606** and a reference triangular signal **608**. Further, the comparator **602** is enabled at one or more time instants by a periodic sampling wave **610**. The periodic sampling wave **610** may be a quantization clock. The periodic sampling wave **610** triggers the comparator **602** at regular time instants. In other words, the periodic sampling wave **610** enables the comparator **602** at the regular time instants. As a result, an output **612** of the comparator **602** includes samples occurring at the regular time instants.

A frequency of the periodic sampling wave **610** may be several times higher than a frequency of the reference triangular signal **608**. As a result, the output **612** includes an over-sampled PWM signal. In other words, the output **612** includes redundant samples. Therefore, a sequence of samples between two consecutive transitions of the output **612** has the same value. This redundancy may be used to control an operation state of the comparator **602**. The operational state of the comparator **602** is one of an enabled state, a disabled state, and a low power state. This is further explained in conjunction with FIG. **7**, described later.

The operational state of the comparator **602** is controlled by the control logic module **604** at one or more time instants. Controlling the operational state may involve changing the operational state. For example, the control logic module **604** may change the operational state of the comparator **602** from an enabled state to a disabled state at one or more time instants.

The control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in one of a disabled state and a low power state at one or more time instants between the two consecutive transitions of the output **612**. In an embodiment, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in one of a disabled state and a low power state for a portion of a time period corresponding to each cycle of a predefined clock. A frequency of the predefined clock may be equal to the frequency of the reference triangular signal **608**. In other words, the comparator **602** may operate in one of a disabled state and low power state for the time interval during each period of the reference triangular signal **608**. Consequently, considerable amount of power is saved, which may otherwise have been dissipated in enabling the comparator **602** during the time interval.

FIG. **7** is a block diagram illustrating a system **700** for comparing a plurality of signals, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention. The system **700** includes the comparator **602**, the control logic module **604**, an output analyzing module **702**, and a latch **704**.

The output analyzing module **702** analyzes the output **612** of the comparator **602**. Based on the analysis of the output **612**, the control logic module **604** controls an operational state of the comparator **602**. For example, the output analyzing module **702** may analyze the output **612** and detect a transition of the output **612**. Subsequently, based on the detection, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in one of a disabled state and low power state. By way of another example, the output analyzing module **702** may analyze one or more transitions of the output **612** and predict a time instant at which a transition of the output **612** may occur. Subsequently, based on the prediction, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in an enabled state at the time instant predicted. This is explained further in conjunction with FIG. **8**, described later.

Further, the output **612** of the comparator **602** is input to the latch **704** which is operatively coupled to one or more of the comparator **602** and the control logic module **604**. The latch **704** holds a latest sample value of the output **612** of the comparator **602**. The latch **704** generates a digital sample from the latest sample value of the output **612** as an output **706** of the latch **704**. To enable the latch **704** to generate a digital sample corresponding to each sample value of the output **612**, the periodic sampling wave **610** is applied to the latch **704**, which synchronizes the latch **704** with the comparator **602**. In an embodiment of the invention, the output analyzing module **702** analyzes the output **706** of the latch **704**. Based on the analysis of the output **706**, the control logic module **604** controls an operational state of the comparator **602**. Further, the control logic module controls application of the periodic sampling wave **610** to the latch **704** based on the analysis of the output of the comparator **602**.

After detecting occurrence of one or more transitions of the output **612**, a counter **708** counts the number of cycles of the periodic sampling wave **610** between one or more transitions of the output **612**. Thereafter, one or more results of the counter **708** corresponding to one or more transitions of the output **612** are stored in a register **710**. For example, the register **710** may store a result of the counter **708** corresponding to two latest, consecutive transitions of the output **612**. By way of another example, the register **710** may store a plurality of results of the counter **708** corresponding to a plurality of past transitions of the output **612**. In another embodiment of the invention, the counter **708** may count the number of cycles of the periodic sampling wave **610** between one or more transitions of the output **706**.

Based on one or more results of the counter **708** stored in the register **710**, the output analyzing module **702** predicts a

time instant at which a transition of the output **612** may occur. In another embodiment of the invention, the output analyzing module **702** may predict a time instant corresponding to a transition of the output **612** based on one or more time instants corresponding to transitions of the output **612**. The one or more time instants may be absolute time instants. Alternatively, the one or more time instants may be determined relative to a reference periodic signal (e.g. the reference triangular signal **112**). Subsequently, based on the time instant predicted by output the analyzing module **702**, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in one of a disabled state and low power state at one or more time instants before the time instant. Further, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in the enabled state at the time instant. This is further explained in detail in conjunction with FIG. **8**, described later.

FIG. **8** is a block diagram illustrating the components of the output analyzing module **702**, in accordance with an embodiment of the invention. The control logic module **604** controls the operational state of the comparator **602** based on detection of an occurrence of a transition of the output **612** of the comparator **602**. For this, the output analyzing module **702** may include a detecting module **802** to detect occurrence of one or more transitions of the output **612**. A transition of the output **612** corresponds to a time instant when inputs to the comparator **602** satisfy a condition which causes a transition of the output **612**. In another embodiment, the detecting module **802** detects an occurrence of one or more transitions of the output **706** of the latch **704**.

Further, a determining module **804** in the output analyzing module **702** determines the time of the occurrence of one or more transitions of the output **612**. Alternatively, the determining module **804** may determine the occurrence of one or more transitions of the output **706** of the latch **704**. Based on the time of the occurrence of one or more transitions of the output **612**, a predicting module **806** predicts one or more time instants corresponding to one or more transitions of the output **612**. Subsequently, based on one or more time instants predicted, the control logic module **604** controls the operational state of the comparator **602**.

In another embodiment, the output analyzing module **702** includes a computing module **808**. The computing module **808** computes one or more time intervals between one or more transitions of the output **612**. Alternatively, the computing module **808** may compute one or more time intervals between one or more transitions of the output **706**. For example, the computing module **808** may compute time intervals corresponding to a plurality of latest, consecutive transitions of the output of the comparator **602**. Based on the time of the one or more time intervals, the predicting module **806** predicts one or more time instants corresponding to one or more transitions of the output **612**. Subsequently, based on the one or more time instants predicted, the control logic module **604** controls the operational state of the comparator **602**. This has been explained in conjunction with FIG. **3** and FIG. **4**.

FIG. **9** is a block diagram illustrating a system **900** for comparing a plurality of signals, in accordance with another embodiment of the invention. The system **900** includes the comparator **602**, the control logic module **604**, and an input analyzing module **902**.

The input analyzing module **902** analyzes one or more signals received by the comparator **602**. The input analyzing module **902** may detect an occurrence of a condition which may cause a transition of the output **612** of the comparator **602**. This has been explained in conjunction with FIG. **3**. The input analyzing module **902** may also determine one or more

time instants corresponding to one or more occurrences of the condition. Thereafter, the input analyzing module **902** may compute one or more time intervals corresponding to one or more time instants. One or more time intervals may be used to predict one or more time instants corresponding to one or more transitions of the output **612**.

Based on the analysis of one or more signals received by the comparator **602**, the control logic module **604** controls the operational state of the comparator **602**. For example, subsequent to detection of an occurrence of a condition which causes a transition of the output **612**, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in one of a disabled state and a low power state. By way of another example, based on a time instant predicted by the input analyzing module **902**, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in one of the disabled state and the low power state before the time instant. Additionally, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in the enabled state at the time instant.

In an embodiment, the input analyzing module **902** detects strength of one or more signals received by the comparator **602**. The strength of a signal refers to amplitude of the signal at one or more time instants. For example, the input analyzing module **902** may detect strength of the input analog signal **606**. Thereafter, based on the strength of the input analog signal **606**, the control logic module **604** may control the operational state of the comparator **602** at one or more time instants accordingly. For instance, the control logic module **604** may operate the comparator **602** in the disabled state for a time interval corresponding to each cycle of a predefined clock, wherein the time interval may be proportional to the strength of the input analog signal **606**. As a result, considerable amount of power saving may be achieved.

Various embodiments of the invention provide methods and systems of operating a comparator. The comparator is selectively operated in an enabled, disabled state, and a low power state, based on the analysis of the output of the comparator. This selective operation of comparator helps in reducing the power consumed by the comparator. For example, in a PWM-ADC as much as 13% of the power consumed by the PWM-ADC is dissipated in a comparator. Therefore, selective operation of the comparator in the PWM-ADC reduces the percentage of power dissipated considerably.

In the foregoing specification, specific embodiments of the present invention have been described. However, one of ordinary skill in the art appreciates that various modifications and changes can be made without departing from the scope of the present invention as set forth in the claims below. Accordingly, the specification and figures are to be regarded in an illustrative rather than a restrictive sense, and all such modifications are intended to be included within the scope of present invention. The benefits, advantages, solutions to problems, and any element(s) that may cause any benefit, advantage, or solution to occur or become more pronounced are not to be construed as a critical, required, or essential features or elements of any or all the claims. The invention is defined solely by the appended claims including any amendments made during the pendency of this application and all equivalents of those claims as issued.

What is claimed is:

1. A method of operating a comparator, the method comprising:
 - analyzing an output of the comparator based on at least one of a transition of the output, present operational state of the comparator, and at least one time instant corresponding to the output wherein the analyzing comprises

11

detecting an occurrence of at least one transition of the output of the comparator, further wherein the transition of the output corresponds to a time instant when inputs to the comparator satisfy a condition which causes the transition of the output of the comparator; and controlling an operational state of the comparator based on the analysis of the output.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the operational state of the comparator is one of an enabled state, a disabled state, and a low power state.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the comparator operates in one of a disabled state and a low power state based on the occurrence of the transition of the output.

4. The method of claim 1 further comprising determining the time of the occurrence of the at least one transition.

5. The method of claim 4 further comprising predicting at least one time instant corresponding to the at least one transition of the output of the comparator based on the time of occurrence of the at least one transition.

6. The method of claim 5 further comprising computing at least one time interval between the at least one transition of the output, the at least one time instant being predicted based on the at least one time interval.

7. The method of claim 6, wherein computing the at least one time interval comprises counting a number of cycles of a periodic sampling wave during the time interval.

8. The method of claim 7, wherein the periodic sampling wave is a quantization clock.

9. The method of claim 7, wherein the operational state of the comparator is controlled by gating the periodic sampling wave.

10. The method of claim 5, wherein the comparator operates in an enabled state at a time instant predicted corresponding to the transition of the output of the comparator.

11. The method of claim 5, wherein the comparator operates in one of a disabled state and a low power state before a time instant predicted corresponding to the transition of the output of the comparator.

12. The method of claim 2, wherein the comparator operates in at least one of the disabled state and the low power state when the output of the comparator is constant over a time interval.

13. The method of claim 1, wherein the comparator operates as a Pulse Width Modulated (PWM) Analog to Digital Converter (ADC).

14. A system for comparing a plurality of signals, the system comprising:

a comparator for receiving the plurality of signals, wherein the comparator is enabled at one or more time instants by a periodic sampling wave;

an output analyzing module configured to analyze an output of the comparator wherein the output analyzing module comprises a detecting module configured to detect an occurrence of at least one transition of the output of the comparator, further wherein the transition of the output corresponds to a time instant when inputs to

12

the comparator satisfy a condition which causes the transition of the output of the comparator; and a control logic module operatively coupled to the comparator, wherein the control logic module controls an operational state of the comparator on at least one time instant based on the analysis of the output of the comparator.

15. The system of claim 14, wherein the output of the comparator is stored in a latch.

16. The system of claim 15, wherein the control logic module controls application of the periodic sampling wave to the latch based on the analysis of the output of the comparator.

17. The system of claim 14 further comprising a determining module configured to determine the time of the occurrence of the at least one transition.

18. The system of claim 14, wherein the output analyzing module further comprises a predicting module to predict at least one time instant corresponding to the time of the occurrence of the at least one transition.

19. The system of claim 18 further comprising a computing module configured to compute at least one time interval between the at least one transition of the output.

20. The system of claim 14 further comprising at least one of:

a counter, wherein the counter is configured to count the number of cycles of a periodic sampling wave between at least one transition of the output of the comparator; and

a register, wherein the register stores at least one result of the counter corresponding to the at least one transition.

21. The system of claim 14, wherein the system is a Pulse Width Modulated (PWM) Analog to Digital Converter (ADC).

22. The system of claim 14, wherein the operational state of the comparator is one of an enabled state, a disabled state, and a low power state.

23. The system of claim 21, wherein the control logic module operates the comparator in one of a disabled state and a low power state on at least one time instant corresponding to each cycle of a predefined clock.

24. The system of claim 14 further comprising an input analyzing module configured to analyze the at least one signal received by the comparator.

25. The system of claim 24, wherein the input analyzing module is further configured to detect strength of the at least one signal based on the analysis of the at least one signal received by the comparator.

26. The system of claim 23, wherein the control logic module controls the operational state of the comparator based on the analysis of the at least one signal received by the comparator.

27. The system of claim 24, wherein the input analyzing module is further configured to detect an occurrence of a condition which causes the transition of the output of the comparator.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,570,190 B1
APPLICATION NO. : 12/057696
DATED : August 4, 2009
INVENTOR(S) : Pagones et al.

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

ON THE TITLE PAGE

1. On the Face Page, in Field (56), under "OTHER PUBLICATIONS", in Column 2, Line 1, delete "ΔE" and insert -- ΔΣ --, therefor.
2. On the Face Page, in Field (56), under "OTHER PUBLICATIONS", in Column 2, Line 4, delete "ΔE" and insert -- ΔΣ --, therefor.

Signed and Sealed this

Twenty-fourth Day of August, 2010



David J. Kappos
Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office