



US007180905B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Benveniste

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 7,180,905 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Feb. 20, 2007**

(54) **ACCESS METHOD FOR PERIODIC CONTENTION-FREE SESSIONS**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(75) Inventor: **Mathilde Benveniste**, South Orange, NJ (US)

EP 0994604 A2 4/2000
WO WO 03/039054 A2 5/2003

(73) Assignee: **AT & T Corp.**, New York, NY (US)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 1008 days.

Bononi, L., Cont, M., and Gregori, E., "Design and Performance Evaluation of an Asymptotically Optimal Backoff Algorithm for IEEE 802.11 Wireless LANs", *Proceedings of the 33rd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences—2000*, Jan. 4-7, 2000, pp. 1-10.

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **10/256,516**

Primary Examiner—Afsar Qureshi

(22) Filed: **Sep. 27, 2002**
(Under 37 CFR 1.47)

(57) **ABSTRACT**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2003/0174665 A1 Sep. 18, 2003

An access method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) reduces interference between overlapping first and second wireless LAN cells contending for the same medium. Each cell includes a respective plurality of member stations and an access point (AP) station. The access method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) includes a fixed cycle time that reduces conflicts with PCFS from other cells. The PCFS from several cells are repeated in cycles of cycle period (CP), which is the contention-free period (CFP) of an access point times a factor that is a function of the number of overlapping cells. Periodic contention-free sessions (PCFSs) are generated, one from each overlapping cell. PCFS transmission attempts occur at the fixed specified time spacing following the start of the previous cycle. Each active AP sets a timer at CP and a PCFS is initiated when the timer expires. The timer is then reset to CP and this starts a new cycle. Contention transmissions are attempted by stations based on their assigned priority. If a channel is busy at the designated start time for transmitting a PCFS, the PCFS is shortened by the time lost. Interleaving PCFSs and CFSs reduces conflicts with CFSs from other cells. To lessen the contention between APs of different cells, each station's Network Allocation Vector (NAV) and Inter-BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV) is updated by an increased value of the next CFS length, the increment being the inter-BSS contention period (IBCP). APs will attempt to access the channel during the IBCP only for transmitting a PCFS, while they will wait for the NAV and IBNAV expirations before attempting to transmit a CFS. Interleaving PCFSs and CFSs also enables maintaining quality of service (QoS).

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 60/342,343, filed on Dec. 21, 2001, provisional application No. 60/331,211, filed on Nov. 13, 2001, provisional application No. 60/331,030, filed on Nov. 7, 2001, provisional application No. 60/330,930, filed on Nov. 2, 2001.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
H04L 12/28 (2006.01)
H04Q 7/24 (2006.01)
H04B 7/185 (2006.01)


(52) **U.S. Cl.** **370/445**; 370/317; 370/338; 455/422.1

(58) **Field of Classification Search** 370/229–235, 370/252, 254, 335–338, 347, 445–449, 465; 455/422.1, 434, 450; 340/825.5
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**
U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS
5,142,533 A 8/1992 Crisler et al.

8 Claims, 17 Drawing Sheets

(Continued)



U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS


5,185,739 A 2/1993 Spear
 5,329,531 A 7/1994 Diepstraten et al.
 5,353,287 A * 10/1994 Kuddes et al. 370/448
 5,355,375 A 10/1994 Christensen
 5,416,780 A 5/1995 Patel
 5,636,223 A 6/1997 Reardon et al.
 5,644,576 A 7/1997 Bauchot et al.
 5,682,381 A 10/1997 Sekihata et al.
 5,768,267 A 6/1998 Raith et al.
 5,828,663 A 10/1998 Ikegami
 5,852,405 A 12/1998 Yoneda et al.
 5,852,723 A 12/1998 Kalkunte et al.
 5,892,769 A 4/1999 Lee
 5,987,033 A 11/1999 Beer et al.
 5,999,818 A 12/1999 Gilbert et al.
 6,011,784 A 1/2000 Brown et al.
 6,047,175 A 4/2000 Trompower
 6,055,578 A 4/2000 Williams et al.
 6,067,291 A 5/2000 Kamerman et al.
 6,078,591 A 6/2000 Kalkunte et al.
 6,272,117 B1 8/2001 Choi et al.
 6,343,071 B1 1/2002 Lansford
 6,418,148 B1 7/2002 Kumar et al.

6,434,187 B1 8/2002 Beard et al.
 6,473,414 B1 10/2002 Hartley et al.
 6,493,331 B1 12/2002 Walton et al.
 6,614,799 B1 9/2003 Gummalla et al.
 6,625,162 B2 9/2003 Myojo et al.
 6,832,093 B1 * 12/2004 Ranta 455/456.4
 2002/0061031 A1 5/2002 Sugar et al.
 2002/0152324 A1 10/2002 Sherman
 2003/0013451 A1 1/2003 Walton
 2003/0103521 A1 6/2003 Raphaeli
 2003/0123405 A1 7/2003 Del Prado et al.
 2003/0224787 A1 12/2003 Gandolfo
 2004/0022219 A1 2/2004 Mangold et al.
 2006/0041676 A1 2/2006 Sherman

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Deng, Dr-Jiunn and Chang, Ruay-Shiung, "A Priority Scheme for IEEE 802.11 DCF Access Method", *IEICE Trans. Commun.*, Jan. 1999, vol. E82-B., No. 1, pp. 96-102.
 IEEE Std 802.11, "Local and Metropolitan Area Networks", 1997, p. 92.

* cited by examiner







FIG. 1A T1 - T2
STATIONS 152, 154A, 154B ARE ASSOCIATED WITH CELL 150

STATIONS 102, 104A, 104B, 106 ARE ASSOCIATED WITH CELL 100









FIG. 1C T3 - T4

STATIONS 152, 154A, 154B ARE ASSOCIATED WITH CELL 150

STATIONS 102, 104A, 104B, 106 ARE ASSOCIATED WITH CELL 100











FIG. 1G T7-T8

STATIONS 152, 154A, 154B ARE ASSOCIATED WITH CELL 150

STATIONS 102, 104A, 104B, 106 ARE ASSOCIATED WITH CELL 100






FIG. 2B




FIG. 2A




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5A




FIG. 5B




FIG. 5C





FIG. 6



ACCESS METHOD FOR PERIODIC CONTENTION-FREE SESSIONS

This application claims the benefit of the following copending applications:

[1] U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/330,930, filed Nov. 2, 2001, entitled "HCF ACCESS MECHANISM: OBSS MITIGATION,"

[2] U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/331,030, filed Nov. 7, 2001, entitled "'NEIGHBORHOOD' CAPTURE IN CSMA/CA WLANS,"

[3] U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/331,211, filed Nov. 13, 2001, entitled "'SHIELD': PROTECTING HIGH PRIORITY CHANNEL ACCESS ATTEMPTS," and

[4] U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/342,343, Dec. 21, 2001, entitled "WIRELESS LANS AND 'NEIGHBORHOOD CAPTURE'," all of which are incorporated herein by reference.

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This patent application is related to the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 09/985,257, filed Nov. 2, 2001, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "TIERED CONTENTION MULTIPLE ACCESS (TCMA): A METHOD FOR PRIORITY-BASED SHARED CHANNEL ACCESS," which is incorporated by reference.

This patent application is also related to the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/187,132, filed Jun. 28, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "HYBRID COORDINATION FUNCTION (HCF) ACCESS THROUGH TIERED CONTENTION AND OVERLAPPED WIRELESS CELL MITIGATION," which is incorporated by reference.

This patent application is also related to the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,299, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "'SHIELD': PROTECTING HIGH PRIORITY CHANNEL ACCESS ATTEMPTS IN OVERLAPPED WIRELESS CELLS," which is incorporated by reference.

This patent application is also related to the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,384, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "WIRELESS LANS AND NEIGHBORHOOD CAPTURE," which is incorporated by reference.

This patent application is also related to the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,471, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "STAGGERED STARTUP FOR CYCLIC PRIORITIZED MULTIPLE ACCESS (CPMA) CONTENTION-FREE SESSIONS," which is incorporated by reference.

This patent application is also related to the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,305, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "FIXED DETERMINISTIC POST-BACKOFF FOR CYCLIC PRIORITIZED MULTIPLE ACCESS (CPMA) CONTENTION-FREE SESSIONS," which is incorporated by reference.

This patent application is also related to the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,309, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "PREEMPTIVE PACKET FOR MAINTAINING CONTIGUITY IN CYCLIC PRIORITIZED MULTIPLE ACCESS (CPMA) CONTENTION-FREE SESSIONS," which is incorporated by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention disclosed broadly relates to telecommunications methods and more particularly relates to wireless cells that have overlapping stations contending for the same medium.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Wireless Local Area Networks (WLANs)

Wireless local area networks (WLANs) generally operate at peak speeds of between 10 to 100 Mbps and have a typical range of 100 meters. Single-cell wireless LANs are suitable for small single-floor offices or stores. A station in a wireless LAN can be a personal computer, a bar code scanner, or other mobile or stationary device that uses a wireless network interface card (NIC) to make the connection over the RF link to other stations in the network. The single-cell wireless LAN provides connectivity within radio range between wireless stations. An access point allows connections via the backbone network to wired network-based resources, such as servers. A single-cell wireless LAN can typically support up to 25 users and still keep network access delays at an acceptable level. Multiple-cell wireless LANs provide greater range than does a single cell through means of a set of access points and a wired network backbone to interconnect a plurality of single-cell LANs. Multiple-cell wireless LANs can cover larger multiple-floor buildings. A mobile laptop computer or data collector with a wireless network interface card (NIC) can roam within the coverage area while maintaining a live connection to the backbone network.

Wireless LAN specifications and standards include the IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN Standard and the HIPERLAN Type 1 and Type 2 Standards. The IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN Standard is published in three parts as IEEE 802.11-1999, IEEE 802.11a-1999, and IEEE 802.11b-1999, which are available from the IEEE, Inc. web site <http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/11>. An overview of the HIPERLAN Type 1 principles of operation is provided in the publication *HIPERLAN Type 1 Standard*, ETSI ETS 300 652, WA2 December 1997. An overview of the HIPERLAN Type 2 principles of operation is provided in the Broadband Radio Access Network's (BRAN) *HIPERLAN Type 2; System Overview*, ETSI TR 101 683 V1.1 (2000-02) and a more detailed specification of its network architecture is described in *HIPERLAN Type 2, Data Link Control (DLC) Layer; Part 4. Extension for Home Environment*, ETSI TS 101 761-4 V1.2.1 (2000-12). A subset of wireless LANs is Wireless Personal Area Networks (PANs), of which the Bluetooth Standard is the best known. The Bluetooth Special Interest Group, *Specification Of The Bluetooth System*, Version 1.1, Feb. 22, 2001, describes the principles of Bluetooth device operation and communication protocols.

The IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN Standard defines at least two different physical (PHY) specifications and one common medium access control (MAC) specification. The IEEE 802.11(a) Standard is designed to operate in unlicensed portions of the radio spectrum, usually either in the 2.4 GHz Industrial, Scientific, and Medical (ISM) band or the 5 GHz Unlicensed-National Information Infrastructure (U-NII) band. It uses orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) to deliver up to 54 Mbps data rates. The IEEE 802.11(b) Standard is designed for the 2.4 GHz ISM band and uses direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) to deliver up to 11 Mbps data rates. The IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN Standard describes two major components, the mobile sta-

tion and the fixed access point (AP). IEEE 802.11 networks can also have an independent configuration where the mobile stations communicate directly with one another, without support from a fixed access point.

A single-cell wireless LAN using the IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN Standard is an Independent Basic Service Set (IBSS) network. An IBSS has an optional backbone network and consists of at least two wireless stations. A multiple-cell wireless LAN using the IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN Standard is an Extended Service Set (ESS) network. An ESS satisfies the needs of large coverage networks of arbitrary size and complexity.

Each wireless station and access point in an IEEE 802.11 wireless LAN implements the MAC layer service, which provides the capability for wireless stations to exchange MAC frames. The MAC frame transmits management, control, or data between wireless stations and access points. After a station forms the applicable MAC frame, the frame's bits are passed to the Physical Layer for transmission.

Before transmitting a frame, the MAC layer must first gain access to the network. Three interframe space (IFS) intervals defer an IEEE 802.11 station's access to the medium and provide various levels of priority. Each interval defines the duration between the end of the last symbol of the previous frame to the beginning of the first symbol of the next frame. The Short Interframe Space (SIFS) provides the highest priority level by allowing some frames to access the medium before others, such as an Acknowledgement (ACK) frame, a Clear-to-Send (CTS) frame, or a subsequent fragment burst of a previous data frame. These frames require expedited access to the network to minimize frame retransmissions.

The Priority Interframe Space (PIFS) is used for high-priority access to the medium during the contention-free period. A point coordinator in the access point connected to the backbone network controls the priority-based Point Coordination Function (PCF) to dictate which stations in the cell can gain access to the medium. The point coordinator in the access point sends a contention-free poll frame to a station, granting the station permission to transmit a single frame to any destination. All other stations in the cell can only transmit during a contention-free period if the point coordinator grants them access to the medium. The end of the contention-free period is signaled by the contention-free end frame sent by the point coordinator, which occurs when time expires or when the point coordinator has no further frames to transmit and no stations to poll. The Priority Interframe Space (PIFS) is also known as the PCF Interframe Space.

The distributed coordination function (DCF) Interframe Space (DIFS) is used for transmitting low-priority data frames during the contention-based period. The DIFS spacing delays the transmission of lower priority frames to occur later than the priority-based transmission frames. An Extended Interframe Space (EIFS) goes beyond the time of a DIFS interval as a waiting period when a bad reception occurs. The EIFS interval provides enough time for the receiving station to send an acknowledgment (ACK) frame.

During the contention-based period, the distributed coordination function (DCF) uses the Carrier-Sense Multiple Access With Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) contention-based protocol, which is similar to IEEE 802.3 Ethernet. The CSMA/CA protocol minimizes the chance of collisions between stations sharing the medium by waiting a random backoff interval if the station's sensing mechanism indicates a busy medium. The period of time a minimal interval following traffic on the medium is when the highest prob-

ability of collisions occurs, especially where there is high utilization. Once the medium is idle, CSMA/CA protocol causes each station to delay its transmission by a random backoff time, thereby minimizing the chance it will collide with those from other stations.

The CSMA/CA protocol computes the random backoff time as the product of a constant, the slot time, times a pseudo-random number RN that has a range of values from zero to a collision window CW. The value of the collision window for the first try to access the network is CW₁, which yields the first-try random backoff time. If the first try to access the network by a station fails, then the CSMA/CA protocol computes a new CW by doubling the current value of CW as CW₂=CW₁ times 2. The value of the collision window for the second try to access the network is CW₂, which yields the second-try random backoff time. This process by the CSMA/CA protocol of increasing the delay before transmission is called binary exponential backoff. The reason for increasing CW is to minimize collisions and maximize throughput for both low and high network utilization. Where there is a low network utilization, stations are not forced to wait very long before transmitting their frame. On the first or second attempt, a station will make a successful transmission. However, if the utilization of the network is high, the CSMA/CA protocol delays stations for longer periods to avoid the chance of multiple stations transmitting at the same time. If the second try to access the network fails, then the CSMA/CA protocol computes a new CW by again doubling the current value of CW as CW₃=CW₁ times 4. The value of the collision window for the third try to access the network is CW₃, which yields the third-try random backoff time. The value of CW increases to relatively high values after successive retransmissions under high traffic loads. This provides greater transmission spacing between stations waiting to transmit.

Collision Avoidance Techniques

Four general collision avoidance approaches have emerged: [1] Carrier-Sense Multiple Access (CSMA) [see, F. Tobagi and L. Kleinrock, "Packet Switching in Radio Channels: Part I—Carrier Sense Multiple Access Models and their Throughput Delay Characteristics," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, Vol. 23, No. 12, pp. 1400–1416, 1975], [2] Multiple Access Collision Avoidance (MACA) [see, P. Karn, "MACA—A New Channel Access Protocol for Wireless Ad-Hoc Networks," *Proceedings of the ARRL/CRRL Amateur Radio Ninth Computer Networking Conference*, pp. 134–140, 1990], [3] their combination CSMA/CA, and [4] collision avoidance tree expansion.

CSMA allows access attempts after sensing the channel for activity. Still, simultaneous transmit attempts lead to collisions, thus rendering the protocol unstable at high traffic loads. The protocol also suffers from the hidden terminal problem.

The latter problem was resolved by the Multiple Access Collision Avoidance (MACA) protocol, which involves a three-way handshake. [P. Karn, *supra.*] The origin node sends a request-to-send (RTS) notice of the impending transmission. A response is returned by the destination if the RTS notice is received successfully and the origin node proceeds with the transmission. This protocol also reduces the average delay as collisions are detected upon transmission of merely a short message, the RTS. With the length of the packet included in the RTS and echoed in the clear-to-send (CTS) messages, hidden terminals can avoid colliding with the transmitted message. However, this prevents the back-to-back re-transmission in case of unsuccessfully transmitted packets. A five-way handshake Multiple Access

Collision Avoidance (MACA) protocol provides notification to competing sources of the successful termination of the transmission. [See, V. Bharghavan, A. Demers, S. Shenker, and L. Zhang, "MACAW: A media access protocol for wireless LANs," *SIGCOMM '94*, pp. 212–225, ACM, 1994.]

CSMA and MACA are combined in CSMA/CA, which is MACA with carrier-sensing, to give better performance at high loads. A four-way handshake is employed in the basic contention-based access protocol used in the Distributed Coordination Function (DCF) of the IEEE 802.11 Standard for Wireless LANs. [See, IEEE Standards Department, D3, "Wireless Medium Access Control and Physical Layer WG," *IEEE Draft Standard P802.11 Wireless LAN*, January 1996.]

Collisions can be avoided by splitting the contending terminals before transmission is attempted. In the pseudo-Bayesian control method, each terminal determines whether it has permission to transmit using a random number generator and a permission probability "p" that depends on the estimated backlog. [See, R. L. Rivest, "Network Control by Bayesian Broadcast," *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, Vol. IT 25, pp. 505–515, September 1979.]

To resolve collisions, subsequent transmission attempts are typically staggered randomly in time using the following two approaches: binary tree and binary exponential backoff.

Upon collision, the binary tree method requires the contending nodes to self-partition into two groups with specified probabilities. This process is repeated with each new collision. The order in which contending nodes transmit is determined either by serial or parallel resolution of the tree. [See, J. L. Massey, "Collision-Resolution Algorithms and Random-Access Communications," in *Multi-User Communication Systems*, G. Longo (ed.), CISM Courses and Lectures No. 265, New York: Springer 1982, pp. 73–137.]

In the binary exponential backoff approach, a backoff counter tracks the number of pauses and hence the number of completed transmissions before a node with pending packets attempts to seize the channel. A contending node initializes its backoff counter by drawing a random value, given the backoff window size. Each time the channel is found idle, the backoff counter is decreased and transmission is attempted upon expiration of the backoff counter. The window size is doubled every time a collision occurs, and the backoff countdown starts again. [See, A. Tanenbaum, *Computer Networks*, 3rd ed., Upper Saddle River, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1996.] The Distributed Coordination Function (DCF) of the IEEE 802.11 Standard for wireless LANs employs a variant of this contention resolution scheme, a truncated binary exponential backoff, starting at a specified window and allowing up to a maximum backoff range below which transmission is attempted. [IEEE Standards Department, D3, *supra*.] Different backoff counters may be maintained by a contending node for traffic to specific destinations. [Bharghavan, *supra*.]

In the IEEE 802.11 Standard, the channel is shared by a centralized access protocol, the Point Coordination Function (PCF), which provides contention-free transfer based on a polling scheme controlled by the access point (AP) of a basic service set (BSS). [IEEE Standards Department, D3, *supra*.] The centralized access protocol gains control of the channel and maintains control for the entire contention-free period by waiting a shorter time between transmissions than the stations using the Distributed Coordination Function (DCF) access procedure. Following the end of the contention-free period, the DCF access procedure begins, with each station contending for access using the CSMA/CA method.

The 802.11 MAC Layer provides both contention and contention-free access to the shared wireless medium. The MAC Layer uses various MAC frame types to implement its functions of MAC management, control, and data transmission. Each station and access point on an 802.11 wireless LAN implements the MAC Layer service, which enables stations to exchange packets. The results of sensing the channel to determine whether the medium is busy or idle are sent to the MAC coordination function of the station. The MAC coordination also carries out a virtual carrier-sense protocol based on reservation information found in the Duration Field of all frames. This information announces to all other stations the sending station's impending use of the medium. The MAC coordination monitors the Duration Field in all MAC frames and places this information in the station's Network Allocation Vector (NAV) if the value is greater than the current NAV value. The NAV operates similarly to a timer, starting with a value equal to the Duration Field of the last frame transmission sensed on the medium and counting down to zero. After the NAV reaches zero, the station can transmit if its physical sensing of the channel indicates a clear channel.

At the beginning of a contention-free period, the access point senses the medium; and if it is idle, it sends a beacon packet to all stations. The beacon packet contains the length of the contention-free interval. The MAC coordination in each member station places the length of the contention-free interval in the station's Network Allocation Vector (NAV), which prevents the station from taking control of the medium until the end of the contention-free period. During the contention-free period, the access point can send a polling message to a member station, enabling it to send a data packet to any other station in the BSS wireless cell.

Quality Of Service (QoS)

Quality of service (QoS) is a measure of service quality provided to a customer. The primary measures of QoS are message loss, message delay, and network availability. Voice and video applications have the most rigorous delay and loss requirements. Interactive data applications such as Web browsing have less restrained delay and loss requirements, but they are sensitive to errors. Non-real-time applications such as file transfer, eEmail, and data backup operate acceptably across a wide range of loss rates and delay. Some applications require a minimum amount of capacity to operate at all—for example, voice and video. Many network providers guarantee specific QoS and capacity levels through the use of Service-Level Agreements (SLAs). An SLA is a contract between an enterprise user and a network provider that specifies the capacity to be provided between points in the network that must be delivered with a specified QoS. If the network provider fails to meet the terms of the SLA, then the user may be entitled a refund. The SLA is typically offered by network providers for private line, frame relay, ATM, or Internet networks employed by enterprises.

The transmission of time-sensitive and data application traffic over a packet network imposes requirements on the delay or delay jitter, and the error rates realized; these parameters are referred to generically as the QoS (Quality of Service) parameters. Prioritized packet scheduling, preferential packet dropping, and bandwidth allocation are among the techniques available at the various nodes of the network, including access points, that enable packets from different applications to be treated differently, helping achieve the different quality of service objectives. Such techniques exist in centralized and distributed variations.

Management of contention for the shared transmission medium must reflect the goals sought for the performance of

the overall system. For instance, one such goal would be the maximization of goodput (the amount of good data transmitted as a fraction of the channel capacity) for the entire system, or of the utilization efficiency of the RF spectrum; another is the minimization of the worst-case delay. As multiple types of traffic with different performance requirements are combined into packet streams that compete for the same transmission medium, a multi-objective optimization is required.

Ideally, one would want a multiple access protocol that is capable of effecting packet transmission scheduling as close to the optimal scheduling as possible, but with distributed control. Distributed control implies both some knowledge of the attributes of the competing packet sources and limited control mechanisms.

To apply any scheduling algorithm in random multiple access, a mechanism must exist that imposes an order in which packets will seize the medium. For distributed control, this ordering must be achieved independently, without any prompting or coordination from a control node. Only if there is a reasonable likelihood that packet transmissions will be ordered according to the scheduling algorithm can one expect that the algorithm's proclaimed objective will be attained.

The above-cited, copending patent application by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA): A Method for Priority-Based Shared Channel Access," describes the Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) distributed medium access protocol that schedules transmission of different types of traffic based on their QoS service quality specifications. This protocol makes changes to the contention window following the transmission of a frame and therefore is also called Extended-DCF (E-DCF). During the contention window, the various stations on the network contend for access to the network. To avoid collisions, the MAC protocol requires that each station first wait for a randomly chosen time period, called an arbitration time. Since this period is chosen at random by each station, there is less likelihood of collisions between stations. TCMA uses the contention window to give higher priority to some stations than to others. Assigning a short contention window to those stations that should have higher priority ensures that, in most cases, the higher-priority stations will be able to transmit ahead of the lower-priority stations. TCMA schedules transmission of different types of traffic based on their QoS service quality specifications. A station cannot engage in backoff countdown until the completion of an idle period of length equal to its arbitration time.

The above-cited, copending patent application by Mathilde Benveniste also applies TCMA to the use of the wireless access point as a traffic director. This application of the TCMA protocol is called the hybrid coordination function (HCF). In HCF, the access point uses a polling technique as the traffic control mechanism. The access point sends polling packets to a succession of stations on the network. The individual stations can reply to the poll with a packet that contains not only the response, but also any data that needs to be transmitted. Each station must wait to be polled. The access point establishes a polling priority based on the QoS priority of each station.

What is needed in the prior art is a way to reduce interference between overlapping first and second wireless LAN cells contending for the same medium.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the invention, an access method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) is disclosed which reduces interference between overlapping first and second wireless LAN cells contending for the same medium. Each cell includes a respective plurality of member stations and an access point (AP) station. The access method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) includes a fixed cycle time that reduces conflicts with PCFSs from other cells. The PCFSs from several cells are repeated in cycles of cycle period (CP), which is the contention-free period (CFP) of an access point times a factor which is a function of the number of overlapping cells. Periodic contention-free sessions (PCFSs) are generated, one from each overlapping cell. PCFS transmission attempts occur at the fixed specified time spacing following the start of the previous cycle. Each active AP sets a timer at CP and a PCFS is initiated when the timer expires. The timer is reset to CP (if there is no data to transmit) and this starts a new cycle. Access is attempted with the shortest arbitration interframe space (AIFS) possible. Contention transmissions are attempted by stations based on their assigned priority. If a channel is busy at the designated start time for transmitting a PCFS, the PCFS is shortened by the time lost. Subsequent PCFSs will not conflict, given a sequence of non-conflicting PCFSs. Since their previous PCFSs did not conflict, the follower AP's starting time is different from that of the leader's. The PCFSs will not conflict provided that the maximum duration of a contention-free period (CFP) is less than CP/number of interfering BSS.

Interleaving PCFSs and CFSs reduces conflicts with CFSs from other cells. Both CFSs and PCFSs use the shortest arbitration interframe space (AIFS). CFSs and PCFSs from different cells may collide. To lessen the contention between AP of different cells, each station's Network Allocation Vector (NAV) and Inter-BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV) is updated by an increased value of the next CFS length. The value of the next CFS length is increased by the inter-BSS contention period (IBCP). APs will attempt to access the channel during the IBCP only for transmitting a PCFS, while they will wait for the NAV and IBNAV expirations before attempting to transmit a CFS.

Interleaving PCFSs and CFSs enables maintaining quality of service (QoS). QoS requirements are met by each access point scheduling its traffic as follows: periodic traffic is transmitted in PCFSs; non-periodic traffic is placed either in a PCFSs or in its allotted CFS according to traffic priority; delay-sensitive traffic is scheduled first, followed by traffic of lower priorities. In this manner, interleaving PCFSs and CFSs provides efficient dynamic bandwidth allocation for maintaining quality of service (QoS).

DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIGS. 1 through 11 show the interaction of two wireless LAN cells which have overlapping access points contending for the same medium, in accordance with the invention.

FIG. 2A shows the IEEE 802.11 packet structure for a Shield packet, in accordance with the invention.

FIG. 2B shows the IEEE 802.11 packet structure for a beacon packet, including the increment to the NAV period and the CFTR period.

FIG. 3 illustrates a timing diagram for the transmission of the shield packet.

FIG. 4 shows a timing diagram of a sample contention-free session (CFS) structure, which includes the shield

packet, the beacon packet, and the exchange of data packets during the contention-free period shown in FIGS. 1, 1A through 1C.

FIG. 5A shows that, if a channel is busy at the designated start time for transmitting a periodic contention-free session (PCFS), the PCFS is shortened by the time lost.

FIG. 5B shows a timing diagram of non-conflicting, periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) for access point 152 (AP1) and access point 102 (AP2).

FIG. 5C shows the feature of interleaving PCFSs and CFSs, which reduces conflicts with CFSs from other cells.

FIG. 6 shows a timing diagram of how access point 102 (AP2) listens for a PIFS idle following a busy channel and then starts transmitting a minimal interval after the CFS or PCFS for access point 152 (AP1).

DISCUSSION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

The invention disclosed broadly relates to telecommunications methods and more particularly relates to wireless cells that have overlapping stations contending for the same medium. An inter-cell contention-free period value is assigned to a first access point station in the first cell, associated with an accessing order in the medium for member stations in the first and second cells. The access point in the first cell transmits an initial shield packet to deter other stations from contending for the medium. The access point then transmits a beacon packet containing the inter-cell contention-free period value to member stations in the second cell. A second access point in the second cell can then delay transmissions by member stations in the second cell until after the inter-cell contention-free period expires. The beacon packet sent by the first access point station also includes an intra-cell contention-free period value, which causes the member stations in the first cell to delay accessing the medium until polled by the first access point. After the expiration of the intra-cell contention-free period, member stations in the first cell may contend for the medium based on the quality of service (QoS) data they are to transmit, using the Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) protocol.

Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) protocol is applied to wireless cells that have overlapping access points contending for the same medium. Quality of service (QoS) support is provided to overlapping access points to schedule transmission of different types of traffic based on the service quality specifications of the access points. A description of Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) protocol applied to overlapping wireless cells is provided in the following two copending U.S. Patent Applications, which are incorporated herein by reference: Ser. No. 09/985,257, filed Nov. 2, 2001, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA): A Method For Priority-Based Shared Channel Access," and Ser. No. 10/187,132, filed Jun. 28, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled "Hybrid Coordination Function (HCF) Access Through Tiered Contention And Overlapped Wireless Cell Mitigation."

The method assigns a first scheduling tag to a first access point station in a first wireless LAN cell. The scheduling tag has a value that determines an accessing order for the cell in a transmission frame, with respect to the accessing order of other wireless cells. The scheduling tag value is deterministically set. The scheduling tag value can be permanently assigned to the access point by its manufacturer; it can be assigned by the network administrator at network startup; it

can be assigned by a global processor that coordinates a plurality of wireless cells over a backbone network; it can be drawn from a pool of possible tag values during an initial handshake negotiation with other wireless stations; or it can be cyclically permuted in real-time, on a frame-by-frame basis, from a pool of possible values, coordinating that cyclic permutation with that of other access points in other wireless cells.

An access point station 152 in wireless cell 150 is connected to backbone network 160 in FIG. 1. The access point 152 signals the beginning of an intra-cell contention-free session (CFS) of FIGS. 3 and 4 for member stations 154A and 154B in its cell by transmitting a shield packet 118 during the period from T0 to T1. The shield packet 118 or 119 is a short packet, such as a Physical Layer Convergence Procedure (PLCP) header without the MAC data, as shown in FIG. 2A. The shield packet 118 makes the wireless channel appear busy to any station receiving the shield packet. This includes not only the member stations 154A and 154B in cell 150, but also any stations in another overlapped cell, such as cell 100. Access point 102 and the stations 104A, 104B, and 106 of the overlapped cell 100 also receive the shield packet 118. All such stations listen to the channel; and when they receive the shield packet 118, they defer transmitting on what they perceive to be a busy channel. The transmitting access point 152 is thus assured that no other station will begin contending for the medium while the access point 152 is sending a beacon packet in the next step, shown in FIG. 1A. A timing diagram for the transmission of the shield packet to begin the intra-cell contention-free session (CFS) is shown in FIGS. 3 and 4.

FIG. 2A shows the IEEE 802.11 packet structure 360 for a shield packet 118. The shield packet structure 360 includes fields 361 to 367. Field 365 is the PLCP header and field 367 is the empty frame body.

FIG. 1A shows the access point 152 of cell 150 transmitting the beacon packet 124 during the period from T1 to T2. The beacon packet 124, shown in FIG. 2B, includes two contention-free period values. The first is the Network Allocation Vector (NAV) (or alternately its incremental value ΔNAV), which specifies a period value P3 for the intra-cell contention-free period (CFP) for member stations in its own cell 150. The intra-cell contention-free period (CFP) is the duration of the contention-free session (CFS) shown in FIG. 4. Member stations within the cell 150 must wait for the period P3 before beginning the Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) procedure. The other contention-free period value included in the beacon packet 124 is the Inter-BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV), which specifies the contention-free time response (CFTR) period P4. The contention-free time response (CFTR) period P4 gives notice to any other cell receiving the beacon packet, such as cell 100, that the first cell 150 has seized the medium for the period of time represented by the value P4. A timing diagram for the transmission of the beacon packet is shown in FIG. 4.

The beacon packet 124 is received by the member stations 154A (with a low QoS requirement 164A) and 154B (with a high QoS requirement 164B) in the cell 150 during the period from T1 to T2. The member stations 154A and 154B store the value of $\Delta NAV = P3$ and begin counting down that value during the contention-free period of the cell 150. The duration of the intra-cell contention-free period $\Delta NAV = P3$ is deterministically set. The member stations in the cell store the intra-cell contention-free period value P3 as the Network Allocation Vector (NAV). Each member station in the cell 150 decrements the value of the NAV in a manner similar to

other backoff time values, during which it will delay accessing the medium. FIG. 2B shows the IEEE 802.11 packet structure **260** for the beacon packet **124** or **120**, including the increment to the NAV period and the CFTR period. The value **P4** specifies the Inter-BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV)—i.e., the contention-free time response (CFTR) period that the second access point **102** must wait while the first cell **150** has seized the medium. The beacon packet structure **260** includes fields **261** to **267**. Field **267** specifies the Δ NAV value of **P3** and the CFTR value of **P4**. The method assigns to the first access point station a first inter-cell contention-free period value, which gives notice to any other cell receiving the beacon packet that the first cell has seized the medium for the period of time represented by the value. The inter-cell contention-free period value is deterministically set.

If the cells **100** and **150** are mostly overlapped, as in region **170** shown in FIG. 1A, then transmissions from any one station in one cell **150** will be received by most or all stations in the overlapped cell **100**. The beacon packet **124** transmitted by the access point **152** in cell **150** is received by all of the stations in cell **150** and all of the stations in cell **100**, in FIG. 1A.

Alternately, if only one or a small portion of stations are in the region of overlap **170**, then a contention-free time response (CFTR) packet will be used to relay the information in the beacon packet to those stations remote from the transmitting station. The description of the CFTR packet and its operation is provided in the copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/187,132, filed Jun. 28, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled “Hybrid Coordination Function (HCF) Access Through Tiered Contention and Overlapped Wireless Cell Mitigation,” incorporated herein by reference. For a partially overlapped region **170**, any station receiving the beacon packet **124** immediately rebroadcasts a contention-free time response (CFTR) packet containing a copy of the first inter-cell contention-free period value **P4**. The value **P4** specifies the Inter-BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV), i.e., the contention-free time response (CFTR) period that the second access point **102** must wait while the first cell **150** has seized the medium. In this manner, the notice is distributed to the second access point station **102** in the overlapping second cell **100**.

FIG. 1B shows the point coordinator in access point **152** of cell **150** controlling the contention-free period within the cell **150** by using the polling packet “D1” **128** during the period from **T2** to **T3**. A timing diagram for the transmission of the polling packet is shown in FIG. 4. In the mean time, the second access point **102** in the second cell **100** connected to backbone network **110** stores the first inter-cell contention-free period value **P4** received in the CFTR packet **126**, which it stores as the Inter-BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV). The second access point **102** decrements the value of IBNAV in a manner similar to other backoff time values, during which it will delay accessing the medium. FIG. 1C shows the wireless station **154A** in cell **150** responding to the polling packet **128** by returning a responsive data packet “U1” **140**. A timing diagram for the transmission of the responsive data packet “U1” is shown in FIG. 4. Subsequent, similar exchanges in cell **150** are shown in FIG. 4, where access point **152** sends the polling packet “D2” and the polled station in cell **150** responds with data packet “U2”. Access point **152** then sends the polling packet “D3”, but there is no response from the polled station in cell **150**; so within a PIFS interval, access point **152** sends the polling packet “D4” and the polled station in cell **150** responds with data packet “U4”. It is seen at this point in FIG. 1D and FIG.

4 that the NAV value has been counted down to zero in the stations of cell **150**, signifying the end of the contention-free session (CFS) for cell **150**. FIG. 1D also shows that the IBNAV value in the access point **102** and the CFTR value in the other stations of the overlapped cell **100** have also been counted down to zero. The second access point **102** in the cell **100** takes this as its cue to transmit a shield packet **119** to begin a contention-free session (CFS) for cell **100**.

The method similarly assigns to the second access point **102** station in the second wireless LAN cell **100** that overlaps the first cell **150** a second contention-free period value $CFTR=P7$ longer than the first contention-free period value $CFTR=P4$. FIG. 1D shows the second access point **102** in the cell **100** transmitting a shield packet **119** during the period from **T4** to **T5**. The shield packet **119** is a short packet, such as a Physical Layer Convergence Procedure (PLCP) header without the MAC data, as shown in FIG. 2A. The shield packet **119** makes the wireless channel appear busy to any station receiving the shield packet. This includes not only the member stations **104A**, **104B**, and **106** in cell **100**, but also any stations in another overlapped cell, such as cell **150**. The access point **152** and stations **154A** and **154B** of the overlapped cell **150** also receive the shield packet **119**. All such stations receiving the shield packet **119** delay transmitting on what they perceive to be a busy channel. The transmitting access point **102** is thus assured that no other station will begin contending for the medium while the access point **102** is sending a beacon packet in the next step, shown in FIG. 1E.

Access point **102** in cell **100** sends its beacon packet **120** in FIG. 1E, including its contention-free period values of NAV (**P6**) and IBNAV (**P7**), to the member stations **104A** (with a low QoS requirement **114A**), **104B** (with a high QoS requirement **114B**) and **106** in the cell **100** during the period from **T5** to **T6**. The stations **152**, **154A**, and **154B** of the overlapped cell **150** also receive the beacon packet **120**. FIG. 1F shows the point coordinator in access point **102** of cell **100** controlling the contention-free period within cell **100** using the polling packet **132** during the period from **T6** to **T7**. FIG. 1G shows the wireless station **104B** in cell **100** responding to the polling packet **132** by returning a responsive data packet **142**. It is seen at this point in FIG. 1H that the NAV value has been counted down to zero in the stations of cell **100**, signifying the end of the contention-free session (CFS) for cell **100**. FIG. 1H also shows that the IBNAV value in the access point **152** and the CFTR value in the other stations of the overlapped cell **150** have also been counted down to zero. All of the stations in both cells **100** and **150** have their NAV and CFTR/IBNAV values at zero, and they take this as their cue to begin the contention period.

The method uses the Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) protocol to assign to first member stations in the first cell **150** a first shorter backoff value for high Quality of service (QoS) data and a first longer backoff value for lower QoS data. FIG. 1H shows the station **154B** in the cell **150**, having a high QoS requirement **164B**, decreasing its high QoS backoff period to zero and beginning TCMA contention. Station **154B** transmits a request-to-send (RTS) packet **144** to station **154A** during the period from **T8** to **T9**. Station **154A** responds by sending a clear-to-send (CTS) packet to station **154B**.

Then, station **154B** transmits its high QoS data packet **130** during the period from **T9** to **T10** in FIG. 1I. The backoff time is the interval that a member station waits after the expiration of the contention-free period **P3** before the member station **154B** contends for access to the medium. Since more than one member station in a cell may be competing

for access, the actual backoff time for a particular station can be selected as one of several possible values. In one embodiment, the actual backoff time for each particular station is deterministically set, so as to reduce the length of idle periods. In another embodiment, the actual backoff time for each particular station is randomly drawn from a range of possible values between a minimum delay interval to a maximum delay interval. The range of possible backoff time values is a contention window. The backoff values assigned to a cell may be in the form of a specified contention window. High QoS data is typically isochronous data, such as streaming video or audio data, that must arrive at its destination at regular intervals. Low QoS data is typically file transfer data and email, which can be delayed in its delivery and yet still be acceptable. The Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) protocol coordinates the transmission of packets within a cell so as to give preference to high QoS data over low QoS data to insure that the required quality of service is maintained for each type of data.

The method uses the Tiered Contention Multiple Access (TCMA) protocol to assign to second member stations in the second cell **100** a second shorter backoff value for high QoS data and a second longer backoff value for lower QoS data.

The first and second cells are considered to be overlapped when one or more stations in the first cell can inadvertently receive packets from member stations or the access point of the other cell. The invention reduces the interference between the overlapped cells by coordinating the timing of their respective transmissions while maintaining the TCMA protocol's preference for the transmission of high QoS data over low QoS data in each respective cell.

FIG. 3 shows a timing diagram for the transmission of the shield packet. A CFS is started with the shield packet, which is a short frame (e.g., Physical Layer Convergence Procedure (PLCP) header without MAC data). The AP will wait for an idle period of PIFS to transmit following the shield. If an (E)DCF transmission collides with the shield, the AP will hear the transmission and defer initiation of the CFS body. After completion of the (E)DCF transmission, the CFS will start, following a PIFS idle. Transmission of the shield before the CFS body is not always needed. For example, it is not needed if the AP knows that the idle gap between the CFS and the previous transmission is equal to PIFS—i.e., when the backoff delay is 1 during the last busy period.

FIG. 4 shows a timing diagram of a sample CFS structure. It includes the shield packet, the beacon packet, and the exchange of data packets during the contention-free period shown in FIGS. 1, 1A through 1C.

All stations listen to the channel; and when they receive the shield packet, they defer transmitting on what they perceive to be a busy channel. The transmitting access point is thus assured that no other station will begin contending for the medium while the access point is sending a beacon packet. If another station and the access point have simultaneously begun transmission, then the benefit of the shield packet is that the other station's (E)DCF transmissions colliding with the shield packet will cause postponement of the start of the CFS body by the access point until the channel is clear. The CFS is thus assured of no (E)DCF conflict because of its shorter Arbitration Interframe Space (AIFS). While the other station's colliding (E)DCF transmission is unsuccessful, the CFS body will be transmitted later by the access point without conflict. Channel time is saved this way if CFSs are longer than DCF transmissions. This method can also be applied to PCFSs if there is no other mechanism to protect them from collisions with (E)DCF transmissions, as there is in the point coordination function

(PCF). Still further, a special shield packet may also be used in Inter-BSS NAV protection.

The following definitions are believed to be helpful to an understanding of the invention.

Contention-free burst (CFB): A technique for reducing MAC layer wireless medium (WM) access overhead and susceptibility to collisions, in which a single station may transfer a plurality of MAC protocol data units (MPDUs) during a single transmission opportunity (TXOP), retaining control of the WM by using interframe spaces sufficiently short that the entire burst appears to be a single instance of WM activity to contending stations.

Contention-free session (CFS): Any frame exchange sequence that may occur without contention following a successful channel access attempt. A CFS may involve one or more stations. A CFS may be initiated by any station. A contention-free burst (CFB) and an RTS/CTS exchange are both examples of a CFS. A contention free burst (CFB) is a special case of a contention-free session (CFS) that is started by a hybrid coordinator (HC).

Contention-free period (CFP): A time period during operation of a basic service set (BSS) when a point coordination function (PCF) or hybrid coordination function (HCF) is used, and transmission opportunities (TXOPs) are assigned to stations by a point coordinator (PC) or hybrid coordinator (HC), allowing frame exchanges to occur without inter-station contention for the wireless medium (WM) and at regular time intervals. The contention-free period (CFP) is the duration of a contention-free session (CFS).

Periodic contention-free session (PCFS): A contention-free session (CFS) that must occur at regular time intervals. A contention-free period (CFP) is an example of a PCFS. Both PCFSs and CFSs are needed, the PCFSs used for periodic traffic and the CFSs providing efficient use of channel time as channel availability permits. When restricting the time to the next access attempt, the channel cannot be used sooner, even if needed and available; it limits efficiency of channel re-use.

The description of the invention can be simplified by considering that CFSs/PCFSs are initiated by access points (AP). However, CFSs/PCFSs can be initiated by any station, whether or not it is an AP.

In a multi-BSS system, there will still be interference between BSSs assigned the same channel, once channels have been assigned to the BSSs. It would be desirable that periodic contention-free sessions (PCFSs) have priority access over (E)DCF transmissions. It would also be desirable for (E)DCF transmissions to access the channel at an assigned priority. It would still further be desirable for PCFSs to be able to regain control of the channel periodically and conflict-free at pre-specified times. Although PCFS can be generated by the PCF method in the existing IEEE 802.11 standard, a way is needed to avoid collisions with either PCFSs or CFSs from other cells. The Access Method for Periodic Contention Free Sessions (PCFS) disclosed herein eliminates the need for stations to keep track of target beacon transmission time (TBTT).

These problems are avoided by the Access Method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS). The Access Method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) includes three features:

- 1—Fixed Cycle Time, which reduces conflicts with PCFSs from other cells.
- 2.—Interleaving PCFSs and CFSs, which reduces conflicts with CFSs from other cells.

3—Staggered Start-up, which provides contiguous sequences of PCFSs to deter collisions with (E)STAs. The staggered startup feature is optional.

The Access Method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) requires a mechanism for ‘busy’ channel detection (detection of the start and end of a CFS), such as the Inter-BSS NAV. This is described in the copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/187,132, filed Jun. 28, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled “Hybrid Coordination Function (HCF) Access Through Tiered Contention And Overlapped Wireless Cell Mitigation,” which is incorporated by reference. The Access Method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) is applied in fully overlapped BSSs or partially overlapping BSSs with IBNAV protection. This is also described in the copending patent application Ser. No. 10/187,132. An alternative to such protection is ‘parallel’ backoff to avoid “Neighborhood Capture,” as is described in the copending regular U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,384, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled “Wireless LANs And Neighborhood Capture,” which is incorporated by reference.

The Access Method for periodic contention-free sessions (PCFS) feature of Fixed Cycle Time reduces conflicts with PCFSs from other BSS cells. The PCFS from several cells are repeated in cycles of length CP, which is the contention-free period (CFP) times a factor DTIM, which is a function of the number of overlapping cells. Periodic contention-free sessions (PCFSs) are generated, one from each overlapping BSS cell. PCFS attempts occur at the fixed specified time spacing since the start of the previous cycle. Each active AP sets a timer at CP and a PCFS is initiated when the timer expires. The timer is reset to CP (if there is no data to transmit) and this starts a new cycle. Access is attempted with the shortest AIFS possible. (E)DCF transmissions are attempted by their assigned priority. A new hybrid coordinator (HC) can get started; and if there is collision, it can be resolved through a random backoff.

FIG. 5A shows that, if a channel is busy at the designated start time for transmitting a PCFS, the PCFS is shortened by the time lost.

FIG. 5B shows that subsequent PCFSs will not conflict, given a sequence of non-conflicting PCFSs. Since their previous PCFSs did not conflict, the follower AP’s starting time is different from that of the leader’s. The PCFSs will not conflict provided that the maximum duration of a contention-free period (CFP) is less than CP/number of interfering BSS.

FIG. 5C shows the feature of Interleaving PCFSs and CFSs. This feature reduces conflicts with CFSs from other cells. Both CFSs and PCFSs use the shortest AIFS. CFSs and PCFSs from different BSSs may collide. To lessen the contention between them, the CFS length sent to update the NAV and IBNAV is increased by the inter-BSS contention period (IBCP). APs will attempt to access the channel during the IBCP only for a PCFS, while they will wait for NAV and IBNAV expiration before attempting a CFS. The IBCP duration is greater than or equal to the slot time to enable carrier-sensing.

FIG. 6 shows the feature of Staggered Start-up, which provides contiguous sequences of PCFSs to deter collisions with (E)STAs. FIG. 6 shows a timing diagram of how access point 102 (AP2) listens for a PIFS idle following a busy channel and then starts transmitting a minimal interval after the periodic contention-free session (PCFS) for access point 152 (AP1). If one AP is operating and a second AP powers on, AP2 listens to the channel until a PIFS Idle is observed following a busy channel, or for another indication of a CFS

or PCFS. Then it looks for the first idle longer than PIFS and sets its post-backoff delay to transmit always right after AP1. An idle period $X=PIFS+x$, $x>0$, has been detected at time t ; AP2’s backoff at time t is set at $Bkoff-x$. If several APs power on during the same cycle, collision between new APs is possible. It can be resolved by a random backoff, 0, 11 . . . [small value]. As is shown in FIG. 6, AP2 listens to the channel until a PIFS Idle is observed following a busy channel. Then it looks for the first idle longer than PIFS and sets its post-backoff delay to transmit always right after AP1. When an idle period $DIFS=PIFS+1$ has been detected at time t , AP2’s backoff at time t is set at $Bkoff-1$.

Contiguous PCFs, where the gaps have a duration of PIFS, prevent DCF transmissions from conflicting with new PCFSs. This option can eliminate the need for stations to keep track of the target beacon transmission time (TBTT). For this to work, the cells should be fully overlapping or partially overlapping with IBNAV protection. IBNAV protection is described in the copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/187,132, filed Jun. 28, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled “Hybrid Coordination Function (HCF) Access Through Tiered Contention And Overlapped Wireless Cell Mitigation,” which is incorporated by reference. An alternative to such protection is ‘parallel’ backoff to avoid “Neighborhood Capture,” as is described in the copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,384, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled “Wireless LANs And Neighborhood Capture,” which describes example values for the fixed size PCFSs. Additionally, PCFSs from interfering BSSs can be made contiguous by a ‘staggered start-up’ procedure similar to CPMA, as described in the copending U.S. patent application Ser. No. 10/256,471, filed Sep. 27, 2002, by Mathilde Benveniste, entitled “Staggered Start-up For Cyclic Prioritized Multiple Access (CPMA) Contention-Free Sessions,” which is incorporated by reference. Given a sequence of contiguous PCFSs (separated by idle gaps=PIFS), subsequent PCFSs will be contiguous if the PCFSs are all the same size.

Periodic contention-free sessions (PCFSs) provide regular access to the channel for periodic traffic. However, the use of PCFSs alone cannot provide efficient dynamic bandwidth allocation for maintaining quality of service (QoS). Contention-free sessions (CFSs) generated on a contention basis must complement PCFSs. PCFSs and CFSs access the channel with the shortest Arbitration Interframe Space (AIFS). To be assured timely access, only PCFSs will attempt access of the channel during the inter-BSS contention period (IBCP). The time interval added at the close of the NAV QoS requirements are met by each AP scheduling its traffic as follows.

Interleaving PCFSs and CFSs enables maintaining quality of service (QoS). QoS requirements are met by each access point scheduling its traffic as follows: periodic traffic is transmitted in PCFSs; non-periodic traffic is placed either in a PCFSs or in its allotted CFS according to traffic priority; delay-sensitive traffic is scheduled first, followed by traffic of lower priorities.

In this manner, interleaving PCFSs and CFSs provides efficient dynamic bandwidth allocation for maintaining quality of service (QoS).

Various illustrative examples of the invention have been described in detail. In addition, however, many modifications and changes can be made to these examples without departing from the nature and spirit of the invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A method for reducing interference between overlapping first and second wireless LAN cells in a medium, each cell including a respective plurality of member stations, comprising:

coordinating by a first member station in the first cell a sequence of first periodic contention-free sessions, each said session including multiple bursts with other member stations in the first cell, and retaining control of the medium by said first member station by using interframe spaces sufficiently short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear to contending stations to be a single instance of activity in the medium during a session until an end of a session;

setting a fixed cycle time by the first member station to repeat transmitting said first periodic contention-free sessions, the cycle time being a cycle period (CP), which is the contention-free period (CFP) of a member station times a factor which is a function of the number of overlapping cells;

transmitting said first periodic contention-free session by the first member station;

coordinating by said second member station in the second cell a sequence of second periodic contention-free sessions, each said second session including multiple bursts with other member stations in the second cell, and retaining control of the medium by said second member station by using interframe spaces sufficiently short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear to contending stations to be a single instance of activity in the medium during a session until an end of a session;

setting said fixed cycle time by the second member station to repeat transmitting said second periodic contention-free sessions following said first periodic contention-free sessions; and

transmitting said second periodic contention-free session by the second member station.

2. The method of claim 1, which further comprises:

coordinating by a third member station in a cell a non-periodic contention-free session, said non-periodic session including multiple bursts with other member stations, and retaining control of the medium by said third member station by using interframe spaces sufficiently short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear to contending stations to be a single instance of activity in the medium during a session until an end of a session;

transmitting by said third member station an updated Network Allocation Vector (NAV) to member stations, said updated NAV being an increment in the length of said non-periodic contention-free session, said increment being an inter-BSS contention period (IBCP); and transmitting by said third member station said non-periodic contention-free session to interleave said periodic contention-free sessions with said non-periodic contention-free session.

3. The method of claim 1, which further comprises:

coordinating by a third member station in a cell a non-periodic contention-free session, said non-periodic session including multiple bursts with other member stations, and retaining control of the medium by said third member station by using interframe spaces sufficiently short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear to contending stations to be a single instance of activity in the medium during a session until an end of a session;

transmitting by said third member station an updated Inter-BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV) to member stations, said updated IBNAV being an increment in the length of said non-periodic contention-free session, said increment being an inter-BSS contention period (IBCP); and

transmitting by said third member station said non-periodic contention-free session to interleave said periodic contention-free sessions with said non-periodic contention-free session.

4. The method of claim 1, which further comprises:

maintaining quality of service (QoS) of transmissions from member stations by interleaving periodic contention-free sessions with a non-periodic contention-free session having a QoS requirement;

scheduling traffic to be transmitted from selected member stations;

scheduling periodic traffic to be transmitted in periodic contention-free sessions;

scheduling non-periodic traffic in a non-periodic contention-free session according to traffic priority; and

scheduling delay-sensitive traffic first, followed by traffic having lower priorities;

whereby efficient dynamic bandwidth allocation is achieved for maintaining quality of service (QoS).

5. A wireless communications system having reduced interference between overlapping first and second wireless LAN cells in a medium, each cell including a respective plurality of member stations, comprising:

a first access point station in the first cell;

said first access point station coordinating in the first cell a sequence of first periodic contention-free sessions, each said session including multiple bursts with other member stations in the first cell, and retaining control of the medium by said first access station by using interframe spaces sufficiently short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear to contending stations to be a single instance of activity in the medium during a session until an end of a session;

said first access point station setting a fixed cycle time to repeat transmitting said first periodic contention-free sessions, the cycle time being a cycle period (CP), which is the contention-free period (CFP) of a member station times a factor which is a function of the number of overlapping cells;

said first access point station transmitting said first periodic contention-free session;

a second access point station in the second cell;

said second access point station coordinating in the second cell a sequence of second periodic contention-free sessions, each said second session including multiple bursts with other member stations in the second cell, and retaining control of the medium by said second access station by using interframe spaces sufficiently short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear to contending stations to be a single instance of activity in the medium during a session until an end of a session;

said second access point station setting said fixed cycle time to repeat transmitting said second periodic contention-free sessions following said first periodic contention-free sessions; and

said second access point station transmitting said second periodic contention-free session.

19

6. The system of claim 5, which further comprises:
 a third member station in a cell;
 said third member station coordinating a non-periodic
 contention-free session, said non-periodic session
 including multiple bursts with other member stations, 5
 and retaining control of the medium by said third
 member station by using interframe spaces sufficiently
 short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear
 to contending stations to be a single instance of activity
 in the medium during a session until an end of a 10
 session;
 said third member station transmitting an updated Net-
 work Allocation Vector (NAV) to member stations, said
 updated NAV being an increment in the length of said
 non-periodic contention-free session, said increment 15
 being an inter-BSS contention period (IBCP); and
 said third member station transmitting said non-periodic
 contention-free session to interleave said periodic con-
 tention-free sessions with said non-periodic contention-
 free session. 20

7. The system of claim 5, which further comprises:
 a third member station in a cell;
 said third member station coordinating in a cell a non-
 periodic contention-free session, said non-periodic ses- 25
 sion including multiple bursts with other member sta-
 tions, and retaining control of the medium by said third
 member station by using interframe spaces sufficiently
 short between the bursts that the multiple bursts appear
 to contending stations to be a single instance of activity
 in the medium during a session until an end of a 30
 session;

20

said third member station transmitting an updated Inter-
 BSS Network Allocation Vector (IBNAV) to member
 stations, said updated IBNAV being an increment in the
 length of said non-periodic contention-free session,
 said increment being an inter-BSS contention period
 (IBCP); and
 said third member station transmitting said non-periodic
 contention-free session, to interleave said periodic con-
 tention-free sessions with said non-periodic contention-
 free session.

8. The system of claim 5, which further comprises:
 a third member station;
 said third member station maintaining quality of service
 (QoS) of transmissions from member stations by inter-
 leaving periodic contention-free sessions with a non-
 periodic contention-free session having a QoS require-
 ment;
 said third member station scheduling traffic to be trans-
 mitted;
 said third member station scheduling periodic traffic to be
 transmitted in periodic contention-free sessions;
 said third member station scheduling non-periodic traffic
 in a non-periodic contention-free session according to
 traffic priority; and
 said third member station scheduling delay-sensitive traf-
 fic first, followed by traffic having lower priorities;
 whereby efficient dynamic bandwidth allocation is
 achieved for maintaining quality of service (QoS).

* * * * *