



US006069017A

# United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **6,069,017**

**Kamieniecki et al.**

[45] Date of Patent: **May 30, 2000**

## [54] METHOD FOR REAL-TIME IN-LINE TESTING OF SEMICONDUCTOR WAFERS

[75] Inventors: **Emil Kamieniecki**, Lexington, Mass.; **Jerzy Ruzyllo**, State College, Pa.

[73] Assignee: **QC Solutions, Inc.**, North Billerica, Mass.

[21] Appl. No.: **08/853,171**

[22] Filed: **May 8, 1997**

### Related U.S. Application Data

[62] Division of application No. 08/396,694, Mar. 1, 1995, Pat. No. 5,661,408.

[51] Int. Cl.<sup>7</sup> ..... **G01R 31/26; H01L 21/66**

[52] U.S. Cl. .... **438/17**

[58] Field of Search ..... 438/17, 14, 16

### [56] References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,168,212	9/1979	Faktor et al. ....	205/790.5
4,181,538	1/1980	Narayan et al. ....	148/1.5
4,286,215	8/1981	Miller .	
4,333,051	6/1982	Goodman .	
4,433,288	2/1984	Moore .	
4,544,887	10/1985	Kamieniecki .....	324/158 R
4,554,726	11/1985	Hillenius et al. ....	438/228
4,581,578	4/1986	Honma et al. ....	324/158 D
4,599,558	7/1986	Castellano, Jr. et al. ....	324/158 R
4,663,526	5/1987	Kamieniecki .	
4,812,756	3/1989	Curtis et al. ....	324/158 R
4,827,212	5/1989	Kamieniecki .	
4,891,584	1/1990	Kamieniecki et al. ....	324/158 R
5,025,145	6/1991	Lagowski .	
5,087,876	2/1992	Reiss et al. .	
5,091,691	2/1992	Kamieniecki et al. .	
5,177,351	1/1993	Lagowski .	
5,216,362	6/1993	Verkuil .....	324/158 R
5,218,214	6/1993	Tyson et al. ....	257/66
5,262,642	11/1993	Wessels et al. ....	250/306
5,453,703	9/1995	Goldfarb .....	324/765
5,471,293	11/1995	Lowell et al. ....	356/30
5,663,657	9/1997	Lagowski et al. ....	324/766

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Extended Abstracts”, Fall Meeting, Oct. 9–14, 1984, vol. 83–2.

“Frequency Dependence of Photo-EMF of Strongly Inverted Ge and Si MIS Structures-II. Experiments”, by R.S. Nakhmanson, et al., Solid-State Electronics, 1975, vol. 18, pp. 627–634.

“Frequency Dependence of Photo-EMF of Strongly Inverted Ge and Si MIS Structures-I. Theory”, by R.S. Nakhmanson, et al., Solid-State Electronics, 1975, vol. 18, pp 617–626.

“Ac Surface Photovoltages in Strongly-Inverted Oxidized p-Type Silicone Wafers”, by C. Munakata, et al., Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, Nov., 1984, vol. 23, No. 11, pp. 1451–1461.

“Analysis of ac Surface Photovoltages in a Depleted Oxidized p-Type Silicon Wafer”, by C. Munakata, et al., Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, Jun., 1986, vol. 25, No. 6, pp. 807–812.

“Non-Destructive Method of Observing Inhomogeneities in p-n Junctions with a Chopped Photon Beam”, by C. Munakata, et al., Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, Feb., 1981, vol. 20, No. 2, pp. L137–L140.

(List continued on next page.)


Primary Examiner—Brian Dutton

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Testa Hurwitz & Thibault, LLP

### [57] ABSTRACT

An apparatus and method for the real-time, in-line testing of semiconductor wafers during the manufacturing process. In one embodiment the apparatus includes a probe assembly within a semiconductor wafer processing line. As each wafer passes adjacent the probe assembly, a source of modulated light, within the probe assembly, having a predetermined wavelength and frequency of modulation, impinges upon the wafer. A sensor in the probe assembly measures the surface photovoltage induced by the modulated light. A computer then uses the induced surface photovoltage to determine various electrical characteristics of the wafer.

2 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“Determination of Surface Charge and Interface Trap Densities in Naturally Oxidized n-type Si wafers Using ac Surface Photovoltages” by H. Shimizu, et al., Japanese journal of Applied Physics, Feb. 1987, vol. 26, pp. 226–230.

“Analysis and Control of Electrically Active Contaminants by Surface Charge Analysis” by E. Kamieniecki, et al., Handbook of Semiconductor Wafer Cleaning Technology (date unknown).

“Non-Contact Mapping of Heavy Metal Contamination for Siliconic Fabrication”, by J. Lagowski, et al., Semicond. Sci. Technology, 1992 (month unavailable).

“Determination of Surface Space Charge Capacitance Using a Light Probe”, by E. Kamieniecki, J. Vac. Sci. Technology, Mar. 1982.

“A New Method for In-Line, Real-Time Monitoring of Wafer Cleaning Operations”, by E. Kamieniecki, et al., Presented During the Symposium on Ultra Cleaning Processing of Silicon Surfaces, Sep. 9–21, 1994.

“Surface Photovoltage Measured Capacitance: Application to Semiconductor/Electrolyte System” by E. Kamieniecki, J. Appl. Phys., Nov. 1983.

AN-1 Application Note—Surface Charge Profiler, “Performance Demonstration”, QC Solutions, Inc., Jul. 1994.

An-2 Application Note—Surface Charge Profiler, “In Wafer Cleaning Monitoring”, QC Solutions, Inc., Sep. 1994.

AN-3 Application Note—Surface Charge Profiler, “Monitoring of Wafer Cleansing Using the Surface Charge Profiler on the Back Surfaces of the Wafer”, Nov. 1994.

“Surface Charge Profiler” brochure mailed out by QC Solutions, Inc., in Jan. 1995.

“Surface Charge Analysis: A New Method . . . Oxide System”, by E. Kamieniecki, Semiconductor Cleaning Technology/1989 Electronics and Dielectrics and Insulation Divisions (month unavailable).






Fig. 1





**Fig. 3**




Fig. 4




Fig. 5




Fig. 7




Fig. 6a




Fig. 6b






Fig. 8




Fig. 9

## METHOD FOR REAL-TIME IN-LINE TESTING OF SEMICONDUCTOR WAFERS

### RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a division of U.S. application Ser. No. 08/396,694, filed Mar. 1, 1995, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,661,408.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to the testing of semiconductor wafers during manufacturing and specifically to the real-time in-line testing of semiconductor wafers during integrated circuit fabrication.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

There are numerous individual operations, or processing steps, performed, in a strictly followed sequence, on the silicon wafer in the course of manufacturing a complex integrated circuit (IC). Each such operation must be precisely controlled in order to assure that the entire fabrication process yields integrated circuits displaying the required electrical characteristics.

Frequently, failure of an individual operation is detected only after the completion of the entire, very expensive, process of IC fabrication. Due to the very high cost of advanced IC fabrication processes, such failures result in the severe financial losses to the integrated circuit manufacturer. Therefore detection of errors in the manufacturing process, immediately after their occurrence, could prevent the unnecessary continuation of the fabrication of devices which are destined to malfunction, and hence, could substantially reduce the financial losses resulting from such errors.

Process monitoring in semiconductor device manufacturing relies upon the examination of the changes which occur in certain physical and/or chemical properties of the silicon wafer upon which the semiconductor devices are fabricated. These changes may occur following the various processing steps to which the silicon wafer is subjected and are reflected by changes in the electrical properties of the wafer. Therefore, by monitoring selected electrical properties of the silicon wafer in the course of IC fabrication, an effective control over the manufacturing process can be accomplished.

Not all of the electrical characteristics of a completed integrated circuit can be predicted based on the measurements performed on a partially processed wafer. Most of the characteristics however, can be predicted directly or indirectly based on the investigation of the condition of the surface of the silicon wafer (substrate) in the course of IC manufacture. The condition of the silicon surface is very sensitive to the outcome of the individual processing steps which are applied during IC manufacturing, and hence, the measurement of the electrical properties of the substrate surface can be an effective tool by which the monitoring of the outcome of the individual processing steps can be accomplished.

The determination of the electrical characteristics of the wafer surface typically requires physical contact with the wafer surface, or the placement of a contactless probe over a stationary wafer. In the latter case an optical signal or a high electric field is used to disturb equilibrium distribution of the electrons in the surface and near-surface region of semiconductor. Typically, the degree of departure from equilibrium is driven by variations of one or more electrical characteristics of the surface region, the near-surface region, and the bulk of the semiconductor. To obtain a more com-

plete picture of the entire surface of the wafer, several measurements at various points on the surface can be made. Such a procedure, known as "mapping", moves the measuring probe with respect to the measured material (or vice versa) over the surface of specimen, stopping at a number of locations and performing a measurement at each location before moving to the next location. The substrate, in this procedure, does not remain in the continuous motion, so consequently the applicability of such a method for use in real-time in-line process monitoring is limited.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to an apparatus and method for the real-time, in-line monitoring of semiconductor wafer processing. In one embodiment the apparatus includes a probe assembly located within a semiconductor wafer processing line. As each wafer is carried beneath or above the probe assembly by conveyor belt, robotic arm, wafer chuck, or other similar devices a source of modulated light, such as an LED, within the probe assembly, generates light having a predetermined wavelength and frequency of modulation which then impinges upon the wafer. A sensor in the probe assembly measures the surface photovoltage induced by the modulated light. The signal from the sensor is sent to a computer which then uses the induced surface photovoltage to determine various electrical characteristics of the wafer, such as surface charge and surface doping concentration, among others.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

This invention is pointed out with particularity in the appended claims. The above and further advantages of this invention may be better understood by referring to the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, in which:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram of an embodiment of an apparatus for the real-time, in-line, electrical characterization of a semiconductor during manufacturing;

FIG. 2 is a perspective view of an embodiment of the probe assembly of the apparatus of FIG. 1 in position above a wafer transfer system;

FIG. 3 is a top perspective cutaway view of the probe assembly of FIG. 2;

FIG. 4 is a bottom perspective view of an embodiment of the sensor plate of the probe assembly of FIG. 3;

FIG. 5 is a schematic diagram of an embodiment of an electrical circuit for measuring the surface photovoltage using front wafer surface coupling;

FIG. 6a depicts a block diagram of a corona control circuit used to charge a wafer so as to generate an inversion layer at the wafer surface; FIG. 6b depicts a block diagram of the corona control circuit of FIG. 6a used to discharge a wafer;

FIG. 7 is a bottom perspective cutaway view of an embodiment of the coated sensor plate of FIG. 4 with a polyimide coating, used with sensor charging and high voltage biasing;

FIG. 8 is a schematic diagram of an embodiment of a preamplifier circuit used for the high voltage biasing of the wafer using the sensor electrodes; and

FIG. 9 is a graph of front and back surface charge measurements of a silicon wafer undergoing cleaning.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

In one embodiment, the apparatus to perform various electrical characterizations makes use of the method for

measuring the photo-induced voltage at the surface of semiconductor materials, termed the surface photovoltage (SPV), disclosed in the U.S. Pat. No. 4,544,887. In this method, a beam of light is directed at a region of the surface of a specimen of semiconductor material and the photo-induced change in electrical potential at the surface is measured. The wavelength of the illuminating light beam is selected to be shorter than the wavelength of light corresponding to the energy gap of the semiconductor material undergoing testing. The intensity of the light beam is modulated, with both the intensity of the light and the frequency of modulation being selected such that the resulting AC component of the induced photovoltage is directly proportional to the intensity of light and inversely proportional to the frequency of modulation.

When measured under these conditions, the AC component of the surface photovoltage (SPV), designated  $\delta V_s$ , is proportional to the reciprocal of the semiconductor space-charge capacitance,  $C_{sc}$ . When the surface of the specimen is illuminated uniformly, the relationship between the surface photovoltage (SPV) and the space-charge charge capacitance is given, at sufficiently high frequencies of light modulation, by the relation:

$$\delta V_s = \frac{\phi(1-R)}{Kf} q C_{sc}^{-1}$$

where  $\Phi$  is the incident photon flux,  $R$  is the reflection coefficient of the semiconductor specimen,  $f$  is the frequency at which the light is modulated, and  $q$  is the elementary charge. The constant  $K$  is equal to 4 for a square wave modulation of the light intensity and is equal to  $2\pi$  for sinusoidal modulation.

In the above referenced patent, only a uniform configuration is considered in which the area of the sensor is at least the same size as the semiconductor wafer and the entire area of the specimen is uniformly illuminated. When only a portion of the semiconductor specimen surface is coupled to the sensor, that is, when the sensor is smaller than the wafer, and when the semiconductor surface uniformly illuminated in that area is coupled to the sensor, the surface photovoltage,  $\delta V_s$ , may be determined from the measured signal,  $\delta V_m$ , according to the relationships:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}(\delta V_s) &= \text{Re}(\delta V_m) \cdot (1 + C_L/C_p) + \text{Im}(\delta V_m) \cdot (\omega \cdot C_p \cdot R_L)^{-1} \\ \text{Im}(\delta V_s) &= \text{Im}(\delta V_m) \cdot (1 + C_L/C_p) - \text{Re}(\delta V_m) \cdot (\omega \cdot C_p \cdot R_L)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{Re}(\delta V_s)$  and  $\text{Im}(\delta V)$  are the real and imaginary components of the voltage,  $\omega$  is an angular frequency of light modulation,  $C_p$  is the capacitance between sensor and the wafer, and  $C_L$  and  $R_L$  are the input capacitance and resistance, respectively, of the electronic detection system.

From the sign of the imaginary component, the conductivity type may be determined. If the measurement is calibrated for a p-type material, then the sign of the imaginary component will change if the material is n-type.

Using above relationships, the depletion layer width,  $W_d$ , is given by equation:

$$W_d = \frac{\epsilon_s}{q} \frac{\omega |\text{Im}(\delta V_s)|}{\phi(1-R)} \cdot \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{\text{Re}(\delta V_s)}{\text{Im}(\delta V_s)} \right]^2 \right)$$

where  $\phi(1-R)$  is the intensity of light absorbed in the semiconductor,  $q$  is the elementary charge, and  $\epsilon_s$  is the semiconductor permittivity.

In addition to the space-charge capacitance,  $C_{sc}$ , the measurement of the surface photovoltage can be used to

determine the surface charge density,  $Q_{ss}$ , the doping concentration,  $N_{sc}$ , and the surface recombination lifetime,  $\tau$ , using the following relationships. The space charge capacitance,  $C_{sc}$ , is proportional to the reciprocal of the semiconductor depletion layer width,  $W_d$ , according to the relationship:

$$C_{sc} = \frac{\epsilon_s}{W_d}$$

where  $\epsilon_s$  is the semiconductor permittivity. The density of space charge,  $Q_{sc}$ , is in turn described by equation:

$$Q_{sc} = q N_{sc} W_d$$

where  $q$  is an elementary charge and the net doping concentration in the space-charge region,  $N_{sc}$ , is positive in an n-type material and negative in a p-type material. In addition, since the surface charge density,  $Q_{ss}$ , is given by the expression:

$$Q_{sc} = -Q_{ss}$$

the surface charge density is easily determined from the space charge density.

Further, if an inversion layer can be created at the wafer surface, the depletion layer width,  $W_d$ , under inversion conditions is related to the net doping concentration,  $N_{sc}$ , according to the relationship:

$$W_d = \sqrt{\frac{4\epsilon_s k T \ln(|N_{sc}|/n_i)}{q^2 |N_{sc}|}}$$

where  $kT$  is the thermal energy and  $n_i$  is the intrinsic concentration of free carriers in the semiconductor. Several methods of forming such an inversion layer at the semiconductor surface are disclosed below.

Finally, the surface recombination rate may also be determined from the SPV. The recombination lifetime of the minority carriers at the surface,  $\tau$ , is given by the expression:

$$\frac{1}{\omega\tau} = \left| \frac{\text{Re}(\delta V_s)}{\text{Im}(\delta V_s)} \right|$$

In brief overview, and referring to FIG. 1 an embodiment of such an apparatus 10 for the real-time, in-line, electrical characterization of a semiconductor during manufacturing using induced surface photovoltage includes a sensor head assembly 14, supporting electronics 18, and a wafer conveying device 22. In operation, the wafer conveying device 22, such as a conveyor belt, a robotic arm, a wafer chuck or similar device, moves wafers 28, 28' through the manufacturing process and, in one embodiment, beneath the sensor head assembly 14.

Referring to FIG. 2, the sensor head assembly 14 includes a probe head 32 mounted in a bracket 36 on a motorized stage 40. The motorized stage 40 moves the probe head 32 in a vertical direction (arrow z) to adjust vertical position of the probe head 32 with respect to the wafer 28 to within a 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$  accuracy. The mechanical stage 40 is attached to a probe arm 44.

The longitudinal axis L-L' of the probe head 32 is adjusted to be perpendicular to the plane of the wafer 28, by adjusting the tilt of the probe arm 44, either manually (using set screws 46) or mechanically (using for example piezoelectric actuators 48). The vertical position of the probe head 32 with

respect to the wafer **28** is controlled by feedback signal from capacitive-position sensing electrodes described in detail below.

Briefly, three capacitive-position sensing electrodes are located on the periphery of the sensor. To measure capacitance between each of these electrodes and the wafer, a 70 kHz 1V signal is applied through a respective 10 kohm resistor connected to each of these electrodes. The AC current flowing through these resistors is measured using a preamplifier and a lock-in amplifier. The lock-in signal is further processed by a computer and supplied to the motion control board that, in turn, positions the probe at a predetermined distance from the wafer surface using vertical (z-axis) motorized stage.

Referring to FIG. 3, the probe head **32** includes a sensor mount assembly **50** which provides support for a sensor **54** that is connected to a preamplifier board **58** by a plurality of flexible connectors **60**. Light emitted by a light emitting diode (LED) **64** is collimated by lens **68** prior to passing through a beam splitter **72**.

LED **64** is mounted on a LED driver board **74** which controls the intensity of the LED **64**, in response to a signal from a reference photodiode **78**, (through a preamplifier **79**) at an intensity level determined by the computer **160**. Light from the LED **64** reaches the reference/photodiode **78** by being partially reflected by the beam splitter **72**. The light which passes through the beam splitter **72** passes through openings **80**, **82** in the circuit board **86** and the preamplifier board **58**, respectively, prior to passing through the sensor mount assembly **50** and impinging on the wafer **28** undergoing testing.

Light reflected by the wafer **28** passes back along the light path just described before being reflected by the beam splitter **72** to a measuring photodiode **92**. The light reflected by the wafer **28**,  $\Phi_R$ , is used to detect edge of the wafer passing beneath the probe head **32** and trigger measurements. The reflected light is also used to measure light absorbed in the wafer **28** according to the relationship:

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 - \Phi_R$$

where  $\Phi_0$  is the incident light which can be determined by measuring the light reflected from an aluminum mirror replacing the wafer **28**. In this way, the reflection coefficient of the wafer **28** can be determined. Although the above embodiment describes the splitting of light by a beam splitter, other embodiments are possible in which light is split using optical fibers.

Referring again to FIG. 1, the LED **64** is controlled by signals from, and the probe head **32** returns signals to, supporting electronics **18**. The supporting electronics **18** include an oscillator **100** which supplies a 40 kHz modulation control signal **104** that is used as a reference signal by an LED control **62** to control an LED driver **63** which powers the LED **64**. Oscillator **100** also provides a reference signal **108** to a lock-in amplifier **112**. The output signals **116** from the surface photovoltage sensor and the measurement photodiode **92** (through a preamplifier **93**) of the probe head **32** are input signals to multiplexer **120** that alternately connects each signal to the input of the lock-in amplifier **112**. The lock-in amplifier **112** demodulates the input signal and supplies the demodulated signal to another multiplexer **150**. Multiplexer **150** switches between the two input signals from lock-in amplifiers **112** and **140** connecting them to a data acquisition (DAQ) board **156** that in turn digitizes the input signals making them available for further processing in the computer **160**. In an alternate embodiment, multiplexer **150** is part of the data acquisition board **156**.

FIG. 4 is a bottom perspective view showing the sensor plate of the sensor head **32**. A plurality of electrodes are formed on a rigid and insulating substrate **200**. In one embodiment, a 10 mm diameter fused quartz disc is used. A central surface photovoltage electrode **204** detects the signal from the wafer **28**. The central surface photovoltage electrode **204** is partially transmissive, thereby permitting the light from the LED **64** to reach the wafer **28**. Three other electrodes **208** located on the periphery of the substrate are used both for sensing the position of the sensor head **32** above the wafer **28** and for measuring the parallelism of the sensor with respect to the surface of the wafer **28**. All electrodes **204**, **208** are formed by the deposition of an indium-tin-oxide film through a shadow mask.

Similarly, a plurality of electrodes **212**, for connecting the sensors with the preamplifier circuit board **58** through the flexible connectors **60**, are formed on the surface of the substrate **200** which is opposite the electrodes **204**, **208**. Thin conductive electrodes **218**, on the side walls of the substrate **200**, which connect the electrodes **204**, **208** on the first surface with their respective electrodes **212** on the second surface, are also deposited using a shadow mask. This deposition avoids the use of vias through the substrate and thereby retains the flatness of the sensor to better than 0.2  $\mu\text{m}$ . Both front **204**, **208** and side electrodes **218**, may be protected with a thin insulating coating, such as polyimide, formed by spinning so as to maintain the flatness of the sensor.

The electrodes **208** are used for capacitively sensing the position of the sensor above the wafer **28**. Referring again to

FIG. 1, a 70 kHz input signal **124** for measuring the distance from a wafer **28** is supplied by an oscillator **128** to the position electrodes **208**. The same signal is also supplied as a reference signal **132** for a lock-in amplifier **140**. A position signal **146** from each of the three position sensing electrodes **208** is supplied as the input signal to a multiplexer **148** through a preamplifier **149**. The multiplexer **148** in turn, switching between each of these signals, connects each alternately to a lock-in amplifier **140**. The demodulated output signals from the lock-in amplifiers **112** and **140** are input signals to a multiplexer **150** which connects each signal alternately to a data acquisition board **156** located in a computer **160**, including a CPU **164**. Again, in an alternative embodiment, multiplexer **150** is part of the data acquisition board **156**.

The position signal **146** is compared by the CPU **164** with the reference value corresponding to a desired distance (established by calibration and stored in the computer) between the sensor **54** and **18** the wafer **28**. The difference between these two values, corresponds to the deviation of the sensor-wafer distance from the desired value, is supplied to a motion control board **170** that positions the probe head **32** at a predetermined distance from the wafer **28** using the motorized stage **40**.

In operation, when an edge of the continuously moving wafer **28** crosses the beam of the intensity modulated light from LED **64**, the intensity of the reflected light increases, thereby increasing the signal from the photodiode **92**. This measurement of the reflected light is repeated and the new value compared with the previous value. The light intensity measurements are repeated until the difference between sequential values decreases to below 5% indicating that the entire light beam is within the flat portion of the wafer.

This decrease in deviation triggers acquisition of the SPV signal by the surface photovoltage electrode **204**, followed by acquisition of the capacitance signals by the position electrodes **208**. If capacitance signals from different elec-

trodes (208) differ by more than 5%, the SPV signal is stored but not recalculated. The sequence of all measurements is then repeated until capacitances from different position electrodes (208) fall within 5% limit indicating that the electrodes are not near the edge of the wafer 28. The average of the capacitances from the three positioning electrodes 208 at this point is used to recalculate all previous values of the SPV signal.

The SPV measurement cycle is repeated, sequentially measuring light intensity, SPV signal and capacitance of positioning electrodes, until capacitances from the three positioning electrodes (208) differ by more than 5%, indicating the approach of the opposite edge of the wafer 28. After reaching this point of the wafer 28, the SPV measurements are made using the previously measured values of capacitance. The measurements of each value (reflected light, SPV signal, capacitance), in each cycle, are repeated for 10 msec and averaged by CPU 164.

The wafer 28, in one embodiment, is placed on the grounded chuck (conveyor belt, robotic arm, or other similar device) 178, coated with an insulating material, that is used to carry the wafer 28 beneath, above, or otherwise, such that the surface of the sensor of the probe head 32 and the surface of the wafer are parallel. Alternatively, the conveying device may be biased by a DC voltage. In one embodiment the DC bias voltage is selected to be between -1000 and 1000 volts. Although FIG. 1 illustrates the use of a grounded, insulated chuck 22 to move the wafer 28 beneath the probe assembly 14, it is possible to provide all the necessary measurements without grounding the chuck using only the electrodes provided by the sensor 54. Referring to FIG. 5, the SPV signal is, as described previously, received by the central surface photovoltage electrode 204 which is connected to the input terminal of an operational amplifier 250 located on the preamplifier circuit board 58. The other input terminal of the operational amplifier 250 is connected to ground and to the output terminal of the operational amplifier 250 through one or more resistors. What was previously a back capacitive contact, supplied by the chuck, is now provided by the three positioning electrodes 208 located on the periphery of the sensor and which, during the SPV measurements, are connected to the ground 252 rather than to the input terminal of the capacitance (current measuring) preamplifier located on the preamplifier circuit board 58.

To measure capacitance, the electrodes 208 are alternatively switched between the ground 252 and input of the capacitance preamplifier located on preamplifier circuit board 58. This arrangement makes possible non-contact measurements with any type of wafer support. Thus, the wafer support does not need to be connected to ground and could be made of insulating material.

As discussed above, measurements of the surface doping concentration require the formation of an inversion layer at the wafer surface. In one embodiment this is accomplished by charging the wafer 28 using a corona generator and subsequently performing surface photovoltage measurement on the wafer 28. Specifically, the wafer 28 is first charged to inversion with a corona generator. N-type wafers require a negative surface charge and p-type wafers require a positive surface charge. In one embodiment, the corona generator includes a single metal tip, for example tungsten, located 5 mm above the wafer 28 and biased to 3.5 kV for 2 to 3 sec. After charging, the wafer 28 is moved beneath the probe assembly 14 and the measurements performed. After the measurement, the wafer 28 is either moved beneath a neutral charge corona generator or returned to the original corona generator operated in a neutral discharge mode in order to discharge the wafer.

The simple corona generator with the metal tip or wire does not allow for the controlled charging of the wafer surface. The control of charging is important because while there is a minimum charge required to induce an inversion layer at the wafer 28 surface, overcharging may damage the wafer surface, and even cause electrical breakdown of the insulating coating formed on the wafer surface. To avoid overcharging the wafer 28, a closed loop controlled corona charging arrangement, disclosed in FIGS. 6a and 6b, controls the charge deposited on the surface of the wafer and thereby prevents surface damage.

Referring to FIG. 6a, the wafer 28 on the grounded, insulated chuck 22 is moved beneath an ionized air source 260 located about 10 mm above the wafer 28. A mesh, stainless steel, reference electrode 264 is placed in a distance of about 0.5 mm to 1 mm from the wafer 28. The difference between the potential on the reference electrode 264,  $V_{el}$ , and a user defined and computer generated reference voltage,  $V_{ref}$  268, termed the differential potential,  $V_{diff}$ , is amplified and its polarity is reversed within the corona control module 270. This voltage,  $V_{corr}$ , is applied to the ionized air source 260. Thus, the polarity of the potential applied to the ionized air source 260,  $V_{corr}$ , by the corona control module 270 is opposite to the polarity of differential voltage and is given by the expression:

$$V_{corr} = V_{ref} - V_{el}$$

Control of the corona charging during the charging process allows not only for real-time control but allows also simpler electronic circuitry to be used. The presence of the ions between ionized air source 260, reference electrode 264, and the wafer 28 lowers the equivalent impedances in the circuitry and permits amplifiers to be used (in the control module 270) which have an input impedance of  $10^9$ – $10^{10}$  ohms. This input impedance is several orders of magnitude lower than in the amplifiers utilized in previous approaches (typically  $10^{13}$ – $10^{15}$  ohms) when a potential of the wafer surface is measured not during charging but after the turning off of the corona.

Referring to FIG. 6b, the wafer 28 may be discharged by setting the reference voltage 268 to zero, i.e., connecting it to ground. Alternatively, if separate corona units are used for charging and discharging of the wafers, the discharging corona reference voltage can be permanently attached to the ground.

Referring to FIG. 7, an alternative approach to inducing a surface inversion layer is to bias the sensor with a high voltage. Such an approach requires formation of the insulating film 230 such as polyimide over the central electrode 204 and positioning electrodes 208 of the sensor. FIG. 8 depicts this alternative approach to inducing an inversion layer at the surface of the wafer 28 by voltage biasing. FIG. 8 shows a schematic diagram of an electronic circuit that includes a preamplifier for measuring AC surface photovoltage and a connection to a biasing high voltage source used with the sensor having a polyimide coating 230 as just described. The insulating coating 230 of the sensor 54 allows the application of a high enough voltage (500–1000 V) to induce a surface inversion layer in typical wafers used in manufacturing. The arrangement in which a rigid sensor electrode 204 is separated by an air gap from the semiconductor surface requires high degree of flatness of the electrode surface. When such a high DC voltage is used, any edges or surface roughness will increase the local electrical field and enhance ionization of the air resulting in electrical breakdown. Therefore electrical connections between the electrode and the detection electronics are constructed so as

to have a minimal effect on the surface flatness. Thus, the use of the side connections **218** eliminates the need to form via holes in the sensor and maintains the high flatness of the sensor. The current in the space charge region of the wafer **28** (indicated in phantom) which is generated by the illumination of the wafer **28** by the LED **64** is depicted as an equivalent current source,  $J_h$ . An equivalent resistor,  $R_R$ , which represents the carrier recombination at the surface of the wafer **28** and an equivalent capacitor,  $C_{SC}$ , which represents the space charge capacitance are also depicted.  $C_G$  represents capacitance between the wafer **28** and the chuck **22**, while  $C_p$  represents capacitance between the sensor electrode **204** and the wafer **28**. A computer controllable high voltage **300** is applied through a 10 Mohm resistor,  $R_{HV}$ , to the sensor electrode **204**. The sensor electrode **204** is also connected to the input of the operational amplifier **250** (described previously) through a high voltage capacitor,  $C_{HV}$ . The capacitance,  $C_{OA}$ , (also shown in phantom) represents input capacitance of the operational amplifier **250**.  $C_{HV}$  is selected to be about 10 times larger than  $C_{OA}$  so that  $C_L$  used in calculating  $\text{Im}(\delta V_s)$  and  $\text{Re}(\delta V_s)$  is close to  $C_{OA}$ . Similarly  $R_L$  used in calculating  $\text{Im}(\delta V_s)$  and  $\text{Re}(\delta V_s)$  is close to  $R_{HV}$ .

In addition to the methods just described to form an inversion layer, an inversion layer at the surface of the wafer **28** can be also formed using a chemical treatment. This approach is especially useful for p-type silicon wafers. Since HF introduces positive surface charge, HF treatment will produce a negative inversion layer at the surface of p-type silicon wafers. In one embodiment, the silicon wafer to be tested is subjected to a mixture of hydrofluoric acid and water (1:100 HF:H<sub>2</sub>O) in a liquid or vapor form. The wafer is then placed beneath the probe assembly **14**. In number of processes, HF treatment is already part of the production sequence so that probe assembly **14** needs only to be placed after HF processing location.

It should be noted that the formation of an inversion layer is useful in measuring conductivity type.

Since, in some cases, incoming wafers show acceptor neutralization due to the presence of hydrogen or copper, in order to restore the doping concentration at the surface, the measured wafer is subjected to a high intensity illumination (e.g., using a 250 W halogen light source) after a SPV measurement is made.

Additionally, the present apparatus is particularly adaptable for use in a sealed chamber environment, such as a reduced pressure chamber, a chamber for chemically reactive gasses or a chamber for an inert environment. The entire probe assembly **14** may be positioned within the sealed chamber, with the connections to the electronics passing

through the walls of the sealed chamber through pressure fittings. Alternatively, the probe assembly may be mounted in a wall of a sealed chamber such that the sensor is positioned within the chamber but the remainder of the probe assembly is positioned outside of the sealed chamber.

The approach to process monitoring methodology using an AC-SPV method emphasizes determination of variations of the measured parameters from wafer to wafer rather than value of the specific parameter itself. Typically, measurements of the electrical parameters of the back surface of the wafer are not possible without altering the front surface, which has to be contacted in order to complete a measuring circuit. Hence, measurements performed on the back surface of the wafer are not typically used in process monitoring. The non-contact AC-SPV measurements allows process monitoring by measurement of the surface characteristics on the back surface of the wafer as well as the front surface. As described before, the probe head can be installed underneath the wafer, above the wafer, or otherwise, such that the sensor surface is parallel to the wafer back surface, depending on how the wafer conveying system conveys the wafer to the probe head. In addition, two probe heads can be used, one on each side of the wafer for simultaneous characterization of the front and back side of the wafer. As an illustration of such approach comparison of measurements of the surface charge on the front surface featuring mirror-like finish is shown in FIG. **9**. The measurements were performed on the two halves of the same 100 mm, p-type, (100) silicon wafers that were simultaneously subjected to the wet cleaning treatments. At various stages of the cleaning process, the surface charge was measured on the front (polished) surface of one half, and on the back (unpolished) surface of the other half. The results shown in FIG. **9** indicate identical behavior of surface charge on the front and back surfaces.

Having shown the preferred embodiment, those skilled in the art will realize many variations are possible which will still be within the scope and spirit of the claimed invention. Therefore, it is the intention to limit the invention only as indicated by the scope of the following claims.

We claim:

**1.** A method of restoring a doping concentration at the surface of a wafer comprising the steps of:

providing a wafer; and

exposing said wafer to broad band illumination.

**2.** The method of claim **1** wherein said step of exposing said wafer to illumination comprises illumination with a 250 W halogen light source.

\* \* \* \* \*