



US005814570A

United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **5,814,570**

Cohen

[45] Date of Patent: **Sep. 29, 1998**

[54] **NONWOVEN BARRIER AND METHOD OF MAKING THE SAME**

96/00093 1/1996 WIPO .

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

[75] Inventor: **Bernard Cohen**, Berkeley Lake, Ga.

J. van Turnhout: Topics in Applied Physics, vol. 33, Chapter 3 "Thermally Stimulated Discharge of Electrets", pp. 81-215 (1980).

[73] Assignee: **Kimberly-Clark Worldwide, Inc.**, Neenah, Wis.

J. van Turnhout: Thermally Stimulated Discharge of Polymer Electrets, Chapter 1, pp. 1-24 (1975).

[21] Appl. No.: **648,451**

G.M. Sessler: Electronic Properties of Polymers, Chapter 3 "Charge Storage", pp. 59-107.

[22] Filed: **May 15, 1996**

Database WPI, Week 8324, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 83-57499K & JPA, 58 076 118 (Koken KK), 9 May 1983, See Abstract.

Related U.S. Application Data

[63] Continuation of Ser. No. 266,293, Jun. 27, 1994, abandoned.

Database WPI, Section Ch, Week 8428, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A87, AN 84-173431, XP002008760, & JPA,59 094 621 (Unitika KK), 31 May 1984, see abstract.

[51] **Int. Cl.**⁶ **B32B 5/06**

[52] **U.S. Cl.** **442/346; 204/164; 442/340; 442/351; 442/382; 428/903**

[58] **Field of Search** **442/340, 346, 442/351, 382; 428/903; 204/164**

Patent Abstracts of Japan, vol. 10, No. 71 (C-334), 20 March 1986 & JPA,60 209220 (Kouken K.K.), 21 Oct. 1985, see abstract.

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Re. 30,782 10/1981 van Turnhout 264/22
Re. 31,285 6/1983 van Turnhout et al. 55/155
Re. 32,171 6/1986 van Turnhout 55/155

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

1188452 6/1985 Canada A41D 13/00
0 125 851 11/1984 European Pat. Off. D21C 9/00
0 156 160 10/1985 European Pat. Off. A61L 15/00
0 391 725 4/1989 European Pat. Off. .
0 334 829 9/1989 European Pat. Off. .
0 337 662 10/1989 European Pat. Off. .
0 375 234 6/1990 European Pat. Off. .
0391725 10/1990 European Pat. Off. .
0 444 671 9/1991 European Pat. Off. .
0 462 574 12/1991 European Pat. Off. .
0 550 029 12/1991 European Pat. Off. .
0 478 011 4/1992 European Pat. Off. A61F 13/15
0 497 072 8/1992 European Pat. Off. A61F 13/15
0 520 798 12/1992 European Pat. Off. D04H 1/42
0550029 7/1993 European Pat. Off. .
0 575 629 12/1993 European Pat. Off. .
0 576 738 1/1994 European Pat. Off. A61F 13/15
0 594 123 4/1994 European Pat. Off. .
1 084 015 9/1957 Germany 156/276
44 47 152 7/1995 Germany A61L 15/60
58-076118 7/1958 Japan .
62-053719 8/1987 Japan .
62-074423 9/1987 Japan .
1-246413 10/1989 Japan .
5-064713 3/1993 Japan .
2 026 379 2/1980 United Kingdom D06M 9/00
2 242 142 9/1991 United Kingdom B03C 3/28
WO8103265 11/1981 WIPO .
90/11784 10/1990 WIPO .
91/08254 6/1991 WIPO .
92/16681 10/1992 WIPO D04H 1/42
93/06168 4/1993 WIPO .
93/09156 5/1993 WIPO C08G 8/18
94/00166 1/1994 WIPO .
94/01068 1/1994 WIPO .
95/05232 2/1995 WIPO .
95/05501 2/1995 WIPO .
95/22646 8/1995 WIPO .

Patent Abstracts of Japan, vol. 6, No. 191 (C-127), 30 Sep. 1982 & JPA,57 105217 (Nitta K.K.), 30 Jun. 1982, see abstract & Chemical Abstracts, vol. 97, No. 26, 27 Dec. 1982, Columbus, Ohio, US; abstract No. 218901, "Fibrous Filtering Material", see abstract.

Patent Abstracts of Japan, vol. 11, No. 315 (C-451), 14 Oct. 1987 & JPA,62 102809 (Mitsui Petrochem. Ind. Ltd.), 13 May 1987, see abstract & Database WPI, Section Ch, Week 8725, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class A12, AN 87-172842 & JPA,62 102 809 (Mitsui Petrochem. Ind. Co. Ltd.), 13 May 1987, see abstract.

Journal of Electrostatics, vol. 21, 1988, Amsterdam NL, pp. 81-98, XP002012022, P. A. Smith & G. C. East: "Generation of Triboelectric Charge in Textile Fibre Mixtures, and their use as Air Filters", see document.

Database WPI, Section Ch, Week 8930, Derwent Publications, Ltd., London, GB; Class A94, AN 89-217687 XP002005648 & JPA,01 156 578 (Showa Denko), 20 Jun. 1989, See Abstract.

An Introduction to Electrostatic Separation, Technical Bulletin, Bulletin 8570, Carpco, Inc.

Electrostatic Separation of Mixed Granular Solids by Oliver C. Ralston, Elsevier Publishing Company, 1961, Chapter IV, "Applications of Electrostatic Separation", pp. 134-234.

"Bonding Process", IBM Technical Disclosure Bulletin, vol. 14, No. 12, May 1972.

USSN 08/242,948 filed May 16, 1994 entitled "Nonwoven Absorbent Polymeric Fabric Exhibiting Improved Fluid Management And Methods For Making The Same".

Primary Examiner—James J. Bell

Attorney, Agent, or Firm—David J. Alexander; Jones & Askew, LLP

[57] ABSTRACT

A ethylene oxide sterilizable nonwoven material which is subjected to charging, and more particularly electrostatic charging is provided. The nonwoven materials may include laminate nonwovens wherein one or more layers are subjected to charging. The nonwoven material(s) may also be treated with an antistatic material before or after subjecting the same to charging.

19 Claims, No Drawings

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
668,791	2/1901	Blake et al. .	4,357,234	11/1982	Inculet et al. 209/127 B
813,063	2/1906	Sutton et al. .	4,363,682	12/1982	Thiebault .
859,998	7/1907	Wentworth .	4,363,723	12/1982	Knoll et al. 209/128
924,032	6/1909	Blake et al. .	4,373,224	2/1983	Bandai et al. .
1,222,305	4/1917	Kraus .	4,374,727	2/1983	Takahashi et al. 209/127 B
1,297,159	6/1919	Hedberg .	4,374,888	2/1983	Bornslaeger 428/198
1,355,477	10/1920	Howell .	4,375,718	3/1983	Wadsworth et al. 29/592
2,106,865	2/1938	Bantz et al. 209/127	4,392,876	7/1983	Schmidt .
2,217,444	10/1940	Hill 91/18	4,394,235	7/1983	Brandt et al. .
2,328,577	9/1943	Oglesby 117/17	4,411,795	10/1983	Olson 210/679
2,378,067	3/1945	Cook, Jr. 209/127	4,430,277	2/1984	Lin .
2,398,792	4/1946	Johnson 209/127	4,443,513	4/1984	Meitner et al. 422/195
2,748,018	5/1956	Miller 117/17	4,443,515	4/1984	Atlas 428/224
2,998,051	8/1961	Sittel 154/1.7	4,451,589	5/1984	Morman et al. 523/124
3,012,668	12/1961	Fraas 209/127	4,455,195	6/1984	Kinsley 162/13
3,059,772	10/1962	Baron 209/127	4,455,237	6/1984	Kinsley 210/767
3,125,547	3/1964	Blatz 260/45.5	4,456,648	6/1984	Adamse et al. 428/283
3,281,347	10/1966	Winder 204/168	4,492,633	1/1985	Sandulyak et al. .
3,323,933	6/1967	Barford et al. 117/17	4,507,539	3/1985	Sando et al. 219/121 PY
3,338,992	8/1967	Kinney 264/24	4,513,049	4/1985	Yamasaki et al. .
3,341,007	9/1967	Mayer et al. 209/2	4,514,289	4/1985	Inculet 209/127.3
3,341,394	9/1967	Kinney 161/72	4,517,143	5/1985	Kisler .
3,380,584	4/1968	Fulwyler 209/3	4,534,918	8/1985	Forrest, Jr. .
3,402,814	9/1968	Morel et al. 209/127	4,547,420	10/1985	Krueger et al. 428/229
3,436,797	4/1969	Graf et al. 156/272.6	4,551,378	11/1985	Carey, Jr. 428/198
3,502,763	3/1970	Hartmann 264/210	4,554,207	11/1985	Lee 428/288
3,542,615	11/1970	Dobo et al. 156/181	4,555,811	12/1985	Shimalla 2/51
3,581,886	6/1971	Singewald et al. 209/9	4,588,537	5/1986	Klaase et al. 264/22
3,692,606	9/1972	Miller et al. 156/273.1	4,592,815	6/1986	Nakao 204/165
3,692,618	9/1972	Dorschner et al. 161/72	4,594,626	6/1986	Frangesh .
3,802,817	4/1974	Matsuki et al. 425/66	4,618,524	10/1986	Groitzsch et al. 428/198
3,821,021	6/1974	McMillan 117/135.5	4,622,259	11/1986	McAmish et al. 428/171
3,849,241	11/1974	Butin et al. 161/169	4,623,438	11/1986	Felton et al. 204/168
3,855,046	12/1974	Hansen et al. 161/150	4,626,263	12/1986	Inoue et al. .
3,859,330	1/1975	Proskow 260/47 UA	4,652,282	3/1987	Ohmori et al. 55/155
3,896,802	7/1975	Williams 128/149	4,652,322	3/1987	Lim 156/181
3,907,604	9/1975	Prentice 136/146	4,657,639	4/1987	Mahadevan et al. .
3,909,009	9/1975	Cvetko et al. 274/37	4,657,804	4/1987	Mays et al. 428/212
3,962,386	6/1976	Driscoll 264/22	4,663,220	5/1987	Wisneski 428/221
3,979,529	9/1976	Rebentisch et al. 427/25	4,670,913	6/1987	Morell et al. 2/227
3,998,916	12/1976	van Turnhout 264/22	4,671,943	6/1987	Wahlquist .
4,011,067	3/1977	Carey, Jr. 55/354	4,677,017	6/1987	DeAntonis et al. 428/214
4,013,816	3/1977	Sabee et al. 428/288	4,689,241	8/1987	Richart et al. 427/28
4,035,164	7/1977	Taylor .	4,699,823	10/1987	Kellenberger et al. 428/219
4,041,203	8/1977	Brock et al. 428/157	4,705,151	11/1987	Eldridge .
4,058,724	11/1977	McKinney et al. .	4,707,398	11/1987	Boggs 428/224
4,070,218	1/1978	Weber 156/167	4,720,415	1/1988	VanderWielen et al. 428/152
4,091,140	5/1978	Harrnon .	4,729,371	3/1988	Krueger et al. 128/206.19
4,096,289	6/1978	Nischwitz et al. 427/32	4,738,772	4/1988	Giesfeldt 209/2
4,103,062	7/1978	Aberson et al. 428/283	4,739,882	4/1988	Parikh et al. .
4,140,607	2/1979	Kreiseimeier et al. 204/168	4,749,348	6/1988	Klaase et al. 425/174.8
4,170,304	10/1979	Huke .	4,761,326	8/1988	Barnes et al. 428/219
4,178,157	12/1979	van Turnhout et al. 55/155	4,789,504	12/1988	Ohmori et al. .
4,185,972	1/1980	Nitta et al. .	4,795,668	1/1989	Krueger et al. 428/174
4,196,245	4/1980	Kitson et al. 428/198	4,797,201	1/1989	Kuppers et al. 209/127.4
4,208,366	6/1980	Kinney .	4,797,318	1/1989	Brooker et al. .
4,209,563	6/1980	Sisson 428/288	4,818,464	4/1989	Lau 264/510
4,215,682	8/1980	Kubik et al. 128/205.29	4,826,703	5/1989	Kisler 427/466
4,223,677	9/1980	Anderson 128/287	4,831,664	5/1989	Suda .
4,273,635	6/1981	Beraud et al. 204/165	4,847,914	7/1989	Suda .
4,298,440	11/1981	Hood 204/165	4,859,266	8/1989	Akasaki et al. 156/273.1
4,305,797	12/1981	Knoll et al. 204/180 R	4,863,785	9/1989	Berman et al. 428/218
4,307,143	12/1981	Meitner 252/91	4,863,983	9/1989	Johnson et al. 524/140
4,308,223	12/1981	Stern 264/22	4,874,399	10/1989	Reed et al. 55/2
4,310,478	1/1982	Balslev et al. .	4,874,659	10/1989	Ando et al. 428/221
4,323,374	4/1982	Shinagawa et al. .	4,883,052	11/1989	Weiss et al. .
4,324,198	4/1982	Muz 118/630	4,886,527	12/1989	Fottinger et al. 55/156
4,340,563	7/1982	Appel et al. 264/518	4,894,131	1/1990	Jacobs et al. 204/165
4,342,812	8/1982	Selwood 428/286	4,901,370	2/1990	Suda .
4,353,799	10/1982	Leonard 210/321.3	4,904,174	2/1990	Moosmayer et al. .
			4,917,942	4/1990	Winters 428/286
			4,920,168	4/1990	Nohr et al. 524/188

4,944,854	7/1990	Felton et al.	204/168	5,238,733	8/1993	Joseph et al.	428/284
4,948,515	8/1990	Okumura et al.	210/748	5,244,482	9/1993	Hassenboehler, Jr.	55/528
4,948,639	8/1990	Brooker et al.	428/35.2	5,246,637	9/1993	Matsuura et al. .	
4,960,820	10/1990	Hwo	524/528	5,247,072	9/1993	Ning et al.	536/97
4,965,122	10/1990	Morman	428/225	5,254,297	10/1993	Deeds .	
4,983,677	1/1991	Johnson et al.	525/127	5,256,176	10/1993	Matsuura et al.	55/528
5,012,094	4/1991	Hamade .		5,257,982	11/1993	Cohen et al.	604/378
5,021,501	6/1991	Ohmori et al.	524/544	5,264,276	11/1993	McGregor et al.	428/252
5,032,419	7/1991	Lamirand et al.	427/470	5,284,703	2/1994	Everhart et al.	428/283
5,035,941	7/1991	Blackburn	428/286	5,286,326	2/1994	Greve	156/272.4
5,051,159	9/1991	Togashi et al.	204/165	5,294,482	3/1994	Gessner .	
5,055,151	10/1991	Duffy .		5,306,534	4/1994	Bosses	428/35.2
5,057,710	10/1991	Nishiura et al.	307/400	5,308,674	5/1994	Zafiroglu	428/102
5,062,158	11/1991	Oka et al.	2/46	5,308,691	5/1994	Lim et al.	428/286
5,077,468	12/1991	Hamade .		5,336,545	8/1994	Morman	428/152
5,090,975	2/1992	Requejo et al. .		5,350,620	9/1994	Sundet et al.	428/172
5,110,620	5/1992	Tani et al.	427/40	5,389,202	2/1995	Everhart et al.	162/103
5,112,048	5/1992	Deeds .		5,397,413	3/1995	Trimble et al.	156/167
5,112,677	5/1992	Tani et al. .		5,401,446	3/1995	Tsai	264/22
5,118,942	6/1992	Hamade	250/324	5,407,581	4/1995	Onodera et al.	210/654
5,135,724	8/1992	Dinter et al. .		5,409,766	4/1995	Yuasa et al.	428/224
5,138,971	8/1992	Nakajima et al. .		5,411,576	5/1995	Jones et al.	95/57
5,143,767	9/1992	Matsuura et al. .		5,436,033	7/1995	Mino et al. .	
5,149,335	9/1992	Kellenberger et al.	604/372	5,436,066	7/1995	Chen	428/288
5,156,902	10/1992	Pieper et al.	604/370	5,441,550	8/1995	Hassenboehler, Jr.	55/486
5,165,979	11/1992	Watkins et al.	428/113	5,443,606	8/1995	Hassenboehler, Jr.	55/486
5,169,706	12/1992	Collier, IV et al.	428/152	5,455,108	10/1995	Quincy et al.	428/266
5,173,356	12/1992	Eaton et al.	428/219	5,456,972	10/1995	Roth et al.	428/224
5,178,932	1/1993	Perkins et al.	428/198	5,464,688	11/1995	Timmons et al. .	
5,183,701	2/1993	Jacobs et al.	428/229	5,468,428	11/1995	Hanschen et al. .	
5,188,885	2/1993	Timmons et al.	428/198	5,472,481	12/1995	Jones et al.	96/15
5,204,174	4/1993	Daponte et al.	428/286	5,482,765	1/1996	Bradley et al. .	
5,206,061	4/1993	Ando et al.	428/34.7	5,486,411	1/1996	Hassenboehler, Jr. et al.	428/286
5,213,881	5/1993	Timmons et al.	428/224	5,491,022	2/1996	Smith	428/224
5,213,882	5/1993	Sassa et al.	428/224	5,493,117	2/1996	Tamaki et al.	264/483
5,226,992	7/1993	Morman	156/62.4	5,496,507	3/1996	Angadjivand et al.	264/423
5,230,727	7/1993	Pound et al.	55/492	5,503,745	4/1996	Ogata et al.	210/490
5,232,770	8/1993	Joseph	428/284				

NONWOVEN BARRIER AND METHOD OF MAKING THE SAME

This application is a continuation of application Ser. No. 08/266,293 entitled "IMPROVED NONWOVEN BARRIER AND METHOD OF MAKING THE SAME" and filed in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on Jun. 27, 1994, now abandoned. The entirety of this Application is hereby incorporated by reference.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to bacterial barrier fabrics. More particularly, the present invention is directed to nonwoven bacterial barrier fabrics for use as, for example, sterilization wrap, surgical draping, surgical gowns, cover garments, such as over-suits, and the like.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

As is generally known, surgical gowns, surgical drapes, surgical face masks and sterile wrap (hereinafter collectively "surgical articles") have been designed to greatly reduce, if not prevent, the transmission through the surgical article of liquids and/or airborne contaminants. In surgical procedure environments, such liquid sources include the gown wearer's perspiration, patient liquids, such as blood and life support liquids such as plasma and saline. Examples of airborne contaminants include, but are not limited to, biological contaminants, such as bacteria, viruses and fungal spores. Such contaminants may also include particulate material such as, but not limited to, lint, mineral fines, dust, skin squares and respiratory droplets. A measure of a fabric's ability to prevent the passage of such airborne materials is sometimes expressed in terms of "filtration efficiency".

Many of these surgical articles were originally made of cotton or linen and were sterilized prior to their use in the operating room. Such surgical articles fashioned from these materials, however, permitted transmission or "strike-through" of various liquids encountered in surgical procedures. In these instances, a path was established for transmission of biological contaminants, either present in the liquid or subsequently contacting the liquid, through the surgical article. Additionally, in many instances surgical articles fashioned from cotton or linen provide insufficient barrier protection from the transmission therethrough of airborne contaminants. Furthermore, these articles were costly, and, of course, laundering and sterilization procedures were required before reuse.

Disposable surgical articles have largely replaced linen surgical articles. Advances in such disposable surgical articles include the formation of such articles from totally liquid repellent fabrics which prevent strike-through. In this way, biological contaminants carried by liquids are prevented from passing through such fabrics. However, in some instances, surgical articles formed from nonporous films, while being liquid and airborne contaminate impervious, may retain body heat and moisture and thus may become over a period of time, uncomfortable to wear.

In some instances, surgical articles fashioned from liquid repellent fabrics, such as fabrics formed from nonwoven polymers, sufficiently repel liquids and are more breathable and thus more comfortable to the wearer than nonporous materials. However, these improvements in comfort and breathability provided by such nonwoven fabrics have generally occurred at the expense of barrier properties or filtration efficiency.

While the focus thus far has been directed to surgical articles, there are many other garment or over-garment

applications, such as personal protective equipment applications, whose designers require both fabric comfort and filtration efficiency. Other personal protective equipment applications include, but are not limited to, laboratory applications, clean room applications, such as semiconductor manufacture, agriculture applications, mining applications, and environmental applications.

Therefore, there is a need for garment materials and methods for making the same which provide improved breathability and comfort as well as improved filtration efficiency. Such improved materials and methods are provided by the present invention and will become more apparent upon further review of the following specification and claims.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In response to the above problems encountered by those of skill in the art, the present invention provides an ethylene oxide sterilizable polymer web, such as, for example, a nonwoven fabric. The webs of the present invention are formed by subjecting a portion of the web to charging, and more particularly to electrostatic charging, and then ethylene oxide sterilizing the web. The web may be subjected to charging followed by ethylene oxide sterilization or ethylene oxide sterilization followed by charging. The web may also be treated with an antistatic material before or after subjecting the web to charging.

The above web may further include a second web in a juxtaposed relationship to the first web. The second web may be formed from polymer fibers wherein a portion of these fibers may be subjected to charging. An antistatic treatment may also be present about portions of the second web.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Disclosed herein are compositions, and methods of making the same, which improved both the airborne contaminate barrier and filtration efficiency of a web. The web of the present invention may be formed from polymer fibers, films, foams or a combination thereof. The films and foams may be porous or non-porous.

Among the applications for such compositions and methods are included, but not limited to, applications requiring sterilizable, breathable materials having high airborne contaminate barrier properties. Such materials have application in surgical articles, such as gowns, drapes, sterile wrap and face mask, as well as other non-surgical applications such as agriculture, mining, clean room and environmental.

Polymers, and particularly thermoplastic polymers, are well suited for the formation of webs which are useful in the practice of the present invention. Nonwoven webs useful in present invention can be made from a variety of processes including, but not limited to, air laying processes, wet laid processes, hydroentangling processes, spunbonding, meltblowing, staple fiber carding and bonding, and solution spinning.

The materials suitable for forming webs of the present invention include a variety of dielectric materials such as, but not limited to, polyesters, polyolefins, nylon and copolymers, polymer blends and bi-component polymers of these materials. In the case of nonwoven webs formed from fibers, the fibers may be relatively short, staple length fibers, typically less than 3 inches, or longer more continuous fibers such as are produced by a spunbonding process.

It has been found that nonwoven webs formed from polyolefin-based fibers are particularly well-suited for the above applications. Examples of such nonwovens are the polypropylene nonwovens produced by Kimberly-Clark Corporation. And more particularly, a three layered the spunbond, meltblown, spunbond material (SMS) produced by Kimberly-Clark Corporation.

This spunbond, meltblown, spunbond material may be made from three separate layers which are laminated to one another. Such a method of making this laminated material is described in commonly assigned U.S. Pat. No. 4,041,203 to Brock et al which is herein incorporated by reference. Alternatively, the spunbond, meltblown, spunbond material may be made by first forming a spunbond, meltblown laminate. The spunbond, meltblown laminate is formed by applying a layer of meltblown on to a layer of spunbond. The second layer of spunbond is then applied to the meltblown side of the previously formed spunbond, meltblown laminate. Generally, the two outer layers provide the nonwoven fabric with strength while the inner layer provides barrier properties.

Suitable webs may be formed from a single layer or multiple layers. In the case of multiple layers, the layers are generally positioned in a juxtaposed or surface-to-surface relationship and all or a portion of the layers may be bound to adjacent layers. In the case of a nonwoven web, the nonwoven web may be formed from a plurality of separate nonwoven webs wherein the separate nonwoven webs may be formed from single or multiple layers. In those instances where the web includes multiple layers, the entire thickness of the web may be subjected to charging or individual layers may be separately subjected to charging and then combined with other layers in a juxtaposed relationship to form the finished web.

There are many well known methods of subjecting a material to charging, and particularly electrostatic charging. These well known methods include, for example, thermal, liquid-contact, electron beam and corona discharge methods. The method used for electrostatically charging the materials discussed in the Examples 1 and 2 (below) is the technique disclosed in U.S. patent application No. 07/958,958 filed Oct. 9, 1992 which is assigned to the University of Tennessee, and is herein incorporated by reference. This technique involves subjecting a material to a pair of electrical fields wherein the electrical fields have opposite polarities.

Sterilization of the web may also be accomplished by ethylene oxide sterilization. In those instances when it is desired to sterilize surgical instruments by ethylene oxide, the surgical instruments may be wrapped in a nonwoven web. The entire package may then be subjected to an ethylene oxide sterilization cycle. When the ethylene oxide sterilization cycle is completed, the instruments, still wrapped, are then removed from the ethylene oxide sterilizing equipment and are stored in the wrapping material until-needed. When needed, the wrapping web is removed making the instruments available for handling.

The ethylene oxide sterilization cycle may vary dependent upon type of sterilizer and the size/quantity of the items being sterilized. In the Examples described below, ethylene oxide sterilization was accomplished by using either a RSSA Chamber J88-39 or J88-59, made by Vacu Dyne, Ill. Generally, the ethylene oxide sterilization cycle includes a preconditioning phase, a sterilization phase and a de-gassing phase. The process parameters for each of these phases are provided below.

Process Parameters	Set Point
<u>A. PRECONDITIONING</u>	
Temperature	115° F.
Relative Humidity	63%
Holding time	18 hours
<u>B. STERILIZATION</u>	
Chamber Temperature during exposure	130.0 F.
Chamber Temperature at all other times	130.0 F.
Initial Evacuation	1.2" Absolute
Leak Test	1.2" Absolute
Leak Test Dwell	5 minutes
Nitrogen Dilution	3.2" Absolute
Evacuation	1.2" Absolute
Humidity Injection	2.9" Absolute
Pressure Increase to Humidification Dwell Time	30 minutes
ETC Injection Pressure	15" Absolute
Time to inject gas	NA
Cycle Exposure	2 hours
Exposure Pressure	15" Absolute
Exposure Temperature	130.0 F.
1st Re-evacuation	6.0" Absolute
1st Nitrogen Inbleed	50.0" Absolute
2nd Re-evacuation	1.6" Absolute
2nd Nitrogen Inbleed	50.0" Absolute
3rd Re-evacuation	1.6" Absolute
3rd Nitrogen Inbleed	50.0" Absolute
4th Re-evacuation	1.6" Absolute
Air Inbleed	To Atmospheric Pressure
<u>C. DEGASSING PARAMETERS</u>	
Degassing Time	24.0 hours
Degassing Temperature	130° F.

In those instances where the web is used in or around flammable materials or static charge build-up and/or discharge is a concern, the web may be treated with any number of antistatic materials. In these instances, the antistatic material may be applied to the web by any number of well known techniques including, but not limited to dipping the web into a solution containing the antistatic material or by spraying the web with a solution containing the antistatic material. In some instances the antistatic material may be applied to both the external surfaces of the web and the bulk of the web. In other instances, the antistatic material may be applied to portions of the web, such as a selected surface or surfaces thereof.

Of particular usefulness as an antistatic material is an alcohol phosphate salt product known as ZELEC® and available from the Du Pont Corporation. The web may be treated with the antistatic material either before or after subjecting the web to charging. Furthermore, some or all of the material layers may be treated with the antistatic material. In those instances where only some of the material layers are treated with antistatic material, the non-treated layer or layers may be subjected to charging prior to or after combining with the antistatic treated layer or layers.

To demonstrate the attributes of the present invention, the following Examples are provided.

EXAMPLE 1

Kimberly-Clark manufactures a series of single sheet laminate nonwoven web materials made from three layers of fibrous material, i.e., spunbond-meltblown-spunbond (SMS) layers. These materials are available in a variety of basis weights. The two nonwoven webs used in these Examples

were such single sheet laminate materials sold by Kimberly-Clark. Each of the nonwoven webs had a basis weight of 2.2 osy (ounces per square yard). Both spunbond layers had a basis weight of 0.85 osy and the meltblown layer had a basis weight of 0.50 osy. One of the nonwoven webs was a ZELEC® treated laminate and is sold by Kimberly-Clark under the mark KIMGUARD™ Heavy Duty Sterile Wrap and is designated in Table I as “KIMGUARD™”.

The other nonwoven web, designated in Table I as “RSR” also had a basis weight of 2.2 osy but was not treated with an antistatic material. Both spunbond layers had a basis weight of 0.85 osy and the meltblown layer had a basis weight of 0.50 osy.

The method used to subject these webs to electrostatic charging (electret treating) is described in the above referenced U.S. patent application No. 07/958,958.

The surface charge for both KIMGUARD™ and RSR fabrics were analyzed and the data reported in Table I. The charge data for each side of these fabrics was recorded for both before (“AS RECEIVED”) and after charging (“ELECTRETED”). Charge data were also recorded for ethylene oxide sterilized fabric samples which were first charged and then ethylene oxide sterilized (“AFTER EO TREATMENT”). As noted in Example 1, the KIMGUARD™ samples were treated with ZELEC™ and the RSR samples were not. Charge measurements were taken at 36 separate surface locations on each sample. For the categories, i.e., “AS RECEIVED” and “ELECTRETED”, the KIMGUARD™ and RSR samples were each single large sheets of material. Each such sheets were then portioned into several smaller samples. Sterilization and filtration data reported in Example 2 were derived from these smaller samples.

Charge measurements reported are averaged values of positive (+) or negative (-) volts per cm². The equipment used to measure charge was an Electrostatic Voltmeter (Trek Model 344, Trek, Inc, Median, N.Y.).

TABLE I

Material	Side	As Received	Electreted	After EO Treatment		
				Sample 1	Sample 2	Sample 3
KIMGUARD® (ZELEC®)	A	-2.8	-125	-4.2	27.2	—
	B	+1.6	-15	24.1	-5.4	—
RSR (Non-ZELEC®)	A	-61	+272	-89	-130	-138
	B	-87	-432	-90	-46	+54

As illustrated by the above data, the ethylene oxide sterilization process generally diminished the overall surface charge for both the electret treated KIMGUARD™ and the RSR material.

EXAMPLE 2

A summary of the average bacterial filtration efficiency (BFE) test results and standard deviation (SD) are reported for the two categories investigated for KIMGUARD™ in Table II. The first category, reported in Table II is the “Nelson BFE”. “Nelson BFE” stands for Nelson Laboratory’s (Salt Lake City, Utah) bacterial filtration efficiency test. The procedure used to determine these BFEs is described in Nelson Laboratories’ Protocol No. ARO/007B in accordance with MIL Spec 36954C, 4.4.1.1.1 and 4.4.1.2. This category includes the average BFE for 11 KIMGUARD™ fabric samples which were electret-treated then ethylene oxide-sterilized (“KIMGUARD™/Electret/EO”) and 11

non-electret-treated KIMGUARD™ fabric samples which were ethylene oxide-sterilized (“KIMGUARD™/EO”).

The second category reported in Table II is “Microbial Challenge BFE”. This category includes the average BFEs for the KIMGUARD™ samples.

The Microbial Challenge BFE procedure utilized a six port exposure chamber. Five of the ports accommodated five separate samples. The challenge control filter material was positioned in the sixth port. Three conditions were maintained in the microbial challenge test. These were: first, a 2.8 LPM (Liters Per Minute) flow rate through each of the ports; second, an exposure time of fifteen minutes followed by a chamber exhaust of fifteen minutes, and; third, a microbial challenge that results in 1×10⁶ CFU’s (Colony Forming Units) per port. Bacillus subtilis ss globigii spores, purchased from Amsco (Part No. NA-026, P-764271-022) were used to make the working spore suspension of 1×10⁶ CFUs per port recovery.

The value reported is an expression of the reduction of number of colony forming units (CFUs) or bacteria passing through a sample compared to the number CFUs passing through the challenge control filter material. This value was derived by subtracting the number of CFUs passing through a sample from the number of CFUs passing through the challenge control filter material. The difference in the number of CFUs passing through these materials is then divided by the number of CFUs passing through the challenge filter material and then multiplied by 100 to convert to percent.

TABLE II

Sample	Nelson BFE	Microbial Challenge BFE
KIMGUARD®/Electret/EO	97.51 +/- 0.39	96.44 +/- 4.51
KIMGUARD®/EO	89.96 +/- 1.04	79.04 +/- 6.50

Table III summarizes the average Nelson BFE and the Microbial Challenge BFE categories for the RSR nonwoven materials. The procedures for both the Nelson BFE and identical to the Nelson BFE and Microbial Challenge BFE procedures describe above. “RSR/Electret/EO” stands for RSR electret-treated then ethylene oxide-treated samples. “RSR/Electret” stands for RSR electret-treated samples. “RSR/EO” stands for RSR ethylene oxide-sterilized samples. 15 samples of each class of RSR material described above were analyzed and the results averaged.

TABLE III

Sample	Nelson BFE	Microbial Challenge BFE
RSR/Electret/EO	96.92 +/- 0.91	97.56 +/- 0.83
RSR/Electret	95.75 +/- 0.60	98.91 +/- 0.64
RSR/EO	79.73 +/- 3.20	79.82 +/- 5.96

Example 2 demonstrates that barrier properties of an ethylene oxide sterilizable material are improved when such material is first subjected to charging, and particularly electrostatic charging, and then ethylene oxide sterilized as compared to the same material which is not subjected to charging prior to ethylene oxide sterilization. It will be further observed that the decrease in the surface charge which occurred after ethylene oxide sterilization (Table I) did not significantly affect the barrier properties of these materials.

While the invention has been described in detail with respect to specific embodiments thereof, it will be appreciated that those skilled in the art, upon attaining an under-

standing of the foregoing, may readily conceive of alterations to, variations of and equivalents to these embodiments. Accordingly, the scope of the present invention should be assessed as that of the appended claims and any equivalents thereto.

What is claimed is:

1. An ethylene oxide sterilized web wherein at least one portion of the web has been subjected to electrostatic charging.

2. The web of claim 1 wherein the web is formed from a nonwoven material which comprises first and second nonwoven webs joined together in juxtaposed relationship.

3. The web of claim 1 having a surface and wherein such surface has a negative charge and wherein the average negative surface charge on the surface is less than 100 volts/cm².

4. The web of claim 1 having a first and a second surface and wherein these surfaces have a negative charge and wherein the average negative surface charge on the first surface is less than 100 volts/cm² and wherein the average negative surface charge on the second surface is less than 100 volts/cm².

5. The web of claim 1 having a surface and wherein such surface has a positive charge and wherein the average positive surface charge on the surface is less than 60 volts/cm².

6. The web of claim 1 containing an antistatic material.

7. An ethylene oxide sterilized nonwoven web laminate comprising:

two outer layers separated by an intermediate layer, wherein the two outer layers are spunbond nonwoven layers and the intermediate layer is a meltblown layer; and

at least one of the layers is subjected to electrostatic charging.

8. The nonwoven web of claim 7 wherein all three layers are subjected to electrostatic charging.

9. The nonwoven web of claim 8 wherein at least one of the layers is treated with an antistatic material.

10. A charged web having a Nelson bacterial filtration efficiency of at least 96%.

11. The charged web of claim 10 wherein the web is an electrostatically charged web.

12. The charged web of claim 10 wherein the web is a nonwoven web.

13. The charged web of claim 12 wherein the nonwoven web comprises two outer layers separated by an intermediate layer wherein the two outer layers are spunbond nonwoven layers and the intermediate layer is a meltblown layer.

14. The charged web of claim 10 containing antistatic material.

15. A web prepared by a process comprising sterilizing a charged web by ethylene oxide sterilization.

16. The web of claim 15 wherein the charged web is an electrostatically charged web.

17. The web of claim 15 wherein the charged web is a nonwoven web.

18. The web of claim 17 wherein the nonwoven web comprises two outer layers separated by an intermediate layer wherein the two outer layers are spunbond nonwoven layers and the intermediate layer is a meltblown layer.

19. The web of claim 15 wherein the process further comprises treatment with an antistatic material.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATION OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 5,814,570

DATED : September 29, 1998

INVENTOR(S): Bernard Cohen

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Column 1, line 30, "squares" should read --squames--;
Column 3, line 48, "ethylene" should read --ethylene--;
Column 5, line 7, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, line 8, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, line 17, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, lines 24-25, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, line 25, "ZELEC™" should read --ZELEC®--;
Column 5, line 29, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, line 52, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, line 58, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, line 65, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 5, line 67, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 6, line 2, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--;
Column 6, line 5, "KIMGUARD™" should read --KIMGUARD®--.

Signed and Sealed this
Twentieth Day of April, 1999

Attest:



Q. TODD DICKINSON

Attesting Officer

Acting Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks