



US005372854A

# United States Patent [19]

[11] Patent Number: **5,372,854**

**Kinoshita et al.**

[45] Date of Patent: **Dec. 13, 1994**

[54] **METHOD OF PRODUCING COTTON BALES**

[75] Inventors: **Tsukasa Kinoshita; Masahiko Yamada; Hirokazu Matsueda**, all of Aichi, Japan

[73] Assignee: **Takemoto Yushi Kabushiki Kaisha**, Japan

[21] Appl. No.: **984,081**

[22] Filed: **Nov. 30, 1992**

### Related U.S. Application Data

[62] Division of Ser. No. 727,830, Jul. 9, 1991, Pat. No. 5,188,224.

### [30] Foreign Application Priority Data

Jul. 27, 1990 [JP]	Japan	2-200985
Nov. 8, 1990 [JP]	Japan	2-305709

[51] Int. Cl.<sup>5</sup> ..... **B05D 3/02**

[52] U.S. Cl. .... **427/387; 427/392; 427/401**

[58] Field of Search ..... 427/387, 392, 401; 428/290, 391, 447, 452, 540; 206/83.5, 524.6

### [56] References Cited

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,477,514	10/1984	Gee et al.	427/392	X
4,978,561	12/1990	Cray et al.	427/387	
5,188,224	2/1993	Kinoshita et al.	206/524.6	X
5,232,611	8/1993	Ohasar et al.	427/392	X

*Primary Examiner*—Michael Luisignan  
*Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—Heller, Ehrman, White & McAuliffe

### [57] ABSTRACT

As cotton bales are produced by obtaining lint cotton by subjecting collected seed cotton to a ginning process, linear polyorganosiloxane of a specified type which has 10–6000 siloxane units and is insoluble or dispersive in water is adhesively attached to the seed cotton or to the lint cotton by 0.03–2.0 weight % with respect to the lint cotton.

**18 Claims, No Drawings**



## METHOD OF PRODUCING COTTON BALES

This is a division, of application Ser. No. 07/727,830 filed Jul. 9, 1991, now U.S. Pat. No. 5,188,224 patented Feb. 23, 1993.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to an improved method of producing cotton bales. More particularly, this invention relates to a method of producing baled lint cotton with small moisture absorbing and emitting property.

Cotton bales are produced generally by subjecting collected seed cotton to a ginning process whereby seeds and cotton fibers are separated, removing burrs, leaves, stems and other trash from the separated fibers to obtain lint cotton, and compressing the lint cotton. For reasons of practicality in the trade of cotton bales, seed cotton or lint cotton or a low quality which would adversely affect the commercial value of the produced cotton bale may be removed and water may be sprayed to the seed cotton or lint cotton in order to roughly adjust their moisture regain during their production process.

Since seed cotton and lint cotton which is obtained therefrom are mainly composed of cellulose fibers, they absorb and emit moisture more strongly than synthetic fibers such as polyesters and nylon and their moisture regain varies significantly by the changes in the temperature and humidity of the environment. Moreover, quality of seed cotton and lint cotton, such as the amount of sugar contents, the amount of so-called honeydew (insect secretion) which is attached and the amount of mixed unripe fibers, varies greatly, depending on the climate and soil conditions of the region, the method of planting and their variety. The greater their amounts, the greater the hygroscopicity as compared to normal cotton.

The moisture regain of cotton bales produced by a conventional method as described above changes significantly due to changes in the environmental conditions such as temperature and humidity because of the moisture absorbing and emitting property of seed cotton and lint cotton obtained therefrom. For this reason, cotton bales produced by a conventional method have the following problems.

Firstly, if cotton bales from lint cotton, which was already high or normal in moisture regain, absorb more humidity from the environment while they are being stored or being transported, they are easily mildewed or invaded by bacteria. As a result, they may become discolored or malodorous, or their strength may be adversely affected.

Secondly, if baled lint cotton absorbs moisture from the environment while being stored or transported such that the official moisture regain is exceeded, it is commercially a very significant disadvantage.

Thirdly, high-density compressed cotton bales are advantageous because the cost of their transportation and storage is low. For this reason, it is a common practice to preliminarily apply moisture to baled lint cotton such that its moisture regain becomes about 9-11%. This is so as to humidify the cotton fibers and to thereby reduce their Young's modulus such that they can be compressed more efficiently. This method is being practiced both in India and in Pakistan where cotton bales of density 520-570 kgs/m<sup>3</sup> are being produced. High-den-

sity cotton bales thus produced suffer from the fatal disadvantage explained above.

Fourthly, such high-density cotton bales do not return efficiently to the original condition before the compression and this adversely affects the handling of cotton blocks after the bales are opened.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is therefore a general object of the invention to provide a method of producing improved cotton bales with which the problems mentioned above can be eliminated.

It is a more particular object of the invention to provide cotton bales with only small changes in moisture regain in the baled lint cotton and a method of producing such a method of producing cotton bales.


It is another object of the invention to provide cotton bales which can be efficiently compressed and can recover efficiently from a compressed condition.

The present invention has been accomplished by the present inventors who diligently carried out researches in view of the above and other objects and is based on their discovery as a result of their studies that good results can be obtained if a specified amount of polyorganosiloxane of a specified structure is applied.


### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates, in one aspect thereof, to a cotton bale comprising baled lint cotton characterized as having adhesively attached thereon 0.03-2.0 weight % of linear polyorganosiloxane having 10-6000 siloxane units and being insoluble or dispersive in water or preferably polyorganosiloxane shown below by Equation I or II. In another aspect, the present invention relates to a method of producing cotton bales by obtaining lint cotton by subjecting seed cotton to a ginning process and a compression process characterized wherein 0.03-2.0 weight % of linear polyorganosiloxane having 10-6000 siloxane units and being insoluble or dispersive in water or preferably polyorganosiloxane shown below by Equation I or II is adhesively attached to the seed cotton or lint cotton.


Equation I is given by T<sup>1</sup>OA<sub>a</sub>B<sub>b</sub>T<sup>2</sup> where A and B are connected in a block or random manner; A is a dimethyl siloxane unit shown by



B is a modified siloxane unit shown by



or

















bly be made of polyorganosiloxane such as polydimethyl hydrogen siloxane, alkoxy modified polydimethyl siloxane and epoxy modified polydimethyl siloxane and the drying should preferably be carried out by means of hot wind of 50°–80° C. Cotton bales according to the present invention of specified weight and dimensions are produced from such ginned cotton with polyorganosiloxane attached thereto by using a bale press to compress it into bags of hemp cloth, cotton cloth or nylon cloth or jute bags. Although this invention is not limited by any method of pressing, any particular type of bale press to be used, the dimensions of cotton bale or the quantity which is compressed and packed, it is to be noted that high-density cotton bales can be produced according to the present invention because of the superior compression characteristics of ginned cotton with a specified amount of polyorganosiloxane applied thereto. According to the present invention, for example, cotton bales of compressed density in excess of 600 kgs/m<sup>3</sup> can be produced without difficulty.

In what follows, test results will be presented in order to describe the essence and effects of the invention more clearly but these exemplary test results are not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

#### Test 1 (with Test Examples 1–4 and Comparison Examples 1–4)

Allen seed cotton from Central Western Africa was subjected to a ginning process to obtain ginned cotton by eliminating trash such as seed crusts, leaves, stems, sand and gravel. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the ginned cotton thus obtained. On the chute immediately before the ginned cotton is introduced into a press box, 10-weight % aqueous emulsions of Agents A, B, C and D as described in Table 2 were applied by spraying such that the amount of each emulsion adhesively attached to the ginned cotton became 2.2 weight %. In this operation, the target amount of polyorganosiloxane in the aqueous emulsion adhesively attached to the ginned cotton was 0.2 weight % and the target moisture regain of the ginned cotton was 8.3%. In Table 1, moisture regain is the weight of water contained in 100 g of cotton under condition of 30% RH. In Table 2 and hereinbelow, repetition numbers of dimethyl siloxane units and modified siloxane units are average values; Me indicates methyl group; POE indicates polyoxyethylene; and the number inside () indicates the average condensation number of oxyethylene groups. R-1 was used as a representative example of water-proofing or water-repellant agent and R-2 was used as a representative example of water-holding or water-absorbing agent.

The ginned cotton thus treated was introduced into a press box of area 137 cm (length) × 51 cm (width) = 0.699 m<sup>2</sup> and use was made of a gin standard bale press (with cylinder diameter of oil press = 38 cm and maximum gauge pressure = 140 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for compression to produce cotton bales (Test Examples 1–4) of net weight = 216 kg, dimensions = 140 cm (length) × 51 cm (width) × 63.5 cm (thickness) and pressed density = 476.4 kgs/m<sup>3</sup>. For the packaging of these cotton bales, use was made of bags of hemp cloth and 9 stainless steel wire straps.

For the purpose of comparison, 10-weight % aqueous emulsions of Agents R-1 and R-2 as shown also in Table 2 were applied by spraying to ginned cotton obtained from Allen seed cotton similarly as above on the chute immediately before the introduction of the ginned cotton into the press box such that the amount of each

aqueous emulsion adhesively attached to the ginned cotton became 2.2 weight %. Cotton bales (Comparison Examples 1 and 2) were produced in the same manners from these ginned cotton with these aqueous emulsions attached thereto. Separately from the above, cotton bales of another kind (Comparison Example 3) were produced in an identical manner as above except water was applied instead of an aqueous emulsion of any agent at 2.4 weight %. Cotton bales of still another kind (Comparison Example 4) were produced in an identical manner as above except neither water nor an aqueous emulsion of any agent was adhesively attached to the ginned cotton.

Ten cotton bales each of the Examples were prepared and they were divided into Group 1 and Group 2 of five bales each. The bales of Group 1 were kept under the conditions of 25° C. and 30% RH for 3 months. Those of Group 2 were kept under the conditions of 35° C. and 80% RH for 3 months. Thereafter, these bales were opened by removing the steel wires and the bags and the cotton blocks, from which external constraining force was thus removed, were further left for 48 hours under the conditions of 20° C. and 65% RH. For each of the examples, the moisture regain of ginned cotton immediately before compression and packaging, the maximum gauge pressure value of the oil press at the time of compression and packaging, the moisture regain of the cotton block immediately after the package was removed and after it was left, the “compressed recovery ratio” and “tear off” of the cotton block after the package was opened and it was left, and the amount of adhesively attached polyorganosiloxane was measured or evaluated. The results are shown in Table 3. In Table 3 and hereinbelow, the adhesively attached amount is the amount of polyorganosiloxane; the numbers inside () indicate the values obtained by subtracting wax portion from the extracted amount of n-hexane; \*1 indicates the moisture regain of ginned cotton immediately before the compression and packaging; \*2 indicates the moisture regain of ginned cotton immediately after the unpacking; and \*3 indicates the moisture regain of cotton block after it has been opened and left.

The results of Tables 1 and 3 were obtained as follows. The moisture regain, compressed recovery ratio, tear off and amount of adhesively polyorganosiloxane are averaged values.

The amount of honeydew was evaluated by the Benedict method according to JIS L 1019-1972 (Japanese Industrial Standards) in terms of “None”, “Very Little”, “Little”, “Some” and “Much”.

The moisture regain was measured by the method according to JIS L 1019-1972.

The compressed recovery ratio was calculated by the following formula by measuring at eight different places the length, width and thickness of each cotton block after it has been left for 48 hours at 20° C. and 65% RH to obtain the average values of length (x cm), width (y cm) and thickness (z cm): Compressed Recovery Ratio = {xyz/(140 × 51 × 63.5)} × 100.

For the tear off, the upper section of each of the cotton block, after its compressed recovery ratio was measured as above, was torn off at a position about 10 cm from the top surface. The tear off was evaluated as follows:

A: The tear off was very easy.

B: Slight resistance was sensed.

C: Some resistance was felt but it can be torn off at a constant thickness.



D: Significant resistance against tear off and it cannot be torn off at a constant thickness.

To measure the amount of adhesively attached polyorganosiloxane, sample pieces were collected from 5 different places of each cotton block after the tear off test. An extract was obtained from each sample piece by using a Soxley extractor with n-hexane and removing n-hexane from the extract under a condition of reduced pressure. This extract was analyzed by using an inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer (ICP light-emitting spectrometer) to determine the Si content from a graph which is preliminarily prepared from samples with known concentrations. The amount of adhesively attached polyorganosiloxane is calculated from the Si content thus obtained.

TABLE 2

Agent	Composition	Weight %
A	Me <sub>3</sub> SiO(Me <sub>2</sub> SiO) <sub>50</sub> SiMe <sub>3</sub>	90
	POE(15) oleyl ether	10
B	Me <sub>3</sub> SiO(Me <sub>2</sub> SiO) <sub>100</sub> (MeSiO) <sub>3</sub> SiMe <sub>3</sub>	90
	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>7</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> POE(15) oleyl ether	10
C	Me <sub>3</sub> SiO(Me <sub>2</sub> SiO) <sub>50</sub> (MeSiO) <sub>5</sub> SiMe <sub>3</sub>	90
	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O{(EO) <sub>5</sub> (PO) <sub>10</sub> }CH <sub>3</sub> {R addition, AO = 48.9%} POE(15) oleyl ether	10
D	Me <sub>3</sub> SiO(Me <sub>2</sub> SiO) <sub>50</sub> (MeSiO) <sub>3</sub> SiMe <sub>3</sub>	90
	C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>6</sub> O(EO) <sub>5</sub> CH <sub>3</sub> {AO = 13.4%} POE(15) oleyl ether	10
R-1	125° F. paraffin wax	90
	Sorbitan monostearate	3
	POE(15) oleyl ether	7
R-2	Polyethylene glycol (Molecular weight 2000)	90
	POE(15) oleyl ether	10

## Notes:

The numbers of repetition of dimethyl siloxane units and modified siloxane units are both averaged values;

Me indicates a methyl group;

POE indicates polyoxyethylene and the number inside () indicates the average condensation of oxyethylene group;

R-1 is intended as a representative water-resistant and water-repellant agent; and R-2 is intended as a representative water-holding and water-absorbing agent.

TABLE 1

Average Fiber Length	2.62 cm
Average Fiber Fineness	1.6 μg/cm
Moisture Regain (30% RH)	6.2%
Wax	0.42%
Honeydew	Much

## Test 2 (with Test Examples 5-8 and Comparison Examples 5-8)

Texas seed cotton from Texas, U.S.A. was subjected to a ginning process to obtain ginned cotton as in Test 1. This ginned cotton had average fiber length of 2.62 cm, average fiber fineness of 1.77 μm/cm, moisture regain (35% RH) of 6.0%, wax components of 0.39% and somewhat much honeydew. As in Test 1, it was sprayed with 13.7-weight % aqueous emulsions of Agents E-H, R-3 and R-4 shown in Table 4 such that the adhesively attached amount of each aqueous emulsion would be 2.2 weight % with respect to the ginned cotton. In this operation, the target amount of polyorganosiloxane in the aqueous emulsion adhesively attached to the ginned cotton was 0.27 weight % and the target moisture regain of the ginned cotton was 8.0%.

The ginned cotton thus treated was introduced into a press box of area 137 cm (length) × 51 cm (width) = 0.699 m<sup>2</sup> and use was made of a bale press (with cylinder diameter of oil press = 40.6 cm and maximum gauge pressure = 314 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for compression to produce cotton bales (Test Examples 5-8 and Comparison Examples 5 and 6) of net weight = 252 kg, dimensions = 140 cm (length) × 51 cm (width) × 51 cm (thickness) and pressed density = 692 kgs/m<sup>3</sup>. For the packaging of these cotton bales, use was made of bags of hemp cloth and 8 stainless steel wire straps.

For the purpose of comparison as in Test 1, cotton bales (Comparison Example 7) were produced by using ginned cotton to which water was sprayed instead of an aqueous emulsion of any agent. An attempt was further made to produce cotton bales of still another kind (Comparison Example 8) in an identical manner as above except neither water nor an aqueous emulsion of any agent was sprayed to the ginned cotton but was stopped for safety reasons because the gauge pressure of the oil press exceeded 300 kg/cm<sup>2</sup> during the compression and packaging process.

TABLE 3

Examples	Agent		Maximum gauge pressure (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Moisture Regain (%)					Compressed Recovery		Tear off	
	Type	Weight %		Group 1			Group 2		Rate (%)		Gr.1	Gr.2
				*1	*2	*3	*2	*3	Gr.1	Gr.2		
Test 1	A	0.21	114	8.2	7.6	8.4	8.9	8.5	195	156	A	B
Test 2	B	0.20	116	8.1	7.5	8.4	8.8	8.4	201	161	A	B
Test 3	C	0.20	116	8.3	7.7	8.5	9.0	8.6	190	148	A	B
Test 4	D	0.20	116	8.3	8.1	8.4	8.8	8.4	196	153	A	B
Comp 1	R-1	(0.21)	123	8.0	5.6	9.1	11.9	9.2	168	116	C	D
Comp 2	R-2	(0.23)	126	8.3	6.2	10.0	12.8	10.2	144	107	D	D
Comp 3	Water	—	124	8.1	5.6	9.6	12.5	9.8	152	105	D	D
Comp 4	None*	—	135	6.8	5.4	9.6	11.8	9.7	160	105	C-D	D

## Notes:

Test: Test Examples

Comp: Comparison Examples

The amount of adhesively attached agent (weight %) is the amount of polyorganosiloxane;

The numbers inside () indicate the values obtained by subtracting wax portion from the extracted amount of n-hexane;

\*1: The moisture regain of ginned cotton immediately before the compression and packaging;

\*2: The moisture regain of ginned cotton immediately after the unpacking;

\*3: The moisture regain of cotton block after it has been opened and left.

None\*: Not processed







TABLE 5-continued

Examples	Agent		Maximum gauge pressure (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Moisture regain (%)		TRR (%)	EA	TO
	Type	Weight %		*1	*2			
Comp 7	Water	—	278	7.9	10.1	105	C	D
Comp 8	None	—	—	6.0	—	—	—	—

## Notes:

Test: Test examples

Comp: Comparison examples

TRR: Thickness recovery rate

EA: External appearance

TO: Tear off

Thickness recovery ratio of each sample was obtained by measuring the thickness of the cotton block at 8 different places to obtain their average value (h cm) and calculating as follows: Thickness Recovery Ratio (%) =  $\{h/51\} \times 100$ .

Appearance of each cotton block was functionally evaluated as follows after its thickness recovery ratio had been measured:

A: No abnormality is observed;

B: Slight musty odor and yellowing parts are slightly observed;

C: Strong musty odor and many yellowing parts.

## Test 3 (with Test Examples 9-11)

Ginned cotton of Test 2 obtained by subjecting Texas seed cotton from Texas, U.S.A. to a ginning process was first sprayed with water such that the amount of water adhesively attached to the ginned cotton would be 2 weight %. It was then sprayed with Agents I-K in their neat forms such that the amount of the agents adhesively attached to the ginned cotton would be 0.30 weight %. Thereafter, cotton bales (Test Examples 9-11) were obtained therefrom in the same manner as in Test 2. These Test Examples were measured and evaluated as in Test 2. The results are also shown in Table 5.

## Test 4 (with Test Examples 12 and 13 and Comparison Example 9)

Upland seed cotton from Alabama, U.S.A. was subjected to a ginning process to obtain ginned cotton as in Test 1. This ginned cotton had average fiber length of 3.18 cm, average fiber fineness of 1.65  $\mu\text{m}/\text{cm}$ , moisture regain (60% RH) of 8.1%, wax components of 0.43% and a small amount of honeydew. It was sprayed with 5-weight % aqueous emulsions of Agents L and M shown in Table 6 such that the adhesively attached amount of each aqueous emulsion would be 10 weight % with respect to the ginned cotton. It was then dried with hot air of 80° C.

The dried ginned cotton was introduced into a press box of area 137 cm (length)  $\times$  51 cm (width) = 0.699 m<sup>2</sup> and use was made of a bale press (with cylinder diameter of oil press = 44.1 cm and maximum gauge pressure = 348 kg/cm<sup>2</sup>) for compression to produce cotton bales (Test Examples 12 and 13) of net weight = 450 kg, dimensions = 140 cm (length)  $\times$  51 cm (width)  $\times$  80 cm (thickness) and pressed density = 788 kgs/m<sup>3</sup>. For the packaging of these cotton bales, use was made of bags of hemp cloth and 8 steel bands. For the purpose of comparison, cotton bales (Comparison Example 9) were additionally produced by using the ginned cotton directly without applying any aqueous emulsion of agent.

Five cotton bales each of the Examples were prepared and after they were kept under the conditions of 20° C. and 65% RH for 120 days, they were opened to remove the external force due to the bag and the steel

bands. Thereafter, measurements and evaluations were carried out as in Test 2. The moisture regain after the unpacking was measured at 20° C. and 65% RH and it was the same as the official moisture regain.

## Test 5 (with Test Example 14)

The ginned cotton of Test 4 obtained by subjecting Upland seed cotton to a ginning process was sprayed with Agent N of Table 4 in its neat form such that the adhesively attached amount of the agent would be 0.60 weight % with respect to the ginned cotton. Cotton bales were produced therefrom as in Test 4 without drying the ginned cotton. Five cotton bales thus produced were used for measurements and evaluations as in Test 4. The results are shown in Table 7.

As can be seen from the results of the measurements and evaluations, the present invention has the favorable effect of reducing moisture emitting and absorbing characteristics of baled lint cotton although seed cotton and ginned cotton produced from seed cotton have many undesirable characteristics. As a result, the moisture regain of cotton bales according to the present invention does not vary greatly in spite of changes in the environmental temperature and humidity and their characteristics at the time of baling can be maintained for a long time during their storage and transportation. Additionally, cotton bales of the present invention can be effectively compressed and have superior compressed recovery ratios when they are opened.

TABLE 6

Agent	Composition	Weight %
L	Me <sub>3</sub> SiO(Me <sub>2</sub> SiO) <sub>100</sub> (MeSiO) <sub>7</sub> SiMe <sub>3</sub>   (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> Si(OMe) <sub>3</sub> POE(15) oleyl ether	90 10
M	Me <sub>3</sub> SiO(Me <sub>2</sub> SiO) <sub>200</sub> (MeSiO) <sub>14</sub> SiMe <sub>3</sub>   H POE(15) oleyl ether	90 10
N	Me <sub>3</sub> SiO(Me <sub>2</sub> SiO) <sub>40</sub> (MeSiO) <sub>7</sub>   (CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> OCH <sub>2</sub> -CH-CH <sub>2</sub>   O	


TABLE 7

Examples	Agent		Maximum gauge pressure (kg/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Moisture regain (%)	
	Type	Weight %		*1	*2
Test 12	L	0.49	304	8.2	8.2
Test 13	M	0.50	307	8.2	8.3
Test 14	N	0.60	310	8.1	8.4
Comp 9	None	—	329	8.1	8.8

















B is a modified siloxane unit shown by




or



X and Y are hydrogen or an organic group selected from the group consisting of alkyl group, aromatic hydrocarbon group and aralkyl group with 2-18 carbon atoms,  $-(\text{CH}_2)_e-\text{O}-\text{R}^3$ ,



and



$\text{R}^3$  is hydrogen, alkyl group with 1-18 carbon atoms or alkanoyl group with 1-18 carbon atoms;  $\text{R}^4$ ,  $\text{R}^6$  and  $\text{R}^{10}$  are alkyl groups with 1-3 carbon atoms;  $\text{R}^5$ ,  $\text{R}^7$ ,  $\text{R}^8$  and  $\text{R}^9$  are hydrogen or alkyl group with 1-3 carbon atoms;  $\text{R}^{11}$  is alkyl group with 1-17 carbon atoms;  $\text{Z}^\ominus$  is an anion group; e, f, h, j, m, n, p, q, r and t are 2 or 3; g, k and u are integers 0-3;  $\text{R}^1$  is hydrogen or methyl group;  $\text{T}^1$  and  $\text{T}^2$  are polysiloxane end group shown by  $-\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_v(\text{OR}^2)_{3-v}$ ,  $-\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ ,  $-\text{SiH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$  or  $-\text{H}$ ;  $\text{R}^2$  is alkyl group with 1-3 carbon atoms; v is an integer

0-3; a is an integer 10-2000; and b is 0 or an integer such that  $b \leq 2a$ .

11. The method of claim 10 wherein said step of attaching polyorganosiloxane comprises spraying an aqueous emulsion of said polyorganosiloxane.

12. The method of claim 10 wherein said lint cotton is compressed to density greater than 600 kgs/m<sup>3</sup>.


13. The method of claim 11 wherein said lint cotton is compressed to density greater than 600 kgs/m<sup>3</sup>.

14. In a method of producing cotton bales by obtaining lint cotton by subjecting collected seed cotton to a ginning process and bailing said obtained lint cotton to produce baled lint cotton, the improvement wherein said method comprises the steps of:


15 adhesively attaching polyorganosiloxane to said seed cotton or to said lint cotton by 0.03-2.0 weight % with respect to said lint cotton, said polyorganosiloxane being linear polyorganosiloxane which has 10-6000 siloxane units and is insoluble or dispersible in water; and

20 applying an aqueous emulsion of the polyorganosiloxane.

15. The method of claim 14 wherein said polyorganosiloxane is of the form  $\text{T}^1\text{O}_a\text{D}_d\text{T}^2$  where A and D are connected in a block or random manner; A is a dimethyl siloxane unit shown by



$\text{T}^1$  and  $\text{T}^2$  are polysiloxane end group shown by  $-\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_v(\text{OR}^2)_{3-v}$ ,  $-\text{Si}(\text{CH}_3)_3$ ,  $-\text{SiH}(\text{CH}_3)_2$  or  $-\text{H}$ ;  $\text{R}^2$  is alkyl group with 1-3 carbon atoms; v is an integer 0-3; a is an integer 10-2000 D is modified siloxane unit given by



$\text{R}^{12}$  and  $\text{R}^{13}$  are alkylene groups with 2-3 carbon atoms;  $\text{R}^{14}$  is hydrogen, alkyl group with 1-18 carbon atoms or alkanoyl group with 1-18 carbon atoms; w is an integer 1-100; d is an integer such that  $1 \leq d \leq 2a$ ; and the polyalkylene oxide group inside  $(\text{O})_w$  is single addition of ethylene oxide or propylene oxide or their block or random addition amounting to less than 50 weight % of polyorganosiloxane.

16. The method of claim 15 wherein said step of attaching polyorganosiloxane comprises spraying an aqueous emulsion of said polyorganosiloxane.

17. The method of claim 15 wherein said lint cotton is compressed to density greater than 600 kgs/m<sup>3</sup>.

18. The method of claim 10 wherein said lint cotton is compressed to density greater than 600 kgs/m<sup>3</sup>.

\* \* \* \* \*