United States Patent [19][11]Patent Number:4,800,235La Marre et al.[45]Date of Patent:Jan. 24, 1989

- [54] SYNERGISTIC BIOCIDE OF 1,5-PENTANEDIAL AND A MIXTURE OF N-ALKYL DIMETHYL BENZYL AMMONIUM CHLORIDE AND N-DIALKYL METHYL BENZYL AMMONIUM CHLORIDE
- [75] Inventors: Thomas M. La Marre, Aurora; Cynthia H. Martin, Plainfield, both of Ill.
- [73] Assignee: Nalco Chemical Company,

[56] References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,227,614 1/1966 Schever 514/643

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chemical Abstracts; vol. 93 (1980); #62278a; Chou et al.

Applied Microbiology, vol. 9, "Mixtures of Quaternary Ammonium Compounds and Long-Chain Fatty Acids as Antifungal Agents", by Kull, et al, 1961, pp. 538-541.

Naperville, Ill.

- [21] Appl. No.: 873,915
- [22] Filed: Jun. 13, 1986

Related U.S. Application Data

- [63] Continuation-in-part of Ser. No. 770,048, Aug. 28, 1985, abandoned.
- [51] Int. Cl.⁴ A01N 33/12; A01N 35/00
- [58] Field of Search 514/705, 643

Primary Examiner—Leonard Schenkman Assistant Examiner—Joseph A. Lipovsky Attorney, Agent, or Firm—John G. Premo; Anthony L. Cupoli; Donald G. Epple

[57] ABSTRACT

The invention is a synergistic biocide comprising a blend of 1,5-pentanedial and a mixture of N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and N-dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride.

5 Claims, No Drawings

4,800,235

SYNERGISTIC BIOCIDE OF 1,5-PENTANEDIAL AND A MIXTURE OF N-ALKYL DIMETHYL BENZYL AMMONIUM CHLORIDE AND N-DIALKYL METHYL BENZYL AMMONIUM CHLORIDE

This is a continuation-in-part of patent application serial No. 770,048, filed on Aug. 28, 1985, now abandoned.

INTRODUCTION

The formation of slime by microorganisms is a problem which attends many systems. For example, lagoons, lakes, ponds, poos, and such systems as cooling water 15 systems and pump and paper mill systems, all possess conditions which are conducive to the growth and reproduction of slime-forming microorganisms. In both once-through and recirculating cooling systems, for example, which employ large quantities of water as a 20 cooling medium, the formation of slime by microorganisms is an extensive and constant problem. Airborne organisms are readily entrained in the water from cooling towers and find this warm medium an ideal environment for growth and multiplication. Aero- 25 bic and heliotropic organisms flourish on the tower proper while other organisms colonize and grow in such areas as the tower sump and the piping and passages of the cooling system. Such slime serves to deteriorate the tower structure in the case of wooden towers. 30 In addition, the deposition of slime on metal surfaces promotes corrosion. Furthermore, slime carried throuh the cooling system plugs and fouls lines, valves, strainers, etc. and deposits on heat exchange surfaces. In the latter case, the impedance of heat transfer can greatly 35 reduce the efficiency of the cooling system. In pulp and paper mill systems, slime formed by microorganisms is also frequently and, in fact, commonly encountered. Fouling or plugging by slime also occurs in the case of pulp and paper mill systems. Of greater 40 significance, the slime becomes entrained in the paper produced to cause breakouts on the paper machines with consequent work stoppages and the loss of production time or unsightly blemishes in the final product which result in rejects and wasted output. The previ- 45 ously discussed problems have resulted in the extensive utilization of biocides in cooling water and pulp and paper mill systems. Materials which have enjoyed widespread use in such applications include chlorine, organo-mercurials, chlorinated phenols, organo-bro- 50 mines, and various organo-sulfur compounds. All of these compounds are generally useful for this purpose but each is attended by a variety of impedients. For example, chlorination is limited both by its specific toxicity for slime-forming organisms at economic levels 55 and by the ability of chlorine to react which results in the expenditure of the chlorine before its full biocidal function and hazards in respect to storage, use or handling which limit their utility. To date, no one compound or type of compound has achieved a clearly 60 established predominance in respect to the applications discussed. Likewise, lagoons, ponds, lakes, and even pools, either used for pleasure purposes or used for industrial purposes for the disposal and storage of industrial wastes, become, during the warm weather, be- 65 seiged by slime due to microorganism growth and reproduction. In the case of the recreation areas, the problem of infection, etc. is obvious. In the case of industrial

2

storage or disposal of industrial materials, the microorganisms cause additional problems which must be eliminated prior to the materials use or the waste is treated for disposal.

5 Naturally, economy is a major consideration in respect to all of these biocides. Such economic considerations attach to both the cost of the biocide and the expense of its application. The cost performance index of any biocide is derived from the basic cost of the 10 material, its effectiveness per unit of weight, the duration of its biocidal or biostatic effect in the system treated, and the ease and frequency of its addition to the system treated. To date, none of the commercially available biocides have exhibited a prolonged biocidal 15 effect. Instead, their effectiveness is rapidly reduced as

the result of exposure to physical conditions such as and temperature, association with ingredients contained by both the system toward which they exhibit an affinity or substantivity, etc., with a resultant restriction or elimias a 20 nation of their biocidal effectiveness. rgan-As a consequence, the use of such biocides involves their continuous or frequent addition to systems to be

their continuous or frequent addition to systems to be treated and their addition to a plurality of points or zones in the systems to be treated. Accordingly, the cost of the biocide and the labor cost of such means of applying it are considerable. In other instances, the difficulty of access to the zone in which slime formation is experienced precludes the effective use of a biocide. For example, in a particular system there is no access to an area at which slime formation occurs and it may only be applied at a point which is upstream in the flow system. However, the physical or chemical conditions, e.g., chemical reactivity, thermal degradation, etc. which exist between the point at which the biocide may be added to the system and the point at which its biocidal effect is desired render the effective use of a biocide impossible. Similarly, in a system experiencing relatively slow flow, such as a paper mill, if a biocide is added at the beginning of the system, its biocidal effect may be completely dissipated before it has reached all of the points at which this effect is desired or required. As a consequence, the biocide must be added at a plurality of points, and even then a graduated biocidal effect will be experienced between one point of addition to the system and the next point downstream at which the biocides may be added. In addition to the increased cost of utilizing and maintaining plural feed points, gross ineconomies in respect to the cost of the biocide are experienced. Specifically, at each point of addition, an excess of the biocide is added to the system in order to compensate for that portion of the biocide which will be expended in reacting with other constituents present in the system or experience physical changes which impair its biocidal activity. The mechanisms by which chemical agents exert antimicrobial activity depend upon the effective contact between the chemical and microorganism and involve disruptive interaction with a biochemical or physical component of the organism, which component is essential to its structure of metabolism. The targets may be an enzyme, or enzymes, the cell membrane, intracellular systems, the cytoplasm, or combination of these; and the nature of the action is dependent on the organism, on the antimicrobial agent, and on the environment in which the interaction occurs. 1,5-pentanedial, for example, often acts through the alkylation of amino and sulfhydryl groups of proteins. Cationic surface active

4,800,235

3

compounds, such as the quaternary ammonium compounds, form electrostatic bonds with carboxyl groups in proteins and enzymes that interfere with oxidationreduction and other biochemical reactions. The cell wall is damaged, lysis occurs, and metabolites leak out of the cell. N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride, N-dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride, and 1,5-pentanedial are powerful toxicants to bacteria, algae, and fungi at low concentrations, as low as 1-10 ppm of active toxicant; 1,5-pentanedial is particularly 10 sporicidal as well.

The present invention relates to the use of a blend of N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and Ndialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride in combination with the toxicant, 1,5-pentanedial, to provide supe-15 rior antimicrobial activity through a synergy in which the disruptive interaction on the organism by the two toxicants together is greater than the sum of both toxicants taken alone. The snergy does not arise from an unexpected additivity of the components or from a 20 predictable improvement in activity. In all cases, the synergism depends largely on the interactions of the antimicrobial agents with the organism, the cellular processes of this latter being so complex in these interactions as to render such synergism an unpredictable, 25 and indeed rare, phenomenon.

pentanedial and from 90-10% by weight of a mixture comprising:

(a) N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and (b) N-dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride, wherein the ratio of (a) to (b) is within the range of 10:1 to 1:10 and the alkyl group contains between 12-20 carbon atoms in chain length.

Thus, the invention is not only effective in providing improved synergistic biocidal compositions, but it also comprises the utilization of these compositions in treating industrial process waters of the types previously described.

The Mixed Alkyl Methyl Benzyl Ammonium Chlorides It is well known that blends of

THE INVENTION

A synergistic biocidal composition useful in treating industrial process waters to prevent the growth of mi- 30 croorganisms which comprises from 10–90% by weight of 1,5-pentanedial and from 90-10% by weight of a mixture comprising:

(a) N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and

(b) N-dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride, 35 The synergism of these two components is demonstrated by adding 1,5-pentanedial (PD) and a mixture of wherein the ratio of (a) to (b) is within the range of 10:1 N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and Nto 1:10 and the alkyl group contains between 12-20 dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride (BAC) in carbon atoms in chain length. varying ratios over a range of concentrations to sterile The troublesome slime forming bacteria in industrial process waters tend to be primarily gram-negative rod- 40 white water from a paper mill. The white water, adshaped aerobes. Of this group, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* justed to the desired pH, was inoculated with *Pseudo*is one of the most common and most difficult to control. monas aeruginosa, ATCC 15442. The total count of the The invention is capable of affording good control of control was 1.0×10^7 bacteria per millimeter. The concentrations of the above toxicants were added to ali-*Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. It is also capable of affording control of other species of bacteria, in particular other 45 quots of the inoculated white water, and these aliquots species of gram-negative, rod-shaped aerobes of such were incubated at 37° C. for 24 hours. In this study of genera as Aerobacter, Flavobacterium, Pseudomonas, the control of bacterial growth, the nutrient medium for particularly, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas, plating was tryptone glucose extract agar, poured at 50° fluorescens, Pseudomonas levanicum, Pseudomonas stut-C. into sterile Petri dishes containing the appropriate zeri, Pseudomonas maltophilia, Aerobacter aerogenes, Aer- 50 dilution of the white water which had been inoculated obacter cloacae. and treated as described. Once the medium in these dilution plates had solidified, the plates were incubated Similarly the fungi, Aspergillus niger, is one of the for over forty-eight hours at 37° C. After the incubamost common species of mold in process waters and one of the most difficult to control. Saccharomyces cerevisiae tion, the results were read as growth or no growth. The is a common yeast. This invention is capable of afford- 55 lowest concentration of each toxicant or of each ratio of ing control of Aspergillus niger and Saccharomyces cerevithe combined toxicants that prevented growth on the siae and other species of fungi which are common in agar was taken as the end point. This procedure proprocess waters, species in such genera as Aspergillus, vides the oxicant with a greater challenge by testing the toxicants under conditions which approximate the con-Monilia, as well as Aspergillus fumigatus, Aspergillus niger, Penicillium chrysogenum, Monilia candida, Geotri- 60 ditions under which they will be used. chum candidum, and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. The test against fungi followed the same procedure While the two biocides may be combined in the with these exceptions. The white water was inoculated weight ratios shown above when they are combined to with Aspergillus niger and Saccharomyces cerevisiae to a treat fungi and, in particular, either Asperigillus niger or count of 2.2×10^5 fungi per milliliter. The aliquots of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, they are highly synergistic 65 inoculated and treated white water were incubated at with the weight percent of tributyl tetradecyl phospho-30° C. for 5 days. The medium used for plating was nium chloride and the weight percent of methylene bis potato dextrose agar, acidified with tartaric acid to a pH thiocyanate ranges between 10-90% by weight of 1,5 of 4.5. The plates were incubated 5 days at 30° C.

(a) N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and (b) N-dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride provide a superior biocide. As indicated above, the alkyl group may vary between C_{12} - C_{20} . It is preferred that the alkyl group be composed primarily of a mixed alkyl group in which C_{14} - C_{16} alkyl groups predominate. Such mixed alkyl groups are derived from animal fats or vegetable oils or they may be obtained from certain petroleum fractions. The alkyl groups may be either straight chained or branched. In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the weight ratio of (a) to (b) is about 8:1. A commercial embodiment containing a mixture of (a) and (b) contains 26.9% by weight of (a), 5.1%by weight of (b), with the balance of the product being water of dilution. This particular product contains an alkyl group distribution of 60% C_{14} , 30% C_{16} , 5% C_{12} , and 5% C_{18} .

Evaluation of the Invention

4,800,235

The end points of each of the ratios tested were compared with end points of the concentrations of the pure toxicants. Synergism was determined according to the industrially-accepted method described by S. C. Kull, P. C. Eisman, H. D. Sylwestrowicz, and R. L. Mayer in ⁵ *Applied Microbiology*, Vol. 9, pages 538–541, (1936), which is herein included as reference.

As regards the Kull, et al. document, the data here presented can be described as follows:

- Q_A = the ppm of actives of BAC alone which produced ¹⁰ an end-point.
- Q_a =the ppm of actives of BAC, in combination which produced an endpoint.

235 6 TABLE II SYNERGISM STUDY FOR COMBINATIO BIOCIDES AGAINST BACTERIA Growth: + No Growth: - Control Culture: 1 × 10 ⁷ organisms per mi		
SYNERGISM STUDY FOR COMBINATIO BIOCIDES AGAINST BACTERIA Growth: + No Growth: - Control Culture: 1 × 10 ⁷ organisms per mi Ratio		
BIOCIDES AGAINST BACTERIA Growth: + No Growth: - Control Culture: 1 × 10 ⁷ organisms per ml Ratio		
Comp. A/ Concentration (ppm)		
Comp. B .3 .6 1.0 1.5 3.0 5.0 7.5 10 20 30	40 50	60
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	+ +	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$		

produced an endpoint.

 Q_b =the ppm of actives of 1,5-pentanedial, in combination, which produced an end point.

$$if \frac{Q_a - Q_b}{Q_A + Q_B} < 1 \text{ indicates synergy}$$

> 1 indicates antagonisum

= 1 indicates additvity

Ratio of BAC/PD: 100/0, 0/100, 90/10, 10/90, 75/25, 25/75, 50/50.

The above test method is reproduceable and is a good method for determining the range of synergism existing against candidate biocides being screened for applica-³⁰ tion in the treatment of biologically contaminated industrial waters. The efficacy and validity of this test method is discussed in the Appendix which appears hereafter. For purposes of simplification of test results presented hereafter, the Appendix also contains the ³⁵ calculations used to produce the test results set forth in

- 15	50/50 + + + +	+ + +	+
_	Ratio Comp. A/Comp. B	$\frac{Qa + Qb}{QA + QB}$	Rating
_	90/10	0.350	<1 Synergy
	10/90	0.725	<1 Synergy
20	75/25	0.375	<1 Synergy
	25/75	0.688	<1 Synergy
	50/50	0.625	<1 Synergy

ANTAGONISTIC COMBINATIONS

Using the same method employed to determine the synergy of the two toxicants presented in this invention, the same two toxicants were proved to be antagonistic, or at best additive, in combination with other toxicants. Three examples are given below:

First, 1,4-pentanedial (Composition A) was found to be antagonistic in combination with an amine, 1-alkyl (C_6-C_{18}) amino-3-aminopropane monoacetate (Composition C).

Tables I and II. The effectiveness of the combination of 1,5 pantanedial, N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and N-dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chlo- ride is set forth in Tables I and II.										am	mo	niun	1	Ratio (Comp. A/ Comp. C)	Endpoints (ppm Actives)	$\frac{Qa}{QA} + \frac{Qb}{QB}$	Ratings
fide is set														100/0	130		All Ratios
	TABLE I												_	0/100	80	0.091	are additive or
S	YNER	GIS	M ST	UD	Y FC	DR C	сом	BIN	ATI	ON			_	90/10	120	0.981	antagonistic.
-					GAI					• ••				10/90	80	0.962	
					iction								10	75/25	120	1.067	
		-					· ·						45	25/75	100	1.130	
	-:>90% reduction in organisms Control Culture: 2.2 \times 10 ⁵ organisms per ml								~ 1				50/50	100	1.010		
Ratio ¹																	
Comp. A ² / Comp. B ³	05	7.5	10	<u>С</u> 20	once 30	ntrat 40	<u>ion (</u> 50	(ppn 60		80	90	100	- - 50	found to b	e antagonistic	in combination	n A) was also on with 2-(thi-
Comp. A ² /		7.5	10 +							80 +	90 +	100 +	- 50	found to b	-	in combination	on with 2-(thi-
Comp. A ² / Comp. B ³		7.5 + +	10 + +							80 +	90 + -	100 + -	- - 50	found to b	e antagonistic	in combination	on with 2-(thi-
Comp. A ² / Comp. B ³ 100/0*	05++	7.5 + +	10 + +							80 + -	90 + -	100 + 	- - 50	found to b	e antagonistic	in combination	on with 2-(thi-
Comp. A ² / Comp. B ³ 100/0* 0/100	05++	7.5 + + - +	10 + + -							80 + -	90 + -	100 + - -	- - 50	found to b ocyanomet	e antagonistic	in combination	on with 2-(thi-
Comp. A ² / Comp. B ³ 100/0* 0/100 90/10	05 ++ ++ +-	7.5 + + + -	10 + + -							80 + - -	90 + -	100 + - -	- 50	found to b ocyanomet Ratio	be antagonistic hylthio) benzo	in combination thiazole (Com	on with 2-(thi-
Comp. A ² / Comp. B ³ 100/0* 0/100 90/10 10/90	05 ++ ++ +-	7.5 + + - +	10 + + -							80 + - -	90 +	100 + - - -	- 50	found to b ocyanomet Ratio (Comp. A/	be antagonistic hylthio) benzo Endpoints	in combination thiazole (Com <u>Qa Qb</u>	on with 2-(thi- position D).
Comp. A ² / Comp. B ³ 100/0* 0/100 90/10 10/90 75/25	05 ++ ++ +-	7.5 + + - + -	10 + +							80 +	90 +	100 +	- 50 55	found to b ocyanomet Ratio	be antagonistic hylthio) benzo	in combination thiazole (Com	on with 2-(thi-

25

75/25	<0.0369	<1 Synergy	75/2:	 0.941	Additive
25/75	<0.0638	<1 Synergy	25/7:	1.231	Antagonistic
50/50	< 0.0663	<1 Synergy	50/50	1.129	Antagonistic

*100/0 does not show a 90% reduction even at concentrations greater than 1000 ppm active

¹Based on active ingredients.

²1,5-Pentanedial

³A mixture of N---alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride & N---dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride

65 Third, the blend of quaternary amines (Composition B) used in this invention was found to be antagonistic in combination with 2-(p-hydroxyphenol)-glyoxylohydroximoyl chloride (Composition E).

Ratio omp. A/ omp. C)	Endpoints (ppm Actives)	$\frac{Qa}{QA} + \frac{Qb}{QB}$	Ratings	
00/0	20			- 5
0/100	30			
90/10	40	1.933	Antagonistic	
10/90	20	0.700	Synergistic	
75/25	60	2.750	Antagonistic	
25/75	30	1.125	Antagonistic	
50/50	70	2.917	Antagonistic	10

7

APPENDIX DISCUSSION 4,800,235

method in producing representation microbiological data.

8

The same logic applies to all the endpoints of the test. The best and worst extrapolations of the data can be determined in this way. Let us say, for the ratios 100/0 and 0/100, that:

55<QA<60

35<QB<40

Let us use the ratio 10/90 in this example because it is the least synergistic of the ratios. As described above, the endpoint for 10/90 must fall closer to 30 than to 20, therefore the endpoint can be described thus:

The conventional presentation of a test of synergy demands that the data be presented in terms of growth or no growth. The convention has the merit of presenting the data simply and directly in terms that make the calculation of synergy straightforward. This presenta- 20 tion may, however, require a thorough explanation of the factors which are taken into account in the determination of the endpoints of the test. The determination of synergy depends wholly on these endpoints.

The data presented in Table I show that the invention 25 is synergistic in effect against fungi. The control contained both molds and yeasts to a total count of 2.2×10^5 organisms per m1. The data are given in terms of a 90% reduction in the total count, for two reasons. First, a 90% or one-log reduction represents excellent 30 activity for the invention as it would be applied in Industrial process waters. Second, the 90% reduction is quite significant in the case of molds; a complete kill of the molds would be difficult to achieve, and the endpoints for a 100% reduction are frequently unclear. In 35 any case, the endpoints in Table I unquestionably show synergy; any interpretation of the data confirms the synergy: the ratios are nearly ten times more effective than the toxicants alone. The data in Table II also demonstrate synergy, but 40 may require more explanation. First, the indication of growth (+) in Table II is heavy growth. No growth (-) indicates no growth on a zero-dilution plate, on a one-dilution plate, and on a two-dilution plate. The zero-dilution plate will show as few as one bacterial 45 colony per milliliter; the lowest count on one-dilution plate is ten bacteria per milliliter, and the two-dilution plate shows a bacterial count greater than 102 bacteria per milliliter. In short, in Table II, the difference between growth (+) and no growth (-) involves a three- 50 log reduction in bacterial count. For example, in the case of the ratio 100/0, the bacterial count at concentration of 50 ppm was greater than 10² bacteria per milliliter. At 60 ppm, the bacterial count was below detection (1 bacteria per milliliter). Therefore, the endpoint 55 for 100/0 is taken to be 60 ppm.

25>10/90<30

In the worst possible case;

QA = 55

QB=35

And the worst endpoint for 10/90 under these circumstances is 30 ppm. Therefore,

 $Qa = 0.1 \times 30 = 3$

 $QB = 0.9 \times 30 = 27$

The formula for the calculation of synergy is defined to be;

$$\frac{Qa}{QA} + \frac{Qb}{QB} = 0.825$$

This formula shows the extent to which the combination of the two toxicants creates a surprising increase in activity. When the synergy ratio is less than 1, the combination is truly synergistic instead of antagonistic or merely additive. In this experiment, calculating the worst possible case for the least effective ratio, the ratio is still clearly synergistic.

The endpoint for 100/0 is, in the strictest sense, between 50 and 60 ppm. In this case, where a concentration of toxicant as high as 50 ppm is not capable of completely inhibiting growth, a three-log reduction in 60 bacterial count is not to be expected by increasing the concentration of biocide by 1-5 ppm. The endpoint cannot fall closer to 50 ppm than to 60 ppm. The 10 ppm is indeed significant when testing toxicants with this magnitude of toxicity. Additional data points at closer 65 intervals are unnecessary. The progression of the increments between concentrations in these experiments (0.3, 0.6, 1.0, 1.5, 3.0, 5.0, 7.5, 10, 20 . . . etc.) is standard

A table can be made showing the best and worst cases for the data presented in Table II.

Ratio	Synergy Ratio				
(Comp. A. Comp. B	Best Case	Worst Case			
90/10	0.263	0.384			
10/90	0.604	0.825			
75/25	0.281	0.415			
25/75	0.573	0.778			
50/50	0.521	0.701			

Even in the worst possible cases, the results still indicate synergy. This presentation of the data goes to show how truly representative the endpoints are. The data, as presented and calculated in Table II, are not extrapolated into the best or worst cases. Instead, the data summarize the activity tested using standard method. As mentioned above, this interpretation also depends on understanding that the difference between growth and no growth in the synergy study against bacteria involves a three-log reduction in bacteria count. These interpretations of the data confirm that each combination of toxicants results in an unexpected amelioration of toxicity.

9		300	,235 10
		_	-continued
Calculations for	or TABLE I		Calculations for TABLE II
$Q_A = >1000$ ppm active Comp. A $Q_B = 60$ ppm active Comp. B	$\frac{Q_a}{Q_A} + \frac{Q_b}{Q_B} < 1 = \text{Synergy}$	⁄ 5	$\frac{15}{60} + \frac{5}{40} = 0.375 \qquad \frac{7.5}{60} + \frac{22.5}{40} = 0.688$
$\frac{A.\ 90/10}{Q_a = 5.0 \text{ ppm} \times .90 = 4.5}$ $Q_b = 5.0 \text{ ppm} \times .10 = 0.5$	$\frac{\text{B. }10/90}{\text{Q}_a = 10 \text{ ppm} \times .10 = .2}$ $\text{Q}_b = 10 \text{ ppm} \times .90 = .18$	10	$\frac{E.\ 50/50}{Q_a = 0\ 30\ ppm \times 0.50 = 15}$ $Q_b = 0\ 30\ ppm \times 0.50 = 15$ $\frac{15}{60} + \frac{15}{40} = 0.625$
$\frac{4.5}{>1000} + \frac{0.5}{60} = 0.0128$	$\frac{.2}{>1000} + \frac{.18}{60} = 0.302$	10	60 ' 40
$\frac{C.\ 75/25}{Q_a = 7.5 \text{ ppm} \times 0.75 = 5.625}$ $Q_b = 7.5 \text{ ppm} \times 0.25 = 1.895$	$\frac{D.\ 25/75}{Q_a = 5 \text{ ppm} \times 0.25 = 1.25}$ $Q_b = 5 \text{ ppm} \times 0.75 = 3.75$	15	We claim: 1. A synergistic biocidal composition useful in treat- ing industrial process waters to prevent the growth of gram-negative bacteria and fungi which comprises from
$\frac{5.625}{>1000} + \frac{1.875}{60} = 0.0369$	$\frac{1.25}{>1000} + \frac{3.75}{60} = 0.0638$		10–90% by weight of 1,5-pentanedial and from 90–10%by weight of a mixture comprising:(a) N-alkyl dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride and
$\frac{\text{E. }50/50}{\text{Q}_a = 7.5 \text{ ppm} \times 0.50 = 3.75}$ $\text{Q}_b = 7.5 \text{ ppm} \times 0.50 = 3.75$		20	(b) N-dialkyl methyl benzyl ammonium chloride, wherein the ratio of (a) to (b) is within the range of 10:1 to 1:10 and the alkyl group contains between
$\frac{3.75}{>1000} + \frac{3.75}{60} = 0.0663$		- 25	 12-20 carbon atoms in chain length. 2. The synergistic biocidal composition of claim 1 wherein the ratio of (a) to (b) is about 6:1 and the alkyl groups are predominantly composed of C₁₄-C₁₆ alkyl
			groups. 3. A method of controlling the growth of gram-nega-
Calculations fo	r TABLE II	_	tive bacteria and fungi of the type commonly found in
$Q_A = 60$ ppm active Comp. A $Q_B = 40$ ppm active Comp. B	$\frac{Q_a}{Q_A} + \frac{Q_b}{Q_B} < 1 = \text{Synergy}$	30	
<u>A. 90/10</u>	B. <u>10/90</u>		4. A method for controlling the growth of Pseudo-
	$Q_a = 30 \text{ ppm} \times .10 = 3$ $Q_b = 30 \text{ ppm} \times .90 = 27$	35	monas bacteria which comprises treating said waters with a biocidal amount of the composition of claim 1 or 2
$\frac{18}{60} + \frac{2}{40} = 0.350$	$\frac{3}{60} + \frac{27}{40} = 0.725$		5. A method for controlling the growth of fungi from

5. A method for controlling the growth of fungi from the group consisting of Saccharomyces yeast and Aspergillus molds which comprises treating said waters $_{40}$ with a biocidal amount of the composition of claim 1 or 2.

$\overline{60} + \overline{40} = 0.300$	$\frac{-60}{60} + \frac{-40}{40} = 0.723$
<u>C. 75/25</u>	D. <u>25/75</u>
$Q_a = 20 \text{ ppm} \times 0.75 = 15$	$Q_a = 30 \text{ ppm} \times 0.25 = 7.5$
$Q_b = 20 \text{ ppm} \times 0.25 = 5$	$Q_b = 30 \text{ ppm} \times 0.75 = 22.5$

* * *

45

50



