UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE

2,628,890

PROCESS FOR THE DECOMPOSITION OF HYDROCARBONS

James H. Shapleigh, Wilmington, Del., assignor to Hercules Powder Company, Wilmington, Del., a corporation of Delaware

No Drawing. Application June 20, 1946, Serial No. 678,163

4 Claims. (Cl. 23—212)

1

2

This invention relates to the catalytic decomposition of hydrocarbons and more particularly to such a process utilizing hydrocarbons containing sulfur in amounts greater than 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized hydrocarbon.

In the commercial production of hydrogen, as now practiced, a gaseous mixture of steam and substantially sulfur-free hydrocarbon is passed over a heated catalytic agent containing nickel. In accordance with one procedure the process is 10 carried out in a furnace fired through the arch, with flue gases withdrawn from the base of the furnace and with the steam-hydrocarbon mixture passing through the catalyst tubes parallel to and concurrent with the flow of heat media. 15 The cracked gases are then passed through a secondary furnace and air introduced in order to obtain an optimum temperature to reduce the methane content of the exit gases to a value below 1%. There results a mixed N2-H2 gas as a 20 necessity by this method. In commercial practice of this process the quantity of steam used is customarily of the order of 4 to 5 mols per mol of carbon in the hydrocarbon in order to obtain the heat pickup required for the endothermic re- 25 action within the cracking tube without obtaining excessive tube wall temperature.

In accordance with another and more efficient method described in Reissue Patent No. 21,521 to J. H. Shapleigh, the steam-hydrocarbon mix- 30 ture passes essentially countercurrent to a plurality of firing points spaced along the vertical wall of the furnace, the flue gas in this instance leaving from the top of the furnace. In this process, the CH4 content of the exit gas is as obtained directly, even with hydrogen alone produced, at values from 0.3% downward depending upon the particular manner in which the furnace operation is conducted. The CH4 content can be 0.1% or less if desired. Steam- 40 gas ratios less than 2 may be utilized when using natural gas as the hydrocarbon and are not limited as in the first procedure described.

When operating with the above processes the cracking efficiency, or per cent cracking obtained, 45 is controlled by the steam gas ratio, the space velocity, the catalyst quality and the reaction temperature. Having selected a suitable steamgas ratio, space velocity and catalyst, in accordance with principles well known in the art, the 50 per cent cracking is obtained by a suitable adjustment of the temperature within the limitations of the particular process. In practice such processes are conducted at temperatures just sufficient to yield the desired conversion, or crack- 55 ing efficiency, based upon raw materials comparatively free of sulfur. Higher temperatures are unnecessary and have been judged disadvantageous and have been avoided; lower temperatures place a limit upon cracking efficiency. 60 The lowest temperature required in practice to

obtain a given per cent of cracking efficiency with a given steam-gas ratio, space velocity and catalyst quality is herein termed the "optimum temperature."

The use of such processes has proved quite satisfactory in the reaction of hydrocarbons which are substantially free of sulfur or sulfur compounds such as, for example, natural gas used on the West Coast, and in some sections of the South and containing sulfur varying from nil to 0.2 grain per 100 cu. ft.

However, when sulfur is present in even minor amounts there is a disadvantage because nickel catalyst is readily susceptible to poisoning, and when sulfur is present in amounts greater than about 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft. the disadvantage has been deemed great enough by the hydrogen producer that he has resorted to sulfur removal by NaOH or other means. Thus, where hydrocarbons containing about 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft. are utilized, sulfur removal systems are employed. On the other hand, where such systems are not employed it is recognized in the art that the hydrocarbon has been substantially free of sulfur. Because of this knowledge the patented art has generally advised against the use of hydrocarbons containing appreciable amounts of sulfur. Thus, one patentee (Williams-U. S. Patent No. 2,119,565) states that certain substances such as the halogens and compounds of sulfur greatly decrease or even completely inhibit the activity of nickel catalysts for this purpose and therefore advises that the presence of these and other catalyst poisons be avoided by using salts other than the chlorides in preparing the catalytic materials and by employing gases which are free from compounds of sulfur. Schmidt and Nieman, U. S. Patent No. 1,882,977, state: "It is advisable to operate with purified gases, especially those which have been freed from sulfur." Wietzel, Haller and Hennicker, U. S. Patent No. 1,934,836, state: "If the initial substances are very much polluted, especially with organic compounds of sulfur, these must be removed . . ." Hanks and Freyermuth, U. S. Patent No. 1,943,821, state: "The flushing gas should be substantially free from substances which are poisonous for the hydrogen-producing catalyst, such as sulfur compounds, halides and the like." The art is full of such warnings and limitations on sulfur content of hydrocarbon gases and well illustrates the prevailing attitude against their use.

In addition, commercial practice in the manufacture of hydrogen from hydrocarbons has been (1) to utilize those hydrocarbons which are substantially free of sulfur and/or sulfur compounds, or (2) to provide suitable preliminary treatments for removing the sulfur when it approaches 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft. of hydrocarbon gas. The practice of (1) severely limits the production of

hydrogen both from the standpoint of location of plants and quantity produced, since it avoids the use of many hydrocarbons, particularly liquid hydrocarbons, which aside from their sulfur content, are otherwise quite suitable. In the prac- 5 tice of (2) preliminary treatment to remove sulfur is required and this involves additional equipment, manpower and materials and is both time consuming and expensive. In the case of liquid hydrocarbons such as pentane, vaporization is 10 practiced, with sulfur removal carried out in the vapor phase; for heavier hydrocarbons, the purification process becomes complex. Since "sweet" natural gas is generally sold with a guarantee that it will not have more than 1 grain H₂S per ¹⁵ 100 cu. ft. and with contracts generally not available which will guarantee less than this amount, it has been the case that the hydrogen producer has had to protect his production by installing sulfur removal equipment even though the source 20 of the gas might run less than 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft. for most of the time. So far as is known commercial hydrogen plants using hydrocarbon raw materials containing sulfur in quantity higher than approximately 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft., do 25not exist today without sulfur removal systems.

Now in accordance with the present invention it has been discovered that hydrogen may be produced from hydrocarbons containing sulfur by a one-step process and without the necessity for 30 preliminary treatment of the hydrocarbon to remove sulfur. This is accomplished by passing a mixture of the sulfur-containing hydrocarbon and steam over a nickel catalytic agent at temperatures which have heretofore been avoided 35 and which are higher than the optimum temperature heretofore used in the art of producing hydrogen from a hydrocarbon substantially free from sulfur under comparable conditions of cracking efficiency, steam-carbon ratio, space ve- 40 locity, and catalyst quality. High cracking efficiencies are obtained by this improvement, which have heretofore been regarded as impossible, and from a range of sulfur-containing hydrocarbons, from those moderate in sulfur content, such as 45 propane, to those heavy in sulfur content, such as crude oils.

Thus, in carrying out the process of this invention sulfur-containing hydrocarbons are reacted under specific conditions of steam-hydro- 50 carbon ratio, space velocity and catalyst quality and the temperature of the reaction carried out at above the optimum temperature that would prevail with a sulfur-free hydrocarbon gas under the same conditions of steam-carbon ratio, space 55 velocity and catalyst quality.

Having described the invention generally, the

following examples are given to illustrate the advantages thereof.

EXAMPLE 1

A catalyst comprising 25% nickel, 25% zirconium silicate, and 50% magnesia by weight was made up in the form of pellets and placed in the cracking tube of a hydrogen furnace. A sulfur-free hydrocarbon and steam were then passed through the cracking tube under conditions and with results set forth in column 1, Table I, below. A petroleum oil containing 1% sulfur was then atomized, vaporized, mixed with steam and passed through the cracking tube under the same conditions of steam-gas ratio, space velocity, catalyst quality and temperature. The per cent cracking or cracking efficiency fell off to 90% as shown in column 2. Table I. The temperature was then increased 100° C. and the cracking efficiency rose to 98% as shown in column 3. Table I.

Table I.—Comparison of cracking efficiencies utilizing sulfur-free and sulfur-containing hydrocarbons

	·			·-·-	<u> </u>	· .	
6		1		2		3	
)		Sulfur-I Hydroca		Petroleu Contair 100 Grai Sulfur 100 Cu. of Ga	ning ns of Per Ft.	Conte 100 Gr Sulfu 100 C	eum Oil aining ains of r Per u. Ft. Gas
;	Cracking Efficiency percent Steam-Carbon Ratio Space Velocity Catalyst Temperature°C	(1)	98 2:1 500 800	(2)	90 2:1 500 800	(98 2: 1 500 ²)

 $^{^{1}\}frac{\text{Ni}}{25\%} \cdot \frac{\text{ZrSiO}_{4}}{25\%} \cdot \frac{\text{MgO}}{50\%}$

EXAMPLE 2

A catalyst comprising 10% nickel, 10% aluminum oxide, and 80% magnesia by weight was made up and the same procedure followed as in Example 1. Conditions of treatment and results are shown in Table II below. The sulfur-free hydrocarbon gave a cracking efficiency of 99% as shown in column 1. The petroleum oil (same as used in Example 1) containing 100 grains of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized hydrocarbon, gave a cracking efficiency of 88% under the same conditions of steam-carbon ratio, space velocity. catalyst quality, and temperature, as shown in column 2. Raising the temperature from 800° C. to 900° C. increased the cracking efficiency from 88% to 98% as shown in column 3. A further increase in temperature to 950° C. gave a cracking efficiency of 99%.

Table II.—Comparison of cracking efficiencies utilizing sulfur-free and sulfur-containing hydrocarbons

	1	2	3	4
	Sulfur-Free Hydrocarbon	Containing 100 Grains of Sulfur Per 100	Containing 100 Grains of Sulfur Per 100	100 Grains of
Cracking Efficiency percent Steam-Carbon Ratio Space Velocity Catalyst Temperature°C	2:1 500	88 2:1 500 (²) 800	98 2:1 500 (³) 900	99 2:1 500 (²) 950

¹ Ni Al₂O₃ MgO 10% 10% 80%

² Same as 1.

Same as 1.

In accordance with this invention a mixture

EXAMPLE 3

A catalyst comprising 10% nickel, 40% zirconium silicate and 50% magnesia by weight was made up and the same procedure followed as in Example 1. Conditions of treatment and results 5 are shown in Table III below. The sulfur-free hydrocarbon gave a cracking efficiency of 97–98% as shown in column 1. Under the same conditions of steam-carbon ratio, space velocity, catalyst quality and temperature the petroleum oil 10 utilized in Example 1 and containing 100 grains of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized hydrocarbon gave a reduced cracking efficiency of 88% as shown in column 2. However, when the temperature was increased to 900° C. the cracking 15efficiency rose to 97–98% as shown in column 3. The sulfur content of the oil was then increased to the abnormally high value of 1000 grains per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized hydrocarbon. The resulting cracking efficiency of 93%, when com- 20pared with the cracking efficiency of 88% obtained when treating a petroleum oil containing 100 grains of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized oil at 800° C. (column 2) well illustrates the iminvention.

of steam and sulfur-containing hydrocarbon are passed through a catalytic bed heated to a new and higher level of temperature than previously used in the art to give the unexpected cracking efficiency. Both hydrocarbons which are normally gaseous and those which are normally liquid may be treated.

Steam to carbon ratios and space velocities are those normally utilized in the processing of sulfur-free hydrocarbons. According to usages well known in the art, steam to carbon ratios will generally vary from about 2 to 4.5, based on the number of carbon atoms in the particular hydrocarbon. Space velocities will generally vary from about 300 to 1000 volumes of steamhydrocarbon mixture per volume of catalyst per hour.

Temperatures utilized will depend on the steam to gas ratio, space velocity, catalyst quality, amount of sulfur present and the desired cracking efficiency. By selecting proper values for steam to gas ratios, space velocities, catalyst quality and cracking efficiency in accordance proved results obtained in accordance with this 25 with the teachings of the prior art in connection with the treatment of sulfur-free hydrocarbons,

Table III.—Comparison of cracking efficiencies utilizing sulfur-free and sulfur-containing hydrocarbons

	1	2	3	4
	Sulfur-Free Hydrocarbon	Containing 100 Grains of Sulfur Per 100	Containing 100 Grains of Sulfur Per 100	Petroleum Oil Containing 1,000 Grains of Sulfur Per 100 Cu. Ft. of Gas
Cracking Efficiency percent Steam-Carbon Ratio Space Velocity Catalyst Temperature C	97-98 2:1 500 (1) 800	88 2:1 500 (2) 800	97-98 2:1 500 (²) 900	93 2:1 500 (2) 900

¹ Ni ZrSiO4 MgO

EXAMPLE 4

The results of tests on heavy oils in plant size apparatus, shown in Table IV below, are illustrative of the highly unexpected results obtained in accordance with this invention. These oils contained from 0.5% sulfur to 2.5% sulfur and gave actual H2S quantities in the cracked gas 50 of major quantities such as 40 grains and higher per 100 cu. ft. Temperature of the exit gas was taken, and analysis of the gas showed that it contained in the order of 130 grains of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. while obtaining cracking efficiencies in the order of 97%. Considering the volume expansion of gas caused by cracking these sulfur quantities in a nickel catalytic conversion system is illuminating as evidence of what can be accomplished by temperature higher than optimum for nonsulfur-containing gas in catalytic processes poisoned by sulfur.

Table IV.—Results of tests on heavy oils

	Diesel Oil Containing 0.5% Sulfur	P. S. 300 Fuel Oil Containing 0.93% Sulfur	Crude Oil Containing 2.5% Sulfur	6
Cracking Efficiency percent Steam, Oil Wt. Ratio Space Velocity Catalyst Exit Temperature ° C	96 4.3:1 548 (1) 750	97. 5 5. 3:1 795 (²) 800	96. 7 5. 1:1 595 (2) 900	7

¹ Ni-ZrSiO4-MgO * Same as 1,

the temperature utilized will then depend on the amount of sulfur present. Sulfur content substantially less than about 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft. of gas being treated normally does not appreciably poison the catalyst and hence requires no substantial increase in temperature. Sulfur content in excess of 0.5 grain per 100 cu. ft of gas being treated has a sufficient poisoning effect on the catalyst to require sulfur removal equipment in the present day art. Concentrations of 1 grain per 100 cu. ft. have been considered as simply unusable. For concentrations in excess of 1 grain per 100 cu. ft. and even with oils having sufficient sulfur to be customarily expressed on a per cent by weight basis, for example, 1% sulfur, I have found that temperature increase to about 125° C. higher than optimum temperatures utilized for the treatment of sulfur-free hydrocarbons under analogous conditions will give high commercial efficiencies not heretofore obtained without prior sulfur removal.

In the practice of this invention it is preferred to use a nickel catalyst containing more than 10% nickel by weight. Suitable catalysts of this type are nickel-zirconium silicate-magnesia, nickelaluminum oxide-magnesia, nickel-zirconium silicate, nickel-aluminum oxide, nickel-diaspore, nickel-magnesia, nickel-pumice, nickel-titanium oxide, etc. These catalysts may be made by any of the methods of the prior art and are desirably given a preliminary tempering treatment with

75 heat prior to use.

^{10% 40% 50%}

² Same as 1.

The various expressions as used in the specification and claims are defined as follows: "sulfurcontaining hydrocarbon" is defined as a hydrocarbon containing more than 0.5 grain of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized hydrocarbon treated; 5 "optimum temperature" is defined as the lowest temperature required in practice to obtain a given per cent of cracking with a given steam-carbon ratio, space velocity and catalyst quality; "cracking efficiency" is defined as per cent conversion 10 of the carbon in the hydrocarbon to CO; "steamcarbon ratio" is defined as the ratio of the mols of steam to the mols of carbon in the hydrocarbon being treated; thus in the case of propane a ratio of 2:1 would mean 6 volumes of steam 15 for each volume of propane; "space velocity" is defined as the volume of steam-hydrocarbon mixture per volume of catalyst per hour; "catalyst quality" is defined as the activity of the catalyst under a given set of conditions, i. e., type and 20 age of catalyst and conditions of use.

The process of the present invention is particularly useful in the manufacture of hydrogen by the catalytic decomposition of hydrocarbons. The invention is not, however, limited to hydro-25 gen production but is applicable, as well, to other catalytic processes utilizing a catalyst susceptible to sulfur poisoning.

An important advantage of the present invention resides in the fact that nickel catalysts can now be utilized in the decomposition of sulfur-containing hydrocarbons by use of temperatures higher than those hitherto utilized in the decomposition of sulfur-free hydrocarbons under analogous conditions. As a result, it is now possible, without preliminary treatments of any kind, to crack sulfur-containing hydrocarbons in a one-step process and obtain cracking efficiencies as high as those previously obtained in the cracking of sulfur-free hydrocarbons.

The following reficien To this patent:

UNITED

Number

Re. 21,521 Shaple 1,713,325 Blake 1,713,325 Blake 2,135,058 Spice 2,135,058 Spice 2,218,495 Balca

What I claim and desire to protect by Letters Patent is:

1. A one step process for catalytic cracking of sulfur polluted fluid hydrocarbons to produce high weight yields of hydrogen and carbon oxides 45 which comprises passing a mixture of steam and a fluid hydrocarbon containing at least 1 gr. of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of hydrocarbon in gaseous phase over a heated catalytic body containing at least 10% nickel, at least part of the catalytic 50 body being maintained at a temperature of at least 900° C.

2. A one step process for catalytic cracking of sulfur polluted fluid hydrocarbons to produce high weight yields of hydrogen and carbon oxides 55 which comprises passing a mixture of steam and a fluid hydrocarbon containing at least 1 gr. of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of hydrocarbon in gaseous phase over an indirectly heated catalytic body containing at least 10% nickel at a space velocity of at least 300 volumes per hour per volume of catalyst, at least part of the catalytic body being maintained at a temperature of 900° C., and said mixture of steam and hydrocarbon having a steam to carbon ratio of about 2 to about 4.5.

3. A one step process for catalytic cracking of sulfur polluted fluid hydrocarbons to produce high weight yields of hydrogen and carbon oxides which comprises passing a mixture of steam and a normally liquid petroleum hydrocarbon containing at least 100 gr. of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized hydrocarbon over an externally heated catalytic body containing at least 10% nickel, at least part of the catalytic body being maintained at a temperature of at least 900° C.

4. A one step process for catalytic cracking of sulfur polluted fluid hydrocarbons to produce high weight yields of hydrogen and carbon oxides which comprises passing a mixture of steam and a normally liquid petroleum hydrocarbon containing at least 100 gr. of sulfur per 100 cu. ft. of vaporized hydrocarbon over an externally heated catalytic body containing at least 10% nickel at a space velocity of at least 300 volumes per hour per volume of catalyst, at least part of the catalytic body being maintained at a temperature of 900° C., and said mixture of steam and hydrocarbon having a steam to carbon ratio of about 2 to about 4.5

JAMES H. SHAPLEIGH.

REFERENCES CITED

The following references are of record in the file of this patent:

UNITED STATES PATENTS

	Number	Name Date
	Re. 21,521	Shapleigh July 30, 1940
ı	1,128,804	Mittasch Feb. 16, 1915
	1,713,325	Blake May 14, 1929
	1,957,743	Wietzel et al May 8, 1934
	1,983,415	Strosacker et al Dec. 4, 1934
	2,135,058	Spicer et al Nov. 1, 1938
)	2,218,495	Balcar Oct. 15, 1940
		THO DETCENT TO A CHENTURE

FOREIGN PATENTS

Number	Country		Date		
288,662	Great Britain		Apr.	16,	192

OTHER REFERENCES

"The Science of Petroleum," vol. 2, pp. 1033-1040; 1938 ed. Oxford Univ. Press, New York.

"Gas Engineers' Handbook," pages 400, 986 (first ed., second impression). McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York.

"Handbook of Physics and Chem.," page 1348, 32d ed., Chemical Rubber Publishing Co., Cleveland, Ohio.

"The Chemical Constituents of Petroleum" by A. N. Sachanen, 1945 ed., pp. 13, 14, 27, 364, 365. Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York.

"Chemical Engineers' Handbook" by Perry, second ed., pp. 2108-09, 2114. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York.

"Chemistry of Petroleum Derivatives" by Carleton Ellis, 1937 ed., vol. 2, pp. 10 and 454. Reinhold Publishing Corp., New York.