



US 20110119673A1

(19) **United States**(12) **Patent Application Publication**  
**Bloch et al.**(10) **Pub. No.: US 2011/0119673 A1**(43) **Pub. Date: May 19, 2011**(54) **CROSS-CHANNEL NETWORK OPERATION  
OFFLOADING FOR COLLECTIVE  
OPERATIONS****Publication Classification**(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06F 13/14** (2006.01)  
**G06F 9/46** (2006.01)(52) **U.S. Cl. .... 718/102; 710/104**(57) **ABSTRACT**

A Network Interface (NI) includes a host interface, which is configured to receive from a host processor of a node one or more cross-channel work requests that are derived from an operation to be executed by the node. The NI includes a plurality of work queues for carrying out transport channels to one or more peer nodes over a network. The NI further includes control circuitry, which is configured to accept the cross-channel work requests via the host interface, and to execute the cross-channel work requests using the work queues by controlling an advance of at least a given work queue according to an advancing condition, which depends on a completion status of one or more other work queues, so as to carry out the operation.

(75) **Inventors:** **Noam Bloch**, Bat Shlomo (IL); **Gil Bloch**, Zichron Yaakov (IL); **Ariel Shachar**, Jerusalem (IL); **Hillel Chapman**, Ein Ha'Emek (IL); **Ishai Rabinobitz**, Haifa (IL); **Pavel Shamis**, Haifa (IL); **Gilad Shainer**, Sunnyvale, CA (US)(73) **Assignee:** **MELLANOX TECHNOLOGIES LTD.**, Yokneam (IL)(21) **Appl. No.: 12/945,904**(22) **Filed: Nov. 15, 2010****Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 61/261,339, filed on Nov. 15, 2009.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4





FIG. 5





## CROSS-CHANNEL NETWORK OPERATION OFFLOADING FOR COLLECTIVE OPERATIONS

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

**[0001]** This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application 61/261,339, filed Nov. 15, 2009, whose disclosure is incorporated herein by reference.

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

**[0002]** The present invention relates generally to computer networks, and particularly to application communication over computer networks.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

**[0003]** Operation of computers in High Performance Computing (HPC) environment often involves fast execution of collective operations. A commonly used Application Programming Interface (API) for initiating collective operations in HPC environment is specified by the Message-Passing Interface (MPI) forum in "MPI: A Message-Passing Interface Standard," version 2.2, Sep. 4, 2009, which is incorporated herein by reference.

**[0004]** Computers and storage devices in HPC environment commonly interconnect through a switched network that is specified by the InfiniBand Trade Association in "InfiniBand™ Architecture Specification," release 1.2.1, January, 2008, which is incorporated herein by reference.

### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

**[0005]** An embodiment of the present invention that is described herein provides a Network Interface (NI), including:

**[0006]** a host interface, which is configured to receive from a host processor of a node one or more cross-channel work requests that are derived from an operation to be executed by the node;

**[0007]** a plurality of work queues for carrying out transport channels to one or more peer nodes over a network; and

**[0008]** control circuitry, which is configured to accept the cross-channel work requests via the host interface, and to execute the cross-channel work requests using the work queues by controlling an advance of at least a given work queue according to an advancing condition, which depends on a completion status of one or more other work queues, so as to carry out the operation.

**[0009]** In some embodiments, the operation includes a collective operation to be executed by the node together with the one or more peer nodes. In an embodiment, the operation is initiated by one or more Message Passing Interface (MPI) commands. In a disclosed embodiment, the MPI commands include non-blocking commands. In another embodiment, the operation is initiated by one or more Shared Memory (SHMEM) commands. In yet another embodiment, the control circuitry is configured to control the advance of the given work queue by holding the given work queue in a wait state until verifying that the advancing condition is met.

**[0010]** In some embodiments, the NI includes a computation unit that is configured to execute a calculation that is specified in the cross-channel work requests, and the control circuitry is configured to send one or more results of the calculation to at least one target. The calculation may include

at least one operation selected from a group of operations including maximum, minimum, sum, product, logical AND, bit-wise AND, logical OR, bit-wise OR, logical exclusive OR (XOR), and bit-wise exclusive OR (XOR). Additionally or alternatively, the calculation may include arguments of at least one data type selected from a group of data types including a vector that is contiguously organized in memory, a vector that is non-contiguously organized in memory and a multidimensional vector. In some embodiments, the target includes a peer node. In alternative embodiments, the target includes a host memory.

**[0011]** In an embodiment, the control circuitry is configured to control the advance of the given work queue by enabling sending to a peer node a message that is stored at a head of the given work queue only upon fulfillment of the advancing condition. In another embodiment, the control circuitry is configured to control the advance of the given work queue by enabling receiving in the given queue a message from a peer node only upon fulfillment of the advancing condition. In yet another embodiment, the control circuitry is configured to estimate the completion status of the other work queues according to one or more counting objects that are indicative of the completion status.

**[0012]** In some embodiments, the network includes an Infiniband network and the work queues include Infiniband work queues. In some embodiments, the NI includes one or more completion queues, and the control circuitry is configured to estimate the completion status of the other queues according to the completion status of the one or more completion queues. In an embodiment, the control circuitry is configured to reuse at least one of the work queues for carrying out multiple transport channels over the at least one work queue. In another embodiment, the control circuitry and the work queues are included in a chip-set. In yet another embodiment, the control circuitry and the work queues are included in a single chip.

**[0013]** There is additionally provided, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, a method, including:

**[0014]** in a Network Interface (NI) that includes a plurality of work queues for carrying out transport channels to one or more peer nodes over a network, receiving from a host processor of a node one or more cross-channel work requests that are derived from an operation to be executed by the node; and

**[0015]** executing the cross-channel work requests using the work queues by controlling an advance of at least a given work queue according to an advancing condition, which depends on a completion status of one or more other work queues, so as to carry out the operation.

**[0016]** There is also provided, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, a computer software product, including a computer-readable storage medium in which program instructions are stored, which instructions, when read by a computer, cause the computer to receive from a host processor of a node one or more cross-channel work requests that are derived from an operation to be executed by the node, and to execute the cross-channel work requests using a plurality of work queues by controlling an advance of at least a given work queue according to an advancing condition, which depends on a completion status of one or more other work queues, so as to carry out the operation.

**[0017]** The present invention will be more fully understood from the following detailed description of the embodiments thereof, taken together with the drawings in which:

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

**[0018]** FIG. 1 is a block diagram that schematically illustrates a Host Channel Adaptor (HCA) in a HPC environment, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;



**[0019]** FIG. 2 is a flowchart that schematically illustrates a method for offloading collective operations, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention; and

**[0020]** FIGS. 3-5 are flow diagrams that schematically illustrate examples of collective operation offloading, in accordance with embodiments of the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF EMBODIMENTS

### Overview

**[0021]** Embodiments of the present invention provide improved data communication and computation methods and devices for use in parallel computing environments such as High Performance Computing (HPC) systems, which in particular achieve fast execution of collective operations. In the described embodiments, host servers issue Message Passing Interface (MPI) collective operation commands that perform the collective operations over Infiniband (IB) switched networks. In an embodiment, an IB Host Channel Adaptor (HCA) is typically implemented in a Network Card (NIC) that is directly connected to a host server. The HCA communicates with other nodes, such as servers and storage systems, over an IB network, in a HPC environment. The disclosed techniques provide fast and host CPU independent execution of the collective operations by offloading a considerable part of the associated processing burden from the host processor to the NIC. The offloaded part is executed by the NIC without the need for software intervention, and therefore the effect of Operating System (OS) noise on the communication is mitigated. This sort of offloading is especially important for collective operations in large systems.

**[0022]** In an embodiment, a HPC application runs on a host server and issues collective operations that necessitate fast messaging transfer and accurate synchronization in time among multiple hosts. The collective operations are converted by the host software to “collective work requests,” shortly denoted “requests,” and respective control commands, which the host processor transfers to the HCA.

**[0023]** The HCA typically comprises multiple Work Queues (WQs). Each WQ comprises Work Queue Entries (WQEs), wherein each WQE comprises an information element that is related to one or more network events such as send/receive messages to/from peer nodes. A typical WQE may comprise, for example, a received message, a message to be transmitted, a Direct Memory Access DMA descriptor, a collective request or a portion thereof. For example, a collective request may be broken down into multiple primitives by the host processor, such that each WQE comprises a respective primitive.

**[0024]** The WQs are arranged in Queue Pairs (QPs), wherein each pair comprises one Receive Queue (RQ) and one Send Queue (SQ). A RQ typically queues receive related WQEs and a SQ typically queues send related WQEs. A QP is normally associated with a corresponding QP in a peer node, thus creating a point-to-point transport channel for message transfer. The HCA comprises Completion Queues (CQ), which reflect the completion status of WQEs by virtue of associating each CQ entry with a corresponding WQE. A Producer Index (PI) points to the last completed entry in a CQ or to the last posted WQE in a WQ that is enabled for execution by the HCA.

**[0025]** In some embodiments, Control Circuitry (CC) within the HCA loads the collective work requests coming from the host to corresponding WQs and executes them. The

request content and the respective control commands imply advancing conditions that condition the advance of some WQs on execution completion on other WQEs and/or on reception of peer messages in specified RQs. Thus, cross-channel operation is achieved in the HCA, which carries out the associated collective operations, thus offloading this burden from the host processor.

**[0026]** It is noted that the disclosed techniques are not limited to collective operations, and can be used for executing various other operation types. In other words, any operation to be performed by a node can be converted to cross-channel work requests, in which the advance of a WQ depends on the completion status of one or more other WQEs. The cross-channel work requests are provided to the HCA for execution, thus offloading the host processor of the node from these tasks. When the operation to be performed by the node comprises a collective operation, the cross-channel work requests are referred to as collective work requests.

**[0027]** In some embodiments, this offloading is achieved by having the CC execute requests that introduce cross channel dependency between the HCA WQs such as the following: In a WAIT request, the CC conditions the advance of a WQ on completion of some operations in another WQ. In a RECEIVE\_ENABLE request, the CC conditions the advance of a certain RQ on reaching the RECEIVE\_ENABLE request. In a SEND\_ENABLE request, the CC conditions the advance of another SQ on reaching the SEND\_ENABLE request. In a CALC request, the CC executes a predefined calculation on reaching the CALC request. (Note that CALC is not a cross-channel operation by itself, however its execution often depends on execution of cross-channel requests). The above example requests are described and demonstrated in detail hereinbelow.

**[0028]** It is possible in principle to execute the above-described Input/Output (I/O) operations driven by the MPI collective operation commands in the host processor. Execution in the host, however, would significantly degrade HPC performance, for example due to Operating System (OS) noise, since in many systems the jitter caused by OS noise accumulates and becomes a major limiting factor of system performance. Offloading this I/O burden according to the disclosed techniques, as explained above and further detailed below, eliminates this performance degradation. Furthermore, eliminating host CPU intervention in communication tasks frees CPU resources, and therefore allows for better CPU performance in computational tasks. The performance improvement achieved by the disclosed techniques is also important when executing non-blocking collective operations without software intervention.

### System Description

**[0029]** FIG. 1 is a block diagram that schematically illustrates an IB HCA 100 in a HPC environment 102, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. In the described embodiment a host server 104 is connected to an IB network 108 through an IB interface 110. Network 108 provides switched connectivity among the nodes that are connected to it, in the present example hosts 104, 112, 116 and 120, and storage systems 124 and 128. The following schematic description of host 104 typically represents the other hosts as well.

**[0030]** A host processor 132 runs a HPC application 144 that uses a memory 140 as a dynamic memory. The HPC application includes collective MPI commands that issue col-



lective operations over network **108** by executing code modules of a MPI library **148**. MPI library **148** is provided here as an example only; any other suitable libraries, such as Shared Memory (SHMEM), can be used as well in alternative embodiments.

**[0031]** In an embodiment, processor **132**, using MPI library **148** code modules, converts the collective operation commands to collective work requests and respective control commands, and transfers them to HCA **100** through a host interface **150**. (The distinction between collective work requests and control commands is described by way of example, and is not mandatory.) In an example embodiment, HCA **100** is implemented in a Network Interface Card (NIC). In alternative embodiments HCA **100** is implemented in a chip-set or a single chip. Control Circuitry (CC) **152** within HCA **100** receives the requests from processor **132**, parses them and manages the HCA accordingly, as explained hereinafter.

**[0032]** Queues **156** comprise IB queues, such as RQs, SQs, and CQs, which are further detailed hereinafter. CC **152** loads the requests coming from the host to corresponding WQs and executes them. The WQs content and the respective control commands imply control criteria according to which the CC controls the WQs. In particular, the CC conditions the advance of a given WQ on completion of WQs execution on other WQs, and/or on reception of messages from peer nodes in some RQs through interface **110**. Thus the HCA enforces cross-channel operations, which carry out the collective operation commands that were specified in the work requests as explained above.

**[0033]** In an embodiment, CC **152** further comprises a computation unit **160**, which is configured in some embodiments as an Arithmetic Logic Unit (ALU), for performing calculations that are specified by the requests. Computation unit **160** can be embedded within the CC, or it can be implemented separately within the HCA and controlled by the CC. A memory unit **164** is connected to CC **152** and serves to store code and data that the CC and the computation unit use.

**[0034]** CC **152** and computation unit **160** are realized in an embodiment in hardware, which may comprise Field-Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) and/or Application-Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs). CC **152** may also comprise a programmable element comprising one or more dedicated or general-purpose processors, which run software for carrying out the methods described herein. The software may be downloaded to the processors in electronic form, over a network, for example, or it may, alternatively or additionally, be provided and/or stored on non-transitory tangible media, such as magnetic, optical, or electronic memory. Queues **156** and memory **160** are typically implemented in a random access memory such as Static Random Access Memory (SRAM) or Dynamic Random Access Memory (DRAM), which may be embedded within the CC or assembled separately in the HCA. In some embodiments WQs are stored in the host memory and part of them is cached in to the HCA.

**[0035]** Interface **110** may comprise multiple IB ports connected to multiple ports of network **108**, thus achieving multiple simultaneous paths through the network. The disclosed offloading techniques may be applicable as well to transport technologies other than IB. For example, the disclosed cross channel operations may be applicable to Ethernet, RDMA over Converged Ethernet (RoCE) and other suitable interfaces. The configuration of HCA **100** shown in FIG. **1** is an example configuration, which is chosen purely for the sake of

conceptual clarity. In alternative embodiments, any other suitable HCA configuration can also be used. HCA and host elements that are not mandatory for understanding the disclosed techniques were omitted from the figure for the sake of clarity.

#### Offloading Method Description

**[0036]** FIG. **2** is a flowchart that schematically illustrates a method for offloading collective operations, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. The method begins with an issuing step **204**, wherein HPC application **144** initiates a collective operation by issuing a collective operation command such as MPI\_BARRIER, MPI\_SCATTER, MPI\_GATHER, MPI\_REDUCE, MPI\_MAX, MPI\_MIN, MPI\_SUM, MPI\_PROD, MPI\_LAND, MPI\_BAND, MPI\_LOR, MPI\_BOR, MPI\_LXOR, MPI\_BXOR, MPI\_MAXLOC and MPI\_MINLOC, as defined in the MPI standard, cited above. The above collective operation commands are provided here as an example only. Other collective operation commands and libraries, e.g. SHMEM, are applicable as well.

**[0037]** At a conversion step **208**, host processor **132** converts the collective operation command to work requests using code modules of MPI library **148**. Sample request execution is exemplified hereinafter. At a forwarding step **212**, processor **132** forwards the requests to HCA **100** via host interface **150** after adapting them to the HCA format using an appropriate HCA driver code. At an application step **216**, HCA **100** (e.g., using CC **152**) applies the requests to WQs, CQs, counters and any other relevant logic in the HCA.

**[0038]** At an execution step group **220**, execution of the work requests is illustrated by example requests WAIT and CALC. Request execution is illustrated in more detail in FIGS. **3-5** below. At a waiting step **224**, CC **152** exerts the WAIT request by holding a given WQ in a wait state until reception of messages from some specified peer nodes.

**[0039]** In one embodiment, the CC identifies reception of the awaited messages by sensing a PI of a CQ, wherein the CQ is associated with a predetermined group of RQs that are configured to accept the awaited messages. (Alternative implementations, e.g., using counters, are described further below.) At a calculation step **228**, which is conditioned on exiting the wait state, computation unit **160** carries out a calculation that is specified by the CALC request. At a sending step **232**, CC **152** sends the calculation results to peer nodes through IB interface **110** over IB network **108**.

**[0040]** A loopback **236** signifies a transition, managed by CC **152**, to the next WQE in a given WQ. A loopback **240** depicts indication to host processor **132** that the collective operation has been terminated and a transition to the next collective operation. Loopback **240** emphasizes the fact that the host is not involved in the execution of a collective operation once it is transferred to HCA **100** in step **212**. The above loopbacks illustrate request and operation sequencing. However, in an embodiment, CC **152** typically manages multiple sequences of collective operations and requests concurrently. The flowchart shown in FIG. **2** is an example flowchart, which is chosen purely for the sake of conceptual clarity. In alternative embodiments, any other suitable flowchart can also be used for realizing the disclosed methods.

#### Collective Request Examples

**[0041]** FIG. **3** is a flow diagram that schematically illustrates example execution of WAIT and CALC requests, in



accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. Queues **156** comprise RQs **RQ2 304**, **RQ3 308** and **RQ4 312**, a **SQ 316** and a **CQ 320**. RQs **304**, **308** and **312** are configured to receive messages from peer hosts **112**, **116** and **120**, respectively. CC **152**, not shown in the figure, queues WAIT and CALC requests, denoted **324** and **326**, respectively, in SQ **316**. These requests were originally originated from HPC application **144**. A typical syntax of the WAIT request is WAIT(CQ, PI). The “WAIT” instructs CC **152** to halt the advance of the WQ in which the WAIT request is queued, SQ **316** in the present example. The CC thus holds SQ **316** in a wait state. The CQ and PI parameters specify for the CC a condition for resuming SQ **316** advance. The condition is, in the present example, that a PI **348**, which points CQ **320**, reaches the position that is depicted in the figure. Processor **132** further instructs CC **152**, upon providing it with the WAIT request, to assign CQ entries CQE2 **340**, CQE3 **344** and CQE4 **346** to received messages **328**, **232** and **336**. Upon reception of the above messages, at any time order, PI **348** reaches CQE4 **346** position. This position of PI **348** constitutes an indication for CC **152**, that SQ **316** advance shall be resumed.

[0042] In alternative embodiments of the WAIT request, the CC may queue it in any WQ and condition it on any other WQ. In an embodiment, CC **152** polls the other WQ, or any other suitable indication, for verifying whether the wait condition has been met. In alternative embodiments, the indication may actively notify the CC when an awaited condition is met, by an interrupt, doorbell or any other suitable mechanism.

[0043] Upon resuming SQ **316** advance, CC **152** executes a CALC request, denoted **326**, which follows WAIT request **324**, while the CALC uses the data received in RQs **304**, **308** and **312**. CALC is a calculation type request comprising a typical syntax: CALC(Opcode, List of argument addresses, Target addresses). CC **152** executes the calculation that is specified by the request opcode, by means of computation unit **160**, on arguments whose addresses in memory **164** are specified in the request. The specified targets, to which CC **152** will send the CALC results, are typically one or more peer nodes over network **108**, and/or an address in host memory **140**. At this point the CALC collective operation is completed, and the CC reports it to the host processor by means of a predefined CQ, which is not shown in the figure for the sake of simplicity.

[0044] Example CALC operations are: maximum, minimum, sum, product, logical AND, bitwise AND, logical OR, bitwise OR, logical exclusive OR (XOR), bit-wise exclusive OR (XOR), or any other suitable operation. When the CALC opcode is “minimum” or “maximum”, an index can be attached to each argument, and the index of the result will be that of the minimal argument (for minimum operation), or that of the maximal argument (for maximum operation). CALC results can be posted on either Datagram or connection oriented SQ.

[0045] Arguments of CALC operation can be of various data types, including vectors. A vector of N elements is denoted in this description V[N]. A collective operation may involve many vectors Vi[N] that reside in multiple nodes over network **108**, which compose a general vector R[N]. In an embodiment, the elements of vector V[N] may be stored in HCA memory **164** in either contiguous memory addresses or in non-continuous addresses, for example having a fixed “stride” in the memory between adjacent elements. In alternative embodiment, V[N] and R[N] may be of any dimension.

In other embodiments, however, CALC operations may comprise any other suitable data types.

[0046] FIG. 4 is a flow diagram that schematically illustrates execution of WAIT request in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. FIG. 4 differs from FIG. 3 in the type of the messages that HCA **100** receives from the peer hosts. Here, the received messages **428**, **432** and **436** are barrier messages, which are used for host synchronization over network **108**, as part of MPI\_BARRIER operation. This is in contrast to the received messages in FIG. 3 that carry data to be processed by the CALC request. Correspondingly, a message **426** is a barrier message that host1 will send to other nodes over the network upon receiving messages **428**, **432** and **436**.

[0047] FIG. 5 is a flow diagram that schematically illustrates a synchronized use of receive buffers within HCA **100** for cross channel calculations, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. RQ2 **304** is loaded with DMA descriptors **501** and **502**, both pointing to a Rx buffer **504** in memory **164**. When the software posts these receive WQEs, it does not enable them (i.e., does not increase the RQ Producer Index—PI). Correspondingly, a RQ3 **308** is loaded with DMA descriptors **505** and **506**, both pointing to a Rx buffer **508** (disabled as well). RQ2 and RQ3 are configured to receive messages from peer hosts **112** and **116**, respectively. CC **152** loads RQs **304** and **308**, as well as a SQ **316**, and also sets their status, according to instructions from host **104** following a collective operation that was initiated by HPC application **144**.

[0048] In particular, CC **152** sets RQs **304** and **308** entries in a disabled status. This setting disables reception of messages from the network into RQs **304** and **308** until disabled WQEs are enabled by RECEIVE\_EN requests, as explained hereinafter.

[0049] CC **152** loads a request, denoted as “RECEIVE\_EN (QP, PI)” in WQEs **512**, **524**, **540** and **548** of SQ **316**. RECEIVE\_EN(QP, PI), when executed, enables reception of messages into the RQ of the specified QP, in the WQE that is specified by PI. Until the RECEIVE\_EN execution, any message that arrives from a peer node and targets the specified WQE would be discarded, and the peer would be notified about this discard, provided that the status of that WQE was set as disabled before the RECEIVE\_EN execution. The above enabling is illustrated in FIG. 5, by dashed arrows going from WQEs **512**, **524**, **540** and **548** to WQEs **501**, **505**, **502** and **506** respectively. Some embodiments also implement a RECEIVE\_EN(QP) version of the receive enabling request, wherein the specified RQ is advanced by a single increment of its corresponding PI.

[0050] FIG. 5 illustrates a sequence of operations that begins with enabling WQEs **501** and **505** by WQEs **512** and **524** respectively. Then CC **152** halts the advance of SQ **316** due to WAIT request **532** that is conditioned on a control counter **520** (or similar counting object) reaching state “2”. When RQ2 **304** receives a message from host **112**, CC **152** transfer this message to Rx buffer **504**, according to descriptor **501**, and advances counter **520**. When RQ3 **308** receives a message from host **116** CC **152** transfer this message to Rx buffer **508** according to descriptor **505** and advances counter **520**.

[0051] Following reception of both messages, counter **520** reaches state is “2”, which causes CC **152** to advances SQ **316**, thus executing CALC request **536**. This advancing condition is depicted by a dashed arrow from the counter state “2”



to WQE 532. The CALC causes CC 152 to execute, by means of computation unit 160, a calculation that is specified by the CALC, using parameters that reside in buffers 504 and 508. The data in the buffers is not affected by succeeding messages that RQs 304 and 308 may receive during the calculation, since WQEs 502 and 506 are still in a disabled status.

[0052] In an embodiment, CC 152 sends the CALC results to other nodes over network 108, as depicted in FIG. 5 by the line from SQ 316 to host 120. In alternative embodiments CC 152 may send the CALC results to host processor 132 or to host memory 140. CC 152 completes CALC 536 operation it advances SQ 316, thus exerting RCV\_EN 540 and 548, and sets SQ 316 in a wait state due to WAIT request 556. RCV\_EN 540 and 548 enable descriptors 502 and 506 respectively, thus allowing advance of counter 520 to state 4 upon receiving additional two messages from hosts 112 and 116 respectively. CC 152 then advances SQ 316 over WAIT request 556, which is conditioned on counter state 4, thus allowing execution of CALC 560, which reuses Rx buffers 504 and 508. FIG. 5 shows, in principle, two non-blocking REDUCE collective operations over a tree: Messages, which include data, from hosts 112 and 116, are reduced by host 104 to one message, which includes CALC results based on that data, destined to host 120.

[0053] Another example for the usage of the RECEIVE\_EN request is an implementation of a PIPELINE operation. In a PIPELINE operation, a large message (e.g., 1 MB) is to be sent to a destination node via an intermediate node. In some embodiments, the intermediate node comprises several (e.g., four) small buffers (e.g., 8 KB each), which serve as a pipeline. Before sending the large message to the intermediate node, the message is broken down into multiple 8 KB messages, and the 8 KB messages are sent to the intermediate node.

[0054] Once an 8 KB message arrives in the intermediate node, it occupies one of the above-described buffers (making it non-valid to receive another message). The relevant SEND WQE (that points to this buffer) is enabled by SEND\_EN WQE once sending is completed, and the data in the buffer is no longer needed in the intermediate node. The buffer is enabled again by RECEIVE\_EN for enabling reception of a new message on this buffer.

[0055] Note that the number of WQEs to be post to the queues in the pipeline implementation is on the order of the original message size (1 MB in the example above) divided by the size of the small message (8 KB in the example above). This number can be quite large. In alternative embodiments to the pipeline implementation, the software does not generate this large number of WQEs. This task is offloaded to the NIC hardware. The software will use a WQE of type PIPE. For example: PIPE(receive\_qp\_number, send\_qp\_number, intermediate\_buffer\_list, number\_of\_messages).

[0056] In alternative embodiments a given control counter, such as counter 520, may be incremented upon arrival of a message that indicates increment of the given counter. In further alternative embodiments, the counter to be incremented upon reception of a message is not a property of the QP but is set by the send WQE. Using this technique, different WQEs on the same SQ that send messages to the same RQ will cause different counters to increment based on the counter\_id parameter in the send WQE. In further alternative embodiments, the number of QPs that are depicted in FIGS. 3-5 may be significantly reduced by dynamically carrying out multiple transport channels with one work queue, thus pro-

viding a Dynamically Connected Transport (DCT) service mode. A transport service of this sort is described in U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/621,523, which is assigned to the assignee of the present patent application and whose disclosure is incorporated herein by reference.

[0057] In the extreme case of this approach the CC dynamically allocates a single QP, comprising a RQ and a SQ, and a single CQ, for implementing different transport channels that are involved in collective operations that HPC application 144 initiates. The CC then applies all the cross-channel operations that are illustrated in FIGS. 3, 4 and 5 to the single QP and single CQ. The only resource that scales when HCA 100 offloads multiple concurrent collective requests is the number of counters.

[0058] The flow diagrams that are illustrated in FIGS. 3-5 are example diagrams, which were chosen purely for the sake of conceptual clarity. In alternative embodiments, other suitable applications and cross channel requests can also be used for realizing the disclosed methods. As an example, a SEND\_EN(QP, PI) request may be applied for enabling a send message event, wherein the message is stored at or pointed by the head WQE of a given SQ, following, e.g., receiving and processing messages from a predefined group of peer nodes. Some embodiments also implement a SEND\_EN(QP) version of the send enabling request, wherein the specified SQ is advanced by a single increment of its corresponding PI.

[0059] Although the embodiments described herein mainly address offloading of collective operations in HPC environment, the methods and systems exemplified by these embodiments can also be used in other applications that involve computer networks and clusters, such as message gathering and distribution.

[0060] It will thus be appreciated that the embodiments described above are cited by way of example, and that the present invention is not limited to what has been particularly shown and described hereinabove. Rather, the scope of the present invention includes both combinations and sub-combinations of the various features described hereinabove, as well as variations and modifications thereof which would occur to persons skilled in the art upon reading the foregoing description and which are not disclosed in the prior art.

#### 1. A Network Interface (NI), comprising:

a host interface, which is configured to receive from a host processor of a node one or more cross-channel work requests that are derived from an operation to be executed by the node;

a plurality of work queues for carrying out transport channels to one or more peer nodes over a network; and

control circuitry, which is configured to accept the cross-channel work requests via the host interface, and to execute the cross-channel work requests using the work queues by controlling an advance of at least a given work queue according to an advancing condition, which depends on a completion status of one or more other work queues, so as to carry out the operation.

2. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the operation comprises a collective operation to be executed by the node together with the one or more peer nodes.

3. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the operation is initiated by one or more Message Passing Interface (MPI) commands.

4. The NI according to claim 3, wherein the MPI commands comprise non-blocking commands.



5. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the operation is initiated by one or more Shared Memory (SHMEM) commands.

6. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the control circuitry is configured to control the advance of the given work queue by holding the given work queue in a wait state until verifying that the advancing condition is met.

7. The NI according to claim 1, and comprising a computation unit that is configured to execute a calculation that is specified in the cross-channel work requests, wherein the control circuitry is configured to send one or more results of the calculation to at least one target.

8. The NI according to claim 7, wherein the calculation comprises at least one operation selected from a group of operations comprising maximum, minimum, sum, product, logical AND, bit-wise AND, logical OR, bit-wise OR, logical exclusive OR (XOR), and bit-wise exclusive OR (XOR).

9. The NI according to claim 7, wherein the calculation comprises arguments of at least one data type selected from a group of data types comprising a vector that is contiguously organized in memory, a vector that is non-contiguously organized in memory and a multidimensional vector.

10. The NI according to claim 7, wherein the target comprises a peer node.

11. The NI according to claim 7, wherein the target comprises a host memory.

12. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the control circuitry is configured to control the advance of the given work queue by enabling sending to a peer node a message that is stored at a head of the given work queue only upon fulfillment of the advancing condition.

13. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the control circuitry is configured to control the advance of the given work queue by enabling receiving in the given queue a message from a peer node only upon fulfillment of the advancing condition.

14. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the control circuitry is configured to estimate the completion status of the other work queues according to one or more counting objects that are indicative of the completion status.

15. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the network comprises an Infiniband network and the work queues comprise Infiniband work queues.

16. The NI according to claim 1, and comprising one or more completion queues, wherein the control circuitry is configured to estimate the completion status of the other queues according to the completion status of the one or more completion queues.

17. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the control circuitry is configured to reuse at least one of the work queues for carrying out multiple transport channels over the at least one work queue.

18. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the control circuitry and the work queues are comprised in a chip-set.

19. The NI according to claim 1, wherein the control circuitry and the work queues are comprised in a single chip.

20. A method, comprising:

in a Network Interface (NI) that includes a plurality of work queues for carrying out transport channels to one or more peer nodes over a network, receiving from a host processor of a node one or more cross-channel work requests that are derived from an operation to be executed by the node; and

executing the cross-channel work requests using the work queues by controlling an advance of at least a given work queue according to an advancing condition, which depends on a completion status of one or more other work queues, so as to carry out the operation.

21. The method according to claim 20, wherein the operation comprises a collective operation to be executed by the node together with the one or more peer nodes.

22. The method according to claim 20, wherein the operation is initiated by one or more Message Passing Interface (MPI) commands.

23. The method according to claim 22, wherein the MPI commands comprise non-blocking commands.

24. The method according to claim 20, wherein the operation is initiated by one or more Shared Memory (SHMEM) commands.

25. The method according to claim 20, wherein controlling the advance of the given work queue comprises holding the given work queue in a wait state until verifying that the advancing condition is met.

26. The method according to claim 20, wherein executing the cross-channel work requests comprises executing a calculation that is specified in the cross-channel work requests, and sending one or more results of the calculation to at least one target.

27. The method according to claim 26, wherein the calculation comprises at least one operation selected from a group of operations comprising maximum, minimum, sum, product, logical AND, bit-wise AND, logical OR, bit-wise OR, logical exclusive OR (XOR), and bit-wise exclusive OR (XOR).

28. The method according to claim 26, wherein the calculation comprises arguments of at least one data type selected from a group of data types comprising a vector that is contiguously organized in memory, a vector that is non-contiguously organized in memory and a multidimensional vector.

29. The method according to claim 26, wherein the target comprises a peer node.

30. The method according to claim 26, wherein the target comprises a host memory.

31. The method according to claim 20, wherein controlling the advance of the given work queue comprises enabling sending to a peer node a message that is stored at a head of the given work queue only upon fulfillment of the advancing condition.

32. The method according to claim 20, wherein controlling the advance of the given queue comprises enabling receiving in the given work queue a message from a peer node only upon fulfillment of the advancing condition.

33. The method according to claim 20, wherein controlling the advance of the given queue comprises estimating the completion status of the other work queues according to one or more counting objects that are indicative of the completion status.

34. The method according to claim 20, wherein the network comprises an Infiniband network and the work queues comprise Infiniband work queues.

35. The method according to claim 20, wherein the NI includes one or more completion queues, and wherein controlling the advance of the given queue comprises estimating the completion status of the other queues according to the completion status of the one or more completion queues.

36. The method according to claim 20, wherein executing the cross-channel work requests comprises reusing at least

one of the work queues for carrying out multiple transport channels over the at least one work queue.

**37.** A computer software product, comprising a computer-readable storage medium in which program instructions are stored, which instructions, when read by a computer, cause the computer to receive from a host processor of a node one or more cross-channel work requests that are derived from an

operation to be executed by the node, and to execute the cross-channel work requests using a plurality of work queues by controlling an advance of at least a given work queue according to an advancing condition, which depends on a completion status of one or more other work queues, so as to carry out the operation.

\* \* \* \* \*