J. W. ISHERWOOD

FLOATING VESSEL

Filed Oct. 7. 1924

8 Sheets-Sheet 1

N

1,658,336



. .

·. . / -.

L . · • 1 : 1 × . •

· . · · •

. . . . •

. . . . •

. .

J.W. Isherwood

IT Tailithispoon attorney

· · · · · ·

. . .

• . .

.

479.3.

J. W. ISHERWOOD

FLOATING VESSEL

Filed Oct. 7. 1924

8 Sheets-Sheet 2

Fig.4. 58

1,658,336



.

32 10 30 ÷

• . . · .

. . . · . . · · · · · .

• • . . . · · -

.

. •

I.W. Isherwood

Mallitherspoon Stallitherspoon

. .

. . .

. · · •

-.

J. W. ISHERWOOD FLOATING VESSEL

Filed Oct. 7. 1924

1,658,336

8 Sheets-Sheet 3



.

.





.

• •

Enventor J.W.Isherwood

Fallitherspoon Ottorney

. . . • •

.

· · • • · · -

•

.

•



J. W. ISHERWOOD

FLOATING VESSEL

Filed Oct. 7. 1924 8 Sheets-Sheet 4

.....



1,658,336

·



۰ **۱ ۱ ۱** . . .

Inventor

J.W. Isherwood

Face thispoon Ottomey

- ·

-

64

J. W. ISHERWOOD FLOATING VESSEL Filed Oct. 7. 1924

1,658,336

8 Sheets-Sheet 5



Kig. 7.

28

46







. .

. ' · · · . . .

. . • . . . • · · ·

• .

and a second . . -· . · · · • •

• • t Inventor J.W.Isherwood

J.a. Witherspoon Attorney

• . . .

. -. .

--. .

. .

Feb. 7, 1928.

J. W. ISHERWOOD

FLOATING VESSEL Filed Oct. 7: 1924

1,658,336

8 Sheets-Sheet 6







. • •

. . • . . .

. . -•

• . . ۲.

.

. • .

Jewentor, J.W.Isherwood Fallitherspoon attorney.

. .

. . .

. _

٠ •

Feb. 7, 1928.

J. W. ISHERWOOD FLOATING VESSEL Filed Oct. 7. 1924

1,658,336

8 Sheets-Sheet 7













J. W. ISHERWOOD

FLOATING VESSEL

71

Filed Oct. 7. 1924 Kig. 11.



8 Sheets-Sheet 8

1,658,336



.

. •

- . . .

.

• ·

.

.

•

Fallitherspoon Ettorney

Patented Feb. 7, 1928.

1,658,336

UNITED STATES PATENT OFFICE.

JOSEPH WILLIAM ISHERWOOD, OF CHISLEHURST, ENGLAND.

FLOATING VESSEL.

Application filed October 7, 1924. Serial No. 742,236.

Figure 8 is an enlarged sectional view in This invention relates to floating vessels and has for one of its objects to so modify plan partially broken away of the sides of

the construction disclosed in my prior U.S. Patent No. 1,029,546, dated June 11, 1912, 5 as will enable the builders to use for the transverse materials, channel or other rolled sections of comparatively shallow depth, while retaining the same or greater rigidity in the construction and seaworthiness of the 10 vessel.

dispose the bracing material in the vessel 9 and 10. as to save a very considerable weight of In order that this invention may be the metal thought necessary before the inven- more clearly understood, it is said :- Re-15 tion described in Patent No. 1,029,546 in ferring first to Fig. 1 of the drawings, the 70 vessels of the same strength and seaworthi- Great Lakes freighter there illustrated is ness.

With these and other objects in view, the invention consists in the novel details of 20 construction and combinations of parts more fully hereinafter disclosed and particularly pointed out in the claims.

Referring to the accompanying drawings of the vessel and each of which is made about forming a part of this specification, in which 25 like numerals designate like parts in all the views; Figure 1 is a diagrammatic elevational view of a Great Lakes freighter about 600 feet long and embodying the construction 30 of this invention; Figure 2 is a view similar to Fig. 1 of a somewhat modified form general cargo vessel made in accordance with this invention about 250 feet long and capable of passing 35 through the Welland Canal; Figure 3 is an enlarged sectional view illustrating a portion of the construction on the port side of the vessel shown in Fig. 1, when the section is taken on the line 3-340 looking in the direction of the arrows; Figure 4 is a view similar to Fig. 3, of the starboard side of the vessel shown in Fig. 1, the section being taken on the line 4-4 of said figure looking in the direction 45 of the arrows; Figure 5 is a view taken on the line 5-5 tually coact to give a maximum of stiffness of Fig. 6 looking in the direction of the with a minimum weight of metal, and that arrows and showing the side construction; the channel sections are so longitudinally Figure 6 is a view of the bottom construc- and vertically disposed in these various 50 tion partially broken away, taken on the girders to stiffen the same to a maximum line 6-6 of Fig. 5 looking in the direction degree with a minimum weight of metal. of the arrows; Figure 7 is an enlarged sectional view of there are secured to the outer side plating the bottom construction immediately adja- 13 at a distance of 6 feet apart a plurality cent the center keel of the vessel; of vertical channel members 14 located in-55

the vessel, taken on the line 6-6 of Fig. 5; Figure 9 is a view similar to Fig. 5, of the modified form of vessel shown in Fig. 2; 60 Figure 10 is a view of the construction shown in Fig. 9, taken on the line 10-10 of said figure looking in the direction of the arrows; and

Figure 11 is an enlarged sectional view of 65 A further object of the invention is to so a portion of the construction shown in Figs.

> provided with two transverse bulkheads 1 and 2, which extend entirely across the ship and are spaced about 144 feet apart. This said vessel also has wing tanks 3 and 4, ⁷⁵ as best shown in Figs. 3 and 4, which may extend substantially throughout the length 72 feet long having end walls numbered respectively 5 and 6. These said wing tanks, 80 with their transverse end walls 5 and 6, coupled with the transverse bulkheads 1 and 2, form an economical bracing structure which coacts with other bracing structures that will be more fully disclosed below. That is ⁸⁵ the vessel of Fig. 1 is provided with a plurality of deep transverse frames 7 spaced 24 feet apart and extending down to the tops 8 of the wing tanks 3 and 4, as illustrated. The bottom of the vessel is pro-90 vided with top transverse channel members 9 and with bottom transverse channel members 10 spaced longitudinally of the vessel 6 feet apart throughout said bottom. It thus results that when this bottom structure ⁹⁵ is considered in connection with the side or wing tanks 3 and 4, the deep transverses 7, and with the transverse bulkheads 1 and 2, there is provided a plurality of box-like girder structures in the vessel which mu-

* For example, in the wing tanks 3 and 4,

1,658,386.

side the tanks 3 and 4, which extend from On each side of the keel there is disposed are the channel members 14. The inner platdisposed channels 58. ings 19 constituting the inner sides of the tanks 3 and 4, are secured to these transverses 18 and all of said transverses 14, 16 15 and 18 are notched for the passage of longitudinals as shown. That is, through the notches 20, Figs. 3 and 4, of the transverses 14 are passed the longitudinals 21 which are secured to the skin 13 of the vessel, through 20 the notches 22 of the transverses 16 are passed the longitudinals 23; and all the longitudinals 21, 23 and 25, as well as their corresponding transverses 14, 16 and 18, are secured directly to their corresponding 23 plating. The bottom transverses 9 are in line with the transverses 14 and are likewise notched. as at 26, Figs. 3 and 4, and there are longitudinally disposed angles 27 passed through

near the bilge 15 of the vessel up to the tank the longitudinal girder or plate 50 to which tops 8, as shown. Above the tank tops 8 and the rectangular plates 45 are conveniently spaced 12 feet apart in the vessel of Fig. 1, fastened. The plates 50 are preferably fitted 5 are a plurality of similar channel sections continuous, as shown. The deck 17 is of 70 16 which extend from said tank tops 8 up a construction somewhat similar to the botto the deck 17 of the vessel. Inside the tanks tom and is supported by the deep transverse 3 and 4 and opposite said transverse channel beams 56 spaced 24 feet apart and rigid with members 14, are disposed similar channel the deep transverses 7. These beams are 10 members 18 in the same transverse plane as notched as at 57 to receive the longitudinally 75 It will now be clear that this Great Lakes freighter illustrated in Fig. 1, has its hull structure braced by the transverse bulk heads 1 and 2 Fig. 1, spaced 144 feet apart; 80 by the wing tanks 3 and 4, Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 8 having the transversely disposed ends 5 and 6 spaced 72 feet apart; by the vertically disposed deep transverses 7 spaced 24 feet apart; by the vertically disposed transverses 85 16 spaced 12 feet apart; and by the vertically disposed transverses 14 and 18 spaced 6 feet apart. In addition to this the bottom is stiffened by the upper transverses 9 and the lower transverses 10, while the deck is provided 90 with the deep transverses 56. This transverse stiffening combines with the longitudinals 21 and 23, with longitudinals 25, with the longitudinals 58, and with the longitudi-

³⁰ said notches 26 as shown. These said angles

nals 27 and 31 to form a plurality of box- 95

ing 28 of the bottom tank 29. Likewise, the creased by the fact that all the longitudinal plating 32, as illustrated.

27 and transverses 9 are secured to the plat-

plate-brackets 33 which are likewise notched disposition of the parts about 100 tons of and longitudinal channels 34 passed there-⁴⁰ through as illustrated. The ends of the transverses 9 and 10 are further secured to the bilge plates 33, as indicated in Figs. 3 In the same way a considerable saving of and 4. The extensions 35 of the bottom tank metal can be accomplished by building top 28 constitutes the bottom of the wing smaller sized vessels such as those illustrated ⁴⁵ tanks 3 and 4, and fitted to said extensions 35 and to the corresponding transverses 14 going disclosure with slight modifications and 18, are the vertical stiffening plates 37, now to be noted. as shown. Corresponding vertical stiffening In discussing said Figures 2, 9, 10 and 11

bottom transverses 10 are notched as at 30, and transverse members are directly atand channels or other shapes 31 are passed tached to the shell plating comprising the ³⁵ therethrough and secured to the bottom deck sides and bottom of the vessel. It re- 100 sults that in a vessel of about 600 feet long In the bilges 15 are placed transverse it is found by this system of bracing and metal can be saved over the prior constructions and provide a vessel of the same ca- 105 pacity, strength and seaworthiness.

like girders whose strengths are greatly in-

in Figs. 2, 9, 10 and 11 according to the fore- 110

plates 38 are fitted between the transverses the same parts are numbered as in the pre-⁵⁰ 14 and 18 in each tank 3 and 4 and to the ceding figures, and only the differences in 115 tops 8 of the said tanks as illustrated. construction over the preceding vessel are Brackets 39 are secured between the tank stressed.

tops 8 and the transverse members 16, which - 61 are the transverse frames, which are

spaced about half the spacing of the widely as stated above are fitted between the deep ⁵⁵ transverses 7, which are spaced 24 feet apart. spaced deep transverse frames in my said 120 As best illustrated in Figs. 5 and 6, there Patent No. 1,029,546. They are of channel. sections, 12 inches deep and 31 lbs. per foot are disposed throughout the bottom of the vessel a plurality of rectangular plates 45 and spaced 6 feet apart. These channel which are secured between the upper chanframes are notched on their edges at 62 ad-60 nel members 9 and the lower channel memjoining the skin plating 13 to permit of con- 125 tinuous longitudinal frames 63 preferably of bers 10 of the bottom. These said plates 45 serve to bind the transverses 9 and 10 sechannel, bulb angle or angle section to pass curely together, and on each side of the centhrough the said transverse frames. 64 are ter keel 46 there are disposed and secured transverse channels ten inches deep by 65 somewhat similar plates 47, as illustrated. 23 lbs. per foot spaced 6 feet apart support- 130

1,658,336

notched at 65 to permit of longitudinal stiff- dock walls. The bottom construction is simeners 66, 5½ inches deep to pass through plified in like manner or in other words, said transverse channel. The outer bottom 5 plating 32 is supported by transverse channels 67, 10 inches deep by 23 lbs. per foot and are notched to permit of continuous longitudinal frames $6\overline{8}$, $5\frac{1}{2}$ inches deep to pass through said transverse channels. 680 are to diaphragm plates whose purpose is to connect the transverse sections to the tank side edges which contacts with the bottom plat-69. In the deck construction 70 are the ing and tank top plating through which pass transverse notched channels spaced 6 feet continuous longitudinals, both the transapart and 71 the continuous deck longitudi- verses and longitudinal materials being di-15 nals. Should it be specified that a given size and class of ship is to be built according to my said patent above mentioned, or according to the "Isherwood system," ship builders and 20 naval architects throughout the United States and most countries of the world would readily know the dimensions or scantlings of the various parts to be employed, and would therefore have no diffi-25 culty in building such a ship, nor in having the ship passed as being seaworthy or safe by any of the standard classification societies.

ing the inner bottom plating 28 and are ture when the vessel contacts with concrete transverse members are fitted in the double bottom in line with said members in the side 70 of the ship, and not intermediate transverses in the double bottom. The transverse members, in the double bottom are formed of channels similar to those in side of ship. These channels have notches cut in their 75 rectly attached to the shell and tank top 80 plating. This results in a more rigid bottom construction which is less costly to build and is more readily accessible than the prior constructions involving plate floors having manholes for accessibility. It will thus be seen that this vessel embodies a construction between the main transverse bulkheads spaced about 144 feet that greatly stiffens the hull at its sides in the said wing tanks, which are stiffened by 90 an intermediate bulkhead about 72 feet apart with intermediate transverses made up of channels and plates in the bottoms and sides in lieu of solid plates. This, together with longitudinals notched through the 95 above channels, of comparatively shallow depth gives greater rigidity of structure and at the same time, in a 600 foot vessel, would 100

× 8

In other words, the builder would pro-30 ceed at once to use the various shapes, weights and dimensions of the various parts which have been used and are still used in so many of these "Isherwood ships" that said parts may be said to have been stand- save about 100 tons of metal. ss ardized for each size and class of vessel. In fact, the ship itself would be equally well known as having been built on the "Isherwood system" or on "the longitudinal system." Therefore, wherever the words "Ish-40 erwood system" or "longitudinal system" occur in the claims, it is to be understood that a construction is referred to in which the parts are so disposed and are of such dimensions as to comply with the well known re-45 quirements of a vessel of the same size and class built on a system now in general use and known to the world as the Isherwood system. Therefore, it will now be clear, that by 50 providing a construction as that above disclosed, in both types of vessel that one may produce ships having the following advan-

tages:---

What is claimed is :---

1. In a floating vessel the combination of a bottom comprising spaced transverse rolled section members extending substantially from the sides to mid-beam associated with longitudinally disposed rolled sec- 105 tion members; side members comprising vertically disposed rolled section members associated with longitudinally disposed rolled section members, said side members being rigidly connected with said bottom mem- 110 bers, and a deck comprising deep transverse frame members associated with longitudinally disposed rolled section members and rigidly connected with said side members, and a side tank composed of rolled section 115 members comprising transverse members associated with longitudinally disposed rolled section members, said transverse members The transverse materials are formed of being more closely spaced than in the Isher-

55 channel or other convenient sections instead wood system and more widely spaced than 120 of web plates and angles as in the Isherwood in the ordinary transverse system, whereby system, and that they are spaced about half the ship involves greater local rigidity and the normal spacing with the longitudinals simplicity of construction than in either the spaced somewhat further apart than is re- Isherwood system or the ordinary systems. 2. A floating vessel provided with main 125 60 quired by my Patent #1,029,546. This adtransverse bulkheads spaced more than 72 mits of a reduction in depth or size of the feet apart serving to stiffen the hull, and longitudinal frames and a material saving also provided between said bulkheads in its in metal. This said structure also provides for a very rigid construction in each class of deck and bottom portions with a plurality vessel which will resist damage to the struc- of pairs of transverse members composed of 130

1,858,338

and more widely spaced than in said system; 30 rolled sections having notches, said transand with longitudinally disposed wing tanks verse members extending substantially from the sides to mid-beam and being somewhat on its sides; whereby there is produced a vesmore closely spaced and individually lighter sel with increased rigidity, of a less weight **5** than in the Isherwood system; said vessel of metal, and of a greater simplicity of conalso provided in said deck and bottom porstruction than in said system. tions with longitudinally disposed members 4. A floating vessel _____vided with main passing through said notches and more widely transverse bulkheads spaced substantially spaced than in said system, and with longiseventy-two feet apart serving to stiffen the 10 tudinally disposed wing tanks on its sides; hull, and also provided between said bulkwhereby there is produced a vessel with heads in its deck and bottom portions with 40

35

increased rigidity, of a less weight of metal, a plurality of pairs of transverse members and of a greater simplicity of construction composed of rolled sections having notches, than in said system.

twelve times the spacing of each pair of ually lighter than in the Isherwood system; transverse members, serving to stiffen the said vessel also provided in said deck and hull, and also provide between said bulk- bottom portions with longitudinally dis-20 heads in its deck and bottom portions with posed members passing through said notches composed of rolled sections having notches, and with longitudinally disposed wing tanks said transverse members extending substantially from the sides to mid-beam and being sel with increased rigidity, of a less weight 25 somewhat more closely spaced and individually lighter than in the Isherwood system; said vessel also provided in said deck and bottom portions with longitudinally disposed members passing through said notches

said transverse members extending substan-15 3. A floating vessel provided with main tially from the sides to mid-beam and being transverse bulkheads, spaced substantially somewhat more closely spaced and individ- 45 a plurality of pairs of transverse members and more widely spaced than in said system 50 on its sides; whereby there is produced a vesof metal, and of a greater simplicity of construction than in said system. 55

In testimony whereof I affix my signature.

JOSEPH WILLIAM ISHERWOOD.

· ·

•

• · · ·

-,

.

•

.

.

-

. . .

.

• . . .

• . . • . · · ·

> · · · .

.