



US012100487B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Hon et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 12,100,487 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Sep. 24, 2024**

(54) **FINDING RELATIVES IN A DATABASE**

(71) Applicant: **23andMe, Inc.**, Sunnyvale, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Lawrence Hon**, Sunnyvale, CA (US);
Serge Saxonov, Oakland, CA (US);
Brian Thomas Naughton, Mountain
View, CA (US); **Joanna Louise**
Mountain, Menlo Park, CA (US); **Anne**
Wojcicki, Palo Alto, CA (US); **Linda**
Avey, Lafayette, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **23andMe, Inc.**, Sunnyvale, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-
claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **18/434,362**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 6, 2024**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**
US 2024/0212793 A1 Jun. 27, 2024

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 17/979,412, filed on
Nov. 2, 2022, now Pat. No. 11,935,628, which is a
(Continued)

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 16/00 (2019.01)
G06F 16/2457 (2019.01)
(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G16B 50/30** (2019.02); **G06F 16/2457**
(2019.01); **G06F 16/9535** (2019.01);
(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
None

See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,288,644 A 2/1994 Beavis
5,301,105 A 4/1994 Cummings, Jr.
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 0967291 A1 12/1999
WO 1990015070 A1 12/1990
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/947,678, mailed Feb. 2, 2024.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Tuankhanh D Phan


(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — McDonnell Boehnen
Hulbert & Berghoff LLP; David K. Buckingham

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Determining relative relationships of people who share a
common ancestor within at least a threshold number of
generations includes: receiving recombinable deoxyribo-
nucleic acid (DNA) sequence information of a first user and
recombinable DNA sequence information of a plurality of
users; processing, using one or more computer processors,
the recombinable DNA sequence information of the plurality
of users in parallel; determining, based at least in part on a
result of processing the recombinable DNA information of
the plurality of users in parallel, a predicted degree of
relationship between the first user and a user among the
plurality of users, the predicted degree of relative relation-
ship corresponding to a number of generations within which
the first user and the second user share a common ancestor.

20 Claims, 15 Drawing Sheets

200



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 17/576,738, filed on Jan. 14, 2022, now Pat. No. 11,508,461, which is a continuation of application No. 17/351,052, filed on Jun. 17, 2021, now Pat. No. 11,322,227, which is a continuation of application No. 17/073,110, filed on Oct. 16, 2020, now Pat. No. 11,049,589, which is a continuation of application No. 16/129,645, filed on Sep. 12, 2018, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 15/264,493, filed on Sep. 13, 2016, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 13/871,744, filed on Apr. 26, 2013, now abandoned, which is a continuation of application No. 12/644,791, filed on Dec. 22, 2009, now Pat. No. 8,463,554.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/204,195, filed on Dec. 31, 2008.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G06F 16/9535 (2019.01)
G06N 5/048 (2023.01)
G16B 10/00 (2019.01)
G16B 30/00 (2019.01)
G16B 50/00 (2019.01)
G16B 50/30 (2019.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **G06N 5/048** (2013.01); **G16B 10/00** (2019.02); **G16B 30/00** (2019.02); **G16B 50/00** (2019.02)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,376,526 A 12/1994 Brown
 5,384,261 A 1/1995 Winkler
 5,424,186 A 6/1995 Fodor
 5,446,886 A 8/1995 Li
 5,551,880 A 9/1996 Bonnstetter
 5,649,181 A 7/1997 French
 5,660,176 A 8/1997 Illiff
 5,692,501 A 12/1997 Minturn
 5,752,242 A 5/1998 Havens
 5,769,074 A 6/1998 Barnhill
 5,839,120 A 11/1998 Thearling
 5,940,802 A 8/1999 Hildebrand
 5,941,947 A 8/1999 Brown
 5,985,559 A 11/1999 Brown
 6,014,631 A 1/2000 Teagarden
 6,063,028 A 5/2000 Luciano
 6,108,647 A 8/2000 Poosala
 6,131,092 A 10/2000 Masand
 6,203,993 B1 3/2001 Shuber
 6,216,134 B1 4/2001 Heckerman
 6,253,203 B1 6/2001 O'Flaherty
 6,269,364 B1 7/2001 Kennedy
 6,321,163 B1 11/2001 Graham
 6,393,399 B1 5/2002 Even
 6,450,956 B1 9/2002 Rappaport
 6,487,541 B1 11/2002 Aggarwal
 6,493,637 B1 12/2002 Steeg
 6,506,562 B1 1/2003 Weissman
 6,507,840 B1 1/2003 Ioannidis
 6,519,604 B1 2/2003 Acharya
 6,601,059 B1 7/2003 Fries
 6,629,097 B1 9/2003 Keith
 6,629,935 B1 10/2003 Miller
 6,640,211 B1 10/2003 Holden
 6,687,696 B2 2/2004 Hofmann
 6,694,311 B1 2/2004 Smith
 6,703,228 B1 3/2004 Landers

6,730,023 B1 5/2004 Dodds
 6,738,762 B1 5/2004 Chen
 6,873,914 B2 3/2005 Winfield
 6,887,666 B1 5/2005 Hager
 6,912,492 B1 6/2005 Johnson
 6,931,326 B1 8/2005 Judson
 6,994,962 B1 2/2006 Thilly
 7,054,758 B2 5/2006 Gill-Garrison
 7,062,752 B2 6/2006 Simpson
 7,069,308 B2 6/2006 Abrams
 7,072,794 B2 7/2006 Wittkowski
 7,076,504 B1 7/2006 Handel
 7,107,155 B2 9/2006 Frudakis
 7,127,355 B2 10/2006 Cox
 7,162,471 B1 1/2007 Knight
 7,271,243 B2 9/2007 Dumas Milne Edwards
 7,292,944 B2 11/2007 Larder
 7,366,719 B2 4/2008 Shaw
 7,461,006 B2 12/2008 Gogolak
 7,461,077 B1 12/2008 Greenwood
 7,567,870 B1 7/2009 Hood
 7,572,603 B2 8/2009 Small
 7,592,910 B2 9/2009 Tuck
 7,630,986 B1 12/2009 Herz
 7,720,855 B2 5/2010 Brown
 7,739,247 B2 6/2010 Mount
 7,752,215 B2 7/2010 Dettinger
 7,783,665 B1 8/2010 Tormasov
 7,788,358 B2 8/2010 Martino
 7,797,302 B2 9/2010 Kenedy
 7,818,310 B2 10/2010 Kenedy
 7,818,396 B2 10/2010 Dolin
 7,844,609 B2 11/2010 Kenedy
 7,877,398 B2 1/2011 Kroeschel
 7,904,511 B2 3/2011 Ryan
 7,917,374 B2 3/2011 Walker
 7,917,438 B2 3/2011 Kenedy
 7,930,156 B2 4/2011 Maruhashi
 7,933,912 B2 4/2011 Kenedy
 7,941,329 B2 5/2011 Kenedy
 7,941,434 B2 5/2011 Kenedy
 7,951,078 B2 5/2011 Scheuner
 7,957,907 B2 6/2011 Sorenson
 7,996,157 B2 8/2011 Zabeau
 8,024,348 B2 9/2011 Kenedy
 8,051,033 B2 11/2011 Kenedy
 8,055,643 B2 11/2011 Kenedy
 8,065,324 B2 11/2011 Kenedy
 8,073,708 B1 12/2011 Igoe
 8,099,424 B2 1/2012 Kenedy
 8,108,406 B2 1/2012 Kenedy
 8,156,158 B2 4/2012 Rolls
 8,185,461 B2 5/2012 Kenedy
 8,187,811 B2 5/2012 Eriksson
 8,200,509 B2 6/2012 Kenedy
 8,209,319 B2 6/2012 Kenedy
 8,224,835 B2 7/2012 Kenedy
 8,255,403 B2 8/2012 Kenedy
 8,326,648 B2 12/2012 Kenedy
 8,335,652 B2 12/2012 Soykan
 8,386,519 B2 2/2013 Kenedy
 8,428,886 B2 4/2013 Wong
 8,452,619 B2 5/2013 Kenedy
 8,458,097 B2 6/2013 Kenedy
 8,458,121 B2 6/2013 Kenedy
 8,463,554 B2 6/2013 Hon
 8,510,057 B1 8/2013 Avey
 8,543,339 B2 9/2013 Wojcicki
 8,589,437 B1 11/2013 Khomenko
 8,606,761 B2 12/2013 Kenedy
 8,635,087 B1 1/2014 Igoe
 8,645,343 B2 2/2014 Wong
 8,655,821 B2 2/2014 Aliferis
 8,655,899 B2 2/2014 Kenedy
 8,655,908 B2 2/2014 Kenedy
 8,655,915 B2 2/2014 Kenedy
 8,719,045 B2 5/2014 Yoon
 8,738,297 B2 5/2014 Sorenson
 8,744,982 B2 6/2014 Crockett

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,786,603 B2	7/2014	Rasmussen	2002/0133495 A1	9/2002	Hugh, Jr.
8,788,283 B2	7/2014	Kenedy	2002/0137086 A1	9/2002	Olek
8,788,286 B2	7/2014	Kenedy	2002/0138572 A1	9/2002	Delany
8,855,935 B2	10/2014	Myres	2002/0155422 A1	10/2002	Ingber
8,898,021 B2	11/2014	Perlin	2002/0156043 A1	10/2002	Pfost
8,990,198 B2	3/2015	Rolls	2002/0161664 A1	10/2002	Shaya
8,990,250 B1	3/2015	Chowdry	2002/0169793 A1	11/2002	Sweeney
9,031,870 B2	5/2015	Kenedy	2002/0174096 A1	11/2002	O'Reilly
9,092,391 B2	7/2015	Stephan	2002/0179097 A1	12/2002	Atkins
9,116,882 B1	8/2015	Macpherson	2002/0183965 A1	12/2002	Gogolak
9,170,992 B2	10/2015	Kenedy	2002/0187496 A1	12/2002	Andersson
9,213,944 B1	12/2015	Do	2003/0009295 A1	1/2003	Markowitz
9,213,947 B1	12/2015	Do	2003/0030637 A1	2/2003	Grinstein
9,218,451 B2	12/2015	Wong	2003/0040002 A1	2/2003	Ledley
9,336,177 B2	5/2016	Hawthorne	2003/0046110 A1	3/2003	Gogolak
9,367,663 B2	6/2016	Deciu	2003/0046114 A1	3/2003	Davies
9,367,800 B1	6/2016	Do	2003/0065241 A1	4/2003	Hohnloser
9,390,225 B2	7/2016	Barber	2003/0065535 A1	4/2003	Karlov
9,405,818 B2	8/2016	Chowdry	2003/0101000 A1	5/2003	Bader
9,486,429 B2	11/2016	Summar	2003/0113727 A1	6/2003	Girn
9,582,647 B2	2/2017	Kenedy	2003/0129630 A1	7/2003	Aakalu
9,836,576 B1	12/2017	Do	2003/0130798 A1	7/2003	Hood
9,864,835 B2	1/2018	Avey	2003/0130873 A1	7/2003	Nevin
9,928,338 B2	3/2018	Tang	2003/0135096 A1	7/2003	Dodds
9,977,708 B1	5/2018	Do	2003/0135488 A1	7/2003	Amir
9,984,198 B2	5/2018	Deciu	2003/0165926 A1	9/2003	Olek
10,025,877 B2	7/2018	Macpherson	2003/0167260 A1	9/2003	Nakamura
10,162,880 B1	12/2018	Chowdry	2003/0171876 A1	9/2003	Markowitz
10,249,389 B2	4/2019	Athey	2003/0172065 A1	9/2003	Sorenson
10,275,569 B2	4/2019	Avey	2003/0195706 A1	10/2003	Korenberg
10,296,842 B2	5/2019	Lu	2003/0198970 A1	10/2003	Roberts
10,296,847 B1	5/2019	Do	2003/0203370 A1	10/2003	Yakhini
10,379,812 B2	8/2019	Kenedy	2003/0204418 A1	10/2003	Ledley
10,432,640 B1	10/2019	Hawthorne	2003/0208454 A1	11/2003	Hugh, Jr.
10,437,858 B2	10/2019	Naughton	2003/0212579 A1	11/2003	Brown
10,468,141 B1	11/2019	Valenzuela	2003/0224394 A1	12/2003	Schadt
10,516,670 B2	12/2019	Hawthorne	2003/0233377 A1	12/2003	Kovac
10,572,831 B1	2/2020	Do	2003/0237103 A1	12/2003	Jacob
10,643,740 B2	5/2020	Avey	2004/0002816 A1	1/2004	Milosavljevic
10,658,071 B2	5/2020	Do	2004/0006488 A1	1/2004	Fitall
10,691,725 B2	6/2020	Naughton	2004/0009495 A1	1/2004	O'Malley
10,699,803 B1	6/2020	Do	2004/0014097 A1	1/2004	McGlennen
10,755,805 B1	8/2020	Do	2004/0015337 A1	1/2004	Thomas
10,777,302 B2	9/2020	Chowdry	2004/0018500 A1	1/2004	Glassbrook
10,790,041 B2	9/2020	Macpherson	2004/0019598 A1	1/2004	Huang
10,803,134 B2	10/2020	Kenedy	2004/0019688 A1	1/2004	Nickerson
10,841,312 B2	11/2020	Hawthorne	2004/0024534 A1	2/2004	Hsu
10,854,318 B2	12/2020	Macpherson	2004/0034652 A1	2/2004	Hofmann
10,891,317 B1	1/2021	Chowdry	2004/0076984 A1	4/2004	Eils
10,896,233 B2	1/2021	Kenedy	2004/0093331 A1	5/2004	Garner
10,957,455 B2	3/2021	Kenedy	2004/0093334 A1	5/2004	Scherer
10,991,467 B2	4/2021	Kenedy	2004/0111410 A1	6/2004	Burgoon
10,999,285 B2	5/2021	Hawthorne	2004/0122705 A1	6/2004	Sabol
11,003,694 B2	5/2021	Kenedy	2004/0133358 A1	7/2004	Bryant
11,170,047 B2	11/2021	Macpherson	2004/0146870 A1	7/2004	Liao
11,170,873 B2	11/2021	Avey	2004/0158581 A1	8/2004	Kotlyar
11,171,962 B2	11/2021	Hawthorne	2004/0172287 A1	9/2004	O'Toole
11,935,628 B2 *	3/2024	Hon G16B 30/10	2004/0172313 A1	9/2004	Stein
2001/0000810 A1	5/2001	Alabaster	2004/0175700 A1	9/2004	Geesaman
2002/0010552 A1	1/2002	Hugh, Jr.	2004/0177071 A1	9/2004	Massey
2002/0019746 A1	2/2002	Hugh, Jr.	2004/0193019 A1	9/2004	Wei
2002/0048763 A1	4/2002	Penn	2004/0197799 A1	10/2004	Williamson
2002/0049772 A1	4/2002	Hugh, Jr.	2004/0210548 A1	10/2004	William, Jr.
2002/0052697 A1	5/2002	Serita	2004/0219493 A1	11/2004	Phillips
2002/0052761 A1	5/2002	Fey	2004/0221855 A1	11/2004	Ashton
2002/0077775 A1	6/2002	Schork	2004/0235922 A1	11/2004	Baile
2002/0082868 A1	6/2002	Pories	2004/0242454 A1	12/2004	Gallant
2002/0094532 A1	7/2002	Bader	2004/0243443 A1	12/2004	Asano
2002/0095585 A1	7/2002	Scott	2004/0243545 A1	12/2004	Boone et al.
2002/0099789 A1	7/2002	Rudolph	2004/0254920 A1	12/2004	Brill
2002/0120623 A1	8/2002	Vivier	2005/0003410 A1	1/2005	Frazer
2002/0123058 A1	9/2002	Threadgill	2005/0021240 A1	1/2005	Berlin
2002/0126545 A1	9/2002	Warren	2005/0026117 A1	2/2005	Judson
2002/0128860 A1	9/2002	Leveque	2005/0026119 A1	2/2005	Ellis
2002/0133299 A1	9/2002	Jacob	2005/0027560 A1	2/2005	Cook
			2005/0032066 A1	2/2005	Heng
			2005/0037405 A1	2/2005	Caspi
			2005/0055365 A1	3/2005	Ramakrishnan
			2005/0064476 A1	3/2005	Huang

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2005/0090718	A1	4/2005	Dodds	2007/0299881	A1	12/2007	Bouganim
2005/0112684	A1	5/2005	Holzle	2008/0009268	A1	1/2008	Ramer
2005/0120019	A1	6/2005	Rigoutsos	2008/0021288	A1	1/2008	Bowman
2005/0143928	A1	6/2005	Moser	2008/0040046	A1	2/2008	Chakraborty
2005/0152905	A1	7/2005	Omoigui	2008/0040151	A1	2/2008	Moore
2005/0154627	A1	7/2005	Zuzek	2008/0081331	A1	4/2008	Myres
2005/0158788	A1	7/2005	Schork	2008/0082955	A1	4/2008	Andreessen
2005/0164704	A1	7/2005	Winsor	2008/0091471	A1	4/2008	Michon
2005/0170321	A1	8/2005	Scully	2008/0097938	A1	4/2008	Guyon
2005/0170528	A1	8/2005	West	2008/0097939	A1	4/2008	Guyon
2005/0176057	A1	8/2005	Bremer	2008/0108881	A1	5/2008	Stupp
2005/0181516	A1	8/2005	Dressman	2008/0114617	A1	5/2008	Heniford
2005/0191678	A1	9/2005	Lapointe	2008/0114737	A1	5/2008	Neely
2005/0191716	A1	9/2005	Surwit	2008/0131887	A1	6/2008	Stephan
2005/0191731	A1	9/2005	Judson	2008/0154566	A1	6/2008	Myres
2005/0203900	A1	9/2005	Nakamura	2008/0162510	A1	7/2008	Baio
2005/0208454	A1	9/2005	Hall	2008/0189047	A1	8/2008	Wong
2005/0216208	A1	9/2005	Saito	2008/0195594	A1	8/2008	Gerjets
2005/0228595	A1	10/2005	Cooke	2008/0201174	A1	8/2008	Ramasubramanian
2005/0256649	A1	11/2005	Roses	2008/0205655	A1	8/2008	Wilkins
2005/0260610	A1	11/2005	Kurtz	2008/0227063	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2005/0278125	A1	12/2005	Harwood	2008/0228043	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2005/0283386	A1	12/2005	Powers	2008/0228410	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0020398	A1	1/2006	Vernon	2008/0228451	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0020614	A1	1/2006	Kolawa	2008/0228677	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0025929	A1	2/2006	Eglington	2008/0228698	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0052945	A1	3/2006	Rabinowitz	2008/0228699	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0059159	A1	3/2006	Truong	2008/0228700	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0063156	A1	3/2006	Willman	2008/0228701	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0064415	A1	3/2006	Guyon	2008/0228702	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0111849	A1	5/2006	Schadt	2008/0228704	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0129034	A1	6/2006	Kasabov	2008/0228705	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0129435	A1	6/2006	Smitherman	2008/0228706	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0136143	A1	6/2006	Avinash	2008/0228708	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0185027	A1	8/2006	Bartel	2008/0228722	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0195335	A1	8/2006	Christian	2008/0228722	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0200319	A1	9/2006	Brown	2008/0228753	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0218111	A1	9/2006	Cohen	2008/0228756	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0235881	A1	10/2006	Masarie	2008/0228757	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0257888	A1	11/2006	Zabeau	2008/0228765	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0257903	A1	11/2006	Akil	2008/0228766	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0287876	A1	12/2006	Jedlicka	2008/0228767	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2006/0293921	A1	12/2006	McCarthy	2008/0228768	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2007/0011173	A1	1/2007	Agostino	2008/0228797	A1	9/2008	Kenedy
2007/0016568	A1	1/2007	Amir	2008/0243843	A1	10/2008	Kenedy
2007/0027636	A1	2/2007	Rabinowitz	2008/0256023	A1	10/2008	Nair
2007/0027850	A1	2/2007	Chan	2008/0274456	A1	11/2008	Yankner
2007/0027917	A1	2/2007	Ariel	2008/0286761	A1	11/2008	Day
2007/0037182	A1	2/2007	Gaskin	2008/0286796	A1	11/2008	Grupe
2007/0042369	A1	2/2007	Reese	2008/0300958	A1	12/2008	Gluck
2007/0050354	A1	3/2007	Rosenberg	2009/0012928	A1	1/2009	Lussier
2007/0061085	A1	3/2007	Fernandez	2009/0029371	A1	1/2009	Elliott
2007/0061166	A1	3/2007	Ramasubramanian	2009/0043752	A1	2/2009	Kenedy
2007/0061197	A1	3/2007	Ramer	2009/0077110	A1	3/2009	Petri
2007/0061424	A1	3/2007	Mattaway	2009/0083654	A1	3/2009	Nickerson
2007/0078680	A1	4/2007	Wennberg	2009/0094271	A1	4/2009	Brewer
2007/0082353	A1	4/2007	Hiraoka	2009/0099789	A1	4/2009	Stephan
2007/0106536	A1	5/2007	Moore	2009/0112871	A1	4/2009	Hawthorne
2007/0106754	A1	5/2007	Moore	2009/0118131	A1	5/2009	Avey
2007/0111247	A1	5/2007	Stephens	2009/0119083	A1	5/2009	Avey
2007/0116036	A1	5/2007	Moore	2009/0132284	A1	5/2009	Fey
2007/0122824	A1	5/2007	Tucker	2009/0186347	A1	7/2009	Cox
2007/0156691	A1	7/2007	Sturms	2009/0222517	A1	9/2009	Kalofonos
2007/0166728	A1	7/2007	Abramson	2009/0271375	A1	10/2009	Hyde
2007/0185658	A1	8/2007	Paris	2009/0299645	A1	12/2009	Colby
2007/0198485	A1	8/2007	Ramer	2009/0318297	A1	12/2009	Cappucilli
2007/0213939	A1	9/2007	Liew	2009/0319610	A1	12/2009	Nikolayev
2007/0220017	A1	9/2007	Zuzarte	2009/0326832	A1	12/2009	Heckerman
2007/0226250	A1	9/2007	Mueller	2010/0024894	A1	2/2010	Himmelman
2007/0238936	A1	10/2007	Becker	2010/0041958	A1	2/2010	Leuthardt
2007/0239554	A1	10/2007	Lin	2010/0042438	A1	2/2010	Moore
2007/0244701	A1	10/2007	Erlanger	2010/0042643	A1	2/2010	Pattabhi
2007/0254295	A1	11/2007	Harvey	2010/0063830	A1	3/2010	Kenedy
2007/0271292	A1	11/2007	Acharya	2010/0063835	A1	3/2010	Kenedy
2007/0294113	A1	12/2007	Settimi	2010/0063865	A1	3/2010	Kenedy
				2010/0063930	A1	3/2010	Kenedy
				2010/0070292	A1	3/2010	Kenedy
				2010/0070455	A1	3/2010	Halperin
				2010/0076950	A1	3/2010	Kenedy
				2010/0076988	A1	3/2010	Kenedy

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2010/0099091 A1 4/2010 Hamet
 2010/0169262 A1 7/2010 Kenedy
 2010/0169313 A1 7/2010 Kenedy
 2010/0169338 A1 7/2010 Kenedy
 2010/0223281 A1 9/2010 Hon
 2010/0256917 A1 10/2010 Mcvean
 2011/0004628 A1 1/2011 Armstrong
 2011/0078168 A1 3/2011 Kenedy
 2011/0098187 A1 4/2011 Urdea
 2011/0098193 A1 4/2011 Kingsmore
 2011/0184656 A1 7/2011 Kenedy
 2011/0196872 A1 8/2011 Sims
 2012/0035954 A1 2/2012 Yeskel
 2012/0053845 A1 3/2012 Bruestle
 2012/0270190 A1 10/2012 Kenedy
 2012/0270794 A1 10/2012 Eriksson
 2012/0309639 A1 12/2012 Hakonarson
 2013/0013217 A1 1/2013 Stephan
 2013/0085728 A1 4/2013 Tang
 2013/0129630 A1 5/2013 Haik
 2013/0230858 A1 9/2013 Cantor
 2013/0345988 A1 12/2013 Avey
 2014/0006433 A1 1/2014 Hon
 2014/0067355 A1 3/2014 Noto
 2014/0098344 A1 4/2014 Gierhart
 2015/0051086 A1 2/2015 Hatchwell
 2015/0106115 A1 4/2015 Hu
 2015/0227610 A1 8/2015 Chowdry
 2015/0248473 A1 9/2015 Kenedy
 2015/0288780 A1 10/2015 El Daher
 2015/0315645 A1 11/2015 Gaasterland
 2015/0347566 A1 12/2015 Kenedy
 2015/0356243 A1 12/2015 Andreassen
 2016/0026755 A1 1/2016 Byrnes
 2016/0042143 A1 2/2016 Kenedy
 2016/0091499 A1 3/2016 Sterling
 2016/0103950 A1 4/2016 Myres
 2016/0171155 A1 6/2016 Do
 2016/0277408 A1 9/2016 Hawthorne
 2017/0053089 A1 2/2017 Kenedy
 2017/0185719 A1 6/2017 Kenedy
 2017/0277828 A1 9/2017 Avey
 2017/0330358 A1 11/2017 Macpherson
 2018/0181710 A1 6/2018 Avey
 2018/0210705 A1 7/2018 Kenedy
 2018/0239831 A1 8/2018 Boyce
 2019/0034163 A1 1/2019 Kenedy
 2019/0206514 A1 7/2019 Avey
 2019/0267115 A1 8/2019 Avey
 2019/0281061 A1 9/2019 Hawthorne
 2020/0137063 A1 4/2020 Hawthorne
 2020/0210143 A1 7/2020 Kenedy
 2021/0058398 A1 2/2021 Hawthorne
 2021/0166823 A1 6/2021 Kenedy
 2021/0209134 A1 7/2021 Kenedy
 2021/0233665 A1 7/2021 Kenedy
 2021/0250357 A1 8/2021 Hawthorne

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO 1992010092 A1 6/1992
 WO 200127857 W 4/2001
 WO 200150214 W 7/2001
 WO 200210456 W 2/2002
 WO 200222165 W 3/2002
 WO 2002080079 A2 10/2002
 WO 2003060652 A2 7/2003
 WO 2003076895 A2 9/2003
 WO 2004029298 A2 4/2004
 WO 2004031912 A2 4/2004
 WO 2004048551 A2 6/2004
 WO 2004051548 A2 6/2004
 WO 2004075010 A2 9/2004
 WO 2004097577 A2 11/2004
 WO 2005086891 A2 9/2005

WO 2005109238 A2 11/2005
 WO 2006052952 A2 5/2006
 WO 2006084195 A2 8/2006
 WO 2006089238 A2 8/2006
 WO 2007061881 A2 5/2007
 WO 2008042232 A2 4/2008
 WO 2008067551 A2 6/2008
 WO 2009051749 A1 4/2009
 WO 2009051766 A1 4/2009
 WO 2009051768 A1 4/2009
 WO 2010065139 A1 6/2010
 WO 2010139006 A1 12/2010

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/979,412, mailed Aug. 17, 2023.
 Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/980,024, mailed Nov. 9, 2023.
 Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/981,917, mailed Feb. 1, 2024.
 Alexander, et al., "Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in unrelated individuals", *Genome Research* 19, (2009) pp. 1655-1664.
 Anderson, et al., "A maximum-likelihood method for the estimation of pairwise relatedness in structured populations" *Genetics* 176, (2007) DD. 421-440.
 Cartier, Kevin C., Application of The Mediator Design Pattern to Monte Carlo Simulation in Genetic Epidemiology, Masters Thesis, Case Western Reserve University, Aug. 2008.
 Chen, et al., "Robust relationship inference in genome-wide association studies", *Bioinformatics* 26 No. 22, 2010, pp. 2867-2873.
 Choi, et al., "Case-control association testing in the presence of unknown relationships" *Genet. Epidem.* 33, (2009) pp. 668-678.
 Delaneau, et al., "A Linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes," *Nature Methods*, vol. 9, No. 2, Feb. 2012, pp. 179-184.
 Druet, Tom, et al., "A Hidden Markov Model Combining Linkage and Linkage Disequilibrium Information for Haplotype Reconstruction and Quantitative Trait Locus Fine Mapping," *Genetics* vol. 184, No. 3, Jun. 2010, pp. 789-798.
 European Patent Office, Extended European Search Report, App. No. 09836517.4, mailed Oct. 25, 2016.
 European Patent Office, Extended European Search Report, App. No. 17172048.5, mailed Oct. 9, 2017.
 European Patent Office, Office Action, App. No. 17172048.5, mailed Oct. 27, 2021.
 Frazer, et al., "A second generation human haplotype map of over 3 .1 million SNPs", vol. 449, Oct. 18, 2007, pp. 851-861. <doi:10.1038/nature06258>.
 Garrett, Paul: The mathematics of coding: Information, compression, error correction and finite fields. University of Minnesota. Downloaded Apr. 13, 2021 (Year: 2021).
 Hon, et al., "Discovering Distant Relatives within a Diverse Set of Populations Using DNA Segments Identical by Descent" *Advancing Human Genetics & Genomics Annual Meeting Poster Session*, Oct. 20, 2009, 23andMe, Inc., pp. 1-2.
 Howie, et al., "A Flexible and Accurate Genotype Imputation Method for the Next Generation of Genome-Wide Association Studies," *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 5, No. 6, Jun. 2009, pp. 1-15.
 International Search Report, PCT App. No. PCT/US2007/020884, mailed Apr. 7, 2009.
 International Search Report, PCT App. No. PCT/US2009/006706, mailed Mar. 3, 2010.
 Li, et al., "Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform" *Bioinformatics* vol. 26, No. 5, 2010, pp. 589-595.
 Lowe, et al., "Genome-Wide Association Studies in an Isolated Founder Population from the Pacific Island of Kosrae," *PLoS Genet* 5(2), 2009, e1000365, pp. 1-17. <doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1000365>.
 Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 12/644,791, mailed Feb. 25, 2013.
 Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 15/664,619, mailed Aug. 19, 2020.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/073,095, mailed Jan. 21, 2021.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/073,110, mailed Apr. 29, 2021.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/077,930, mailed May 4, 2022.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/351,052, mailed Mar. 4, 2022.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/576,738, mailed Jul. 26, 2022.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/958,665, mailed Feb. 10, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 12/644,791, mailed May 31, 2012.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 12/644,791, mailed Dec. 7, 2012.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 12/774,546, mailed Aug. 12, 2015.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 12/774,546, mailed Feb. 2, 2016.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 12/774,546, mailed Feb. 1, 2017.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 13/871,744, mailed Feb. 18, 2016.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 15/264,493, mailed May 18, 2018.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 15/664,619, mailed Mar. 3, 2020.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/129,645, mailed Apr. 23, 2021.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/073,122, mailed Dec. 24, 2020.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/073,122, mailed Jun. 14, 2021.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/073,128, mailed Feb. 3, 2021.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/073,128, mailed Jun. 30, 2021.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/301,129, mailed Jun. 8, 2021.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/351,052, mailed Dec. 9, 2021.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/576,738, mailed Apr. 14, 2022.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/880,566, mailed Feb. 14, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/958,665, mailed Dec. 29, 2022.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/989,388, mailed Feb. 7, 2023.

Rajeevan, et al. ALFRED: An Allele Frequency Database for Microevolutionary Studies. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* (2005) vol. 1, p. 1-10.

Roberson, E., Examining Copy Number Alterations, Unexpected Relationships and Population Structure Using SNPs, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD. Jul. 2009.

Roberson, Elisha D. O. et al., Visualization of Shared Genomic Regions and Meiotic Recombination in High-Density SNP Data, *PLoS ONE* 4(8): e6711. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0006711 (Aug. 21, 2009).

Teo, et al., "Singapore Genome Variation Project: A haplotype map of three Southeast Asian populations" *Genome Res.* 19, (2009) pp. 2154-2162.

The International HapMap Consortium, "A second generation human haplotype map of over 3.1 million SNPs," *Nature*, vol. 449, Oct. 18, 2007, pp. 851-860. <doi: 10.1038/nature06258>.

23Andme, Inc., v. Ancestry.Com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.Com Operations Inc., Ancestry.Com LLC, No. 2019-1222, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, On Petition For Rehearing En Banc; Order, denied; filed Jan. 9, 2020, Case No. 18-cv-02791-EMC, pp. 1-2.

23Andme, Inc., v. Ancestry.Com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.Com Operations Inc., Ancestry.Com LLC, No. 2019-1222, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Petition For Rehearing En Banc, filed Nov. 4, 2019, Case No. 18-cv-02791-EMC, pp. 1-28.

23Andme, Inc., v. Ancestry.Com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.Com Operations Inc., Ancestry.Com LLC, No. 2019-1222, United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, Defendant's-Appellees' Response to Appellant 23ANDME, Inc.'s Petition for Rehearing En Banc, filed Dec. 19, 2019, Case No. 18-cv-02791-EMC, pp. 1-25.

Abecasis, et al., "Extent and distribution of linkage disequilibrium in three genomic regions" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 68, (2001) pp. 191-197.

Abecasis, et al., "GOLD—Graphical overview of linkage disequilibrium" *Bioinformatics*, vol. 16, No. 2, (2000) pp. 182-183.

Abecasis, et al., "GRR: graphical representation of relationship errors" *Bioinformatics* 17, (2001) pp. 742-743.

Abecasis, et al., "Handling marker-marker linkage disequilibrium: pedigree analysis with clustered markers" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 77 (2005) pp. 754-767.

Abecasis, et al., "Linkage disequilibrium: ancient history drives the new genetics" *Hum. Hered.* 59, (2005) pp. 118-124.

Abecasis, et al., "MaCH: Using sequence and genotype data to estimate haplotypes and unobserved genotypes" *Genetic Epidemiology* 34 (2010) pp. 816-834.

Abecasis, et al., "Merlin-rapid analysis of dense genetic maps using sparse gene flow trees" *Nat. Genet.* 2002, 30 (2002) pp. 97-101.

Abney, et al., "Quantitative-Trait Homozygosity and Association Mapping and Empirical Genomewide Significance in Large, Complex Pedigrees: Fasting Serum-Insulin Level in the Hutterites" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 70, (2002) pp. 920-934.

Albers, et al., "Multipoint Approximations of Identity-by-descent probabilities for accurate linkage analysis of distantly related individuals," *The American Journal of Human Genetics* 82, Mar. 2008, pp. 607-622.

Almasy, et al. "Multipoint quantitative-trait linkage analysis in general pedigrees" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 62: (1998) pp. 1198-1211.

Almudevar, A., "A Bootstrap Assessment of Variability in Pedigree Reconstruction Based on DNA Markers" *Biometrics*, vol. 57, Sep. 2001, pp. 757-763.

Almudevar, A., "A simulated annealing algorithm for maximum likelihood pedigree reconstruction" *Theoretical Population Biology*, vol. 63, (2003) pp. 63-75.

Almudevar, et al., "Estimation of single-generation sibling relationship based on DNA markers" *Journal Agricultural Biological, and Environmental Statistics*, vol. 4, No. 2, (1999) pp. 136-165.

Almudevar, et al., "Most powerful permutation invariant tests for relatedness hypotheses based on genotypic data" *Biometrics* 57, Dec. 2001, pp. 1080-1088.

Altschul, et al. "Basic Local Alignment Search Tool" *J. Mol. Biol.* (1990) 215, pp. 403-410.

Amorim, et al., "Pros and cons in the use of SNP's in forensic kinship investigation: a comparative analysis with STRs" *Forensic Sci. Int.* 150, (2005) pp. 17-21.

Amos and Elston, "Robust Methods for the Detection of Genetic Linkage for Quantitative Data From Pedigree" *Genetic Epidemiology* 6, (1989) pp. 349-360.

Amos, et al., "The Probabilistic Determination of Identity-by-Descent Sharing for Pairs of Relatives from Pedigrees" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 47 (1990) pp. 842-853.

Ayers, et al. "Reconstructing Ancestral Haplotypes with a Dictionary Model" Department of Statistics Papers, Department of Statistics, UCLA, UC Los Angeles, Mar. 28, 2005, pp. 1-41.

Bacolod, et al., "The Signatures of Autozygosity among Patients with Colorectal Cancer" *Cancer Res.* vol. 68, No. 8, Apr. 15, 2008, pp. 2610-2621.

Balding, et al., "A method for quantifying differentiation between populations at multi-allelic loci and its implications for investigating identity and paternity" *Genetica*, 96 (1995) pp. 3-12.

Ballantyne, J., "Mass disaster genetics" *Nature Genet.* 15, (1997) pp. 329-331.

Belkhir, et al., "IDENTIX, a software to test for relatedness in a population using permutation methods" *Molecular Ecology*, 2, (2002) pp. 611-614.

Bieber, et al., "Finding criminals through DNA of their relatives" *Science* 312, (2006) pp. 1315-1316.

Bit-level parallelism definition Wikipedia.com, (2021) p. 1. downloaded Apr. 14, 2021.

Blouin, M.S et al., "Use of microsatellite loci to classify individuals by relatedness" *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 5, (1996) pp. 393-401.

Boehnke, et al., "Accurate Inference of Relationships in Sib-Pair Linkage Studies" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 61, (1997) pp. 423-429.

Boehnke, M., "Allele frequency estimation from data on relatives" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 48, (1991) pp. 22-25.

Borsting et al. "Performance of the SNPforID 52 SNP-plex assay in paternity testing," (*Forensic Science International*, vol. 2 (2008) pp. 292-300.

Brenner, C.H. "Kinship Analysis by DNA When There Are Many Possibilities" *Progress in Forensic Genetics*, vol. 8, (2000) pp. 94-96, Elsevier Science.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Brenner, C.H., "Issues and strategies in the DNA identification of World Trade Center victims" *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 63, (2003) pp. 173-178.
- Brenner, C.H., "Symbolic kinship program" *Genetics*, 145, (1997) pp. 535-542.
- Brief For Defendants-Appellees Ancestry.com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.com Operations Inc., nd Ancestry.com LLC, Case No. 2019-1222, Document: 24, Filed on Mar. 18, 2019, in The US Court of Appeals For The Federal Circuit, pp. 1-76.
- Brief of Appellant 23AndMe, Inc., Case No. 2019-1222, Document 19, Filed on Feb. 4, 2019, in The US Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, pp. 1-140.
- Broman, et al., "Estimation of pairwise relationships in the presence of genotyping errors" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 63, (1998) pp. 1563-1564.
- Broman, et al., "Long Homozygous Chromosomal Segments in Reference Families from the Centre d'Étude du Polymorphisme Humain" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 65, (1999) pp. 1493-1500.
- Browning, et al., "A unified approach to Genotype imputation and Haplotype-Phase inference for large data sets of Trios and unrelated individuals" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 84, (2009) pp. 210-223.
- Browning, et al., "On reducing the statespace of hidden markov models for the identity by descent process" *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 62, (2002) pp. 1-8.
- Cannings, C., "The identity by descent process along the chromosome" *Human Heredity*, 56 (2003) pp. 126-130.
- Carlson, et al., "Mapping complex disease loci in whole-genome association studies" *Nature* 429 (2004) pp. 446-452.
- Cavalli-Sforza, L., "The Human Genome Diversity Project: past, present and future," *Nature Reviews, Genetics*, vol. 6, Apr. 2005, pp. 333-340.
- Chapman, et al., "The effect of population history on the lengths of ancestral chromosome segments" *Genetics*, 162, Sep. 2002, pp. 449-458.
- Cheung, et al., "Linkage-disequilibrium mapping without genotyping" *Nature Genetics* 18, (1998) pp. 225-230.
- Cockerman, C., "Higher order probability functions of identity of alleles by descent" *Genetics* 69, (1971) pp. 235-246.
- Complaint filed In the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of California, captioned *23andMe, Inc. v. Ancestry.com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.com Operations Inc., and Ancestry.com LLC*, filed on May 11, 2018, assigned Case No. 18-cv-02791-JCS, for "Complaint for Patent Infringement, Violations of the Lanham Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §§ 17200 And 17500, and Declaratory Relief of No Trademark Infringement and Trademark Invalidity".
- Cordell, et al., "Two-locus maximum Lod score analysis of a multifactorial trait: joint consideration of IDDM2 and IDDM4 with IDDM1 in Type 1 diabetes" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 57, (1995) pp. 920-934.
- Harvard School of Public Health I Harvard Center for Cancer Prevention, "Your Disease Risk" website for calculating disease risk, 34 exemplary pages submitted including heart disease risk estimation and listings of risk factors, last accessed via the world wide web on Apr. 30, 2007, at the URL address: <http://www.yourdiseaserisk.harvard.edu/english/index.htm>.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 15/927,785, mailed Jul. 30, 2020.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 15/927,785, mailed Sep. 2, 2020.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/004,494, mailed Feb. 4, 2021.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/004,911, mailed Dec. 11, 2020.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/584,844, mailed Apr. 21, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/590,304, mailed Apr. 25, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/729,840, mailed Oct. 11, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/731,779, mailed Oct. 3, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 14/822,023, mailed Feb. 21, 2017.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 14/822,023, mailed Nov. 22, 2017.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 15/927,785, mailed Feb. 10, 2020.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/814,243, mailed Jul. 1, 2020.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/814,243, mailed Nov. 16, 2020.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/814,243, mailed Dec. 9, 2021.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/814,243, mailed Jun. 22, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/004,494, mailed Nov. 6, 2020.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/004,911, mailed Nov. 18, 2020.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/175,995, mailed Oct. 19, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/584,844, mailed Mar. 28, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/590,304, mailed Apr. 4, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/729,840, mailed Jun. 22, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/731,779, mailed Jun. 16, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/743,973, mailed Jul. 14, 2022.
- The International HapMap Consortium, "A haplotype map of the human genome" vol. 437, Oct. 27, 2005, pp. 1300-1320. doi:10.1038/nature04226.
- Thomas, et al., "Genomic mismatch scanning in pedigrees" *IMA Journal of Mathematics Applied in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 11, (1994) pp. 1-16.
- Thomas, et al., "Multilocus linkage analysis by blocked Gibbs sampling" *Statistics and Computing*, vol. 10, (2000), pp. 259-269.
- Thompson, E.A., "Inference of genealogical structure" *Soc. Sci. Inform.* 15, (1976) pp. 477-526.
- Thompson, E.A., "The estimation of pairwise relationships" *Ann. Hum. Genet., Lond.* 39, (1975) pp. 173-188.
- Thompson, et al., "The IBD process along four chromosomes," *Theor. Popul. Biol.* May 73(3) May 2008, pp. 369-373.
- Tishkoff, et al., "The Genetic Structure and History of Africans and African Americans," *Science*, vol. 324(5930), May 22, 2009, pp. 1035-1044. doi:10.1126/science.1172257.
- Todorov, et al., "Probabilities of identity-by-descent patterns in sibships when the parents are not genotyped" *Genet. Epidemiol.* 14 (1997) pp. 909-913.
- Transcript of Proceedings dated Aug. 16, 2018, Case No. 18-cv-02791-JCS, Re Defendant's Motion to Dismiss, heard in the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of California LLC, in the matter of *23andMe, Inc. v. Ancestry.com DNA, LLS, Ancestry.com Operations Inc., and Ancestry.com*.
- Tu, et al., "The maximum of a function of a Markov chain and application to linkage analysis" *Adv. Appl. Probab.* 31, (1999) pp. 510-531.
- Tzeng, et al., "Determination of sibship by PCR-amplified short tandem repeat analysis in Taiwan" *Transfusion* 40, (2000) pp. 840-845.
- Van De Casteele, et al., "A comparison of microsatellite-based pairwise relatedness estimators" *Molecular Ecology* 10, (2001) pp. 1539-1549.
- Wagner, S.F., "Introduction To Statistics, Harper Collins Publishers", 1992, pp. 23-30.
- Wang, et al., "An estimator of pairwise relatedness using molecular markers" *Genetics*, vol. 160 (2002) pp. 1203-1215.
- Wang, et al., "An integrated hidden Markov model designed for high-resolution copy number variation detection in whole-genome SNP genotyping data," *Genome Res.* 17, 2007, pp. 665-1674.
- Weir, et al., "Allelic association patterns for a dense SNP map" *Genetic Epidemiology* 24, (2004) pp. 442-450.
- Weir, et al., "Behavior of pairs of loci in finite monoecious populations" *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 6 (1974) pp. 323-354.
- Weir, et al., "Estimating F-statistics" *Annual Review of Genetics*, 36, (2002) pp. 721-750.
- Weir, et al., "Genetic relatedness analysis: modern data and new challenges" *Nature Genetics* 7, (2006) pp. 771-780.
- Weir, et al., "Group inbreeding with two linked loci" *Genetics* 63 (1969) pp. 711-742.
- Weir, et al., "Measures of human population structure show heterogeneity among genomic regions" *Genome Res.* 15 (2005) pp. 1468-1476. [PubMed: 16251456].

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Weiss, et al., "Association between microdeletion and microduplication at 16p11.2 and autism" *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 358, No. 7, Feb. 14, 2008, pp. 667-675.
- Whittemore, et al., "A Class of Tests for Linkage Using Affected Pedigree Members" *Biometrics* 50, (1994) pp. 118-127.
- Wijmsman, et al., "Multipoint linkage analysis with many multiallelic or dense diallelic markers: Markov chain-Monte Carlo provides practical approaches for genome scans on general pedigrees" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 79, (2006) pp. 846-858.
- Wright, S. "Systems of Mating. I. The Biometric Relations Between Parent and Offspring," *Genetics*, 6:111 (1921).
- Yu, et al., "A unified mixed-model method for association mapping accounting for multiple levels of relatedness" *Nature Genet.* 38, (2006) pp. 203-208.
- Zhang, et al., "A comparison of several methods for haplotype frequency estimation and haplotype reconstruction for tightly linked markers from general pedigrees" *Genet. Epidemiol.* 30 (2006) pp. 423-437.
- U.S. Appl. No. 17/979,412, filed Nov. 2, 2022.
- U.S. Appl. No. 17/576,738, filed Jan. 14, 2022.
- U.S. Appl. No. 17/351,052, filed Jun. 17, 2021.
- U.S. Appl. No. 17/073,110, filed Oct. 16, 2020.
- U.S. Appl. No. 16/129,645, filed Sep. 12, 2018.
- U.S. Appl. No. 15/264,493, filed Sep. 13, 2016.
- U.S. Appl. No. 13/871,744, filed Apr. 26, 2013.
- U.S. Appl. No. 12/644,791, filed Dec. 22, 2009.
- Lynch, M., et al., "Estimation of Pairwise Relatedness With Molecular Markers", *Genetics* 152: 1753-1766 (Aug. 1999).
- Milligan, Brook G., "Maximum-Likelihood Estimation of Relatedness", *Genetics* 163: 1153-1167 (Mar. 2003).
- Morrison, A. C., et al. "Prediction of Coronary Heart Disease Risk using a Genetic Risk Score: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study," *American Journal of Epidemiology*, vol. 166, No. 1, Apr. 18, 2007, pp. 28-35.
- NCBI Reference SNP Cluster Report: rs10513789_ November 2003_ NCBI Reference SNP Cluster Report: rs10513789, Nov. 2003, pp. 1-6. [Retrieved from the internet, May 2, 2011] <URL:http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/projects/SNP/snp_ref.cgi?rs=10513789>.
- Nelson et al., "The program of androgen-responsive genes in neoplastic prostate epithelium", *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, vol. 99. No. 18, Sep. 2002, pp. 11890-11895.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 15/999,198, mailed Feb. 24, 2021.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 16/151,721, mailed Jun. 23, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 16/151,721, mailed Oct. 13, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/212,596, mailed Oct. 11, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/212,906, mailed Oct. 19, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/731,963, mailed Oct. 18, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/743,973 mailed Oct. 25, 2022.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/873,563, mailed Sep. 14, 2022.
- Nyholt et al., "Genetic basis of male pattern baldness" *J. Invest. Dermatol.* 121 (2003) pp. 1561-1564.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 15/999,198, mailed Aug. 5, 2020.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/151,721, mailed Jan. 25, 2021.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 16/151,721, mailed Oct. 26, 2021.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/212,596, mailed Sep. 19, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/212,906, mailed May 12, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/212,906, mailed Jun. 24, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/731,963, mailed Jun. 28, 2022.
- Orlin J.B. and Lee Y., "QuickMatch: a very fast assignment for the assignment problem", MIT Sloan School Working Paper, Mar. 1993, pp. 3547-3593.
- Payami H., et al., "Familial aggregation of Parkinson disease: a comparative study of early-onset and late-onset disease", *Arch Neurol.* 59, (2002) pp. 848-850.
- Pierpont et al., "Genetic basis for congenital heart defects: current knowledge: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Congenital Cardiac Defects Committee, Council on Cardiovascular disease in the Young: endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics", *Circulation* 115(23) (2007), pp. 3015-3038.
- Polymeropoulos et al., "Mutation in the alpha-synuclein gene identified in families with Parkinson's disease", *Science* 276 (1997), pp. 2045-2047.
- Pritchard et al., "Linkage Disequilibrium in Humans: Models and Data", *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 69 (2001), pp. 1-14.
- Purcell, et al., "PLINK: a toolset for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analysis", *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 81, Sep. 2007, pp. 559-575.
- Rahman, M. et al., "A simple risk score identifies individuals at high risk of developing type 2 diabetes: a prospective cohort study", *Fam. Pract.* 2008;25, pp. 191-196.
- Ramirez A. et al., "Hereditary parkinsonism with dementia is caused by mutations in ATP13A2, encoding a lysosomal type 5 p. type ATPase", *Nat. Genet.* 38 (2006) pp. 1184-1191.
- Ratovitski et al., "Kalirin inhibition of inducible nitric—oxide synthase", *J. Biol. Chem.* 274 (1999) pp. 993-999.
- Reczek D. et al., "LIMP-2 Is a Receptor for Lysosomal Mannose-6-Phosphate-Independent Targeting of beta-Glucocerebrosidase", *Cell*, Nov. 16; 131(4) (2007), pp. 770-783.
- Ren and Fang, "Small guanine nucleotide-binding protein Rho and myocardial function", *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 26(3) (2005), pp. 279-285.
- Saslow, D. et al., "American Cancer Society Guidelines for Breast Screening with MRI as an Adjunct to Mammography", *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 57, Mar./Apr. 2007, pp. 75-89.
- Schabath, M.B., et al., "Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention: Combined Effects of the p53 and p73 17 Polymorphisms on Lung Cancer Risk," *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.*, Jan. 24, 2006, vol. 15, pp. 158-161. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-05-0622].
- Scheuner, et al., "Family History: A Comprehensive Genetic Risk Assessment Method for the Chronic Conditions of Adulthood", *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, Wiley-Liss, Inc., vol. 71, 1997, pp. 315-324.
- Schulze, M.B., et al., "An accurate risk score based on anthropometric, dietary, and lifestyle factors to predict the development of type 2 diabetes", *Diabetes Care* 30:Mar. 3, 2007, pp. 510-515.
- Simon-Sanchez J. et al., "Genome-wide SNP assay reveals structural genomic variation, extended homozygosity and cell-line induced alterations in normal individuals", *Hum. Mol. Genet.* (2007) 16, pp. 1-14.
- Stern, et al., "Validation of prediction of diabetes by the Archimedes model and comparison with other predicting models", *Diabetes Care* 31:8, Aug. 2008, pp. 1670-1671.
- Sveinbjomsdottir S., et al., "Familial aggregation of Parkinson's disease in Iceland", *N. Engl. J. Med.* 343 (2000) pp. 1765-1770.
- Tang, Hua, et al., "Estimation of Individual Admixture: Analytical and Study Design Considerations", *Genetic Epidemiology* 28: 289-301 (2005).
- Tanner C.M. et al., "Parkinson disease in twins: an etiologic study" *JAMA* 281 (1999) pp. 341-346.
- Thompson, E.A., "Estimation of relationships from genetic data", *Handbook of statistics* 8 (1991), pp. 255-269.
- Trial Investigators, et al., "DREAM {Diabetes Reduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication)—Effect of rosiglitazone on the frequency of diabetes in patients with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose: a randomised controlled trial," *Lancet* 368, (2006) pp. 1096-1105.
- Tuomilehto, J. et al., "Prevention of Type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance", *N. Engl. J. Med.* 344: 18, May 3, 2001, pp. 1343-1350.
- Valente E.M. et al., "Hereditary early—Onset Parkinson's disease caused by mutations in PINK1" *Science* 304 (2004), pp. 1158-1160.
- Wannamethee, S.G. et al. "Metabolic syndrome vs Framingham Risk Score for prediction of coronary heart disease, stroke, and type 2 diabetes mellitus", *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2005; 165, pp. 2644-2650.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

WHO Expert Consultation, "Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and Intervention strategies", *Lancet* 363, (2004) pp. 157-163.

WHO International Association for the Study of Obesity, International Obesity Task Force, "The Asia-Pacific 29 perspective: Redefining Obesity and its Treatment", Sydney, Australia, Health Communications 2000, pp. 1-56. [Part I].

WHO Technical Report Series 894, "Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic", WHO Technical Report Series 894 (2000) pp. 1-253. [Part II].

Wigginton et al., "A note on exact tests of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium", *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 76 (2005) pp. 887-893.

Alcalay R.N. et al., "Frequency of known mutations in early-onset Parkinson disease: implication for genetic counseling: the consortium on risk for early onset Parkinson disease study" *Arch Neural* 67, (2010) pp. 1116-1122.

Altshuler et al. "Genetic mapping in human disease" *Science* 322(5903) (2009) pp. 881-888.

Anderson, Domestic-Animal Genomics: Deciphering the Genetics of Complex Traits, Mar. 2004, *Nature.com*, vol. 5. pp. 202-212.

Asakura et al., "Global gene expression profiling in the failing myocardium" *Circ. J.* 73 (2009) pp. 1568-1576.

Browning, et al., "Missing data imputation and haplotype phase inference for genomewide association studies," *Human Genetics*, vol. 124, Oct. 11, 2008, pp. 439-450.

Druet, et al., "Imputation of genotypes from different single nucleotide polymorphism panels in dairy cattle," *Dairy Science Association*, vol. 93, No. 11, Nov. 2010, pp. 5443-5494.

Grunblatt et al., "Gene expression profiling of parkinsonian substantia nigra pars compacta: alterations in ubiquitin-proteasome, heat shock protein, iron and oxidative stress regulated proteins, cell adhesion/cellular matrix and vesicle trafficking genes" *J. Neural Transm* 111 (12) (2004) pp. 1543-1573.

Hindorf L.A et al., "Potential etiologic and functional implications of genome-wide association loci for human diseases and traits" *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 106, (2009) pp. 9362-9367.

Hirschhorn J.N., "Genomewide Association Studies-Illuminating Biologic Pathways" *N. Engl. J. Med.* 360 (2009) pp. 1699-1701.

International Schizophrenia Consortium et al. "Common polygenic variation contributes to risk of schizophrenia and bipolar disorder" *Nature* 460(7256) (2009) pp. 748-752.

Kim et al. "A multivariate regression approach to association analysis of a quantitative trait network" *Bioinformatics* 25 (12)(2009) pp. i204-i212.

Mealiffe, et al., "Assessment of Clinical Validity of a Breast Cancer Risk Model Combining Genetic and Clinical Information" *J. Natl. Cancer Institute (JNCI)*, vol. 102, No. 21, Nov. 3, 2010, pp. 1618-1627.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/975,949 mailed Mar. 21, 2023.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/979,412, mailed Jan. 3, 2024.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/989,388, mailed Mar. 23, 2023.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 18/099,478, mailed Jul. 19, 2023.

Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 18/191,525, mailed Aug. 22, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/880,566, mailed Oct. 6, 2022.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/975,949, mailed Feb. 16, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/980,024, mailed Jun. 7, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/980,024, mailed Jul. 28, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/981,917, mailed Oct. 16, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/989,388, mailed Mar. 8, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 18/099,478, mailed Apr. 21, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 18/191,525, mailed Jun. 23, 2023.

Pruim R.J. et al., "LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results" *Bioinformatics* 26 (2010) pp. 2336-2337.

Ruderfer, et al., "Family-based genetic risk prediction of multifactorial disease," *Genome Medicine*, vol. 2:1, Jan. 15, 2010, pp. 1-7. doi:10.1186/gml23.

Traherne, Jan. 2006, "Genetic analysis of completely sequenced disease-associated MHC haplotypes identifies shuffling of segments in recent human history," *PLOS Genetics*. pp. 81-92.

U.S. Appl. No. 13/908,455, filed Jun. 3, 2013.

Wu et al. "Genome-wide association analysis by lasso penalized logistic regression," *Bioinformatics* 25(6) (2009) pp. 714-721.

Idury, et al., "A faster and more general hidden Markov model algorithm for multipoint likelihood calculations" *Hum. Hered.* 47(1997) pp. 197-202.

Jacquard, A., "Genetic information given by a relative" *Biometrics*, 28, (1972) pp. 1101-1114.

Jiang, et al., "An efficient parallel implementation of the hidden Markov methods for genomic sequence-search on a massively parallel system." *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* 19.1 (2008) pp. 15-23.

Jones, et al., "Methods of parentage analysis in natural populations" *Molecular Ecology* 12 (2003) pp. 2511-2523.

Karigl, G., "A recursive algorithm for the calculation of identity coefficients" *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 45, (1981) pp. 299-305.

Kent, J.W. "BLAT—The BLAST-Like Alignment Tool" *Genome Res.* 2002, vol. 12, pp. 656-664.

Keprt, et al., "Binary factor analysis with help of formal concepts" In Snasel et al.(eds) *CLA 2004*, pp. 90-101. ISBN 80-248-0597-9.

Kimmel, et al., "A block-free hidden Markov model for genotypes and its application to disease association" *J. Comput. Biol.* 12, (2005a) pp. 1243-1260.

Kimmel, et al., "GERBIL: genotype resolution and block identification using likelihood" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 102, (2005b) pp. 158-162.

Kong, et al., "A combined linkage-physical map of the human genome," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 75, 2004, pp. 1143-1148.

Kong, et al., "A high-resolution recombination map of the human genome" *Nature Genetics*, vol. 31, Jul. 2002, pp. 241-247.

Kong, et al., "Allele-sharing models—LOO scores and accurate linkage tests" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 61, (1997) pp. 1179-1188.

Kruglyak, et al., "Complete Multipoint Sib-Pair Analysis of Qualitative and Quantitative Traits" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 57, (1995) pp. 439-454.

Kruglyak, et al., "Faster multipoint linkage analysis using Fourier transforms" *J. Comput. Biol.* 5, (1998) pp. 1-7.

Kruglyak, et al., "Linkage thresholds for two-stage genome scans" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 62, (1998) pp. 994-997.

Kruglyak, et al., "Parametric and Nonparametric Linkage Analysis: A Unified Multipoint Approach" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 58, (1996) pp. 1347-1363.

Kruglyak, et al., "Rapid Multipoint Linkage Analysis of Recessive Traits in Nuclear Families, Including Homozygosity Mapping" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 56 (1995) pp. 519-527.

Kruglyak, L., "The use of a genetic map of biallelic markers in linkage studies" *Nat. Genet.* 17, (1997) pp. 21-24.

Kumar, et al., "Recurrent 16p11.2 microdeletions in autism" *Human Molecular Genetics*, 2008, vol. 17, No. 4, pp. 628-638.

Laberge, et al., "Population history and its impact on medical genetics in Quebec" *Clin. Genet.* 68 (2005) pp. 287-301.

Lafrate, et al., "Detection of large-scale variation in the human genome," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 36, No. 9, Sep. 2004, pp. 949-951.

Lander, et al., "Construction of multilocus genetic linkage maps in humans" *Genetics*, vol. 84, Apr. 1987, pp. 2363-2367.

Lander, et al., "Genetic dissection of complex traits: guidelines for interpreting and reporting linkage results" *Nat. Genet.* 11, (1995) pp. 241-247.

Lander, et al., "Homozygosity mapping: a way to map human recessive traits with the DNA of inbred children" *Science* 236, (1987) pp. 1567-1570.

Lange, et al., "Extensions to pedigree analysis I. Likelihood calculations for simple and complex pedigrees" *Hum. Hered.* 25 (1975) pp. 95-105.

Lavenier, Dominique, and J-L. Pacherie. "Parallel processing for scanning genomic databases." *Advances in Parallel Computing*. vol. 12, North-Holland, 1998, pp. 81-88.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Leclair, et al., "Enhanced kinship analysis and STR-based DNA typing for human identification in mass fatality incidents: The Swissair Flight 111 disaster" *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 49(5) (2004) pp. 939-953.
- Leibon, et al., "A simple computational method for the identification of disease-associated loci in complex, incomplete pedigrees" arXiv:0710:5625v1 [q-bio.GN] Oct. 30, 2007, pp. 1-20.
- Leutenegger, et al., "Estimation of the Inbreeding Coefficient through Use of Genomic Data," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 73, Jul. 29, 2003, pp. 516-523.
- Leutenegger, et al., "Using genomic inbreeding coefficient estimates for homozygosity mapping of rare recessive traits: Application to Taybi-Linder syndrome" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 79, Jul. 2006, pp. 62-66.
- Li, et al., "Joint modeling of linkage and association: identifying SNPs responsible for a linkage signal" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 76 (2005) pp. 934-949.
- Li, et al., "Mapping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using mapping quality scores," *Genome Research*, Aug. 19, 2008, pp. 1851-1858. doi: 10.1101/gr.078212.108.
- Li, et al., "Modeling linkage disequilibrium and identifying recombination hotspots using single nucleotide polymorphism data" *Genetics* 165, (2003) pp. 2213-2233.
- Li, et al., "Similarity of DNA fingerprints due to chance and relatedness" *Hum. Hered.* 43, 1993 pp. 45-52.
- Lien, et al. "Evidence for heterogeneity in recombination in the human pseudoautosomal region: High resolution analysis by sperm typing and radiation-hybrid mapping" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 66, 2000, pp. 557-566.
- Lin, et al. "Haplotype inference in random population samples" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 71, 2002, pp. 1129-1137.
- Liu, et al., "Affected sib-pair test in inbred populations" *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 68, (2004) pp. 606-619.
- Long, et al., "An E-M Algorithm and Testing Strategy for Multiple-Locus Haplotypes" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 56 (1995) pp. 799-810.
- Lynch, et al., "Analysis of population genetic structure with RAPD markers" *Molecular Ecology*, 3, (1994) pp. 91-99.
- Lynch, M., "Estimation of relatedness by DNA fingerprinting" *Molecular and Biological Evolution*, 5, (1988) pp. 584-599.
- Ma, et al., "PatternHunter: faster and more sensitive homology search" *Bioinformatics*, vol. 18, No. 3 (2002) pp. 440-445.
- Mao, et al., "A Monte Carlo algorithm for computing the IBD matrices using incomplete marker information" *Heredity* (2005) 94, pp. 305-315.
- Marchini, et al., "A comparison of phasing algorithms for trios and unrelated individuals," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 78, 2006, pp. 437-450.
- Matsuzaki, et al., "Genotyping over 100,000 SNPs on a pair of oligonucleotide arrays" *Nat. Methods*, vol. 1, No. 2, Nov. 2004, pp. 109-111.
- Matsuzaki, et al., "Parallel genotyping of over 10,000 SNPs using a one-primer assay on a high density oligonucleotide array" *Genome Res.*, vol. 14, No. 3, Mar. 2004, pp. 414-425.
- McPeck, et al., "Statistical test for detection of misspecified relationships by use of genome screen data" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 66, (2000) pp. 1076-1094.
- Meuwissen, et al., "Fine mapping of a quantitative trait locus for twinning rate using combined linkage and linkage disequilibrium mapping," *Genetics* 161 (2002) pp. 373-379.
- Meuwissen, et al., "Multipoint Identity-by-Descent Prediction Using Dense Markers to Map Quantitative Trait Loci and Estimate Effective Population Size," *Genetics* 176, Aug. 2007, pp. 2551-2560.
- Miano, et al., "Pitfalls in homozygosity mapping" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 67, (2000) pp. 1348-1351.
- Morris, et al., "The avuncular index and the incest index" *Advances in Forensic Haemogenetics* 2, (1988) pp. 607-611.
- Morton, N.E., "Sequential test for the detection of linkage" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 7 (1955) pp. 277-318.
- Motro, et al., "The affected sib method. I. Statistical features of the affected sib-pair method" *Genetics* 110, (1985) pp. 525-538.
- Nelson, et al., "Genomic mismatch scanning: A new approach to genetic linkage mapping" *Nature Genetics*, vol. 4, May 1993, pp. 11-18.
- Newton, et al., "Inferring the location and effect of tumor suppressor genes by instability selection modeling of allelic-loss data" *Biometrics* 56, (2000) pp. 1088-1097.
- Newton, et al., "On the statistical analysis of allelic-loss data" *Statistics in Medicine* 17, (1998) pp. 1425-1445.
- Nuanmeesri, et al., "Genealogical Information Searching System" 2008 4th IEEE International Conference on Management of Innovation and Technology. IEEE, 2008, pp. 1255-1259.
- Nyholt, Dale R., "GENEHUNTER: Your 'One-Stop Shop' for Statistical Genetic Analysis?" *Hum. Hered.* 53 (2002) pp. 2-7.
- O'Connell, J.R., "Rapid multipoint linkage analysis via inheritance vectors in the Elston-Stewart algorithm" *Hum. Hered.* 51, (2001) pp. 226-240.
- O'Connell, J.R., "The VITESSE algorithm for rapid exact multilocus linkage analysis via genotype set-recoding and fuzzy inheritance" *Nature. Genet.* 11, (1995) pp. 402-408.
- Oliehoek, et al., "Estimating relatedness between individuals in general populations with a focus on their use in conservation programs" *Genetics* 173 (2006) pp. 483-496.
- Olson, et al., "Relationship estimation by Markov-process models in sib-pair linkage study" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 64, (1999) pp. 1464-1472.
- Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, filed in the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of California LLC on Jul. 13, 2018, Case No. 18-cv-02791-JCS, *Re 23andMe, Inc. v. Ancestry.com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.com Operations Inc., and Ancestry.com.*
- Order Granting In Part and Denying In Part Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, dated Aug. 23, 2018, Case No. 18-cv-02791-JCS, from the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of California LLC, *Re 23andMe, Inc. v. Ancestry.com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.com Operations Inc., and Ancestry.com.*
- Patterson, et al., "Population Structure and Eigenanalysis," *PLoS Genetics*, vol. 2, No. 12, e190, Dec. 2006, pp. 2074-2093.
- Paynter, et al., "Accuracy of Multiplexed Illumina Platform-Based Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism Genotyping Compared between Genomic and Whole Genome Amplified DNA Collected from Multiple Sources," *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 15, Dec. 2006, pp. 2533-2536.
- Peedicayil, J., "Epigenetic Therapy—a New Development in Pharmacology", *Indian Journal of Medical Research*, vol. 123, No. 1, Jan. 2006, pp. 17-24.
- Pemberton et al., "Inference of unexpected Genetic relatedness among individuals in HapMap phase III" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 87, (2010) pp. 457-464.
- Perry, et al., "The fine-scale and complex architecture of human copy-Number variation," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 82, Mar. 2008, pp. 685-695.
- Pinto, et al., "Copy-number variation in control population cohorts," *Human Molecular Genetics*, 2007, vol. 16, review issue No. 2, pp. R168-R173. doi:10.1093/hmg/ddm241.
- Porrás-Hurtado, et al., "An overview of STRUCTURE: applications, parameter settings, and supporting software," *Frontiers in Genetics*, vol. 4, No. 96, May 29, 2013, pp. 1-13.
- Pritchard, et al., "Association Mapping in Structured Populations," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 67, 2000, pp. 170-181.
- Pritchard, et al., "Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data" *Genetics* 155, (2000) pp. 945-959.
- Queller, et al., "Estimating relatedness using genetic markers" *Evolution*, vol. 43, No. 2, (1989) pp. 258-275.
- Rabiner, L., "A Tutorial on Hidden Markov Models and Selected Applications in Speech Recognition," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 77, No. 2, Feb. 1989, pp. 257-286.
- Rannala, et al., "Detecting immigration by using multilocus genotypes" *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 94, (1997) pp. 9197-9201.
- Rastas, et al., "A hidden Markov technique for haplotype reconstruction" *Leet. Notes Comput. Sci.* 3692, (2005) pp. 140-151.
- Redon, et al., "Global variation in copy number in the human genome," *Nature* vol. 444, Nov. 23, 2006, pp. 444-454. doi: 10.1038/nature05329.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Reid, et al., "Specificity of sibship determination using the ABI identifier multiplex system" *J. Forensic Sci.* 49, (2004) pp. 1262-1264.
- Reply Brief of Appellant 23AndMe, Inc., Case No. 2019-1222, Document: 25, Filed on Apr. 8, 2019, In The US Court of Appeals for Federal Circuit, pp. 1-38.
- Riquet, J et al., "Fine-mapping of quantitative trait loci by identity by descent in outbred populations: application to milk production in dairy cattle," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, vol. 96, No. 16, Aug. 3, 1999, pp. 9252-9257. doi: 10.1073/pnas.96.16.9252.
- Risch, et al., "Linkage strategies for genetically complex traits. II. The power of affected relative pairs" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 46 (1990) pp. 229-241.
- Ritland, et al., "Inferences about quantitative inheritance based on natural population structure in the yellow monkeyflower, *Mimulus guttatus*" *Evolution* 50, (1996) pp. 1074-1082.
- Ritland, K., "A marker-based method for inferences about quantitative inheritance in natural populations" *Evolution* 50, (1996b) pp. 1062-1073.
- Ritland, K., "Estimators for pairwise relatedness and individual inbreeding coefficients" *Genet. Res.* 67 (1996a) pp. 175-185.
- Ritland, K., "Marker-inferred relatedness as a tool for detecting heritability in nature" *Mol. Ecol.* 9, (2000) pp. 1195-1204.
- Sanda, et al., "Genomic analysis I: inheritance units and genetic selection in the rapid discovery of locus linked DNA makers" *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 14, No. 18 (1986) pp. 7265-7283.
- Schaid, et al., "Caution on pedigree haplotype inference with software that assumes linkage equilibrium" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 71, (2002) pp. 992-995.
- Scheet, et al., "A Fast and Flexible Statistical Model for Large-Scale Population Genotype Data: Applications to Inferring Missing Genotypes and Haplotypic Phase," *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 78, Apr. 2006, pp. 629-644.
- Schork, N.J., "Extended Multipoint Identity-by-Descent Analysis of Human Quantitative Traits: Efficiency, Power, and Modeling Considerations" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 53 (1993) pp. 1306-1319.
- Shore, et al., "A recurrent mutation in the BMP type I receptor ACVR1 causes inherited and sporadic fibrodysplasia ossificans progressiva" *Nat. Genet.* 38 (2006) pp. 525-527.
- Siegmund, et al., "Statistical Analysis of Direct Identity-by-descent Mapping," *Annals of Human Genetics* (2003) 67,464-470.
- Slager, et al., "Evaluation of candidate genes in case-control studies: a statistical method to account for related subjects" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 68, (2001) pp. 1457-1462.
- Smouse, et al., "A genetic mixture analysis for use with incomplete source population data" *Can J Fisheries Aquatic Sci.* 47 (1990) pp. 620-634.
- Sobel, et al., "Descent graphs in pedigree analysis: Applications to haplotyping, location scores, and marker-sharing statistics" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 58, (1996) pp. 1323-1337.
- Stam, P., "The distribution of the fraction of the genome identical by descent in finite random mating populations" *Genet. Res. Camb.* 35, (1980) pp. 131-155.
- Stephens, et al., "A Comparison of Bayesian Methods for Haplotype Reconstruction from Population Genotype Data," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 73, 2003, pp. 1162-1169.
- Stephens, et al., "A New Statistical Method for Haplotype Reconstruction from Population Data," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 68, 2001, pp. 978-989.
- Stephens, et al., "Accounting for Decay of Linkage Disequilibrium in Haplotype Inference and Missing-Data Imputation," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 76, 2005, pp. 449-462.
- Stone, et al., "DELRIOUS: a computer program designed to analyze molecular marker data and calculate delta and relatedness estimates with confidence" *Molecular Ecology Notes*, vol. 1, (2001) pp. 209-212.
- Te Meerman, et al., "Genomic Sharing Surrounding Alleles Identical by Descent: Effects of Genetic Drift and Population Growth" *Genetic Epidemiology* vol. 14 (1997) pp. 1125-1130.
- Abe, et al., Implementing an Integrated Time-Series Data Mining Environment Based on Temporal Pattern Extraction Methods: A Case Study of an Interferon Therapy Risk Mining for Chronic Hepatitis, 2006, *New Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 4012/2006, pp. 425-435.
- Anonymous, "Frequency" (Web Definition), Feb. 24, 2011, Wikipedia.
- Batzoglou, Serafim, Lior Pachter, Jill P. Mesirov, et al. "Human and Mouse Gene Structure: Comparative Analysis and Application to Exon Prediction." *Genome Research*. 2000 10: 950-958. Copyright 2000, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Blackwell, et al., "Identity by Descent Genome Segmentation Based on Single Nucleotide Polymorphism Distributions," Institute for Biomedical Computing, Washington University in St. Louis, Jan. 31, 1999.
- Carson, et al., *Abnormal Psychology and Modern Life*, 8th edition, 1988, pp. 56-57, Scott Foresman and Company, Glenview, IL, USA.
- Cespivova, et al., Roles of Medical Ontology in Association Mining CRISP-DM Cycle, Proceedings of the ECML/PKDD04 Workshop on Knowledge Discovery and Ontologies, PISA 2004.
- Chen, Wei-Min, and Goncalo R. Abecasis, "Family-Based Association Tests for Genomewide Association Scans," *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 81, Nov. 2007.
- Cooper, D. N. & Krawczak, M. The mutational spectrum of single base-pair substitutions causing human genetic disease: patterns and predictions. *Human Genetics* 85, 55-74 (1990).
- Duan, K.-B. B., Rajapakse, J. C., Wang, H. & Azuaje, F. Multiple svm-rfe for gene selection in cancer classification with expression data. *IEEE transactions on nanobioscience* 4, 228-234 (2005).
- Han, Jiawei; Discovery of Multiple-Level Association Rules from Large Database' 1995; pp. 1-12.
- Hitsch, et al., "What Makes You Click?—Mate Preference and Matching Outcomes in Online Dating", MIT Sloan Research Paper No. 4603-06, Apr. 2006.
- Katzmarzyk, et al., "Adiposity, physical fitness and incident diabetes: the physical activity longitudinal study" *Diabetologia* 50, (2007) pp. 538-544.
- Kettel et al., "Treatment of endometriosis with the antiprogesterone mifepristone" *Fertil. Steril.* 65(1) (1996) pp. 23-28.
- Kitada T et al., "Mutations in the parkin gene cause autosomal recessive juvenile parkinsonism" *Nature* 392 (1998) pp. 605-608.
- Klein, T. E. et al. Integrating genotype and phenotype information: an overview of the PharmGKB project. *The Pharmacogenomics Journal* 1, 167-170 (2001).
- Knowler, et al., "Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin" *N. Engl. J. Med.* 346, (2002) pp. 393-403.
- Kruger et al. "Ala30Pro mutation in the gene encoding alpha-synuclein in Parkinson's disease" *Nature Genetics*, vol. 18, Feb. 1998, pp. 106-108.
- Li Y. et al., "Genetic evidence for ubiquitin-specific proteases USP24 and USP40 as candidate genes for late-onset Parkinson disease" *Hum Mutat* 27(10) (2006) pp. 1017-1023.
- Lindstrom, J. et al., "The diabetes risk score: a practical tool to predict type 2 diabetes risk" *Diabetes Care* 26:3 (2003) pp. 725-731.
- Lo et al., "Epidemiology and adverse cardiovascular risk profile of diagnosed polycystic ovary syndrome" *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 91 (2006) pp. 1357-1363.
- Lobo, et al., "AUC: a misleading measure of the performance of predictive distribution models" *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, (2007) pp. 1-7. <doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2007.00358.x>.
- Longato-Stadler, et al., "Personality Traits and Platelet Monoamine Oxidase Activity in a Swedish Male Criminal Population", *Neuropsychobiology*, 2002, pp. 202-208,46 (4), S. Karger AG, Basel, Switzerland.
- Lotufo et al., "Male pattern baldness and coronary heart disease" *Arch. Intern. Med.* 160 (2000) pp. 165-171.
- Lucking et al., "Association Between Early-Onset Parkinson's Disease and Mutations in the Parkin Gene" *N. Engl. J. Med.* 342, May 2000, pp. 1560-1567.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Lunceford J.K. and Davidian M., "Stratification and weighting via the propensity score in estimation of causal treatment effects: a comparative study" *Stat Med* 23, (2004) pp. 2937-2960.
- Lyssenko, M.D., et al., "Clinical Risk Factors, DNA Variants, and the Development of Type 2 Diabetes" *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 359, Nov. 20, 2008, pp. 2220-2232. <doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0801869>.
- Ma et al., "Polymorphisms of fibroblast growth factor receptor 4 have association with the development of prostate cancer and benign prostatic hyperplasia and the progression of prostate cancer in a Japanese population" *Int. J. Cancer* 123(11) (2008) pp. 2574-2579.
- Mani, et al., *Causal Discover From Medical Textual Data*, Fall 2000, Hanley and Belfus Publishers, pp. 542-546.
- Meigs, et al., "Genotype score in addition to common risk factors for prediction of type 2 diabetes" *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2008; 359: 2208-9.
- Miyamoto, et al., "Diagnostic and Therapeutic Applications of Epigenetics", *Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology*, Jun. 1, 2005, pp. 293-301, 35 (6), Keigaku Publishing Company, Japan.
- Nadkarni, Prakash M., et al. "Data Extraction and Ad Hoc Query of an Entity-Attribute-Value Database", *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, vol. 5, No. 6, Nov./Dec. 1998, pp. 511-527.
- Nielsen, T. et al. Molecular characterisation of soft tissue tumours: a gene expression study. *The Lancet* 359, 1301-1307 (2002).
- Ning et al., "SSAHA: A Fast Search Method for Large DNA Databases," Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2001.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 16/519,295, mailed Jan. 13, 2021.
- Notice of Allowance, U.S. Appl. No. 17/175,995, mailed Nov. 14, 2022.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 15/443,739, mailed Dec. 10, 2019.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/077,930, mailed Dec. 21, 2020.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/077,930, mailed Apr. 20, 2021.
- Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/077,930, mailed Nov. 16, 2021.
- Prather, et al., *Medical data mining: knowledge discovery in a clinical data warehouse*, Fall 1997, Proceedings of the AMIA Annual Fall Symposium, pp. 101-105.
- Roddick, et al., *Exploratory Medical Knowledge Discover: Experiences and Issues*, Jul. 2003, ACM, vol. 5, Issue 1, pp. 94-99.
- Smith, "SNPs and human disease", *Nature*, 2005, vol. 435, p. 993.
- U.S. Appl. No. 60/895,236, filed Mar. 16, 2007.
- U.S. Appl. No. 60/999,064, filed Oct. 10, 2007.
- U.S. Appl. No. 60/999,065, filed Oct. 15, 2007.
- U.S. Appl. No. 60/999,148, filed Oct. 10, 2007.
- U.S. Appl. No. 60/999,175, filed Oct. 15, 2007.
- U.S. Appl. No. 61/070,321, filed Mar. 19, 2008.
- Vrbsky, S.V. & Liu, J.W.S. "Approximate-A Query Processor That Produces Monotonically Improving Approximate Answers." *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 5, 1056-1068 (1993).
- Zhao, Hongyu, and Feng Liang, "On Relationship Inference Using Gamete Identity by Descent Data," *Journal of Computational Biology*, vol. 8, No. 2, 2001.
- Cowell, R.G., "FINEX: A probabilistic expert system for forensic identification" *Forensic Science International*, 134, (2003) pp. 196-206.
- Crawford, et al., "Evidence for substantial fine-scale variation in recombination rates across the human genome," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 36, No. 7, Jul. 2004, pp. 700-706.
- Cudworth, et al., "Evidence for HL-A-linked genes in "juvenile" diabetes mellitus" *Br. Med. J.* 3, (1975) pp. 133-135.
- Curtis, et al., "Using risk calculation to implement an extended relative pair analysis" *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 58 (1994) pp. 151-162.
- Das, S., "Filters, Wrappers And a Boosting-Based Hybrid For Feature Selection", In Proceedings Of The Eighteenth International Conference On Machine Learning, Jun. 28, 2001, pp. 74-81.
- Defendants' Notice of Motion And Motion To Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, filed in the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of California LLC on Jun. 29, 2018, Case No. 18-cv-02791-JCS, *Re 23andMe, Inc. v. Ancestry.com DNA, LLC, Ancestry.com Operations Inc., and Ancestry.com*.
- Denniston, C., "Probability and genetic relationship" *Ann. Hum. Genet., Lond.* (1975), 39, pp. 89-103.
- Di Rienzo, et al., "An evolutionary framework for common diseases: the ancestral-susceptibility model" *Trends Genet.* 21 (2005) pp. 596-601.
- Donnelly, K.P., "The probability that related individuals share some section of genome identical by descent" *Theor. Popul. Biol.* 23 (1983) pp. 34-63.
- Douglas, et al., "A multipoint method for detecting genotyping errors and mutations in sibling pair linkage data" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 66 (2000) pp. 1287-1297.
- Duffy, et al. "An integrated genetic map for linkage analysis" *Behav. Genet.* 36, 2006, pp. 4-6.
- Dupuis, et al., "Statistical methods for linkage analysis of complex traits from high resolution maps of identity by descent" *Genetics* 140 (1995) pp. 843-856.
- Eding, et al., "Marker-based estimates of between and within population kinships for the conservation of genetic diversity" *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 118 (2001), pp. 141-159.
- Ehm, et al., "A test statistic to detect errors in sib-pair relationships" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 62 (1998) pp. 181-188.
- Elston, et al., "A general model for the genetic analysis of pedigree data" *Hum. Hered.* 21, (1971) pp. 523-542.
- Epstein, et al., "Improved inference of relationship for pairs of individuals" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, vol. 67, (2000) pp. 1219-1231.
- Falush, et al., "Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies" *Genetics* 164, (2003) pp. 1567-1587.
- Feingold, E. "Markov processes for modeling and analyzing a new genetic mapping method" *J. Appl. Prob.* 30 (1993) pp. 766-779.
- Feingold, et al., "Gaussian Models for Genetic Linkage Analysis Using Complete High-Resolution Maps of Identity by Descent," *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 53 (1993) pp. 234-251.
- Fisher, RA "A Fuller Theory of 'Junctions' in Inbreeding" *Heredity*, 8 (1954) pp. 187-197.
- Fisher, RA "The theory of inbreeding" Department of Genetics, Cambridge University, Eng. Edinburgh, London, Oliver & Boyd, Ltd., (1949) pp. 97-100.
- Gaytmenn, et al., "Determination of the sensitivity and specificity of sibship calculations using AmpF/STR Profiler Plus" *Int. J. Legal Med.* 116, (2002) pp. 161-164.
- George, et al., "Discovering disease genes: Multipoint linkage analyses via a new Markov Chain Monte Carlo approach" *Statistical Science*, vol. 18, No. 4, (2003) pp. 515-535.
- Gillanders, et al., "The Value of Molecular Haplotypes in a Family-Based Linkage Study" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 79 (2006) pp. 458-468.
- Goodnight, et al., "Computer software for performing likelihood tests of pedigree relationship using genetic markers" *Molecular Ecology*, vol. 8, (1999) pp. 1231-1234.
- Grafen, A., "A geometric view of relatedness" *Oxford Surveys in Evolutionary Biology*, 2 (1985) pp. 39-89.
- Grant, et al., "Significance testing for direct identity-by-descent mapping" *Ann. Hum. Genet.* 63, (1999) pp. 441-454.
- Greenspan, et al., "Model-based inference of haplotype block variation" *J. Comput. Biol.* 11, (2004) pp. 493-504.
- Griffiths, et al., "Ancestral inference of samples of DNA sequences with recombination" *Journal of Computational Biology*, vol. 3, No. 4 (1996) pp. 479-502.
- Gudbjartsson, et al., "Allegro, a new computer program for multipoint linkage analysis" *Nat. Genet.* 25, (2000) pp. 12-13.
- Gusev, et al., "Whole population, genome-wide mapping of hidden relatedness," *Genome Research*, vol. 19, 2009, pp. 318-326.
- Hajnal, J., "Concepts of random mating and the frequency of consanguineous marriages," *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences* 159, No. 97 4 (1963) pp. 125-177.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Hardy, O.J., "Estimation of pairwise relatedness between individuals and characterization of isolation-by-distance processes using dominant genetic markers" *Molecular Ecology*, 12 (2003) pp. 1577-1588.
- Harris, D.L., "Genotypic covariances between inbred relatives" *Genetics* 50, (1964) pp. 1319-1348.
- Hayward, et al., "Fibrillin-1 mutations in Marfan syndrome and other type-1 fibrillinopathies" *Hum. Mutat.* 10 (1997) pp. 415-423.
- Heath, et al., "A novel approach to search for identity by descent in small samples of patients and controls from the same Mendelian breeding unit: a pilot study in myopia" *Human Heredity*, vol. 52, Feb. 2001, pp. 183-190.
- Hepler, A.B., "Improving forensic identification using Bayesian Networks and Relatedness Estimation" Ph.D Thesis, NCSU, Raleigh (2005) pp. 1-131.
- Hernandez-Sanchez, et al., "On the prediction of simultaneous inbreeding coefficients at multiple loci" *Genet. Res.* 83 (2004) pp. 113-120.
- Hernandez-Sanchez, et al., "Prediction of IBD based on population history for fine gene mapping" *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 38 (2006) pp. 231-252.
- Heyer, et al., "Variability of the genetic contribution of Quebec population founders associated to some deleterious genes" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 56 (1995) pp. 970-978.
- Hill, et al. "Prediction of multilocus identity-by-descent" *Genetics* 176, Aug. 2007, pp. 2307-2315.
- Hill, et al., "Linkage disequilibrium in finite populations" *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 38, (1968) pp. 226-231.
- Hill, et al., "Prediction of multi-locus inbreeding coefficients and relation to linkage disequilibrium in random mating populations" *Theor Popul Biol. Sep.* 2007, 72(2), pp. 179-185. doi: 10.1016/j.tpb.2006.05.006.
- Hill, et al., "Variances and covariances of squared linkage disequilibria in finite populations" *Theor. Pop. Biol.*, 33 (1988) pp. 54-78. [PubMed: 3376052].
- Hill, W.G., "Disequilibrium among several linked neutral genes in finite population. II Variances and covariances of disequilibria" *Theor. Pop. Biol.*, vol. 6, 1974, pp. 184-198.
- Hinrichs, et al., "Multipoint identity-by-descent computations for single-point polymorphism and microsatellite maps," *BMC Genet.* 6, Dec. 30, 2005, S34. doi:10.1186/1471-2156-6-S1-S34.
- Houwen, et al., "Genomic screening by searching for shared segments: mapping a gene for benign recurrent intrahepatic cholestasis" *Nature Genetics* vol. 8, Dec. 1994, pp. 380-386.
- Hu, X.S., "Estimating the correlation of pairwise relatedness along chromosomes" *Heredity* 94, (2004) pp. 338-346. [PubMed: 15354191].
- Huang, et al. "Whole genome DNA copy number changes identified by high density oligonucleotide arrays," *Hum. Genomics* vol. 1, No. 4, May 2004, pp. 287-299.
- Huang, et al., "Ignoring linkage disequilibrium among tightly linked markers induces false positive evidence of linkage for affected sib pair analysis" *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 75, (2004) pp. 1106-1112.
- Abbas et al. "A wide variety of mutation in the parkin gene are responsible for autosomal recessive parkinsonism in Europe", *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, vol. 8, No. 4 (1999) pp. 567-574.
- Aekplakorn, W. et al., "A risk score for predicting incident diabetes in the Thai population", *Diabetes Care* 2006;29, pp. 1872-1877.
- Aharon-Peretz J. et al., "Mutations in the glucocerebrosidase gene and Parkinson's disease in Ashkenazi Jews", *N. Engl. J. Med.* 351 (2004) pp. 1972-1977.
- Balkau, B. et al. "Predicting diabetes: clinical, biological, and genetic approaches: data from the Epidemiological Study on the Insulin Resistance Syndrome {DESIR}", *Diabetes Care* 31:10 (2008) pp. 2056-2061.
- Bickel et al., "Discriminative learning for differing training and test distributions", 2007 Proceeding of the 24th international conference on machine learning, Corvallis, OR 2007 pp. 81-89.
- Bickel, J.E., "Some Comparisons among Quadratic, Spherical, and Logarithmic Scoring Rules" *Decision Analysis*, vol. 4, No. 2, Jun. 2007, pp. 49-65.
- Blouin, Michael S., "DNA-based methods for pedigree reconstruction and kinship analysis in natural populations", *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 18, No. 10 (2003): 503-511.
- Bonifati V. et al., "Mutations in the DJ-1 gene associated with autosomal recessive early-onset parkinsonism" *Science* 299 (2003), pp. 256-259.
- Browning, Brian L., and Sharon R Browning, "Efficient multilocus association testing for whole genome association 42 studies using localized haplotype clustering", *Genetic Epidemiology: The Official Publication of the International Genetic Epidemiology Society* 31, No. 5 (2007): 365-375.
- Browning, Sharon R, and Brian L. Browning, "Rapid and accurate haplotype phasing and missing-data inference for whole-genome association studies by use of localized haplotype clustering," *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, No. 5 (2007): 1084-1097.
- Browning, Sharon R., "Estimation of pairwise identity by descent from dense genetic marker data in a population sample of haplotypes", *Genetics* 178, No. 4 (2008): 2123-2132.
- Carlson et al., "Selecting a Maximally Informative Set of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms for Association Analyses Using Linkage Disequilibrium", *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 74 (2004) pp. 106-120.
- Castets et al., "Zinedin, SG2NA, and striatin are calmodulin-binding, WO repeat proteins principally expressed in the brain", *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, (2000) pp. 19970-19977.
- Catalano et al., "Mifepristone induced progesterone withdrawal reveals novel regulatory pathways in human endometrium", *Mol. Hum. Reprod.* 13(9) (2007) pp. 641-654.
- Chiasson, JL et al., "Acarbose for prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus: the STOP-NIDDM randomised trial", *Lancet* 359, (2002) pp. 2072-2077.
- Davis et al., "The overall pattern of cardiac contraction depends on a spatial gradient of myosin regulatory light chain phosphorylation", *Cell* 107 (2001) pp. 631-641.
- Dawid, P., "Present Position and Potential Developments: Some Personal Views: Statistical Theory: The Prequential Approach", *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series A {General}*, vol. 147, No. 2, (1984) pp. 278-292.
- Devlin and Roeder, "Genomic control for association studies" *Biometrics* 55(4) (1999) pp. 997-1004.
- Di Fonzo A. et al., "ATP13A2 missense mutations in juvenile parkinsonism and young onset Parkinson disease" *Neurology* 68 (2007) pp. 1557-1562.
- Dodds, Ken G., Peter R Amer, and Benoit Auvray, "Using genetic markers in unpedigreed populations to detect a heritable trait", *Journal of Zhejiang University Science B* 8, No. 11 (2007): 782-786.
- Edmonds J. and Karp R., "Theoretical improvements in algorithmic efficiency for network flow problems" *J ACM* 19, (1972) pp. 248-264.
- Ellis et al., "Polymorphism of the androgen receptor gene is associated with male pattern baldness" *Dermatol.* 116 (2001) pp. 452-455.
- Expert Panel, "Obesity in Adults" NIH Publication No. 98-4083, Sep. 1998, National Institute of Health, pp. 1-228.
- Falconer et al., "Endometriosis and genetic polymorphisms" *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 62(9) (2007) pp. 616-628.
- Farrer M.J., "Genetics of Parkinson disease: paradigm shifts and future prospects" *Nat Rev Genet* 7, (2006) pp. 306-318.
- Freidlin B. et al., "Trend tests for case-control studies of genetic markers: power, sample size and robustness" *Hum Hered* 53(2002) pp. 146-152.
- Gail, M.H. et al., "Projecting individualized probabilities of developing breast cancer for white females who are being examined annually" *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, vol. 81, No. 24, Dec. 20, 1989, pp. 1879-1886.
- Glaubitz, Jeffrey C., O. Eugene Rhodes Jr, and J. Andrew Dewoody_ "Prospects for inferring pairwise relationships with single nucleotide polymorphisms", *Molecular Ecology* 12, No. 4 (2003): 1039-1047.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Gneiting, T et al., "Probabilistic forecasts, calibration and sharpness" Hal-00363242 (2007) pp. 1-28. <URL:https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00363242>.

Guan and Stephens, "Practical issues in imputation-based association mapping" PLoS Genet. 4(12) Dec. 2008, e100279, pp. 1-11.

Guo, Sun-Wei, "Proportion of genome shared identical by descent by relatives: concept, computation, and applications", American Journal of Human Genetics 56, No. 6 (1995): 1468.

Habuchi et al., "Increased risk of prostate cancer and benign hyperplasia associated with a CYP17 gene polymorphism with a gene dosage effect" Cancer Res. 60(20) (2000) pp. 5710-5713.

Hauser et al., "A genome-wide scan for early-onset coronary artery disease in 438 families: the GENECARD Study" Am. J. Hum. Genet. 75(3) (2004) pp. 436-447.

Healy et al. "Phenotype, genotype, and worldwide genetic penetrance of LRRK2-associated Parkinson's disease: a case-control study" Lancet Neurol. 7(7) (2008) pp. 583-590.

Hillmer et al., "Genetic variation in the human androgen receptor gene is the major determinant of common early-onset androgenetic alopecia" Am. J. Hum. Genet. 77 (2005) pp. 140-148.

Hoggart et al. "Simultaneous analysis of all SNPs in genome-wide and re-sequencing association studies" PLoS Genet. 4(7) (2008) e1000130.

International HapMap Consortium "A second generation human haplotype map of over 3.1 million SNPs" Nature 449 (764) Oct. 18, 2007, pp. 851-861.

Ioannidis J.P. et al., "Assessment of cumulative evidence on genetic associations: interim guidelines" Int J Epidemiol 37 (2008) pp. 120-132.

Jankovic J., "Parkinson's disease: clinical features and diagnosis" J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatr 79 (2008) pp. 368-376.

Jaski et al., "Calcium upregulation by percutaneous administration of gene therapy in cardiac disease (CUPID trial), a first-in-human phase 1/2 clinical trial" J. Card. Fail 15(3) (2009) pp. 171-181.

Jenkins et al., "Noninherited risk factors and congenital cardiovascular defects: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association Council on Cardiovascular disease in the Young: endorsed by the American Academy of Pediatrics" Circulation 115(23) (2007) pp. 2995-3014.

Keith, Jonathan M., Allan McRae, David Duffy, Kerrie Mengersen, and Peter M. Visscher. "Calculation of IBD probabilities with dense

SNP or sequence data", Genetic Epidemiology: The Official Publication of the International Genetic Epidemiology Society 32, No. 6 (2008): 513-519.

Kong, Augustine, Gisli Masson, Michael L. Frigge, Arnaldur Gylfason, Pasha Zusmanovich, Gudmar Thorleifsson, Pall I. Olason et al., "Detection of sharing by descent, long-range phasing and haplotype imputation", Nature genetics 40, No. 9 (2008): 1068-1075.

Leibon, Gregory, Daniel N. Rockmore, and Martin R Pollak, "A Snp streak model for the identification of genetic regions identical-by-descent", Statistical Applications in Genetics and Molecular Biology 7, No. 1 (2008).

Meuwissen, Theo HE, and Mike E. Goddard, "Prediction of identity by descent probabilities from marker-haplotypes", Genetics Selection Evolution 33, No. 6 (2001): 1-30.

Miyazawa, Hitoshi, Masaaki Kato, Takuya Awata, Masakazu Kohda, Hiroyasu Iwasa, Nobuyuki Koyama, Tomoaki Ranaka, Shunei Kyo, Yasushi Okazaki, and Koichi Hagiwara, "Homozygosity haplotype allows a genomewide search of the autosomal segments shared among patients", The American Journal of Human Genetics 80, No. 6 (2007): 1090-1102.

Shmulewitz, Dvora, Simon C_ Heath, Maude L. Blundell, Zhihua Han, Ratendra ShalTTa, Jacqueline Salit, Steven B. Auerbach et al. "Linkage analysis of quantitative traits for obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia on the Island of Kosrae, Federated States of Micronesia", Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103, No. 10 (2006): 3502-3509.

Thomas, Alun, Nicola J. Camp, James M. Farnham, Kristina Allen-Brady, and Lisa A Cannon-Albright, "Shared genomic segment analysis: Mapping disease predisposition genes in extended pedigrees using SNP genotype assays", Annals of human genetics 72, No. 2 (2008): 279-287.

Zimprich A. et al., "Mutations in LRRK2 cause autosomal-autosomal-dominant parkinsonism with pleomorphic pathology", Neuron 44 (2004) pp. 601-607.

Zou H. and Hastie T., "Regularization and variable selection via the Elastic Net", Journal of the Royal Statistical Society B 67 (2005), pp. 301-320.

Chen et al., Explicit Modeling of Ancestry Improves Polygenic Risk Scores and BLUP Prediction, May 2015, Genetic Epidemiology 39(6): 427-438 (Year: 2015).

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/303,398, mailed Aug. 25, 2023.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/303,398, mailed Feb. 16, 2024.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 17/980,024, mailed Feb. 8, 2024.

Office Action, U.S. Appl. No. 18/244,715, mailed May 6, 2024.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1



200 

FIG. 2




FIG. 3

<input type="button" value="LIST VIEW"/>		<input type="button" value="DISCOVERY VIEW"/>			
FILTER PREDICTED RELATIVES:		ALL RESULTS (0) <input type="button" value="v"/>	NO RESULTS		
CONTACT STATUS	PREDICTED RELATIONSHIP	RELATIONSHIP RANGE	PERSONAL DETAILS	% DNA SHARED	# SHARED SEGMENTS
<input type="button" value="SHOW CLOSE RELATIVES"/>					

FIG. 4A

<input type="button" value="LIST VIEW"/>		<input type="button" value="DISCOVERY VIEW"/>		
FILTER PREDICTED		NO RESULTS		
CONTACT STATUS	ABOUT VIEWING CLOSE RELATIVES <input type="button" value="x"/>		% DNA SHARED	# SHARED SEGMENTS
FOR MORE ABOUT <input type="button" value="envelope"/> SEND FEEDBACK	IN RELATIVE FINDER, A CLOSE RELATIVE IS A PERSON WHO SHARES ENOUGH DNA WITH YOU TO BE AT LEAST AS CLOSELY RELATED AS A FIRST COUSIN. IF YOU'RE ALREADY GENOME SHARING WITH A CLOSE RELATIVE. THEY WILL AUTOMATICALLY APPEAR IN YOUR RESULTS. OTHERWISE, YOUR CLOSE RELATIVES WILL NOT APPEAR IN YOUR RESULTS UNLESS THEY'VE ALSO CHOSEN TO VIEW CLOSE RELATIVES. KEEP IN MIND... THERE IS A CHANCE THAT YOU MIGHT FIND OUT ABOUT CLOSE RELATIVES THAT YOU DIDN'T KNOW YOU HAD. FOR SOME PEOPLE, THIS COULD BE WELCOME NEWS. FOR OTHERS, THIS COULD BE SURPRISING OR UNCOMFORTABLE INFORMATION.			
	<input type="button" value="CONTINUE TO CLOSE RELATIVES"/> <input type="button" value="CANCEL"/>			

FIG. 4B

LIST VIEW		DISCOVERY VIEW				
FILTER PREDICTED RELATIVES: ALL RESULTS (12)			RESULTS 1-10 OF 12			
CONTACT STATUS	PREDICTED RELATIONSHIP	RELATIONSHIP RANGE	PERSONAL DETAILS	% DNA SHARED	# SHARED SEGMENTS	
MAKE CONTACT	4TH COUSIN	4TH TO 10TH COUSIN	FEMALE MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP F3	0.19%	2	
MAKE CONTACT	5TH COUSIN	3RD TO 10TH COUSIN	FEMALE CENTRAL ASIAN ANCESTRY MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP G	0.12%	1	
MAKE CONTACT	5TH COUSIN	3RD TO 10TH COUSIN	MALE MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP G1A PATERNAL HAPLOGROUP B1b1b2a1a2d	0.11%	1	
MAKE CONTACT	5TH COUSIN	4TH TO 10TH COUSIN	MALE MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP R9b PATERNAL HAPLOGROUP O3a3c	0.10%	1	
MAKE CONTACT	5TH COUSIN	4TH TO 10TH COUSIN	FEMALE MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP B	0.09%	1	
MAKE CONTACT	5TH COUSIN	4TH TO 10TH COUSIN	MALE MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP D4c1 PATERNAL HAPLOGROUP O3a	0.09%	1	
MAKE CONTACT	5TH COUSIN	4TH TO 10TH COUSIN	MALE MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP F1a1* PATERNAL HAPLOGROUP O1a1*	0.09%	1	
SHARING GENOMES SEND A MESSAGE	PARENT OR CHILD	--	***** MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP C	50.11%	24	

FIG. 4C




FIG. 4D






FIG. 4E







LIST VIEW		DISCOVERY VIEW		RESULTS 1-2 OF 2	
FILTER PREDICTED RELATIVES:		ALL RESULTS (2)			
CONTACT STATUS	PREDICTED RELATIONSHIP	RELATIONSHIP RANGE	PERSONAL DETAILS	% DNA SHARED	# SHARED SEGMENTS
THIS PERSON WOULD LIKE TO CONTACT YOU. <input type="button" value="VIEW MESSAGE"/>	AUNT/UNCLE NEPHEW, NIECE OR HALF-SIBLING	--	  DANIEL LAWRENCE RF2_ MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP U5a1* PATERNAL HAPLOGROUP R1b1b2a1a2d3*	20.22%	43
CONTACT ACCEPTED SEND A MESSAGE VIEW CONVERSATION	SIBLING	--	  ERIN LAWRENCE RF2_ MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP H1	46.75%	29

FIG. 4H

LIS

FILTER PRED

CONTACT STATUS

THIS PERSON WOULD LIKE TO CONTACT

NEW MESSAGES


CONTACT ACTIONS
SEND A MESSAGE
VIEW CONVERSATION

FOR MORE ABOUT
SEND FEEDBACK

VIEW MESSAGE DECEMBER 2, 2009

DEAR IAN LAWRENCE rf2_,

A POTENTIAL RELATIVE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE CONTACT WITH YOU. YOU CAN CHOOSE TO ACCEPT CONTACT OR DECLINE BELOW.



AUNT/UNCLE, NEPHEW/NIECE, OR HALF-SIBLING
DANIEL LAWRENCE rf2_
MATERNAL HAPLOGROUP U5a1*
PATERNAL HAPLOGROUP R1b1b2a1a2d3*

MESSAGE FROM SENDER:

HELLO,

23ANDME HAS IDENTIFIED US AS POTENTIAL RELATIVES. WOULD YOU BE INTERESTED IN COMMUNICATING FURTHER TO SEE HOW WE MIGHT BE RELATED?

WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE IN CONTACT?

YES, ACCEPT.
 NO, DECLINE.

SUBMITCANCEL

RESULTS 1-2 OF 2



DETAILS	% DNA SHARED	# SHARED SEGMENTS
 E RF2_ GROUP GROUP	20.22%	43
 F2_ GROUP H1	46.75%	29

FIG. 4I




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8

Alice	A	G	T		C	T	G		C	A	A		...	--	902
	C	G	A		C	A	G		T	C	A		...	--	904
Bob	C	A	T		G	A	C		C	C	G		...	--	906
	A	A	T		C	T	G		C	A	A		...	--	908

FIG. 9

FINDING RELATIVES IN A DATABASE**CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/979,412, filed Nov. 2, 2022.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/979,412 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/576,738, filed Jan. 14, 2022.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/576,738 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/351,052, filed Jun. 17, 2021.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/351,052 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/073,110, filed Oct. 16, 2020.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 17/073,110 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/129,645, filed Sep. 12, 2018.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/129,645 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/264,493, filed Sep. 13, 2016.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/264,493 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/871,744, filed Apr. 26, 2013.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/871,744 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/644,791, filed Dec. 22, 2009.

U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/644,791 is a continuation of and claims priority to U.S. provisional patent application no. 61/204,195, filed Dec. 31, 2008.

All of these cited priority applications are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Genealogy is the study of the history of families and the line of descent from ancestors. It is an interesting subject studied by many professionals as well as hobbyists. Traditional genealogical study techniques typically involve constructing family trees based on surnames and historical records. As gene sequencing technology becomes more accessible, there has been growing interest in genetic ancestry testing in recent years.

Existing genetic ancestry testing techniques are typically based on deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) information of the Y chromosome (Y-DNA) or DNA information of the mitochondria (mtDNA). Aside from a small amount of mutation, the Y-DNA is passed down unchanged from father to son and therefore is useful for testing patrilineal ancestry of a man. The mtDNA is passed down mostly unchanged from mother to children and therefore is useful for testing a person's matrilineal ancestry. These techniques are found to be effective for identifying individuals that are related many generations ago (e.g., 10 generations or more), but are typically less effective for identifying closer relationships. Further, many relationships that are not strictly patrilineal or matrilineal cannot be easily detected by the existing techniques.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Various embodiments of the invention are disclosed in the following detailed description and the accompanying drawings.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of a relative finding system.

FIG. 2 is a flowchart illustrating an embodiment of a process for finding relatives in a relative finding system.

FIG. 3 is a flowchart illustrating an embodiment of a process for connecting a user with potential relatives found in the database.

FIGS. 4A-4I are screenshots illustrating user interface examples in connection with process 300.

FIG. 5 is a diagram illustrating an embodiment of a process for determining the expected degree of relationship between two users.

FIG. 6 is a diagram illustrating example DNA data used for IBD identification by process 500.

FIG. 7 shows the simulated relationship distribution patterns for different population groups according to one embodiment.

FIG. 8 is a diagram illustrating an embodiment of a highly parallel IBD identification process.

FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating an example in which phased data is compared to identify IBD.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The invention can be implemented in numerous ways, including as a process; an apparatus; a system; a composition of matter; a computer program product embodied on a computer readable storage medium; and/or a processor, such as a processor configured to execute instructions stored on and/or provided by a memory coupled to the processor. In this specification, these implementations, or any other form that the invention may take, may be referred to as techniques. In general, the order of the steps of disclosed processes may be altered within the scope of the invention. Unless stated otherwise, a component such as a processor or a memory described as being configured to perform a task may be implemented as a general component that is temporarily configured to perform the task at a given time or a specific component that is manufactured to perform the task. As used herein, the term 'processor' refers to one or more devices, circuits, and/or processing cores configured to process data, such as computer program instructions.

A detailed description of one or more embodiments of the invention is provided below along with accompanying figures that illustrate the principles of the invention. The invention is described in connection with such embodiments, but the invention is not limited to any embodiment. The scope of the invention is limited only by the claims and the invention encompasses numerous alternatives, modifications and equivalents. Numerous specific details are set forth in the following description in order to provide a thorough understanding of the invention. These details are provided for the purpose of example and the invention may be practiced according to the claims without some or all of these specific details. For the purpose of clarity, technical material that is known in the technical fields related to the invention has not been described in detail so that the invention is not unnecessarily obscured.

Because of recombination and independent assortment of chromosomes, the autosomal DNA and X chromosome DNA (collectively referred to as recombinable DNA) from the parents is shuffled at the next generation, with small amounts of mutation. Thus, only relatives will share long stretches of genome regions where their recombinable DNA is completely or nearly identical. Such regions are referred to as "Identical by Descent" (IBD) regions because they arose from the same DNA sequences in an earlier genera-

tion. The relative finder technique described below is based at least in part on locating IBD regions in the recombinable chromosomes of individuals.

In some embodiments, locating IBD regions includes sequencing the entire genomes of the individuals and comparing the genome sequences. In some embodiments, locating IBD regions includes assaying a large number of markers that tend to vary in different individuals and comparing the markers. Examples of such markers include Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs), which are points along the genome with two or more common variations; Short Tandem Repeats (STRs), which are repeated patterns of two or more repeated nucleotide sequences adjacent to each other; and Copy-Number Variants (CNVs), which include longer sequences of DNA that could be present in varying numbers in different individuals. Long stretches of DNA sequences from different individuals' genomes in which markers in the same locations are the same or at least compatible indicate that the rest of the sequences, although not assayed directly, are also likely identical.

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an embodiment of a relative finding system. In this example, relative finder system **102** may be implemented using one or more server computers having one or more processors, one or more special purpose computing appliances, or any other appropriate hardware, software, or combinations thereof. The operations of the relative finder system are described in greater detail below. In this example, various users of the system (e.g., user 1 ("Alice") and user 2 ("Bob")) access the relative finder system via a network **104** using client devices such as **106** and **108**. User information (including genetic information and optionally other personal information such as family information, population group, etc.) pertaining to the users is stored in a database **110**, which can be implemented on an integral storage component of the relative finder system, an attached storage device, a separate storage device accessible by the relative finder system, or a combination thereof. Many different arrangements of the physical components are possible in various embodiments. In various embodiments, the entire genome sequences or assayed DNA markers (SNPs, STRs, CNVs, etc.) are stored in the database to facilitate the relative finding process. For example, approximately 650,000 SNPs per individual's genome are assayed and stored in the database in some implementations.

System **100** shown in this example includes genetic and other additional non-genetic information for many users. By comparing the recombinable DNA information to identify IBD regions between various users, the relative finder system can identify users within the database that are relatives. Since more distant relationships (second cousins or further) are often unknown to the users themselves, the system allows the users to "opt-in" and receive notifications about the existence of relative relationships. Users are also presented with the option of connecting with their newly found relatives.

FIG. 2 is a flowchart illustrating an embodiment of a process for finding relatives in a relative finding system. Process **200** may be implemented on a relative finder system such as **100**. The process may be invoked, for example, at a user's request to look for potential relatives this user may have in the database or by the system to assess the potential relationships among various users. At **202**, recombinable DNA information of a first user (e.g., Alice) and of a second user (e.g., Bob) is received. In some embodiments, the information is retrieved from a database that stores recombinable DNA information of a plurality of users as well as any additional user information. For purposes of illustration,

SNP information is described extensively in this and following examples. Other DNA information such as STR information and/or CNV information may be used in other embodiments.

At **204**, a predicted degree of relationship between Alice and Bob is determined. In some embodiments, a range of possible relationships between the users is determined and a prediction of the most likely relationship between the users is made. In some embodiments, it is optionally determined whether the predicted degree of relationship at least meets a threshold. The threshold may be a user configurable value, a system default value, a value configured by the system's operator, or any other appropriate value. For example, Bob may select five generations as the maximum threshold, which means he is interested in discovering relatives with whom the user shares a common ancestor five generations or closer. Alternatively, the system may set a default value minimum of three generations, allowing the users to by default find relatives sharing a common ancestor at least three generations out or beyond. In some embodiments, the system, the user, or both, have the option to set a minimum threshold (e.g., two generations) and a maximum threshold (e.g., six generations) so that the user would discover relatives within a maximum number of generations, but would not be surprised by the discovery of a close relative such as a sibling who was previously unknown to the user.

At **206**, Alice or Bob (or both) is notified about her/his relative relationship with the other user. In some embodiments, the system actively notifies the users by sending messages or alerts about the relationship information when it becomes available. Other notification techniques are possible, for example by displaying a list or table of users that are found to be related to the user. Depending on system settings, the potential relatives may be shown anonymously for privacy protection, or shown with visible identities to facilitate making connections. In embodiments where a threshold is set, the user is only notified if the predicted degree of relationship at least meets the threshold. In some embodiments, a user is only notified if both of the user and the potential relative have "opted in" to receive the notification. In various embodiments, the user is notified about certain personal information of the potential relative, the predicted relationship, the possible range of relationships, the amount of DNA matching, or any other appropriate information.

In some embodiments, at **208**, the process optionally infers additional relationships or refines estimates of existing relationships between the users based on other relative relationship information, such as the relative relationship information the users have with a third user. For example, although Alice and Bob are only estimated to be 6th cousins after step **204**, if among Alice's relatives in the system, a third cousin, Cathy, is also a sibling of Bob's, then Alice and Bob are deemed to be third cousins because of their relative relationships to Cathy. The relative relationships with the third user may be determined based on genetic information and analysis using a process similar to **200**, based on non-genetic information such as family tree supplied by one of the users, or both.

In some embodiments, the relatives of the users in the system are optionally checked to infer additional relatives at **210**. For example, if Bob is identified as a third cousin of Alice's, then Bob's relatives in the system (such as children, siblings, possibly some of the parents, aunts, uncles, cousins, etc.) are also deemed to be relatives of Alice's. In some embodiments a threshold is applied to limit the relationships

5

within a certain range. Additional notifications about these relatives are optionally generated.

Upon receiving a notification about another user who is a potential relative, the notified user is allowed to make certain choices about how to interact with the potential relative. FIG. 3 is a flowchart illustrating an embodiment of a process for connecting a user with potential relatives found in the database. The process may be implemented on a relative finder system such as 102, a client system such as 106, or a combination thereof. In this example, it is assumed that it has been determined that Alice and Bob are possibly 4th cousins and that Alice has indicated that she would like to be notified about any potential relatives within 6 generations. In this example, process 300 follows 206 of process 200, where a notification is sent to Alice, indicating that a potential relative has been identified. In some embodiments, the identity of Bob is disclosed to Alice. In some embodiments, the identity of Bob is not disclosed initially to protect Bob's privacy.

Upon receiving the notification, Alice decides that she would like to make a connection with the newly found relative. At 302, an invitation from Alice to Bob inviting Bob to make a connection is generated. In various embodiments, the invitation includes information about how Alice and Bob may be related and any personal information Alice wishes to share such as her own ancestry information. Upon receiving the invitation, Bob can accept the invitation or decline. At 304, an acceptance or a declination is received. If a declination is received, no further action is required. In some embodiments, Alice is notified that a declination has been received. If, however, an acceptance is received, at 306, a connection is made between Alice and Bob. In various embodiments, once a connection is made, the identities and any other sharable personal information (e.g., genetic information, family history, phenotype/traits, etc.) of Alice and Bob are revealed to each other and they may interact with each other. In some embodiments, the connection information is updated in the database.

In some embodiments, a user can discover many potential relatives in the database at once. Additional potential relatives are added as more users join the system and make their genetic information available for the relative finding process. FIGS. 4A-4I are screenshots illustrating user interface examples in connection with process 300. In this example, the relative finder application provides two views to the user: the discovery view and the list view.

FIG. 4A shows an interface example for the discovery view at the beginning of the process. No relative has been discovered at this point. In this example, a privacy feature is built into the relative finder application so that close relative information will only be displayed if both the user and the close relative have chosen to view close relatives. This is referred to as the "opt in" feature. The user is further presented with a selection button "show close relatives" to indicate that he/she is interested in finding out about close relatives. FIG. 4B shows a message that is displayed when the user selects "show close relatives". The message explains to the user how a close relative is defined. In this case, a close relative is defined as a first cousin or closer. In other words, the system has set a default minimum threshold of three degrees. The message further explains that unless there is already an existing connection between the user and the close relative, any newly discovered potential close relatives will not appear in the results unless the potential close relatives have also chosen to view their close relatives. The message further warns about the possibility of finding out about close relatives the user did not know he/she had.

6

The user has the option to proceed with viewing close relatives or cancel the selection.

FIG. 4C shows the results in the discovery view. In this example, seven potential relatives are found in the database. The predicted relationship, the range of possible relationship, certain personal details a potential relative has made public, the amount of DNA a potential relative shares with the user, and the number of DNA segments the potential relative shares with the user are displayed. The user is presented with a "make contact" selection button for each potential relative.

FIG. 4D shows the results in the list view. The potential relatives are sorted according to how close the corresponding predicted relationships are to the user in icon form. The user may select an icon that corresponds to a potential relative and view his/her personal information, the predicted relationship, relationship range, and other additional information. The user can also make contact with the potential relative.

FIGS. 4E-4G show the user interface when the user selects to "make contact" with a potential relative. FIG. 4E shows the first step in making contact, where the user personalizes the introduction message and determine what information the user is willing to share with the potential relative. FIG. 4F shows an optional step in making contact, where the user is told about the cost of using the introduction service. In this case, the introduction is free. FIG. 4G shows the final step, where the introduction message is sent.

FIG. 4H shows the user interface shown to the potential relative upon receiving the introduction message. In this example, the discovery view indicates that a certain user/potential relative has requested to make a contact. The predicted relationship, personal details of the sender, and DNA sharing information are shown to the recipient. The recipient has the option to select "view message" to view the introduction message from the sender.

FIG. 4I shows the message as it is displayed to the recipient. In addition to the content of the message, the recipient is given the option to accept or decline the invitation to be in contact with the sender. If the recipient accepts the invitation, the recipient and the sender become connected and may view each other's information and/or interact with each other.

Many other user interfaces can be used in addition to or as alternatives of the ones shown above. For example, in some embodiments, at least some of the potential relatives are displayed in a family tree.

Determining the relationship between two users in the database is now described. In some embodiments, the determination includes comparing the DNA markers (e.g., SNPs) of two users and identifying IBD regions. The standard SNP based genotyping technology results in genotype calls each having two alleles, one from each half of a chromosome pair. As used herein, a genotype call refers to the identification of the pair of alleles at a particular locus on the chromosome. Genotype calls can be phased or unphased. In phased data, the individual's diploid genotype at a particular locus is resolved into two haplotypes, one for each chromosome. In unphased data, the two alleles are unresolved; in other words, it is uncertain which allele corresponds to which haplotype or chromosome.

The genotype call at a particular SNP location may be a heterozygous call with two different alleles or a homozygous call with two identical alleles. A heterozygous call is represented using two different letters such as AB that correspond to different alleles. Some SNPs are biallelic SNPs

with only two possible states for SNPs. Some SNPs have more states, e.g. triallelic. Other representations are possible.

In this example, A is selected to represent an allele with base A and B represents an allele with base G at the SNP location. Other representations are possible. A homozygous call is represented using a pair of identical letters such as AA or BB. The two alleles in a homozygous call are interchangeable because the same allele came from each parent. When two individuals have opposite-homozygous calls at a given SNP location, or, in other words, one person has alleles AA and the other person has alleles BB, it is very likely that the region in which the SNP resides does not have IBD since different alleles came from different ancestors. If, however, the two individuals have compatible calls, that is, both have the same homozygotes (i.e., both people have AA alleles or both have BB alleles), both have heterozygotes (i.e., both people have AB alleles), or one has a heterozygote and the other a homozygote (i.e., one has AB and the other has AA or BB), there is some chance that at least one allele is passed down from the same ancestor and therefore the region in which the SNP resides is IBD. Further, based on statistical computations, if a region has a very low rate of opposite-homozygote occurrence over a substantial distance, it is likely that the individuals inherited the DNA sequence in the region from the same ancestor and the region is therefore deemed to be an IBD region.

FIG. 5 is a diagram illustrating an embodiment of a process for determining the predicted degree of relationship between two users. Process 500 may be implemented on a relative finder system such as 102 and is applicable to unphased data. At 502, consecutive opposite-homozygous calls in the users' SNPs are identified. The consecutive opposite-homozygous calls can be identified by serially comparing individual SNPs in the users' SNP sequences or in parallel using bitwise operations as described below. At 504, the distance between consecutive opposite-homozygous calls is determined. At 506, IBD regions are identified based at least in part on the distance between the opposite-homozygous calls. The distance may be physical distance measured in the number of base pairs or genetic distance accounting for the rate of recombination. For example, in some embodiments, if the genetic distance between the locations of two consecutive opposite-homozygous calls is greater than a threshold of 10 centimorgans (cM), the region between the calls is determined to be an IBD region. This step may be repeated for all the opposite-homozygous calls. A tolerance for genotyping error can be built by allowing some low rate of opposite homozygotes when calculating an IBD segment. In some embodiments, the total number of matching genotype calls is also taken into account when deciding whether the region is IBD. For example, a region may be examined where the distance between consecutive opposite homozygous calls is just below the 10 cM threshold. If a large enough number of genotype calls within that interval match exactly, the interval is deemed IBD.

FIG. 6 is a diagram illustrating example DNA data used for IBD identification by process 500. 602 and 604 correspond to the SNP sequences of Alice and Bob, respectively. At location 606, the alleles of Alice and Bob are opposite-homozygotes, suggesting that the SNP at this location resides in a non-IBD region. Similarly, at location 608, the opposite-homozygotes suggest a non-IBD region. At location 610, however, both pairs of alleles are heterozygotes, suggesting that there is potential for IBD. Similarly, there is potential for IBD at location 612, where both pairs of alleles are identical homozygotes, and at location 614, where

Alice's pair of alleles is heterozygous and Bob's is homozygous. If there is no other opposite-homozygote between 606 and 608 and there are a large number of compatible calls between the two locations, it is then likely that the region between 606 and 608 is an IBD region.

Returning to FIG. 5, at 508, the number of shared IBD segments and the amount of DNA shared by the two users are computed based on the IBD. In some embodiments, the longest IBD segment is also determined. In some embodiments, the amount of DNA shared includes the sum of the lengths of IBD regions and/or percentage of DNA shared. The sum is referred to as IBD_{half} or half IBD because the individuals share DNA identical by descent for at least one of the homologous chromosomes. At 510, the predicted relationship between the users, the range of possible relationships, or both, is determined using the IBD_{half} and number of segments, based on the distribution pattern of IBD_{half} and shared segments for different types of relationships. For example, in a first degree parent/child relationship, the individuals have IBD_{half} that is 100% the total length of all the autosomal chromosomes and 22 shared autosomal chromosome segments; in a second degree grandparent/grandchild relationship, the individuals have IBD_{half} that is approximately half the total length of all the autosomal chromosomes and many more shared segments; in each subsequent degree of relationship, the percentage of IBD_{half} of the total length is about 50% of the previous degree. Also, for more distant relationships, in each subsequent degree of relationship, the number of shared segments is approximately half of the previous number.

In various embodiments, the effects of genotyping error are accounted for and corrected. In some embodiments, certain genotyped SNPs are removed from consideration if there are a large number of Mendelian errors when comparing data from known parent/offspring trios. In some embodiments, SNPs that have a high no-call rate or otherwise failed quality control measures during the assay process are removed. In some embodiments, in an IBD segment, an occasional opposite-homozygote is allowed if there is sufficient opposite-homozygotes-free distance (e.g., at least 3 cM and 300 SNPs) surrounding the opposite-homozygote.

There is a statistical range of possible relationships for the same IBD_{half} and shared segment number. In some embodiments, the distribution patterns are determined empirically based on survey of real populations. Different population groups may exhibit different distribution patterns. For example, the level of homozygosity within endogamous populations is found to be higher than in populations receiving gene flow from other groups. In some embodiments, the bounds of particular relationships are estimated using simulations of IBD using generated family trees. Based at least in part on the distribution patterns, the IBD_{half} and shared number of segments, the degree of relationship between two individuals can be estimated. FIG. 7 shows the simulated relationship distribution patterns for different population groups according to one embodiment. In particular, Ashkenazi Jews and Europeans are two population groups surveyed. In panels A-C, for each combination of IBD_{half} and the number of IBD segments in an Ashkenazi sample group, the 95%, 50% and 5% of obtained nth degree cousinships from 1 million simulated pedigrees are plotted. In panels D-F, for each combination of IBD_{half} and the number of IBD segments in a European sample group, the 95%, 50% and 5% of obtained nth degree cousinships from 1 million simulated pedigrees are plotted. In panels G-I, the differences between Ashkenazi and European distant cousinship for the prior panels are represented. Each nth cousinship

category is scaled by the expected number of n th degree cousins given a model of population growth. Simulations are conducted by specifying an extended pedigree and creating simulated genomes for the pedigree by simulating the mating of individuals drawn from a pool of empirical genomes. Pairs of individuals who appear to share IBD_{half} that was not inherited through the specified simulated pedigree are marked as “unknown” in panels A-F. Thus, special distribution patterns can be used to find relatives of users who have indicated that they belong to certain distinctive population groups such as the Ashkenazi.

The amount of IBD sharing is used in some embodiments to identify different population groups. For example, for a given degree of relationship, since Ashkenazi tend to have much more IBD sharing than non-Ashkenazi Europeans, users may be classified as either Ashkenazi or non-Ashkenazi Europeans based on the number and pattern of IBD matches.

In some embodiments, instead of, or in addition to, determining the relationship based on the overall number of IBD segments and percent DNA shared, individual chromosomes are examined to determine the relationship. For example, X chromosome information is received in some embodiments in addition to the autosomal chromosomes. The X chromosomes of the users are also processed to identify IBD. Since one of the X chromosomes in a female user is passed on from her father without recombination, the female inherits one X chromosome from her paternal grandmother and another one from her mother. Thus, the X chromosome undergoes recombination at a slower rate compared to autosomal chromosomes and more distant relationships can be predicted using IBD found on the X chromosomes.

In some embodiments, analyses of mutations within IBD segments can be used to estimate ages of the IBD segments and refine estimates of relationships between users.

In some embodiments, the relationship determined is verified using non-DNA information. For example, the relationship may be checked against the users’ family tree information, birth records, or other user information.

In some embodiments, the efficiency of IBD region identification is improved by comparing a user’s DNA information with the DNA information of multiple other users in parallel and using bitwise operations. FIG. 8 is a diagram illustrating an embodiment of a highly parallel IBD identification process. Alice’s SNP calls are compared with those of multiple other users. Alice’s SNP calls are pre-processed to identify ones that are homozygous. Alice’s heterozygous calls are not further processed since they always indicate that there is possibility of IBD with another user. For each SNP call in Alice’s genome that is homozygous, the zygosity states in the corresponding SNP calls in the other users are encoded. In this example, compatible calls (e.g., heterozygous calls and same homozygous calls) are encoded as 0 and opposite-homozygous calls are encoded as 1. For example, for homozygous SNP call AA at location 806, opposite-homozygous calls BB are encoded as 1 and compatible calls (AA and AB) are encoded as 0; for homozygous SNP call EE at location 812, opposite-homozygous calls FF are encoded as 1 and compatible calls (EE and EF) are encoded as 0, etc. The encoded representations are stored in arrays such as 818, 820, and 824. In some embodiments, the length of the array is the same as the word length of the processor to achieve greater processing efficiency. For example, in a 64-bit processing system, the array length is set to 64 and the zygosity of 64 users’ SNP calls are encoded and stored in the array.

A bitwise operation is performed on the encoded arrays to determine whether a section of DNA such as the section between locations 806 and 810 includes opposite-homozygous calls. In this example, a bitwise OR operation is performed to generate a result array 824. Any user with no opposite-homozygous calls between beginning location 806 and ending location 816 results in an entry value of 0 in array 824. The corresponding DNA segment, therefore, is deemed as an IBD region for such user and Alice. In contrast, users with opposite-homozygotes result in corresponding entry values of 1 in array 824 and they are deemed not to share IBD with Alice in this region. In the example shown, user 1 shares IBD with Alice while other users do not.

In some embodiments, phased data is used instead of unphased data. These data can come directly from assays that produce phased data, or from statistical processing of unphased data. IBD regions are determined by matching the SNP sequences between users. In some embodiments, sequences of SNPs are stored in dictionaries using a hashable data structure for the case of comparison. FIG. 9 is a diagram illustrating an example in which phased data is compared to identify IBD. The sequences are split along pre-defined intervals into non-overlapping words. Other embodiments may use overlapping words. Although a preset length of 3 is used for purposes of illustration in the example shown, many implementations may use words of longer lengths (e.g. 100). Also, the length does not have to be the same for every location. In FIG. 9, in Alice’s chromosome pair 1, chromosome 902 is represented by words AGT, CTG, CAA, . . . and chromosome 904 is represented by CGA, CAG, TCA, At each location, the words are stored in a hash table that includes information about a plurality of users to enable constant retrieval of which users carry matching haplotypes. Similar hash tables are constructed for other sequences starting at other locations. To determine whether Bob’s chromosome pair 1 shares any IBD with Alice’s, Bob’s sequences are processed into words at the same locations as Alice’s. Thus, Bob’s chromosome 906 yields CAT, GAC, CCG, . . . and chromosome 908 yields AAT, CTG, CAA, . . . Every word from Bob’s chromosomes is then looked up in the corresponding hash table to check whether any other users have the same word at that location in their genomes. In the example shown, the second and third words of chromosome 908 match second and third words of Alice’s chromosome 902. This indicates that SNP sequence CTGCAA is present in both chromosomes and suggests the possibility of IBD sharing. If enough matching words are present in close proximity to each other, the region would be deemed IBD.

In some embodiments, relative relationships found using the techniques described above are used to infer characteristics about the users that are related to each other. In some embodiments, the inferred characteristic is based on non-genetic information pertaining to the related users. For example, if a user is found to have a number of relatives that belong to a particular population group, then an inference is made that the user may also belong to the same population group. In some embodiments, genetic information is used to infer characteristics, in particular characteristics specific to shared IBD segments of the related users. Assume, for example, that Alice has sequenced her entire genome but her relatives in the system have only genotyped SNP data. If Alice’s genome sequence indicates that she may have inherited a disease gene, then, with Alice’s permission, Alice’s relatives who have shared IBD with Alice in the same region that includes the disease gene may be notified that they are at risk for the same disease.

11

Although the foregoing embodiments have been described in some detail for purposes of clarity of understanding, the invention is not limited to the details provided. There are many alternative ways of implementing the invention. The disclosed embodiments are illustrative and not restrictive.

What is claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method comprising:
 - receiving, by a computing system and from a user, a request for information about potential familial relatives among a plurality of users in a familial relative finder database, wherein the familial relative finder database comprises recombinable deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequence information of the plurality of users, including that of the user;
 - determining, through use of bitwise parallel processing by the computing system, identical-by-descent (IBD) segments from the recombinable DNA sequence information of the user and the recombinable DNA sequence information of the plurality of users;
 - based on the IBD segments, predicting, by the computing system, familial relative relationships between the user and each of a subset of users from the plurality of users; and
 - providing, by the computing system and for display on a client device of the user, a representation of a graphical user interface indicating the familial relative relationships between the user and each of the subset of users.
2. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein determining the IBD segments comprises:
 - identifying the IBD segments based on a plurality of locations within a region of the DNA sequence information of the plurality of users.
3. The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein identifying the IBD segments comprises:
 - representing, in respective bitwise arrays for each of the subset of users, the locations that have opposite-homozygous values to that of the user with a one and the locations do not have opposite-homozygous values to that of the user with a zero;
 - performing, in parallel, a bitwise OR operation over each of the respective bitwise arrays to form a result array; and
 - determining, where the result array indicates a zero, that a particular user of the subset of users and the user share an IBD segment within the region.
4. The computer-implemented method of claim 3, wherein a number of the subset of users matches a word length of a processor of the computing system.
5. The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein identifying the IBD segments comprises:
 - determining that a particular user of the subset of users and the user share an IBD segment where there is no more than a predetermined rate of opposite homozygotes between the particular user and the user within the region.
6. The computer-implemented method of claim 5, wherein determining that the particular user and the user share the IBD segment comprises:
 - determining that the IBD segment has a distance between consecutive opposite homozygotes that is above a predetermined threshold within the region.
7. The computer-implemented method of claim 2, wherein identifying the IBD segments comprises:
 - determining that a particular user of the subset of users and the user share an IBD segment where a distance

12

between consecutive opposite homozygotes is above a predetermined threshold within the region.

8. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the IBD segments include a particular IBD segment shared by the user and a particular user of the subset of users, the computer-implemented method further comprising:
 - determining that the particular IBD segment overlaps with a disease gene; and
 - providing, to the particular user, a notification that the particular user is at risk of a disease associated with the disease gene.
9. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, wherein the graphical user interface indicates the familial relative relationships between the user and each of the subset of users in tabular form.
10. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, wherein entries in the tabular form display, for the user and each particular user of the subset of users, predicted familial relative relationships and a percent of DNA shared.
11. The computer-implemented method of claim 10, wherein entries in the tabular form are sorted according to closeness of the predicted familial relative relationships with the user.
12. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, wherein an entry in the tabular form displays an icon selectable by way of the graphical user interface that facilitates making contact between the user and a particular user to which the entry applies.
13. The computer-implemented method of claim 12, further comprising:
 - receiving, from the client device, an indication that the user has selected the icon; and
 - in response to receiving the indication, providing, for display on the client device, a representation of a second graphical user interface that prompts the user to enter a personalized introduction message and to select personal information that the user is willing to share with the particular user.
14. The computer-implemented method of claim 13, further comprising:
 - receiving, from the client device, the personalized introduction message or selection of the personal information; and
 - in response to receiving the personalized introduction message or selection of the personal information, transmitting an invitation to connect to the particular user on behalf of the user.
15. The computer-implemented method of claim 14, wherein the particular user has opted-in to being presented with information about potential familial relatives.
16. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, wherein entries in the tabular form are anonymized to hide identities of the subset of users.
17. The computer-implemented method of claim 9, wherein each entry in the tabular form also displays, for the user and each particular user of the subset of users, a number of IBD segments shared.
18. The computer-implemented method of claim 1, further comprising:
 - receiving, from the user, a number of generations as a threshold relationship value, wherein each of the subset of users has a respective common ancestor with the user that is no more than the number of generations from the user.

13

19. A non-transitory computer-readable medium, having stored thereon program instructions that, upon execution by a computing device, cause the computing device to perform operations comprising:

receiving from a user, a request for information about 5
potential familial relatives among a plurality of users in a familial relative finder database, wherein the familial relative finder database comprises recombinable deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequence information of the plurality of users, including that of the user; 10

determining, through use of bitwise parallel processing, identical-by-descent (IBD) segments from the recombinable DNA sequence information of the user and the recombinable DNA sequence information of the plurality of users; 15

based on the IBD segments, predicting familial relative relationships between the user and each of a subset of users from the plurality of users; and

providing, for display on a client device of the user, a representation of a graphical user interface indicating 20
the familial relative relationships between the user and each of the subset of users.

20. A computing system comprising:
one or more processors; and

14

memory containing instructions that, when executed by the one or more processors, cause the computing system to perform operations comprising:

receiving, from a user, a request for information about potential familial relatives among a plurality of users in a familial relative finder database, wherein the familial relative finder database comprises recombinable deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequence information of the plurality of users, including that of the user;

determining, through use of bitwise parallel processing, identical-by-descent (IBD) segments from the recombinable DNA sequence information of the user and the recombinable DNA sequence information of the plurality of users;

based on the IBD segments, predicting familial relative relationships between the user and each of a subset of users from the plurality of users; and

providing, for display on a client device of the user, a representation of a graphical user interface indicating the familial relative relationships between the user and each of the subset of users.

* * * * *