



US011881608B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Sato**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 11,881,608 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jan. 23, 2024**

(54) **FILTER DEVICE**

(71) Applicant: **Murata Manufacturing Co., Ltd.**,  
Nagaokakyo (JP)  
(72) Inventor: **Tomoya Sato**, Nagaokakyo (JP)  
(73) Assignee: **MURATA MANUFACTURING CO., LTD.**,  
Kyoto (JP)  
(\* ) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this  
patent is extended or adjusted under 35  
U.S.C. 154(b) by 590 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **17/088,637**  
(22) Filed: **Nov. 4, 2020**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**  
US 2021/0184324 A1 Jun. 17, 2021

(30) **Foreign Application Priority Data**  
Dec. 11, 2019 (JP) ..... 2019-223404  
Jun. 26, 2020 (JP) ..... 2020-110656

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**H03H 9/64** (2006.01)  
**H03H 9/145** (2006.01)  
**H03H 9/25** (2006.01)  
**H01P 1/20** (2006.01)  
**H03H 9/02** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
CPC ..... **H01P 1/20** (2013.01); **H03H 9/02543**  
(2013.01); **H03H 9/14582** (2013.01); **H03H**  
**9/25** (2013.01); **H03H 9/6406** (2013.01);  
**H03H 9/6483** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
CPC ..... H03H 9/02; H03H 9/25; H03H 9/64  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,034,577 A 3/2000 Matsui et al.  
7,030,716 B2 \* 4/2006 Tsutsumi ..... H03H 9/725  
333/133  
8,502,621 B2 \* 8/2013 Uesaka ..... H03H 9/6426  
333/133  
9,806,692 B2 \* 10/2017 Kishino ..... H03H 9/02614  
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 107026634 A 8/2017  
CN 107623504 A 1/2018  
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

First Office Action in KR1020200170735, dated Jan. 20, 2023, 5  
pages.


(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Andrea Lindgren Baltzell  
*Assistant Examiner* — Alan Wong  
(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Keating & Bennett, LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A filter device includes a first filter connected between a  
common terminal and a first individual terminal, and a  
second filter connected between the common terminal and a  
second individual terminal. A pass band of the second filter  
is in a frequency range lower than a pass band of the first  
filter. The first filter includes SAW resonators, at least one of  
which includes divided resonators connected in parallel with  
each other. Each of the divided resonators includes an IDT.  
A pitch of the IDT of one of the divided resonators is  
different from that of another of the divided resonators.

**20 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets**



(56)

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

9,860,006 B1 \* 1/2018 Okuda ..... G06F 13/22  
9,998,098 B2 \* 6/2018 Takata ..... H03H 9/6483  
10,193,530 B2 \* 1/2019 Takamine ..... H04B 1/0057  
10,601,570 B2 3/2020 Takamine  
2017/0117873 A1 4/2017 Takata  
2017/0272057 A1 9/2017 Takata  
2018/0019832 A1 1/2018 Okuda  
2018/0109243 A1 \* 4/2018 Takamine ..... H03H 9/72  
2019/0149312 A1 5/2019 Takamine  
2019/0253037 A1 8/2019 Konaka

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 109478880 A 3/2019  
KR 101914890 B1 11/2018  
KR 1020190010606 A 1/2019  
KR 1020190065401 A 6/2019  
WO 2018/003297 A1 1/2018

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action in CN202011431620.2, dated Aug. 17, 2023, 16 pages.

\* cited by examiner

FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7






Fig.8A




Fig.8C




Fig.8B




Fig.8D




FIG. 9




FIG. 10A




FIG. 10B




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13A




FIG. 13B





FIG. 14











FIG. 16




FIG. 17A





FIG. 17B



**1****FILTER DEVICE****CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application claims the benefit of priority to Japanese Patent Application No. 2020-110656 filed on Jun. 26, 2020 and Japanese Patent Application No. 2019-223404 filed on Dec. 11, 2019. The entire contents of these applications are hereby incorporated herein by reference.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION****1. Field of the Invention**

The present invention relates to a filter device.

**2. Description of the Related Art**

As a bandpass filter used in a communication apparatus, such as in a mobile information terminal, a filter using surface acoustic wave (SAW) elements is utilized. To support multiple frequency bands with one antenna, a multiplexer including multiple duplexers is used. A multiplexer includes a plurality of bandpass filters, for example. An example of such a multiplexer is disclosed in International Publication No. 2018/003297.

To achieve a desired pass band, a bandpass filter using SAW elements includes multiple SAW resonators connected with each other. In a SAW resonator utilizing leaky waves or shear horizontal (SH) waves as the main waves, resonance may be produced due to unwanted waves, such as Rayleigh waves, at a frequency lower than the fundamental resonant frequency of the main waves. This may cause a ripple in the bandpass characteristics in the stopband of the bandpass filter, which may adversely influence the attenuation characteristics in the stopband of the bandpass filter.

Additionally, when a plurality of bandpass filters having different pass bands are connected to a single common terminal, a ripple due to unwanted waves produced in one bandpass filter may adversely influence the bandpass characteristics in the pass band of a different bandpass filter if the frequency of the ripple is included in the pass band of this different bandpass filter.

**SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

Preferred embodiments of the present invention provide filter devices that are each able to reduce ripples caused by unwanted waves.

According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a filter device includes a common terminal, first and second individual terminals, and first and second filters. The first filter is connected between the common terminal and the first individual terminal. The second filter is connected between the common terminal and the second individual terminal. The pass band of the second filter is in a frequency range lower than the pass band of the first filter. The first filter includes a plurality of surface acoustic wave (SAW) resonators. At least one of the plurality of SAW resonators includes a plurality of divided resonators connected in parallel with each other. Each of the plurality of divided resonators includes an interdigital transducer (IDT). The plurality of divided resonators include at least two divided resonators. Among the at least two divided resonators, the pitch of the IDT of a divided resonator is different from that of another divided resonator.

**2**

According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a filter device includes a SAW filter including plurality of SAW resonators. At least one of the plurality of SAW resonators includes a plurality of divided resonators connected in parallel with each other. Each of the plurality of divided resonators includes an IDT. Among the plurality of divided resonators, the pitch of the IDT of a divided resonator is different from that of another divided resonator.  $(P_{max}-P_{min})/P_a$  is about 0.7% or smaller, where  $P_a$  is the average of the pitches of the IDTs of the plurality of divided resonators, and  $P_{max}$  and  $P_{min}$  are the maximum value and the minimum value, respectively, of the pitches of the IDTs of the plurality of divided resonators.

According to a preferred embodiment of the present invention, a filter device includes a substrate and a plurality of SAW resonators. The substrate is made of a piezoelectric material. The plurality of SAW resonators are disposed on the substrate and are connected with each other. At least one of the plurality of SAW resonators includes a plurality of divided resonators connected in parallel with each other. Each of the plurality of divided resonators includes an IDT. Among the plurality of divided resonators, the pitch of the IDT of a divided resonator is different from that of another divided resonator. Among the plurality of divided resonators, the arrangement direction of electrode fingers of the IDT of a divided resonator is parallel or substantially parallel with that of another divided resonator. The IDTs of the plurality of divided resonators are displaced from each other in a direction perpendicular or substantially perpendicular to the arrangement direction of the electrode fingers.

With the use of SAW resonators including a plurality of parallel-connected divided resonators, ripples caused by unwanted waves produced in each of the SAW resonators are able to be reduced.

The above and other elements, features, steps, characteristics and advantages of the present invention will become more apparent from the following detailed description of the preferred embodiments with reference to the attached drawings.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device according to a first preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device according to a comparative example.

FIG. 3A is a graph schematically illustrating the bandpass characteristics of the filter device of the first preferred embodiment of the present invention shown in FIG. 1.

FIG. 3B is a graph schematically illustrating the bandpass characteristics of the filter device of the comparative example shown in FIG. 2.

FIGS. 4A and 4B are schematic plan views illustrating examples of the arrangement of interdigital transducers (IDTs) of two parallel-connected divided resonators of the filter device shown in FIG. 1.

FIG. 5 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device according to a second preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 6 illustrates the arrangement of SAW resonators, longitudinally coupled SAW filters, wires, terminals, and other components included in the filter device of the second preferred embodiment of the present invention as viewed from above.

FIG. 7 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device according to a comparative example.

FIGS. 8A and 8B are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from a common terminal to a second individual terminal in the filter device of the second preferred embodiment of the present invention shown in FIG. 5.

FIGS. 8C and 8D are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from a common terminal to a second individual terminal in the filter device of the comparative example shown in FIG. 7.

FIG. 9 is a graph illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal to a first individual terminal in the filter device of the second preferred embodiment of the present invention shown in FIG. 5 and those of the filter device of the comparative example shown in FIG. 7.

FIG. 10A is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. 9.

FIG. 10B is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. 10A.

FIG. 11 is a graph illustrating the simulation results of the bandpass characteristics obtained while the IDT pitch of one divided resonator of the filter device of the second preferred embodiment of the present invention is fixed and the IDT pitch of the other divided resonator is varied.

FIG. 12 is a graph illustrating the relationship between the pass band of a first bandpass filter and that of a second bandpass filter in the filter device of the second preferred embodiment of the present invention on the frequency axis.

FIG. 13A is a circuit diagram of a ladder bandpass filter configured similarly to the first bandpass filter of the filter device of the second preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 13B is a circuit diagram of a ladder bandpass filter configured differently from that in FIG. 13A.

FIG. 14 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device according to a third preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIGS. 15A and 15B are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from a common terminal to a second individual terminal in the filter device of the third preferred embodiment of the present invention shown in FIG. 14.

FIGS. 15C and 15D are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal to the second individual terminal in the filter device of the comparative example shown in FIG. 7.

FIG. 16 is a block diagram of a communication apparatus according to a fourth preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 17A is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device according to a fifth preferred embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 17B is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device according to a modified example of the fifth preferred embodiment of the present invention.

### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Preferred embodiments of the present invention will be described in detail below with reference to the drawings.

#### First Preferred Embodiment

A filter device according to a first preferred embodiment will be described below with reference to FIGS. 1 through 4B.

FIG. 1 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device 20 according to the first preferred embodiment. The filter device 20 is a ladder filter device including a plurality of surface acoustic wave (SAW) resonators 21. Parallel arms branch off from branch nodes 27 of a series arm connecting a first terminal 25 and a second terminal 26. Each of the parallel arms is grounded on the other side opposite the corresponding branch node of the series arm. A ladder filter device refers to a filter device using series elements and parallel elements of a ladder circuit as resonators. A ladder circuit includes one input terminal, one output terminal, and a ground terminal to which an input/output ground potential is supplied. The series elements are elements connected between the input terminal and the output terminal of the ladder circuit. The parallel elements are elements connected between the corresponding series elements and the ground potential.

At least one SAW resonator 21 is interposed between two adjacent branch nodes 27 of the series arm and also on each of multiple parallel arms. The SAW resonators 21 disposed on the series arm may also be called series arm resonators, while the SAW resonators 21 disposed on the parallel arms may also be called parallel arm resonators. The SAW resonator 21, which is the series arm resonator disposed between the first and second branch nodes as seen from the first terminal 25, includes two divided resonators 22 connected in parallel with each other. Each of the divided resonators 22 includes an interdigital transducer (IDT) including a pair of interlocking comb-shaped electrodes. The pitch of multiple electrode fingers of the IDT (hereinafter simply called the IDT pitch) of one divided resonator 22 is different from that of the other divided resonator 22. The SAW resonators 21 are designed to utilize, for example, leaky waves or shear horizontal (SH) waves as the main waves.

In a broad sense, each of the divided resonators 22 can be regarded as one SAW resonator. In this specification, however, a SAW resonator including a plurality of parallel-connected divided resonators is distinguished from a SAW resonator which is a resonator divided from this SAW resonator. Due to the manufacturing variations, the IDT pitch may be varied within an allowance. In this case, the IDT pitch  $P$  is defined as  $P=L/(N-1)$  where  $L$  is the center-to-center distance between the electrode fingers of an IDT positioned at both ends and  $N$  is the number of electrode fingers.

Advantages of the first preferred embodiment will be explained below in comparison with a comparative example.

FIG. 2 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device 20 according to a comparative example. The circuit configuration of the filter device 20 according to the comparative example is the same or substantially the same as that of the first preferred embodiment shown in FIG. 1, except for the following point. As opposed to the SAW resonator 21 including the two divided resonators 22 in the first preferred embodiment, the corresponding SAW resonator 21 in the comparative example is not divided into multiple resonators, but includes the single SAW resonator. The other SAW resonators 21 in the comparative example have the same or substantially the same characteristics as those of the first preferred embodiment.

FIG. 3A is a graph schematically illustrating the bandpass characteristics of the filter device 20 according to the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1). FIG. 3B is a graph schematically illustrating the bandpass characteristics of the filter device 20 according to the comparative example (FIG. 2). In FIGS. 3A and 3B, only distinctive portions are shown in

detail, while the other portions are shown in a simplified manner. In the graphs, the horizontal axis indicates the frequency, while the vertical axis indicates the insertion loss. The insertion loss increases downward on the vertical axis.

Both of the filter device **20** of the first preferred embodiment and that of the comparative example are bandpass filters having a lower cutoff frequency at  $f_L$  and a higher cutoff frequency at  $f_H$ . In the filter device **20** of the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), two ripples **80** occur in the frequency range lower than the pass band. The pass band of a bandpass filter is the frequency band between the lower cutoff frequency and the higher cutoff frequency. As the lower cutoff frequency and the higher cutoff frequency, the frequencies at which the highest level of power in the pass band drops by about 3 dB, in other words, the frequencies at which the smallest insertion loss increases by about 3 dB, are used. The ripples **80** occur due to unwanted waves produced in the respective two divided resonators **22**, and more specifically, due to Rayleigh waves. Ripples caused by Rayleigh waves may also be called a Rayleigh response. Since the IDT pitch of one divided resonator **22** is different from that of the other divided resonator **22**, the resonant frequencies of the two divided resonators **22** corresponding to the unwanted waves slightly differ from each other. This is why the two ripples **80** occur in response to the two divided resonators **22**.

In contrast, in the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. 2), the SAW resonator **21** corresponding to the SAW resonator **21** including the two divided resonators **22** in the first preferred embodiment includes only a single SAW resonator. As a ripple caused by unwanted waves produced in this SAW resonator **21**, only one ripple **81** is observed, as shown in FIG. 3B. The insertion loss at the frequency at which the ripple **81** is observed is smaller than that in the other portions of the stopband, thus failing to obtain desired filter characteristics. It is thus preferable to regulate a drop in the insertion loss caused by the ripple **81** so that the insertion loss at the frequency of the ripple **81** becomes as large as that in the other portions of the stopband.

In the first preferred embodiment, the two ripples **80** occur in response to the unwanted waves. Accordingly, a drop in the insertion loss caused by each of the two ripples **80** becomes smaller than that by the single ripple **81** in the comparative example. In the first preferred embodiment, therefore, the attenuation characteristics in the frequency bands other than the pass band are not decreased as much as those of the comparative example.

The difference in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **22** is small, and the total capacitance of the two divided resonators **22** is equal or substantially equal to the capacitance of the corresponding single SAW resonator **21** of the filter device **20** of the comparative example. Thus, even if the single SAW resonator **21** is replaced by the two divided resonators **22** after a filter is designed with the circuit configuration of the comparative example (FIG. 2), the filter characteristics of the pass band and its adjacent bands remain almost unchanged. It is thus not necessary to design a new filter in the configuration in which the single SAW resonator **21** is replaced by the two divided resonators **22**. Accordingly, it does not become more difficult to design the filter device **20** of the first preferred embodiment than to design the comparative example.

If the difference in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **22** is too small, the two ripples **80** occurring in response to unwanted waves do not sufficiently separate from each other. This fails to fully achieve the advantageous

effect of regulating a drop in the insertion loss caused by the ripples **80**. If the difference in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **22** is too large, the filter characteristics of the pass band and its adjacent bands significantly vary. It is thus preferable to set the difference in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **22** so that the ripples **80** can be clearly separated from each other and the filter characteristics of the pass band and its adjacent bands are not significantly influenced. As an example,  $P_d/P_a$  is preferably set to be about 0.02% to about 0.7%, where  $P_d$  is the difference in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **22** and  $P_a$  is the average of the IDT pitches of the two divided resonators **22**. The basis for the upper limit and the lower limit in this preferable range of the difference in the IDT pitch will be discussed later with reference to FIG. 11.

The arrangement of the IDTs of the two divided resonators **22** (FIG. 1) will be discussed below with reference to FIGS. 4A and 4B.

FIG. 4A is a schematic plan view illustrating an example of the arrangement of the IDTs of the two divided resonators **22** (FIG. 1). Electrode fingers of the IDTs shown in FIG. 4A are fewer than those of the actual IDTs. A pair of interlocking comb-shaped electrodes **221** define an IDT **222**. The pitch of the IDT **222** of one divided resonator **22** is indicated by  $P_1$ , while that of the other divided resonator **22** is indicated by  $P_2$ . The multiple electrode fingers of the IDTs **222** of the two divided resonators **22** are aligned. Reflectors **223** are disposed at both sides of each of the two IDTs **222**. The reflectors **223** reflect SAWs having the resonance wavelength of the corresponding IDT **222**.

FIG. 4B is a schematic plan view illustrating another example of the arrangement of the IDTs of the two divided resonators **22** (FIG. 1). In the example in FIG. 4B, the arrangement direction of the plurality of electrode fingers of the IDT **222** of one divided resonator **22** is parallel or substantially parallel with that of the other divided resonator **22**. The two IDTs **222** are displaced from each other in the direction perpendicular or substantially perpendicular to the arrangement direction of the electrode fingers. The reflector **223** disposed at one side of one IDT **222** partially overlaps the other IDT **222** or the reflector **223** disposed at one side of the other IDT **222** in the arrangement direction of the electrode fingers.

It is possible to make the total dimensions of the two divided resonators **22** shown in FIG. 4A smaller than those in FIG. 4B in the direction perpendicular or substantially perpendicular to the arrangement direction of the electrode fingers. It is possible to make the total dimensions of the two divided resonators **22** shown in FIG. 4B smaller than those in FIG. 4A in the direction parallel or substantially parallel with the arrangement direction of the electrode fingers. A preferable one of the two arrangements shown in FIGS. 4A and 4B may be selected in terms of the relationship with the other SAW resonators **21** (FIG. 1).

Various modified examples of the first preferred embodiment will be described below.

In the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), the SAW resonator **21** interposed between the first and second branch nodes **27** of the series arm as seen from the first terminal **25** includes two divided resonators **22**. Another SAW resonator **21** may include two divided resonators **22**. For example, the SAW resonator **21** disposed between branch nodes **27** of the series arm positioned closer to the second terminal **26** with respect to the second branch node **27** seen from the first terminal **25** may include two divided resonators **22**. A SAW resonator **21** disposed on a parallel arm may include two divided resonators **22**.

In the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), one SAW resonator **21** includes two divided resonators **22**, for example. Alternatively, each of multiple SAW resonators **21** may include two parallel-connected divided resonators **22**. Additionally, one or multiple SAW resonators **21** may each include three or more parallel-connected divided resonators **22**, for example. In this case, it is preferable that  $(P_{\max} - P_{\min})/P_a$  is about 0.02% to about 0.7%, where  $P_{\max}$  and  $P_{\min}$  are the maximum value and the minimum value, respectively, of the IDT pitches of the multiple divided resonators **22**, and  $P_a$  is the average of the IDT pitches of the multiple divided resonators **22**.

In the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), both of the series arm resonator and the parallel arm resonator are connected to the first terminal **25**, while only the series arm resonator is connected to the second terminal **26**. Alternatively, both of the series arm resonator and the parallel arm resonator may be connected to each of the first and second terminals **25** and **26**. Conversely, parallel arm resonators may be connected to neither of the first terminal **25** nor the second terminal **26**.

In the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), the ladder filter includes a plurality of series arm resonators and a plurality of parallel arm resonators, for example. However, the ladder filter may include one series arm resonator and a plurality of parallel arm resonators, or a plurality of series arm resonators and one parallel arm resonator, or one series arm resonator and one parallel arm resonator. In such a ladder filter, at least one SAW resonator may include multiple parallel-connected divided resonators. For example, a T-type filter, a  $\pi$ -type filter, and an L-type filter are examples of such a ladder filter. A filter device including a plurality of SAW resonators provided in a circuit configuration other than that of the ladder filter of the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), may be used. In such a filter device, one of the SAW resonators may include multiple parallel-connected divided resonators.

In the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), leaky waves or SH waves, for example, are preferably utilized as the main waves. Although the ripples **80** occur due to Rayleigh waves, which are unwanted waves, in a stopband of the bandpass characteristics, a drop in the insertion loss caused by the ripples **80** can be regulated. Alternatively, another type of acoustic waves may be utilized as the main waves. In this case, although ripples **80** occur due to unwanted waves in the frequency range lower than the resonant frequency of the main waves, a drop in the insertion loss caused by the ripples **80** can be regulated by the application of the configuration of the first preferred embodiment.

In the first preferred embodiment, the IDT pitch of the same divided resonator **22** is preferably constant or substantially constant. However, the IDT pitch may be changed, for example, progressively or in a stepwise manner in the same divided resonator **22**. The IDT pitch of a SAW resonator **21** other than the SAW resonator **21** including the divided resonators **22** may also be changed. Changing the IDT pitch in the same SAW resonator **21** can reduce ripples in the pass band.

If the IDT pitch is varied in each of the two divided resonators **22**, the average of the IDT pitches of one divided resonator **22** is set to be different from that of the other divided resonator **22**. As an example, when the average IDT pitch of one divided resonator **22** is  $P_{a1}$  and that of the other divided resonator **22** is  $P_{a2}$ , the difference between the average IDT pitches  $P_{a1}$  and  $P_{a2}$  is used as the difference  $P_d$  in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **22**, and the average of the average pitches  $P_{a1}$  and  $P_{a2}$  is used as the

average  $P_a$  of the IDT pitches of the two divided resonators **22**. As in the first preferred embodiment,  $P_d/P_a$  is preferably set to be about 0.02% to about 0.7%, for example.

### Second Preferred Embodiment

A filter device according to a second preferred embodiment of the present invention will be described below with reference to FIGS. 5 through 13B. An explanation of the elements configured similarly to those of the filter device **20** of the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1) will be omitted.

FIG. 5 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device **20** according to the second preferred embodiment. The filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment includes a first bandpass filter **30**, a second bandpass filter **40**, a third bandpass filter **50**, a common terminal **60**, a first individual terminal **31**, a second individual terminal **41**, and a third individual terminal **51**. The first bandpass filter **30** is connected between the common terminal **60** and the first individual terminal **31**. The second bandpass filter **40** is connected between the common terminal **60** and the second individual terminal **41**. The third bandpass filter **50** is connected between the common terminal **60** and the third individual terminal **51**.

The common terminal **60** is connected to an antenna **68**. An impedance matching inductor **61** is connected between the common terminal **60** and a ground. The first, second, and third individual terminals **31**, **41**, and **51** are connected to a low-noise amplifier **63** via a switch **62**. Impedance matching inductors **65**, **66**, and **67** are respectively connected between the first, second, and third individual terminals **31**, **41**, and **51** and a ground.

The filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment is a receiver triplexer that separates radio-frequency (RF) signals received by the antenna **68** into RF signals in three frequency bands. For example, the pass bands of the first, second, and third bandpass filters **30**, **40**, and **50** preferably correspond to those standardized by the third generation partnership project (3GPP). More specifically, for example, the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30** is equal or substantially equal to Band **41** downlink frequency band (about 2496 MHz to about 2690 MHz), the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40** is equal or substantially equal to Band **66** downlink frequency band (about 2110 MHz to about 2200 MHz), and the pass band of the third bandpass filter **50** is equal or substantially equal to Band **3** downlink frequency band (about 1805 MHz to about 1880 MHz). That is, the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40** is lower than that of the first bandpass filter **30**, and the pass band of the third bandpass filter **50** is lower than that of the second bandpass filter **40**.

Each of the first, second, and third bandpass filters **30**, **40**, and **50** is preferably a ladder SAW filter, for example.

The first bandpass filter **30** includes SAW resonators **32A**, **32B**, **32C**, and **32D** and one longitudinally coupled SAW filter **33**. The SAW resonator **32B** is disposed between the first and second branch nodes of the series arm as seen from the common terminal **60**. As in the SAW resonator **21** disposed between the first and second branch nodes **27** of the series arm as seen from the first terminal **25** in the filter device **20** of the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1), the SAW resonator **32B** includes two parallel-connected divided resonators **34**. The longitudinally coupled SAW filter **33** is inserted between the SAW resonator **32B** and the second branch node.

No SAW resonator is interposed between the common terminal **60** and the first branch node as seen from the

common terminal **60**. The SAW resonator **32D** is connected between the second branch node and the first individual terminal **31**. As seen from the common terminal **60**, the SAW resonator **32A** is disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the first branch node of the series arm, while the SAW resonator **32C** is disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the second branch node of the series arm. Each of the SAW resonators **32A**, **32C**, and **32D** may include a plurality of divided resonators connected in series with each other.

The second bandpass filter **40** includes five SAW resonators **42A**, **42B**, **42C**, **42D**, and **42E** and one longitudinally coupled SAW filter **43**. The SAW resonator **42A** is connected between the common terminal **60** and the first branch node as seen from the common terminal **60**. The SAW resonator **42C** and the longitudinally coupled SAW filter **43** are connected in series with each other between the first and second branch nodes. The SAW resonator **42E** is connected between the second branch node and the second individual terminal **41**. The SAW resonator **42B** is disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the first branch node of the series arm, while the SAW resonator **42D** is disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the second branch node of the series arm. Each of the SAW resonators **42A** through **42E** may include a plurality of series-connected divided resonators.

The third bandpass filter **50** includes four SAW resonators **52A**, **52B**, **52C**, and **52D** and one longitudinally coupled SAW filter **53**. The SAW resonator **52A** is connected between the common terminal **60** and the first branch node as seen from the common terminal **60**. The SAW resonator **52C** and the longitudinally coupled SAW filter **53** are connected in series with each other between the first and second branch nodes. The SAW resonator **52B** is disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the first branch node of the series arm, while the SAW resonator **52D** is disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the second branch node of the series arm. Each of the SAW resonators **52A** through **52D** may include a plurality of series-connected divided resonators.

FIG. **6** illustrates the arrangement of the SAW resonators, the longitudinally coupled SAW filters, wires, terminals, and other components included in the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment as viewed from above. On the surface of a substrate **28** made of a piezoelectric material, the common terminal **60**, the first through third individual terminals **31**, **41**, and **51**, multiple ground terminals, multiple SAW resonators, multiple longitudinally coupled SAW filters, wires and other components are disposed. As the substrate **28**, a single crystal substrate made of a piezoelectric material, such as LiTaO<sub>3</sub> or LiNbO<sub>3</sub>, for example, may preferably be used.

In FIG. **6**, ground wires are indicated by the sparse hatched portion, while series arm wires are indicated by the dense hatched portion. An insulating film is disposed where two wires cross each other so as to provide electrical insulation therebetween. The SAW resonators, the longitudinally coupled SAW filters, and terminals are designated by the same reference numerals provided to those in the equivalent circuit diagram shown in FIG. **5**. The IDTs of two divided resonators **34** of the SAW resonator **32B** are arranged in the configuration shown in FIG. **4B**.

The filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment preferably has a length of about 1.8 mm, a width of about 1.4 mm, and a height of about 0.6 mm, for example. The filter device **20** is mounted on a package substrate with its top side facing downward.

Advantages of the second preferred embodiment will be explained below with reference to FIGS. **8A** through **10B** in comparison with a filter device **20** of a comparative example shown in FIG. **7**.

FIG. **7** is an equivalent circuit diagram of the filter device **20** according to the comparative example. In the comparative example, the SAW resonator **32B** of the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment is replaced by a single SAW resonator **32B**. The other elements of the comparative example are the same or substantially the same as those of the second preferred embodiment. The filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. **5**) and the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. **7**) were prepared and the bandpass characteristics of the two filter devices **20** were measured.

The characteristics of the SAW resonators and the longitudinally coupled SAW filters of the filter device **20** of the comparative example were determined so that the pass bands of the first, second, and third bandpass filters **30**, **40**, and **50** of the comparative example would match or substantially match Band **41**, Band **66**, and Band **3** downlink frequency bands, respectively. The IDT pitch of the SAW resonator **32B** (FIG. **7**) of the comparative example was first determined. Then, based on the IDT pitch of the SAW resonator **32B** of the comparative example, the IDT pitches of the two divided resonators **34** of the SAW resonator **32B** (FIG. **5**) of the second preferred embodiment were determined. More specifically, the IDT pitch of one divided resonator **34** was set to be the same or substantially the same as that of the SAW resonator **32B** of the comparative example. The IDT pitch of the other divided resonator **34** was set to be slightly smaller than that in the comparative example. The difference in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **34** was set to be about 0.06% of the average of the IDT pitches of the two divided resonators **34**.

FIGS. **8A** and **8B** are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal **60** to the second individual terminal **41** in the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. **5**). FIGS. **8C** and **8D** are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal **60** to the second individual terminal **41** in the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. **7**). In the graphs, the horizontal axis indicates the frequency (MHz), while the vertical axis indicates the insertion loss (dB). In FIGS. **8A** and **8C**, the scale factor of the vertical axis on the right side is ten times as large as that on the left side. The insertion loss increases downward on the vertical axis. A network analyzer is usually used for measuring the bandpass characteristics.

FIG. **8B** is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. **8A**. FIG. **8D** is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. **8C**. Markers **M1** and **M2** in the graphs correspond to the frequencies at about 2110 MHz and about 2200 MHz, respectively. The frequency band between the markers **M1** and **M2** is equal or substantially equal to Band **66** downlink frequency band used for the second bandpass filter **40**.

As shown in FIGS. **8C** and **8D**, in the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. **7**), a single large ripple **83** is observed at the frequency of about 2145 MHz. In contrast, in the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. **5**), two ripples **82** are observed at the frequencies of about 2145 MHz and about 2146.2 MHz. An increase in the insertion loss of each of the two ripples **82** is smaller than

## 11

that of the ripple **83** in the bandpass characteristics (FIGS. **8C** and **8D**) of the filter device **20** of the comparative example.

FIGS. **9**, **10A**, and **10B** are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal **60** to the first individual terminal **31** in the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. **5**) and those of the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. **7**). In the graphs, the horizontal axis indicates the frequency (MHz), while the vertical axis indicates the insertion loss (dB). The insertion loss increases downward on the vertical axis. In the graphs, the solid line indicates the bandpass characteristics of the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. **5**), while the broken line indicates the bandpass characteristics of the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. **7**).

FIG. **10A** is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. **9**. In FIG. **10A**, the scale factor of the vertical axis on the right side is ten times as large as that on the left side. FIG. **10B** is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. **10A**.

As shown in FIGS. **9** and **10A**, almost no difference is observed between the insertion loss in the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30** of the second preferred embodiment and that of the comparative example. FIG. **10B** shows that, in the second preferred embodiment, two ripples **84** occur at the frequencies of about 2145 MHz and about 2146.2 MHz, while, in the comparative example, a single large ripple **85** occurs at the frequency of about 2145 MHz. The two ripples **84** in the second preferred embodiment are due to the unwanted waves produced in the two divided resonators **34** (FIG. **5**). The large ripple **85** in the comparative example is due to the unwanted waves produced in the SAW resonator **32B** (FIG. **7**).

The ripples **82** (FIGS. **8A** and **8B**) observed in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40** of the second preferred embodiment are caused by the ripples **84** (FIGS. **10A** and **10B**) outside the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30**. The ripple **83** (FIGS. **8C** and **8D**) observed in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40** of the comparative example is caused by the ripple **85** (FIGS. **10A** and **10B**) outside the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30**. Focusing only on the first bandpass filter **30**, the ripple **85** outside the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30** of the comparative example does not significantly influence the bandpass characteristics of the first bandpass filter **30**. Nevertheless, if the frequency of the ripple **85** is included in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40**, the ripple **85** significantly influences the bandpass characteristics of the second bandpass filter **40**, as shown in FIG. **8D**.

In the second preferred embodiment, a drop in the insertion loss caused by the ripples **84** (FIG. **10B**) outside the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30** is small. This contributes to regulating an increase in the insertion loss caused by the ripples **82** (FIG. **8B**) in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40**. It is thus possible to lessen the influence of the ripples **84** on the bandpass characteristics of the second bandpass filter **40**.

As shown in FIGS. **9** and **10A**, even when the SAW resonator **32B** includes the two divided resonators **34** (FIG. **5**), the resulting bandpass characteristics are the same or almost the same as those obtained when the single SAW resonator **32B** (FIG. **7**) is used as in the comparative example. The result of designing the filter device **20** of the

## 12

comparative example (FIG. **7**) can thus be utilized to design the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. **5**).

The preferable range of the difference in the IDT pitch between the two divided resonators **34** (FIG. **5**) used in the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment will be discussed below with reference to FIG. **11**. The IDT pitch of one divided resonator **34** was fixed to be the same or substantially the same IDT pitch of the SAW resonator **32B** in the comparative example, while the IDT pitch of the other divided resonator **34** was varied. Then, the bandpass characteristics were determined by simulations.

FIG. **11** is a graph illustrating the simulation results. The value of the fixed IDT pitch of one divided resonator **34** is indicated by Pf, while the value of the varied IDT pitch of the other divided resonator **34** is indicated by Pv. The horizontal axis of FIG. **11** represents the result of  $(Pv - Pf) / ((Pv + Pf) / 2)$  (%). That is, the horizontal axis of FIG. **11** represents the ratio of the deviation of the IDT pitch to the average of the IDT pitch. The deviation of the IDT pitch is assumed to be positive when  $Pv > Pf$  and to be negative when  $Pv < Pf$ . The absolute value of the deviation of the IDT pitch will be called the pitch difference.

The vertical axis on the left side in FIG. **11** represents an increase in the insertion loss (dB) caused by the ripples **82** (may also be called the magnitude of ripples **82**) observed in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40** (FIG. **8B**). The vertical axis on the right side of FIG. **11** represents the largest value of the insertion loss (dB) in the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30**. The insertion loss increases downward on the vertical axis on the right side. In FIG. **11**, the triangles indicate the magnitude of the ripples **82** in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40**, while the circles indicate the largest value of the insertion loss in the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30**.

The state of the origin of the horizontal axis in FIG. **11** corresponds to the configuration of the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. **7**). The magnitude of the ripples **82** observed in the bandpass characteristics of the second bandpass filter **40** has the largest value when the ratio of the pitch difference to the average of the IDT pitch is zero. This corresponds to the state in which the single large ripple **83** occurs in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40** in the comparative example, as shown in FIG. **8D**.

As the ratio of the pitch difference to the average of the IDT pitch becomes greater to the value not more than about 0.02%, the magnitude of the ripples **82** gradually becomes smaller. This corresponds to the state in which the two ripples **82** shown in FIG. **8B** partially overlap each other. When the ratio of the pitch difference to the average of the IDT pitch is about 0.02% or greater, the magnitude of the ripples **82** is constant or substantially constant. This corresponds to the state in which the two ripples **82** shown in FIG. **8B** are clearly separated from each other. It is thus preferable that the ratio of the pitch difference to the average of the IDT pitch is, for example, about 0.02% or greater in order to sufficiently provide the advantageous effect of decreasing the magnitude of the ripples **82** in the pass band of the second bandpass filter **40**.

As the ratio of the pitch difference to the average of the IDT pitch increases from zero, the insertion loss in the pass band of the first bandpass filter **30** becomes greater. Especially when the ratio of the pitch difference to the average of the IDT pitch exceeds about 0.7%, the insertion loss rises sharply. It is thus preferable that the ratio of the pitch difference to the average of the IDT pitch is, for example, about 0.7% or smaller. This can regulate an increase in the



insertion loss in the pass band caused by the two divided resonators 34 defining the SAW resonator 32B (FIG. 5).

The relationship between the pass band of the first bandpass filter 30 and that of the second bandpass filter 40 will be discussed below with reference to FIG. 12.

FIG. 12 is a graph illustrating the relationship between the pass band PB1 of the first bandpass filter 30 and the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40 on the frequency axis. The lower cutoff frequency and the higher cutoff frequency of the pass band PB1 of the first bandpass filter 30 are indicated by  $fL_1$  and  $fH_1$ , respectively. The lower cutoff frequency and the higher cutoff frequency of the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40 are indicated by  $fL_2$  and  $fH_2$ , respectively. The higher cutoff frequency  $fH_2$  of the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40 is lower than the lower cutoff frequency  $fL_1$  of the pass band PB1 of the first bandpass filter 30.

Typically, a Rayleigh response of a SAW resonator occurs in the frequency range which is about 0.7 to about 0.85 times as high as the resonant frequency of the SAW resonator. That is, if the frequency range which is about 0.7 to about 0.85 times as high as the resonant frequency of a SAW resonator of the first bandpass filter 30 overlaps the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40, a Rayleigh response due to the first bandpass filter 30 is likely to occur in the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40.

In the example in FIG. 12, the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40 is included in the frequency range of about  $0.7 fL_1$  to about  $0.85 fH_1$ . When the pass band PB1 of the first bandpass filter 30 and the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40 have such a relationship, ripples 82 (FIG. 8B) are likely to occur in the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40.

In addition to when the pass band PB2 is included in the frequency range of about  $0.7 fL_1$  to about  $0.85 fH_1$ , when the frequency range of about  $0.7 fL_1$  to about  $0.85 fH_1$  is included in the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40 or when a portion of the frequency range of about  $0.7 fL_1$  to about  $0.85 fH_1$  overlaps a portion of the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40, ripples 82 (FIG. 8B) are also likely to occur in the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40.

When the pass band PB1 of the first bandpass filter 30 and the pass band PB2 of the second bandpass filter 40 have one of the above-described relationships, it is particularly preferable that a filter device is configured as in the filter device 20 of the second preferred embodiment.

A description will be provided, with reference to FIGS. 13A and 13B, in which a SAW resonator of the first bandpass filter 30 includes two divided resonators 34 in order to maximize the above-described advantages.

FIG. 13A is a circuit diagram of a ladder bandpass filter configured similarly to the first bandpass filter 30. The bandpass filter 70 and another bandpass filter 75 are connected to a common terminal 60.

A series arm connects the common terminal 60 and an individual terminal 71 of the bandpass filter 70. A parallel arm is connected between a ground and each of a plurality of branch nodes 73 of the series arm. No SAW resonator is connected between the common terminal 60 and the first branch node 73 as seen from the common terminal 60. That is, the SAW resonator 72 on the series arm and the SAW resonator 72 on the parallel arm are both connected directly to the common terminal 60.

If resonance due to unwanted waves is produced in any one of the SAW resonators 72 of the bandpass filter 70, it influences the other bandpass filter 75 via the common

terminal 60. In this case, even when resonance due to unwanted waves is produced in a SAW resonator 72 separated farther from the common terminal 60, many other SAW resonators 72 intervene between this SAW resonator 72 and the bandpass filter 75, thus weakening the resonance as it is transmitted to the bandpass filter 75 via the common terminal 60. In contrast, if unwanted resonance is produced in a SAW resonator 72 positioned close to the common terminal 60, it is more easily transmitted to the bandpass filter 75. From this point of view, it is appropriate that a SAW resonator 72 positioned close to the common terminal 60 includes two divided resonators, thus maximizing the advantageous effect of reducing ripples caused by unwanted resonance.

As an example, in the direction from the common terminal 60 to the first individual terminal 71, at least one of the SAW resonator 72 disposed on a series arm portion 90 between the first and second branch nodes 73, the SAW resonator 72 disposed on a series arm portion 91 between the second and third branch nodes 73, the SAW resonator 72 disposed on a parallel arm 92 which branches off from the first branch node 73, and the SAW resonator 72 disposed on a parallel arm 93 which branches off from the second branch node 73 (these SAW resonators 72 are indicated by the hatched portions in FIG. 13A) includes two parallel-connected divided resonators.

FIG. 13B is a circuit diagram of a ladder bandpass filter 70 configured differently from that in FIG. 13A. A SAW resonator 72 is connected between the common terminal 60 and the first branch node 73 as seen from the common terminal 60. In this configuration, in the direction from the common terminal 60 to the first individual terminal 71, at least one of the SAW resonator 72 disposed on a series arm portion 95 between the common terminal 60 and the first branch node 73, the SAW resonator 72 disposed on a series arm portion 96 between the first and second branch nodes 73, and the SAW resonator 72 disposed on a parallel arm 97 which branches off from the first branch node 73 (these SAW resonators 72 are indicated by the hatched portions in FIG. 13B) includes two parallel-connected divided resonators.

A modified example of the second preferred embodiment will be described below. Instead of the second bandpass filter 40 (FIG. 5) of the second preferred embodiment, a low pass filter or a band elimination filter may be used. In this modification, advantages similar to those of the second preferred embodiment can be obtained. That is, although ripples caused by the divided resonators 34 of the first bandpass filter 30 occur in the pass band of the low pass filter or the band elimination filter, an increase in the insertion loss caused by the ripples can be regulated.

#### Third Preferred Embodiment

A filter device according to a third preferred embodiment of the present invention will be described below with reference to FIGS. 14 through 15D. An explanation of the elements configured similarly to those of the filter device 20 (FIGS. 5 and 6) of the second preferred embodiment will be omitted.

FIG. 14 is an equivalent circuit diagram of a filter device 20 according to the third preferred embodiment. In the second preferred embodiment, the SAW resonator 32B of the first bandpass filter 30 includes two divided resonators 34. In the third preferred embodiment, a SAW resonator 42C of the second bandpass filter 40 includes two divided

## 15

resonators **34**. The SAW resonator **32B** of the first bandpass filter **30** includes the single SAW resonator.

FIGS. **15A** and **15B** are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal **60** to the second individual terminal **41** in the filter device **20** of the third preferred embodiment (FIG. **14**). FIGS. **15C** and **15D** are graphs illustrating the measurement results of the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal **60** to the second individual terminal **41** in the filter device **20** of the comparative example (FIG. **7**). The bandpass characteristics of the comparative example shown in FIGS. **15C** and **15D** are the same or substantially the same bandpass characteristics shown in FIGS. **8C** and **8D**. However, the frequency ranges on the horizontal axis of the bandpass characteristics in FIGS. **15C** and **15D** are different from those in FIGS. **8C** and **8D**.

In the graphs in FIGS. **15A** through **15D**, the horizontal axis indicates the frequency (MHz), while the vertical axis indicates the insertion loss (dB). In FIGS. **15A** and **15C**, the scale factor of the vertical axis on the right side is ten times as large as that on the left side. The insertion loss increases downward on the vertical axis. FIG. **15B** is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. **15A**. FIG. **15D** is an enlarged graph showing a specific frequency range in the graph of FIG. **15C**. Markers **M1** and **M2** in the graphs correspond to the frequencies at about 2110 MHz and about 2200 MHz, respectively.

Two ripples **86** (FIGS. **15A** and **15B**) are observed in the bandpass characteristics of the filter device **20** of the third preferred embodiment. In the comparative example, a single ripple **87** (FIGS. **15C** and **15D**) is observed in the bandpass characteristics of the filter device **20**. The ripples **86** and **87**, which are observed at the frequency of about 1677 MHz, are due to unwanted waves produced in the SAW resonator **42C** of the second bandpass filter **40**.

Advantages of the third preferred embodiment will be described below. A drop in the insertion loss caused by a ripple will be measured by the height of the ripple. The heights of the two ripples **86** in the third preferred embodiment are lower than that of the ripple **87** in the comparative example. The reason why the heights of the ripples **86** are lower than that of the ripple **87** is that the SAW resonator **42C** of the second bandpass filter **40** includes two divided resonators **34**. Lower heights of the ripples **86** can lessen the influence of the ripples **86** on the bandpass characteristics in the path from the common terminal **60** to the second individual terminal **41**.

A modified example of the third preferred embodiment will be described below. In the third preferred embodiment, the SAW resonator **42C** of the second bandpass filter **40** includes two divided resonators **34**. Alternatively, another SAW resonator of the second bandpass filter **40** may include two divided resonators. At least one SAW resonator of the third bandpass filter **50** may include two divided resonators.

## Fourth Preferred Embodiment

A communication apparatus according to a fourth preferred embodiment of the present invention will be described below with reference to FIG. **16**. The filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment is used in the communication apparatus of the fourth preferred embodiment. An explanation of the elements configured similarly to those of the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIGS. **5** and **6**) will be omitted.

FIG. **16** is a block diagram of the communication apparatus according to the fourth preferred embodiment. The

## 16

communication apparatus includes a radio-frequency (RF) front-end circuit **100**, a radio-frequency (RF) signal processing circuit (radio-frequency integrated circuit (RFIC)) **140**, a baseband signal processing circuit (baseband integrated circuit (BBIC)) **141**, and an antenna **68**. The RF front-end circuit **100** includes a quadplexer **110**, a transmit switch **101**, a receive switch **102**, a power amplifier **103**, and a low-noise amplifier **104**. The quadplexer **110** includes two duplexers **120** and **130**. The duplexer **120** includes a transmit bandpass filter **121Tx** and a receive bandpass filter **121Rx**. The duplexer **130** includes a transmit bandpass filter **131Tx** and a receive bandpass filter **131Rx**.

The duplexer **120** is a Band **41** transmit/receive duplexer, while the duplexer **130** is a Band **66** transmit/receive duplexer, for example. The transmit bandpass filter **121Tx** is disposed between a common terminal **60** and an individual terminal **122**. The receive bandpass filter **121Rx** is disposed between the common terminal **60** and an individual terminal **123**. The transmit bandpass filter **131Tx** is disposed between the common terminal **60** and an individual terminal **132**. The receive bandpass filter **131Rx** is disposed between the common terminal **60** and an individual terminal **133**. As these bandpass filters, SAW filters are used. The antenna **68** is connected to the common terminal **60**.

A transmit RF signal output from the power amplifier **103** is input into one of the individual terminals **122** and **132** via the transmit switch **101**. The transmit RF signal passes through the corresponding one of the transmit bandpass filters **121Tx** and **131Tx** and is sent from the antenna **68**. A received RF signal received by the antenna **68** passes through one of the receive bandpass filters **121Rx** and **131Rx** and is input into the low-noise amplifier **104** via the receive switch **102**.

The RF signal processing circuit **140** converts a received RF signal output from the low-noise amplifier **104** into a lower frequency signal and outputs it to the baseband signal processing circuit **141**. The RF signal processing circuit **140** converts a transmit RF signal input from the baseband signal processing circuit **141** into a higher frequency signal and outputs it to the power amplifier **103**. The baseband signal processing circuit **141** performs various signal processing operations on a baseband signal.

Advantages of the fourth preferred embodiment will be explained below.

The receive bandpass filter **121Rx** corresponds to the first bandpass filter **30** of the filter device **20** of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. **5**), while the receive bandpass filter **131Rx** corresponds to the second bandpass filter **40** of the filter device **20** (FIG. **5**). The receive bandpass filter **121Rx** is configured as in the first bandpass filter **30**. Thus, the bandpass characteristics of the receive bandpass filter **131Rx** are less likely to be influenced by unwanted resonance produced in the receive bandpass filter **121Rx**.

As described above, unwanted resonance produced in a bandpass filter of one duplexer, such as the receive bandpass filter **121Rx** of the duplexer **120**, may influence the bandpass characteristics of a bandpass filter of another duplexer, such as the receive bandpass filter **131Rx** of the duplexer **130**. Unwanted resonance produced in a bandpass filter of a duplexer, such as the receive bandpass filter **121Rx** of the duplexer **120**, may also influence the bandpass characteristics of another bandpass filter of the same duplexer, such as the transmit bandpass filter **121Tx** of the duplexer **120**. In this manner, when multiple bandpass filters are connected to the common terminal **60**, unwanted resonance produced in one bandpass filter may adversely influence the bandpass characteristics of another bandpass filter. Even in this case,

the influence of the unwanted resonance can be lessened as a result of defining at least one SAW resonator in the bandpass filter having produced the unwanted resonance by multiple divided resonators.

#### Fifth Preferred Embodiment

A filter device according to a fifth preferred embodiment of the present invention will be described below with reference to FIG. 17A. An explanation of the elements configured similarly to those of the filter device 20 of the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1) and those of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. 5) will be omitted. While the filter device 20 according to the first preferred embodiment (FIG. 1) is a bandpass filter, a filter device 20 according to the fifth preferred embodiment is a low pass filter.

FIG. 17A is an equivalent circuit diagram of the filter device 20 according to the fifth preferred embodiment. The filter device 20 of the fifth preferred embodiment is preferably, for example, a n-type filter. An inductor 23 is connected in parallel with the SAW resonator 21 which connects the first terminal 25 and the second terminal 26. A SAW resonator 21 is connected between the first terminal 25 and a ground, while another SAW resonator 21 is connected between the second terminal 26 and a ground. The SAW resonator 21 connected between the first terminal 25 and a ground includes two parallel-connected divided resonators 22. As in the first preferred embodiment, the IDT pitch of one divided resonator 22 and that of the other divided resonator 22 are different from each other.

Advantages of the fifth preferred embodiment will be explained below. As in the first preferred embodiment, a drop in the insertion loss caused by ripples due to unwanted waves is regulated. Instead of the first bandpass filter 30 of the filter device 20 of the second preferred embodiment (FIG. 5), the low pass filter of the fifth preferred embodiment may be used. In this case, it is possible to lessen the influence of ripples caused by the low pass filter on the bandpass characteristics of the second bandpass filter 40 or the third bandpass filter 50.

A modified example of the fifth preferred embodiment will be described below with reference to FIG. 17B.

FIG. 17B is an equivalent circuit diagram of the filter device 20 according to the modified example of the fifth preferred embodiment. The filter device 20 of the modified example is a high pass filter.

The filter device 20 of the modified example is preferably, for example, a T-type filter. Two SAW resonators 21 are disposed in series with each other between the first and second terminals 25 and 26. An inductor 23 and a SAW resonator 21 are interposed in series with each other between a ground and a node between the above-described two SAW resonators 21. The SAW resonator 21 connected to the first terminal 25 includes two parallel-connected divided resonators 22. As in the first preferred embodiment, the IDT pitch of one divided resonator 22 and that of the other divided resonator 22 are different from each other.

As in the modified example, a SAW resonator 21 included in a high pass filter may include two divided resonators 22. In the modified example, as well as in the fifth preferred embodiment, the influence of ripples caused by the high pass filter on the bandpass characteristics of a bandpass filter can be reduced. As in the example shown in FIG. 3A in the first preferred embodiment, it is possible to regulate a decrease in the attenuation characteristics caused by ripples occurring in the stopband of the high pass filter.

Other modified examples of the fifth preferred embodiment will be discussed below.

In the fifth preferred embodiment (FIG. 17A), the SAW resonator 21 connected between the first terminal 25 and a ground includes two divided resonators 22. Another SAW resonator 21 may include two divided resonators 22. In the above-described modified example (FIG. 17B), the SAW resonator 21 connected to the first terminal 25 includes two divided resonators 22. Another SAW resonator 21 may include two divided resonators 22.

Instead of the first bandpass filter 30 in the second preferred embodiment (FIG. 5), a low pass filter is used in the fifth preferred embodiment (FIG. 17A), and a high pass filter is used in the modified example of the fifth preferred embodiment. Instead of the first bandpass filter 30, a band elimination filter may alternatively be used. In this case, one of multiple SAW resonators included in the band elimination filter includes a plurality of divided resonators.

The present invention is not limited to the above-described preferred embodiments. The configurations described in the different preferred embodiments may partially be replaced by or combined with each other. Similar advantages obtained by similar configurations in the plurality of preferred embodiments are not repeated in the individual preferred embodiments.

While preferred embodiments of the present invention have been described above, it is to be understood that variations and modifications will be apparent to those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the present invention. The scope of the present invention, therefore, is to be determined solely by the following claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A filter device comprising:

- a common terminal;
- first and second individual terminals;
- a first filter connected between the common terminal and the first individual terminal;
- a second filter connected between the common terminal and the second individual terminal, a pass band of the second filter being in a frequency range lower than a pass band of the first filter; wherein
- the first filter includes a plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators, at least one of the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators including a plurality of divided resonators connected in parallel with each other, each of the plurality of divided resonators including an interdigital transducer;
- the plurality of divided resonators include at least two divided resonators, and among the at least two divided resonators, a pitch of the interdigital transducer of a divided resonator is different from a pitch of the interdigital transducer of another divided resonator; and
- the interdigital transducers of the plurality of divided resonators are displaced from each other in a direction that is parallel or substantially parallel to an arrangement direction of electrode fingers of at least one of the at least two divided resonators and in a direction perpendicular or substantially perpendicular to the arrangement direction of the electrode fingers.

2. The filter device according to claim 1, wherein at least a portion of a frequency range of a frequency which is about 0.7 times as high as a lower cutoff frequency of the pass band of the first filter to a frequency which is about 0.85 times as high as a higher cutoff frequency of the pass band of the first filter overlaps at least a portion of the pass band of the second filter.

19

3. The filter device according to claim 2, wherein the first filter is a ladder filter including a plurality of parallel arms that branch off from a series arm connecting the common terminal and the first individual terminal;
- among the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators, at least one surface acoustic wave resonator is disposed between the common terminal and a first branch node of the series arm at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal; and
- among the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators, at least one of a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the common terminal and the first branch node, a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the first branch node and a second branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal, and a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the first branch node of the series arm includes the plurality of divided resonators.
4. The filter device according to claim 2, wherein the first filter is a ladder filter including a plurality of parallel arms that branch off from a series arm connecting the common terminal and the first individual terminal;
- none of the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators are disposed between the common terminal and a first branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal; and
- among the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators, at least one of a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the first branch node and a second branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal, a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the second branch node and a third branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal, a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the first branch node of the series arm, and a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the second branch node of the series arm includes the plurality of divided resonators.
5. The filter device according to claim 2, wherein  $(P_{\max} - P_{\min})/P_a$  is about 0.7% or smaller, where  $P_a$  is an average of the pitches of the interdigital transducers of the plurality of divided resonators, and  $P_{\max}$  and  $P_{\min}$  are a maximum value and a minimum value, respectively, of the pitches of the interdigital transducers of the plurality of divided resonators.
6. The filter device according to claim 2, wherein each of the plurality of divided resonators utilizes leaky waves or shear horizontal waves as main waves; and a frequency of a ripple which occurs in bandpass characteristics of the first filter due to Rayleigh waves produced in the plurality of divided resonators is included in the pass band of the second filter.
7. The filter device according to claim 1, wherein the first filter is a ladder filter including a plurality of parallel arms that branch off from a series arm connecting the common terminal and the first individual terminal;
- among the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators, at least one surface acoustic wave resonator is disposed

20

- between the common terminal and a first branch node of the series arm at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal; and
- among the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators, at least one of a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the common terminal and the first branch node, a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the first branch node and a second branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal, and a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the first branch node of the series arm includes the plurality of divided resonators.
8. The filter device according to claim 7, wherein each of the plurality of divided resonators utilizes leaky waves or shear horizontal waves as main waves; and a frequency of a ripple which occurs in bandpass characteristics of the first filter due to Rayleigh waves produced in the plurality of divided resonators is included in the pass band of the second filter.
9. The filter device according to claim 1, wherein the first filter is a ladder filter including a plurality of parallel arms that branch off from a series arm connecting the common terminal and the first individual terminal;
- none of the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators are disposed between the common terminal and a first branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal; and
- among the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators, at least one of a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the first branch node and a second branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal, a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed between the second branch node and a third branch node at which a parallel arm branches off from the series arm as seen from the common terminal, a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the first branch node of the series arm, and a surface acoustic wave resonator disposed on the parallel arm which branches off from the second branch node of the series arm includes the plurality of divided resonators.
10. The filter device according to claim 1, wherein  $(P_{\max} - P_{\min})/P_a$  is about 0.7% or smaller, where  $P_a$  is an average of the pitches of the interdigital transducers of the plurality of divided resonators, and  $P_{\max}$  and  $P_{\min}$  are a maximum value and a minimum value, respectively, of the pitches of the interdigital transducers of the plurality of divided resonators.
11. The filter device according to claim 1, wherein each of the plurality of divided resonators utilizes leaky waves or shear horizontal waves as main waves; and a frequency of a ripple which occurs in bandpass characteristics of the first filter due to Rayleigh waves produced in the plurality of divided resonators is included in the pass band of the second filter.
12. The filter device according to claim 1, wherein  $P_d/P_a$  is about 0.02% to about 0.7%, where  $P_d$  is a different in the pitch of the interdigital transducer of the divided resonator and the pitch of the interdigital transducer of the another divided resonator, and  $P_a$  is an average of the pitches of the interdigital transducers of the divided resonator and the another divided resonator.

## 21

- 13.** A filter device comprising:  
 a surface acoustic wave filter including a plurality of  
 surface acoustic wave resonators; wherein  
 at least one of the plurality of surface acoustic wave  
 resonators includes a plurality of divided resonators  
 connected in parallel with each other; 5  
 each of the plurality of divided resonators includes an  
 interdigital transducer, and among the plurality of  
 divided resonators, a pitch of the interdigital transducer  
 of a divided resonator is different from a pitch of the  
 interdigital transducer of another divided resonator; 10  
 $(P_{\max} - P_{\min})/P_a$  is about 0.7% or smaller, where  $P_a$  is an  
 average of the pitches of the interdigital transducers of  
 the plurality of divided resonators, and  $P_{\max}$  and  $P_{\min}$   
 are a maximum value and a minimum value, respec- 15  
 tively, of the pitches of the interdigital transducers of  
 the plurality of divided resonators; and  
 the interdigital transducers of the plurality of divided  
 resonators are displaced from each other in a direction 20  
 that is parallel or substantially parallel to an arrange-  
 ment direction of electrode fingers of at least one of the  
 plurality of divided resonators and in a direction per-  
 pendicular or substantially perpendicular to the  
 arrangement direction of the electrode fingers. 25
- 14.** The filter device according to claim **13**, wherein  
 the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators define a  
 ladder filter; and  
 the plurality of divided resonators are disposed on at least  
 one of a series arm and a parallel arm of the ladder 30  
 filter.
- 15.** The filter device according to claim **14**, wherein the  
 ladder filter includes a plurality of the parallel arms.
- 16.** The filter device according to claim **13**, wherein  $P_d/P_a$   
 is about 0.02% to about 0.7%, where  $P_d$  is a different in the 35  
 pitch of the interdigital transducer of the divided resonator  
 and the pitch of the interdigital transducer of the another  
 divided resonator, and  $P_a$  is an average of the pitches of the  
 interdigital transducers of the divided resonator and the  
 another divided resonator.

## 22

- 17.** A filter device comprising:  
 a substrate made of a piezoelectric material; and  
 a plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators disposed  
 on the substrate and connected with each other;  
 wherein  
 at least one of the plurality of surface acoustic wave  
 resonators includes a plurality of divided resonators  
 connected in parallel with each other;  
 each of the plurality of divided resonators includes an  
 interdigital transducer, and among the plurality of  
 divided resonators, a pitch of the interdigital transducer  
 of a divided resonator is different from a pitch of the  
 interdigital transducer of another divided resonator;  
 among the plurality of divided resonators, an arrangement  
 direction of electrode fingers of the interdigital trans-  
 ducer of a divided resonator is parallel or substantially  
 parallel with an arrangement direction of electrode  
 fingers of the interdigital transducer of another divided  
 resonator; and  
 the interdigital transducers of the plurality of divided  
 resonators are displaced from each other in a direction  
 that is parallel or substantially parallel to the arrange-  
 ment direction of the electrode fingers and in a direc-  
 tion perpendicular or substantially perpendicular to the  
 arrangement direction of the electrode fingers.
- 18.** The filter device according to claim **17**, wherein  
 the plurality of surface acoustic wave resonators define a  
 ladder filter; and  
 the plurality of divided resonators are disposed on at least  
 one of a series arm and a parallel arm of the ladder  
 filter.
- 19.** The filter device according to claim **18**, wherein the  
 ladder filter includes a plurality of the parallel arms.
- 20.** The filter device according to claim **17**, wherein  $P_d/P_a$   
 is about 0.02% to about 0.7%, where  $P_d$  is a different in the  
 pitch of the interdigital transducer of the divided resonator  
 and the pitch of the interdigital transducer of the another  
 divided resonator, and  $P_a$  is an average of the pitches of the  
 interdigital transducers of the divided resonator and the  
 another divided resonator.

\* \* \* \* \*