

US011358051B2

(12) United States Patent

Kelly et al.

CARD HANDLING DEVICES AND ASSOCIATED METHODS

(71) Applicant: SG Gaming, Inc., Las Vegas, NV (US)

(72) Inventors: James V. Kelly, Las Vegas, NV (US);

James P. Helgesen, Eden Prairie, MN (US); Vladislav Zvercov, Las Vegas, NV (US); Feraidoon Bourbour, Eden Prairie, MN (US); Robert J. Rynda,

Las Vegas, NV (US)

(73) Assignee: SG Gaming, Inc., Las Vegas, NV (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this

patent is extended or adjusted under 35

U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal dis-

claimer.

(21) Appl. No.: 16/953,020

(22) Filed: Nov. 19, 2020

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2021/0069577 A1 Mar. 11, 2021

Related U.S. Application Data

- (63) Continuation of application No. 16/677,222, filed on Nov. 7, 2019, now Pat. No. 10,857,448, which is a (Continued)
- (51) Int. Cl.

 A63F 1/12 (2006.01)

 A63F 1/06 (2006.01)

(Continued)

(58) Field of Classification Search CPC A63F 1/12; A63F 1/067; A63F 1/14; A63F 11/0002

(Continued)

(10) Patent No.: US 11,358,051 B2

(45) Date of Patent: *Jun. 14, 2022

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

130,281 A 8/1872 Coughlin 205,030 A 6/1878 Ash (Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

AU 2383667 A 1/1969 AU 5025479 A 3/1980 (Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT/US13/59665, dated Apr. 25, 2014, 21 pages.


(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Michael D Dennis (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — TraskBritt

(57) ABSTRACT

A playing card handling device comprises an elevator platform configured to receive one or more cards from an input platform to form a shuffled set of cards, a card gripper positioned above the elevator platform, and configured to grip cards from the shuffled set of cards, and a processor configured to control the elevator platform to have a grip position for the card gripper to grip the shuffled set of cards, wherein the grip position is adjusted based, at least in part, on a correction value associated with a particular card insertion. A related method includes determining a grip position of an elevator platform of a card handling device based, at least in part, on a desired insertion location within a stack of shuffled cards as adjusted based on a corrective value that is different for a plurality of different insertion locations.

20 Claims, 16 Drawing Sheets



Related U.S. Application Data

continuation of application No. 15/360,359, filed on Nov. 23, 2016, now Pat. No. 10,486,055, which is a continuation of application No. 14/491,822, filed on Sep. 19, 2014, now Pat. No. 9,504,905.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

A63F 11/00 (2006.01) *A63F 1/14* (2006.01)

(58) Field of Classification Search

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

609,730 A	8/1898	Booth
673,154 A		Bellows
793,489 A	6/1905	Williams
892,389 A		Bellows
1,014,219 A	1/1912	
1,043,109 A	11/1912	Hurm
1,157,898 A	10/1915	Perret
1,256,509 A	2/1918	Belknap
1,380,898 A	6/1921	Hall
1,556,856 A	10/1925	Lipps
1,757,553 A	5/1930	Tauschek
1,850,114 A	3/1932	McCaddin
1,885,276 A	11/1932	McKay
1,889,729 A		Hammond
1,955,926 A	4/1934	Matthaey
1,992,085 A		McKay
1,998,690 A		William et al.
2,001,220 A	5/1935	Smith
2,001,918 A	5/1935	
2,016,030 A		Woodruff et al.
2,043,343 A	6/1936	
2,060,096 A	11/1936	
2,065,824 A	12/1936	
2,159,958 A	5/1939	
2,185,474 A	1/1940	
2,254,484 A		Hutchins
D132,360 S		Gardner
2,282,040 A	5/1942	
2,328,153 A	8/1943	
2,328,879 A		Issacson
D139,530 S		Schindler
2,364,413 A	12/1944	
2,525,305 A		Lombard
2,543,522 A	2/1951	
2,588,582 A		Sivertson
2,615,719 A	10/1952	
2,659,607 A		Skillman et al.
2,661,215 A	12/1953	
2,676,020 A	4/1954	
2,692,777 A	10/1954	<u> </u>
2,701,720 A	2/1955	
2,701,720 A 2,705,638 A		Newcomb
2,703,036 A 2,711,319 A		Earl et al.
2,714,510 A		Oppenlander et al.
2,717,782 A	9/1955	
2,727,747 A		Semisch, Jr.
2,727,747 A 2,731,271 A	1/1956	
2,747,877 A		Howard
2,755,090 A		Aldrich
2,757,005 A		Nothaft
2,757,003 A 2,760,779 A		Ogden et al.
, ,		-
2,770,459 A		Williams
2,778,643 A		Williams
2,778,644 A		Stephenson
2,782,040 A	2/1957 4/1057	
2,790,641 A	4/1957 5/1057	Adams Liebelt
2,793,863 A 2,815,214 A	12/1957	
, ,		
2,821,399 A	1/1938	Heinoo

2011215	11/1050	3 T ' 1'
2,914,215 A	11/1959	Neidig
2,937,739 A	5/1960 8/1960	Moise MacDonald
2,950,005 A RE24,986 E	5/1961	Stephenson
3,067,885 A	12/1962	Kohler
3,107,096 A	10/1963	Osborn
3,124,674 A	3/1964	Edwards et al.
3,131,935 A	5/1964	Gronneberg
3,147,978 A	9/1964	Hjalmar
D200,652 S	3/1965	Fisk
3,185,482 A	5/1965	Russell
3,222,071 A	12/1965	Lang
3,235,741 A	2/1966	Plaisance
3,288,308 A	11/1966	Gingher
3,305,237 A	2/1967	Granius
3,312,473 A	4/1967	
3,452,509 A	7/1969	Werner
3,530,968 A	9/1970	Palmer
3,588,116 A 3,589,730 A	6/1971 6/1971	Kosaburo
3,595,388 A	7/1971	Slay Castaldi
3,597,076 A	8/1971	Hubbard et al.
3,598,396 A	8/1971	Andrews et al.
3,618,933 A	11/1971	Roggenstein et a
3,627,331 A	12/1971	Erickson
3,666,270 A	5/1972	Mazur
3,680,853 A	8/1972	Houghton et al.
3,690,670 A	9/1972	Cassady et al.
3,704,938 A	12/1972	Fanselow
3,716,238 A	2/1973	Porter
3,751,041 A	8/1973	Seifert
3,761,079 A	9/1973	Azure
3,810,627 A	5/1974	Levy
D232,953 S	9/1974	Shigeo
3,861,261 A	1/1975	Maxey
3,897,954 A 3,899,178 A	8/1975 8/1975	Erickson et al. Watanabe
3,909,002 A	9/1975	Levy
3,929,339 A	12/1975	Mattioli
3,944,077 A	3/1976	Green
3,944,230 A	3/1976	Fineman
3,949,219 A	4/1976	Crouse
3,968,364 A	7/1976	Miller
3,981,163 A	9/1976	Tillotson
4,023,705 A	5/1977	Reiner et al.
4,033,590 A	7/1977	Pic
4,072,930 A	2/1978	Lucero et al.
4,088,265 A	5/1978	Garczynski
4,151,410 A	4/1979	McMillan et al.
4,159,581 A	7/1979	Lichtenberg
4,162,649 A	7/1979	Thornton
4,166,615 A 4,232,861 A	9/1979 11/1980	Kurokawa et al. Maul
4,232,801 A 4,280,690 A	7/1981	Hill
4,283,709 A	8/1981	Lucero et al.
4,310,160 A	1/1982	Willette et al.
4,339,134 A	7/1982	Macheel
4,339,798 A	7/1982	Hedges et al.
4,361,393 A	11/1982	Noto
4,368,972 A	1/1983	Naramore
4,369,972 A	1/1983	Parker
4,374,309 A	2/1983	
4,377,285 A	3/1983	Kadlic
4,385,827 A	5/1983	Naramore
4,388,994 A	6/1983	Suda et al.
4,397,469 A	8/1983	Carter, III
4,421,312 A	12/1983	Delgado et al.
4,421,501 A	12/1983	Scheffer
D273,962 S D274,069 S	5/1984 5/1984	Fromm Fromm
·	5/1984 7/1984	
4,457,512 A 4,467,424 A	7/1984 8/1984	Stevenson Hedges et al
4,467,424 A 4,494,197 A	8/1984 1/1985	Hedges et al. Troy et al
4,494,197 A 4,497,488 A	2/1985	Troy et al. Pleywak et al
4,497,488 A 4,512,580 A	2/1985 4/1985	Plevyak et al. Matviak
4,512,580 A 4,513,969 A	4/1985	Samsel, Jr.
4,515,969 A 4,515,367 A		,
	5/1025	HAWard
, ,	5/1985 7/1985	
4,531,187 A 4,534,562 A	7/1985	Howard Uhland Cuff et al.

(56)		Referen	ces Cited	D365,853		1/1996	
	U.S.	PATENT	DOCUMENTS	5,489,101 5,515,477			Moody Sutherland
				5,524,888		6/1996	
	4,549,738 A	10/1985		5,531,448 5,544,892			Moody Breeding
	4,566,782 A 4,575,367 A	1/1986 3/1986	Britt et al. Karmel	5,575,475			Steinbach
	4,586,712 A		Lorber et al.	5,584,483			Sines et al.
	4,659,082 A		Greenberg	5,586,766 5,586,936			Forte et al. Bennett et al.
	4,662,637 A 4,662,816 A		Pfeiffer Fabrig	, ,			McCrea, Jr.
	4,667,959 A		Pfeiffer et al.	5,613,912			
	4,741,524 A		Bromage Nicoletti	5,632,483 5,636,843			Garczynski et al. Roberts
	4,750,743 A 4,755,941 A	0/1988 7/1988	Nicoletti Bacchi	5,651,548			French et al.
	4,759,448 A		Kawabata	5,655,961			Acres et al.
	4,770,412 A	9/1988		5,655,966 5,669,816			Werdin et al. Garczynski et al.
	4,770,421 A 4,807,884 A		Hoffman Breeding	5,676,231			Legras et al.
	4,822,050 A	4/1989	Normand et al.	5,676,372			Sines et al.
	4,832,342 A 4,858,000 A	5/1989 8/1989	Plevyak et al.	5,681,039 5,683,085	_	0/1997 1/1997	Johnson et al.
	4,861,041 A		Jones et al.	5,685,543		1/1997	
	4,876,000 A	10/1989	Mikhail	5,690,324			Otomo et al.
	4,900,009 A 4,904,830 A		Kitahara et al. Rizzuto	5,692,748 5,695,189			Frisco et al. Breeding et al.
	4,921,109 A		Hasuo et al.	5,701,565	A 1	2/1997	Morgan
	4,926,327 A	5/1990	_	5,707,286			Carlson McCron Ir
	4,948,134 A 4,951,950 A		Suttle et al. Normand et al.	5,707,287 5,711,525			McCrea, Jr. Breeding
	4,969,648 A		Hollinger et al.	5,718,427	A	2/1998	Cranford et al.
	4,993,587 A	2/1991	Abe	5,719,288 5,720,484		2/1998 2/1998	Sens et al.
	4,995,615 A 5,000,453 A	2/1991 3/1991	Cheng Stevens et al.	5,720,484			Hill et al.
	5,000,433 A 5,004,218 A		Sardano et al.	5,735,525	A		McCrea, Jr.
	5,039,102 A	8/1991		5,735,724 5,735,742			Udagawa French
	5,067,713 A 5,078,405 A		Soules et al.	5,743,798			Adams et al.
	5,081,487 A		Hoyer et al.	5,768,382			Schneier et al.
	5,096,197 A		Embury	5,770,533 5,770,553			Franchi Kroner et al.
	5,102,293 A 5,118,114 A	4/1992 6/1992	Schneider Tucci	5,772,505			Garczynski et al.
	5,121,192 A	6/1992	_	5,779,546			Meissner et al.
	5,121,921 A	6/1992 9/1992	Friedman et al.	5,781,647 5,785,321			Fishbine et al. Van et al.
	5,146,346 A 5,154,429 A		Levasseur	5,788,574	A	8/1998	Ornstein et al.
	5,179,517 A		Sarbin et al.	5,791,988 5,802,560		8/1998	Nomi Joseph et al.
	5,197,094 A 5,199,710 A	3/1993 4/1993	Tillery et al.	5,802,300			Strisower
	5,209,476 A	5/1993		5,810,355		9/1998	
	5,224,712 A		Laughlin et al.	5,813,326 5,813,912		9/1998 9/1998	Salomon Shultz
	5,240,140 A 5,248,142 A	8/1993 9/1993	Breeding	5,814,796			Benson et al.
	5,257,179 A	10/1993	DeMar	5,836,775			Hiyama et al.
	5,259,907 A 5,261,667 A	11/1993 11/1993	Soules et al.	5,839,730 5,845,906		1/1998 2/1998	
	, ,	11/1993	•	5,851,011	A 1	2/1998	Lott
	5,275,411 A	1/1994	Breeding	5,867,586 5,879,233		2/1999	Liang Stupero
	5,276,312 A 5,283,422 A		McCarthy Storch et al.	5,883,804			Christensen
	5,288,081 A		Breeding	5,890,717			Rosewarne et al.
	5,299,089 A	3/1994		5,892,210 5,909,876		4/1999 6/1999	Levasseur
	5,303,921 A 5,344,146 A	4/1994 9/1994	Breeding Lee	5,911,626			McCrea, Jr.
	5,356,145 A		Verschoor	5,919,090			Mothwurf
	5,362,053 A	11/1994		D412,723 5,936,222			Hachuel et al. Korsunsky et al.
	5,374,061 A 5,377,973 A	12/1994 1/1995	Jones et al.	5,941,769		8/1999	
	5,382,024 A	1/1995	Blaha	5,944,310			Johnson et al.
	5,382,025 A 5,390,910 A		Sklansky et al. Mandel et al.	D414,527 5,957,776			Tedham Hoehne
	5,390,910 A 5,397,128 A		Hesse et al.	5,974,150			Kaish et al.
	5,397,133 A	3/1995	Penzias	5,989,122			Roblejo
	5,416,308 A		Hood et al.	5,991,308 6,015,311			Fuhrmann et al. Renjamin et al.
	5,431,399 A 5,431,407 A	7/1995 7/1995	Hofberg et al.	6,019,368			Benjamin et al. Sines et al.
	5,437,462 A	8/1995	Breeding	6,019,374	A	2/2000	Breeding
	5,445,377 A		Steinbach	6,039,650		3/2000	
	3,470,079 A	11/1995	Lestrange et al.	6,050,569	A	4/2000	гауют

(56)		Referen	ces Cited	6,585,588 6,585,856		7/2003	Hartl Zwick et al.
	HS	PATENT	DOCUMENTS	6,588,750			Grauzer et al.
	0.5.		DOCOMENTS	6,588,751			Grauzer et al.
	6,053,695 A	4/2000	Longoria et al.	6,595,857	B2	7/2003	Soltys et al.
	6,061,449 A		Candelore et al.	6,609,710		8/2003	
	6,068,258 A	5/2000	Breeding et al.	6,612,928			Bradford et al.
	6,069,564 A		Hatano et al.	6,616,535 6,619,662		9/2003	Nishizaki et al. Miller
	6,071,190 A		Weiss et al.	6,622,185			Johnson et al.
	6,093,103 A 6,113,101 A	9/2000	McCrea, Jr. Wirth	6,626,757			Oliveras
	6,117,012 A		McCrea, Jr.	6,629,019	B2		Legge et al.
	6,123,010 A		Blackstone	6,629,591			Griswold et al.
	D432,588 S		Tedham	6,629,889			Mothwurf
	6,126,166 A		Lorson et al.	6,629,894 6,637,622		10/2003	Robinson
	6,131,817 A 6,139,014 A	10/2000	Breeding et al.	6,645,068			Kelly et al.
	6,149,154 A		Grauzer et al.	6,645,077		11/2003	•
	6,154,131 A		Jones et al.	6,651,981			Grauzer et al.
	6,165,069 A	12/2000	Sines et al.	6,651,985			Sines et al.
	6,165,072 A		Davis et al.	6,652,379 6,655,684			Soltys et al. Grauzer et al.
	6,183,362 B1		Boushy	6,655,690			Oskwarek
	6,186,895 B1 6,196,416 B1	2/2001 3/2001	Seagle	6,658,135			Morito et al.
	6,200,218 B1		Lindsay	6,659,460	B2		Blaha et al.
	6,210,274 B1		Carlson	6,659,461			Yoseloff et al.
	6,213,310 B1		Wennersten et al.	6,659,875		12/2003	
	6,217,447 B1		Lofink et al.	6,666,768		12/2003	Soltys et al.
	6,234,900 B1 6,236,223 B1		Cumbers Brady et al.	6,671,358			Seidman et al.
	6,250,632 B1		Albrecht	6,676,127	B2	1/2004	Johnson et al.
	6,254,002 B1		Litman	6,676,517			Beavers
	6,254,096 B1		Grauzer et al.	6,680,843 6,685,564		1/2004 2/2004	Farrow et al.
	6,254,484 B1 6,257,981 B1		McCrea, Jr. Acres et al.	6,685,567			Cockerille et al.
	6,267,248 B1		Johnson et al.	6,685,568			Soltys et al.
	6,267,648 B1		Katayama et al.	6,688,597			Jones
	6,267,671 B1	7/2001	Q	6,688,979			Soltys et al.
	6,270,404 B2		Sines et al.	6,690,673 6,698,756		2/2004 3/2004	Baker et al.
	6,272,223 B1 6,293,546 B1		Carlson Hessing et al.	6,698,759			Webb et al.
	6,293,864 B1		Romero	6,702,289		3/2004	
	6,299,167 B1		Sines et al.	6,702,290			Buono-Correa et al.
	6,299,534 B1		Breeding et al.	6,709,333 6,719,634			Bradford et al. Mishina et al.
	6,299,536 B1 6,308,886 B1	10/2001	Hill Benson et al.	6,722,974			Sines et al.
	6,313,871 B1		Schubert	6,726,205	B1	4/2004	
	6,325,373 B1		Breeding et al.	6,732,067			Powderly
	6,334,614 B1		Breeding	6,733,012 6,733,388			Bui et al. Mothwurf
	6,341,778 B1	1/2002		6,746,333			Onda et al.
	6,342,830 B1 6,346,044 B1		Want et al. McCrea, Jr.	6,747,560			Stevens, III
	6,361,044 B1		Block et al.	6,758,751			Soltys et al.
	6,386,973 B1	5/2002	Yoseloff	6,758,757			Luciano et al.
	6,402,142 B1		Warren et al.	6,769,693 6,774,782			Huard et al. Runyon et al.
	6,446,864 B1 6,454,266 B1		Kim et al. Breeding et al.	6,789,801		_ /	Snow
	6,460,848 B1		Soltys et al.	6,802,510		10/2004	Haber
	6,464,584 B2	10/2002		6,804,763			Stockdale et al.
	6,490,277 B1		Tzotzkov	6,808,173		10/2004	
	6,508,709 B1		Karmarkar	6,827,282 6,834,251		12/2004	Silverbrook Eletcher
	6,514,140 B1 6,517,435 B2	2/2003	Storen Soltys et al.	6,840,517			Snow et al.
	6,517,436 B2		Soltys et al.	6,842,263	B1	1/2005	Saeki
	6,527,271 B2		Soltys et al.	6,843,725		1/2005	
	6,530,836 B2		Soltys et al.	6,848,616 6,848,844			Tsirline et al. McCue et al.
	6,530,837 B2		Soltys et al.	6,848,994			Knust et al.
	6,532,297 B1 6,533,276 B2		Lindquist Soltys et al.	6,857,961			Soltys et al.
	6,533,662 B2		Soltys et al.	6,874,784			Promutico et al.
	6,543,770 B1		Kaji et al.	6,874,786			Bruno et al.
	6,561,897 B1		Bourbour et al.	6,877,657			Ranard et al.
	6,568,678 B2 6,579,180 B2		Breeding et al. Soltys et al.	6,877,748 6,889,979			Patroni et al. Blaha et al.
	6,579,180 B2		Soltys et al.	6,893,347			Zilliacus et al.
	6,581,747 B1		Charlier et al.	6,899,628			Leen et al.
	6,582,301 B2	6/2003		6,902,167		6/2005	
	6,582,302 B2		Romero	6,905,121			Timpano
	6,585,586 B1	7/2003	Komero	6,923,446	B2	8/2005	Snow

(56)		Referen	ces Cited	7,387,300 7,389,990		6/2008	Snow Mourad
	U.S.	PATENT	DOCUMENTS	7,389,990		7/2008	
				7,407,438			Schubert et al.
	6,938,900 B2	9/2005		7,434,805 7,436,957			Grauzer et al. Fischer et al.
	6,941,180 B1 6,950,948 B2	9/2005	Fischer et al.	7,448,626			Fleckenstein
	6,955,599 B2		Bourbour et al.	7,458,582			Snow et al.
	6,957,746 B2		Martin et al.	7,461,843			Baker et al.
	/ /		Baker et al.	7,464,932 7,464,934		12/2008 12/2008	Schwartz
	, ,		Hartl et al. Soltys et al.	7,472,906			
	6,986,514 B2	1/2006		7,478,813			Hofferber et al.
	6,988,516 B2		Debaes et al.	7,500,672 7,506,874		3/2009 3/2009	
	7,011,309 B2 7,020,307 B2		Soltys et al. Hinton et al.	7,510,186			Fleckenstein
	7,028,598 B2		Teshima	7,510,190			Snow et al.
	7,029,009 B2		Grauzer et al.	7,510,194 7,510,478			Soltys et al. Benbrahim et al.
	7,036,818 B2 7,046,458 B2		Grauzer et al. Nakayama	7,510,473			Douglas
	7,046,764 B1	5/2006	•	7,515,718		4/2009	Nguyen et al.
	7,048,629 B2	5/2006	Sines et al.	7,523,935			Grauzer et al.
	7,059,602 B2		Grauzer et al.	7,523,936 7,523,937			Grauzer et al. Fleckenstein
	7,066,464 B2 7,068,822 B2	6/2006	Blad et al. Scott	7,525,510			Beland et al.
	7,073,791 B2		Grauzer et al.	7,540,498			Crenshaw et al.
	7,079,010 B2		Champlin	7,549,643 7,554,753		6/2009 6/2009	Quach Wakamiya
	7,084,769 B2 7,089,420 B1		Bauer et al. Durst et al.	7,556,197			Yoshida et al.
	D527,900 S		Dewa et al.	7,575,237		8/2009	
	7,106,201 B2	9/2006		7,578,506			Lambert
	7,113,094 B2		Garber et al.	7,584,962 7,584,963			Breeding et al. Krenn et al.
	7,114,718 B2 7,128,652 B1		Grauzer et al. Lavoie et al.	7,584,966		9/2009	
	7,139,108 B2		Andersen et al.	7,591,728			Gioia et al.
	7,140,614 B2	11/2006		7,597,623 7,644,923			Grauzer et al. Dickinson et al.
	7,162,035 B1		Durst et al. Crenshaw et al.	7,661,676			Smith et al.
	7,165,770 B2	1/2007		7,666,090			Hettinger
	7,175,522 B2	2/2007		7,669,853		3/2010	
	7,186,181 B2 7,201,656 B2	3/2007		7,677,565 7,686,681			Grauzer et al. Soltys et al.
	7,201,030 B2 7,202,888 B2	4/2007 4/2007	Tecu et al.	7,740,244		6/2010	
	7,203,841 B2		Jackson et al.	7,744,452			Cimring et al.
	7,222,852 B2		Soltys et al.	7,753,373 7,753,374		7/2010	Grauzer et al.
	7,222,855 B2 7,231,812 B1	5/2007 6/2007	Sorge Lagare	7,758,425			Poh et al.
	7,234,698 B2		Grauzer et al.	7,762,554		7/2010	
	7,237,969 B2		Bartman	7,766,332 7,766,333			Grauzer et al. Stardust et al.
	7,243,148 B2 7,243,698 B2	7/2007	Keir et al.	7,769,853			Nezamzadeh
	7,246,799 B2	7/2007	$\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$	7,773,749	B1	8/2010	Durst et al.
	7,255,642 B2		Sines et al.	7,780,529			Rowe et al.
	7,257,630 B2 7,261,294 B2		Cole et al.	7,784,790 7,804,982			Grauzer et al. Howard et al.
	7,261,294 B2 7,264,241 B2		Grauzer et al. Schubert et al.	7,824,255			Lutnick et al.
	7,264,243 B2		Yoseloff et al.	7,846,020			Walker et al.
	7,277,570 B2		Armstrong	7,874,559 7,890,365		1/2011 2/2011	1seng Hettinger
	7,278,923 B2 7,294,056 B2		Grauzer et al. Lowell et al.	7,900,923			Toyama et al.
	7,297,062 B2		Gatto et al.	7,908,169			Hettinger
	7,300,056 B2		Gioia et al.	7,931,533 7,933,448			Lemay et al. Downs, III
	7,303,473 B2 7,303,475 B2	12/2007	Rowe Britt et al.	7,946,586			Krenn et al.
	7,309,065 B2		Yoseloff et al.	7,959,153			Franks, Jr.
	7,316,609 B2		Dunn et al.	7,976,023			Hessing et al.
	7,331,579 B2	2/2008		7,988,554 7,995,196		8/2011	Lemay et al. Fraser
	7,334,794 B2 7,338,044 B2	2/2008 3/2008	Grauzer et al.	8,002,638			Grauzer et al.
	7,338,362 B1	3/2008	Gallagher	8,011,661			Stasson
	7,341,510 B2		Bourbour et al.	8,016,663			Soltys et al.
	D566,784 S 7,357,321 B2		Palmer Koshida et al.	8,021,231 8,025,294			Walker et al. Grauzer et al.
	7,360,094 B2	4/2008		8,038,521			Grauzer et al.
	7,367,561 B2		Blaha et al.	RE42,944			Blaha et al.
	7,367,563 B2		Yoseloff et al.	8,057,302			Wells et al.
	7,367,565 B2 7,367,884 B2	5/2008 5/2008	Chiu Breeding et al.	8,062,134			Kelly et al. Grauzer et al.
	7,384,044 B2		Grauzer et al.	8,092,307		1/2012	

(56)	Referen	ices Cited				Kelly	
Ţ	J.S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS	10,933,300	B2	3/2021	Kelly Helsen et al.	A031 1/007
			2001/0035604				
8,109,514 I		_	2001/0036231 2001/0036866			Stockdale et al.	
•	32 4/2012 31 5/2012	· ·	2001/0050505			Stardust et al.	
, ,	3/2012 32 7/2012		2002/0045478				
8,251,293 I		Nagata et al.	2002/0045481			Soltys et al.	
8,251,802 I			2002/0063389			Breeding et al.	
/ /	31 9/2012		2002/0094869 2002/0107067			Harkham McGlone et al.	
, ,	32 10/2012 32 10/2012		2002/0107077			Giobbi	
, ,		Weinmann et al.	2002/0113368			Hessing et al.	
•		Scheper et al.	2002/0135692			Nobuhiro	
		Sampson et al.	2002/0142820		10/2002		
, ,	32 1/2013		2002/0155869 2002/0163122			Soltys et al. Vancura	
8,333,313 I 8,419,521 I	32 1/2013 32 4/2013	Grauzer et al.				Grauzer et al.	
8,429,229 I		Sepich et al.	2002/0187821		12/2002	Soltys et al.	
, ,		Grauzer et al.	2002/0187830			Stockdale et al.	
8,444,489 I		Lian et al.	2003/0003997 2003/0007143			Vuong et al. McArthur et al.	
8,475,252 I		Savage et al.	2003/0007143			Yamakawa	
8,485,527 I 8 498 444 I	32 7/2013 32 7/2013	Sampson et al. Sharma	2003/0052449			Grauzer et al.	
8,505,916 I		Grauzer et al.	2003/0052450	A 1	3/2003	Grauzer et al.	
, ,		Grauzer et al.	2003/0064798			Grauzer et al.	
		Gururajan et al.	2003/0067112 2003/0087694		4/2003 5/2003	Grauzer et al.	
8,548,327 I		Hirth et al.	2003/008/054			Grauzer et al.	
	32 10/2013 32 10/2013	Grauzer et al.	2003/0094756			Grauzer et al.	
, ,		Rynda et al.	2003/0151194			Hessing et al.	
8,590,895 I	32 11/2013	Kwon	2003/0195025		10/2003		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	$\Xi = \frac{12}{2013}$		2004/0015423 2004/0100026			Walker et al. Haggard	
	32 12/2013 32 12/2013	Toyama Czyzewski et al.	2004/0108255			Johnson	
8,651,485 I		•	2004/0108654	A 1		Grauzer et al.	
, ,	3/2014		2004/0116179			Nicely et al.	A COT 1/10
8,695,978 I			2004/0169332	Al*	9/2004	Grauzer	
8,702,100 H		Snow et al.	2004/0180722	A 1	9/2004	Giobhi	273/149 R
8,702,101 I 8,720,891 I		Scheper et al. Hessing et al.				Smith	A63F 1/00
8,758,111 I		Lutnick					463/47
8,777,727 I			2004/0245720			Grauzer et al.	
, ,	32 8/2014 0/2014		2004/0259618				
8,820,745 I 8,844,930 I		Grauzer et al. Sampson et al.	2005/0012671 2005/0012818			Kiely et al.	
8,844,931 I		Blaha et al.	2005/0012616			Gururajan	
8,899,587 I		Grauzer et al.	2005/0035548		2/2005	Yoseloff et al.	
, ,		Wadds et al.	2005/0037843			Wells et al.	
8,969,802 I 9,101,821 I	3/2015 32 8/2015		2005/0040594 2005/0051955			Krenn et al. Schubert et al.	
•	32 3/2015 32 12/2015		2005/0051555			Grauzer et al.	
		Grauzer et al.	2005/0062229			Grauzer et al.	
, ,	32 2/2016		2005/0082750			Grauzer et al.	
, ,	32 2/2016 32 2/2016		2005/0093231 2005/0110210			Grauzer et al. Soltys et al.	
9,266,012 I 9,280,866 I		Grauzer et al. Nayak et al.	2005/0110210			Grauzer et al.	
9,316,597 I		Blazevic	2005/0113171		5/2005	Hodgson	
9,378,766 I		Kelly et al.	2005/0119048			Soltys et al.	
, ,		Downs et al.	2005/0121852 2005/0137005			Soltys et al. Soltys et al.	
9,474,957 I 9 504 905 I	32 10/2016 32 11/2016	Haushalter et al. Kelly et al	2005/0137003		7/2005		
	32 12/2016	_	2005/0164759			Smith et al.	
9,539,495 1		Scheper et al.	2005/0164761		7/2005		
, ,		Stasson et al.	2005/0192092			Breckner et al.	
, ,		Riordan et al.	2005/0206077			Grauzer et al. Downs, III	
9,679,603 I 9,713,761 I		Kelly et al. Sampson et al.	2005/0242500 2005/0272501			Tran et al.	
9,731,190 I		Sampson et al.	2005/0277463			Knust et al.	
9,764,221 I	32 9/2017	Swanson	2005/0288083			Downs, III	
9,802,114 I			2005/0288086			Schubert et al.	
9,901,810 1 9,908,034 I		Rynda et al. Downs et al.	2006/0027970 2006/0033269			Kyrychenko Grauzer et al.	
9,903,034 I		Krenn et al.	2006/0033209			Grauzer et al.	
10,022,617 I		Stasson et al.	2006/0046853		3/2006		
, ,		Riordan et al.	2006/0055114			White et al.	
10 124 241 I					<u> </u>	T	
, ,		Stasson et al. Stasson et al.	2006/0063577 2006/0066048			Downs et al.	

(56)	Referer	ices Cited	2009/025347			Walker et al.	
ŢΤ	C DATENIT	DOCUMENTS	2009/025350 2009/026729			Krise et al. Blaha et al.	
U.	S. PAIENI	DOCUMENTS	2009/028396		11/2009		
2006/0084502 A	1 4/2006	Downs et al.	2009/029857			Gagner et al.	
2006/0151946 A			2009/030253		12/2009		
2006/0183540 A		Grauzer et al.	2009/030253		12/2009		
2006/0189381 A		Daniel et al.	2009/031209 2009/031418			Walker et al. Toyama et al.	
2006/0199649 A		Soltys et al.	2010/001315			Grauzer et al.	
2006/0205508 A 2006/0220312 A		Green Baker et al.	2010/004830			Boesen	
2006/0220312 A		Baker et al.	2010/006915			Schwartz et al.	
2006/0252521 A		Gururajan et al.	2010/017898		7/2010		
		Gururajan et al.	2010/019741 2010/023411			Leen et al. Clarkson	
2006/0279040 A 2007/0001395 A		Downs et al. Gioia et al.	2010/023411			Szrek et al.	
2007/0001393 A 2007/0006708 A		Laakso	2010/024437			Johnson	
2007/0005783 A			2010/025299	2 A1	10/2010	Sines	
2007/0045959 A	.1 3/2007	Soltys	2010/025589		10/2010		
2007/0049368 A		Kuhn et al.	2010/031149 2010/031149			Miller et al. Miller et al.	
2007/0057454 A		Fleckenstein				Grauzer et al.	
2007/0057469 A 2007/0066387 A		Grauzer et al. Matsuno et al.				Grauzer et al.	
2007/0069367 A		Downs et al.	2011/000648			Grauzer et al.	
2007/0072677 A	.1 3/2007	Lavoie et al.	2011/001230			Kourgiantakis et al.	
2007/0111773 A		Gururajan et al.	2011/002498		2/2011	_	
2007/0148283 A			2011/005204 2011/006266			Rajaraman et al. Ohta et al.	
2007/0184905 A 2007/0197294 A		Gatto et al. Gong	2011/003203			Bounds	
2007/0197294 A 2007/0197298 A		Rowe	2011/007995			Hartley	
		Miltenberger et al.	2011/010520			Bickley	
2007/0222147 A		Blaha et al.	2011/013018			Walker	
2007/0225055 A		Weisman	2011/013019 2011/015995			Hamman et al. Kerr	
2007/0233567 A 2007/0238506 A			2011/015995				
2007/0238300 A 2007/0241498 A			2011/016593		7/2011		
2007/0259709 A		_	2011/017200			Alderucci	
2007/0267812 A	1 11/2007	Grauzer et al.	2011/018374			Wilson et al.	
2007/0272600 A			2011/023014 2011/023026			Demuynck et al. Williams	
2007/0287534 A 2007/0290438 A			2011/025020				
2007/0290438 A 2007/0298865 A			2011/027288				
2008/0004107 A			2011/028508				
2008/0022415 A	1 1/2008	Kuo et al.				Clarkson et al.	
2008/0032763 A		Giobbi	2012/001572 2012/001572			Ocko et al. Ocko et al.	
2008/0039192 A 2008/0039208 A		Laut Abrink et al.	2012/001572			Lam et al.	
2008/0035208 A 2008/0096656 A		Lemay et al.	2012/001574	7 A1	1/2012	Ocko et al.	
2008/0111300 A		Czyzewski et al.	2012/002183			Keller et al.	
2008/0113783 A		Czyzewski et al.	2012/003497			Kammler	
2008/0136108 A		-	2012/006274 2012/007464			Han et al. Grauzer et al.	
2008/0143048 A 2008/0176627 A		Shigeta Lardie	2012/009598			Lennington et al.	
2008/01/002/ A 2008/0217218 A		Johnson	2012/016139			Krenn et al.	
2008/0234046 A			2012/017584			Grauzer et al.	
2008/0234047 A		Nguyen	2012/018174			Grauzer et al.	
2008/0248875 A			2012/018762 2012/024278			Downs et al. Huang	
2008/0284096 A 2008/0315517 A		Toyama et al.	2012/02/12/0			Grauzer et al.	
2009/0026700 A		Shigeta	2012/030615			Krishnamurty et al.	
2009/0048026 A		French	2013/002076			Sines et al.	
2009/0054161 A		Schubert et al.	2013/002331			Abrahamson Wainmann at al	
2009/0072477 A		Tseng	2013/008563 2013/010945			Weinmann et al. Grauzer et al.	
2009/0091078 A 2009/0100409 A		Grauzer et al.	2013/013230			Kami et al.	
2009/0100409 A 2009/0104963 A		Toneguzzo Burman et al.	2013/022897			Grauzer et al.	
2009/0121429 A		Walsh	2013/024114			McGrath	
2009/0134575 A	1 5/2009	Dickinson et al.	2013/033792				20637.10740
2009/0140492 A		Yoseloff et al.	2014/009152	1 Al*	4/2014	Kelly C	
2009/0166970 A			2014/009423	9 д1	4/2014	Grauzer et al.	348/135
2009/0176547 A 2009/0179378 A		Katz Amaitis et al.	2014/009423			Grauzer et al. Grauzer et al.	
2009/01/93/6 A 2009/0186676 A		Amaitis et al.	2014/014539			Krenn et al.	
2009/0191933 A		French	2014/017117		6/2014	Krishnamurty et al.	
2009/0194988 A		Wright et al.	2014/017572			Huhtala et al.	
2009/0197662 A		Wright et al.	2014/018381			Czyzewski et al.	A COTT 4 (4.5
2009/0227318 A		Wright et al.	2014/030900	o Al*	10/2014	Shigeta	
2009/0227360 A		Gioia et al.	2015/001402	6 A 1	1/2015	Schener et al	463/11
2009/0250873 A	.1 10/2009	JOHES	2015/001492	o Al	1/2013	Scheper et al.	

(56)	Refere	nces Cited	TW TW	M335308 M357307		7/2008 5/2009	
	U.S. PATENT	Γ DOCUMENTS	TW	M359356		6/2009	
			TW	I345476		7/2011	
2015/00212/ 2015/01968		Johnson Scheper et al.	TW TW	I468209 I481436		1/2015 4/2015	
2015/01968		Scheper et al.	WO	87/00445		1/1987	
2015/02388	48 A1 8/2015	Kuhn et al.	WO	87/00764		2/1987	
2015/02510		Wright Daveloaum et al	WO WO	92/21413 95/28210		12/1992 10/1995	
2015/02905 2016/02208		Bourbour et al. Czyzewski et al.	WO	96/07153		3/1996	
2018/00432		Blaha et al.	WO	97/10577		3/1997	
2018/00899		Nagaragatta et al.	WO WO	98/14249 98/40136		4/1998 9/1998	
2018/02006 2018/02075		Riordan et al. Blaha et al.	WO	99/43404		9/1999	
2010/02073	772010	Diana et ai.	WO	99/52610		10/1999	
I	FOREIGN PATE	ENT DOCUMENTS	WO WO	99/52611 00/51076		10/1999 8/2000	
			WO	01/56670		8/2001	
AU AU	06978/05 B2 0757636 B2		WO	02/05914		1/2002	
CA	2266555 A1		WO WO	01/78854 2003/004116		2/2002 1/2003	
CA	2284017 C	5/2006	WO	03/26763		4/2003	
CA	2612138 A1 2823738 A1		WO	2004/067889		8/2004	
CA CA	2669167 C	5/2016	WO WO	2004/112923 2006/031472		12/2004	
CN	2051521 U	1/1990	WO	06/39308		3/2006 4/2006	
CN	1383099 A	12/2002	WO	2007/117268		10/2007	
CN CN	1824356 A 2848303 Y	8/2006 12/2006	WO	2008/005285		1/2008	
CN	2855481 Y	1/2007	WO WO	2008/005286 2008/006023		1/2008 1/2008	
CN	1933881 A	3/2007	WO	2008/091809		7/2008	
CN CN	2877425 Y 101025603 A	3/2007 8/2007	WO	2009/067758		6/2009	
CN	101044520 A	9/2007	WO WO	2009/137541 2010/052573		11/2009 5/2010	
CN	200954370 Y	10/2007	WO	2010/052373		5/2010	
CN CN	200987893 Y 101099896 A	12/2007 1/2008	WO	2010/117446		10/2010	
CN	101033030 A	2/2008	WO WO	2012/053074 2013/019677		4/2012 2/2013	
CN	101134141 A	3/2008	WO	2015/015077		5/2016	
CN CN	201085907 Y 201132058 Y	7/2008 10/2008					
CN	201132036 Y	10/2008		OTHER	PUB	BLICATION	S
CN	101437586 A	5/2009					
CN CN	100571826 C 1771077 B	12/2009 6/2010			-		Opinion of the Inter-
CN	102125756 A	7/2011		_	ty for	PC1/US2008.	/007069, dated Sep.
CN	102170944 A	8/2011	8, 2008, 1 PCT Inter	1 0	onart	and Writton C	Opinion, PCT Appli-
CN CN	101783011 B 102847311 A	12/2011 1/2013			-		7, 2013, 13 pages.
CN	202724641 U	2/2013			·		Opinion, PCT Appli-
CN CZ	202983149 U 0024952 U1	6/2013 2/2013	cation No	. PCT/US2015/02	22158,	dated Jun. 1'	7, 2015, 13 pages.
DE	0024932 CT 0291230 C	4/1916			-		Opinion, PCT Appli-
DE	2757341 A1				•	•	, 2015, 15 pages.
DE DE	2816377 A1 3807127 A1				-		Opinion, PCT Appli- 5, 2016, 20 pages.
EP	0777514 B1	2/2000			ŕ		Opinion, PCT Appli-
EP	1194888 A1				-		2, 2016, 11 pages.
EP EP	1502631 A1 1713026 A1		PCT Intern	national Search Re	port a	nd Written Op	oinion, PCT/US2012/
EP	2228106 A1		•	ted Oct. 16, 2012		•	
EP	1575261 B1				-		onal Application No.
FR GB	2375918 A1 0289552 A	7/1978 4/1928		007/022858, dated		•	onal Application No.
GB	0237332 A 0337147 A	10/1930		003/015393, date			
GB	0414014 A	7/1934				-	onal Application No.
GB JP	0672616 A 10-063933 A	5/1952 3/1998		003/015393, date	_		
JР	11-045321 A	2/1999			-	t for PCT/US	\$2005/034737 dated
	2000-251031 A	9/2000	-	06 (WO06/03930		LC. DODATO	10005/004505 1 / 1
JP JP	2001-327647 A 2002-165916 A	11/2001 6/2002			-		S2005/034737 dated
	2002-163916 A 2003-154320 A	5/2002	-	06, 1 page (W00 national Search		•	\$2005/034737 dated
JP	2003-250950 A	9/2003		06, 1 page (WO)	_		.2005/05 1757 dated
	2005-198668 A 2006-092140 A	7/2005 4/2006	-	·		,	2007/022894, dated
JP	2008-092140 A 2008-246061 A	10/2008		008, 3 pages.			
JP	4586474 B2			en Opinion for Po	CT/US	507/15035, da	ted Sep. 29, 2008, 3
KR 2	2018-0090299 A	8/2018	pages.				

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

PCT Written Opinion for PCT/US07/15036, dated Sep. 23, 2008, 3 pages.

Philippines Patent Application Formality Examination Report—Philippines Patent Application No. 1-2006-000302, dated Jun. 13, 2006.

Plaintiffs Declaration of Lawrence Luciano in Opposition to Shuffle Master's Motion for Preliminary Injunction, *Card, LLC* v. *Shuffle Master, Inc.*, D. Nev. (No. CV-N-03-0244-ECR(RAM)), Nov. 24, 2003.

Playtech Retail begins roll out of Neon across Grosveno.quadrature. 55 UK Casinos. Playtech, Apr. 21, 2016. Retrieved on Oct. 11, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: https://www.playtech.com/news/latest.sub.-news.sub.--and.sub.-prs/playt-ech.sub.-retail.sub.-begins.sub.-roll.sub.--out.sub.--of.sub.--neon.sub-.-across.sub.-grosvenor.sub.-s. sub.-55.sub.--uk.sub.--casinos> (1 page).

Playtech Retail begins roll out of Neon across Grosvenos 55 UK Casinos . Playtech, Apr. 21, 2016. Retneved on Oct. 11, 2016 from the Internet: <URL:https://www.playtech.com/news/latest_news_and_prs/playtech_retail_begins_roll_out_of_neon_across_grosvenor_s_55_uk_casinos> (1 page).

Press Release for Alliance Gaming Corp., Jul. 26, 2004—Alliance Gaming Announces Control with Galaxy Macau for New MindPlay Baccarat Table Technology, 2 pages, http://biz.yahoo.com/prnews. Prototype Glossary and Timelines; *Shuffle Tech International* v. *Scientific Games Corp.*, et al. 1:15-cv-3702 (N.D. III.); (May 2017) pp. 1-4.

Prototype Glossary and Timelines; *Shuffle Tech International* v. *Scientific Games Corp., et al.* 1:15-cv-3702 (N.D. III.); undated; pp. 1-4.

Scame's Encyclopedia of Games by John Scame, 1973, "Super Contract Bridge", p. 153.

Scame's Encyclopedia of Games by John Scarne, 1973, "Super Contract Bridge", p. 153.

Service Manual/User Manual for Single Deck Shufflers: BG1, BG2 and BG3 by Shuffle Master (copyright) 1997, 151 page.

SHFL Entertainment, Inc. Docket No. 60, Opening Claim Construction Brief, filed in Nevada District Court Case No. 2:12-cv-01782 with exhibits, Aug. 8, 2013, p. 1-125.

Shuffle Master Gaming, Service Manual, ACE(trademark) Single Deck Card Shuffler, (1998), 63 pages.

Shuffle Master Gaming, Service Manual, Let It Ride Bonus (Register) With Universal Keypad, 112 pages, (Copyright) 2000 Shuffle Master, Inc.

Shuffle Master's Reply Memorandum in Support of Shuffle Master's Motion for Preliminary Injunction for *Shuffle Master, Inc.* vs. *VendingDala Corporation*, in the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, No. CV-S-04-1373-JCM-LRL, Nov. 29, 2004.

Shuffle Master, Inc. (1996) Let It Ride, the Tournament, User Guide, 72 pages.

Shuffle Tech International LLC et al. vs. Scientific Games Corporation et al., Order Denying Motion for Summary Judgement: Memorandum Opinion and Order, in the U.S. District Court, for the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division, No. 15 C 3702, Sep. 1, 2017, 35 pages.

Singapore Patent Application Examination Report—Singapore Patent Application No. SE 2008 01914 A, dated Jun. 18, 2008, 9 pages. Solberg, Halvard; Deposition; *Shuffle Tech International* v. *Scientific Games Corp.*, et al. 1:15-cv-3702 (N.D. III.); Oct. 18, 2016; pp. 187, 224-246, 326-330, 338-339, 396; Baytowne Reporting; Panama City, FL.

Specification of Australian Patent Application No. 31577/95 filed Jan. 17, 1995, Applicants: Rodney G. Johnson et al.; Card Handling Apparatus.

Statement of Relevance of Cited References, Submitted as Part of a Third-Party Submission Under 37 CFR 1.290 on Dec. 7, 2012 (12 pages).

TableScanner "Accounting & Cage". Product Information Datasheets [online]. Advansys, 2013. Retrieved on Oct. 11, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://advansys.si/products/tablescanner/accounting-cage/> (4 pages).

TableScanner "Casino Management System". Product Information Datasheets [online], Advansys, 2013. Retrieved on Oct. 11, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://advansys.si/> (6 pages).

TableScanner "Multisite". Product Information Datasheets [online]. Advansys, 2013. Retrieved on Oct. 11, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://advansys.si/products/tablescanner/multisite/>(3 pages). TableScanner "Player Tracking". Product Information Datasheets [online]. Advansys, 2013. Retrieved on Sep. 23, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://advansys.si/products/tablescanner/player-tracking/> (4 pages).

TableScanner "Table Management system". Product Information Datasheets [online]. Advansys, 2013. Retrieved on Oct. 11, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://advansys.si/products/tablescanner/> (4 pages).

TAG Archives: Shuffle Machine, Gee Wiz Online, (Mar. 25, 2013), 4 pages.

Taiwanese Office Action and Search Report from Taiwanese Application No. 10410643, dated Oct. 4, 2018, 15 pages.

Taiwanese Office Action and Search Report from Taiwanese Application No. 104122818, dated Apr. 29, 2019, 17 pages.

Taiwanese Office Action and Search Report from Taiwanese Application No. 106133407, dated Sep. 4, 2018, 9 pages.

Tbm=pts&hl=en Google Search for card handling device with storage area, card removing system pivoting arm and processor http://www.google.com/?tbm=pts&hl=en; Jul. 28, 2012, 2 pages. Tbm=pts&hl=en Google Search for card handling device with

storage area, card removing system pivoting arm and processor http://www.google.com/?tbrn=pts&hl=en; Jul. 28, 2012, 2 pages. Tracking the Tables, by Jack Bularsky, Casino Journal, May 2004, vol. 17, No. 5, pp. 44-47.

TYM @ a Glance—Table Games Yield Management, TYM LIVE Product Information Datasheets [online]. TANGAM Systems, 2016. Retrieved on Oct. 3, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://tangamgaming.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/12/TG_TYMGlance_

2016-V4-1.pdf> (2 pages).
United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit Decision Decided Dec. 27, 2005 for Preliminary Injuction for *Shuffle Master, Inc.* vs. *VendingData Corporation*, in the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, No. CV-S-04-1373-JCM-LRL.

VendingData Corporation's Answer and Counterclaim Jury Trial Demanded for *Shuffle Master, Inc.* vs. *VendingData Corporation*, in the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, No. CV-S-04-1373-JCM-LRL, Oct. 25, 2004.

VendingData Corporation's Opposition to Shuffle Master Inc.'s Motion for Preliminary Injection for *Shuffle Master, Inc.* vs. *VendingData Corporation*, in the U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, No. CV-S-04-1373-JCM-LRL, Nov. 12, 2004.

VendingData Corporation's Responses to Shuffle Master, Inc.'s First set of interrogatories for *Shuffler Master, Inc.* vs. *VendingData Corporation*, in the U.S District Court, District of Nevada, No. CV-S-04-1373-JCM-LRL, Mar. 14, 2005.

Weisenfeld, Bernie; Inventor betting on shuffler; Courier-Post; Sep. 11, 1990; 1 page.

"Error Back propagation," http://willamette.edu~gorr/classes/cs449/backprop.html(4 pages), Nov. 13, 2008.

"I-Deal," Bally Technologies, Inc., (2014), 2 pages.

"Playtech Retail begins roll out of Neon across Grosvenos 55 UK Casinos". Playtech, Apr. 21, 2016. Retrieved on Oct. 11, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: https://www.playtech.com/news/latest_news_and_prs/playtech_retail_begins_roll_out_of_neon_across_grosvenor_s_55_uk_casinos> (1 page).

"Shufflers—SHFL entertainment", Gaming Concepts Group, (2012), 6 pages.

"TableScanner (TM) from ADVANSYS", Casino Inside Magazine, No. 30, pp. 34-36 (Dec. 2012) (4 pages).

1/3 B/W CCD Camera Module EB100 by EverFocus Electronics Corp., Jul. 31, 2001, 3 pgs.

Ace, Single Deck Shuffler, Shuffle Master, Inc., (2005), 2 pages.

References Cited (56)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Acute. Merriam-Webster. Available at http://www.merriam-webster. com/dictionary/acute>, accessed Mar. 23, 2018, 2 pages.

Australian Examination Report for Australian Application No. 2016277702, dated Jul. 12, 2018, 3 pages.

Australian Examination Report for Australian Application No. 2008202752, dated Sep. 25, 2009, 2 pages.

Australian Examination Report for Australian Application No. 2010202856, dated Aug. 11, 2011, 2 pages.

Australian Examination Report from Australian Application No. 2017204115, dated Dec. 20, 2018, 4 pages.

Australian Examination Report, for Australian Application No. 2016363815, dated Feb. 3, 2021, 4 pages, with English Translation. Australian Provisional Patent Application for Australian Patent Application No. PM7441, filed Aug. 15, 1994, Applicants: Rodney G. Johnson et al., Tille: Card Handling Apparatus, 13 pages.

Australian Provisional Patent Application for Australian Patent Application No. PM7441, filed Aug. 15, 1994, Applicants: Rodney G. Johnson et al., Title: Card Handling Apparatus, 13 pages.

Automatic casino card shuffle, Alibaba.com, (last visited Jul. 22, 2014), 2 pages.

Bally Systems Catalogue, Ballytech.com/systems, 2012, 13 pages. Canadian Office Action for CA 2,580,309 dated Mar. 20, 2012 (6 pages).

Canadian Office Action for Canadian Application No. 2,886,633, dated Nov. 1, 2018, 4 pages.

Canadian Office Action for Canadian Application No. 2,985,255 dated Jul. 25, 2019, 4 pages.

Canadian Office Action for Canadian Application No. 2,461,726, dated Dec. 11, 2013, 3 pages.

Canadian Office Action for Canadian Application No. 2,461,726, dated Jul. 19, 2010, 3 pages.

Canadian Office Action from Canadian Application No. 2,823,738, dated Sep. 8, 2017, 4 pages.

Canadian Office Action from Canadian Application No. 2995664, dated Jun. 4, 2019, 5 pages.

CasinoTrac TableTrac Services. Product Information Dalasheet [online]. CasinoTrac, 2015. Retrieved on Oct. 12, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://www.tabletrac.com/?pageid=15#prettyPhoto> (3 pages).

Connect2Table Connect2Table System Summary, generated Oct. 21, 2016 (2 pages).

CasinoTrac TableTrac Services. Product Information Datasheet [online]. CasinoTrac, 2015. Retrieved on Oct. 12, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://www.tabletrac.com/?pageid=15#prettyPhoto> (3 pages).

Chinese First Office Action and Search Report from Chinese Application No. 201580027851.8, dated Mar. 4, 2019, 9 pages.

Chinese Office Action and Search Report from Chinese Application No. 201310361850.X, dated Oct. 10, 2018, 9 pages.

Chinese Search Report for Chinese Application No. 201580027851. 8, dated Feb. 22, 2019, 1 page.

Christos Stergiou and Dimitrios Siganos, "Neural Networks," http:// www.doc.ic.ac.uk/~nd/surprise_96/journal/vol4/cs11/report.html (13) pages), Dec. 15, 2011.

Complaint filed in the matter of SHFL entertainment, In. v. DigiDeal Corporation, U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, Civil Action No. CV 2:12-cv-01782-GMC-VCF, Oct. 10, 2012, 62 pages.

Connect2Table Administrator Manual, Jan. 7, 2013 (82 pages).

Connect2Table Quick Installation Guide, Feb. 20, 2013 (36 pages). Connect2Table User Manual, Feb. 7, 2013 (35 pages).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Aurstia, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 18 of 23 color copies from Binder 1).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Aurstia, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 19 of 23 color copies from Binder 3).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Aurstia, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 20 of 23 color copies from Binder 4).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Aurstia, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 21 of 23 color copies from Binder 6).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Aurstia, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of color pages, for clarity, Part 23 of 23 (color copies from Binder 8, part 2) of 2).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Aurstia, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of color pages, for clarity, Part 22 of 23 color copies from Binder 8, part 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-FIDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 18 of 23 color copies from Binder 1).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-FIDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 19 of 23 color copies from Binder 3).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-FIDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 20 of 23 color copies from Binder 4).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-FIDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 21 of 23 color copies from Binder 6).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-FIDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 22 of 23 color copies from Binder 8, part 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 18 of 23 color copies from Binder 1).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 19 of 23 color copies from Binder 3).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 20 of 23 color copies from Binder 4).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 21 of 23 color copies from Binder 6).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of (color pages, for clarity, Part 22 of 23 color copies from Binder 8, part 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 15 of 23 (Binder 8, 3 of 5).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 16 of 23 (Binder 8, 4 of 5).

Documents submitted in case of Shuffle Master, Inc. v. Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 17 of 23 (Binder 8, 5 of 5).

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Documents submitted in case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of color pages, for clarity, Part 23 of 23 (color copies from Binder 8, part 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. 6Card Austria, et al., Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 7 of 23 (Binder 4, 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 11 of 23 (Binder 7, 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 12 of 23 (Binder 7, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 13 of 23 (Binder 8, 1 of 5).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 2 of 23 (Master Index and Binder 1, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 6 of 23 (Binder 3, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 8 of 23 (Binder 4, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 9 of 23 (Binder 5 having no contents; Binder 6, 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 1 of 23 (Master Index and Binder 1, 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 10 of 23 (Binder 6, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 11 of 23 (Binder 7, 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 12 of 23 (Binder 7, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 13 of 23 (Binder 8, 1 of 5).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 14 of 23 (Binder 8, 2 of 5).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 17 of 23 (Binder 8, 5 of 5).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 4 of 23 (Binder 2, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 5 of 23 (Binder 3, 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 6 of 23 (Binder 3, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 8 of 23 (Binder 4, 2 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) (Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 9 of 23 (Binder 5 having no contents; Binder 6, 1 of 2).

Documents submitted in the case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Austria, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, Part 15 of 23 (Binder 8, 3 of 5).

DVD Labeled "Exhibit 1". This is a DVD taken by Shuffle Master personnel of the live operation of a CARD One2Six.(Trademark). Shuffler (Oct. 7, 2003).

DVD Labeled "Luciano Deel. Ex. K". This DVD includes the video taped live Declaration of Mr. Luciano taken during preparation of litigation (Oct. 23, 2003).

DVD labeled Morrill Decl. Ex. A:. This is the video taped live Declaration of Mr. Robert Morrill, a lead trial counsel for he defense, taken during preparation for litigation. He is describing the operation of the Roblejo Prototype device. See Roblejo patent in 1449 or of record (Jan. 15, 2004). DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

DVD Labeled "Morrill Deel. Ex. A". This DVD includes the video taped live Declaration of Mr. Robert Morrill, a lead trial counsel for the defense, taken during preparation for litigation. He is describing the operation of the Rohiejo Prototype device, (Jan. 15, 2004).

DVD labeled Exhibit 1. This is a DVD taken by Shuffle Master personnel of the live operation of a CARD One2Six[™] Shuffler (Oct. 7, 2003). DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

DVD labeled Luciano Decl. Ex. K is (see Binder 2-1, p. 215/237, Luciano Decl., para.14): A video demonstration (11minutes) of a Luciano Packaging prototype shuffler. DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

DVD labeled Luciano Deel. Ex. K is (see Binder 2-1, p. 215/237, Luciano Deel., para.14): A video demonstration (11minutes) of a Luciano Packaging prototype shuffler. DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

DVD labeled Morrill Deck Ex. A is (see Binder 4-1, p. 149/206, Morrill Deck, para. 2.): A video (16 minutes) that the attorney for CARD, Robert Morrill, made to describe the Roblejo prototype card shuffler. DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

DVD labeled Morrill Decl. Ex. A is (see Binder 4-1, p. 149/206, Morrill Deck, para. 2.): A video (16 minutes) that the attorney for CARD, Robert Morrill, made to describe the Roblejo prototype card shuffler. DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

DVD labeled Morrill Decl. Ex. A is (see Binder 4-1, p. 149/206, Morrill Deel., para. 2.): A video (16 minutes) that the attorney for CARO, Robert Morrill, made to describe the Roblejo prototype card shuffler. DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

DVD labeled Solberg Decl. Ex. C, which is not a video at all, is (see Binder 4-1, p. 34/206, Solberg Deck, para.8): Computer source code for operating a computer-controlled card shuffler (an early Roblejo prototype card shuffler) and Descriptive comments of how the code works. DVD sent to Examiner by US Postal Service with this PTO/SB/08 form.

Error Back propagation, http://willamette.edu~gorr/classes/cs449/backprop.htm1(4 pages), Nov. 13, 2008.

European Communication pursuant to Article 94(3) EPC for European Application No. 15744793.9, dated Mar. 21, 2019, 5 pages.

(56) References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

European Examination Report for European Application No. 02780410, dated Aug. 9, 2011, 4 pages.

European Examination Report for European Application No. 07 853 071.4, dated Aug. 10, 2018, 7 pages.

European Examination Report for European Application No. 02 780 410, dated Jan. 25, 2010, 5 pages.

European Examination Report for European Patent Application No. 16168060.8, dated Feb. 22, 2018, 6 pages.

European Examination Report from European Application No. 17163607.9, dated Oct. 4, 2018, 3 pages.

European Extended Search Report and Written Opinion from European Application No. 18195983.4, dated Jan. 29, 2019, 10 pages. European Extended Search Report from European Application No. 19209594.1, dated Feb. 28, 2020, 8 pages.

European Office Action from European Application No. 15744793. 9, dated Mar. 21, 2019, 5 pages.

European Patent Application Search Report—European Patent Application No. 06772987.1, dated Dec. 10, 2009, 5 pages.

European Search and Opinion for European Application No. 18199796. 6, dated Apr. 5, 2019, 6 pages.

European Search and Opinion for European Application No. 19166074. 5, dated Jul. 19, 2019, 7 pages.

European Search Report and Written Opinion from European Application No. 18199796.6, dated Apr. 5, 2019, 6 pages.

European Search Report for European Application No. 17163607.9 dated Sep. 15, 2017, 6 pages.

European Search Report for European Application No. 12152303, dated Apr. 16, 2012, 3 pages.

Fine, Randall A., "Talking Tables", dated Apr. 25, 2012. Global Gaming Business Magazine, vol. 11, No. 5, May 2012. Retrieved on Oct. 3, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: https://ggbmagazine.com/issue/vol-11-no-5-may-2012/article/talking-ables> (4 pages).

Genevieve Orr, CS-449: Neural Networks Willamette University, http://www.willamette.edu/-gorr/classes/cs449/intro.html (4 pages), Fall 1999.

Gola, Steve; Deposition; *Shuffle Tech International* v. *Scientific Games Corp.*, et al. 1:15-cv-3702 (N.D. III.) Oct. 13, 2016; pp. 1, 9-21, 30-69, 150-167, 186-188, 228-231,290-315, 411; Henderson Legal Services, Inc.; Washington, DC.

Google search for card handling device with storage area, card removing system pivoting arm and processor., http://www.google.com/?tbm=pts&hl=en; Jul. 28, 2012.

Gros, Roger; New Card Management System to be Tested at Baily's Park Place; Casino Journal; Apr. 1989; 5 pages.

Gros, Roger; New Card Management System to be Tested at Bally's Park Place; Casino Journal; Apr. 1989; 5 pages.

http://www.ildado.com/casino_glossary.html, Feb. 1, 2001, p. 1-8. http://www.google.com/search?tbm=pts&q=Card+handling+device+with+input+and-+outpu . . . , Jun. 8, 2012.

http://www.google.com/search?tbm=pts&q=shuffling+zone+onOopposite+site+of+input+...Jul. 18, 2012.

https://web.archive.org/web/19991004000323/http://travelwizardtravel.com/majon.htm, Oct. 4, 1999, 2 pages.

https://www.playtech.com/news/latest_news_and_prs/paytech_retail_begins_roll_out_of_neon_across_grosvenor_s_55_uk_casinos> (1 page).

I/3" B/W CCD Camera Module EB100 by EverFocus Electronics Corp., Jul. 31, 2001, 3 pgs.

International Invitation to Pay Additional Fees and Partial Search Report for International Application PCT/US2019/048442, dated Dec. 6, 2019, 10 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability Chapter 1 for PCT/US19/50436, dated Mar. 16, 2021, 8 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, for International Application No. PCT/US2019/027460, dated Mar. 9, 2021, 10 pages.

International Search Report from International Application No. PCT/US2019/048442, dated Jan. 28, 2020, 6 pages.

International Search Report from International Application No. PCT/US2019/050436, dated Dec. 12, 2019, 4 pages.

International Written Opinion from International Application No. PCT/IB2017/055810, dated Jan. 8, 2018, 8 pages.

International Written Opinion from International Application No. PCT/US2019/027460, dated Aug. 12, 2019, 9 pages.

International Written Opinion from International Application No. PCT/US2019/048442, dated Jan. 28, 2020, 9 pages.

International Written Opinion from International Application No. PCT/US2019/050436, dated Dec. 12, 2019, 7 pages.

Litwiller, Dave, CCD vs. CMOS: Facts and Fiction reprinted from Jan. 2001 Issue of Photonics Spectra, Laurin Publishing Co. Inc. (4 pages).

Macau Office Action and Search Report from Macau Application No. I/1240, dated Aug. 15, 2018, 14 pages with English translation. Macau Office Action and Search Report from Macau Application No. I/1123, dated Feb. 14, 2019, 14 pages.

Macau Office Action and Search Report from Macau Application No. I/1128, dated Dec. 27, 2018, 18 pages.

Malaysian Patent Application Substantive Examination Adverse Report—Malaysian Patent Application Serial No. PI 20062710, dated May 9, 2009, 4 pages.

NEON Product Information Datasheets [online], "Enterprise Casino Management, Table Management System, Mobile, Gaming". Intelligent Gaming, 2014. Retrieved on Oct. 12, 2016 from the Internet: <URL: http://www.intelligentgaming.co.uk/products/neonenterprise/> (4 pages).

Office Action received for European Patent Application No. 17163607. 9, dated Oct. 4, 2018, 3 pages.

Olsen, Eddie; Automatic Shuffler ready for Atlantic City experiment; Blackjack Confidential; Jul./Aug. 1989; pp. 5-7.

Oocuments submitted in case of *Shuffle Master, Inc.* v. *Card Aurstia, et al.*, Case No. CV-N-0508-HDM-(VPC) Consolidated with Case No. CV-N-02-0244-ERC-(RAM)), May 6, 2003, scan of color pages, for clarity, Part 19 of 23 (color copies from Binder 3).

PCT International Preliminary Examination Report for International Patent Application No. PCT/US02/31105 dated Jul. 28, 2004, 9 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion for International Application No. PCT/US2007/023168, dated Sep. 12, 2008, 8 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US07/15035, dated Sep. 29, 2008, 6 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US07/15036, dated Sep. 23, 2008, 6 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT/GB2011/051978, dated Jan. 17, 2012, 11 pages.


PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT/US2010/001032, dated Jun. 16, 2010, 11 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT/IB2013/001756, dated Jan. 10, 2014, 7 pages.

PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT/US05/31400, dated Sep. 25, 2007, 12 pages.


PCT International Search Report and Written Opinion of the International Searching Authority for PCT/US11/59797, dated Mar. 27, 2012, 14 pages.

* cited by examiner



FG. 1

U.S. Patent Jun. 14, 2022 Sheet 2 of 16 US 11,358,051 B2






FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 4C

Jun. 14, 2022

504																	SO3.	300					ندمهنون
000	8805,333	11264	11144	11022	10648		9901	ယ		10	8814		<u></u>	10.666	3.334			16.333	19.333 [25	******	24.667	8.667
-06	9040.333	11262	1142	11023	10645	10120	8866	9664	9297	r	8810			8,666	1.334	~~	11,666	13.333	4.3	<u></u>	<u></u>	8,867	(cr)
88	9289	11259	141	1020	10641	10144	3888	0996	9294	9046	8808		~~~	5.666	83	~~>	7.666	<u>دې</u>	6.333	<u>ල</u> ා		5.667	\$ 45
	9651	11255	11141	11020	10634	10141	9884	9659	9230	9044	8808		····	1.666	0.334	~~	0.666	4.333	2.333	<u></u>		3,667	2.667
555	9881.667		4 4	2,000	10633	10136	9883	9647	9289	9044	8805		<u>۔</u>	-0.334	0.334	Acres in sec	-0.334	-0.667	1,333			3.667	-0.333
45	10136.67	11252	11.140	1013	10633	10133	8/86	9647	9788	က	8803		S.	.334	-0.666	7	-0.334	-3.667	-3.667	~	*******	-7.333	-2.333
25	10633.33	11246	1139	1013	10628	10122	9874	9642	9273	9033	8800		~3*	-7.334	-1,666	7	-5,334	-14.667	-7.667	ಯ	(<u>C</u>)	-7.333	-5.333
		11244	11135		10624	10121	9873	36.28 36.28	37.66	9028	8799		~~~	-9.334	-5.666	<u>۔</u> ش	-9.334	-15.667	-8.667	- 23		-12,333	-6.333
	11140.67		11134		10619	10107	3871	3625	9226	3021	8795		~~	-9,334	999.9-	~~~~	-14,334	S	-10.667	- 52-		•	-10,333
*****	11253,33	11234	11127	11005	10614	10082	9828	9623	6244	8998	8754			-19,334	-13.666	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	~19.334	-44.667	-25.667	-28	-45 -45	-42,333	-51,333
	AVERAGE		}		{																.	ු	








FIG. 6









Jun. 14, 2022










FIG. 15




FIG. 17

Jun. 14, 2022





FIG. 18



CARD HANDLING DEVICES AND ASSOCIATED METHODS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 16/677,222, filed Nov. 7, 2019, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,857,448, issued Dec. 8, 2020, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/360,359, filed Nov. 10 23, 2016, now U.S. Pat. No. 10,486,055, issued Nov. 26, 2019, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/491,822, filed Sep. 19, 2014, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,504,905, issued Nov. 29, 2016, the disclosure of each of which is hereby incorporated herein in its entirety by this 15 reference.

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present disclosure relates to playing card handling 20 devices that may be used in a casino environment, and particularly playing card handling devices that individually move cards in a stack from one area of the playing card handling device to another area of the playing card handling device.

BACKGROUND

Known card feeding systems in a card handling device may include a support surface with pick-off roller(s) that are 30 located within the support surface to remove one card at a time from the bottom of a vertically-oriented stack of cards. In this orientation, each card face is in a substantially horizontal plane with the face of a card contacting a back of an adjacent card. Such a gravity fed system moves indi- 35 vidual cards from one stack into another stack of the card handling device to perform a shuffling operation. Cards may be inserted from the un-shuffled stack into the shuffled stack at a location that is determined by a random number generator (RNG), with the cards in the shuffled stack being 40 gripped by a card gripper to create a gap at the desired location to insert the next card.

Early in the shuffling operation, there may only be a few cards on the elevator platform that holds the shuffled stack of cards. With only a few cards on the elevator platform, 45 there may be some additional airspace (e.g., "fluff") between cards. As more cards are added to the stack, the amount of fluff with those cards may decrease as the weight of the cards above them increases. For example, the first five cards on the stack may have a first thickness when they are the only cards 50 on the elevator platform, but those same first five cards may have a second thickness smaller than the first thickness after more cards are added to the stack. As a result, the grip point for the card gripper to grip the cards for insertion may change over time as cards are added to the stack during a 55 shuffling operation.

Conventional card handling devices have experienced difficulty in dealing with these different thicknesses within the stack. Conventional card handling devices simply determined a grip point based on the number of steps per card 60 ment of the present disclosure. multiplied by the number of cards to be left on the platform. Such a method did not account for variations in the height of cards as the number of cards in the stack increased, and the cards on the bottom of the stack became more compressed. As a result, cards may be gripped at an incorrect 65 location, causing cards to be inserted at the incorrect location during a shuffling operation. Thus, the output order of

cards of the shuffled deck did not precisely match the virtual order prescribed by the RNG. While some amount of incorrect placement of cards may pass regulations for a "random" shuffle, at some point the shuffled set of cards may not pass the regulatory standard for randomness. The inventors have appreciated improvements to such card handling devices that may better account for these situations so that the shuffled deck may more closely follow the expected order generated by the RNG, and any bias in the shuffled deck may be reduced compared with conventional shuffling devices and methods.

BRIEF SUMMARY

In an embodiment, a playing card handling device comprises an input platform configured to receive an un-shuffled set of cards, an elevator platform configured to receive one or more cards from the input platform to form a shuffled set of cards, a card gripper positioned above the elevator platform, and configured to grip cards from the shuffled set of cards, and a processor. The processor is operably coupled to the input platform, the elevator platform, and the card gripper. The processor is configured to control the elevator 25 platform to have a grip position for the card gripper to grip the shuffled set of cards, wherein the grip position is adjusted based, at least in part, on a correction value associated with a particular card insertion.

In another embodiment, a card handling device comprises a card input area and a card output area configured to transform un-shuffled set of cards into a shuffled set of cards, a card gripper configured to grip cards from the shuffled set of cards, an elevator platform that provides a base for the shuffled set of cards during a shuffling operation, and a processor. The processor is operably coupled with the card gripper and the elevator platform. The processor is configured to generate a virtual shuffled set of cards according to a random number generator, control the card gripper and elevator platform to a defined grip position and create a gap for insertion of a next card during the shuffling operation, and adjust the grip position according to a plurality of different corrective values that are different depending on a number of cards to be gripped and a number of cards on the elevator platform.

In another embodiment, a method of handling cards comprises determining a grip position of an elevator platform of a card handling device based, at least in part, on a desired insertion location within a stack of shuffled cards as adjusted based on a corrective value that is different for a plurality of different insertion locations, moving the elevator platform to the grip position, gripping at least a portion of the stack of shuffled cards if the elevator platform is at the grip position, moving the elevator platform away from the grip position to create a gap, and inserting a card into the gap.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a card handling device according to an embodi-

FIG. 2 is a simplified side cutaway view of the card handling device of FIG. 1.

FIG. 3 is a simplified schematic block diagram of a shuffling control system of the card handling device of FIG. according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIG. 4A is a stack of cards that may be present within the temporary card collection area on the elevator platform.

FIG. 4B shows cards being gripped by the card gripper in order to create a gap for the next card to be inserted.

FIG. 4C is a stack of cards that are not lined up evenly during a shuffling operation.

FIG. **5** is a table showing platform position data corresponding to calibration of the card handling device.

FIG. 6 is a plot showing the elevator position of the platform when the top card on the elevator platform is at the top platform card sensor.

FIG. 7 is a plot showing the positions of the elevator platform for various grip points when there are cards remaining on the elevator platform.

FIG. **8** is a plot showing the difference between the "one-dimensional" and "two-dimensional" methods of determining the position of the elevator platform for gripping cards at various points during a shuffle.

FIGS. 9 through 11 are plots showing different error reports for card inserts over one thousand shuffles using different methods for generating the reference position.

FIG. 12 is a correction table according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIG. 13 is a zone hit counter table according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIG. 14 is a re-try counter table according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

FIGS. 15 through 19 are flowcharts illustrating methods for operating a card handling device according to an embodiment of the present disclosure.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In the following description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings in which is shown, by way of illustration, specific embodiments of the present disclosure. 35 Other embodiments may be utilized and changes may be made without departing from the scope of the disclosure. The following detailed description is not to be taken in a limiting sense, and the scope of the present invention is defined only by the appended claims.

Furthermore, specific implementations shown and described are only examples and should not be construed as the only way to implement or partition the present disclosure into functional elements unless specified otherwise herein. It will be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that 45 the various embodiments of the present disclosure may be practiced by numerous other partitioning solutions.

In the following description, elements, circuits, and functions may be shown in block diagram form in order not to obscure the present disclosure in unnecessary detail. Addi- 50 tionally, block definitions and partitioning of logic between various blocks is exemplary of a specific implementation. It will be readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art that the present disclosure may be practiced by numerous other partitioning solutions. Those of ordinary skill in the art 55 would understand that information and signals may be represented using any of a variety of different technologies and techniques. For example, data, instructions, commands, information, signals, bits, symbols, and chips that may be referenced throughout the above description may be represented by voltages, currents, electromagnetic waves, magnetic fields or particles, optical fields or particles, or any combination thereof. Some drawings may illustrate signals as a single signal for clarity of presentation and description. It will be understood by a person of ordinary skill in the art 65 that the signal may represent a bus of signals, wherein the bus may have a variety of bit widths and the present

4

disclosure may be implemented on any number of data signals including a single data signal.

The various illustrative logical blocks, modules, and circuits described in connection with the embodiments disclosed herein may be implemented or performed with a general-purpose processor, a special-purpose processor, a Digital Signal Processor (DSP), an Application-Specific Integrated Circuit (ASIC), a Field-Programmable Gate Array (FPGA) or other programmable logic device, a controller, discrete gate or transistor logic, discrete hardware components, or any combination thereof designed to perform the functions described herein. All of which may be termed "control logic."

A general-purpose processor may be a microprocessor, but in the alternative, the general-purpose processor may be any processor, controller, microcontroller, or state machine suitable for carrying out processes of the present disclosure. A processor may also be implemented as a combination of computing devices, such as a combination of a DSP and a microprocessor, a plurality of microprocessors, one or more microprocessors in conjunction with a DSP core, or any other such configuration.

A general-purpose processor may be part of a generalpurpose computer, which should be considered a specialpurpose computer when configured to execute instructions (e.g., software code) for carrying out embodiments of the present disclosure. Moreover, when configured according to embodiments of the present disclosure, such a special-30 purpose computer improves the function of a general-purpose computer because, absent the present disclosure, the general-purpose computer would not be able to carry out the processes of the present disclosure. The present disclosure also provides meaningful limitations in one or more particular technical environments that go beyond an abstract idea. For example, embodiments of the present disclosure provide improvements in the technical field of card handling devices and, more particularly, to apparatuses and related methods for improving the accuracy of shuffling operations 40 by controlling the movement of the elevator platform to a position that corrects for changing characteristics in the stack of cards being shuffled.

Also, it is noted that the embodiments may be described in terms of a process that may be depicted as a flowchart, a flow diagram, a structure diagram, or a block diagram. Although a process may describe operational acts as a sequential process, many of these acts can be performed in another sequence, in parallel, or substantially concurrently. In addition, the order of the acts may be re-arranged. A process may correspond to a method, a function, a procedure, a subroutine, a subprogram, etc. Furthermore, the methods disclosed herein may be implemented in hardware, software, or both. If implemented in software, the functions may be stored or transmitted as one or more instructions or code on computer readable media. Computer-readable media includes both computer storage media and communication media, including any medium that facilitates transfer of a computer program from one place to another.

It should be understood that any reference to an element herein using a designation such as "first," "second," and so forth does not limit the quantity or order of those elements, unless such limitation is explicitly stated. Rather, these designations may be used herein as a convenient method of distinguishing between two or more elements or instances of an element. Thus, a reference to first and second elements does not mean that only two elements may be employed or that the first element must precede the second element in

some manner. In addition, unless stated otherwise, a set of elements may comprise one or more elements.

As used herein, the term "un-shuffled set of cards" refers to the cards that are on the input platform before a shuffle operation (i.e., when inserted into the card handling device) 5 as well as the cards that may still remain on the input platform during a shuffle operation (i.e., when the shuffle is not yet completed). The un-shuffled set of cards may include any number of cards whether part of a full deck or not. In addition, the un-shuffled set of cards may include one or 10 more decks of cards. Finally, the un-shuffled set of cards may not be required to be in any particular order prior to being shuffled. The un-shuffled set of cards may be in a predetermined order prior to being shuffled (e.g., a newly opened deck), or may be in some other order (e.g., a used 15 output area 114. deck that is being re-shuffled). In other words, the set of cards to be shuffled and as characterized herein as an "un-shuffled" set may be ordered, randomized, or partially randomized. At times, cards within the un-shuffled set of cards may be referred to as some variation of the term "card" 20 that may or may not describe the cards status within the set.

As used herein, the term "shuffled set of cards" refers to the cards on the elevator platform after a shuffle operation to randomize the set (i.e., when all cards have been moved from the input platform to the elevator platform), as well as 25 cards that have been moved to the elevator platform during a shuffle operation that is not yet completed. For example, after 10 card inserts of a shuffling operation of a full deck (52) cards), 10 cards may be in the shuffled set of cards on the elevator platform and 42 cards may remain in the un- 30 shuffled set of cards. At times, cards within the shuffled set of cards may be referred to as gripped cards, platform cards, or some other variation of the term "card" that may or may not describe the cards status within the set.

dling devices and related methods. It is contemplated that there are various configurations of card handling devices according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. FIGS. 1 through 3, described below, are non-limiting examples of such card handling devices that may employ devices and 40 methods of the present disclosure. Of course, other configurations of card handling devices are also contemplated.

FIG. 1 is a card handling device 100 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. The structure of the device is more fully described in U.S. Patent Publication No. 45 2014/0138907 to Rynda et al., filed Nov. 11, 2013, which is assigned to the assignee, the disclosure of which is incorporated in its entirety herein by this reference.

The card handling device 100 includes a housing 102 for the mechanical and electrical components of the card handling device 100. The housing 102 may also include a card insertion area 112 and a card output area 114. The card handling device 100 may further include user interface devices, such as a display panel 120 and a button 122. The display panel 120 may be configured to provide information 55 (e.g., graphically, alphanumerically, etc.) to a user (e.g., dealer, casino personnel, service technician, etc.). Such information might include the number of cards present in the card handling device 100, the status of any shuffling or dealing operations, hand information, security information, 60 confirmation information, on/off status, self-check status, among other information that may be desirable regarding the play and/or the operation of the card handling device 100. The button 122 (or touchscreen controls on the display panel **120**) may include on/off buttons, special function buttons 65 (e.g., raise elevator to the card delivery position, operate jam sequence, reshuffle demand, security check, card count

demand, calibrate, etc.), and the like. The display panel 120 may also be configured to received inputs (e.g., as a touchscreen display) to perform operations on the card handling device 100.

In operation, sets of cards (e.g., up to 8 decks) may be inserted into the card insertion area 112 to be shuffled. The card handing device 100 may include an input platform (not shown) that moves up (e.g., opens) for manual insertion of the un-shuffled set of cards to be shuffled. The input platform may move down (e.g., closes) to place the un-shuffled set of cards in a fixed position within the card insertion area 112. The card handling device 100 may also include an output platform (not shown) that may also move up (e.g., open) for manual removal of the shuffled set of cards from the card

During shuffling, cards may be moved (e.g., fed) from the card insertion area 112 to a temporary card collection area within the housing 102 to form a shuffled set of cards. The input platform may not move during the shuffle. Within the temporary card collection area, however, an elevator platform 210 (FIG. 2) within the card output area 114 is controlled to move up or down during the shuffle to a desired position. If the elevator platform 210 is in the desired position, a card gripper 232 (FIG. 2) is controlled to grip a desired number of cards after which the elevator platform 210 is lowered to create a gap for a new card to be inserted between the gripped cards and the platform cards remaining on the elevator platform 210. The desired location to grip the cards to create the gap may be determined by a random number generator (RNG). The bottom card on the input platform may be moved from the stack of cards in the card insertion area 112 to the elevator platform 210 in the temporary card collection area after the gap is made. As a result, the inserted card from the un-shuffled set of cards is Embodiments of the present disclosure include card han- 35 placed in the stack, the stack positioned on top of the platform cards on the elevator platform 210. The next card on the bottom of the un-shuffled set of cards on the input platform may be inserted at the next desired location in a similar manner according to the RNG. The remaining cards from the un-shuffled set of cards may be similarly moved from the input platform to a space in the stack of cards on the elevator platform 210 until all the cards have been moved. As a result, controlling the operation of the card handling device 100 may transform the un-shuffled set of cards into the shuffled set of cards. Once shuffled, the elevator platform 210 may be moved to the top of the card handling device 100, and the shuffled set of cards may be removed to be dealt.

> In addition to shuffling, the card handling device 100 may be configured to perform additional operations, such as counting cards, verifying cards, etc. The card handling device 100 may include mechanized card shoes, card set checking devices, automatic card shufflers, card sorting devices, card decommissioning devices, and the like. In some embodiments, multiple sets of cards may be processed simultaneously. For example, one set of cards may be shuffled while another set of cards may be dealt from a shoe.

> FIG. 2 is a simplified side cutaway view of the card handling device 100 of FIG. 1. As shown in FIG. 2, the card handling device 100 may further include an elevator platform motor 230, a card gripper 232, a gripper card present sensor 234, a top platform card sensor 236, and a card insert system 240. The card insert system 240 may include one or more pick-off rollers 240A and one or more sets of speed-up rollers 240B. The elevator platform 210 may include a platform card present sensor 211 (e.g., optical sensor, pressure sensor, magnetic detector, sonar detector, etc.) that is

configured to detect the presence of cards or other objects on the elevator platform **210**. For purposes of this disclosure, only some of the components of the card handling device **100** are discussed in this section for simplicity. The card handling device **100**, however, may include additional components that are not explicitly discussed in this section, such as those described in U.S. Pat. No. 8,579,289 to Rynda et al., issued Nov. 12, 2013; U.S. Pat. No. 8,556,263 to Grauzer et al., issued Oct. 15, 2013; U.S. Patent Publication No. 2013/0161905 to Grauzer et al., published Jun. 27, 2013; and U.S. Patent Publication No. 2014/0175724 to Swanson, published Jun. 26, 2014, the disclosure of each of which documents is incorporated in its entirety herein by this reference.

The elevator platform motor 230 may be configured to drive the elevator platform 210 that in turn carries the shuffled set of cards (not shown) to the card gripper 232 to be separated, creating a gap within the shuffled set of cards between the gripped cards and the cards remaining on the 20 elevator platform 210. The card insert system 240 may insert a card from the card insertion area 112 into the gap created within the cards by the card gripper 232 and the elevator platform 210. The elevator platform motor 230 may be configured to be highly controlled in its degree of move- 25 ment. For example, the elevator platform motor 230 may include a microstepped motor. Microstepping the elevator platform motor 230 may control the precise amount of movement for driving the position of the elevator platform **210**. With microstepping, the movement of the elevator 30 platform 210 may be controlled to less than a card thickness per microstep. The movements per microstep may be less than 0.9 a card's thickness, less than 0.8 a card's thickness, less than 0.5 a card's thickness, less than 0.4 a card's thickness, less than ½ a card's thickness, less than 0.25 a 35 card's thickness, less than 0.20 a card's thickness, and even less than 0.05 a card's thickness. In an embodiment where a microstep may be 0.04 a card's thickness, each card is approximately 25 microsteps thick. As a result, the smaller the microstep, the more accurate the positioning of the 40 elevator platform 210 may be provided, which may contribute to the cards being more likely to be inserted at the desired location. The positions of the motor may simply be referred to herein as "steps," which may include microsteps and other steps of various levels of accuracy.

The elevator platform motor 230 may also be configured to assist the card handling device 100 in internal checks for moving the elevator platform **210** to the correct position. For example, the elevator platform motor 230 may include an encoder (not shown) that is configured to determine the 50 position of the elevator platform 210. The encoder may be configured to evaluate the position of the elevator platform 210 through analysis and evaluation of information regarding, for example, the number of pulses per revolution of the spindle on the elevator platform motor 230, which may be 55 greater than 100 pulses per revolution, greater than 250 pulses per revolution, greater than 360 pulses per revolution, greater than 500 pulses per revolution or greater than 750 pulses per revolution, and, in preferred embodiments, greater than 1000 pulses per revolution, greater than 1200 60 pulses per revolution, and equal to or greater than 1440 pulses per revolution. In operation, a processor 350 (FIG. 3) may control the movement of the elevator platform motor 230, the encoder counts the amount of movement driven by the elevator platform motor 230, and then determines the 65 actual position of the elevator platform 210 or a space (e.g., four cards higher) relative to the elevator platform 210.

8

The gripper card present sensor 234 may be positioned within the card gripper 232, and may be configured to detect when at least one card on the elevator platform 210 has been raised to a position that can be gripped by the card gripper 232. The gripper card present sensor 234 may alternatively be placed on other surfaces adjacent the card gripper 232, such as other adjacent walls or elements. The gripper card present sensor 234 may include an optical proximity sensor (e.g., reflective sensor) or other sensor element.

The top platform card sensor 236 may be positioned within the temporary card collection area below the card gripper 232, and may be configured to detect when the top card on the elevator platform 210 is aligned with the top platform card sensor 236. Alignment of the top card on the elevator platform 210 with the top platform card sensor 236 may be detected during calibration to generate reference data, as well as during a shuffle after the cards have been gripped to determine how many cards remain on the elevator platform 210 and verify the accuracy of the grip before inserting a card. As a result, the height of the stack of cards on the elevator platform **210** may be determined. The top platform card sensor 236 may include an optical proximity sensor (e.g., reflective sensor) or other sensor element. For example, the top platform card sensor 236 may be a diffuse sensor configured to detect objects in the range of 5 mm to 40 mm from the top platform card sensor **236**. The top platform card sensor 236 may be configured to detect the edge of an object travelling perpendicular to the top platform card sensor's 236 triangular beam pattern. The top platform card sensor 236 may be coupled to the elevator platform motor 230 as a limit switch so that as the elevator platform 210 raises, the elevator platform motor 230 stops when the top platform card is detected by the top platform card sensor 236. The processor 350 may then record the position of the elevator platform 210.

Although FIGS. 1 and 2 show substantially vertical card stacks with gravity teed systems, it is contemplated that some embodiments may also include cards that are in horizontally aligned stacks, as well as in stacks that are positioned at an angle with respect to the vertical or horizontal directions. For example, some embodiments may provide a stack of cards that is rotated 5 degrees to 10 degrees with respect to the vertical direction, which may aid in maintaining alignment of the stack.

FIG. 3 is a simplified schematic block diagram of a shuffling control system 300 of the card handling device 100 of FIG. 1 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. The shuffling control system 300 may include a processor 350 that is operably coupled to the elevator platform 210, the card gripper 232, the platform card present sensor 211, the gripper card present sensor 234, the top platform card sensor 236, and the card insert system 240.

The processor 350 is configured to control and direct the operation of the card handling device 100 and its various components. In particular, the processor 350 may control the operation of the elevator platform 210 (e.g., what position should the elevator platform 210 be moved to), the card gripper 232 (e.g., when should the card gripper 232 grip and/or release the card), and the card insert system 240 (e.g., when to insert a card to the elevator platform 210). It is recognized that the processor 350 may be configured to send commands to motors that control the movement of the elevator platform 210, the card gripper 232, the card insert system 240, and other components. The processor 350 may also be configured to send commands to other components (e.g., card identification units) that may also contribute to the operation of the card handling device 100. These additional

components are not shown so that FIG. 3 may be simplified in showing the components that are discussed in detail herein.

The processor **350** may determine where the card from the un-shuffled set of cards should be inserted within the set of shuffled cards on the elevator platform **210**. The insertion location may be determined by a random number generator (RNG). The processor **350** may include the RNG; however, in some embodiments, the RNG may be a separate component within the card handling device **100**, or may be part of a component external to the card handling device **100**.

Using the generated random numbers, the processor **350** may be configured to generate a virtual shuffled set of cards that may be used for physically shuffling a set of cards. The virtual shuffled set of cards may be generated in the form of a random number insertion table. For example, Table 1 shows an example of a random number insertion table (also referred to as an "insertion table"), which may be stored in memory for use by the processor **350**. The insertion table may be generated for a set of 52 cards (e.g., one deck of cards). The insertion table may be different sizes for sets of cards having more or fewer cards.

TABLE 1

OPN	RPN	
1	13	
2	6	
3	39	
4	51	
5	2	
6	12	
7	44 4 0	
8	4 0	
9	3	
10	17	
11	25	
12	1	
13	49	
14	10	
15	21	
16	29	
17	33	
18	11	
19	52	
20	5	
21	18	
22	28	
23	34	
24	9	
25	48	
26	16	
27	14	
28	31	
29	50	
30	7	
31	46	
32	23	
33	41	
34	19	
35	35	
36	26	
37	42	
38	8	
39	43 4	
40	4	
41	20	
42	47	
43	37	
44 45 46	30	
45	24 38	
46	38	
47	15	
48	36	

49

10

TABLE 1-continued

OPN	RPN
50 51 52	32 27 22

The insertion table may include the set of numbers used to determine the "insertion position" each time a card is moved from the input platform to the elevator platform 210. For example, each card in the un-shuffled set of cards may be provided with a specific number that is associated with that particular card, herein referred to as the original position number (OPN). Each OPN may be assigned according to positions within the un-shuffled set of cards. If cards are fed from the bottom of the stack onto the elevator platform 210, the cards may be assigned an OPN from the bottom to the top. For example, the bottommost card of the stack may be 20 CARD 1, the next card being CARD 2, the next card being CARD 3, etc. If cards are fed from the top of the stack, the cards may be assigned an OPN from top to bottom. The RNG may assign a random position number (RPN) to each card within the un-shuffled set of cards. The RPN may be the 25 randomly determined final position for each card in the final shuffled set of cards. Thus, the insertion table may represent the expected shuffle results after the card handling device 100 transforms the un-shuffled set of cards into a shuffled set of cards.

In operation, the processor 350 may identify each card by its OPN, and, using the RPN, control the elevator platform 210 to move into the desired position where the card may be properly inserted into the shuffled set of cards being formed as a stack on the elevator platform 210. For example, the first 35 card from the input platform may be moved to the elevator platform 210. To determine where to put the second card, the processor 350 may consult the insert table, and either place the second card above or below the first card on the elevator platform 210. To place the second card below the first card, 40 the processor 350 may control the card gripper 232 to grip the first card, control the elevator platform 210 to move lower, and control the card insert system 240 to insert the second card into the gap between the first card (gripped by the card gripper 232) and the elevator platform 210. Subsequent cards may be similarly inserted by the processor 350 determining how many cards to grip in order to leave the correct number of cards on the elevator platform **210**. The number of cards to be gripped and temporarily suspended may be referred to as the "grip number." The elevator 50 platform 210 may be moved to the "grip position" for the grip number of cards on the elevator platform 210 to be gripped. The elevator platform 210 may be lowered to the "insertion position," creating a gap to insert the next card. The shuffle continues until all of the cards have been moved 55 from the input platform to the elevator platform **210**.

If the grippers grip the cards perfectly, the shuffled set of cards should exactly match the virtual shuffle generated by the RNG. However, gripping errors may occur due to natural variations in the cards and the mechanical aspects of gripping the cards. Natural variations in the thickness of the stack of cards may result from fluff, bending, warping, static electricity, or other variations that may be caused by wear or use of the cards. The card variations may contribute to variations in the height (i.e., thickness) of the stack of cards on the elevator platform 210. Variations in the height of cards may also depend on the number of cards in the stack. For example, the height of the bottommost five cards may be

different when there are more cards above them than when there are fewer cards above them. Thus, inserting a card in the sixth insertion location may require moving the elevator platform 210 to a different grip position when there are ten cards compared to when there are forty cards. The processor 5 350 may adjust for these differences according to a correction table, which maintains correction values indicating how many steps to adjust (e.g., up or down) the elevator platform 210 from its grip position associated with a particular insertion characteristic. The correction table may also be 10 updated during shuffling to dynamically adjust its calibration over time. The correction table will be discussed further below.

For the following FIGS. 4A through 19, reference is made to the components of the card handling device 100 as shown 15 in FIG. 1 through 3. Thus, the reference numerals of the different components may remain in the description even though a figure is discussed that does not show that particular component of the card handling device 100.

FIG. 4A is a stack of cards 400 that may be present within 20 the temporary card collection area on the elevator platform 210. The stack of cards 400 in FIG. 4A may represent cards during a shuffling operation when the cards are not gripped.

During a shuffling operation, a card may inserted within the stack of cards 400 at a desired insertion location deter- 25 mined by the RNG, as discussed above. The processor 350 may determine an insertion location 401 according the desired number of cards that should remain on the elevator platform 210 in order to insert the card in the desired location. Thus, the elevator platform **210** may be moved so that the insertion location 401 aligns with the card gripper 232. In the example shown in FIG. 4A, the insertion location **401** for the inserted card is between the 6^{th} and 7^{th} card presently in the stack of cards 400. The elevator platform 210 may be moved to the position that the insertion location 35 **401** (e.g., the 6^{th} card in this example) is approximately aligned with the card gripper 232, which can be approximated by the position that the insertion location 401 (e.g., 6th card) is approximately aligned with the top platform card sensor 236 plus an additional distance (d) between the top 40 platform card sensor 236 and the card gripper 232.

The position of the elevator platform 210 for the cards to be gripped may be referred to as the grip position. As discussed further below, the grip position may be adjusted according to a correction table, which may store correction 45 values for the grip position to account for variations in card locations depending on the size of the current stack of cards on the elevator platform 210.

The stack of cards 400 may also represent cards during an initial calibration operation in which the cards may be 50 inserted for purposes of card measurement and generating data from which the correction table may be generated, rather than performing shuffling (although during calibration some shuffling may be performed, if desired). In addition, card measurement data may be obtained during a shuffling 55 operation, such as by recording such information prior to gripping cards for the next card insertion.

In some embodiments, the height of the stack of cards 400 on the elevator platform 210 may be determined for each various number of cards that may be placed on the elevator 60 platform 210. Determining the height of the stack of cards may include recording the position of the elevator platform 210 each time a card is added to the top of the stack of cards 400 so that the top card is detected by the top platform card sensor 236. For example, the processor 350 may detect a 65 transition in the signal from the top platform card sensor 236, which transition indicates the platform cards being

12

detected vs. not detected (i.e., the top card position is identified). The position of the elevator platform **210** at which that transition occurs may be recorded. The position of the elevator platform **210** may be measured in steps (e.g., microsteps) relative to a home position located at the bottom of the card handling device **100**. For example, the position of the elevator platform **210** with 1 card may be 11234, with 5 cards may be 11127, and so on.

Positions of the elevator platform 210 may be recorded for each number of cards (e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4 . . .). For example, one card may be inserted onto the elevator platform 210 and the elevator platform 210 may be lowered below the top platform card sensor 236, and then raised until the transition point is detected by the top platform card sensor 236. The position of the elevator platform 210 may be recorded. A second card may be inserted onto the elevator platform 210 and the elevator platform 210 may be lowered below the top platform card sensor 236 and then raised until the next transition point is detected. The position of the elevator platform 210 may be recorded. A third card, a fourth card, a fifth card, etc., may be inserted with the position of the elevator platform 210 recorded at each corresponding transition point. In some embodiments, rather than lowering the elevator platform 210 below the top platform card sensor 236 and then raising the elevator platform 210 until the transition point is detected, the elevator platform 210 may be lowered to detect the transition point with downward movement of the elevator platform 210.

Positions of the elevator platform 210 may be recorded for a selected sub-set of cards (e.g., 1, 5, 10, 25 . . .). For example, one card may be inserted onto the elevator platform 210 and the platform may be lowered until the transition point is detected. The position of the elevator platform 210 may be recorded. Four additional cards may be inserted onto the elevator platform 210 (for a total of five cards) and the platform may be lowered until the next transition point is detected. The position of the elevator platform 210 may be recorded. Five additional cards may be inserted onto the elevator platform 210 (for a total of ten cards) and the platform may be lowered until the next transition point is detected. The position of the elevator platform 210 may be recorded. Additional groups of cards may be inserted with the position of the elevator platform recorded at each corresponding transition point. This method may be particularly advantageous for large sets of cards (e.g., multiple decks) where the time savings of only recording data for a sub-set may outweigh the advantages of recording data for each stack height. Further details for this recording, including taking multiple readings to obtain an average position for each stack height, will be discussed with reference to FIG. **5**.

FIG. 4B shows cards 402 being gripped by the card gripper 232 in order to create a gap 403 for the next card to be inserted. The elevator platform 210 is raised to the grip position to align the insertion location 401 with the card gripper 232 (with any correction table adjustment), the card gripper 232 may then grip the edges of the cards, and the elevator platform 210 may be lowered to create the gap 403. Thus, two sub-stacks may be formed: the gripped cards 402 are suspended by the card gripper 232, and the platform cards 404 remain on the elevator platform 210.

After the cards are gripped, the processor 350 may also determine the actual number of cards remaining on the elevator platform 210 before the next card is inserted. If the elevator platform 210 is not correctly positioned, the number of cards gripped and the number of cards on the elevator platform 210 may not be correct (in terms of what is

expected), which would result in the next card not being inserted at the intended insertion location 401. The actual number of cards remaining on the elevator platform 210 may be determined by lowering the elevator platform 210 to align the top card of the remaining cards to find the transition 5 point using the top platform card sensor 236. The actual position may be compared with the reference position, which is the expected platform position for that number of cards. The height of the platform cards 404 remaining on the elevator platform 210 after a grip should be approximately 10 the same as the height of the platform cards 404 when that same number of cards is first put on the elevator platform 210 during the shuffling operation (or during calibration measurements). Thus, discrepancies between the actual position and the reference position may indicate that the 15 actual number of cards remaining on the elevator platform 210 and the expected number of cards remaining do not match.

If there are substantial discrepancies between the actual number and the expected number of cards remaining on the 20 elevator platform 210, the cards may be re-gripped and/or the correction table may be updated depending on the nature of the discrepancy. As a result, the actual shuffled set of cards may more closely match the expected shuffled deck generated by the RNG system by improving the accuracy of 25 inserting the cards during the shuffle. The next card may then be inserted into the gap 403 onto the top of the platform cards 404. The elevator platform 210 may be raised and the gripped cards 402 may then be released to join cards on the elevator platform 210. The process may continue until all 30 cards from the un-shuffled set are moved to the elevator platform 210.

The goal of the card handling device 100 may be to output a shuffled set of cards that matches the "virtual shuffled set" of the insertion table generated by the RNG system; however, it is recognized that some errors may still occur. While some amount of incorrect placement of cards may pass regulations for a "random" shuffle, at some point the shuffled set of cards may not pass the regulatory standard for randomness. Embodiments of the present disclosure may 40 reduce (or eliminate) the occurrence of shuffles failing the regulatory standard for randomness in comparison with a conventional device.

As shown in FIG. 4C, there may be some situations in which the shuffled set of a deck of cards may not be lined up 45 evenly vertically during a shuffling operation, which may cause the card gripper 232 to stop short of how far the card gripper 232 was commanded to close when gripping the cards. As a result, the card gripper 232 may not close completely on the cards 400, and some of the cards may fall 50 back onto the elevator platform 210 that should have been gripped. To address this problem, the card gripper 232 may be controlled to be moved in and out horizontally repeatedly, which may push the cards together in a more even way before the card gripper 232 is commanded to grip the cards 55 for an actual card insertion.

In addition, there may be some situations, in which a small number of un-gripped cards may "stick" to the bottom of the gripped cards when the elevator platform 210 is lowered. This may be caused by surface tension, static 60 tension, or other interactions between the cards that cause them to stick together. To address this problem, the card gripper 232 may be closed slightly as the elevator platform 210 is lowered. The slight closing motion may occur sometime delay after the cards are gripped and the elevator 65 platform 210 is lowered. The small closing motion of the card gripper 232 may cause the bottom card(s) of the gripped

14

cards to bow in a downward direction as the elevator platform 210 is lowering. The bowing of the bottom gripped card may cause the surface area of any un-gripped cards adjacent to the bottom card to be reduced, causing the un-gripped card(s) to fall from the gripped cards 402 back onto the elevator platform 210.

FIG. 5 is a table 500 showing platform position data corresponding to calibration of the card handling device 100. The platform position data includes a first set of data 502, a second set of data 504, and a third set of data 506. This table 500 may also be referred to as the "deck height table" because the data in the table 500 may indicate the height of the cards on the elevator platform 210. It should be noted, however, that the data shown in FIG. 5 corresponds to a position of the elevator platform 210 when the top card is detected by the top platform card sensor 236 rather than a value that is a direct measurement of the height of the cards. The height of the cards may be derived from the positional data; however, the calculations, comparisons, etc., are described herein as being performed in terms of positions of the elevator platform 210 in relation to the top platform card sensor 236 or other sensor. Of course, additional processing steps may generate actual height measurements, which may be also used as the values stored and processed to perform the various operations described herein.

The first set of data **502** is generated from a number of readings indicating the position of the elevator platform 210 when the top card is detected by the top platform card sensor 236 for various different numbers of cards. For example, the first row of the first set of data 502 shows that the position of the elevator platform **210** was at positions 11234, 11244, 11244, 11246, 11252, etc., for the various readings when there was only 1 card on the elevator platform **210**. The second row of the first set of data 502 shows that the position of the elevator platform 210 was at positions 11127, 11134, 11135, 11139, 11140, etc., for the various readings when there were 5 cards on the elevator platform 210. Other readings may be taken for other numbers of cards (e.g., 10, 25, 45, 55, 65, 80, 90, 100) on the elevator platform **210** to obtain the corresponding positions of the elevator platform 210. Readings may be taken for any number of cards; however, this example shows that ten card numbers (e.g., 1, 5, 10, 25, 45, 55, 65, 80, 90, 100, the numbers indicating a position in the stack starting at the bottom) were selected for obtaining readings. In addition, the number of readings per card number for this example is also ten; however, other numbers of readings (e.g., fifteen) per card number are contemplated.

Because of the variations in the deck height measurements, it may be unreliable to use a single measurement from the first data set 502 directly when positioning the elevator platform 210 during a shuffling operation. Therefore, the second data set **504** may be generated representing an average position for each card number of the first data set 502. In some embodiments, all readings for each card number may be averaged, while in other embodiments a subset of the readings for each card number may be averaged. As an example of one subset that may be averaged, the readings for each card number may be sorted (e.g., from high to low) and the middle three readings may be averaged. For example, the average position for one card on the elevator platform 210 shown is 11253.33, the average position for five cards on the elevator platform 210 is shown to be 11140.67, the average position for ten cards on the elevator platform 210 is shown to be 11017, and so on.

These average positions may only change a few steps in either direction over a large number of shuffles, which may result in more stable data during shuffling. This is shown by the third data set 506 that is generated representing the difference between each reading (from the first data set **502**) 5 and the average position (from the second data set **504**) of each corresponding card number on the elevator platform 210 across all readings. Using the readings and average for 1 card on the elevator platform **210** as an example, the first reading (11234) is different from the average value 10 (11253.33) by (-19.33) steps. The rest of the third data set 506 is generated in a similar manner.

The data shown in FIG. 5 may be generated during an initial calibration operation in which the cards may be inserted for purposes of card measurement and generating 15 data from which the correction table may be generated. For example, measurements may be obtained by simply moving cards from the input platform to the top of the elevator platform 210 without performing shuffling. In some embodiments, the data of FIG. 5 may be obtained during a shuffling 20 operation. For example, measurements may be obtained after a card insertion, but before the next set of cards are gripped. A reading may be obtained before the next card is inserted. The positions from FIG. 5 may be referred to as "one-dimensional" data because the data may be obtained by 25 taking readings that relate only to one dimension (e.g., taking readings while increasing cards on the elevator platform **210** without having to determine a number of cards to grip). Thus, the one-dimensional method may be based only on the height of cards on the elevator platform.

FIG. 6 is a plot 600 showing the position of the elevator platform 210 when the top card on the elevator platform 210 is at the top platform card sensor 236. The X-axis is the number of cards on the elevator platform 210, and the Y-axis align with the top platform card sensor 236. The line 602 may be generated from the average position data (second data set **504**) of FIG. **5**. As the data from FIG. **5** did not include values for every possible number of cards, the line **602** may be fit (e.g., interpolated) from the data to provide 40 estimates for the other numbers of cards. As a result, positions may be determined for each number of cards without needing to perform readings for over all numbers of cards. As an example, the plot shows that when there are 49 cards on the elevator platform, the position of the elevator 45 platform is at about 10000. As 49 cards was not one of the numbers where readings were taken in FIG. 5, this position is an estimate based on the data that was taken. Of course, some embodiments may include readings and averages for all possible card numbers that could be on the elevator 50 platform during shuffling.

FIG. 7 is a plot 700 showing the positions of the elevator platform 210 for various grip points when there are cards remaining on the elevator platform 210. The vertical axis represents the number of cards gripped by the card gripper 232. The horizontal axis represents the cards remaining on the elevator platform 210. The particular plot 700 shown is for two decks of cards (e.g., 104 cards) and the possible combinations of gripped cards vs. platform cards at the various stages of a shuffling operation. The positions from 60 FIG. 7 are referred to as "two-dimensional" because the date may be obtained from two kinds of data, namely grip position and the number of cards gripped. Thus, the twodimensional method is based on a combination of a number of cards to be gripped and a number of cards on the elevator 65 platform 210. The number of cards on the elevator platform 210 used in the two-dimensional method may be the total

16

number of cards on the elevator platform 210 and/or the number of cards to remain after the grip.

For example, a rectangle 702 shows one data set for all possible combinations of the number gripped cards for 25 cards remaining on the elevator platform 210. In order to leave 25 cards on the elevator platform **210**, 1 card needs to be gripped if there are 26 cards on the elevator platform 210 prior to the grip. If there are 103 cards on the elevator platform 210, 78 cards need to be gripped in order to leave 25 cards on the elevator platform 210. In each of these situations, a card insert would occur on top of the 25^{th} card. As discussed above, the thickness of a number of cards may vary depending on how many cards are above them. For example, 25 cards may have a first thickness with 1 card on top, and the same 25 cards may have a second thickness (thinner than the first thickness) with 78 cards on top. As a result, the position of the elevator platform 210 needed to obtain the proper grip point to leave 25 cards on the elevator platform 210 may depend on the total number of cards in the stack. As an example, the position of the elevator platform 210 for gripping 1 card and leaving 25 cards may be 10585, while the position of the elevator platform 210 for gripping 78 cards and leaving 25 cards may be 10621. This is a difference of 36 steps for leaving the same 25 cards on the elevator platform 210 depending on how many cards are on top of the stack.

The data collected for the card handling device 100 may indicate that the position of the elevator platform 210 for gripping cards may be formed (e.g., fit) into an equation. For example, the data from FIG. 7 may be formed into the following equation in some embodiments:

$$y=7.8 \ln(x)+C$$
 (1),

is the corresponding position of the elevator platform to 35 where "y" is the grip position, "x" is the number of cards gripped, and C is an offset constant that may depend on where the 0 position is defined.

> FIG. 8 is a plot 800 showing the difference between the "one-dimensional" and "two-dimensional" methods of determining the position of the elevator platform 210 for gripping cards at various points during a shuffle. In particular, the platform positions determined by the one-dimensional method (FIG. 6) may be subtracted from the platform positions determined by the two-dimensional method (FIG. 7) to generate the difference data of FIG. 8. The darker shaded areas indicate greater differences than the lighter shaded area. The darker shaded areas near the hypotenuse of the triangle were generally positive values (i.e., the twodimensional method generated a higher platform position than the one-dimensional method), while the darker shaded areas near the outside edges of the triangle were generally negative values (i.e., the two-dimensional method generated a lower platform position than the one-dimensional method).

> Embodiments of the present disclosure may use the one-dimensional method, the two-dimensional method, or a combination thereof to generate the grip position and/or the reference position.

Reference Position

The reference position may be determined based on the one-dimensional method (e.g., the method generating the data of FIG. 6), the two-dimensional method (e.g., the method generating the data of FIG. 7), or a combination thereof. The reference position may refer to the position of the elevator platform 210 for the desired insertion location to be aligned with the top platform card sensor 236.

As an example of a reference position generated from a combination of the one-dimensional method and the two-

dimensional method, the reference position may be generated according to the following equation:

Reference Position (RP):
$$RP=P1+\frac{1}{2}(P2-P1)+C$$
 steps (2).

The first term (P1) is the position using the one-dimensional method, ½(P2-P1) one-half of the value generated by subtracting the position using the one-dimensional method (P1) from the position using the two dimension method (P2), and the third term (C) is a bias constant value to compensate for a bias (if needed). Equation (2) may simplify to:

$$RP=\frac{1}{2}(P1+P2)+C$$
 steps (3)

Thus, the reference position may be an average between the values of the one-dimensional method and the two-dimensional method. This average may be more accurate than using either the one-dimensional method or the two-dimensional method individually, because the individual error profiles for the one-dimensional method and the two-dimensional may produce biases that are generally opposite of 20 each other. P1 and P2 may be positions of the elevator platform 210 for the insert position to be aligned with the top platform card sensor 236. As discussed above, the positions of the elevator platform 210 may be converted into actual height values (in microsteps) that may be compared used to 25 compare with a measured height of platform cards.

Grip Position

The processor **350** may determine the grip position of the elevator platform **210** for inserting a card at a desired location. The grip position may be determined by the 30 insertion location plus the distance (d) between the top platform card sensor **236** and the card gripper **232** with any adjustments according to the correction value (if any) in the corresponding zone cell of the correction table. The distance (d) may be measured and stored during a setup procedure for 35 the card handling device **100**. The insertion position may be determined by the "two-dimensional" method to determine where the cards should be gripped in order to grip the correct number of cards and leave the correct number of cards on the elevator platform **210**.

Comparing Reference Position and Measured Position
After the cards are gripped during a shuffle operation, the
remaining platform cards may be measured to determine the
accuracy of the grip. The measured position may be the
position of the elevator platform 210 at which the top 45
platform card sensor 236 detects the top card of the remaining platform cards. The measured position may be compared
with the reference position prior to each card insertion.
Reference height and actual height values may also be used
for this comparison. If there is a difference, the correction 50
table may be adjusted as will be discussed below. As a result,
the next time the grip position is determined, an updated
correction value from the correction table may be used,
which may result in the error being reduced.

FIGS. 9, 10, and 11 are plots 900, 1000, 1100 showing different error reports for card inserts over one thousand shuffles using different methods for generating the reference position. Each plot 900, 1000, 1100 has four quadrants that each have a triangle of different fullness. The horizontal axis of each quadrant is the number of cards on the elevator platform 210, and the vertical axis of each quadrant is the number of cards gripped by the card gripper 232. The cells are numbered from 0 to 103. The cell in the upper left hand corner of the triangle is 0 cards on the elevator platform and 0 cards gripped. Each cell within each triangle has a value between 0 and 1, which value is the average of all of the inserts for all of the shuffles for a given insertion location.

18

If the shade of the cell is white, the average is near zero. If the shade of the cell is dark, the average is closer to 1.

The triangle in the lower left quadrant of each plot 900, 1000, 1100 shows the number of correct inserts for the respective set of one thousand shuffles. The triangle in the upper right quadrant of each plot 900, 1000, 1100 shows the number of inserts that were incorrect by minus 1 card for the respective set of one thousand shuffles. The triangle in the lower right quadrant of each plot 900, 1000, 1100 shows the number of inserts that were incorrect by plus 1 card for the respective set of one thousand shuffles. The triangle in the upper left quadrant of each plot 900, 1000, 1100 shows the number of inserts that were incorrect by more than 1 card for the respective set of one thousand shuffles.

Referring specifically to FIG. 9, the data in the plot 900 results from a system using the one-dimensional method only (FIG. 6) for determining the reference position. That is, the reference position used to generate this data is the position of the elevator platform 210 only considering the cards as they are placed on the elevator platform 210 prior to a grip.

Referring specifically to FIG. 10, the data in the plot 1000 results from a system using the two-dimensional method only (FIG. 7) for determining the reference position. That is, the reference position used to generate this data is the position of the elevator platform 210 considering the cards being gripped and the cards remaining on the elevator platform 210.

Referring specifically to FIG. 11, the data in the plot 1100 results from a system using a balanced approach (both the one-dimensional method and two-dimensional method) for determining the reference position. That is, the reference position used to generate this data is the position of the elevator platform 210 considering equation (2) from the above example.

When comparing the three error plots 900, 1000, 1100, the error pattern in the bottom right triangle may be more dense using the one-dimensional method (FIG. 9) while the top right triangle may be more dense using the two-dimensional method (FIG. 10). Thus, the one-dimensional method may tend to under grip the cards on the elevator platform 210, while the two-dimensional method may tend to over grip the cards on the elevator platform 210. The one-dimensional method and the two-dimensional method both had biases that caused errors; however, the biases were different.

The differences shown in FIG. 9 and FIG. 10 may be corrected by using the "balanced" method as shown in FIG. 11. Thus, even though some errors may still occur, the number of errors may be reduced in number, as well as being more balanced by not strongly favoring under-gripping or over-gripping. Thus, the opposing biases of the two approaches may be evened out across the various card inserts over the course of a shuffle. As a result, the grip positions may be more accurate, which may result in a shuffled set of cards that more closely follows the insertion table generated by the RNG.

FIG. 12 is a correction table 1200 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. The correction table 1200 may be used by the processor 350 to leave the correct number of cards on the elevator platform 210. The correction values stored in each cell of the correction table 1200 may instruct the card handling device 100 the number of steps to add to or subtract from the corresponding insertion points when determining a grip position for the elevator platform 210

The correction table 1200 may be two-dimensional by having the correction value depend on both the number of

platform cards to remain on the elevator platform 210 and the number of gripped cards to be gripped by the card gripper 232. In operation, when inserting a card into the shuffled set of cards during a shuffling operation, the number of cards on the elevator platform **210** may be known. It may be determined how many cards should be gripped and how many cards should remain on the elevator platform 210 in order to insert the card at the desired location determined by the insert table. A grip position may be determined, which may then be adjusted based on the correction table 1200. As an example, there may be 16 cards on the elevator platform 210. The card handling device 100 may determine that 8 cards should be gripped and 8 cards should remain on the elevator platform 210 for a card insertion, and a grip position 15 used to count the number of inserts for each zone cell of the for the elevator platform 210 may be determined. The grip position may then be adjusted based on the corresponding correction value in the correction table 1200 for that particular combination. In this example, the correction value is -20 steps for leaving 8 cards on the elevator platform **210** 20 and gripping 8 cards.

In some embodiments, a correction value may be determined for each possible combination of gripped cards and platform cards. Such an approach may require a large correction table 1200 that is relatively slow to tune; how- 25 ever, having a correction value for all combinations may improve accuracy. In some embodiments, the correction table 1200 may be divided into zones that treat some groups of cards within a zone to be the same in terms of the amount of correction applied to a grip position within that zone. For 30 example, any number of gripped cards between 22 and 25 will use the same zone cell for the correction table to determine the number of steps to correct when performing a grip. Some zones may include relatively small groups of cards (e.g., 2 or 3), while some zones may include relatively 35 larger groups of cards (e.g., 10 or 20 cards). Zones may be smaller for lower numbers of cards shuffled, and increased in size as the number of cards shuffled increases. By grouping the correction values into zones, the operating speed and tuning speed may increase at the expense of 40 potentially reducing the accuracy.

The correction tables 1200 may be automatically created and dynamically adjusted (e.g., corrected, updated, etc.) for the life of the card handling device 100 to respond to changes in the operation of the card handling device 100 45 and/or the use of the cards. In operation, the correction table 1200 may be automatically generated by the card handling device 100 with initial values (e.g., 0) placed in each zone cell for initialization. Thus, for the first card insert at a location within a particular zone, the grip position may not 50 be adjusted by the correction table 1200 because the zone cell has a value of zero. The correction table 1200 may be adjusted dynamically to change the correction values if errors still exist. In particular, after the cards have been gripped, the cards remaining on the elevator platform 210 55 may be compared to a reference value. If the measured position of the platform cards is different than the reference position, the corresponding value in the correction table **1200** may be adjusted according to the difference. The difference may be added to the current value of the zone cell 60 to generate a new value to be used for correction of the next card grip. In some embodiments, a different value other than the difference may be added to the current value of the zone cell. For example, the size of the adjustment may be a set amount depending on how many previous adjustments have 65 been made to a particular zone cell (e.g., as tracked by the zone hit counter table described below).

20

The correction table 1200 may be continually adjusted as more cards are shuffled. The more times a zone is updated, the finer the adjustments to that zone. In this way, the entire correction table 1200 is tuned. Because the correction table 1200 is continuously updated from measurements recorded during shuffling operations, the correction table 1200 may track variations in the cards as the cards age or other factors (e.g., humidity changes), that can also affect accuracy of a shuffle.

Embodiments of the present disclosure may include additional tables that may also be used to assist in the adjustment of the correction table 1200. These additional tables may be same size as the correction table 1200. A first table may be correction table 1200. A second table may be used to monitor re-grips for a given insert.

FIG. 13 is a zone hit counter table 1300 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. The zone hit counter table 1300 counts the number of card inserts (i.e., "hits") over time for each zone cell of the correction table 1200 (FIG. 12). For example, prior to the first time a card insert is performed for a given zone, the corresponding zone cell in the zone hit counter table 1300 may be zero. Each time a card is inserted into a location within a given zone, the corresponding zone hit counter table 1300 may be incremented. As shown in FIG. 13, the zone cell corresponding to 4 gripped cards and 4 platform cards has a value of 21. That means that there have been **21** instances that a card has been inserted into the location of the set of cards with 4 gripped cards and 4 platform cards for the corresponding card handling device 100. The card inserts may occur over different shuffling operations. For some zones that are larger in size, multiple card inserts may occur within that zone during the same shuffling operation. As a result, the zone hit counter table 1300 counts the number of card inserts for each zone during the lifetime of the shuffler.

The zone hit counter table 1300 may be used to control the number of re-grips that the card handling device 100 may perform before moving on. As the hits in a zone cell increase, the number of allowed re-grips may decrease. In an example, the card handling device 100 may permit 3 re-grips for situations corresponding to a zone cell having a value less than 10, permit 2 re-grips for situations corresponding to a zone cell having a value between 10 and 19, and permit 1 re-grip for situations corresponding to a zone cell having a value greater than 19.

The zone hit counter table 1300 may also be used to control the magnitude of the adjustments to the correction table 1200. As the hits in a zone cell increase, the size of the adjustments to the correction table 1200 may decrease. For example, the card handling device 100 may permit adjusting the correction table 1200 by ±5 steps for situations corresponding to a zone cell of the zone hit counter table 1300 having a value less than 8, permit adjusting the correction table 1200 by ±3 steps for situations corresponding to a zone cell of the zone hit counter table 1300 having a value between 10 and 19, and permit adjusting the correction table 1200 by ±2 step for situations corresponding to a zone cell of the zone hit counter table 1300 having a value greater than

The zone hit counter table 1300 may be automatically created and dynamically incremented for the life of the card handling device 100 as cards are inserted during shuffles. In operation, the zone hit counter table 1300 may be automatically generated by the card handling device 100 with initial values (e.g., 0) placed in each zone cell for initialization. In

some embodiments, one or more zone cells of the zone hit counter table 1300 may be reset.

FIG. 14 is a re-try counter table 1400 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. The re-try counter table 1400 counts the number and direction of re-grips during a shuffling operation. The value in each zone cell will increment or decrement in the same direction when the correction value in the correction table 1200 (FIG. 12) is incorrect. During a shuffling operation, the cards may be re-gripped if the number of cards remaining on the elevator 10 platform 210 does not match what is expected. The value in the corresponding zone cell may be adjusted in the direction of the needed adjustment for the re-grip. For example, prior to the first time a card insert is performed for a given zone, the corresponding zone cell in the re-try counter table 1400 15 may be zero. Each time a card is inserted into a location within a given zone, the corresponding re-try counter table **1400** may be incremented. The value of the zone cell may be incremented for an under grip situation or decremented for an over grip situation. Over time, zone cells may begin to 20 favor re-grips in a particular direction, which may indicate that the correction table 1200 is not effective in its updating. If a zone cell in the re-try counter table 1400 reaches a maximum value (e.g., max=20), the card handling device 100 may be configured to reset the corresponding zone cells 25 in the zone hit counter table 1300 (FIG. 13), and the correction table 1200 may be reset to zero. As a result, the corresponding zone cell may be re-initialized in the correction table 1200.

The re-try counter table **1400** may be automatically 30 created and dynamically incremented and/or decremented for the life of the card handling device **100** as cards are re-gripped during shuffles. In operation, the re-try counter table **1400** may be automatically generated by the card handling device **100** with initial values (e.g., 0) placed in 35 each zone cell for initialization. In some embodiments, one or more zone cells of the re-try counter table **1400** may be reset.

Embodiments of the present disclosure may include each unique card handling device 100 creating and maintaining 40 its own unique correction table 1200, zone hit counter table 1300, and re-try counter table 1400, grip points, reference points, etc., that are generated and/or adjusted according to the unique characteristics of the individual card handling device 100.

In addition, each card handling device 100 may include different stored settings for different unique decks that may be used by the card handling device 100. In other words, the card handling device may have a correction table, reference points, etc., associated with a first deck, and another cor- 50 rection table, reference points, etc., for a second deck type. As an example, the card handling device 100 may use at least two decks of cards—one deck may be shuffled while the other deck may be dealt from a shoe. These different decks of cards may have different characteristics, which may 55 be depend on the deck type, the amount of use, and handling. For example, even decks of the same type may have different characteristics as they may experience different amounts of use. As a result, one of the decks of cards may become more warped, bent, or otherwise worn than the other 60 deck, which may result in more corrections needed. Thus, each deck may be more accurately shuffled if each deck has its own calibration settings (including data, tables, etc.) associated with it over the use of the deck.

In some embodiments, the user may select which settings 65 and data should be used by the card handling device 100 when shuffling by selecting which deck is going to be

22

shuffled. In some embodiments, the card handling device 100 may automatically identify which calibration settings should be used. For example, the card handling device 100 may read in the positional data of the un-shuffled set of cards for various numbers of cards (e.g., using the "one-dimensional method") and determine which settings stored in the card handling device 100 more closely matches the positional data. If the positional data does not sufficiently match any of the stored settings in the card handling device 100, new settings (e.g., positional data, reference points, tables, etc.) may be generated and initialized. In some embodiments, the card handling device 100 may provide the dealer with the option as to which deck is being used so that the correct calibration settings are used for the selected deck. In some embodiments, the card handling device 100 may know the order that decks are being used and simply load the calibration settings for the next deck that is expected to be shuffled.

FIG. 15 is a flowchart 1500 illustrating a method for operating a card handling device 100 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. In particular, the method may calibrate the card handling device 100 to account for the mechanical operation of the card handling device as well as variations in the sets of cards being shuffled. The calibration may include automatically generating the appropriate calibration settings (e.g., various data, tables, etc.) to perform the shuffling, as well as dynamically adjusting the calibration settings during the operation of the card handling device 100. Each of operations 1502, 1504, 1506 will be briefly discussed with reference to FIG. 15; however, further details will be provided in FIGS. 16, 17, 18, and 19.

At operation 1502, position data for various numbers of cards on the elevator platform 210 may be generated and stored. The position data may indicate the height of various numbers of cards that may be present on the elevator platform 210 prior to being gripped. For example, the position data may include the data shown in the card height table of FIG. 5.

At operation **1504**, the reference position data for a card insert may be generated. The reference position data may be based on the one-dimensional approach, the two-dimensional approach, or a composite approach of both the one-dimensional approach and the two-dimensional approach. For example, the reference position may be determined according to equation (3) described above.

At operation 1506, the correction table may be checked and/or updated while inserting cards during a shuffling operation. Each time that a grip occurs during a shuffle, the height of the remaining cards may be measured by recording the position of the elevator platform 210 at which the top platform card is detected by the top platform card sensor 236. The measured position may be compared to the reference position to determine whether there is a difference. Depending on the result of this determination, the correction table (and other tables) may be updated and/or a card may be inserted.

FIG. 16 is a flowchart 1600 illustrating a method for operating a card handling device 100 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. In particular, the flowchart 1600 may provide additional details to operation 1502 of FIG. 15. The data resulting from operations 1602, 1604, 1606 may be stored in memory, for example, as the deck height table of FIG. 5.

At operation 1602, position data for various numbers of cards on the elevator platform 210 may be generated during a plurality of shuffles. The position data may be determined

by recording the position of the elevator platform 210 when the top card on the elevator platform 210 is detected by the top platform card sensor 236. In some embodiments, the position data may be recorded for all possible heights for the platform cards. In some embodiments, the position data may 5 be recorded for some of the heights of the platform cards. The position data may include multiple readings for platform cards of the same height. For example, the card handling device 100 may perform 10 readings for each card height that is sampled. Other numbers of readings (e.g., 15 10 readings) may be performed for each card height that is sampled.

At operation **1604**, the positional data may be sorted for each number of cards. For example, if each card height has 10 readings, the 10 readings may be sorted numerically from 15 high to low, or from low to high.

At operation 1606, an average position may be generated for each card height. In some embodiments, a middle group of the sorted readings (e.g., the middle three sorted readings) may be averaged to generate an average position. In some 20 embodiments, all readings may be averaged to generate an average position. Other methods of averaging are also contemplated, including using the median position, the mode, or some other similar averaging technique. Such averaging may be desirable as an individual reading may be 25 inaccurate and may vary from one reading to the next (e.g., at times by 20 steps or more).

FIG. 17 is a flowchart 1700 illustrating a method for operating a card handling device 100 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. In particular, the 30 flowchart 1700 may provide additional details to operation 1504 of FIG. 15.

At operation 1702, one-dimensional position data may be generated for various numbers of cards on the elevator platform. This one-dimensional data may be the positional 35 data generated by operation 1502 of FIG. 15 and further described in FIG. 16.

At operation 1704, two-dimensional position data for various combinations of gripped cards and platform cards may be generated. This two-dimensional position data may 40 be generated by taking readings during a shuffle before and after grips to determine the height of gripped cards and platform cards. In some embodiments, the data may be fit into an equation to represent an estimate of the two-dimensional positions for all combinations of gripped cards and 45 platform cards, such as equation (1) described above.

At operation 1706, reference position data may be generated for a card insert based on both the one-dimensional position data and the two-dimensional position data. The reference position data may include position values that are an average of the data using the one-dimensional method and the two-dimensional method, as described in equation (3) above. As a result, the opposite biases of each method may be smoothed out to reduce the number and magnitude of insertion errors over the course of the shuffle.

FIG. 18 is a flowchart 1800 illustrating a method for operating a card handling device 100 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. In particular, the flowchart 1800 may provide additional details to operation 1506 of FIG. 15. For purposes of FIG. 18, it is assumed that 60 the processor 350 has automatically generated and initialized the correction table 1200 (FIG. 12), the zone hit counter table 1300 (FIG. 13), and the re-try counter table 1400 (FIG. 14). The processor 350 may also determine where the card should be inserted within the shuffled set of cards being 65 formed. The insertion position may be based on the virtual shuffle generated by the RNG. In particular, the processor

24

350 may determine where the current set of platform cards should be gripped to insert the card at the proper location to eventually form a shuffled set of cards that matches the virtual shuffle.

At operation 1802, the processor 350 may determine whether one card should be gripped (i.e., gripping the top card), whether one card should remain on the elevator platform 210 (i.e., leaving the bottom card), or whether the insert should occur at some other location within the shuffled set of cards (i.e., gripping somewhere within the deck).

If the processor 350 determines that one card should be gripped (i.e., the card insert should occur directly below the current top card), then a single card may be gripped at operation 1804. The gripper card present sensor 234 may be used to determine the position of the elevator platform 210 to have the top card gripped. The elevator platform **210** may be raised until the gripper card present sensor 234 detects the presence of the top card. The elevator platform 210 may be incremented and/or decremented a small number of steps (e.g., 2 steps) on each try to determine the point at which the gripper transitions between gripping a card and not gripping a card as detected by the gripper card present sensor 234. The card handling device 100 may retry (e.g., up to ten times) gripping at each interval before moving up if no cards were gripped. Thus, if the desired insertion location is determined to be directly below a top card of the stack of shuffled cards, gripping the top card may be achieved by moving the elevator platform incrementally until a single card is determined to be gripped. When one card is gripped, the next card is inserted at operation 1816.

If one card should be left on the elevator platform for the insert, then all the cards may be gripped except for the one card remaining on the elevator platform 210 at operation 1806. For leaving only one card (i.e., the bottom card) on the elevator platform 210, the platform card present sensor 211 may be used to confirm that the bottom card is the only card remaining on the elevator platform 210. For example, the elevator platform 210 may be moved to have the 2^{na} card in the stack gripped. The elevator platform **210** may be incremented and/or decremented a small number of steps (e.g., 2) steps) on each try to determine the point at which the platform card present sensor 211 located on the elevator platform 210 transitions between having a card present on the elevator platform 210 and not having any cards present on the elevator platform **210**. The card handling device **100** may retry (e.g., up to ten times) gripping at each interval before moving down if all cards were gripped. Thus, if the desired insertion location is determined to be directly above a bottom card of the stack of shuffled cards, gripping the stack of shuffled cards while leaving the bottom card may be achieved by moving the elevator platform incrementally until a single card is determined to remain on the elevator platform. When one card is remains on the elevator platform 210, the next card is inserted at operation 1816.

If the card insert should occur at some other location within the shuffled set of cards (i.e., the "main grip"), then the appropriate number of cards may be gripped at the location for the desired number of cards to remain on the elevator platform at operation 1808. The grip position of the cards may be determined based on the stored grip position for that number of cards adjusted according to the correction table 1200 (FIG. 12). The elevator platform 210 moves to that adjusted position and the card gripper 232 grips the cards. The elevator platform 210 then moves down in order to leave a gap for the card insertion.

At operation 1810, a zone good hits value may be compared to a maximum value. The zone good hits value is

a value that indicates if a given zone has accurately inserted a card during a given shuffle. The maximum value may indicate how many accurate shuffles may be required before skipping the re-grip and correction table update process. For example, the maximum value may be 1, in which case a card 5 in that zone may simply be inserted without checking for re-gripping and/or updating the correction table after 2 correct insertions have been executed within that zone. In some embodiments, the zone good hits value may not carry over to the next time the deck is shuffled in case the deck wear would justify checking the accuracy of the correction table values.

At operation 1812, the cards are measured on the elevator platform 210. In particular, the elevator platform 210 may be moved to until the top card remaining on the elevator 15 platform 210 is detected by the top platform card sensor 236. The location of the elevator platform 210 is then read as the measured platform position, which is indicative of the height of the platform cards remaining after the grip.

At operation 1814, it is determined whether there should be a re-grip of the cards. If it is determined that a re-grip should occur, then the cards are again gripped according to operation 1808. Additional details regarding the determination for whether to re-grip the cards is discussed below with reference to FIG. 19. If it is determined that a re-grip should cards occur, the card gripper 232 may release the gripped cards back onto the platform cards. The elevator platform 210 may again move to the grip position (though the grip position may be adjusted for the re-grip) and the cards may be gripped again. This process may continue until operation 30 1814 determines that a re-grip should not occur.

At operation 1816, a card may be inserted into the gap onto the platform cards. The gripped cards may be released, and the processor 350 may determine the next grip position for the next card to be inserted in the shuffled set of cards 35 being formed.

In some embodiments, gripping one card (operation 1804) and/or leaving one card on the elevator platform 210 (operation 1806) may be performed in a similar manner to the main grip (operations 1808-1814); however, the simplified 40 method shown in FIG. 18 may result in fewer errors for these two unique situations than with comparing measured positions to reference positions. In some embodiments, there may be separate correction tables for each of these three situations. For example, there may be a separate correction 45 table dedicated to gripping one card, another correction table dedicated to leaving one card on the elevator platform 210, and another correction table that is used for the rest of the card inserts. The correction tables for the "one card gripped" scenario may be one-dimensional as there is only one card 50 to be gripped, and refers to the number of cards to remain on the elevator platform **210**. The correction tables for the "one" card remaining" scenario may be one-dimensional as there is only one card to remain, and refers to the number of cards to gripped on the elevator platform 210.

FIG. 19 is a flowchart 1900 illustrating a method for operating a card handling device 100 according to an embodiment of the present disclosure. In particular, the flowchart 1900 may provide additional details to operation 1814 of FIG. 18.

At operation 1902, the processor 350 may determine a difference (delta) between the reference position and the measured position of the elevator platform 210 after the grip for the top platform card to be detected by the top platform card sensor 236. The reference position may be the expected 65 platform position that is expected for the number of cards desired to remain on the elevator platform 210 after the grip.

26

As discussed above, the reference position may be generated by the one-dimensional method, the two-dimensional method, or the balanced approach based on both the onedimensional method and the two-dimensional method. The measured position may be the platform position actually measured after the grip.

At operation 1904, it is determined whether the delta is less than some threshold. In this example, the threshold for the delta may be set at 200 steps. If the delta is less than the threshold, the correction table may be adjusted at operation 1906. The related tables (e.g., zone hit counter table, re-try counter table) may also be adjusted. These tables may be adjusted as described above with respect to FIGS. 12, 13, and 14. If the delta is not less than 200 steps, the correction table (and other tables) may not be adjusted.

At operation 1906, adjusting the correction table and related tables may be performed for most deltas; however, there may also be a smaller threshold (e.g., 10 steps) in which it may be close enough to allow the correction tables and related tables to not be adjusted. The first time the correction table is adjusted after initialization, the correction value may simply be the delta (e.g., as the initialization may be set at 0). If the correction table is adjusted (e.g., delta >10), the delta may be added to or subtracted from the current value of the zone cell associated with the current insert. In some embodiments, a different value may be added or subtracted. For example, the zone hit counter table may also be used to control the magnitude of the adjustments to the correction table. As the hits in a zone cell increase, the size of the adjustments to the correction table may decrease regardless on the actual delta. For example, the card handling device 100 may permit adjusting the correction table by ±5 steps for situations corresponding to a zone cell of the zone hit counter table having a value less than 8, permit adjusting the correction table by ±3 steps for situations corresponding to a zone cell of the zone hit counter table having a value between 10 and 19, and permit adjusting the correction table by ±2 step for situations corresponding to a zone cell of the zone hit counter table having a value greater than 19.

At operation 1908, the processor 350 may determine whether the maximum allowed total re-grips for a particular zone cell has been reached. If the total re-grips is above the maximum allowed threshold, the re-grip may not occur and the card may be inserted at operation 1816 (see FIG. 18). If, however, the total re-grips is not above the allowed threshold, the processor 350 may continue with the determination of whether or not to re-grip.

At operation **1910**, the maximum re-grips allowed may be set based on the cards gripped and the cards remaining on the elevator platform **210**. For example, some zone cells may permit 5 re-grips, whereas some zone cells may permit 4 re-grips. The number of allowed re-grips may depend on the likelihood of errors being present for grips in that particular zone.

At operation 1912, the delta may be compared with another lower threshold (e.g., ±15 steps). If the delta is an integer that is greater than the lower threshold, the re-grip is determined to be desirable, and the method continues to operation 1808 (see FIG. 18) to perform the re-grip. If, however, the delta is an integer that is not greater than the lower threshold, the method may continue and insert the card at operation 1816 (see FIG. 18).

While certain illustrative embodiments have been described in connection with the figures, those of ordinary skill in the art will recognize and appreciate that embodiments of the disclosure are not limited to those embodiments

explicitly shown and described herein. Rather, many additions, deletions, and modifications to the embodiments described herein may be made without departing from the scope of embodiments of the disclosure as hereinafter claimed, including legal equivalents. In addition, features 5 from one embodiment may be combined with features of another embodiment while still being encompassed within the scope of the disclosure as contemplated by the inventor.

What is claimed is:

- 1. A card handling device, comprising:
- an elevator platform configured to support a group of cards;
- at least one card sensor configured to detect at least one parameter usable to determine a number of cards or a height of cards on the elevator platform and to output 15 corresponding information; and
- a processor operably coupled to the elevator platform and the at least one card sensor, wherein the processor is configured to cause the elevator platform to move from one position to another position, the processor configured to automatically create and maintain a correction table comprising correction values indicating a magnitude of adjustment required to move the elevator platform from the one position to the other position depending at least in part on information received from 25 the at least one card sensor.
- 2. The card handling device of claim 1, wherein the other position is determined at least in part on a measured value in addition to the correction values maintained on the correction table.
- 3. The card handling device of claim 2, wherein the measured value includes a position of the elevator platform when a top card on the elevator platform is detected by the at least one card sensor.
- 4. The card handling device of claim 1, wherein the processor is configured to adjust the correction values in the correction table during a shuffling operation, the correction values related to one or more of the number of cards or the height of cards on the elevator platform at particular positions of the elevator platform.
- 5. The card handling device of claim 1, wherein the other position is determined at least in part on a number of cards remaining on the elevator platform and a number of cards suspended above the elevator platform during a card insertion operation.
- 6. The card handling device of claim 1, wherein the at least one card sensor comprises a top platform card sensor configured to detect alignment of a top card of the group of cards on the elevator platform with the top platform card sensor, the processor configured to determine the height of 50 cards on the elevator platform using the information received from the top platform card sensor.
- 7. The card handling device of claim 1, wherein the processor is configured to automatically create and maintain a deck height table to store data indicating a deck height for 55 different numbers of cards stacked on the elevator platform.
 - 8. A card handling device, comprising:
 - a support surface for supporting a group of cards within a card handling area;
 - at least one sensor configured to detect when a top card on
 the support surface is aligned with the at least one
 sensor, wherein a number of cards or a height of cards
 on the support surface is determined at least in part on
 the at least one sensor detecting the top card on the
 support surface; and

 65
 - a processor operably coupled to the support surface and the at least one sensor, the processor configured to

28

automatically record and update information received from the at least one sensor in at least one correction table comprising correction values during a card shuffling operation, wherein the processor is configured to determine a magnitude of adjustment to move the support surface from an initial position to an adjusted position based at least in part on the correction values indicating required movement to adjust the support surface from the initial position to the adjusted position for insertion of at least one card at a card insertion location.

- 9. The card handling device of claim 8, wherein the adjusted position is based on individual correction values that are varied for differing card insertion locations.
- 10. The card handling device of claim 8, wherein the at least one correction table is configured to store data received from monitoring a number of times a position of the support surface is adjusted for each card insertion location, the processor configured to determine the adjusted position using the data stored in the at least one correction table.
- 11. The card handling device of claim 8, wherein the processor is configured to generate positional data values for various numbers of cards on the support surface and to average the positional data values to achieve an average value for each number of cards measured.
- 12. The card handling device of claim 8, wherein the correction values are maintained on a plurality of different correction tables configured to maintain the correction values for different groups of cards used by the card handling device.
 - 13. The card handling device of claim 8, further comprising:
 - a card gripper located within the card handling area, the card gripper configured to grip one or more cards to create a gap in the group of cards; and
 - a card insert system adjacent to the card gripper, the card insert system configured to insert the at least one card into the gap in the group of cards at each card insertion location.
 - 14. The card handling device of claim 13, wherein the at least one sensor is positioned below the card gripper, the processor configured to record a position of the support surface when the top card on the support surface is detected by the at least one sensor.
 - 15. The card handling device of claim 13, wherein the processor is configured to dynamically adjust the correction values during the card shuffling operation by monitoring data relating to quantities and directions of re-grips for each card insertion location.
 - 16. A method of operating a card handling device, comprising:

receiving cards on an elevator platform;

- determining, with a processor, a number of cards or a height of cards on the elevator platform using information received from at least one card sensor;
- determining, with the processor, an adjusted position of the elevator platform according to correction values in at least one correction table indicating a magnitude of adjustment required to move the elevator platform from a position to the adjusted position based at least in part on the information received from the at least one card sensor; and
- receiving one or more additional cards on the elevator platform when the elevator platform is at the adjusted position.
- 17. The method of claim 16, wherein determining the adjusted position of the elevator platform comprises gener-

ating positional data values for various numbers of cards on the elevator platform, sorting the positional data values for each number of cards, and averaging a subset of the sorted positional data values to achieve an average value for each number of cards measured.

- 18. The method of claim 16, wherein determining the adjusted position of the elevator platform comprises determining a difference between a measured height of the cards on the elevator platform, as detected by the at least one card sensor, and an expected height of the cards on the elevator 10 platform, as determined by the processor.
- 19. The method of claim 18, further comprising adjusting the correction values with the processor during a shuffling operation if the difference between the measured height and the expected height of the cards on the elevator platform is 15 greater than a predetermined value.
- 20. The method of claim 16, further comprising automatically creating and maintaining a zone hit counter table configured to count a number of times each correction value is adjusted within a particular zone.

* * * * *

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 11,358,051 B2

APPLICATION NO. : 16/953020 DATED : June 14, 2022

INVENTOR(S) : James V. Kelly et al.

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

In the Specification

Column 8, Line 37, change "gravity teed systems," to --gravity feed systems,--

Signed and Sealed this
Twentieth Day of September, 2022

Katherine Kelly Vidal

Katherine Kelly Vidal

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office