

US011039653B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Tutunaru**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 11,039,653 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Jun. 22, 2021**

(54) **FOOTBALL HELMET**

(71) Applicant: **Catalin Tutunaru**, Cape Elizabeth, ME (US)

(72) Inventor: **Catalin Tutunaru**, Cape Elizabeth, ME (US)

(73) Assignee: **Impact Solution LLC**, Chatsworth, CA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 3 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/883,315**

(22) Filed: **Jan. 30, 2018**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2018/0213875 A1 Aug. 2, 2018

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(60) Provisional application No. 62/452,577, filed on Jan. 31, 2017.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

*A42B 3/12* (2006.01)

*A42B 3/06* (2006.01)

*A42B 3/20* (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ..... *A42B 3/128* (2013.01); *A42B 3/063* (2013.01); *A42B 3/122* (2013.01); *A42B 3/20* (2013.01); *A63B 2243/007* (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... *A42B 3/128*; *A42B 3/063*; *A42B 3/122*; *A42B 3/20*; *A42B 3/00*; *A42B 3/06*; *A42B 3/062*; *A42B 3/064*; *A42B 3/065*; *A42B 3/066*; *A42B 3/069*; *A42B 3/12*;

(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,296,335 A 9/1942 Brady  
3,113,318 A \* 12/1963 Marietta ..... A42B 3/20  
2/9

3,174,155 A 3/1965 Pitman  
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 790787 B1 9/2000  
WO 2000035307 A1 6/2000

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Search Report dated May 23, 2018 from corresponding PCT/US18/15852, pp. 6.

(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Jameson D Collier


*Assistant Examiner* — F Griffin Hall

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP; Thomas J. Engellenner; Reza Mollaaghababa

(57) **ABSTRACT**

The present invention is a football helmet designed to reduce the occurrence of concussions and subconcussive impacts to the brain through the use of a novel system of materials and design implemented on the interior and exterior of the helmet. The helmet disclosed herein can include a new impact absorption system using cylindrical segments of viscoelastic foam with a sealed central void to absorb high energy impacts. In some aspects, the helmet disclosed herein includes a multi-layer helmet designed to absorb a wide range of potential impacts.

**17 Claims, 11 Drawing Sheets**



(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
 CPC ..... A42B 3/121; A42B 3/124; A42B 3/125;  
 A42B 3/127; A42B 3/003; A42B 3/18  
 USPC .... 2/410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 417, 422, 424  
 See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,186,004	A	6/1965	Carlini	
3,242,500	A	3/1966	Derr	
3,720,955	A	3/1973	Rawlings	
3,992,721	A	11/1976	Morton	
4,075,717	A	2/1978	Lemelson	
4,124,904	A	11/1978	Matthes	
4,223,409	A	9/1980	Lee	
4,627,114	A *	12/1986	Mitchell	A42B 3/128 2/414
4,903,346	A	2/1990	Reddemann et al.	
4,937,888	A *	7/1990	Straus	A42B 3/003 2/411
5,477,563	A	12/1995	Gentes et al.	
6,073,271	A	6/2000	Alexander et al.	
6,314,586	B1	11/2001	Duguid	
6,421,840	B1	7/2002	Chen et al.	
6,446,270	B1	9/2002	Durr	
6,468,644	B1	10/2002	Hong et al.	
6,854,133	B2 *	2/2005	Lee	A42B 3/063 2/412
7,328,462	B1	2/2008	Straus	
8,117,679	B2 *	2/2012	Pierce	A42B 3/125 2/410
8,615,817	B2	12/2013	Phillips	
8,640,267	B1	2/2014	Cohen	
8,739,317	B2	6/2014	Abernethy	
8,938,817	B1	1/2015	Baldi	
9,683,622	B2	6/2017	Ferrara	
9,737,106	B1	8/2017	Cannon, Jr.	
9,795,180	B2	10/2017	Lowe et al.	
9,861,153	B2	1/2018	Finisdore	
9,943,129	B2	4/2018	Newman et al.	
10,010,127	B1	7/2018	Shaffer et al.	
10,362,829	B2	7/2019	Lowe	
10,463,099	B2	11/2019	Allen et al.	
10,561,189	B2	2/2020	Lee	
2003/0200597	A1	10/2003	Dennis et al.	
2004/0168246	A1	9/2004	Phillips	
2005/0273911	A1	12/2005	Skiba et al.	
2006/0059605	A1	3/2006	Ferrara	
2006/0059606	A1	3/2006	Ferrara	
2008/0155735	A1 *	7/2008	Ferrara	A42B 3/121 2/412
2009/0044316	A1 *	2/2009	Udelhofen	A42B 3/20 2/424
2009/0260133	A1	10/2009	Del Rosario	

2010/0088807	A1	4/2010	Jeong et al.	
2012/0017358	A1 *	1/2012	Princip	A42B 3/065 2/414
2013/0133128	A1	5/2013	Hein et al.	
2013/0174329	A1	7/2013	Hanson et al.	
2013/0254978	A1 *	10/2013	McInnis	A42B 3/28 2/413
2013/0340147	A1 *	12/2013	Giles	A42B 3/00 2/412
2014/0259316	A1	9/2014	Katz	
2015/0000011	A1	1/2015	Redpath et al.	
2015/0089721	A1	4/2015	Hanna	
2015/0101899	A1	4/2015	Russo	
2015/0223546	A1 *	8/2015	Cohen	A42B 3/127 2/412
2015/0305430	A1 *	10/2015	Rush	A42B 3/124 2/412
2015/0359288	A1	12/2015	Woxing et al.	
2016/0113348	A1	4/2016	Twardowski, Jr. et al.	
2016/0120238	A1	5/2016	Duncan et al.	
2016/0270471	A1	9/2016	Merrell	
2016/0324247	A1	11/2016	Warmouth et al.	
2017/0065018	A1	3/2017	Lindsay	
2017/0127748	A1	5/2017	Sethumadhavan et al.	
2017/0232327	A1	8/2017	Kuntz	
2017/0265557	A1	9/2017	Mercado, Jr. et al.	
2017/0303622	A1	10/2017	Stone et al.	
2018/0132557	A1	5/2018	Torres	
2018/0326288	A1	11/2018	Simpson	
2019/0001650	A1 *	1/2019	Sohn	B32B 5/26
2019/0014848	A1	1/2019	Tutunaru	
2019/0029353	A1	1/2019	Ogata	
2020/0163399	A1	5/2020	Tutunaru	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	2017001619	A1	1/2017
WO	2017171694	A1	10/2017
WO	2018144420	A1	8/2018

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Written Opinion dated May 23, 2018 from corresponding PCT/US18/15852, pp. 7.  
 International Search Report and Written Opinion, PCT/US2016/024395, dated Jun. 16, 2016, 7 pages.  
 International Preliminary Report on Patentability, PCT/US2016/024395, dated Jun. 13, 2017, 7 pages.  
 Sprenger, Stephan et al., Carbon fiber-reinforced composites using an epoxy resin matrix modified with reactive liquid rubber and silica nanoparticles, Dec. 10, 2014, ScienceDirect, vol. 105, pp. 86-95 (Year: 2014).  
 U.S. Appl. No. 16/088,744, filed Sep. 26, 2018, Catalin Tutunaru.

\* cited by examiner






FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7






FIG. 8a




FIG. 8b




FIG. 9






FIG. 10




FIG. 11




FIG. 12




FIG. 13






FIG. 14




FIG. 15




FIG. 16



**1****FOOTBALL HELMET****CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS**

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 62/452,577 filed Jan. 31, 2017, which is hereby incorporated by reference in its entirety.

**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention relates to helmets and, in particular, to football helmets.

**BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

In recent years, there has been a significant amount of research into the health risks associated with repetitive head trauma. In the game of American football (“football”), players are subjected to player-to-player contact and it is not uncommon for a player’s head to strike the ground or another player. To prevent injuries to the head and face, football players wear a helmet with a hard shell, internal padding and a wire face guard. While the football helmets in the prior art generally protect players from broken bones and abrasions in their head and face, they are inadequate at protecting players from internal injuries, specifically injuries to the brain.

Studies have indicated that football players are susceptible to developing chronic traumatic encephalopathy (“CTE”), which is a degenerative disease that has been attributed to repetitive concussions or subconcussive impacts to the brain. Instead of preventing the concussions and subconcussive impacts that are theorized to cause CTE, the football helmets in the prior art can exacerbate trauma to the brain in certain impacts. For instance, when football players have head-to-head contact, the hard shell of prior art football helmets create a nearly elastic collision where the kinetic energy of the two helmets before the collision is nearly equal to their kinetic energy after the collision. This effect is similar to a first moving pool ball hitting a second stationary pool ball—after the impact, the first ball becomes stationary and the second ball begins to move at approximately the same rate as the first ball originally was moving. When football players experience head-to-head contact, the force of the impact is not absorbed by the prior art helmets, but rather, like a pool ball, the force is conserved and exerted on one or more player’s head.

By not absorbing the energy of impacts, but instead conserving the energy, the football helmets in the prior art do not adequately protect the brain from concussions and subconcussive impacts. The nearly elastic collisions that are characteristic of the prior art football helmets also amplify the magnitude of force exerted on the neck and brain stem of players, potentially causing neck injuries or other brain injuries that are not yet known.

While prior art football helmets have a layer of padding inside the hard shell, the design of the padding is not adequate to support the head in an impact. The internal padding of a helmet is most effective when there is no gap between a player’s head and the padding. In the prior art helmets, the padding often has gaps between the padding and a player’s head unless the helmets are custom designed for that player’s head. As most players are unable to purchase a helmet with padding custom designed for their head, most players have gaps between the padding and their head, reducing the effectiveness of the prior art helmet systems.

**2**

Therefore, there is a need for a football helmet that is better able to prevent the brain from receiving concussions and subconcussive impacts. There is also a need for a helmet that reduces the prevalence of gaps between a player’s head and the internal padding of the helmet. Accordingly, it is the object of the present invention to provide a football helmet that prevents the brain from receiving concussions and reduces the magnitude of subconcussive impacts and that reduces the prevalence of gaps between a player’s head.

**BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

The present invention provides a football helmet that reduces the occurrence of concussions and the severity of subconcussive impacts to the brain when worn by football players. Football is not the only sport where CTE is a problem and other sports and activities would also benefit from the invention disclosed herein. The invention uses multiple materials and configurations that are novel to helmet applications and reduce the magnitude of impacts to the head, brain and neck.

The present invention is comprised of materials that are new to the field of football helmets. The materials used in the present invention can be grouped into the rigid core or frame of the helmet (hereinafter “rigid core”), the exterior impact absorbing system (hereinafter “EIAS”) and the interior impact absorbing system (hereinafter “IIAS”). To reduce the prevalence of elastic collisions, the present invention uses an EIAS comprised of one or more durable, yet easily compressible materials fixed to the exterior surface of the rigid core. The EIAS is are capable of dissipating some or all of the energy from an impact. The present invention uses a rigid core to provide structure to the helmet and protect against head injuries during high pressure impacts. Fixed to the inside surface of the rigid core of the helmet is an IIAS comprised of one or more compressible materials that conform to a player’s head, eliminating gaps between the IIAS and the player’s head and absorbing some or all of the force of an impact. Because the IIAS also absorbs the force of an impact, impacts are absorbed by both the EIAS and IIAS.

The exemplary embodiments presented in this application are optimized for use in a football helmet, however, it is appreciated that the invention could be used in other types of helmets within the inventive concept expressed herein.

**BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS**

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 2 is a front view of the preferred embodiment of the invention

FIG. 3 is a rear view of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 4 is a side view of the preferred embodiment of the invention. The left side and right side are substantially mirror images of each other.

FIG. 5 is a top view of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 6 is a bottom view of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 7 is a bottom exploded isometric view of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 8a is a side sectioned view of a portion of the preferred embodiment of the helmet, showing the EIAS, rigid core and IIAS.



FIG. 8b is a side sectioned view of a portion of an alternative embodiment of the helmet, showing the EIAS, rigid core and IIAS.

FIG. 9 is an exploded perspective view of a first portion of the interior of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 10 is an exploded perspective view of a second portion of the interior of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 11 is an exploded perspective view of a third portion of the interior of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

FIG. 12 is an exploded perspective view of a cylindrical component used in the IIAS.

FIG. 13 is a top view of a cylindrical component used in the IIAS.

FIG. 14 is an exploded perspective view of the forehead component used in the IIAS.

FIG. 15 is a top view of the forehead component used in the IIAS.

FIG. 16 is an exploded perspective view of an elongate component used in the IIAS.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In FIG. 1 is a perspective view of the preferred embodiment of the invention, a football helmet 10, comprised of an EIAS 30, rigid core 40, an IIAS 50 and a facemask 14. The rigid core 40 does not need to be completely rigid in all embodiments. In some embodiments, the rigid core 40 is more rigid than the EIAS 30 or IIAS 50. In some embodiments, the rigid core 40 has a higher stiffness than the EIAS 30 or IIAS 50. In some embodiments, the rigid core 40 has a higher hardness than the EIAS 30 or IIAS 50. The rigid core 40 can also be referred to as the core layer. In this view, a facemask 11 is attached to the helmet 10 using facemask mounted snaps 12.

Visible in FIG. 1 is the exterior of the EIAS 30, which is comprised of multiple layers of materials in the preferred embodiment. While the preferred embodiment uses a two layer EIAS 30, it is appreciated that the number of layers may be added or subtracted within the inventive concept expressed herein. Depending on the particular conditions expected for the helmet, it may be desirable to increase or decrease the number of layers used in the EIAS, the materials used in the EIAS or the thickness of the layers used in the EIAS. For instance, a heavier player may require an EIAS 30 that is capable of dissipating a larger amount of impact energy than a lighter player.

A portion of the IIAS 50, fixed to the inside of the rigid core 40, is visible in FIG. 1. The IIAS 50 in the preferred embodiment uses four layers, however, it is appreciated that the number of layers may be added or subtracted within the inventive concept expressed herein. Depending on the particular conditions expected for the helmet 10, it may be desirable to increase or decrease the number of layers used in the IIAS, the materials used in the IIAS or the thickness of the layers used in the IIAS. For instance, a heavier player may require an IIAS that is capable of dissipating a larger amount of impact energy than a lighter player.

The facemask 11 is attached to the helmet using snaps 12 and is comprised of a novel material with respect to helmets. In one embodiment, the facemask 11 is comprised of a fiber reinforced polymer that has been modified to withstand the impact forces expected on the facemask without failure. In another embodiment, the facemask is comprised of a carbon fiber reinforced polymer. Carbon fiber reinforced polymer is generally defined as carbon fiber filaments combined with a

resin to create a solid material. Carbon fiber reinforced polymers (hereinafter "carbon fiber") have a relatively high stiffness and high tensile strength for its weight, however, much of its strength is directional. Because the strength of carbon fiber is dependent on the orientation of the individual filaments, it can be very strong in a first direction and very brittle in a second direction.

In one embodiment of the facemask 11, it is comprised of carbon fiber, where most of carbon fiber filaments are oriented along the axes of the elongate bars 13 that comprise the facemask 11. This configuration optimizes the strength of the facemask 11 in impacts that load the elongate bars 13 in the axial direction. However, carbon fiber filaments can be weak and/or brittle when impacted in a direction normal to its elongate axis, making a conventional carbon fiber compound prone to cracking in this application. In one embodiment, the facemask 11 is modified with a rubberizing compound to increase the flexibility of the facemask 11 in impacts that are normal to the axial direction of the elongate bars. Many types of rubberizing compounds and flexibility promoters are known in the art and could be used in the construction of the facemask 11. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments of the facemask 11 is comprised of 30-50% epoxy laminating resin and 50-70% rubberizing compound. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments of the facemask 11 is comprised of 40% epoxy laminating resin and 60% rubberizing compound. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments of the facemask 11 is comprised of 35% epoxy laminating resin and 65% rubberizing compound. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments of the facemask has a hardness of approximately 6.50 on a 0 to 10 scale. The term "approximately" as used herein denotes the stated value along with a variation of 10% in the positive or negative direction.

In FIGS. 2-5 are alternative views of the helmet 10. FIG. 2 is a front view of the helmet 10 with the facemask 11 attached. FIG. 3 is a rear view and FIG. 5 is a top view of the helmet 10.

FIG. 4 is a side view of the helmet 11, where the right side and left side views are mirror images of one another. Visible in this view are the EIAS 30 and the IIAS 50. The rigid core 40 is sandwiched between the EIAS 30 and IIAS 50 and hidden in this view. Towards the edges of the helmet or in the vicinity of the ear holes, the EIAS reduces in thickness so that it has a rounded convex cross section if viewed from the side. The rounded cross sections protect players from the edge of the rigid core 40 and prevent articles from placing a tangential load on the EIAS 30 in those areas.

In FIG. 6 is a bottom view of the helmet 10 with components removed to expose the IIAS 50. Towards the top of the helmet 10, the IIAS 50 is comprised of cylindrical impact absorbing components 51 (hereinafter "foam cylinders"). While the components of the IIAS 50 are referred to as foam, they may be comprised of any material with adequate impact absorbing properties and/or contouring properties. Other materials that may be appropriate for use in the IIAS 50 include, but are not limited to, bladders containing a fluid (including gas, liquid, semifluid, semi-solid), vinyl encased impact absorbing members or mechanical shock absorbing apparatuses.

In one embodiment, the foam cylinders 51 are further comprised of a cylindrical hole 52 oriented along the same axis as the foam cylinder 51. The cylindrical hole 52 is preferably oriented along the same axis of the foam cylinder 51, but there are situations where it may be preferable to



offset the axes. Offsetting the axes would change the compressive properties of the foam cylinders **51** without having to change their material, diameter or height. The cylindrical holes **52** may be configured as through holes that extend from one end of the foam cylinder **51** to the other. The cylindrical holes **52** may also be configured as countersunk holes where their depth is less than the height of the foam cylinder **51**. The cylindrical holes **52** may also be countersunk from either direction. In some embodiments, the foam cylinders **51** have more than one cylindrical hole **52** to reduce the weight of the foam cylinder and to change its impact absorption properties. In some embodiments, the foam cylinders **51** have a centrally located cylindrical hole **52** and a plurality of smaller holes located in the radial direction from the centrally located cylindrical hole. While the hole has been described as cylindrical for ease of manufacture, holes or voids of other shapes could be substituted. In some embodiments, the cylindrical hole **52** does not extend to either end of the foam cylinders **51** and, instead, is an internal void.

In the area of the helmet **10** that contacts a player's forehead is a forehead pad **54** comprised of an impact absorbing material with one or more holes **55**. The forehead pad **54** is shaped to sit against the inside of the rigid core **40** and between the foam cylinders **51** and elongate strips **57**. The elongate strips **57** are comprised of an impact absorbing material with one or more holes **58**. The area below a player's ears and between the rigid core **40** and the player's head are further comprised of ear strips **60** that are comprised of an impact absorbing material, optionally comprised of one or more holes **61**. Similar to the cylindrical holes **52** in the foam cylinders **51**, the holes **55**, **58** and **61** may be configured as through holes, countersunk from either direction or merely voids internal to the forehead pad **54**.

In FIG. **7** is an exploded perspective view of the helmet **10** with components of the IIAS **50** removed for clarity. The helmet **10** is optionally further comprised of a liner **70** removably fixed to the inner surface. The removable liner **70** can be comprised of a material that provides a wicking effect, anti-bacterial or anti-microbial effect or a moisture barrier effect, among others. Each individual impact absorbing component in the IIAS **50** has an air impermeable layer fixed to the end furthest from the rigid core **40**.

For example, the foam cylinders **51** are fixed to the rigid core **40** on one end and a circular air impermeable layer **53** is fixed to the distal end. Similarly, the forehead pad **54**, elongate strips **57** and ear strips **60** are fixed to the rigid core **40** on one end and an air impermeable layer **56**, **59** and **62** is fixed to their respective distal end.

In one embodiment, the air impermeable layers **53**, **56**, **59** & **62** (hereinafter collectively "barrier" **63**) are comprised of vinyl and fixed to the underlying portion of the IIAS **50** with an adhesive. In another embodiment, the barrier **63** is comprised of a plastic sheet adhered to the impact absorbing material. In another embodiment, the barrier **63** is a unitary article fixed to each foam section of the underlying IIAS **50**. In another embodiment, the barrier **63** is not air impermeable, but rather is partially air permeable, allowing an amount of air to pass through the barrier **63**.

The barrier **63** greatly increases the effectiveness of the IIAS **50** by utilizing the air trapped in the holes **52**, **55**, **58** & **61** to absorb impact energy. In one embodiment, the impact absorbing members **51**, **54**, **57** & **60** of the IIAS **50** are comprised of an open cell foam and the barrier **63** is comprised of an air impermeable material. When the impact absorbing members **51**, **54**, **57** & **60** are comprised of an open cell foam, the air contained in the holes **52**, **55**, **58** &

**61** can only enter or exit the hole through the open cell structure of the foam, providing an impact absorbing benefit. The impact absorbing members **51**, **54**, **57** & **60** effectively become shock absorbers, where the air flow is regulated by the properties of the open cell foam. While particular shapes are disclosed herein for the impact absorbing members **51**, **54**, **57** & **60**, many other shapes could easily be substituted.

In one embodiment, the impact absorbing members **51**, **54**, **57** & **60** are comprised of an open cell foam and the barrier **63** is comprised of a partially air permeable layer. When the barrier **63** is comprised of a partially or semi-permeable material with respect to air, the shock absorbing effect of the IIAS **50** is reduced. When the barrier **63** is partially permeable, the air contained in the holes **52**, **55**, **58** & **61** can exit through the open cell structure of the foam or the permeable structure of the barrier **63**, allowing the air to escape at a greater rate.

The shock absorbing effect of the IIAS **50** may also be modified by changing the materials used in the IIAS **50** and the relationship between the size of holes **52**, **55**, **58** & **61** relative to their respective impact absorbing members **51**, **54**, **57** & **60**. For example, increasing the diameter of the holes **52**, **55**, **58** & **61** relative to the size of their respective impact absorbing member **51**, **54**, **57** & **60** reduces the lateral distance that the air contained in the holes **52**, **55**, **58** & **61** must travel through the impact absorbing member **51**, **54**, **57** & **60** before escaping. By reducing the lateral distance, the air contained in the holes **52**, **55**, **58** & **61** can escape more easily, therefore reducing the impact absorbing capacity of the IIAS **50**.

The shock absorbing effect of the IIAS **50** may also be modified by changing the lateral width of the impact absorbing members **51**, **54**, **57** & **60** relative to the diameter of the holes **52**, **55**, **58** & **61**, changing the property of the materials used in the IIAS **50** and changing the thickness of the materials used in the IIAS **50**. The shock absorbing effect of the IIAS **50** may also be changed in other ways that are known in the art.

In FIG. **8a** is a side sectioned view of a portion of the helmet **10**, showing the layering of materials that comprise the EIAS, rigid core **40** and the IIAS. The view in FIG. **8a** is not necessarily to scale and is provided to show the positional relationship between the layers of materials. In the preferred embodiment disclosed herein, the EIAS is comprised of two layers and the IIAS is comprised of four layers, however, the number of layers, the thickness of the layers or the material used in the layers can be changed or optimized within the inventive concept expressed herein.

In the preferred embodiment, the IIAS **50** is comprised of one or more layers of viscoelastic polyurethane foam ("viscoelastic foam"). This material is also known as low-resilience polyurethane foam, memory foam or temper foam, along with other names. Viscoelastic foam is pressure and temperature sensitive and quickly molds to the contour of an object pressed against it. Viscoelastic foam's ability to mold around the contour of an object makes it an ideal material for the interior of a helmet. Its use inside a helmet allows the same helmet to contour to multiple players and eliminate gaps between the IIAS **50** and a player's head without resorting to an expensive helmet customization process.

Viscoelastic foam also provides effective impact cushioning and temperature control. Viscoelastic foam is excellent at absorbing impact and when used in the IIAS **50** and provides impact absorption between a player's head and the rigid core **40**. Viscoelastic foam also stabilizes the temperature of objects placed against it. It tends to absorb and



release heat slowly, allowing the material to stabilize the temperature of a player's skin.

In the preferred embodiment, the IAS **50** is comprised of three layers of foam, each with different properties, fixed on one end to the inside of the rigid core **40** and sealed on its distal end by the barrier **63**. In this embodiment, a first layer of foam **64** is fixed to the inner surface of the rigid core **40**. Fixed to the first layer is a second layer of foam **65** and fixed to the second layer of foam **65** is a third layer of foam **66**.

In some embodiments, the first layer of foam **64** is a soft to medium lightweight viscoelastic foam and the second layer of foam **65** is a firm lightweight viscoelastic foam. The terms soft, medium and firm refer to the relative difficulty to compress an area of foam, otherwise known as the firmness of the foam. A lightweight viscoelastic foam is capable of absorbing the energy of sudden impacts. A material that is particularly well suited for this purpose is an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one quarter and 15 pounds per cubic foot. In this embodiment, the first layer **64** is comprised of a medium-soft lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density of one half to one pound per cubic foot and the second layer **65** is comprised of a firm lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density of one to one and a half pounds per cubic foot.

In this embodiment, the third layer of foam **66** fixed to the second layer of foam **65** is a viscoelastic foam with gel-like properties, an open cell structure and a soft dough-like consistency (hereinafter "gel-like foam"). Gel-like foam with a density between 15 and 50 pounds per cubic foot is particularly effective at maintaining its shape when worn by a user and providing effective impact cushioning. In some embodiments, a gel-like foam with a density between 15 and 33 pounds per cubic foot is used to provide effective impact cushioning in the helmet. In another embodiment, a gel-like foam with a density between 30 and 35 pounds per cubic foot is used in the first layer **64**. An important characteristic of the gel-like foam used in this embodiment is that it is capable of easily molding around a player's head to eliminate gaps.

In the preferred embodiment, it is preferable that the first layer **64** and second layer **65** are substantially the same thickness and that the third layer **66** is 50-70% of the thickness of either the first or second layer **64** & **65**. In this instance, substantially the same thickness means a thickness up to and including a 10% variation from one another, so that if the second layer is 1.0 inch thick, the third layer **66** would still be substantially the same with a thickness of 1.1 inches. While the use of viscoelastic foam has been disclosed as the preferred embodiment, it is appreciated that other materials with similar impact absorbing and density properties would also be suitable for this application.

In some embodiments, the first layer **64** comprises a medium lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.3 to 0.75 inches, the second layer **65** comprises a medium soft lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.30 to 0.75 inches and the third layer **66** comprises a gel-like foam with a thickness of about 0.20 to 0.50 inches and a density of about 15 pounds per cubic foot to 50 pounds per cubic foot. In some embodiments, the first layer **64** comprises a medium lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.4 to 0.6 inches, the second layer **65** comprises a medium soft lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.4 to 0.6 inches and the third layer **66** comprises a gel-like foam with a thickness of about 0.25 to 0.35 inches and a density of about 15 pounds per cubic foot to 50 pounds per cubic foot. In some embodiments, the first layer **64** comprises a medium lightweight viscoelastic foam

with a thickness of about 0.45 inches to 0.55 inches, the second layer **65** comprises a medium soft lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.45 to 0.55 inches and the third layer **66** comprises a gel-like foam with a thickness of about 0.25 to 0.32 inches and a density of about 15 pounds per cubic foot to 50 pounds per cubic foot. In some embodiments, the first layer **64** comprises a firm lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.4 inches to 1.0 inch the second layer **65** comprises a medium lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.3 to 0.75 inches and the third layer **66** comprises a gel-like foam with a thickness of about 0.2 to 0.5 inches and a density of about 15 pounds per cubic foot to 50 pounds per cubic foot. In some embodiments, the first layer **64** comprises a firm lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.6 inches to 0.9 inches the second layer **65** comprises a medium lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.4 to 0.6 inches and the third layer **66** comprises a gel-like foam with a thickness of about 0.25 to 0.35 inches and a density of about 15 pounds per cubic foot to 50 pounds per cubic foot. In some embodiments, the first layer **64** comprises a firm lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.7 inches to 0.8 inches the second layer **65** comprises a medium lightweight viscoelastic foam with a thickness of about 0.45 to 0.55 inches and the third layer **66** comprises a gel-like foam with a thickness of about 0.25 to 0.32 inches and a density of about 15 pounds per cubic foot to 50 pounds per cubic foot.

In the preferred embodiment, the EIAS **30** is comprised of a layer **31** of lightweight viscoelastic foam fixed to the exterior of the rigid core **40** to absorb the impact energy from sudden impacts on the exterior of the helmet **10**. In one embodiment, the layer **31** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one half and 15 pounds per cubic foot. In another embodiment, the layer **31** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one half and eight pounds per cubic foot. In another embodiment, the layer **31** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one and two pounds per cubic foot. In another embodiment, the layer **31** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one and one and a half pounds per cubic foot. While a viscoelastic foam is used in this embodiment, other materials capable of absorbing high impact energy would also be suitable.

The EIAS **30** is further comprised of a water-resistant layer **32** fixed to the top of the layer **31**. Various waterproof layers or coatings would be suitable, including, but not limited to, a rubberized coating or room temperature vulcanization silicone. In some embodiments, a two part, flexible polyurethane adhesive is applied as the water-resistant layer **32**. The two part, flexible polyurethane adhesive must be hard enough to resist scuffing and tearing, but also soft enough to remain flexible. Materials with a Shore hardness of A30 to A90 can be appropriate for use in the water-resistant layer **32**. In some embodiments, the water-resistant layer **32** is comprised of a two part, flexible polyurethane adhesive with a Shore hardness between A40 and A70. In other embodiments, the water-resistant layer **32** is comprised of a two part, flexible polyurethane adhesive with a Shore hardness of approximately A50. In one embodiment, the layer **31** is three to six times as thick as the water-resistant layer **32**. In another embodiment, the layer **31** is four to five times as thick as the water-resistant layer **32**. In another embodiment, the water-resistant layer **32** is approxi-



mately 1.0 mm thick. To increase the abrasion resistance of the EIAS 30, the outer surface may optionally be wrapped with a flexible abrasion resistant material, such as a fiber reinforced cloth. Various reinforced materials would be suitable, including, but not limited to, Exotex® Dacron cloth.

In some embodiments, the EIAS 30 comprises a single layer of ethylene-vinyl acetate (hereinafter "EVA"). When the EIAS 30 comprises EVA, the material may be applied in sheet form at thicknesses of between and including 0.1 inches to 0.8 inches. When the EIAS 30 comprises EVA, it is preferable for the material to have a thickness of between and including 0.2 inches to 0.3 inches.

In the preferred embodiment, the rigid core 40 is a fiber reinforced polymer comprised of carbon fibers, aramid fibers and a resin. In one embodiment, the rigid core 40 is comprised of a layer of carbon fiber reinforced polymer on the exterior and a layer of Kevlar reinforced polymer (hereinafter "Kevlar") on the interior of the rigid core 40, where the layer of Kevlar is approximately three times the thickness of the layer of carbon fiber. This thickness ratio of Kevlar to carbon fiber provides an effective balance between strength, weight and durability against impact. In another embodiment, the layer of Kevlar on the interior of the rigid core 40 is about two times the thickness of the layer of carbon fiber on the exterior of the rigid core 40. A rigid core 40 comprised only of carbon fiber is possible, but rigid core 40 would need to be comparatively thick to be capable of sustaining repetitive impacts normal to the direction of the carbon fiber filaments. The Kevlar layer provides additional strength to the carbon fiber and is more flexible to impacts normal to the direction of the Kevlar fibers, making the rigid core 40 more resistant to cracking. In another embodiment, the rigid core 40 is comprised of a Kevlar layer and carbon fiber layer where the Kevlar layer is one to five times the thickness of the carbon fiber layer. In another embodiment, the rigid core 40 is comprised of a Kevlar layer and carbon fiber layer where the Kevlar layer is approximately 0.6 mm thick and the carbon fiber layer is approximately 0.2 mm thick. In some embodiments, the carbon fiber layer is located on the interior of the rigid core 40 and the Kevlar layer is located on the exterior of the rigid core 40.

In one embodiment, the rigid core 40 is modified with a rubberizing compound to increase the flexibility of the rigid core 40 in impacts that are normal to the axial direction of the carbon fiber filaments. Many types of rubberizing compounds and flexibility promoters are known in the art and could be used in the construction of the rigid core 40. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments and the Kevlar fibers of the rigid core 40 is comprised of 30-50% epoxy laminating resin and 50-70% rubberizing compound. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments and Kevlar fibers of the rigid core 40 is comprised of 40% epoxy laminating resin and 60% rubberizing compound. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments and Kevlar fibers of the rigid core 40 is comprised of 35% epoxy laminating resin and 65% rubberizing compound. In another embodiment, the resin used to bond the carbon fiber filaments and Kevlar fibers of the rigid core 40 has a hardness of approximately 6.50 on a 0 to 10 scale.

In some embodiments, the carbon fiber and Kevlar fibers are oriented to maximize the rigid core's 40 resistance to frontal and rear impacts. The carbon fiber and Kevlar cloth can be oriented so that the fibers towards the front and rear of the helmet are positioned horizontally and vertically in a woven pattern.

While carbon fiber and Kevlar are well suited for use as the rigid core 40, it is appreciated that there are multiple materials that would be suitable. For instance, Exotex® Dacron has a high strength to weight ratio that exceeds that of carbon fiber and would also be an ideal material for the rigid core 40 when combined with a plastic resin. Other type of basalt fiber based composite materials would have similar high strength and low weight characteristics. The purpose of the rigid core 40 is to provide structure to the helmet 10 and many materials could be suitable based on the desired weight, crush resistance and cost of the helmet.

In FIG. 8b is a side sectioned view of a portion of an alternative embodiment of the helmet 100, showing the layering of materials that comprise the EIAS, rigid core 140 and the IIAS. The view in FIG. 8b is not necessarily to scale and is provided to show the positional relationship between the layers of materials. In the alternative embodiment disclosed herein, the EIAS is comprised of two layers and the IIAS is comprised of four layers, however, the number of layers, the thickness of the layers or the material used in the layers can be changed or optimized within the inventive concept expressed herein.

In the alternative embodiment, the IIAS is comprised of three layers of foam, each with different properties, fixed on one end to the inside of the rigid core 140 and sealed on its distal end by the barrier 163. In the alternative embodiment, the first layer 164 fixed to the inside of the rigid core 140 is a soft to medium firmness lightweight viscoelastic foam is fixed to the inside of the rigid core 140. A layer of firm hardness lightweight viscoelastic foam, comprising the second layer 165, is fixed to the bottom of the soft to medium firmness foam. In this embodiment, the first layer 164 is comprised of a medium-soft lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density of one half to one pound per cubic foot and the second layer 165 is comprised of a firm lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density of one to one and a half pounds per cubic foot. In some embodiments, the first layer 164 is comprised of a lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density of one quarter to six pounds per cubic foot and the second layer 165 is comprised of a lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density of one half to six pounds per cubic foot.

In the alternative embodiment, the third layer 166 is comprised of a gel-like foam with a density between 30 and 35 pounds per cubic foot. In some embodiments, the third layer 166 is comprised of a gel-like foam with a density between 15 and 50 pounds per cubic foot.

In the alternative embodiment, it is preferable that the first layer 164 and third layer 166 are substantially the same thickness and that the second layer 165 is 125-175% of the thickness of either the first or third layer 164 & 166. In this instance, substantially the same thickness means a thickness up to and including a 10% variation from one another, so that if the second layer is 1.0 inch thick, the third layer 166 would still be substantially the same with a thickness of 1.1 inches. In some embodiments, the first layer 164 is approximately a half inch thick, the second layer 165 is approximately three quarters of an inch thick and the third layer is approximately a half inch thick. In some embodiments, it is preferable for the first layer 164 to be about 1.5 times the thickness of the second layer 165 and for the third layer to be about 0.6 times the thickness of the second layer 165. In some embodiments, it is preferable for the first layer 164 to be about the same thickness as the second layer 165 and for the third layer to be about 0.6 times the thickness of the second layer 165.

In the alternative embodiment, the EIAS is comprised of a layer 131 of lightweight viscoelastic foam fixed to the



## 11

exterior of the rigid core **140** to absorb the impact energy from sudden impacts on the exterior of the helmet **100**. In one embodiment, the layer **131** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one half and 15 pounds per cubic foot. In another embodiment, the layer **131** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one half and eight pounds per cubic foot. In another embodiment, the layer **131** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one and two pounds per cubic foot. In another embodiment, the layer **131** is comprised of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam with a density between one and one and a half pounds per cubic foot. While a viscoelastic foam is used in this embodiment, other materials capable of absorbing high impact energy would also be suitable. The EIAS of the alternative embodiment is further comprised of a water-resistant layer **132** fixed to the top of the layer **131**. Various waterproof layers or coatings would be suitable, including, but not limited to, the materials disclosed for the water-resistant layer **32** of the preferred embodiment. The rigid core **140** of the alternative embodiment may be comprised of multiple suitable materials, including, but not limited to, the materials disclosed for the rigid core **40** of the preferred embodiment.

In FIGS. **9-11** are exploded perspective views of the inside of the helmet with components of the IAS **50** removed for clarity. These figures show the sizing and position of each type of foam used in the preferred embodiment. Foam cylinders **51** are used to protect the top of a player's head to balance the weight of the IAS **50** and its impact absorption qualities. The foam cylinders **51** are designed with an air void volume (contained in the cylindrical holes **52**) to foam volume ratio that optimizes the impact absorption and weight of the IAS **50**.

The top of the helmet experiences high impact hits as well as many lower energy hits. Therefore, the top of the helmet must be soft enough to protect a player from lower energy subconcussive impacts and remain capable of protecting a player from high energy impacts. The IAS **50** and the foam cylinders **51**, in particular, are designed to deflect when subject to subconcussive impacts and absorb high energy impacts without bottoming out. Bottoming out in this application is when a material has been compressed to its minimum height. Bottoming out is undesirable in a helmet because once the impact absorbing material bottoms out, it cannot provide any substantial impact absorption.

The foam cylinders **51** are effective at providing absorption of subconcussive and high energy impacts because of the sealed air void located at their centers. An open cell foam can be readily compressed, however air in a sealed space is much more difficult to compress. The air in the center of the foam cylinders **51** is not completely sealed, in that it can escape through the open cell structure of the foam, but when subject to a high energy impact, the air momentarily acts similarly to air trapped in a sealed container to absorb the high energy impact. As the foam cylinder compresses, the air is pushed through the open cell structure of the foam, absorbing the remainder of the impact. The use of air in a void at the center of the foam cylinders **51** allows the use of a softer foam than would otherwise be appropriate because it reduces the risk of bottoming out in high energy impacts.

The forehead pad **54**, elongate pieces **57** and ear pieces **60** use a smaller air void to foam ratio because they are subject to more high impact hits than the top of the helmet. The use

## 12

of smaller air voids provides a level of protection from bottoming out while also providing shock absorption from the foam itself.

In FIGS. **12-16** are detailed views of three types of foam components used in the IAS **50**. In FIGS. **12-13** is an example of a foam cylinder **51** with the vinyl barrier **53** removed. In FIGS. **14 & 15** is an example of a forehead pad **54** with the vinyl barrier **56** removed. In FIG. **16** is an example of an elongate pad **57** with the vinyl barrier **59** removed.

What has been described is a football helmet designed to reduce the occurrence of concussions and the magnitude of subconcussive impacts to the head. While this disclosure shows the invention as a football helmet, all or part of the invention is capable of being used in other applications. In this disclosure, there is shown and described only the preferred embodiments of the invention, but, as aforementioned, it is to be understood that the invention is capable of use in various other combinations and environments and is capable of changes or modifications within the scope of the inventive concept as expressed herein.

The invention claimed is:

**1.** A helmet comprising:

a core layer; and

an inner layer fixed to at least a portion of an interior surface of the core layer, the inner layer comprising a plurality of impact absorbing elements, each comprising a proximal end fixed to the core layer and a distal end furthest from the core layer;

wherein at least one of the plurality of impact absorbing elements comprises at least one through hole that extends from its distal end to its proximal end, and the at least one of the plurality of cylindrical impact absorbing elements further comprises at least three layers of a viscoelastic foam having an open cell structure, the at least three layers comprising a third layer disposed furthest to the core layer and having a density greater than densities of other layers, a first layer disposed closest to the core layer and having a density less than the densities of the other layers, and a second layer disposed between the first layer and the third layer and having a density less the density of the third layer and greater than the density of the first layer.

**2.** The helmet of claim **1**, wherein each impact absorbing element further comprises an air impermeable layer fixed to the distal end thereof.

**3.** The helmet of claim **1**, wherein the plurality of impact absorbing elements comprise a foam having an open cell structure.

**4.** The helmet of claim **1**, wherein the inner layer comprises at least one of: one or more layers of a viscoelastic polyurethane foam, one or more layers of a soft to medium light weight viscoelastic foam, one or more layers of a firm light weight viscoelastic foam, one or more layers of a polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam, one or more layers of a polyurethane viscoelastic open cell foam having a density ranging between one quarter and 15 pounds per cubic foot, one or more layers of a medium-soft lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density ranging between one half to one pound per cubic foot, one or more layers of a firm lightweight viscoelastic foam with a density ranging between one to one and a half pounds per cubic foot, one or more layers of a viscoelastic foam having a density ranging between 15 and 50 pounds per cubic foot, one or more layers of a viscoelastic foam having a density between 15 and 33 pounds per cubic foot, one or more layers of a foam having a density ranging between 30 and 35 pounds per cubic foot,



## 13

one or more layers of a polyurethane viscoelastic foam having an open cell structure, one or more layers of a polyurethane viscoelastic open cell structure foam having a density ranging between one half and 15 pounds per cubic foot, one or more layers of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell structure foam having a density ranging between one half and eight pounds per cubic foot, one or more layers of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell structure foam having a density ranging between one and two pounds per cubic foot, and one or more layers of an elastomeric, polyurethane viscoelastic open cell structure foam having a density ranging between one and one and a half pounds per cubic foot.

5. The helmet of claim 1, further comprising an outer layer fixed to at least a portion of an exterior surface of the core layer, the outer layer comprising one or more layers of an elastomeric, viscoelastic polyurethane open cell structure foam.

6. The helmet of claim 5, wherein said one or more layers of an elastomeric, viscoelastic polyurethane open cell structure foam has a density ranging between two and 15 pounds per cubic feet.

7. The helmet of claim 1, further comprising a facemask coupled to the helmet using at least one snap.

8. The helmet of claim 7, wherein the facemask comprises at least one of carbon fiber filaments and one or more rubberizing compounds.

9. The helmet of claim 1, further comprising an outer layer fixed to at least a portion of an exterior surface of the core layer, the outer layer comprising a layer of ethylene-vinyl acetate.

10. The helmet of claim 1, wherein the core layer comprises a layer of carbon fiber and a layer of reinforced polymer, wherein the layer of reinforced polymer comprises a thickness of one to five times greater than a thickness of the layer of carbon fiber.

11. A helmet, comprising:

a core layer;

an inner layer fixed to an interior surface of the core layer;

and

a plurality of cylindrical impact absorbing elements fixed to at least a portion of the inner layer, each cylindrical impact absorbing element comprising:

at least one through hole extending along an axial direction of the impact absorbing element;

an air impermeable layer fixed to a surface of the cylindrical impact absorbing element furthest from the core layer; and

a foam having an open cell structure;

wherein at least one of the plurality of cylindrical impact absorbing elements further comprises at least three layers of a viscoelastic foam having the open

## 14

cell structure, the at least three layers comprising a third layer disposed furthest to the core layer and having a density greater than densities of other layers, a first layer disposed closest to the core layer and having a density less than the densities of the other layers, and a second layer disposed between the first layer and the third layer and having a density less the density of the third layer and greater than the density of the first layer.

12. The helmet of claim 11, wherein the density of the third layer comprises a range varying between 15 pounds and 50 pounds per cubic foot.

13. The helmet of claim 11, wherein the density of the first layer comprises a range varying between 0.25 and 15 pounds per cubic foot.

14. The helmet of claim 11, wherein the density of the second layer comprises a range varying between 1 and 1.5 pounds per cubic foot.

15. The helmet of claim 11, wherein the first layer comprises a thickness equal to a thickness of the second layer.

16. The helmet of claim 15, wherein the third layer comprises a thickness that is 50 percent to 70 percent of the thickness of the first layer.

17. A helmet comprising:

a core layer; and

an inner layer fixed to at least a portion of an interior surface of the core layer, the inner layer comprising:

a plurality of impact absorbing elements, each comprising:

a proximal end fixed to the core layer;

a distal end furthest from the core layer; and

an air impermeable layer fixed to the distal end;

wherein at least one of the plurality of cylindrical impact absorbing elements further comprises at least three layers of a viscoelastic foam having an open cell structure, the at least three layers comprising a third layer disposed furthest to the core layer and having a density greater than densities of other layers of the at least one of the plurality of cylindrical impact absorbing elements, a first layer disposed closest to the core layer and having a density less than the densities of the other layers of the at least one of the plurality of cylindrical impact absorbing elements, and a second layer disposed between the first layer and the third layer and having a density less the density of the third layer and greater than the density of the first layer.

\* \* \* \* \*