



US011017034B1

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Khafizov et al.**

(10) **Patent No.: US 11,017,034 B1**  
(45) **Date of Patent: May 25, 2021**

(54) **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEARCH WITH THE AID OF IMAGES ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCT CATEGORIES**

*G06K 9/4604* (2013.01); *G06K 9/6201* (2013.01); *G06T 3/40* (2013.01); *G06T 3/60* (2013.01)

(71) Applicant: **OPEN INVENTION NETWORK LLC**, Durham, NC (US)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... *G06F 16/951*; *G06F 16/5838*; *G06F 16/5854*; *G06F 16/532*; *G06F 16/248*

(72) Inventors: **Farid Khafizov**, Plano, TX (US);  
**Margarita Khafizova**, Plano, TX (US)

USPC ..... 707/722, 769, 669  
See application file for complete search history.

(73) Assignee: **OPEN INVENTION NETWORK LLC**, Durham, NC (US)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 412 days.

3,965,484 A 6/1976 Matz et al.  
4,710,758 A 12/1987 Mussler et al.  
(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **15/974,537**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(22) Filed: **May 8, 2018**

Bonacina et al.; A Web-Based System for Family Health Record, IEEE, Aug. 23, 2007, p. 3655 {Year: 2007}.

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(Continued)

(63) Continuation of application No. 15/340,645, filed on Nov. 1, 2016, now Pat. No. 9,965,555, which is a continuation of application No. 14/720,812, filed on May 24, 2015, now Pat. No. 9,495,363, which is a continuation of application No. 13/769,293, filed on Feb. 16, 2013, now Pat. No. 9,092,526, which is a  
(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Shahid A Alam

(51) **Int. Cl.**

*G06F 16/951* (2019.01)  
*G06F 16/248* (2019.01)  
*G06T 3/40* (2006.01)  
*G06T 3/60* (2006.01)  
*G06K 9/62* (2006.01)  
*G06K 9/46* (2006.01)  
*G06F 16/532* (2019.01)  
*G06F 16/583* (2019.01)


(57) **ABSTRACT**

The present application describes performing a user initiated search query comprising receiving user input comprising description details of at least one desired object, retrieving a plurality of objects from a database sharing one or more of the description details of the user input, retrieving an image of the at least one desired object based on one or more of the plurality of objects, generating a contour of the image and comparing the generated contour with other related contours of other images stored in the database, displaying all of the available contours of all of the images that match the generated contour, receiving a selection of one of the available contours from the user and performing the search query based on the user selected contour.

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ..... *G06F 16/951* (2019.01); *G06F 16/248* (2019.01); *G06F 16/532* (2019.01); *G06F 16/5838* (2019.01); *G06F 16/5854* (2019.01);

**23 Claims, 13 Drawing Sheets**



**Related U.S. Application Data**

continuation of application No. 13/168,540, filed on Jun. 24, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,892,594.

(60) Provisional application No. 61/359,057, filed on Jun. 28, 2010.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,829,294 A 5/1989 Iwami et al.  
 4,962,475 A 10/1990 Hernandez et al.  
 5,337,258 A 8/1994 Dennis  
 5,367,619 A 11/1994 Dipaolo et al.  
 5,373,403 A 12/1994 Okamura et al.  
 5,434,964 A 7/1995 Moss et al.  
 5,635,683 A 6/1997 McDermott et al.  
 5,640,577 A 6/1997 Scharmer  
 5,673,403 A 9/1997 Brown et al.  
 5,774,591 A \* 6/1998 Black ..... G06K 9/00248  
 382/118  
 5,823,948 A 10/1998 Ross, Jr. et al.  
 5,845,255 A 12/1998 Mayaud  
 5,847,706 A 12/1998 Kingsley  
 5,917,483 A 6/1999 Duncan et al.  
 5,963,952 A 10/1999 Smith  
 6,006,240 A 12/1999 Handley  
 6,192,380 B1 2/2001 Light et al.  
 6,323,846 B1 11/2001 Westerman et al.  
 6,633,885 B1 10/2003 Agrawal et al.  
 6,728,753 B1 4/2004 Parasnis et al.  
 6,910,179 B1 6/2005 Pennell et al.  
 6,981,001 B1 12/2005 Reddick et al.  
 7,254,775 B2 8/2007 Geaghan et al.  
 7,343,565 B2 3/2008 Ying et al.  
 7,353,458 B2 4/2008 Malmstrom  
 7,479,949 B2 1/2009 Jobs et al.  
 7,499,046 B1 3/2009 Wright et al.  
 7,509,588 B2 3/2009 Os et al.  
 7,519,223 B2 4/2009 Dehlin et al.  
 7,565,139 B2 \* 7/2009 Neven, Sr. .... G06K 9/6807  
 455/414.3  
 7,603,367 B1 10/2009 Kanter et al.  
 7,657,849 B2 2/2010 Chaudhri et al.  
 7,664,739 B2 \* 2/2010 Farago ..... G06F 16/9577  
 707/722  
 7,664,991 B1 2/2010 Gunda et al.  
 7,698,261 B1 4/2010 Khoshnevisan  
 7,765,227 B1 7/2010 Khoshnevisan et al.  
 7,845,554 B2 12/2010 Jacobs  
 7,978,181 B2 7/2011 Westerman  
 8,001,141 B1 8/2011 Bar  
 8,001,152 B1 8/2011 Solan  
 8,140,421 B1 3/2012 Humphries et al.  
 8,171,030 B2 \* 5/2012 Pereira ..... G06K 9/00711  
 707/741  
 8,311,845 B2 11/2012 Vengroff et al.  
 8,352,465 B1 1/2013 Jing et al.  
 8,392,430 B2 \* 3/2013 Hua ..... G06F 16/58  
 707/748  
 8,422,782 B1 4/2013 Dhua et al.  
 8,494,310 B2 \* 7/2013 Aono ..... G06F 16/532  
 382/305  
 8,510,349 B1 8/2013 Puttick  
 8,515,839 B2 8/2013 Ma et al.  
 8,521,600 B2 8/2013 Hodge  
 8,539,550 B1 9/2013 Terres et al.  
 8,638,939 B1 1/2014 Casey et al.  
 8,676,680 B2 3/2014 Humphries et al.  
 9,152,624 B1 10/2015 Krallman et al.  
 9,405,400 B1 8/2016 Khafizov et al.  
 9,607,327 B2 3/2017 Atsmon et al.  
 2002/0038299 A1 \* 3/2002 Zernik ..... G06F 16/9577  
 2002/0060669 A1 5/2002 Sze  
 2002/0194074 A1 12/2002 Jacobs  
 2003/0063073 A1 4/2003 Geaghan et al.

2003/0189552 A1 10/2003 Chuang et al.  
 2004/0008363 A1 1/2004 Suzuki et al.  
 2004/0068508 A1 4/2004 Sihvo et al.  
 2004/0070605 A1 4/2004 Huang et al.  
 2004/0083203 A1 4/2004 Kemp  
 2005/0012723 A1 1/2005 Pallakoff  
 2005/0071423 A1 3/2005 Rajaniemi  
 2005/0165881 A1 7/2005 Brooks et al.  
 2006/0007177 A1 1/2006 McLintock  
 2006/0010098 A1 1/2006 Goodnow et al.  
 2006/0010400 A1 1/2006 Dehlin et al.  
 2006/0026145 A1 2/2006 Beringer et al.  
 2006/0026535 A1 2/2006 Hotelling et al.  
 2006/0037051 A1 2/2006 McDowell et al.  
 2006/0067053 A1 3/2006 Yu et al.  
 2006/0123361 A1 6/2006 Sorin et al.  
 2006/0136585 A1 6/2006 Mayfield et al.  
 2006/0210958 A1 9/2006 Rimas-Ribikauskas et al.  
 2006/0265249 A1 11/2006 Follis et al.  
 2006/0287088 A1 12/2006 Mashimo et al.  
 2007/0097084 A1 5/2007 Nijjima  
 2007/0103565 A1 5/2007 Xu et al.  
 2007/0136686 A1 6/2007 Price et al.  
 2007/0143312 A1 6/2007 Wiseman  
 2007/0174570 A1 7/2007 Horii et al.  
 2007/0185727 A1 8/2007 Ma et al.  
 2007/0229471 A1 10/2007 Kim et al.  
 2007/0250777 A1 10/2007 Chen et al.  
 2008/0040692 A1 2/2008 Sunday et al.  
 2008/0104542 A1 5/2008 Cohen et al.  
 2008/0168403 A1 7/2008 Westerman et al.  
 2008/0244453 A1 10/2008 Cafer  
 2008/0254869 A1 10/2008 Fujimoto et al.  
 2008/0255837 A1 10/2008 Kahn et al.  
 2008/0273764 A1 11/2008 Scholl  
 2008/0313140 A1 \* 12/2008 Pereira ..... G06F 16/7837  
 2009/0084612 A1 4/2009 Mattice et al.  
 2009/0085877 A1 4/2009 Chang et al.  
 2009/0106667 A1 4/2009 Lyle et al.  
 2009/0128516 A1 5/2009 Rimon et al.  
 2009/0143141 A1 6/2009 Wells et al.  
 2009/0161994 A1 6/2009 Sauerwein, Jr. et al.  
 2009/0195518 A1 8/2009 Mattice et al.  
 2009/0196475 A1 \* 8/2009 Demirli ..... G06K 9/00281  
 382/128  
 2009/0207154 A1 8/2009 Chino  
 2009/0234710 A1 9/2009 Hassine et al.  
 2009/0251435 A1 10/2009 Westerman et al.  
 2009/0262894 A1 10/2009 Shukla et al.  
 2009/0271295 A1 10/2009 Hodge  
 2009/0278792 A1 11/2009 Toebes et al.  
 2009/0278806 A1 11/2009 Duarte et al.  
 2009/0322699 A1 12/2009 Hansson  
 2009/0322701 A1 12/2009 D'Souza et al.  
 2010/0007618 A1 1/2010 Park et al.  
 2010/0013780 A1 1/2010 Ikeda et al.  
 2010/0034476 A1 \* 2/2010 Kido ..... G06K 9/6203  
 382/243  
 2010/0044121 A1 2/2010 Simon et al.  
 2010/0054607 A1 \* 3/2010 Aono ..... G06T 19/00  
 382/203  
 2010/0058182 A1 3/2010 Jung  
 2010/0079405 A1 4/2010 Bernstein  
 2010/0082489 A1 4/2010 Lin et al.  
 2010/0098339 A1 \* 4/2010 Kido ..... G06T 7/12  
 382/199  
 2010/0110032 A1 5/2010 Kim et al.  
 2010/0115408 A1 5/2010 Mujkic et al.  
 2010/0162153 A1 6/2010 Lau  
 2010/0199285 A1 8/2010 Medovich  
 2010/0257490 A1 10/2010 Lyon et al.  
 2011/0022387 A1 1/2011 Hager  
 2011/0072048 A1 \* 3/2011 Hua ..... G06F 16/58  
 707/780  
 2011/0113333 A1 5/2011 Lee et al.  
 2011/0125528 A1 5/2011 Padate et al.  
 2011/0166962 A1 7/2011 Koponen et al.  
 2011/0314052 A1 12/2011 Francis et al.  
 2012/0005034 A1 1/2012 Jarman et al.

(56)

**References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2012/0030214 A1 2/2012 Branca et al.  
2012/0159294 A1 6/2012 Gonsalves et al.  
2012/0265628 A1 10/2012 Jacobs  
2013/0190056 A1 7/2013 Chaudhri et al.  
2013/0275975 A1 10/2013 Masuda et al.  
2013/0304606 A1 11/2013 Hodge

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Bui, Timeline: Visualizing integrated Patient Records, IEEE, Jul. 9, 2007, p. 464 (Year: 2007).

Fox et al., Technical Paper, "Adapting to Network and Client Variation Using Infrastructural Proxies: Lessons and Perspectives", IEEE Personal Communications, Aug. 1998.

Mohan et al., technical paper, "Adapting Multimedia Internet Content for Universal Access", IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, vol. 1, No. 1, Mar. 1999.

Novelli et al., Technical Paper, "A Grid-based Infrastructure to Support Multimedia Content Distribution", 2007.

Smith et al., Technical Paper, "Transcoding Internet content for heterogeneous client devices", IEEE, 1998.

Tu et al., Technical Paper, "Multiquality Data Replication in Multimedia Databases", IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 19, No. 5, May 2007.

\* cited by examiner


# Results for "knife" (585)

Related terms: [pocket knife](#) [knives](#) [gerber](#) [leatherman](#) [henckels](#)  
[See All Matching Products \(458\)](#) | [See All Matching Movies, Music, Books \(127\)](#)

## MATCHING PAGES

[Shop All Cutlery >](#)

**458 Product Matches**    [See All Product Matches >](#)



[Quick Info](#)

**Miracle Blade 11-pc. Knife Set**

★★★★☆ (23)

**\$19.99**

as seen on tv

[+ Add to Cart](#)


**Victorinox 8" Chef's Knife - Black**

★★★★★ (4)

**\$29.99**

Colors: Blk

[+ Add to Cart](#)



"A SNAPSHOT FROM WWW.TARGET.COM ONLINE SEARCH RESULTS FOR "KNIFES"

### FIG. 1A

# Results for "kitchen knives" (277)


Related terms: [knives](#)

[See All Matching Products \(272\)](#) | [See All Matching Movies, Music, Books \(5\)](#)

**272 Product Matches**    [See All Product Matches >](#)

**Victorinox 8" Chef's Knife - Black**

★★★★★ (3)



**\$29.99**

Item Ships Free With Qualifying \$50 Order


Colors: Blk

+ Add to Cart

Quick Info

**Kitchen Aid Set of 2 Santoku Knives with Sheaths**

★★★★★ (1)



**\$20.79**

Item Ships Free With Qualifying \$50 Order

+ Add to Cart

Find it at a Target store

SNAPSHOT FROM WWW.TARGET.COM ONLINE SEARCH RESULTS FOR "KITCHEN KNIVES"

## FIG. 1B




FIG. 2




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B






FIG. 3C




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6A




FIG. 6B




FIG. 6C





FIG. 6D



FIG. 6E






FIG. 7B




FIG. 8 A




FIG. 8 B



1

**SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEARCH  
WITH THE AID OF IMAGES ASSOCIATED  
WITH PRODUCT CATEGORIES**

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED  
APPLICATIONS

This application claims the benefit of and is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 15/340,645, filed on Nov. 1, 2016, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEARCH WITH THE AID OF IMAGES ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCT CATEGORIES, now issued U.S. Pat. No. 9,965,555, issued on May 8, 2018, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/720,812, filed on Mar. 14, 2015, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEARCH WITH THE AID OF IMAGES ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCT CATEGORIES, now issued U.S. Pat. No. 9,495,363, issued on Nov. 15, 2016, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/769,293, filed on Feb. 16, 2013, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEARCH WITH THE AID OF IMAGES ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCT CATEGORIES, now issued U.S. Pat. No. 9,092,526, issued on Jul. 28, 2015, which is a continuation of U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/168,540, filed on Jun. 24, 2011, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEARCH WITH THE AID OF IMAGES ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCT CATEGORIES, now issued U.S. Pat. No. 8,892,594, issued on Nov. 18, 2014, which in turn claims priority to and is a non-provisional of U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 61/359,057, filed on Jun. 28, 2010, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR SEARCH WITH THE AID OF IMAGES ASSOCIATED WITH PRODUCT CATEGORIES. The subject matter of the earlier filed applications are hereby incorporated by reference in their entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present invention describes a system, method and computer readable storage medium comprising instructions for searching an object. The invented system's search process takes advantage of images associated with certain properties of the object (e.g., consumer product) the user is looking for. The invented system facilitate the search process by taking graphical depiction of one or more properties of the desired object and comparing it with the corresponding images common to groups of objects similar to the desired object. This invention is based on a simple method, included here by reference (see below), for building a category tree for the objects among which the desired object is being searched. The invention is applicable to search of any items, and is illustrated with an example of various applications from the consumer product searches. The disclosed embodiments relate generally to electronic devices with one or more physical nodes, and more particularly, to search systems and methods.

To illustrate motivation for the invention let us consider a search process of a consumer product in online store. For example, we want to find a certain knife in online store of one of the major retailer such as Target. If we do not have precise or sufficient description of the knife we are looking for, the online search engine will return hundreds of items matching word "knife" (see FIG. 1A) and leave us with no option but to scroll through description of all of these objects one by one through many pages. While this example is very specific it is not unique. Whatever information was entered by the user (e.g., us) into the search system, most likely we

2

have not entered all the information we have about the knife we are looking for. This situation occurs frequently because we do not know how to describe what we know about the object, e.g., its shape, certain design style, combination of color, etc. Most of the time, even if we could, the system does not provide means for us to enter all that information, simply because designing a universal user interface is impossible. Thus there is a need for a system and method that utilizes somehow the additional information that has not been provided to the system. This application incorporates by reference the entire contents of the "Attribute Category Enhanced Search" application, which provides search process enhancement through constructing a tree with the nodes representing groups of objects with similar values for some categories. The present invention further improves the search process by allowing the user to enter graphical depiction (image) of the desired object. Then the invented system will automatically match user entered image to one (or more) images of the categories of objects in the search domain. If a good match is found the search process will continue along the corresponding path.

BACKGROUND

Searching is a popular topic in the computing world. With users wanting and demanding faster application, increase in information processing speeds, more memory, and smarter computers, searching and a system's ability to return accurate results very quickly is viewed as an important aspect of the computer experience. Some of the recent patents try to address this problem. For example, in the U.S. Pat. No. 7,664,739 "Object search ui and dragging object results" an object navigation system, user interface, and method that facilitate faster and smoother navigation of objects are provided. The invented, the system can generate a plurality of objects that can be rendered on a display space that spans a single page in length, thereby mitigating the need to navigate through multiple pages. The objects can also be viewed in a film strip format that is infinitely scrollable. While such techniques undoubtedly make search process more convenient compared to page-by-page navigation through search results, they fail to address the crucial requirement of fast search speed. Another shortcoming of the above mentioned patent is the lack of ability of the invented system to automatically reduced search space based on digital representation of information provided by the user about the object the user wants to find.

Digital image based search was also addressed in the industry. For example, in the U.S. Pat. No. 7,565,139 "Image based search engine for mobile phone with cameras", the inventors improve user's search experience by allowing him to take a digital photograph of an object, match it with an item in the remote database and provide full information about the object to the user. Key ideas facilitating the search process include doing the initial search on the mobile phone, so that database access overhead is minimized, and sending low resolution image to the server, so that less bandwidth is needed thus improving the response time of the application. Unfortunately this and other search related intentioned we examined do not provide an effective solution in case when exact image or description of the desired object is not available. Conventional search systems display or present search results in the form of a column or list to the user (e.g., see FIG. 1A). This format can be problematic from the user experience point of view for several reasons. The list may span many (sometimes hundreds) pages. Therefore the process of examining search

results quickly becomes cumbersome and time-consuming. The user examining search results page by page gets tired and may skip important information. Thus only the item located on the top of the list will get full attention of the user.

Example of a typical example of search results for a consumer product on the internet is shown in FIG. 1A. For illustration purposes we use online product search tool of one of the major retail stores TARGET. Search for a word “knife” on www.target.com returns a list of 585 items. The search can be refined by specifying more precisely the desired object, e.g. by entering “kitchen knife”, etc. The result however is still a rather long list of “matching objects”. As is seen in FIG. 1B, the user would have to examine upto 277 “kitchen knives”. This situation is not uncommon for other other widely available products such as consumer electronics, a piece of furniture, bicycle, more recently even solar screen, etc. Therefore, a more efficient system and method is needed that can guide the consumer through the search process, that matches his visual expectation and leads quickly to the right object.

Thus, in this invention we address the problem of improving the effectiveness of finding a roughly described object in a large set of similar object. We illustrate the invention using example of search for a knife. It will obvious from the description presented later in this disclosure, the system and method are applicable for search of any object.

### SUMMARY

The following presents a simplified summary in order to provide a basic understanding of some aspects of the systems and/or methods discussed herein. This summary is not an extensive overview of the systems and/or methods discussed herein. It is not intended to identify key/critical elements or to delineate the scope of such systems and/or methods. Its sole purpose is to present some concepts in a simplified form as a prelude to the more detailed description that is presented later.

Main idea of the invention is based on enhancing search process by splitting all available objects (after some pre-selection) into categories and walking the user through the tree constructed from these categories and automatically matching one or more depictions of the object (or parts of it) with shapes/images corresponding to groups of objects in the search domain.

The subject application relates to a system(s) and/or methodology that facilitate viewing and refining search results. In particular, the application involves an improved data representation, improved search method and enhanced navigation method that when taken together, provide a smoother and more efficient search experience for a user. Contrary to traditional user interface and navigation means, the results are not paginated across multiple pages. Rather, they are essentially maintained on a single page, whereby the length of the page can depend in part on the number of objects attributes grouped in categories (defined later). Thus, they can be scrolled through all at once mitigating the need to page over and over again to see more results.

Solution presented in this invention disclosure consists of a system that takes initial input describing the desired object (e.g., consumer product) from the user. Then the system retrieves all the objects (e.g., products) matching the entered search criteria, constructs a tree structure based on objects’ detailed description, and guides the user through that tree so that the user finds the desired product in a much fewer steps than going through the original long list. Construction of the tree structure and walking through the tree is facilitated by

the auxiliary images matching categories related to the objects, whenever it is possible. By visually matching each category with the associated picture, the user can quickly determine the right category of objects, thus narrowing the search space and finding the desired object quickly.

To the accomplishment of the foregoing and related ends, certain illustrative aspects of the invention are described herein in connection with the following description and the annexed drawings. These aspects are indicative, however, of but a few of the various ways in which the principles of the invention may be employed and the subject invention is intended to include all such aspects and their equivalents. Other advantages and novel features of the invention may become apparent from the following detailed description of the invention when considered in conjunction with the drawings.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A is a snapshot from www.target.com online search results for “knives”;

FIG. 1B is a snapshot from www.target.com online search results for “kitchen knives”;

FIG. 2 illustrates a functional block diagram of a generally conventional computing device or personal computer that is suitable for analysis of data records in connection with the interactive display table, in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 3A is an example of a flow chart illustrating the main steps of the invented method;

FIG. 3B is an example of a flow chart illustrating STEP 32 from FIG. 3A (retrieval of information from the database), in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 3C is an example of a flow chart illustrating STEP 34 from FIG. 3A (matching contour of an image with another contour), in accordance with the present invention;

FIG. 4 is an example of a flow chart illustrating attribute category tree construction in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 5 is an example of a flow chart illustrating alternative contour matching method in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 6A-FIG. 6D examples of images representing shape categories;

FIG. 6E example of image of a product;

FIG. 7A-7B blade length selection graphical interface;

FIG. 8A-8B are examples illustrating steps in matching a knife from FIG. 6E with one of two shapes in accordance with a matching method described in FIG. 3C;

The foregoing summary, as well as the following detailed description of certain embodiments of the present invention, will be better understood when read in conjunction with the appended drawings. The figures illustrate diagrams of the functional blocks of various embodiments. The functional blocks are not necessarily indicative of the division between hardware circuitry. Thus, for example, one or more of the functional blocks (e.g., processors or memories) may be implemented in a single piece of hardware (e.g., a general purpose signal processor or a block or random access memory, hard disk, or the like). Similarly, the programs may be stand alone programs, may be incorporated as subroutines in an operating system, may be functions in an installed imaging software package, and the like. It should be understood that the various embodiments are not limited to the arrangements and instrumentality shown in the drawings.

## 5

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE  
INVENTION

Aspects of the present invention can be used in connection with a computing device including a touch screen. With reference to FIG. 2, an exemplary system 1 suitable for implementing various portions of the present invention is shown. The system includes a general purpose computing device in the form of a conventional computer (PC) 12, provided with a processing unit 112, a system memory 118, and a system bus 11. The system bus couples various system components including the system memory to processing unit 112 and may be any of several types of bus structures, including a memory bus or memory controller, a peripheral bus, and a local bus using any of a variety of bus architectures. The system memory includes read only memory (ROM) and random access memory (RAM). A basic input/output system (BIOS), containing the basic routines that help to transfer information between elements within the PC 12, such as during start up, is stored in ROM. The PC 12 further includes a hard disk drive 1161 for reading from and writing to a hard disk (not shown), an optical disk drive 1111 for reading from or writing to a removable optical disk, such as a compact disk-read only memory (CD-ROM) or other optical media. Hard disk drive 1161 and optical disk drive 1111 are connected to system bus 11 by a hard disk drive interface 116 and an optical disk drive interface 111, respectively. The drives and their associated computer readable media provide nonvolatile storage of computer readable machine instructions, data structures, program modules, and other data for PC 12. Although the exemplary environment described herein employs a hard disk and removable optical disk, it will be appreciated by those skilled in the art that other types of computer readable media, which can store data and machine instructions that are accessible by a computer, such as magnetic disks, magnetic cassettes, flash memory cards, digital video disks (DVDs), Bernoulli cartridges, RAMs, ROMs, and the like, may also be used in the exemplary operating environment.

A number of program modules may be stored on the hard disk, optical disk, ROM, or RAM, including an operating system, one or more application programs, other program modules, and program data. A user may enter commands and information via the PC 12 and provide control input through input devices, such as a keyboard 1151 or a pointing device 1152. Pointing device 1152 may include a mouse, stylus, wireless remote control, or other pointer, but in connection with the present invention, such conventional pointing devices may be omitted, since the user can employ the touch sensitive interactive display for input and control. As used hereinafter, the term "mouse" is intended to encompass virtually any pointing device that is useful for controlling the position of a cursor on the screen. Other input devices (not shown) may include a microphone, joystick, haptic joystick, yoke, foot pedals, game pad, satellite dish, scanner, or the like. These and other input/output (I/O) devices are often connected to processing unit 112 through an I/O interface 115 that is coupled to the system bus 11. The term I/O interface is intended to encompass each interface specifically used for a serial port, a parallel port, a game port, a keyboard port, and/or a universal serial bus (USB).

System bus 11 is also connected to a camera interface 119. The digital video camera may be instead coupled to an appropriate serial I/O port, such as to a USB port. A monitor 1132 can be connected to system bus 11 via an appropriate interface, such as a video adapter 113. The system also has a touch screen display 1131 which can provide richer

## 6

experience for the user and interact with the user for input of information and control of software applications. The touch screen display 1131 is communicatively coupled to a touch sensor and controller 1133. Touch sensor and controller can be combined in one block 1131 or they can be separate communicatively coupled blocks. It should be noted that the touch screen display 1131 and the touch screen sensor and controller 1133 can be enclosed into a single device as well. User interface can be implemented through the optional monitor 1132 coupled with the touch sensor and controller 1133 though the video adapter 113 or directly via internet, wireless, or another connection. It will be appreciated that PCs are often coupled to other peripheral output devices (not shown), such as speakers (through a sound card or other audio interface—not shown) and printers.

A cell phone 142 is connected to PC 12 through the wireless base station 141 and the network interface card 114. The wireless base station 141 can be connected to the network interface card 114 either directly or through the internet 140. Therefore PC 12 can communicate with the cell phone 142. Combination of various protocols such as, IP, Wi-Fi, GSM, CDMA, WiMax, UMTS and the like, can be used to support communication between the PC 12 and the cell phone 142.

The present invention may be practiced on a single machine, although PC 12 can also operate in a networked environment using logical connections to one or more remote computers, such as a remote computer 1142. Remote computer 1142 may be another PC, a server (which can be configured much like PC 12), a router, a network PC, a peer device, or a satellite or other common network node, and typically includes many or all of the elements described above in connection with PC 12. The logical connection 13 depicted in FIG. 1B can be a local area network (LAN) or a wide area network (WAN). Such networking environments are common in offices, enterprise wide computer networks, intranets, and the Internet.

When used in a LAN networking environment, PC 12 is connected to a LAN through a network interface or adapter 114. When used in a WAN networking environment, PC 12 typically includes a modem (not shown), or other means such as a cable modem, Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) interface, or an Integrated Service Digital Network (ISDN) interface for establishing communications over WAN, such as the Internet. The modem, which may be internal or external, is connected to the system bus 11 or coupled to the bus via I/O device interface 115, i.e., through a serial port. In a networked environment, program modules, or portions thereof, used by PC 12 may be stored in the remote memory storage device. It will be appreciated that the network connections shown are exemplary and other means of establishing a communications link between the computers may be used, such as wireless communication and wide band network links.

Conventional search systems display or present search results in the form of a column or list to the user. Example of such output of search results is shown in FIG. 1B. The list may span many pages. Hence the process of examining search results becomes cumbersome and time-consuming. The user examining search results page by page gets tired and may skip important information. Thus only the item located on the top of the list will get full attention of the user. Clearly, this is not the best user experience, for someone who wants to find the desired product quickly.

This invention provides a smarter search solution, which takes however minimum information the user may have about the object he is trying to find, and guides him quickly to the

searched object. The main steps of the invented method are illustrated in FIG. 3A below. Each of the steps shown in FIG. 3A is described in detail in the following paragraphs.

Step 31: The system 1 takes the initial input describing the desired object (e.g., a consumer product such as knife) from the user via one or several user interfaces shown in FIG. 2. Methods of entering information about the desired object include but are not limited to text or audio description, digital image input as a file or as a reference to picture on the internet. For example, regular description of the object can be entered as text using the keyboard 1151 and displayed in monitors 1132 or 1131. The user would access the GUI and enter, for example, "The knife is serrated and is made in France with an animal imprint on the blade". The user may provide object description in the form of a picture taken by a digital camera or mobile phone and uploaded to the Processing unit 112 of the system through the camera interface 119 or USB interface 1171. The entered digital photo does not have to be a picture of the exactly the same object. As will be seen later a picture of an object resembling the desired object may suffice. An image resembling the desired object can also be submitted as a digital file (in JPG, GIF or another format) stored on a CD or DVD an accessible by the system's software via optical drive 1111. Using a touch screen interface 1131 the user can enter hand-drawn sketch of the desired object or part of it, e.g. distinct shape of knife blade. The system can also accept a universal record locator (URL) of an image resembling the desired object available on the remote computer (such as PC 1142) via HTTP (web page, or ftp site, etc, and downloadable to the system via internet. Audio description of the desired product can also be entered through the microphone 1176 connected to the audio-card 1175 and processed by speech recognition system.

Step 32: Some information entered by the user (e.g., price range, warranty period, manufacturer, etc.) will be of the same type as columns in one or more the database tables, and could, therefore, be usable for constructing an SQL query. We will refer to such information as structured. However some information may not be easily translated into a structured query, but yet can be used by the user to guide to navigate through the search process and find the desired product quickly. This will be illustrated in Step 34 below. Based on the structured input, software running on processor 112 of the system 1 will form a query to the database 1141 and retrieves information about all the objects (products) matching the entered search criteria. Original data can be stored in the database 1141 in various formats as explained in more detail in Appendix B of above referenced disclosure "Attribute Category Enhanced Search". A flow chart illustrating this procedure is shown in FIG. 3B. If no information matching the query built based on the entered the criterion is returned, the system will prompt try to widen the interpretation of the entered data and looks for objects matching the new interpretation of data. If user enters via GUI object description "The knife is serrated and is made in France with an animal imprint on the blade" as shown in the example above, the system will first try to interpret the text as a combination of structured elements of information. A query will be formed with elements such as "Product=Knife", "MadeIn=France", "Serrated=Yes", etc. If not matching is found in the database, the system will view the input text as unstructured information and do search on the internet for images associated with user provided input. If that approach fails, the system will try to match it with any information previously entered by other users and earlier obtained results, etc.

In order for the system to become "smart", it will have to learn from all previous search attempts. The system will analyze the results of each search, provide the analyzed results to the user and if the user selects one or more of the results, the system will store that information in case another user at some other time has a similar request, etc. In the unlikely event of no information matching the query is returned, the system will prompt the user to change the entries in the input or reduced the entered information. Connection of the database 1141 can be implemented via communication link 1143, which includes but is not limited to a wire-line connection (such as Ethernet), a wireless connection (Bluetooth, WiFi, etc.), or any other connection supporting information exchange between computing devices, and via the corresponding network interface 114. Let's assume that based on the structured information the system is able to retrieve n objects described by m attributes.

In alternative embodiment of the invention, step 32 is omitted. In that case all information entered by the user is sent to the remote computer 1145 co-located with the database 1141. Therefore without loss of generality in the continued description of the invention we can assume that all the information is non-structured. The idea behind the usage of non-structured information is to present the user with limited choices at each step according to his understanding of the right choice in each step. Whenever possible this process is automated by matching graphical input depicting the desired product and/or set attribute values of the product.

Step 33: In this step the system constructs a tree based on attributes of available objects with the minimum level of nodes need to complete the search process in pre-defined number of interactive search steps (described below) s. Alternatively the system may construct a tree that will keep the number of choices available to the user at each step at predefined value k, The relationship between k and s is very simple:  $s = \log_k n$ . The tree is constructed based on the values of objects' attributes. Each node of the tree represents a group of objects with certain attribute values being similar. Non-similar groups related to the same attribute form nodes of the tree in the same level. Once the tree is constructed, the search can be made very fast. Specifically if there are n objects, and k is the number of distinct groups for each attribute, the estimated number of step s for search completion is  $\lceil \log_k n \rceil$ . For example, if we allow 4 distinct attribute groups for each attribute, the maximum number s of search steps for a set of 277 objects (as in FIG. 1B) is 5.

The tree construction algorithm is illustrated by a flow chart in FIG. 4 in three main steps. Step 41 describes input to the tree construction algorithm. These are number n of objects, each object is described by m attributes as described earlier. We also assume that we are given maximum number k of categories for each attribute (see previous paragraph). Step 42 describes category construction for each type of attributes. Non-numeric attributes such product images are mapped to one of the image categories by default. Each such category has an image representing it. Such categories are put on top of the tree and will be used to guide a user through the selection process automatically if user provides product's picture, sketch etc. and successful matching was found. This process will be described in detail later.

For a numerical attribute j (e.g., price) we can identify range of the attribute values (in this case that would minimum and maximum price). The price range is split into k intervals each containing equal number of distinct price values. Then each price interval defines price category. It is obvious that user presented a choice of price category will

be able to select the one which will guarantee that the number of choices does not exceed  $|S|/k$ , where  $|S|$  is the number of distinct price values. Step 42 is repeated for all  $m$  attributes. For example, later in the illustrate length of knife blade as another category set. Finally in step 43, tree nodes for which graphical depiction is available in the user input, are being placed on the top of the tree. E.g., picture or contour representing the object that is being searched, known shapes of specific part of the object, etc. If a match is found between graphical depiction of user's input and one of the corresponding attributes categories, the system will automatically reduce the search space. Next levels of tree nodes are represented by categories of attributes with numeric values (e.g., price range, warranty period, length, weight, etc.) Categories of attributes with textual description are placed in the lowest levels of the tree. These attribute values are not easy to categorize and almost always the corresponding categories will be predefined. For example consider such attribute of a product as "manufacturer". Most likely the user either will know exactly what value of such attribute he is looking for, in which case the selection is very simple, or he does not know, and at the end of the search process we will be left with a very few products to choose from, so that selection process can be completed quickly.

As stated earlier the purpose of the algorithm is to facilitate object search by the user, who has some (perhaps very limited) non-structured information about the object which has not been used yet. Each attribute  $A[j]$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , can take  $N[j]$  different values. We can assume that no two objects have the same attribute values. Therefore,  $n$  could be at most  $N[1] \cdot N[2] \cdot \dots \cdot N[m]$ . Examples of attributes for a product such as knife can be described  $A[1]$ ="shape of the blade";  $A[2]$ ="length of blade";  $A[3]$ ="quality of the material (e.g. steel that the blade is made of)";  $A[4]$ ="handle color";  $A[5]$ ="warranty period";  $A[6]$ ="price" etc. Some attributes, such as "price", "warranty period", etc., have numeric values, others can be represented by images, e.g., "shape of the blade". If the number of choices  $k$  at each step is predefined, for each attribute the set of distinct values is divided into  $k$  groups. For example, if  $k=4$ , then shapes of blades will be split into four categories.

The method of splitting shapes in categories utilizes one or more of known image classification algorithms. One such algorithm is described in Appendix A below. The system uses image representation of each object whenever possible. Each object in the database has a photo, and therefore all available photos can be grouped in categories. Example of such grouping is shown in FIG. 6A-D. We will refer to these images as shape-A, shape-B, shape-C, and shape-D. Whenever graphical depiction of the desired object has been entered by the user according to step 31, the system will attempt to map that depiction to one of the category shapes (see Step-34). Mapping can be done using the "The shape matching algorithm" described in Appendix A, or using "Simple Shape Matching Procedure" described in detail below (please see paragraph 42), or by combining results of both approaches. It should understand that suggested image mapping options are used only for illustrative purposes. Many other image comparison algorithms exist. Usage of some of them or a combination of two or more is possible without deviating from present invention. For example shape of the knife shown in FIG. 6E is closest to the shape shown in FIG. 6D, and therefore that knife will be in the category shape-D. If mapping is successful, user interaction with the system is further minimized, and the search process is made faster.

Step 34: If an image resembling a desired product is available, the system will extract a contour of the image and try to match it with the contours of objects available in the database. For example, the system may utilize a simple shape matching algorithm flow chart of which is shown in FIG. 3C and is described in the next two paragraphs. Another algorithm that can be used for shape matching was proposed in paper "From images to shape models for object detection" by V. Ferrari et al. Example illustrating possible implementation based on this algorithm is described in Appendix A below.

Simple Shape Matching Procedure: Given a contour  $C$  and an image  $M$ , we can estimate closeness of shape of  $M$  to  $C$  as follows. Please refer to FIG. 3C for illustrative flow chart of possible implementation of the shape matching algorithm. As a closeness measure we use a metric we call  $\text{closeness}(M,C)$  will be defined precisely in step 315 below. In step 311 we start the algorithm by initializing  $\text{closeness}(M,C)=0$ , which means there is no match between shape of  $M$  and contour  $C$ . We will rotate image  $M$  and try to match with  $C$  step by step, therefore we initialize rotation step to 1 degree, and set rotation angle  $r=-\text{step}$ . The next step 312 starts our iterative procedure by setting  $r=r+\text{step}$  and rotating  $M$  by angle  $r$ . Note that  $M(r)$  denotes  $M$  rotated by angle  $r$ . Rotation can be performed, for example, by multiplying each  $M$  pixel's coordinates by the rotation matrix  $[\cos(r) \quad -\sin(r); \sin(r) \quad \cos(r)]$ . Then we extract image  $E$  consisting of pixels forming edge of  $M$ . There are several methods that can be used for this procedure, e.g.,  $\text{edge}(M(r))$  function from Matlab. In step 313 we transform images  $E$  and  $C$  into binary images and resize  $E$  to match the size of  $C$ . These are also straightforward image processing procedures that are easily implementable, for example, using standard Matlab routines. In step 314 we perform the distance transform of binary images  $E$  and  $C$  and get images  $dE$  and  $dC$ . In particular, for each pixel in  $E$  (and  $C$ ) we assign a number that is the distance between that pixel and the nearest nonzero pixel of  $E$  (and  $C$ ). The distance can be Euclidean, "cityblock" (sum of absolute values of coordinate differences for two pixels), "chessboard" (maximum of the absolute differences for  $x$  and  $y$  coordinates of two pixels), etc. For illustrative purposes we used "cityblock" distance in step 314. In step 315 we estimate  $\text{closeness}(r)$  of the rotated images's shape to  $C$  as a ratio  $1/(1+q/t)$ , where  $q$  is the sum of squares of pixel-wise difference for  $dE$  and  $dC$ , and  $t$  is the sum of squares of pixel-wise sum for  $dE$  and  $dC$ . It is obvious that the ratio is 1 when  $dE$  and  $dC$  are identical. Therefore, we compare  $\text{closeness}(r)$  with  $\text{closeness}(M,C)$  in step 316, and let the higher value to be the updated value of  $\text{closeness}(M,C)$  in step 317. In step 318, we check the rotation angle to see if we completed full circle, if not, we repeat the procedure from 312, otherwise we return the highest closeness estimate  $\text{closeness}(M,C)$  in step 319.

It should be noted that the above procedure can be repeated to a mirror image of  $M$ , to ensure we maximize our chances of finding true match to  $M$ 's shape. Moreover, value of rotation angle steps can be tuned in to find acceptable performance of the algorithm. Many of these steps would unnecessary and the matching procedure would be very fast if all the images are taken in consistent manner. Results of matching shape of an image to a contour are illustrated in FIG. 8A. Category shape represented by contour 108 is being compared with the edge of image 102. Resized (scaled) image 104 is used for obtaining a distance transform and computing corresponding matching factor (see item 106). In this case matching factor is 0.90. Figures FIG. 8B illustrate similar analysis for another shape 109 closer

## 11

resembling image 102. In this case the original image 102 is re-scaled to image 105, and the resulting matching factor is 0.93. The system therefore will conclude that based on image contour analysis image 102 is closer to a category represented by image 109 than to category represented by image 108.

Step-35: If matching of depicted user input to one of the category images fails, the system will present the user with an option to select one of the available categories for the given attribute (level of the tree). For example, the system will show shapes shown in FIG. 6A-6D, and ask the user to select one closely matching the product he is looking for.

Steps 36-37: Now assume the system has determined that the shape of the knife the user wants is matching FIG. 6C, and the user has not specified length of the blade. In that case the system select the branch of the tree under selected shape option shown in FIG. 6C and expand the tree below that node. Thus the system will present the user with available blade length choices. (Here we assume that blade length is one of the attributes describing knives. This particular attribute example is used for illustrative purposes only. It can easily be extended to any other numerical attribute.) By default the original tree must have k or less length categories. Assume that originally the length attribute was grouped into k=4 categories, but after the selection of shape-C (FIG. 6C) it was determined that knives of particular shape are available only in three length-categories shown in FIG. 7A: 2"-4" length category depicted by icon 71, 5"-7" category shown as icon 72, and 11"-12" category shown by icon 73. The user can select one of these three categories by pressing in any of the category icons, or he can use the sliding bar 74 and select the exact length by touching the button 75 and sliding it along the sliding bar 74 until the desired length value appears inside the button 75. Then the user can select the knife with specified blade length by pressing the button 75. According to one embodiment these functions will be performed by the user using touch screen interface available through touch screen display 1131 and touch screen sensor and controller 1133 shown in FIG. 2. Alternatively, system can provide conventional graphical user interface where the user can slide and press button 75 using a pointing device 1152 such as mouse or touchpad device.

In one embodiment whenever a certain option is available the color of the sliding button 75 will be green. In cases when certain length options are not available, the color of the button will be clear or red, and/or the appropriate message will be displayed inside the button 75. For example in FIG. 7B, the unavailability of a knife of length 9" is shown. In another embodiment proper matching or availability of certain options will be communicated to the user via audio announcements. For example, the system may announce "You may select 3 blade length options". Or alternatively, the system may say "selected blade shape of length 9" is not available" if the user tries to select such option, etc. Implementation of audio announcement can be done, for example, as follows. Assume the current tree level corresponds to Category="blade length". The system knows the size of each of the category nodes at each level. Therefore the software which runs on the main processor 112 (see FIG. 2) will check the size of each node in a given tree level, identify the number Z of the nodes containing at least one object. Then generate an ascii string A, for example, in C language syntax, by executing the following code `A=sprintf("You may select % d % s options", Z, Category);`

As the result, A="You may select 3 blade length options" is now stored in memory 118. Conversion to an audio message

## 12

is implemented using a text-to-speech routine. Text-to-speech software runs on the main processor unit 112 and can be performed by one of widely available commercial or free speech synthesis solutions (see, for example, [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speech\\_synthesis](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speech_synthesis)). The system can deliver audio signal to the user via the speakers 1177 connected to PC 12 and shown in FIG. 2. Text can be converted to an audio-format, e.g. MP3, and stored for later use or for delivery to the user via email, in case search job has been queued and is not happening in real time. The audio announcement can be also delivered to the user if the system can contact him via user's cell phone 142.

Steps 38-39: Similar approach can be used for any numerical attribute such as price range, warranty period, etc. In other words, the user does not have to specify all these attributes. The system will automatically guide the user through the available options, thus quickly narrowing the search space. The process will continue until the desired product is found.

The purpose of the algorithm is to identify input images as belonging to one of the given classes. Each class is represented by a typical object contour. The algorithm can be implemented according to the flow chart shown in FIG. 5. There are four main steps in the algorithm. Step-51: In this step the system takes user input of an image that has to be matched to and classified based on some etalon images. In the context of present invention it could be graphical depiction of user input such as contour of the object the user is searching for, a digital photograph of an object itself or another object resembling it, etc. The etalon images are category images described earlier. For each of the etalon images the system has a well defined and high quality contour available for later contour matching step.

Step-52: An edge detector method must be chosen and applied to query images. Edge detection methods include but are not limited to the methods based on the following two algorithms. One example of specific edge detection algorithm is "Berkley" edge detector, which yields almost natural edge representation of the image. Another example is the classical and faster "Canny" edge detector algorithm. In one embodiment the system will have a configuration option for selecting edge detection method depending on the previous results and timing of the search process. In another embodiment, the system will try first one method after another until satisfactory matching with available contours is found. In another embodiment, the system could try all method and use there cumulative matching results to make final matching decision.

Step-53: In this step the system attempts to do direct matching of the contour of the input image with contours of the category images. This option works well if the input image is not very complex. If match not found the matching process ends, if not the system will attempt to do a more sophisticated matching based on classification of contour elements called categorization. Particular realization of categorization depends on the quality of category shapes and/or on the characteristics of depictions of based user's input (e.g., contour of the product, etc.). The direct matching approach is based on construction of Contour Segment Network (CSN) for query images and etalon shapes. Etalon shapes should be previously normalized in some way, as they should correspond to edge detector output. The construction of the network includes two stages: 1) edge fragments linking and the extraction of almost straight segments from the linkage result; 2) connecting segments into the network. The linkage rules for these two stages are quite different. CSN are constructed for each etalon shape and for

## 13

query image. The direct matching between query and etalon CSN takes into account the global network shape and also the single segment scale and orientation.

Step-54: If the direct matching fails, the contour classification approach should be chosen. In that case additional etalon images may have to be provided to train the system, and before it works well, some manual intervention may be needed. However, once the system is trained, the search process will work well. The classification approach should be used if the quality provided by the direct matching is not sufficient. In this case some number of images should be categorized manually and used as an additional etalon images. The main idea of the approach is to construct Pair of Adjacent Segments (PAS) features to describe pairs of adjacent contour segments. The segment extraction stage is similar to one used in the CSN approach. PAS features encode the location, scale and the orientation of segment pair. To train the classifier on PAS features the bag-of-features paradigm can be used. The idea of this approach is to find two contours with the sufficient number of similar features.

We claim:

1. A method, comprising:
  - comparing, via a processor, a shape of a received contour within a digital image and a shape of at least one additional contour within at least one additional digital image to determine whether there is a match;
  - in response to determining that there is not a match, rotating, via the processor, pixels of the at least one additional contour within the at least one additional digital image based on a rotation angle; and
  - extracting, via a procedure executed by the processor, a new contour from the rotated pixels of the at least one additional contour based on pixels forming an edge portion of the new contour within the at least one additional digital image,
  - wherein the extracting comprises resizing the new contour to match a size of the at least one additional contour.
2. The method of claim 1, further comprising receiving the digital image as user input.
3. The method of claim 1, further comprising displaying, via the processor, information about search results generated from an image-based search using the new contour, on a display device.
4. The method of claim 1, further comprising calculating a distance transform function of the new contour and the at least one additional contour.
5. The method of claim 4, wherein the distance transform function is a sum of absolute values of coordinate differences for two pixels, one pixel being part of the new contour and the other pixel being part of the at least one additional contour.
6. The method of claim 4, wherein the distance transform function is a maximum of the absolute differences for x and y coordinates for two pixels, one pixel being part of the new contour and the other pixel being part of the at least one additional contour.
7. The method of claim 1, further comprising retrieving a plurality of digital images including the at least one additional digital image from a database that are related to the digital image.
8. An apparatus, comprising:
  - a processor configured to:
    - compare a shape of a received contour within a digital image and a shape of at least one additional contour within at least one additional digital image to determine whether there is a match;

## 14

- in response to determining that there is not a match, rotate pixels of the at least one additional contour within the at least one additional digital image based on a rotation angle; and
  - extract a new contour from the rotated pixels of the at least one additional contour based on pixels forming an edge portion of the new contour within the at least one digital image;
  - wherein the extract comprises resizing the new contour to match a size of the at least one additional contour.
9. The apparatus of claim 8 further comprising a receiver configured to receive the digital image as user input.
10. The apparatus of claim 8, wherein the processor is further configured to display information about search results generated from an image-based search using the new contour, on a display device.
11. The apparatus of claim 8, wherein the processor is further configured to calculate a distance transform function of the new contour and the at least one additional contour.
12. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the distance transform function is a sum of absolute values of coordinate differences for two pixels, one pixel part of the new contour and the other pixel part of the at least one additional contour.
13. The apparatus of claim 11, wherein the distance transform function is a maximum of the absolute differences for x and y coordinates for two pixels, one pixel part of the new contour and the other pixel part of the at least one additional contour.
14. The apparatus of claim 8, wherein the processor is further configured to retrieve a plurality of digital images from a database that are related to the digital image.
15. A non-transitory computer readable storage medium storing instructions that when executed cause a processor to perform a method comprising:
  - comparing a shape of a received contour within a digital image and a shape of at least one additional contour of at least one additional digital image to determine whether there is a match;
  - in response to determining that there is not a match, rotating pixels of the at least one additional contour within the at least one additional digital image based on a rotation angle;
  - extracting a new contour from the rotated pixels of the at least one additional contour based on pixels forming an edge portion of the new contour within the at least one additional digital image,
  - wherein the extracting comprises resizing the new contour to match a size of the at least one additional contour.
16. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 15, further comprising receiving the digital image as user input.
17. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 15, further comprising displaying information about search results generated from an image-based search using the new contour, on a display device.
18. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 15, further comprising calculating a distance transform function of the new contour and the at least one additional contour.
19. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 18, wherein the distance transform function is a sum of absolute values of coordinate differences for two pixels, one pixel being part of the new contour and the other pixel being part of the at least one additional contour.
20. The non-transitory computer readable storage medium of claim 19, wherein the distance transform function is a maximum of the absolute differences for x and y coordinates

for two pixels, one pixel being part of the new contour and the other pixel being part of the at least one additional contour.

**21.** The method of claim **1**, further comprising comparing the shape of the received contour and a shape of the new contour from to determine whether there is a match. 5

**22.** The method of claim **21**, further comprising, in response to determining that the shape of the received contour and the shape of the new contour are not a match, setting the new contour as a category contour image representative of the received contour. 10

**23.** The method of claim **22**, further comprising performing the image based search to identify search results that are related to the category contour image.

\* \* \* \* \*