

(12) United States Patent Haught et al.

(10) Patent No.: US 10,267,797 B2 (45) Date of Patent: Apr. 23, 2019

- (54) DETECTION OF ORAL MICROBIAL VIRULENCE FACTORS
- (71) Applicant: **The Procter & Gamble Company**, Cincinnati, OH (US)
- (72) Inventors: John Christian Haught, West Chester, OH (US); Sancai Xie, Liberty Township, OH (US); Benjamin Thomas Circello, Maineville, OH (US);

(2013.01); G01N 2333/705 (2013.01); G01N 2333/70596 (2013.01); G01N 2400/50 (2013.01)

References Cited

Cheryl Sue Tansky, Forest Park, OH (US); Malgorzata Klukowska, Mason, OH (US); Thomas Glenn Huggins, Mason, OH (US); Deepa Ashok Khambe, Cincinnati, OH (US); Donald James White, Fairfield, OH (US)

- (73) Assignee: The Procter & Gamble Company, Cincinnati, OH (US)
- (*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 15/939,382
- (22) Filed: Mar. 29, 2018
- (65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2018/0231546 A1 Aug. 16, 2018

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,175,089 A	A 12/1992	Fine et al.
5,738,985 A	A 4/1998	Miles et al.
2007/0160544 A	A1 7/2007	Sreenivasan
2009/0047240 A	A1 2/2009	Johnson et al.
2012/0019735 A	A1 1/2012	Zuidema et al.
2017/0119643 A	A1 5/2017	Haught et al.

(56)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CA	2323630	Al	8/1990
EP	1971865	B1	4/2013
GB	2481267	В	4/2016
WO	WO1995013094	Al	5/1995
WO	WO2008042279	A2	4/2008
WO	WO2011091366	A2	7/2011
WO	WO2014098868	A1	6/2014

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

13837M International Search and Written Opinion for PCT/US2016/031349 dated Jul. 18, 2016.13838M Search Report and Written Opinion for PCT/US2016/

Related U.S. Application Data

- (62) Division of application No. 15/148,663, filed on May6, 2016, now Pat. No. 9,970,934.
- (60) Provisional application No. 62/309,110, filed on Mar.
 16, 2016, provisional application No. 62/157,659, filed on May 6, 2015.

(51)	Int. Cl.	
	C12Q 1/00	(2006.01)
	G01N 33/566	(2006.01)
	G01N 33/50	(2006.01)
	C12Q 1/6897	(2018.01)
	G01N 33/579	(2006.01)
	A61K 8/02	(2006.01)
	A61K 8/21	(2006.01)
	A61K 8/36	(2006.01)
	A61K 8/39	(2006.01)
	A61K 8/42	(2006.01)
	A61K 8/49	(2006.01)
	A61Q 11/00	(2006.01)

031350 dated Jul. 18, 2016.

Chuang, TH1 et al., "Cloning and characterization of a sub-family of human toll-like receptors: hTLR7, hTLR8 5 and hTLR9", Eur. Cytokine Netw.. Sep. 2000, 11(3):372-8).

Darveau, R.P. et al., "Porphyromonas gingivalis Lipopolysaccharide Contains Multiple Lipid A species That Functionally Interact with Both Toll-Like Receptors 2 and 4", Infection and Immunity, vol. 72, No. 9, Sep. 1, 2004, pp. 5041-5051.

Dongari-Bagtzoglou et al., "Production of inflammatory mediators and cytokines by human gingival fibroblasts following bacterial challenge", J. Periodont. Res. 1996; 31:90-98.

Henkel et al. "Toxins from Bacteria", EXS (2010) 100:1-30.

(Continued)

Primary Examiner — Albert M Navarro (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Parker D. McCrary

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Methods for detecting and quantifying toxins present in the

oral cavity. The methods may include providing a biological sample, providing reporter cells expressing one or more Toll like receptors, exposing the cells to the biological sample, measuring the EC50 value of the lipopolysaccharide on activation of a Toll like receptor, quantification of the lipopolysaccharide in the biological sample.

9 Claims, 31 Drawing Sheets

Specification includes a Sequence Listing.

US 10,267,797 B2 Page 2

(56) **References Cited**

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Hong, Sun Woong et al., "Lipoteichoic acid of *Streptococcus* mutans interacts with Toll-like receptor 2 through the lipid moiety for induction of inflammatory mediators in murine macrophages", Molecular Immunology, vol. 57, pp. 284-291, 2014. Huang, Li-Yun et al., "Use of Toll-Like Receptor Assays to Detect and Identify Microbial Contaminants in Biological Products", Journal of Clinical Microbiology, Nov. 2009, p. 3427-3434.

Liebers, Verena et al. "Occupational Endotoxin-Exposure and Possible health Effects on Human", American Journal of Industrial Medicine 49(6):474-491 (2006). Madianos, PN et al., "Generation of inflammatory stimuli: how bacteria set up inflammatory responses in the gingiva", J. Clin. Periodontol. 2005; 32(supplement 6): 57-71. Mullarkey, M. et al. "Inhibition of endotoxin response by E5564, a novel toll like receptor 4 directed endotoxin antagonist", Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics, American Society for Pharmacologoy and Experimental Therapeutics, vol. 304, No. 3, Jan. 1, 2003, pp. 1093-1102. Offenbacher, S et al., "Gingival transcriptome patterns during induction and resolution of experimental gingivitis in humans", J. Periodontol. Dec. 2009; 80(12): 1963-82. Raetz et al. "Lipopolysaccharide endotoxin", Annu. Rev. Biochem (2002) 71:635-700.

Rock, FL et al., "A family of human receptors structurally related to Drosophila Toll", Proc. National Academy Science, Jan. 20, 1998, 95:588-93.

Shapira, L. et al., "Effect of Amine- and Stannous Fluoride on Human Neutrophil Functions in vitro", J. Dent. Res. 73 (7): 1381-1386, Jul. 1997.

Sulamain, Ali et al. "Corresponding Author: Bacterial Endotoxin Released by Different Types of Mouthwash", World Applied Sciences Journal, Jan. 1, 2012, pp. 305-309.

Takeuchi, et al., "A novel member of an expanding toll-like receptor family", Gene, Apr. 29, 1999, 231(1-2): pp. 59-65.

Wood, Stewart J. et al., "Anti-Endotoxin Agents. 1. Development of a Fluorescent Probe Displacement Method Optimized for High-Throughput Identification of Lipopolysaccharide-Binding Agents", May 1, 2004, retrieved from Internet on Jun. 23, 2016: URL:http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1540690/pdf/nihms2661.
ppdf.
Yokoyama, Yuji et al., "Systemic Immune Response to Streptococcal and Staphylococcal Lipoteichoic Acids in Children with Recurrent Tonsillitis", Acta Otolaryngol (Stockh) 1996; Suppl 523: 108-111.
Zhang, Hongwei et al., "Lipoprotein Release by Bacteria: Potential Factor in Bacterial Pathogenesis", Infection and Immunity, Nov. 1998, vol. 66, No. 11, pp. 5196-5201.
All Office Actions from U.S. Appl. No. 15/148,663, filed May 6, 2016—See Private Pair.

All Office Actions from U.S. Appl. No. 15/149,044, filed May 6, 2016—See Private Pair.

U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 1 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 2 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2







U.S. Patent US 10,267,797 B2 Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 3 of 31

FIG. 1F

30





DOSE (ng/mL)

U.S. Patent US 10,267,797 B2 Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 4 of 31





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 5 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





SnFl, uM

U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 6 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 7 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2



TLR4 RLU VS. SUBJECT FIG. 7B





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 8 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2



DOSE (ng/mL)



U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 9 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent US 10,267,797 B2 Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 10 of 31





U.S. Patent US 10,267,797 B2 Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 11 of 31



TLR2 RLU VS. SUBJECT STATUS AND TIME



U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 12 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2



FIG. 11

0.977 0.977 1.953 3.906 3.906 3.1.25 62.5 62.5 500 500 500 500 500 Pseuomonas aeruginosa FLAGELLIN (ng/ml)

U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 13 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2



U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 14 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 15 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 16 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





FIG. 16A

U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 17 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2







FIG. 18

U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 18 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2

Abelson	Actinomyces	Atopobium	Campylobacter	Conynebacterium	Eubacterium	Fusobacterium	Gemella	Granulicatella	Lachnospiraceae	Megasphaera	Mitsuokella	p < 0.05 v. Baseline
J		h	1			5	•	1	1 nh	ा ती	1 ሐ	





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 19 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2

Neisseria	Oribacterium	Parvimonas	Peptostreptococcus	Porphyromonas	Prevotella	Rothia	p < 0.05 v. Baseline
			Ţ		Ţ	ļ	. 14





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 20 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2

Selenomonas	Slackia	Solobactenum	Stomatobaculum	Streptococcus	Veillonella	Woolly	p < 0.05 v. Baseline
Ŷ	0			♦	ċ	Ö	*





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 21 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2



U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 22 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 23 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 24 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent US 10,267,797 B2 Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 25 of 31



U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 26 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 27 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 28 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2





U.S. Patent Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 29 of 31 US 10,267,797 B2



FIG. 28



U.S. Patent US 10,267,797 B2 Apr. 23, 2019 **Sheet 30 of 31**





U.S. Patent US 10,267,797 B2 Apr. 23, 2019 Sheet 31 of 31

3 **7h** FIC

TYPE



ပ်က	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	(C) (C)	0.09 0.03 0.03

RLU

US 10,267,797 B2

1

DETECTION OF ORAL MICROBIAL VIRULENCE FACTORS

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a method for detecting and quantifying bacterial virulence factors in oral samples, and for identifying agents for detoxifying oral virulence factors and a method for determining the effectiveness of detoxifying agents.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

2

were unable to quantify the level of virulence of the endotoxin. Further, their invention limited them to the Gramnegative endotoxin, as the LTA is not detectable via the LAL kit.

In US Pub. No. 2009/0047240, the chaperonin 10 5 (Cpn10) was used to modulate the clustering of Cpn10 in a cell line (murine RAW264) with labeled antibodies. Though they showed TLR-4, 7, and 9 reporter genes in an HEK cell line, their system would not allow for a more sensitive or 10 low level detection needed for microbial populations with weaker activating LPS, since those genes were under the control of the NFkB binding sites only (a minimal promoter). Their system lacks the sensitivity needed to differentiate biological systems with multiple microbial species and no dominant organism present. Further, their system needs strong NFkB activators to overcome the weak promoter used in their system, thus unable to pick up weaker TLR LPS agonists, such as LPS from *Porphyromonas* gingivalis. Additionally, their system lacked the ability to detect TLR3 agonists, which would be deleterious to the characterization of an inflammatory disease, such as gingivitis. US Pub. No. 2007/0160544 describes a method for determining orally deleterious bacteria. Their method calls for contacting a gingival cell with bacteria or a bacterial component and measuring an inflammatory marker. According to US Pub. No. 2007/0160544, the presence of a marker indicates inflammation and the labeling of a bacterium as deleterious. Conversely, they say that the absence of a marker indicates the bacterium is not a problem. Though they cited Toll-like receptors, which were known in the art as part of the pathway to generate cytokines, their method would have only allowed for determining the presence of a cytokine. Since oral cells contain one or more of the receptors to which a bacterial virulence factor would activate, screening on the individual receptors requires the use of engineered cells, such as reporter cells containing the receptor gene of interest. What further complicates the use of native oral cells, such as gingival cells, is that the expression and activation of a receptor, such as a Toll-like receptor, is specific to the function of the cell. Gingival cells are less likely to respond to bacterial virulence factors, due to their constant contact with microbes in the dental plaque. Thus the need exists to have engineered cells where a direct response can be measured via a reporter system. In addition to quantifying the virulence of microbial components and byproducts, there also exists a need for an in vitro screen of the inflammatory potential of organic and inorganic molecules, which would allow for pharmokinetic parameters to be determined.

The toxins of bacterial cell walls (Henkel et al, EXS. (2010) 100: 1-29) have been associated with health related 15 issues, such as septic shock, fever and malaise (V. Liebers et al., Am J Ind Med. (2006) 49(6): 474-91). Examples of Gram-negative cell wall toxins associated with health concerns are endotoxins, such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), peptidogylcans, and fimbriae; and Gram-positive cell wall 20 toxins associated with health concerns are lipoteichoic acid (LTA) and peptidoglycans. There are many other bacterial toxins, such as enterotoxins and exotoxins, as reported in Henkel et al, EXS. 2010; 100: 1-29. For the oral environment, the LPS and LTA seem to be the dominant drivers of 25 a bacterial induced immune response, or at least the best characterized. The immune response mounted by the body in response to these toxins depends on the origin of the toxin and the exposure history of the individual to said toxin. The LPS is a component of Gram-negative bacteria that is 30 different from strain to strain, as has been illustrated with the differences in virulence of E. coli (Raetz and Whitfield) Annu. Rev. Biochem (2002) 71:635-700). LPS is composed of a lipid A fraction, core region, and may have an O-antigen. The Lipid A fraction's fatty acid composition has been 35 shown to determine its virulence in response to its interaction with the Toll-like 4 (TLR4) receptor. The LTA has been linked to various inflammatory responses (Y. Yokoyama, et al., Acta Otolaryngol Suppl. (1996) 523:108-111) and associated with Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) activation. It is 40 widely believed that only the lysed bacteria liberate LPS that can initiate an inflammatory response (CA2323630). However, Zhang et al. showed that growing bacteria secrete LPS at a level in proportion to their growth phase (H. Zhang et al. (1998) Infection & Immunity, 66(11), 5196-5201). There- 45 fore, even a small fraction of the plaque left on the teeth after brushing could seed the inflammatory cascade due to the release of LPS from the Gram negative bacteria present in the plaque. Methods of detecting specific microbial species have been 50 demonstrated in the art. In US Pub. No. 2012/019735A1, methods were proposed to distinguish disease-causing bacteria via spectrophotometric methods. Though they were able to show the presence of specific microbes, their invention would not allow the user to determine the virulence 55 level of a specific site. Further, their method requires the microbes to be cultured in the lab in order to obtain a sufficient quantity of LTA or LPS for detection. Thus, their invention lacks the ability to detect the non-culturable species present, nor would it allow for measures of toxicity 60 of biological samples. In U.S. Pat. No. 5,175,089, the use of the Limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) endotoxin (LPS) assay was applied to the determination of the amount of endotoxin in the periodontal pocket. Though they were able to show overall 65 amounts of endotoxin present, they lacked the ability to differentiate diseased versus healthy endotoxin and they

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A method of determining bacterial virulence in an oral cavity is provided that includes providing a reporter cell expressing at least one toll-like receptor; providing a sample of oral matter; combining the sample of oral matter and the reporter cell; and measuring the toll-like receptor activation. The method may also include the additional steps of providing another sample of oral matter from an individual who used an oral care composition prior to providing another sample of oral matter; combining the another sample of oral matter and the reporter cell; measuring the toll-like receptor response; and comparing the toll-like receptor response from the sample of oral matter and another sample of oral matter.

US 10,267,797 B2

3

A method for determining the virulence of lipopolysaccharide comprising providing a lipopolysaccharide; providing a reporter cell expressing at least one Toll-like receptor; combining the reporter cell with the lipopolysaccharide; measuring the toll-like receptor activation; and quantifying 5 the lipopolysaccharide.

A method for determining the virulence of lipoteichoic acid comprising providing a lipoteichoic acid; providing a reporter cell expressing at least one Toll-like receptor; combining the reporter cell with the lipoteichoic acid; measuring the toll-like receptor activation; and quantifying the lipoteichoic acid.

The present invention includes methods of improving and/or resolving the state of gingival inflammation utilizing molecules, peptides, or proteins/enzymes that bind to, alter, or chemically modify bacterial virulence factors and/or host ¹⁵ response mechanisms. The methods provide a means of quantifying the level of lipopolysaccharide of oral tissues; and utilizes TLR-4 and TLR-2 reporter cells line combined with the detection LPS via a fluorescence assay, such as BODIPY TR cadaverine, or endotoxin detection assay, assay 20 to assign potency and quantification of LPS. The invention also includes assays and protocols which enable communication and demonstrations to consumers and dental professionals utilizing the TLR reporter cells. The present invention includes methods for determining the potency of lipopolysaccharide comprising: a) providing a lipopolysaccharide sample; b) providing reporter cells expressing one or more Toll-like receptors; c) exposing the cells to the lipopolysaccharide sample; d) measuring the EC50 value of the lipopolysaccharide on activation of a Toll-like receptor; e) quantification of the lipopolysaccharide. The present invention also includes isolating lipopolysaccharide from a growth culture of Gram negative bacteria. A lipopolysaccharide may be isolated from a biological sample. The biological sample includes, but is not limited to, ³⁵ an oral plaque, saliva, gingival brush samples. Toll-like receptor reporter gene assays, such as TLR4-SEAP and/or TLR2-SEAP, may be used to detect and quantify bacterial toxins, including but not limited to endotoxins, in a biological sample. A BODIPY TR cadaverine assay may be used to detect and quantify lipopolysaccharide in a biological sample. In addition, LAL (the Limulus amebocyte lysate assay) assay or endotoxin detection assay may be used to detect and quantify the lipopolysaccharide in a biological sample. 45 The present invention may be directed toward a method for determining the potency of an oral biofilm comprising: a) providing a biofilm sample; b) providing reporter cells expressing one or more Toll like receptors; c) exposing the cells to the biofilm sample; d) measuring the EC50 value of 50 the biofilm activation of a Toll like receptor; e) quantification of the lipopolysaccharide. The biofilm may be an oral plaque, including but not limited to subgingival plaque, marginal or gumline plaque, supragingival plaque.

4

FIG. **1**B is a graph showing time course of bacterial growth in culture medium.

FIG. 1C is a graph showing secretion of LPS during bacterial growth in culture.

FIG. 1D is a graph showing secretion of LPS during bacterial growth in culture at 24 hours. *P. pallens* and *P. nigrescens* released more LPS into the medium than *P gingivalis*.

FIG. 1E is a graph showing the amount of LPS per bacterium during bacterial growth in culture at 24 hours. *P. pallens* and *P. nigrescens* contain more LPS than *P gingivalis*.

FIG. 1F is a picture showing the taking of gingival plaque samples using Periopaper.

FIG. 2A is a graph showing cell culture and virulence determination of lipopolysaccharide from different bacteria.FIG. 2B is a graph showing activation of TLR4 reporter gene in culture.

FIG. **2**C is a graph showing activation of TLR4 reporter gene in culture.

FIG. **3** is a graph showing stannous fluoride inhibition of TLR4 reporter gene expression.

FIG. **4** is a graph showing stannous fluoride prevention of *P. gingivalis* LPS from activating TLR2 reporter gene expression.

FIG. 5 is a graph showing percentage reduction of *P. gingivalis* LPS on activation of TLR-4 by stannous fluoride.
FIG. 6 is a graph showing EC50 determination of sub-gingival plaques that activate TLR4-SEAP signal transduction. Dental plaques from unhealthy sites had a smaller EC50 than those from healthy sites, suggesting the dental plaques from unhealthy sites contain more virulence factors.
FIG. 7A is a graph showing TLR4 Evaluation of Human Subgingival Plaque (Healthy vs. Gingivitis).

FIG. 7B is a graph showing TLR4 Evaluation of Human

The present invention may also be directed toward a ⁵⁵ method for determining the potency of virulence in an oral sample comprising: a) providing an oral sample; b) providing reporter cells expressing one or more Toll-like receptors; c) exposing the cells to the oral sample; d) measuring the EC50 value of the biofilm activation of a Toll like receptor; ⁶⁰ e) quantification of the lipopolysaccharide. The oral sample may include saliva, oral lavage or gingival crevicular fluid.

oral lavage (Healthy vs. Gingivitis). and

FIG. 8A is a graph showing cell culture and virulence determination of LTA from different bacteria.

FIG. **8**B is a graph showing cell culture and virulence determination of LPS from different bacteria.

FIG. **8**C is a graph showing cell culture and virulence determination of LPS from different bacteria.

FIG. **8**D is a graph showing cell culture and virulence determination of LPS from different bacteria.

FIG. **9** is an EC50 graph showing determination of subgingival plaques that activate TLR2-SEAP signal transduction.

FIG. **10**A is a graph showing TLR2 Evaluation of Human Subgingival Plaque (Healthy vs. Gingivitis).

FIG. **10**B is a graph showing TLR2 Evaluation of Human oral lavage (Healthy vs. Gingivitis).

FIG. **11** is a graph showing cell culture and virulence determination of flagellins from different bacteria.

FIG. **12**A is a graph showing LPS Stimulated TLR Activity in Human Monocytes THP-1.

FIG. **12**B is a graph showing Evaluation of Human oral lavage (Healthy vs. Gingivitis) using the IRF-Luc reporter gene in THP-1 cells.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1A is a graph showing secretion of LPS during bacterial growth in culture.

FIG. **12**C is a graph showing Evaluation of Human Subgingival Plaque (Healthy vs. Gingivitis) in THP-1 cells using the NFkB reporter gene.

FIG. **13** is a graph showing detection of LTA of different bacteria in THP1 dual reporter cells.

FIG. 14 is a graph showing clinical measurements. FIG. 15 is graphs showing decreases in bacterial abun-

65

dance in the supragingival plaques during six weeks of regimen treatment.

US 10,267,797 B2

5

FIG. 16 is a graph showing citrulline concentrations in Buccal-brush samples over a six-week regimen treatment.

FIG. 16A is a figure showing the relationship between FIG. 16A-1, FIG. 16A-2, and FIG. 16A-3.

FIG. 16A-1 is graphs showing decreases in bacterial abundance in the supragingival plaques during six weeks of regimen treatment.

FIG. 16A-2 is graphs showing decreases in bacterial abundance in the supragingival plaques during six weeks of regimen treatment.

FIG. 16A-3 is graphs showing decreases in bacterial abundance in the supragingival plaques during six weeks of regimen treatment.

0

Gingivitis is defined per the FDA monograph (12 CFR) Part 356, Vol. 68, No. 103 (2003)) as "An inflammatory" lesion of the gingiva that is most frequently caused by dental plaque. Gingivitis is characterized by tissue swelling and redness, loss of stippling (a normal state in which the surface of healthy gingiva is comprised of small lobes), glossy surface, and increased tissue temperature. The gingiva also may bleed upon gentle provocation, such as tooth brushing or may bleed spontaneously. Gingivitis is usually not painful." Within the monograph, plaque is defined as being composed of multiple bacterial species. Those species exert a constant inflammatory pressure on the host tissues.

When the inflammation progresses to the state of gingivitis, there exists a need to quantify how severe the gingivitis 15 is and how effective treatments from oral hygiene products are in reducing the inflammatory response. The reduction in inflammatory response due to activation of membrane bound receptors across the gingival cells is termed detoxification; and measuring the level of detoxification, which is lacking in the art, is needed to educate consumers on the efficacy of their oral hygiene. Pathogenesis of gingivitis involves both bacteria and host responses. The present invention discloses methodologies measuring the virulence factors in the dental plaques in vitro, and also measuring effects of virulence factors on gingival tissues in vivo. These methodologies allow understanding of what virulence factor types are present in the dental plaques, and how the host responds. Importantly, the measuring of virulence factors provides a detailed assessment on the severity of gingivitis in terms of virulence factors of the microbes in dental plaques and the health status of the host. In addition, these methods help evaluate the effectiveness of a technology in preventing and treating gingivitis.

FIG. 17 is a graph showing protein bound ornithine in Buccal brush samples during treatment.

FIG. 18 is a diagram showing enzymes in the ornithine, citrulline and arginine cycle.

FIG. 19 is a graph showing expression of ornithine, citrulline and arginine genes during a 6-week treatment.

FIG. 20 is a graph showing citrulline concentrations increased in Buccal-brush samples in experimental gingivitis.

FIG. 21 is graphs showing protein bound citrulline in Buccal brush samples in experimentally induced gingivitis. 25

FIG. 22 is graphs showing concentrations of protein bound ornithine in Buccal-brush samples in experimentally induced gingivitis.

FIG. 23 is graphs showing concentrations of total ornithine in Buccal-brush samples in experimentally induced 30 gingivitis.

FIG. 24 is graphs showing concentrations of protein bound arginine in Buccal-brush samples in experimentally induced gingivitis.

FIG. 25 is a graph showing concentrations of total argi-³⁵ nine in Buccal-brush samples in experimentally induced gingivitis. FIG. 26 is a graph showing citrulline inhibited cytokine production stimulated by P. gingivalis LPS 1690 in THP-1 cells.

The present invention includes a methodology comprising one or more of the following steps: (1) using the endotoxin detection assay kit or BODIPY-TR cadaverine method to quantify total LPS and LTA present in a biological sample and to detect technologies that inhibit the endotoxin detec-40 tion assay; (2) using the Toll like receptor assays to determine potency of purified virulence and oral dental plaques, and to measure the efficacy of technologies that neutralize toxicity of virulence factors; (3) using meta-sequencing to identify and quantitate bacteria in supragingival plaques, (4) measuring ornithine and citrulline in gingival swab samples to determine the healthy status of gingival tissue, (5) measuring protein and mRNA levels to determine the levels of host responses, and determining citrulline activities in inhibiting LPS-induced production of proinflammatory cytok-50 ines. The above-mentioned methods and chemistry may be applied in a strip form to the outer surface of the tooth and gumline. The strip may contain color or fluorescence reagents to interact with the virulence factors present and thus allow for semi-quantitative determination of the virulence present.

FIG. 27 is graphs showing bacterial LTA reduces fluorescent intensity of BC in a dose dependent manner.

FIG. 28 is graphs showing LPS reduces the fluorescent intensity of BC in a dose-dependent manner.

FIG. 29 is graphs showing the supernatant of bacterial 45 culture reduces the fluorescent intensity of BC in a dosedependent manner.

FIG. **30** is graphs showing bacteria reduce the fluorescent intensity of BC in a dose-dependent manner.

FIG. 31 is graphs showing EC50 values.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

As disclosed herein, it was surprisingly discovered that 55 one or more methods could be used to detect and quantify the virulence in subgingival and supragingival plaques, thus distinguishing healthy gingivae from an inflamed site suffering from the symptoms of gingivitis. The state of health of the gingivae can be directly related to the level of 60 bacterial toxins present, for example, endotoxins, and thus a reduction of these toxins, as discussed herein, in the oral cavity, as determined by screening on non-gingival engineered cells, would improve overall oral health. Additionally, the way an individual responds to the virulence factors 65 can be quantified utilizing the individual's metabolic pathways, such as by quantifying products of the urea cycle.

This would allow for rapid assessment of the level of severity of gingivitis and/or periodontal disease or to ascertain the effectiveness of oral products. This execution of the described methods allows for consumers to ascertain the state of their dental health at home or allows for professionals, such as dentists, to rapidly measure the state of a patient's oral health. The methods described above can be used to determine the cellular impact of organic and inorganic molecules, as long as there is an interaction between the molecule and the targeted receptor. Further, the methods could be used to
7

ascertain if a molecule would cause an irritation or inflammatory response. The receptor in question would be used in a reporter system, as described herein, and the molecular impact of the molecule in question determined. The EC50 value of the molecule in question would be determined using 5 the receptor associated with the biological response, thus reducing or eliminating the need to do animal testing.

All percentages and ratios used hereinafter are by weight of total composition, unless otherwise indicated. All percentages, ratios, and levels of ingredients referred to herein 10 are based on the actual amount of the ingredient, and do not include solvents, fillers, or other materials with which the ingredient may be combined as a commercially available

8

Virulence factors are molecules produced by pathogenic microbes that contribute to the pathogenicity of the organism and enable them to invade and proliferate in the host, and evade host immune surveillance. Virulence factors include, but are not limited to the following: Gram positive and Gram negative cell wall components, such as lipopolysaccharide and lipoteichoic acids, bacterial DNA, flagellin, peptidoglycan, adhesins, invasins, and antiphagocytic factors, hemolysins, bacterial metabolites, fimbriae, outer membrane vesicles, bacterial proteins or bacterial enzymes. A reduction in virulence or "detoxification", based on reduced activation of Toll-like receptors, can be used to measure the effectiveness of various treatments.

product, unless otherwise indicated.

(i.e. room temperature) unless otherwise specified

As used herein, the word "include," and its variants, are intended to be non-limiting, such that recitation of items in a list is not to the exclusion of other like items that may also be useful in the materials, compositions, devices, and meth- 20 ods of this invention.

As used herein, the word "or" when used as a connector of two or more elements is meant to include the elements individually and in combination; for example X or Y, means X or Y or both.

By "personal care composition" is meant a product, which in the ordinary course of usage is applied to or contacted with a body surface to provide a beneficial effect. Body surface includes skin, for example dermal or mucosal; body surface also includes structures associated with the body 30 surface for example hair, teeth, or nails. Examples of personal care compositions include a product applied to a human body for improving appearance, cleansing, and odor control or general aesthetics. Non-limiting examples of personal care compositions include hair coloring composi- 35 tions, oral care compositions, after shave gels and creams, pre-shave preparations, shaving gels, creams, or foams, moisturizers and lotions, cough and cold compositions, leave-on skin lotions and creams, shampoos, conditioners, shower gels, bar soaps, toilet bars, antiperspirants, deodor- 40 ants, depilatories, lipsticks, foundations, mascara, sunless tanners and sunscreen lotions. By "oral care composition", as used herein, is meant a product, which in the ordinary course of usage, is not intentionally swallowed for purposes of systemic adminis- 45 tration of particular therapeutic agents, but is rather retained in the oral cavity for a time sufficient to contact dental surfaces or oral tissues. Examples of oral care compositions include dentifrice, mouth rinse, mousse, foam, mouth spray, lozenge, chewable tablet, chewing gum, tooth whitening 50 strips, floss and floss coatings, breath freshening dissolvable strips, or denture care or adhesive product. The oral care composition may also be incorporated onto strips or films for direct application or attachment to oral surfaces.

The term "detoxification" or "detoxify" or "detox" as All measurements referred to herein are made at 25° C. 15 used herein, refers to the neutralization, reduction, or removal of microbial virulence factors as measured by a reduction in the activation of a receptor known to be responsive with a virulence factor from an engineered cell, such as a reporter cell line. In certain embodiments a determination of virulence is assigned based on the activation of one or more of the Toll-like receptors.

> The present invention includes obtaining a sample of oral matter. The oral matter can include gum-line plaque, subgingival plaque, supragingival plaque, interstitial plaque, 25 gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), gingival biopsy, saliva, or tongue swab. The oral matter may be obtained by any method known in the art, for example, subgingival plaque sample may be collected physically by scraping or by using paper points. The plaque may be collected off of the tooth beneath gums from the sulcus, the developed periodontal pocket, or at the gumline. For example, each paper point can be placed in the pocket between the tooth and the gingiva for 10 seconds. After 10 seconds, a paper point can be removed and placed into a pre-labeled 1.5 ml tube with 700 µl phosphate-buffered saline. The sampling procedure can be repeated with three more paper points. After all four paper points are collected, the 1.5 ml tube will be closed, vortexed for 30 seconds and placed on dry ice until the samples are stored in a -80° C. freezer. Other methods of collection could include a mechanical device to help release the plaque from the tooth surface, such as a sonic descaler. The oral matter may be obtained both before and after treatment of an oral site from which the oral matter is obtained. An oral site from which the oral matter is obtained includes host tissues and bacterial matters. Further treatment of an oral site may be more than once and may include multiple different treatments, for example a regimen, such as brushing teeth followed by mouthrinse. In addition to obtaining oral matter before and after completed treatments, oral matter may be obtained between separate treatments, for example between the brushing of teeth followed by the use of mouth rinse. The sample of oral matter is combined with a Toll-like receptor in a reporter cell. Examples of Toll-like Receptors that can be used in the present invention include TLR 2, TLR 4, TLR 5, and TLR 9. Human TLR cDNA (TLR 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5) was first cloned in 1998 and their sequences were published (Rock F L, Hardiman G, Timans J C, Kastelein R A, Bazan J F. A family of human receptors structurally related to Drosophila Toll. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998 Jan. 20; 95:588-93). Rock et al. discovered that cytoplasmic domains of Drosophila Toll and human interleukin 1 receptors shared high sequence homology, and hypothesized that both molecules trigger related signaling pathways tied to the nuclear translocation of Rel-type transcription factors. They 65 cloned a class of putative human receptors with a protein architecture that is similar to Drosophila Toll in both intraand extracellular segments. They hypothesized that the five

The term "dentifrice", as used herein, includes tooth or 55 subgingival-paste, gel, or liquid formulations unless otherwise specified. The dentifrice composition may be a single phase composition or may be a combination of two or more separate dentifrice compositions. The dentifrice composition may be in any desired form, such as deep striped, surface 60 striped, multilayered, having a gel surrounding a paste, or any combination thereof. Each dentifrice composition in a dentifrice comprising two or more separate dentifrice compositions may be contained in a physically separated compartment of a dispenser and dispensed side-by-side. The term "teeth", as used herein, refers to natural teeth as well as artificial teeth or dental prosthesis.

9

human Toll-like receptors—named TLRs 1-5—are probably the direct homologs of the fly molecule and, as such, could constitute an important and unrecognized component of innate immunity in humans. Soon after the DNA sequences for TLR1 to 5 were published, other TLR DNA sequences were also revealed. For example, TLR6 cDNA sequence was reported in 1999 (Takeuchi O1, Kawai T, Sanjo H, Copeland N G, Gilbert D J, Jenkins N A, Takeda K, Akira S. A novel member of an expanding toll-like receptor family. Gene. 1999 Apr. 29; 231(1-2):59-65). cDNA sequences of human ¹⁰ TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9 were reported in 2000 (Chuang TH1, Ulevitch R J. Cloning and characterization of a subfamily of human toll-like receptors: hTLR7, hTLR8 and

10

factors in the dental plaques. In contrast, gingival cells express several types of functional TLRs, and can't be used to identify a single type of virulence factor in dental plaques. The output from gingival cells is the sum of various virulence factors in the dental plaques. HEK 293T cells can be used as reporter cells, as they are easy to maintain and have similar gene expression profiles to oral epithelial cells, making them a closer match to the gene expression of gingival cells, such that the results will mirror in vivo results. In contrast to naturally occurring gingival cells the reporter cells of the present invention are easy to maintain in the lab, and stable in phenotypes. Further, the reporter cells make detection of virulence factors simpler, are more reproducible, increase accuracy, provide higher throughput are 15 more specific and more quantifiable. Individual TLR receptor genes are stably transfected to HEK 293 cells as described by Invivogen (/PDF/ HEK_Blue_htlr4_TDS.pdf). HEK-Blue[™] hTLR4 Cells are designed for studying the stimulation of human TLR4 20 (hTLR4) by monitoring the activation of NF-kB. HEK-BlueTM hTLR4 Cells were obtained by co-transfection of the hTLR4 gene, the MD-2/CD14 co-receptor genes and a secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter gene into HEK293 cells. The SEAP reporter gene is placed under the control of an IL-12 p40 minimal promoter fused to five NF-kB and AP-1-binding sites (Supplement 1. HEK-BlueTM hTLR4 Cells SEAP Reporter 293 cells expressing the human TLR4 gene, Catalog # hkb-htlr4, Version #15C04-MM (/PDF/HEK_Blue_htlr4_TDS.pdf). As comsequences for SEQ ID NO: 1 to 9 herein is being filed 30 pared to measuring an immune response the reporter genes of the present invention allow rapid, specific and reproducible measurements of virulence factors. The level of receptor activation can be determined by any method known in the art for the type of reporter gene used. CFR § 1.52(e), the subject matter in the ASCII text file is 35 For example if an NFkB-SEAP reporter gene is used, one could measure the production of SEAP in the culture medium. The reporter cells can be treated with virulence factors, or dental plaque matters collected before or after treatments. Expression of the reporter gene will be stimulated and SEAP secreted into the medium when stimulated by virulence factors. The level of reporter gene product SEAP can be readily measured with commercial kits, and will be proportional to the amount of particular types of virulence factors. Similarly, if an NFkB-luciferase, NFkBbeta-lactamase, or other reporter genes are used, available kits can be used to measure the reporter gene products. The potency can then be determined based on parameters such as, EC50 and fold of stimulation. EC50 provides a measurement on the amount of virulence factors needed to mount an inflammatory response, and the fold of stimulation is indicative of the severity of inflammatory responses the virulence factors cause. The EC50 is used to determine potency; wherein "potency" as defined by the Merck Manual, refers to the concentration (EC50) of a chemistry required to produce 50% of the chemistry's maximal effect as depicted by a graded dose-response curve. EC50 equals Kd (Dissociation constant, which is a measure of 50% of the substance in question bound to the receptor) when there is a linear relationship between occupancy and response. Often, signal amplification occurs between receptor occupancy and response, which results in the EC50 for response being much less (ie, positioned to the left on the abscissa of the log dose-response curve) than Kd for receptor occupancy. Potency depends on both the affinity of a compound for its receptor, and the efficiency with which a compound-receptor interaction is coupled to response. The dose of a compound required to produce an effect is inversely related to potency.

SEQ ID NO	Sequence
1 2	Human Toll-Like Receptor 1 Amino Acid sequence Human Toll-Like Receptor 2 Amino Acid sequence
3	Human Toll-Like Receptor 3 Amino Acid sequence
4	Human Toll-Like Receptor 4 Amino Acid sequence
5	Human Toll-Like Receptor 5 Amino Acid sequence
6	Human Toll-Like Receptor 6 Amino Acid sequence
7	Human Toll-Like Receptor 7 Amino Acid sequence
8	Human Toll-Like Receptor 8 Amino Acid sequence
9	Human Toll-Like Receptor 9 Amino Acid Sequence

A sequence listing that sets forth the amino acid concurrently with the present application as an ASCII text file titled "13837M_AA_Sequence_Listing_ST25." The ASCII text file was created on 29 Mar. 2016 and is 68 Kbytes in size. In accordance with MPEP § 605.08 and 37 incorporated herein by reference. TLR proteins can form heterodimers or homodimers. There are 10 TLR genes identified in humans. Their gene products form homodimers or heterodimers in cell membranes. For example, TLR1 can form heterodimers with 40 TLR. Similarly, TLR6 also can assemble a heterodimer with TLR2. On other hand, TLR4 forms a homodimer. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are a class of proteins that play a key role in the innate immune system as well as the digestive system. They are membrane-spanning, non-catalytic receptors usu- 45 ally expressed in cells such as macrophages, dendrite cells, and gingival epithelial cells that recognize structurally conserved molecules derived from microbes. Once these microbes have breached physical barriers such as the skin or intestinal tract mucosa or oral epithelial cells they are 50 recognized by TLRs, which activate immune cell responses. Toll-like receptors were targeted because they are the primary sensors of virulence factors produced by the microbes in the dental plaques. TLR 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6 are expressed in the cell plasma membranes, providing easy access for the 55 virulence factors to be sensed by the host receptors. TLR 3, 7, 8, and 9 are located on the membranes of endosomes; and

as virulence factors are phagocytosed into the cells, they also gain access to TLR 3, 7, 8 and 9

A reporter cell refers to a eukaryotic cell, such as, but not 60 limited to, HEK 293T, human monocyte (THP1), Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cell, murine cells, or monkey kidney epithelial (Vero) cells engineered to express a predetermined number of TLR receptors, for example a single TLR receptor; which is in contrast to gingival cells that express 65 multiple functional TLR receptors. Thus, one type of engineered reporter cells respond to only one type of virulence

25

11

In general, low potency is important only if it results in a need to administer a compound in large doses that are impractical. Quantal dose-response curves provide information on the potency of a compound that is different from the information derived from graded dose-response curves. In a 5 quantal dose-response relationship, the EC50 is the dose at which 50% of individuals exhibit the specified quantal effect.

In the present invention, the activities of endotoxins or lipopolysaccharides can be measured using an endotoxin 10 detection assay, or LAL assay. The Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL) test has been used to detect LPS. LAL is derived from the blood cells, or amebocytes, of the horseshoe crab, Limulus polyphemus. At present, some main endotoxin detection agents are derived from recombinant 15 proteins. Thereinafter, endotoxin detection assay and LAL assay are used interchangeably.

12

d. measuring the toll-like receptor activation; e. quantifying the lipopolysaccharide.

- J. The method of paragraph I, wherein the Toll-like receptor activation is determined by measuring at least one of EC50 or fold of stimulation.
- K. The method according to paragraph I or J, wherein the Toll-like receptor is at least one of TLR1 to TLR9.
- L. The method according to any of paragraphs I to K, wherein the reporter cell expresses at least one of TLR2 or TLR4.
- M. The method according to any of paragraphs I to L, wherein the Toll-like receptor activates a reporter gene.
- N. The method according to paragraph M, wherein the reported gene is a secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter gene. O. The method according to any of paragraphs I to N, wherein the lipopolysaccharide is quantified using at least one of limulus amebocyte lysate assay or BODIPY TR cadaverine assay.
- A. A method of determining bacterial virulence in an oral cavity comprising:
 - a. providing a reporter cell expressing at least one toll-like 20 receptor;
 - b. providing a sample of oral matter;
 - c. combining the sample of oral matter and the reporter cell;
 - d. measuring the toll-like receptor activation.
- B. The method of paragraph A, wherein the toll-like receptor is at least one of TLR1 to TLR9.
- C. The method according to paragraph A or B, wherein the reporter cell expresses at least of one TLR2 or TLR4.
- D. The method according to any one of paragraphs A to C, 30 wherein the toll-like receptor activates a reporter gene, preferably wherein the reported gene is a secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter gene.
- E. The method according to any one of paragraphs A to D, wherein the sample of oral matter is at least one of 35

EXAMPLES

Example 1—Determination of Secreted Lipopolysaccharide by Bacteria

Growth of bacteria: A 1 ml aliquot of a 24 hour culture of E. coli ATCC 8739 was used to inoculate 100 ml of Luria-Bertani (LB) broth in a 250 ml baffled flask. This culture was then incubated at 37° C. with agitation (220) rpm) and sampled at 30 minute intervals. Samples were assessed for turbidity (OD_{600}) in a SpectraMax platereader M3 (Molecular Devices, Sunnydale, Calif.), which is one method of monitoring the growth and physiological state of microorganisms. The sample turbidity was then recorded and the samples were centrifuged at 5000 RPM for 10 min

gum-line plaque, subgingival plaque, supragingival plaque, interstitial plaque, gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), gingival biopsy, saliva, or tongue swab, preferably wherein the sample of oral matter comprises virulence factor that is at least one of Gram positive cell wall 40 components, Gram negative cell wall components, bacterial DNA, flagellin, peptidoglycan, bacterial metabolites, fimbriae; outer membrane vesicles bacterial proteins, or bacterial enzymes.

- F. The method of paragraph E, wherein the sample of oral 45 matter comprises virulence factor that is at least one of lipopolysaccharide or lipoteichoic acid.
- G. The method according to any of paragraphs A to F comprising the additional steps of:
 - individual who used an oral care composition prior to providing another sample of oral matter;
 - f. combining the another sample of oral matter and the reporter cell;
 - g. measuring the toll-like receptor response;
 - h. comparing the toll-like receptor response from the sample of oral matter and another sample of oral matter.

at room temperature. The supernatant, thereinafter referred to as "supernatant of bacterial culture", was subsequently analyzed for LPS content using the procedure as described below.

Twenty ml aliquots of MTGE broth (Anaerobe Systems, Morgan Hill, Calif.) were inoculated with *P. gingivalis* ATCC 33277, P. pallens ATCC 700821, or P. nigrescens ATCC 25261. These cultures were incubated overnight in a Whitely A45 Anaerobic Workstation (Don Whitley Scientific, Frederick, Md.) at 37° C. with an 85:10:5 N₂:CO₂:H₂ gas ratio. One ml aliquots of these starter cultures were then used to inoculate 99 ml of membrane-Tryptone Glucose Extract (m-TGE) broth in a 250 ml baffled flask. These cultures were then incubated under agitation (200 rpm) as e. providing another sample of oral matter from an 50 previously described and sampled at regular intervals. Samples were assessed for turbidity (OD_{600}) in a Tecan Infinite m200 Pro (Tecan Trading AG, Switzerland) and then centrifuged at $16,100 \times g$ for 10 min at room temperature. Supernatants were decanted and passed through a 0.22 μ M 55 filter prior to analysis for LPS content.

> In the experiment, only OD600 was measured. For the sake of consistency in following experiments, we converted OD600 readings into bacterial numbers, even though the relationship between OD600 readings and bacterial numbers 60 is varied for each bacterium. The number of bacteria was estimated based on spectrophotometer readings at OD_{600} $(OD_{600} \text{ of } 1.0=8 \times 10^8 \text{ cells/ml}).$ The Limulus Amebocyte Lysate Assay (LAL) is an assay

H. The method of paragraph G, where the sample of oral matter and the another sample of oral matter are from the same individual.

- I. A method for determining the virulence of lipopolysaccharide comprising:
 - a. providing a lipopolysaccharide;
 - b. providing a reporter cell expressing at least one Tolllike receptor;
 - c. combining the reporter cell with the lipopolysaccharide;

to determine the total amount of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in 65 the sample tested (Pierce LAL Chromogenic Endotoxin Quantitation Kit, ThermoFischer Scientific, Waltham, Mass.). The assay was performed following manufacturer's

13

instruction. Ninety-six-well microplates were first equilibrated in a heating block for 10 min at 37° C. Fifty µl each of standard or sample was dispensed into the microplate wells and incubated with plate covered for 5 min at 37° C. Then 50 µl LAL was added to each well. Plates were shaken 5 gently and incubated for 10 min at 37° C. 100 µl of chromogenic substrate was added and incubated for 6 min at 37° C. Finally, 50 μl Stop Reagent was added and the absorbance was measured at 405-410 nm on Spectramax M3 platereader (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, Calif.). 10

FIGS. 1A, 1C, and 1D show the ability of microbes to shed LPS as part of their normal growth cycle. This data shows the need to deliver chemistry to the subgingival plaque to effectively mitigate the LPS, since tooth brushing generally does not remove the subgingival plaque. 15 The LPS, as measured by the LAL kit reported in endotoxin unit per ml (EU/ml), was shed by the bacteria (E. coli K12) as depicted in FIG. 1A. The growth media began to be depleted of complex sugars around 120 minutes, as reflected in the bacterial growth curve in FIG. 1B, where the LPS 20 shedding started to decline. This data gave a reason to believe that a mature biofilm/plaque could supply a constant level of LPS to the host cells, if food sources were present. The LPS would then have the ability to induce an inflammatory response from the host cells. Importantly, LPS are secreted into the supernatant of bacterial culture (FIG. 1D). LPS also exists in bacterial walls (FIG. 1E). Again, this data further enforce the need to deliver chemistry to the subgingival plaque to effectively mitigate the LPS, since tooth brushing generally does not 30 remove the subgingival plaque.

14

for 10 min at 10,000 RPM at 4° C., supernatants were collected and analyzed with LAL assay kits following manufacturer's instruction as described in EXAMPLE 1.

TABLE 1

Protein concentrations and endotoxin

levels in the pooled dental plaque samples.

Endotoxin

Dental plaque

(endotoxin unit)

Healthy paperpoint 1 sub plaque

1284

Example 2—LAL Assay to Quantify Virulence Factors in Dental Plaques

Healthy paperpoint 2 sub plaque	476
Healthy paperpoint 3 sub plaque	361
Healthy Marginal Plaque	23180
Unhealthy paperpoint 1 sub plaque	3371
Unhealthy paperpoint 2 sub plaque	1732
Unhealthy paperpoint 3 sub plaque	16111
Unhealthy Marginal Plaque	80277

It was expected that the marginal plaques in unhealthy 25 sites had more endotoxins than those in the healthy sites (TABLE1) within the same subjects. Three samples were taken from subgingival pockets with three paper points sequentially, named paper point 1, 2 and 3. Again, the subgingival plaques taken by the paper point 1 had more endotoxins in the unhealthy sites than in the healthy sites (TABLE 1). The same is true for the samples taken by paper point 2 and 3. Importantly, dental plaques in the unhealthy subgingival pockets possessed more endotoxins than plaques from healthy pockets. This may explain why unhealthy gingiva are prone to bleeding upon probing.

Seven panelists, with at least three bleeding sites, took part in the testing. A licensed dental hygienist collected subgingival plaque samples. Samples were taken at the tooth/gum interface (buccal surfaces only) using care to avoid contact with the oral soft tissues. Six subgingival 40 plaque sites were sampled from each panelist (3 healthy and 3 unhealthy sites). Unhealthy teeth had bleeding sites with pockets greater than 3 mm and healthy sites had no bleeding with pocket depth less than 2 mm Prior to sampling, panelists were instructed to abstain for 12 hours from oral 45 hygiene and refrain from eating, chewing gum, drinking (except small sips of water). Next, panelists had their marginal plaque collected with a curette at the sampling sites. Then, from the same site, subgingival plaque samples were collected with 3 consecutive paper points as shown in 50 FIG. 1F. The sampling sites were isolated with cotton rolls and gently air-dried. Paper points (PROFLOW incorporated, Amityville, N.Y.) were gently placed for 10 seconds into the pocket until a minimum of resistance was felt. After 10 seconds, paper points were removed and placed into pre- 55 labeled 1.5 ml tubes. The same sampling procedure was repeated with 2 more paper points (paper points go into separate tubes). The first, second and third sample paper points from a healthy site of all panelists were pooled separately into three tubes, labeled as paper point 1, 2 and 3, 60 respectively. Similarly the unhealthy site samples were also pooled. TABLE 1 showed that unhealthy dental plaques contained more endotoxins than the healthy dental plaques. One m1 PBS was added to each pooled sample in the 1.5 ml tube. 65 Bacteria were lysed in a MolBio Fast Prep bead beater (MP) Biomedicals, Santa Ana, Calif.). Samples were centrifuged

Example 3—Technology Development Using the LAL Assay Kit

The LAL assay, as described in EXAMPLE 1, was modified for development of technology which inhibits LPS from activating a proenzyme in the LAL assay. The Thermo Scientific Pierce LAL Chromogenic Endotoxin Quantitation Kit is a quantitative endpoint assay for the detection of LPS, which catalyzes the activation of a proenzyme in the modified Limulus Amebocyte Lysate (LAL). The activated proenzyme then splits p-Nitroaniline (pNA) from the colorless substrate, Ac-Ile-Glu-Ala-Arg-pNA. The product pNA is photometrically measured at 405-410 nm. If SnF2 binds to LPS, the latter can't react with the proenzyme in the LAL kit. Consequently, the proenzyme is not activated, and the colorless substrate Ac-Ile-Glu-Ala-Arg-pNA will not split and no color product is produced. P. gingivalis LPS 1690 (1 ng/ml), or E. coli LPS (1 ng/ml), and stannous fluoride and other materials (50 and 500 µM), as listed in TABLE 2, were dissolved in endotoxin-free water. Then 50 µl LAL was added to each well. Plates were shaken gently and incubated for 10 min at 37° C. 100 µl of chromogenic substrate was added and incubated for 6 min at 37° C. Finally, 50 µl Stop Reagent was added and the absorbance was measured at 405-410 nm on Spectramax M3 plate reader (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, Calif.). As shown in TABLE 2, SnF2 and some other compounds inhibited LPS activities in LAL assays

US 10,267,797 B2												
	15						16					
	TABLE	2					TABLE 3-conti	nued				
Inhibition of LPS activities on LAL Assays						Displacement o	f LPS from binding to	BODIPY TR cadaverine				
Inhibition of LAL activity %						Concentrations	Dis	Displacement %				
		P. gingivalis LPS E. coli LPS 1690 1 ng/ml 1 ng/ml			5	mM	Stannous fluoride	Cetylpyridinium chloride				
Samples	500 uM	50 uM	500 uM	<u>g/ml</u> 50 uM	_	0.63 1.25	12.69 49.44	68.05 91.12				
Tin (II) fluoride stannous chloride Cetylpyridinium chloride	60 48 103	49 21 77	92 89 103	87 65 46	10	Example 5—Cell Culture and Virulence						
manahadaata								saccharide from				

15

zinc citrate, dihydrate	104	57	104	82	
zinc lactate	58	0	66	0	
potassium oxalate	8	0	1	6	
Triclosan (irgasan)	0	0	10	0	
1-Hydroxypyridine-2-thone	0	0	2	6	
zinc salt					
sodium fluoride	0	0	4	5	
Carboxymethyl cellulose	0	0	2	0	
sodium					

104

101

0.0

a standar ditterational

Example 4—Binding of BODIPY-TR-Cadaverine to LPS and Displacement of LPS Binding by Stannous Fluoride

In addition to LAL quantification of LPS, the BODIPY method can be utilized to assess the level of LPS. Detoxifying technologies are able to target and neutralize bacterial $_{30}$ virulence factors, such as LPS and LTA. To develop such LPS and LTA sequestration technologies, a high throughput screening was employed to identify molecules that disrupt the activation of a Toll-like receptor by LPS and LTA, and other virulence factors. The high throughput screen utilizes 35 the fluorescent dye BODIPY-TR-cadaverine 5-(((4-(4,4-difluoro-5-(2-thienyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene-3-yl) phenoxy)acetyl)amino)pentylamine hydrochloride (BC) fluorescent dye), purchased from Life Technologies (Carls-David (2004) (Comb Chem High Throughput Screen 2004) May; 7(3):239-49. Anti-endotoxin agents. 1. Development of a fluorescent probe displacement method optimized for the rapid identification of lipopolysaccharide-binding agents.). The experiment was carried out at 22° C. E. coli LPS (15 μ g/ml) was mixed in a well of a 96-well solid black flat bottom plate (Corning Incorporated, Corning, N.Y.) with SNF2 and cetylpyridinium chloride in 30 µl of 50 mM Tris buffer at pH 7.4 for 10 mM, and then 20 µl of 60 µM BC fluorescent dye was added right before fluorescence measurement at 22° C., or room temperature. Fluorescence 50 measurements were performed in a SpectraMax M3 automated 96 well plate reader (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, Calif.). The excitation wavelength was 580 nM and the fluorescence emission was measured at 620 nM. As shown in TABLE 3, leading anti-gingivitis technolo- 55 gies, such as stannous fluoride, displaced LPS from BODIPY TR cadaverine.

Different Bacteria

Reporter gene cell lines, human HEK 293T cells, were purchased from Invivogen of San Diego, Calif. The HEK 293T cells were stably transfected with at least two exogenous genes, a TLR4 structural gene, and a SEAP reporter 20 gene, which is under the control of NFkB transcriptional factors. The cell line is named here as TLR4-SEAP. The reporter gene encodes a secreted enzyme, called embryonic alkaline phosphatase or SEAP. The SEAP reporter is placed under the control of the interferon- β minimal promoter fused to five NFkB and AP-1-binding sites. Furthermore, the TLR4-SEAP cell line also contains a CD14 co-receptor gene, which is needed to transfer LPS to TLR4 receptors. The recombinant TLR binds its ligand, or distinct pathogenassociated molecule, initiates a chain of responses, leading to recruitment of NFkB and AP1 transcription factors to the reporter gene promoter, which induce expression of SEAP. Cell culture and treatment: 500,000 gene reporter cells were grown and maintained in 15 ml growth medium, comprised of DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum in T75 flasks for three days at 37° C., 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity. For treatment, wells of a 96-well plate were seeded with 10,000 cells/well in 100 µL of growth medium. The cells were incubated for 72 hours at 37° C., 5% bad, Calif.), as described previously by Wood, Miller and 40 CO₂, and 95% humidity until day 4. On day 4, medium was changed to assay medium (90 μ l), which is the DMEM medium without fetal calf serum. LPS, bacteria and the culture medium of bacterial growth, as described in EXAMPLE 1, were first resolved or mixed with the assay medium. 10 µl of the bacteria, LPS and culture medium of bacterial growth were added to the TLR4-SEAP cells. Samples were taken 24 hours later, following addition of LPS, bacteria, and culture medium. Expression of the reporter gene (SEAP) was quantified with a commercially available kit (SEAP Reporter Gene Assay of Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, Mich.). EC50 was calculated using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, Calif.). Samples with lower EC50 are more potent in activating the TLR4 reporter gene than those with higher EC50. As shown in FIG. 2A, LPS from *E. coli* has lower EC50 than *P. gingivalis*, thus, was far more potent than P. gingivalis (Pg). Salmonella Minnesota LPS is not as potent as that of *E. coli*, but is far more potent than those of *P. gingivalis* LPS 1690 and 1435. Each species 60 of bacteria produces multiple forms of LPS. Each form of LPS from the same species of bacteria has different potency in stimulating TLR4-downstream signaling pathways. For example, Pg 1690 LPS is more potent than Pg1435/50. LPS is a component in bacterial cell walls. Likely, E. coli cell 65 wall is more virulent in inducing production of proinflammatory cytokines in host cells than *P. gingivalis* when they are in direct contact with host blood cells. P. gingivalis had

TABLE 3

Displacement of LPS from binding to BODIPY TR cadaverine										
Concentrations	Dis	splacement %								
mM	Stannous fluoride	Cetylpyridinium chloride								
0.16 0.31	2.45 2.79	9.98 31.11								

5

17

far higher EC50 than *P. pallens* and *P. nigrescens* as shown in FIG. **2**B in stimulating TLR4 reporter gene expression, suggesting that *P. pallens* and *P. nigrescens* are more likely to promote production of proinflammatory cytokines in host cells than *P. gingivalis*.

Bacteria release LPS into the supernatant of bacterial culture. As shown in FIG. 2C, the supernatant of *P. pallens* has an EC50 that is similar to that of *P. nigrescens*, but far lower than that of *P. gingivalis*, in stimulating expression of TLR4 reporter gene. Again, those results imply that the ¹⁰ products of *P. pallens* and *P. nigrescens* are more likely to promote production of proinflammatory cytokines in host cells than those of *P. gingivalis*.

18

Agree to delay any elective dentistry (including dental prophylaxis) until the study has been completed;

- Agree to refrain from any form of non-specified oral hygiene during the treatment periods, including but not limited to the use of products such as floss or whitening products;
- Agree to return for all scheduled visits and follow study procedures;

Must have at least 16 natural teeth;

Be in good general health, as determined by the Investigator/designee based on a review of the health history/ update for participation in the study.

For Unhealthy Group (high bleeder group):

Example 6—Development of Technology for Inhibition of LPS Effects on Stimulating Expression of NFkB-Regulated Reporter Genes

Stannous fluoride is a leading anti-gingivitis technology in P&G toothpaste products. Tests were conducted to under-²⁰ stand whether stannous fluoride could reduce LPS's ability to trigger proinflammatory responses in host cells. TLR4-SEAP reporter cells were prepared using the same conditions as described in EXAMPLE 5 in the presence or absence of LPS. Production of SEAP was quantified also as ²⁵ described in EXAMPLE 5.

FIG. **3** shows the effect of stannous at various concentrations from 62.5 uM to 1,000 uM on 100 ng/ml *E. coli* LPS, as reported by activation of TLR-4. At stannous concentrations of 500 uM or higher, the level of *E. coli* induction of ³⁰ TLR-4 was decreased.

FIG. 4 shows the effects of stannous at various concentrations from 62.5 uM to 1,000 uM on *P. gingivalis* LPS, as reported by activation of TLR-2. At stannous concentrations of 1000 uM, the level of *P. gingivalis* induction of TLR-2 ³⁵ was decreased.

Have at least 20 bleeding sites (sites with a score of 1 or

- 2 on the GBI index); Have minimum 3 sampling siteswith bleeding and pocket depth >3 mm but not deeperthan 4 mm;
 - Have minimum 3 sampling sites without bleeding and with pocket depth <2 mm

For Healthy Group (low bleeder group): Have maximum 3 bleeding sites (sites with a score of 1 or 2 on the GBI index);

No pockets deeper than 2 mm. Twenty (20) panelists were qualified as healthy—with up to 3 bleeding sites and with all pockets less than or equal to 2 mm deep and twenty (20) panelists were qualified as unhealthy with greater than 20 bleeding sites with at least 3 pockets greater than or equal to 3 mm but not deeper than 4 mm with bleeding, and at least 3 pockets less than or equal to 2 mm deep with no bleeding for sampling. All panelists had up to 6 sites identified as "sampling sites." The "sampling sites" had supragingival and subgingival plaque collected at Baseline, Week 2 and Week 4. Subgingival plaque samples were taken from a gingival sulcus from the pre-identified sites. Prior to sample collection, the site had supragingival plaque removed with a curette. The site was dried and subgingival plaque samples were collected with another dental curette (e.g., Gracey $\frac{13}{14}$, $\frac{15}{16}$, $\frac{11}{12}$, $\frac{7}{8}$, $\frac{1}{2}$.) Each Gracey curette is designed to adapt to a specific area or tooth surface. For example, Gracey ¹³/₁₄ is designed to adapt to the distal surfaces of posterior teeth. Samples from each site were placed in a prelabeled 2.0 ml sterile tube containing 300 µl of DPBS buffer with about 50 of sterile 1 mm glass beads. 45 Samples were stored at 4° C. The subgingival samples were stored at -80° C. until analyzed. The samples were thawed at room temperature and dispersed in a TissueLyser II (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif., USA) at 30 shakes per second for 3 min. Protein concentrations of the dispersed subgingival samples were measured using a Pierce microBCA Protein kit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Grand Island, N.Y., USA) following the manufacturer's instruction. Oral lavage samples were collected at wake up (one per panelist) by rinsing with 4 ml of water for 30 seconds and then expectorating the contents of the mouth into a centrifuge tube. These samples were frozen at home until they were brought into the site in a cold pack. Each panelist collected up to 15 samples throughout the study. Saliva samples were frozen at -70° C. from submission. All panelists were given investigational products: Crest® Pro-Health Clinical Gum Protection Toothpaste (0.454%) stannous fluoride) and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual 65 Toothbrush. Panelists continued their regular oral hygiene routine, and did not use any new products starting from the baseline to the end of four week treatment study. During the

The data in FIG. **5** shows reduction of LPS activity by the stannous ion, from a stannous fluoride salt. The data showed that stannous fluoride, at 1.6 mM and 3.2 mM, reduce about 50% of *P. gingivalis* LPS (500 ng/ml) activation on the 40 TLR4 reporter system (One asterisk means P<0.05, two asterisks mean P<0.01).

Example 7—Cell Culture and Virulence Determination of EC50 in Activation of TLR4-SEAP Signal Transduction by Clinical Samples

The method described in EXAMPLE 5 is effective at determining the potency of LPS from different bacteria. The 50 same method was used to determine the EC50 of clinical samples, as described in EXAMPLE 2. As shown in FIG. **6**, dental plaques from unhealthy sites had a smaller EC50 than those from healthy sites, suggesting the dental plaques from unhealthy sites contain more virulence factors. 55

The same method described in EXAMPLE 5 was used to examine the clinical samples in another study. A clinical study was conducted to evaluate sample collection methods and measurement procedures. It was a controlled, examinerblind study. Forty panelists met the inclusion criteria, 60 wherein in order to be included in the study, each panelist must:

Provide written informed consent to participate in the study;

Be 18 years of age or older; Agree not to participate in any other oral/dental product studies during the course of this study;

19

four week treatment period, panelists brushed their teeth twice daily, morning and evening, in their customary manner using the assigned dentifrice and soft manual toothbrush.

The subgingival plaques from the above clinical study were applied to the TLR4 reporter cells in a procedure as 5 described in EXAMPLE 5. FIG. 7A shows the results of a four-week study of 40 panelists going from baseline out over four weeks of treatment with Crest ProHealth Clinical toothpaste. The subgingival plaque samples in bleeding sites on the high bleeders group stimulated high expression of 10 TLR4 reporter gene. More virulence in a sample elicits higher RLU (relative luminescent units) readings in the TLR4 reporter gene assay. As shown in FIG. 7A, the baseline samples of the high bleeders group had higher RLU than those of the low bleeders on both the bleeding and 15 non-bleeding sites. After treatment with Crest® Pro-Health Clinical Gum Protection Toothpaste (0.454% stannous fluoride) and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual Toothbrush for four weeks, the virulence was reduced at week 4 in both high and lower bleeders groups at both bleeding and non-bleed-²⁰ ing sites. The oral lavage samples were applied to the TLR4 reporter cells in a procedure as described in EXAMPLE 5. As shown in FIG. 7B, oral lavage (Healthy vs. Gingivitis) samples were evaluated in the TLR4-SEAP reporter assay. 25 The baseline samples of the high bleeders group had higher RLU than those of the low bleeders. After treatment with Crest[®] Pro-Health Clinical Gum Protection Toothpaste (0.454% stannous fluoride) and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual Toothbrush for four weeks, the virulence was ³⁰ reduced at week 4 in the high bleeder group.

20

reporter gene (SEAP) was quantified with a commercially available kit (SEAP Reporter Gene Assay of Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, Mich.).

As shown in FIGS. 8A, 8B, 8C and 8D, LTA, LPS, bacteria and the supernatant of bacterial culture could bind to TLR2 and activate TLR2 downstream signaling pathways in a dose-dependent manner. As shown in FIG. 8A, B. subtilis (BS) LTA is more potent than that of *Enterococccus hirae*. As shown in FIG. 8B, *P. gingivalis* LPS also activated expression of the TLR2 reporter gene. For example, Pg1690, as shown in FIG. 8B, activated TLR2-SEAP signal transduction, and stimulated SEAP production. But as shown in FIG. 8B, E. coli LPS did not activate the TLR2-SEAP reporter cells. It should also be noted that P. pallens, P. *nigrescens* and *P. gingivalis* have similar EC50 in stimulating expression of TLR2 reporter gene (FIG. 8C). However, the released TLR2 ligands from the three different bacteria have very different EC50 on activation of TLR2 reporter gene (FIG. 8D).

Example 8—Cell Culture and Virulence Determination of Lipoteichoic Acids from Different Bacteria

Example 9—Cell Culture and Virulence Determination of EC50 in Activation of TLR2-SEAP Signal Transduction by Clinical Samples

The method described in EXAMPLE 8 is effective in determining the EC50 of LTA and other TLR2 ligands from different bacteria. The same method was used to determine the EC50 of clinical samples, as described in EXAMPLE 2. As shown in FIG. 9, dental plaques from unhealthy (bleeding) sites had smaller EC50 than those from healthy (nonbleeding) sites, suggesting the dental plaques from unhealthy sites contain more virulence factors.

Clinical samples as described for FIG. 7A of EXAMPLE ³⁵ 7 were examined using the TLR2-SEAP reporter gene assay. The results are shown in FIG. 10A. The subgingival samples in unhealthy (bleeding) sites from the unhealthy group (high bleeders) had more virulence factors than other sites. The baseline samples of the high bleeders group had higher RLU than those of the low bleeders on both the bleeding and non-bleeding sites. After treatment with Crest® Pro-Health Clinical Gum Protection Toothpaste (0.454% stannous fluoride) and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual Toothbrush for four weeks, the virulence was reduced at week 4 in both high and low bleeders groups at both bleeding sites. The clinical samples as described for FIG. 7B of EXAMPLE 7 were examined using the TLR2-SEAP reporter gene assay. As shown in FIG. 10B, oral lavage (Healthy vs. Gingivitis) was evaluated. After treatment with Crest[®] Pro-Health Clinical Gum Protection Toothpaste (0.454% stannous fluoride) and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual Toothbrush for four weeks, the virulence was reduced at week 4 in the high bleeder group.

The reporter gene cell lines, human HEK 293T cells, were purchased from Invivogen of San Diego, Calif. The HEK 293T cells were stably transfected with at least two exogenous genes, a TLR2 structural gene, and SEAP reporter 40 gene which is under the control of NFkB transcriptional factors. The cell line is named here as TLR2-SEAP. The reporter gene encodes a secreted enzyme, called embryonic alkaline phosphatase or SEAP. The SEAP reporter is placed under the control of the interferon- β minimal promoter fused 45 to five NFkB and AP-1-binding sites. Furthermore, a CD14 co-receptor gene was transfected into the reporter gene cells expressing TLR2, as CD14 has been identified as a coreceptor for TLR2 ligands to enhance the TLR response. The CD14 co-receptor is needed to transfer LTA to TLR2 recep- 50 tors. The recombinant TLR2 binds its ligand, or distinct pathogen-associated molecule, initiates a chain of responses, leading to recruitment of NFkB and AP1 transcription factors to the reporter gene promoter, which induce expression of SEAP. 55

Cell culture and treatment: 500,000 gene reporter cells were grown and maintained in 15 ml growth medium, comprising DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum in T75 flasks for three days at 37° C., 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity. For treatment with LTA, wells of a 60 96-well plate were seeded with 10,000 cells/well in 100 μ L of growth medium. The cells were incubated for 72 hours at 37° C., 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity until day 4. On day 4, medium (100 μ L) was changed to DMEM medium without fetal calf serum. LTA, LPS and bacterial cells, as described 65 in EXAMPLE 7, were added. Samples were taken 24 hours later, following addition of samples. Expression of the Example 10—Cell Culture and Virulence Determination of Flagellins from Different Bacteria

The reporter gene cell lines, human HEK 293T cells, were purchased from Invivogen of San Diego Calif. The HEK 293T cells were stably transfected with two exogenous genes, a TLR5 structural gene, and SEAP reporter gene which is under the control of NFkB transcriptional factors. The cell line was named as TLR5-NFkB-SEAP. The reporter gene encodes a secreted enzyme, called embryonic alkaline phosphatase or SEAP. The SEAP reporter is placed under the control of the interferon- β minimal promoter fused to five NFkB and AP-1-binding sites. The recombinant TLR5 binds

21

to its ligand, or distinct pathogen-associated molecule, and initiates a chain of responses leading to recruitment of NFkB and AP1 transcription factors to the reporter gene promoter, which induce expression of SEAP.

Cell culture and treatment: 500,000 gene reporter cells 5 were grown and maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum in T75 flasks for three days at 37° C., 5% CO₂, and 95% humidity. For treatment with flagellin, wells of a 96-well plate were seeded with 10,000 cells/well in 100 μ L of growth medium. The cells 10 were incubated for 72 hours at 37° C., 5% CO₂, 95% humidity until day 4 after cells were seeded onto wells of a 96-well plate. On day 4, medium (100 μ L) was changed to DMEM medium without fetal calf serum. S. subtilis and S. *aureus* flagellin were added at a range of concentration from 15 0.97 ng to 1 μ g/ml. Samples were taken at 6 and 24 hours later after adding flagellin. Expression of reporter gene (SEAP) was quantified with a commercially available kit (SEAP Reporter Gene Assay of Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, Mich.). FIG. 11 shows both flagellins (B. subtilis and P. aerugi*nosa*) could activate TLR5 downstream signaling pathways. Flagellin from *B. subtilis* stimulated TLR5-SEAP signaling transduction in a dose-dependent manner. The EC50 of flagellin on TLR5 was 0.02 ng/ml at 5 hours and 0.014 ng/ml ²⁵ at 23 hours. The EC50 of flagellin from Pseudomonas *aeruginosa* on TLR5 was 0.0049 at 5 hours and 0.0019 at 23 hours. Flagellin from P. aeruginosa was more potent on stimulating TLR5 signaling pathways.

22

components on reporter gene expression, wells in 96-well plates were seeded at 100,000 cells in 90 μ l of growth medium. 10 μ l of bacterial wall and membrane components, or heat-killed whole bacteria, were added to each well. After incubation for 18 hours at 37° C. and 5% CO₂, secreted luciferase and SEAP were quantified with commercially available assay kits (QUANTI-Luc of Invivogen, San Diego, Calif. for luciferase; SEAP Reporter Gene Assay of Cayman Chemical Co., Ann Arbor, Mich. for SEAP).

DHP1-dual reporter cells were treated with three different preparations of LPS as shown in FIG. 12A. All three LPS (ng/ml) activated production of NFkB-SEAP reporter genes in a dose-dependent manner. In addition, Pg 1690 LPS and *E. coli* LPS also stimulated expression of the IRF-luciferase reporter gene. TLR4 ligands, upon binding to TLR4 receptors, activate at least two signaling pathways. One is a common pathway NFkB-SEAP, which can be activated by all TLR ligands upon binding to their specific receptors. For example, TLR2 ligand, LTA, can bind to TLR2 receptors and 20 activate the NFkB-SEAP signaling pathway. Similarly, TLR4 ligand, LPS, upon binding to TLR4 receptors, also is able to activate the NFkB-SEAP signaling transduction. As shown in FIG. 12A, E. coli LPS is a more potent ligand than *P. gingivalis* 1690 LPS on activation of both NFkB-SEAP and IRF-luciferase signaling transduction. THP-1 cells produce several functional TLR receptors. And all TLR receptors can activate the NFkB pathway, thus promoting expression of the NFkB-SEAP reporter gene. The reading of NFkB-SEAP is the collective actions of all TLR receptors, ³⁰ such as TLR2, TLR1, TLR6 and TLR4. All LPS from different bacteria stimulated NFkB-SEAP reporter gene. IRF-luciferase reporter gene, on the other hand, is driven by a limited number of TLR receptors, primarily TLR3, TLR4, TLR7, TLR8 and TLR9. Both *P. gingivalis* LPS 1690 and *E. coli* LPS stimulated expression of IRF-luciferase in a dose-

Example 11—Cell Culture and Virulence Determination of LPS from Different Bacteria in THP1 Dual Reporter Cells

Bacterial cell wall and membrane components are recog- 35

nized by TLR2. TLR2 recognizes the microbial motifs PGN (peptidoglycan)/lipoproteins/dectin and LPS. TLR1 and TLR6 form heterodimers with TLR2 and bind to triacylated lipoproteins and diacylated lipoproteins, respectively. THP1 NFkB-SEAP and IRF-LuciaTM Reporter Monocytes were 40 purchased from Invivogen, San Diego, Calif. THP1-Dual cells were derived from the human THP-1 monocyte cell line by stable integration of two inducible reporter constructs. THP1-Dual cells feature the Lucia gene under the control of an ISG54 (interferon-stimulated gene) minimal 45 promoter in conjunction with five interferon-stimulated response elements. THP1-Dual cells also express a SEAP reporter gene driven by an IFN-b minimal promoter fused to five copies of the NF-kB consensus transcriptional response element and three copies of the c-Rel binding site. As a 50 result, THP1-Dual cells allow the simultaneous study of the NFkB pathway, by monitoring the activity of SEAP, and the interferon regulatory factor (IRF) pathway, by assessing the activity of Lucia (IRF-Luc). Both reporter proteins are readily measurable in the cell culture supernatant. This 55 THP-1 cell line possesses functional TLR1, TLR2, TLR4, TLR5, TLR6 and TLR8, purchased from Invivogen. TLR4 senses LPS from Gram-negative bacteria while TLR5 recognizes bacterial flagellin from both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria, TLR8 detects long single-stranded 60 RNA. Culture and treatment: The THP1-dual cells were cultured in 15 ml growth medium (RPMI 1640 with 10% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum) in a T75 flask at 37° C. and 5% CO₂. Cells were passed every 3 to 4 days by inoculating 65 300,000-500,000 cells/ml into a fresh T75 flask with 15 ml of fresh growth medium. To determine the effect of bacterial

dependent fashion.

The THP-1 reporter cells were used to examine the clinical samples as described for FIG. 7B of EXAMPLE 7. As shown in FIG. 12B, oral lavage (Healthy vs. Gingivitis) was evaluated using the IRF-Luc reporter gene in THP-1 cells. After treatment with Crest® Pro-Health Clinical Gum Protection Toothpaste (0.454% stannous fluoride) and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual Toothbrush for four weeks, the virulence was reduced at week 4 in both high and lower bleeders groups.

The THP-1 reporter cells were used to examine the clinical samples as described for FIG. 7A of EXAMPLE 7. As shown in FIG. 12C, the subgingival Plaque (Healthy vs. Gingivitis) was examined using the NFkB reporter gene in THP-1 cells. The baseline samples of the high bleeders group had higher RLU than those of the low bleeders. After treatment with Crest® Pro-Health Clinical Gum Protection Toothpaste (0.454% stannous fluoride) and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual Toothbrush for four weeks, the virulence was reduced at week 4 in the bleeding sites in both high and lower bleeders groups.

Example 12—Cell Culture and Virulence Determination of LTA from Different Bacteria and Biofilms in THP1 Dual Reporter Cells

THP1 dual reporter cells also express TLR2, TLR1 and TLR6 receptors. Bacterial cell wall and some membrane components are recognized by TLR2, TLR1 and TLR6.
TLR2 recognizes the microbial motifs PGN (peptidogly-can)/lipoproteins/dectin and LPS. To determine whether LTA from different bacteria have different effects on stimu-

23

lating NFkB-SEAP reporter gene expression in the THP1 dual reporter cells, the cells were prepared and treated in the same procedures as described in EXAMPLE 11. As shown in FIG. 13, LTA from both B. subtilis and S. aureus had similar potency in promoting reporter gene expression in the 5 THP1 dual reporter cells.

Example 13—Distinguishing Bacterial Components

24 TABLE 4

Screening of Human Gingival Epithelial Cells for Inflammatory Markers treated with P. gingivalis DNA Production of cytokines (pg/ml) in primary human gingival epithelial cells treated with P. gingivalis genomic DNA

Example 13—Distinguishing Bacterial Components									
from Different Bacteria in Human Primary Gingival			Р.				<i>P</i> .		
Epithelial Cells	10		gingivalis				gingivalis		
•			genomic	24	48		genomic	24	48
Primary human gingival epithelial cells were purchased			DNA	hours	hours		DNA	hours	hours
from Zen-bio (Research Triangle Park, N.C.), and main-		Interferon	0 ug	0	0.25	TT _1	0 ug	0	0.5
tained in 15 ml of growth medium (CellnTec medium		gamma	0.3 ug	0		beta	0.3 ug	0	0.33
supplemented with CellnTec Growth Supplement, pur-		gamma	1 ug	0	0.55	oota	1 ug	0	0.55
chased from CellnTec Advanced Cell Systems AG, Bern,			2 ug	0.33	0.67		2 ug	0	0
Switzerland) in T75 flasks at 37° C. under a 5% CO2		IL-10	PG DNA	0.5	1	IL-	PG DNA	0 0	0.25
atmosphere. As the experiment was done at 24 h, 48 h and			0 ug		-	12p70	0 ug	Ū	
72 h time point and with assay media, CellnTec medium			PG DNA	0.67	0.33		PG DNA	0.67	0
alone or with supplements, six 96 well plates were seeded	20		0.3 ug				0.3 ug		
with 7,500 cells/well in 100 μ l of CELLnTEC growth			PG DNA	0	0		PG DNA	0	0.67
medium at 37° C. under a 5% CO2 atmosphere. The growth			1 ug				1 ug		
medium was changed to assay medium right before adding			PG DNA	0.33	0.33		PG DNA	0	0.33
LPS or bacterial DNA. For example, if the assay medium			2 ug				2 ug		
was CellnTec medium without supplements, 100 µl of	25	IL-2	PG DNA	0.5	1	TNF-	PG DNA	1	1.5
CellnTec medium was added without supplements in each			0 ug			alpha	0 ug		
well. If the assay medium was the growth medium, 100 μ l			PG DNA	0	0.67		PG DNA	1.67	1.33
of growth medium was added to each well. The P. gingivalis			0.3 ug				0.3 ug		
LPS and bacterial DNA were added to the cells. At 24 h, 48			PG DNA	0.33	1		PG DNA	0.67	1
h and 72 h, medium was collected for analysis. Cytokines			1 ug				1 ug		
were measured using Elisa kits from Meso Scale Discovery			PG DNA	0.33	0.67		PG DNA	1.33	1.33
(Rockville, Md.), as per the manufacturer's instructions.			2 ug				2 ug		
As shown in TABLE 4, human primary gingival epithelial									

Human primary gingival epithelial cells were treated with *P. gingivalis* LPS at 0, 0.3, 1 and 2 μ g/ml in the procedures described above. As shown in TABLE 5, again, expression of interferon- γ , IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-10, IL-12p70 and TNF- α was low, or almost undetectable. They were not viable biomarkers in distinguishing bacterial LPS in primary human gingival epithelial cells. The results in TABLE 5 further illustrate how human gingival cells were not sufficiently sensitive to bacterial virulence factors for an assay, and thus the need to utilize a cell line capable of demonstrating a dose dependent response to virulence factors.

treatment and six proinflammatory cytokines (interferon-γ, IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-10, IL-12p70 and TNF- α) were analyzed using ELISA kits from Meso Scale Discovery. As shown in TABLE 4, expression of interferon-γ, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-10, IL-12p70 and TNF- α was low, or almost undetectable (each 40 value is the mean of three replicate in one experiment). They were not viable biomarkers in distinguishing bacterial DNA. The results in TABLE 4 illustrate the lack of inflammatory response from human gingival epithelial cells, thus demonstrating the need to use an engineered cell.

cells were treated with P. gingivalis DNA at 0, 0.3, 1 and 2

 μ g/ml. The cultures were harvested at 24 and 48 hours after 35

TABLE 5

Screening of Human Gingival Epithelial Cells for Inflammatory Markers treated with <i>P. gingivalis</i> LPS Production of cytokines (pg/ml) in primary human gingival epithelial cells treated with <i>P. gingivalis</i> LPS											
		24 h	48 h			24 h	48 h				
Interferon	PG lPS 0 ug	0.00	0.25	IL-1	PG lPS 0 ug	0.00	0.50				
gamma	PG LPS 0.3 ug	0.00	0.00	beta	PG LPS 0.3 ug	0.00	0.67				
	PG LPS 1 ug	0.33	0.33		PG LPS 1 ug	0.00	0.00				
	PG LPS 2 ug	0.00	0.00		PG LPS 2 ug	0.00	1.00				
IL-10	PG lPS 0 ug	0.50	1.00	IL-	PG lPS 0 ug	0.00	0.25				
	PG LPS 0.3 ug	0.67	0.67	12p70	PG LPS 0.3 ug	0.00	0.00				
	PG LPS 1 ug	0.00	0.67		PG LPS 1 ug	0.00	0.33				
	PG LPS 2 ug	0.33	0.67		PG LPS 2 ug	0.67	0.00				
IL-2	PG lPS 0 ug	0.50	1.00	TNF-	PG lPS 0 ug	1.00	1.50				
	PG LPS 0.3 ug	0.33	0.67	alpha	PG LPS 0.3 ug	1.33	0.67				
	PG LPS 1 ug	0.33	1.00		PG LPS 1 ug	2.00	1.33				
	PG LPS 2 ug	1.33	1.00		PG LPS 2 ug	0.67	1.33				

25

Example 14—Gingivitis-Enriched Bacteria Reduced in Abundance in Six Weeks of Gingivitis Treatment

A randomized, two-group clinical study was conducted with 69 panelists (35 in the negative control group and 34 in 5 the test regimen group). Panelists were 39 years old on average, ranging from 20 to 69, and 46% of the panelists were female. Treatment groups were well balanced, since there were no statistically significant ($p \ge 0.395$) differences for demographic characteristics (age, ethnicity, gender) or starting measurements for Gingival Bleeding Index (GBI); mean=29.957 with at least 20 bleeding sites, and Modified Gingival Index (MGI); mean=2.086. All sixty-nine panelists attended each visit and completed the treatment process. The following treatment groups were compared over a 6-week¹⁵ period: Test regimen: Crest[®] Pro-Health Clinical Plaque Control (0.454% stannous fluoride) dentifrice, Oral-B® Professional Care 1000 with Precision Clean brush head and Crest® Pro-Health Refreshing Clean Mint (0.07% CPC) mouth 20 rinse. Control regimen: Crest® Cavity Protection (0.243%) sodium fluoride) dentifrice and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual toothbrush. Dental plaques were collected from the same panelists in the test regimen in the clinical study as described in EXAMPLE 2. A supragingival sample was taken from each panelist with a sterile curette at the tooth/gum interface, using care to avoid contact with the oral soft tissue. Plaques were sampled from all available natural teeth (upper arch only) until no plaque was visible. Following sampling, the plaque samples were placed into a pre-labeled (panelist ID, sample initials, visit, and date) Eppendorf tube with 1 ml of PBS/Glycerol buffer and about 50 of sterile 1 mm glass beads, stored on ice until all samples were collected. The samples were then transferred to a -70° C. freezer for ³⁵ storage until further processing. Genomic DNA was isolated from supragingival plaque samples using QIAamp® genomic DNA kits (Qiagen, Germany) following manufacturer's instruction. Metasequencing was carried out at BGI Americas Corporation (Cambridge, Mass.). All data were analyzed at Global Biotech of Procter & Gamble Company in Mason, Ohio. Clinical measurements: Bleeding sites (GBI) were decreased in the test regimen significantly on week 1, 3 and 6 in comparison to the control regimen (FIG. 14). Similarly, Inflammation (MGI) grades also decreased in the test regimen (FIG. 14).

26

certain bacteria in the supragingival plaques changed in the six week treatments. Certain bacteria, such as *Porphyromonas* sp oral taxon 279 and *Prevotella pallens*, were decreased in weeks 1 and 3 (FIG. **15**). The amount of each bacterial species was plotted over the four time periods of the treatment. The amount of certain bacteria, such as *Peptostreptococcus stomatis* and *Prevotella intermedia*, was reduced during the six week of treatment as shown in FIG. **15**. This is also shown in FIG. **16**A-**1**, FIG. **16**A-**2**, and FIG. **16**A-**3**.

Example 15—Production of Cytokines, Chemokines and Other Bioactive Proteins Decreased as Gingivitis Symptoms were Alleviated

During 6 Week of Treatment

In the same clinical study as described in EXAMPLE 14, gingival-brush samples were collected from the same panelists as in EXAMPLE 14. Before sampling, panelists rinsed their mouths for 30 seconds with water. A dental hygienist then sampled the area just above the gumline using a buccal swab brush (Epicentre Biotechnologies cat.# MB100SP). The swab was immediately placed into 1 ml extraction buffer [PBS, 0.25M NaCl, 1× HaltTM Protease Inhibitor Single-Use Cocktail (Lifetechnologies, Grand Island, N.Y.)] in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube vortexed for 30 seconds, and immediately frozen on dry ice and stored in a -80 C freezer until analysis. The samples were taken out of freezer, thawed and extracted by placing the samples on a tube shaker for 30 minutes at 4° C. The tubes were centrifuged at 15000 RPM for 10 min in Eppendorf Centrifuge 5417R (Eppendorf, Ontario, Canada) to pellet any debris. The extract (800 µl) was analyzed for protein concentrations using the Bio-Rad protein assay (BioRad, Hercules, Calif.).

Forty proteins were measured in the gingival samples using V-PLEX Human Biomarker 40-Plex Kit (Meso Scale Diagnostics Rockville, Md.). The assay was performed following the manufacturer's instruction.

Genomic DNA of the supragingival plaques in the test regimen was sequenced. As shown in FIG. 15, abundance of

Among the proteins measured in the gingival samples, most proteins in the Proinflammatory Panel 1 (human), Cytokine Panel 1 (human), Chemokine Panel 1 (human), Angiogenesis Panel 1 (human), and Vascular Injury Panel 2 (human) had significant changes in their abundance during the 6-week treatment (TABLE 6). Those include FN- γ , IL-1 β , IL-2, IL-4, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-13, TNF- α , GM-CSF, IL-5, IL-16, IL-7, IL-12/IL-23p40, IL-1 α , VEGF-A, IL-17A, IL-15, TNF- β , IL-8 (HA), MCP-1, MCP-4, Eotaxin, IP-10, MDC, Eotaxin-3, TARC, MIP-1 α , MIP-1 β , VEGF-C, VEGF-D, Tie-2, Flt-1/VEGFR1, PIGF, FGF (basic), SAA, CRP, VCAM-1, and ICAM-1.

TABLE 6

Changes in abundance of proteins in the gingival-brush samples												
	Me	ean			α =	0.05						
Baseline	Week 1	Week 3	Week 6	Baseline	Week 1	Week 3	Week 6					

ICAM-1	16.035	12.209	10.090	9.767	А	В	В, С	С
IL-1a	3.554	2.331	2.181	1.891	А	А, В	В, С	С
IL-1 β	53.666	35.575	24.295	24.440	А	В	С	С
TNF-β	0.0013	0.0010	0.0008	0.0007	А	В	С	С
IL-12p70	0.172	0.148	0.118	0.127	А	А, В	С	В, С
IL-13	0.805	0.762	0.624	0.648	А	А, В	С	В, С
IL-4	0.127	0.115	0.090	0.096	А	А, В	С	В, С
IL-5	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.003	А	В	С	В, С
CRP	15.637	12.743	12.385	5.809	А	А	А	В
Eotaxin	0.077	0.064	0.059	0.059	А	А, В	В	В
GM-CSF	0.010	0.008	0.008	0.008	А	В	В	В

27

TABLE 6-continued

Changes in abundance of proteins in the gingival-brush samples										
IFN?	0.530	0.446	0.378	0.386	А	А, В	В	В		
IL-10	0.875	0.490	0.423	0.244	Α	А, В	В	В		
IL-15	0.005	0.003	0.003	0.003	Α	В	В	В		
IL-16	0.466	0.345	0.342	0.295	Α	В	В	В		
IL-6	0.196	0.192	0.168	0.150	Α	Α	А, В	В		
IL-7	0.004	0.003	0.003	0.003	Α	В	В	В		
IL-8	856.276	652.066	567.361	572.602	Α	В	В	В		
MCP-1	0.053	0.047	0.039	0.039	Α	А, В	В	В		
MDC	0.399	0.407	0.345	0.339	А	A	В	В		
Mean $\alpha = 0.05$										

Describes $W_{2} = 1 + 1$ $W_{2} = 1 + 2$ $W_{2} = 1 + 2$

	Baseline	Week 1	Week 3	Week 6	Baseline	Week 1	Week 3	Week 6
SAA	7.039	6.905	6.092	5.162	А	А	А, В	В
Tie-2	0.273	0.239	0.267	0.221	А	А, В	A	В
VCAM-1	4.971	3.706	3.156	2.892	А	В	В	В
VEGF	0.625	0.511	0.478	0.480	А	В	В	В
VEGF 2	0.772	0.661	0.620	0.582	А	В	В	В
VEGF-D	0.057	0.052	0.051	0.045	А	Α, Β	А, В	В
VEGF-C	0.145	0.149	0.125	0.137	А, В	А	В	Α, Β
TARC	0.020	0.029	0.019	0.019	А	В	А	А
bFGF	0.020	0.015	0.012	0.013	А	А	Α	А
Eotaxin-3	0.095	0.108	0.091	0.094	А	А	А	А
Flt-1	0.390	0.518	0.433	0.415	А	В	А, В	А
IL-12p40	0.039	0.031	0.028	0.031	А	А	А	А
IL-2	0.166	0.199	0.210	0.162	А	А	А	А
IL-8 (HA)	47.508	44.362	41.260	39.119	А	А	А	А
IP-10	0.540	1.688	0.740	0.606	А	А	А	А
MCP-4	0.023	0.023	0.020	0.022	А	А	А	А
MIP-1a	0.091	0.091	0.084	0.080	А	А	А	А
MIP-1β	0.091	0.100	0.110	0.094	А	А	А	А
TNFα	2.009	2.067	2.021	1.670	А	А	А	А

Example 16—One Hundred Seventy Metabolites were Identified in Gingival Samples ment. 170 metabolites were identified and quantified. As shown in TABLE 7, some metabolite concentrations were changed during the first 3 weeks of treatment. Citrulline concentrations in the gingival samples were reduced after three weeks of treatment in the treatment regimen group. Similarly, ornithine was also reduced in the treatment regimen group after three weeks of treatment. Reduction of citrulline and ornithine was likely associated with alleviation of gingivitis.

28

The same gingival-brush samples as described in EXAMPLE 15 were used for metabonomic analyses. Fourteen panelists were selected randomly from each treatment group to determine if any metabolite concentrations were changed in gingival samples during the first 3 weeks of 40 treatment. Both baseline and week 3 samples were sent to Metabolon, Inc. (Durham, N.C.) for metabonomic measure-

TABLE 7

Comparison of metabolites in gingival brush samples between baseline and week 3 during gingivitis treatment													
Biochemical Name	Baseline mean	3 week mean	3 week/ baseline	p-value	q-value	Mas							
13-HODE + 9-HODE	1.0877	0.7088	0.65	0.0601	0.1338	295.							
1-	1.2294	0.8274	0.67	0.038	0.1035	500.							
arachidonoylglycerophosphoethanolamine 1- oleoylglycerophosphoethanolamine	0.7378	1.0747	1.46	0.0767	0.1548	478.							
2-methylbutyrylcarnitine (C5)	1.7769	0.6997	0.39	0.0034	0.0546	246.							
adenosine 5'- monophosphate (AMP)	1.4092	0.8451	0.6	0.0295	0.0956	348.							
alanine	0.8721	1.102	1.26	0.0318	0.0973	115.							
arginylleucine	1.4447	0.6819	0.47	0.0084	0.0777	288.							
arginylphenylalanine	0.9616	0.3335	0.35	0.0119	0.0777	322							
asparagylleucine	0.9295	0.6122	0.66	0.0698	0.1465	246							
citrulline	1.0147	0.71	0.7	0.0104	0.0777	176							
deoxycamitine	3.2381	0.6088	0.19	0.0003	0.0168	146							
EDTA	1.5985	0.8384	0.52	0.0138	0.0777	291							
erythritol	1.625	0.8085	0.5	0.0582	0.1325	217							
fructose	1.9933	1.1106	0.56	0.0847	0.1605	217							
glutamine	1.2459	0.8366	0.67	0.0374	0.1035	147							
glutathione, oxidized (GSSG)	1.0161	1.4669	1.44	0.087	0.1605	613							

30

29

TABLE 7-continued

Comparison of metabolites in gingival brush samples between baseline and week 3 during gingivitis treatment

Biochemical Name	Baseline mean	3 week mean	3 week/ baseline	p-value	q-value	Mass	
glycerol	1.3783	0.8308	0.6	0.0391	0.1035	205	
lauryl sulfate	1.685	0.8623	0.51	0.0397	0.1035	265.2	
leucine	1.2158	0.9359	0.77	0.0613	0.1338	132.2	
leucylleucine	0.9505	0.4393	0.46	0.0251	0.0877	245.1	
lysylleucine	1.2009	0.5275	0.44	0.0036	0.0546	260.2	
lysylphenylalanine	1.1682	0.4563	0.39	0.0095	0.0777	294.3	
maltose	0.8727	1.4481	1.66	0.022	0.0877	204.1	
maltotriose	1.0456	1.8347	1.75	0.0858	0.1605	204	
mannitol	1.3004	0.7982	0.61	0.042	0.107	319.1	
ornithine	1.2916	0.7069	0.55	0.0367	0.1035	141.9	
palatinitol	1.4395	0.8272	0.57	0.0782	0.1549	204	
phosphate	1.4008	0.8376	0.6	0.0208	0.0877	298.9	
proline	1.405	0.99	0.7	0.0033	0.0546	116.1	
propionylcarnitine	1.2565	0.7688	0.61	0.0201	0.0877	218.2	
pyroglutamine	1.3424	0.7873	0.59	0.0136	0.0777	129.2	
serylisoleucine	1.1753	0.7169	0.61	0.0814	0.1583	219.2	
spermidine	1.1613	0.8678	0.75	0.0687	0.1465	146.2	
succinate	1.2929	0.8113	0.63	0.0754	0.1548	247	
threonylleucine	1.1513	0.4931	0.43	0.0044	0.0594	231.2	
threonylphenylalanine	1.7693	0.918	0.52	0.0233	0.0877	267.2	
trehalose	2.3563	0.9084	0.39	0.0054	0.0647	361.2	
tryptophan	1.1518	0.9089	0.79	0.0487	0.1185	205.1	
tyrosine	1.383	1.0299	0.74	0.0161	0.0787	182.1	
valine	1.1598	0.9271	0.8	0.0304	0.0956	118.1	
valylvaline	0.9347	0.8231	0.88	0.0508	0.1207	215.2	
X-13671	0.5035	0.918	1.82	0.0545	0.1267	315.3	
X-14588	1.3647	0.8378	0.61	0.024	0.0877	151	
X-16103	1.3643	0.8461	0.62	0.0297	0.0956	99.3	
X-17266	1.3158	0.576	0.44	0.0003	0.0168	530.4	
X-17375	1.4785	0.8387	0.57	0.0189	0.0877	357.1	
X-18472	0.6138	1.1441	1.86	0.0011	0.0405	827.1	
X-18779	1.3756	0.8035	0.58	0.0162	0.0787	209.1	
X-19607	1.5237	0.7167	0.47	0.002	0.0537	366.1	
X-19609	1.3284	0.7721	0.58	0.016	0.0787	204	
X-19612	1.3896	0.7843	0.56	0.01	0.0777	427.2	
X-19613	1.3412	0.7535	0.56	0.0099	0.0777	429.3	
X-19614	1.3378	0.7343	0.55	0.0454	0.113	570.1	
X-19807	1.3478	0.8411	0.62	0.0244	0.0877	93	
X-19808	1.3478	0.8368	0.63	0.0254	0.0877	95 95	
X-19850	1.3576	0.7519	0.55	0.0234	0.0777	334.2	
X-19857	1.3357	0.8032	0.6	0.038	0.1035	230	
X-19097 X-20000	1.2784	0.7536	0.59	0.0133	0.0777	81.2	
A-2000	1.2/04	0.7550	0.59	0.0155	0.0777	01.2	

Treatment Over 6 Weeks

Quantitation of citrulline and ornithine from the extracts of the Gingival-brush samples was conducted using gradient hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography with tandem spectrometry (HILIC/MS/MS). Gingival-brush ⁵⁰ mass samples were obtained from the same human panelists in the clinical study as described in EXAMPLE 14, and were placed into extraction buffer as described in EXAMPLE 15. The supernatants were subject to both HILIC/MS/MS and 55 BCA analysis. For free citrulline and ornithine analysis, the extracts of the Gingival-brush samples were analyzed either directly (50 µl undiluted sample solution) in 50/50 acetonitrile/ultra-pure water with 0.754% formic acid or diluted fivefold. For total citrulline and ornithine analysis, the ⁶⁰ extracts of the Gingival-brush samples were first hydrolyzed using 6 N HCl (50 µL of extract with 450 µL of 6N HCl), no shaking, and placed on a hot plate at 110° C. for 16 hours. The hydrolyzed samples were then dried down under 65 vacuum at room temperature (Savant speedvac of Lifetechnology, Grand Island, N.Y.) and then reconstituted in 1 ml of

Example 17—Citrulline was Reduced in Regimen dilution solution (50/50 acetonitrile/ultra-pure water with 45 0.754% formic acid) for analysis. The standards and the samples were analyzed using gradient hydrophilic interaction liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (HILIC/MS/MS). Analytes and the corresponding ISTDs (stable isotope labeled internal standard) were monitored by electrospray ionization (ESI) in positive mode using the selected-reaction-monitoring schemes shown in TABLE 8. A standard curve was constructed by plotting the signal, defined here as the peak area ratio (peak area analyte/peak area ISTD), for each standard versus the mass of each analyte for the corresponding standard. The mass of each analyte in the calibration standards and Gingival-brush extract samples were then back-calculated using the generated regression equation. The concentration of protein bound citrulline or ornithine was calculated as the result of subtracting the concentration of free citrulline or ornithine from the concentration of total citrulline or ornithine, respectively. The result was reported as the concentration of citrulline or ornithine or the result was standardized by dividing by the amount of citrulline or ornithine by the amount of the total proteins that were found in the extract.

25

31

TABLE 8

Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) transitions for analytes and their corresponding stable isotope labeled internal standards

Analytes	MRM	Internal Standards	MRM
Citrulline Ornithine	$\begin{array}{c} 176 \rightarrow 159 \\ 133 \rightarrow 70 \end{array}$	d ₇ -Citrulline d ₆ -Ornithine	$\begin{array}{c} 181 \rightarrow 164 \\ 139 \rightarrow 76 \end{array}$

All samples from all panelists of the Test regimen [Crest®] Pro-Health Clinical Plaque Control (0.454% stannous fluoride) dentifrice, Oral-B[®] Professional Care 1000 with Precision Clean brush head and Crest® Pro-Health Refreshing Clean Mint (0.07% CPC) mouth rinse] were analyzed. As 15 shown in FIG. 16, citrulline levels reduced rapidly in the first week of treatment, and then continued to decline gradually in weeks 3 and 6 of treatment. These results are consistent with clinical observations, where gingival bleeding sites (GBI) and the gingival inflammation (MGI) were reduced ²⁰ over the 6-week treatment period.

32

converted to each other. The first enzyme is ornithine transcarbamoylase, which transfers a carbamoyl group from carbamoyl phosphate to ornithine to generate citrulline. This reaction occurs in the matrix of the mitochondria. Expression of ornithine transcarbamoylase was reduced in the treatment (FIG. 19). The second enzyme is argininosuccinate synthetase. This enzyme uses ATP to activate citrulline by forming a citrullyl-AMP intermediate, which is attacked by the amino group of an aspartate residue to generate argininosuccinate. This and subsequent two reactions occur 10 in the cytosol. Again, expression of argininosuccinate synthetase decreased during the treatment. The third enzyme is argininosuccinate lyase, which catalyzes cleavage of argininosuccinate into fumarate and arginine. The last enzyme is argininase. Argininases cleave arginine to produce urea and ornithine. In a contrast to the decreased expression of ornithine transcarbamoylase and argininosuccinate synthetase genes, argininase I and II increased (FIG. 19). Arginine is also a substrate for nitric oxide synthase, which oxidizes arginine to produce citrulline and nitric oxide. Expression of nitric oxide synthase gene increased too (FIG. **19**).

Example 18—Levels of Proteins Containing Ornithine Decreased Over 6 Week Treatment

The same samples as described in EXAMPLE 17 were analyzed using procedures as described in EXAMPLE 17. Gingivitis was treated for 6 weeks. Baseline (BL) represents diseased status. Symptoms of gingivitis were alleviated from week 1 to week 6 treatments. Protein bound ornithine (the 30 difference between total and the free ornithine) was higher in gingivitis as shown in FIG. 17. Protein bound ornithine was reduced gradually as gingivitis was decreased in severity.

Example 20—Citrulline Increased in Gingival Samples in Experiment Gingivitis

Experimental gingivitis: Another clinical study was carried out to determine whether citrulline is increased in experimentally induced gingivitis in healthy human panelists. This was a case-control study enrolling 60 panelists. The study population included two groups as follows: Group 1 or high bleeders group, thirty (30) panelists with at least 20 bleeding sites, where bleeding is a GBI site score of 1 or 2 at baseline. Group 2 or low bleeders group, thirty (30) 35 panelists with 2 or less bleeding sites, where bleeding is a

Example 19—Expression of Enzymes in the Ornithine-Citrulline-Arginine Cycle was Changed in Gingival Samples During the 6 Week Treatment

EXAMPLES 15, from the same panelists as in EXAMPLE 15, and were used to examine the expression of genes during 6 weeks of treatments with Test regimen [Crest® Pro-Health Clinical Plaque Control (0.454% stannous fluoride) dentifrice, Oral-B[®] Professional Care 1000 with Precision Clean 45 brush head and Crest® Pro-Health Refreshing Clean Mint (0.07% CPC) mouth rinse] and Control regimen [Crest®] Cavity Protection (0.243% sodium fluoride) dentifrice and Oral-B® Indicator Soft Manual toothbrush].

After harvesting the samples, the brush was completely 50 immersed in the RNAlater solution (1 ml in a 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube) for keeping RNA from degrading during transport and storage (Qiagen, Valencia, Calif.). The microcentrifuge tubes were vortexed/mixed for 30 seconds, immediately frozen on dry ice, stored and transferred on dry ice 55 to the lab for biomarker analysis. RNA isolation and microarray analysis were performed as described previously in a publication (Offenbacher S, Barros S P, Paquette D W, Winston J L, Biesbrock A R, Thomason R G, Gibb R D, Fulmer A W, Tiesman J P, Juhlin K D, Wang S L, Reichling 60 T D, Chen K S, Ho B. J Periodontol. 2009 December; 80(12):1963-82. doi: 10.1902/jop.2009.080645. Gingival transcriptome patterns during induction and resolution of experimental gingivitis in humans). The ornithine-citrulline-arginine cycle consists of four 65 enzymes (FIG. 18). The main feature of the cycle is that three amino acids (arginine, ornithine, and citrulline) can be

GBI site score of 1 or 2.

The study consisted of two Phases: Health/Rigorous Hygiene Phase with dental prophylaxis, polishing and rigorous oral hygiene; and Induced Gingivitis Phase without Gingival samples were collected as described in 40 oral hygiene. At the Screening visit, panelists underwent an oral soft tissue assessment and had a gingivitis evaluation (Modified Gingival Index (MGI) and Gingival Bleeding Index (GBI). At Visit 2 qualifying panelists received an oral soft tissue exam followed by a gingivitis evaluation and gingival plaques and gum swabs were collected for the qPCR, protein and RNA host biomarker analysis. Following that, all panelists received dental prophylaxis and entered the Health/Rigorous Hygiene Phase, lasting two weeks. After two weeks of rigorous hygiene, panelists entered the Induced Gingivitis Phase, lasting for three weeks. Oral soft tissue exams and gingivitis were re-evaluated and all samples (gum swabs) were collected at Baseline, WK0 and WK2.

> Gingival sample collection—A gum swab was collected from each side of the upper arch using the procedures as described in EXAMPLE 15. Gum swabs were collected close to the gum line from the buccal sites only (preferably from four adjacent teeth-preferably from premolar and molar areas). Panelists rinsed for 30 seconds with 15 ml of Listerine rinse to clean the surface of sampling area. After the Listerine rinse, panelists rinsed for 30 seconds with 20 ml of water. Following that, selected sites were isolated with a cotton roll and gently dried with an air syringe and two gum swabs were taken with collection brushes/swabs from the gingiva region close to the gumline of the selected teeth. The samples were placed in a pre-labeled (panelist ID, sample ID, visit, and date) 1.5 ml micro-centrifuge tube

33

containing 800 ul DPBS (Dulbecco's phosphate-buffered saline) (Lifetechnologies, Grand Island, N.Y.) with protease inhibitors, including AEBSF (4-(2-Aminoethyl) benzenesulfonyl fluoride hydrochloride) 2 mM, aprotinin 0.3 µM, Bestatin 130 μ M, EDTA (Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid) 1 5 mM, E-64 1 µM, and leupeptin 1 µM. The vials were vortexed/mixed for 30 seconds, immediately frozen on dry ice, stored and transferred on dry ice to the lab for biomarker analysis. Samples from three visits were analyzed using the procedures described in EXAMPLE 17, and shown in FIG. ¹⁰ **20**. Those three visits were baseline, Week 0, (right after the Health/Rigorous Hygiene Phase and before the induced gingivitis phase) and week 2 (at the end of Induced Gingiand low bleeders groups at the baseline and week 0, but rose quickly in the induced gingivitis in both groups at week 2.

34

ment, media was collected and analyzed for cytokine production using 9-plex kit (Meso Scale Diagnostics Rockville, Md.).

P. gingivalis LPS 1690 stimulated cytokine production, as shown in FIG. 26. Citrulline inhibited P. gingivalis LPS 1690 effects on proinflammatory cytokine production in a dose-dependent manner. Those cytokines include IL-6, TNF-α, IL-12p70, IL-10, IL-2, IFN-r and IL-1β.

Example 25—Binding of BODIPY-TR-Cadaverine to Bacteria and their Products

Bacteria and their products can activate TLR2 and TLR4

Example 21—Levels of Proteins Containing Citrulline Decreased in Experimentally Induced Gingivitis

The same procedures were used as described in EXAMPLE 17. The samples were the same as described in EXAMPLE 20. Protein bound citrulline was lower at the 25 baseline than that at week 0 in both high and low bleeders groups as shown in FIG. 21 in gingival tissue. It was low in experimental gingivitis in both groups at week 2.

> Example 22—Levels of Proteins Containing Ornithine Increased in Gingival Samples in Experiment Gingivitis

The same clinical samples from experimental gingivitis (EXAMPLE 20) were analyzed using the procedures described in EXAMPLE 17. The bound ornithine was the lowest at week 0 (FIG. 22) in both groups. Its levels at the baseline were higher than those at week 0. The bound ornithine reached peaks when gingivitis was induced in both $_{40}$ groups at week 2. Also it is worth noting the total ornithine (Free and protein bound ornithine) was increased in the induced gingivitis (FIG. 23) in both groups.

reporter genes as described in EXAMPLES 5 and 8. Here, vitis Phase). Free citrulline levels were low in both the high 15 experiments were carried out to determine whether different bacteria and their products have different IC50 in reducing fluorescent intensity of BODIPY-TR-cadaverine, hereinafter referred to as BC, in a procedure as described in EXAMPLE 4. Briefly, high throughput screen utilizes the fluorescent dye 20 BODIPY-TR-cadaverine 5-(((4-(4,4-difluoro--(2-thienyl)-4bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene-3-yl)phenoxy)acetyl)amino) pentylamine hydrochloride (BC fluorescent dye), purchased from Life Technologies (Carlsbad, Calif.), as described previously by Wood, Miller and David (2004) (Comb Chem High Throughput Screen 2004 May; 7(3):239-49. Antiendotoxin agents. 1. Development of a fluorescent probe displacement method optimized for the rapid identification of lipopolysaccharide-binding agents.). The experiment was carried out at room temperature. E. coli LPS (15 µg/ml) was ³⁰ mixed in a well of a 96-well solid black flat bottom plate (Corning Incorporated, Corning, N.Y.) with SnF2 and cetylpyridinium chloride in 30 µl of 50 mM Tris buffer at pH 7.4 for 10 min, and then 20 μ l of 60 μ M BC fluorescent dye was added right before fluorescence measurement at 21° C., or room temperature. Fluorescent measurements were performed in a SpectraMax M3 automated 96 well plate reader (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, Calif.). The excitation wavelength was 580 nM and the fluorescence emission was measured at 620 nM. The half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) is a measure of the effectiveness of bacterial products inhibiting BC emitting fluorescence. It indicates how much of a bacterial material is needed to inhibit BC fluorescence by half using GraphPad Prism software (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, Calif.). As shown in FIGS. 27 45 and 28, each bacterial product decreases the fluorescent intensity of BC in a dose-dependent manner. Similarly, the whole bacterial cells and the supernatant of bacterial growth also reduced the fluorescence of BC in a dose-dependent fashion (FIGS. 29 and 30).

Example 23—Levels of Proteins Containing Arginine Decreased in Gingival Samples in Experimentally Induced Gingivitis

The same procedures were used as described in EXAMPLE 17. The samples were the same as described in $_{50}$ EXAMPLE 20. The protein bound arginine was the lowest in induced gingivitis (FIG. 24) in both groups. Its levels were higher in WK0 than at Baseline in both groups. The total arginine in the gingival brush samples displayed the same patterns as the protein bound one (FIG. 25).

Example 24—Citrulline Inhibited LPS Stimulated Production of Proinflammatory Cytokine in Human THP-1 Cells

Example 26—Determination of Bacterial Virulence

Growth of bacteria: Two bacteria, Bacterium A and Bacterium B, were cultured in Tryptic Soy Broth medium 55 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo.) at 37° C. with shaking at 200 rpm. The bacteria were harvested at 24 hours, and suspended in 0.5 ml of phosphate-buffered saline, labeled "live". Half ml of "Live" bacteria was transferred to a 1.5 ml microtube, and heated to 80° C. for 30 min. The heat-treated 60 bacteria were labeled "Heat-Inactivated", or "Dead". Measurement of TLR responses in THP-1 gene reporter cells (NFkB-SEAP): The Live and Heat-Inactivated bacteria were applied to THP-1 cells as described in EXAMPLE 11. As shown in FIG. 31, EC50 of Bacterium A and B on activation of NFkB-SEAP reporter gene in THP-1 cells was determined. Both Live and Heat-inactivated (Dead) bacteria stimulated expression of the NFkB-SEAP reporter gene.

Citrulline was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, Mo.). THP1-DualTM cells were purchased from Invivogen (San Diego, Calif.). Cells were cultured following the manufacturer's instruction, as described in EXAMPLE 11. For treatment, 0.3 mM to 9 mM of citrulline were first added to 65 the culture medium. Then, 300 ng/ml of *P. gingivalis* LPS 1690 were added 60 minutes later. After 24 hours of treat-

35

Bacterium B had a lower EC50 than Bacterium A in activating expression of the NFkB-SEAP reporter gene.

Cytokine production and measurement: Human peripheral bleed mononuclear cells (hPBMC) were obtained from All Cells company (All Cells, Alameda, Calif.) as Leuka- ⁵ pheresed blood. Leukapheresed blood was mixed with an equal part of DMEM+glutaGRO supplemented with 9.1% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Thermo Fisher, Waltham, Mass.). hPBMC were isolated from the 1:1 mixture of blood and culture medium by collecting the buffy 10 coat of a centrifuged Histopaque®-1077 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo.) buffer density gradient. The cells (200,000) cells) were cultured in 200 µl of DMEM+glutaGRO supplemented with 9.1% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/ streptomycin, and treated with Live and Heat-Inactive bac-¹⁵ teria (6,250,000 colony-forming units). The medium was harvested at 24 hours after adding the bacteria, and analyzed for proinflammatory cytokines in a kit following manufacturer's instruction (Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, Md.).

36

-continued

Statistics	Cytokines	Live Bacterium A	Live Bacterium
Std Dev	IL-12p70	14.71	283.33
Std Dev	IL-1β	1569.72	7691.93
Std Dev	IL-2	32.97	36.29
Std Dev	IL-6	2212.62	6552.12
Std Dev	IL-8	18689.24	13669.28
Std Dev	TNF-α	2503.25	8302.26

The dimensions and values disclosed herein are not to be understood as being strictly limited to the exact numerical values recited. Instead, unless otherwise specified, each such dimension is intended to mean both the recited value and a functionally equivalent range surrounding that value. For example, a dimension disclosed as "40 mm" is intended to mean "about 40 mm." Every document cited herein, including any cross referenced or related patent or application and any patent application or patent to which this application claims priority or benefit thereof, is hereby incorporated herein by reference in its entirety unless expressly excluded or otherwise limited. The citation of any document is not an admission that it is prior art with respect to any invention disclosed or claimed 25 herein or that it alone, or in any combination with any other reference or references, teaches, suggests or discloses any such invention. Further, to the extent that any meaning or definition of a term in this document conflicts with any meaning or definition of the same term in a document incorporated by reference, the meaning or definition assigned to that term in this document shall govern. While particular embodiments of the present invention have been illustrated and described, it would be obvious to those skilled in the art that various other changes and modifications can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. It is therefore intended to cover in the appended claims all such changes and modifications that are within the scope of this invention.

As shown in TABLE 9, both live bacterium A and B stimulated production of cytokines in hPBMC. Bacteriun B was far more potent than Bacterium A in promoting production of IFN- γ , IL-10, IL-12p70, IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8 and TNF- α in hPBMC.

Statistics	Cytokines	Live Bacterium A	Live Bacterium
Mean	IFN-γ	867.16	12734.36
Mean	IL-10	68.35	412.51
Mean	IL-12p70	23.75	253.47
Mean	IL-1β	2300.86	6969.31
Mean	IL-2	44.24	65.17
Mean	IL-6	3431.05	9963.84
Mean	IL-8	65742.91	70357.25

Mean	TNF- α	3710.66	13825.49
Std Dev	IFN-γ	488.11	8200.13
Std Dev	IL-10	33.56	314.23

SEQUENCE LISTING

<160> NUMBER OF SEQ ID NOS: 9

<210> SEQ ID NO 1

<211> LENGTH: 786

<212> TYPE: PRT

<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 1

Met Thr Ser Ile Phe His Phe Ala Ile Ile Phe Met Leu Ile Leu Gln 1 5 10 10

Ile Arg Ile Gln Leu Ser Glu Glu Ser Glu Phe Leu Val Asp Arg Ser 20 25 30

Lys Asn Gly Leu Ile His Val Pro Lys Asp Leu Ser Gln Lys Thr Thr 35 40 45

Ile Leu Asn Ile Ser Gln Asn Tyr Ile Ser Glu Leu Trp Thr Ser Asp 50 55 60

Ile Leu Ser Leu Ser Lys Leu Arg Ile Leu Ile Ile Ser His Asn Arg 65 70 75 75 80

Ile Gln Tyr Leu Asp Ile Ser Val Phe Lys Phe Asn Gln Glu Leu Glu 85 90 90 95

Tyr Leu Asp Leu Ser His Asn Lys Leu Val Lys Ile Ser Cys His Pro 100 105 110

37

38

-continued

Thr Val Asn Leu Lys His Leu Asp Leu Ser Phe Asn Ala Phe Asp Ala 115 120 125 Leu Pro Ile Cys Lys Glu Phe Gly Asn Met Ser Gln Leu Lys Phe Leu 130 135 140 Gly Leu Ser Thr Thr His Leu Glu Lys Ser Ser Val Leu Pro Ile Ala 145 150 155 160 His Leu Asn Ile Ser Lys Val Leu Leu Val Leu Gly Glu Thr Tyr Gly 165 170 175

Glu Lys Glu Asp Pro Glu Gly Leu Gln Asp Phe Asn Thr Glu Ser Leu

Gru	цур	Gru	180	FIO	Giù	Gry	Цец	185	ЧаЧ	FIIE	ABII		190	Ser	Цец	
His	Ile	Val 195	Phe	Pro	Thr	Asn	Lys 200	Glu	Phe	His	Phe	Ile 205	Leu	Asp	Val	
Ser	Val 210	Lys	Thr	Val	Ala	Asn 215	Leu	Glu	Leu	Ser	Asn 220	Ile	Lys	Суз	Val	
Leu 225	Glu	Asp	Asn	Lys	Cys 230	Ser	Tyr	Phe	Leu	Ser 235	Ile	Leu	Ala	Lys	Leu 240	
Gln	Thr	Asn	Pro	Lys 245	Leu	Ser	Asn	Leu	Thr 250	Leu	Asn	Asn	Ile	Glu 255	Thr	
Thr	Trp	Asn	Ser 260	Phe	Ile	Arg	Ile	Leu 265	Gln	Leu	Val	Trp	His 270	Thr	Thr	
Val	Trp	Tyr 275	Phe	Ser	Ile	Ser	Asn 280	Val	Lys	Leu	Gln	Gly 285	Gln	Leu	Asp	
Phe	Arg 290	Asp	Phe	Asp	Tyr	Ser 295	Gly	Thr	Ser	Leu	Lys 300	Ala	Leu	Ser	Ile	
His 305	Gln	Val	Val	Ser	Asp 310	Val	Phe	Gly	Phe	Pro 315	Gln	Ser	Tyr	Ile	Tyr 320	
Glu	Ile	Phe	Ser	Asn 325	Met	Asn	Ile	Lys	Asn 330	Phe	Thr	Val	Ser	Gly 335	Thr	
Arg	Met	Val	His 340	Met	Leu	Суз	Pro	Ser 345	Lys	Ile	Ser	Pro	Phe 350	Leu	His	
Leu	Asp	Phe 355	Ser	Asn	Asn	Leu	Leu 360	Thr	Asp	Thr	Val	Phe 365	Glu	Asn	Суз	
Gly	His 370	Leu	Thr	Glu	Leu	Glu 375	Thr	Leu	Ile	Leu	Gln 380	Met	Asn	Gln	Leu	
Lys 385	Glu	Leu	Ser	Lys	Ile 390	Ala	Glu	Met	Thr	Thr 395	Gln	Met	Lys	Ser	Leu 400	
Gln	Gln	Leu	Asp	Ile 405	Ser	Gln	Asn	Ser	Val 410	Ser	Tyr	Asp	Glu	Lys 415	Lys	
Gly	Asp	Суз	Ser 420	Trp	Thr	Lys	Ser	Leu 425	Leu	Ser	Leu	Asn	Met 430	Ser	Ser	
Asn	Ile	Leu 435	Thr	Asp	Thr	Ile	Phe 440	Arg	Суз	Leu	Pro	Pro 445	Arg	Ile	Lys	
Val	Leu 450	Asp	Leu	His	Ser	Asn 455	Lys	Ile	Lys	Ser	Ile 460	Pro	Lys	Gln	Val	
	_	_			_			_	_				_		_	

Val Lys Leu Glu Ala Leu Gln Glu Leu Asn Val Ala Phe Asn Ser Leu

 465
 470
 475
 480

Thr Asp Leu Pro Gly Cys Gly Ser Phe Ser Ser Leu Ser Val Leu Ile 485 490 495

Ile Asp His Asn Ser Val Ser His Pro Ser Ala Asp Phe Phe Gln Ser 500 510

Cys Gln Lys Met Arg Ser Ile Lys Ala Gly Asp Asn Pro Phe Gln Cys 515 520 525

39

40

-continued

Thr	Cys 530	Glu	Leu	Gly	Glu	Phe 535	Val	Lys	Asn	Ile	Asp 540	Gln	Val	Ser	Ser
Glu 545	Val	Leu	Glu	Gly	Trp 550	Pro	Asp	Ser	Tyr	Lys 555	Cys	Asp	Tyr	Pro	Glu 560
Ser	Tyr	Arg	Gly	Thr 565	Leu	Leu	Lys	Asp	Phe 570	His	Met	Ser	Glu	Leu 575	Ser
Cys	Asn	Ile	Thr 580	Leu	Leu	Ile	Val	Thr 585	Ile	Val	Ala	Thr	Met 590	Leu	Val
Leu	Ala	Val 595	Thr	Val	Thr	Ser	Leu 600	Cys	Ser	Tyr	Leu	Asp 605	Leu	Pro	Trp

Tyr Leu Arg Met Val Cys Gln Trp Thr Gln Thr Arg Arg Arg Ala 610 615 620	Arg
Asn Ile Pro Leu Glu Glu Leu Gln Arg Asn Leu Gln Phe His Ala 625 630 635	Phe 640
Ile Ser Tyr Ser Gly His Asp Ser Phe Trp Val Lys Asn Glu Leu 645 650 650	Leu
Pro Asn Leu Glu Lys Glu Gly Met Gln Ile Cys Leu His Glu Arg 660 670	Asn
Phe Val Pro Gly Lys Ser Ile Val Glu Asn Ile Ile Thr Cys Ile 675 680 685	Glu
Lys Ser Tyr Lys Ser Ile Phe Val Leu Ser Pro Asn Phe Val Gln 690 695 700	Ser
Glu Trp Cys His Tyr Glu Leu Tyr Phe Ala His His Asn Leu Phe 705 710 715	His 720
Glu Gly Ser Asn Ser Leu Ile Leu Ile Leu Leu Glu Pro Ile Pro 725 730 730	Gln
Tyr Ser Ile Pro Ser Ser Tyr His Lys Leu Lys Ser Leu Met Ala 740 745 750	Arg
Arg Thr Tyr Leu Glu Trp Pro Lys Glu Lys Ser Lys Arg Gly Leu 755 760 765	Phe
Trp Ala Asn Leu Arg Ala Ala Ile Asn Ile Lys Leu Thr Glu Gln 770 780	Ala
Lys Lys 785	
<210> SEQ ID NO 2 <211> LENGTH: 784	
<212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens	
<400> SEQUENCE: 2	
Met Pro His Thr Leu Trp Met Val Trp Val Leu Gly Val Ile Ile 1 5 10 15	Ser
Leu Ser Lys Glu Glu Ser Ser Asn Gln Ala Ser Leu Ser Cys Asp 20 25 30	Arg
Asn Gly Ile Cys Lys Gly Ser Ser Gly Ser Leu Asn Ser Ile Pro 35 40 45	Ser

Gly Leu Thr Glu Ala Val Lys Ser Leu Asp Leu Ser Asn Asn Arg Ile 50 55 60

Thr Tyr Ile Ser Asn Ser Asp Leu Gln Arg Cys Val Asn Leu Gln Ala 65 70 75 80

Leu Val Leu Thr Ser Asn Gly Ile Asn Thr Ile Glu Glu Asp Ser Phe 85 90 90 95

Ser Ser Leu Gly Ser Leu Glu His Leu Asp Leu Ser Tyr Asn Tyr Leu 100 105 110

41

42

-continued

Ser Asn Leu Ser Ser Ser Trp Phe Lys Pro Leu Ser Ser Leu Thr Phe 115 120 125 Leu Asn Leu Leu Gly Asn Pro Tyr Lys Thr Leu Gly Glu Thr Ser Leu 130 135 140 Phe Ser His Leu Thr Lys Leu Gln Ile Leu Arg Val Gly Asn Met Asp 145 150 155 160 Thr Phe Thr Lys Ile Gln Arg Lys Asp Phe Ala Gly Leu Thr Phe Leu 165 170 175

Glu Glu Leu Glu Ile Asp Ala Ser Asp Leu Gln Ser Tyr Glu Pro Lys

Gru	UIU	ЦСU	180	TTC	Чар	лта	DET	185	ЦСU	UTII	DET	тут	190		цүр
Ser	Leu	Lys 195	Ser	Ile	Gln	Asn	Val 200	Ser	His	Leu	Ile	Leu 205	His	Met	Lys
Gln	His 210	Ile	Leu	Leu	Leu	Glu 215	Ile	Phe	Val	Asp	Val 220	Thr	Ser	Ser	Val
Glu 225	Cys	Leu	Glu	Leu	Arg 230	Asp	Thr	Asp	Leu	Asp 235	Thr	Phe	His	Phe	Ser 240
Glu	Leu	Ser	Thr	Gly 245	Glu	Thr	Asn	Ser	Leu 250	Ile	Lys	Lys	Phe	Thr 255	Phe
Arg	Asn	Val	Lys 260	Ile	Thr	Asp	Glu	Ser 265	Leu	Phe	Gln	Val	Met 270	Lys	Leu
Leu	Asn	Gln 275	Ile	Ser	Gly	Leu	Leu 280	Glu	Leu	Glu	Phe	Asp 285	Asp	Суз	Thr
Leu	Asn 290	Gly	Val	Gly	Asn	Phe 295	Arg	Ala	Ser	Asp	Asn 300	Asp	Arg	Val	Ile
Asp 305	Pro	Gly	Lys	Val	Glu 310	Thr	Leu	Thr	Ile	Arg 315	Arg	Leu	His	Ile	Pro 320
Arg	Phe	Tyr	Leu	Phe 325	Tyr	Asp	Leu	Ser	Thr 330	Leu	Tyr	Ser	Leu	Thr 335	Glu
-		-	340		Thr			345		-			350		
		355			His		360				_	365			
	370				Glu	375	-		-		380		-		-
Ala 385					390					395					400
			-	405	Gly				410			-		415	
Asn			420		_			425					430		
_	-	435		-	Met	-	440					445		-	
His	Ser 450	vai	mr	сту	cys	11e 455	Pro	цув	mr	ьeu	G1u 460	тте	ьeu	Азр	var

Ser Asn Asn Asn Leu Asn Leu Phe Ser Leu Asn Leu Pro Gln Leu Lys

465 470 475

480

Glu Leu Tyr Ile Ser Arg Asn Lys Leu Met Thr Leu Pro Asp Ala Ser 485 490 495

Leu Leu Pro Met Leu Leu Val Leu Lys Ile Ser Arg Asn Ala Ile Thr 500 505 510

Thr Phe Ser Lys Glu Gln Leu Asp Ser Phe His Thr Leu Lys Thr Leu 515 520 525

43

44

-continued

Glu	Ala 530	Gly	Gly	Asn	Asn	Phe 535	Ile	Cys	Ser	Cys	Glu 540	Phe	Leu	Ser	Phe
Thr 545	Gln	Glu	Gln	Gln	Ala 550	Leu	Ala	Lys	Val	Leu 555	Ile	Asp	Trp	Pro	Ala 560
Asn	Tyr	Leu	Cys	Asp 565	Ser	Pro	Ser	His	Val 570	Arg	Gly	Gln	Gln	Val 575	Gln
Asp	Val	Arg	Leu 580	Ser	Val	Ser	Glu	Cys 585	His	Arg	Thr	Ala	Leu 590	Val	Ser
Gly	Met	Cys 595	Cys	Ala	Leu	Phe	Leu 600	Leu	Ile	Leu	Leu	Thr 605	Gly	Val	Leu

Cys H 6	lis A 510	Arg	Phe	His	Gly	Leu 615	Trp	Tyr	Met	Lys	Met 620	Met	Trp	Ala	Trp
Leu G 625	3ln 2	Ala	Lys	Arg	Lys 630	Pro	Arg	Lys	Ala	Pro 635	Ser	Arg	Asn	Ile	Cys 640
Tyr A	Asp A	Ala	Phe	Val 645	Ser	Tyr	Ser	Glu	Arg 650	Asp	Ala	Tyr	Trp	Val 655	Glu
Asn I	leu I	Met	Val 660	Gln	Glu	Leu	Glu	Asn 665	Phe	Asn	Pro	Pro	Phe 670	Lys	Leu
Cys L		His 675	Lys	Arg	Asp	Phe	Ile 680	Pro	Gly	Lys	Trp	Ile 685	Ile	Asp	Asn
Ile I 6	[le 2 590	Asp	Ser	Ile	Glu	Lys 695	Ser	His	Lys	Thr	Val 700	Phe	Val	Leu	Ser
Glu A 705	Asn 1	Phe	Val	Lys	Ser 710	Glu	Trp	Cys	Lys	Tyr 715	Glu	Leu	Asp	Phe	Ser 720
His F	Phe A	Arg	Leu	Phe 725	Asp	Glu	Asn	Asn	Asp 730	Ala	Ala	Ile	Leu	Ile 735	Leu
Leu G	3lu 1	Pro	Ile 740	Glu	Lys	Lys	Ala	Ile 745	Pro	Gln	Arg	Phe	Cys 750	Lys	Leu

Arg Lys Ile Met Asn Thr Lys Thr Tyr Leu Glu Trp Pro Met Asp Glu 755 760 765

Ala Gln Arg Glu Gly Phe Trp Val Asn Leu Arg Ala Ala Ile Lys Ser 770 780

<210> SEQ ID NO 3 <211> LENGTH: 904 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 3

Met Arg Gln Thr Leu Pro Cys Ile Tyr Phe Trp Gly Gly Leu Leu Pro 10 1 15 5 Phe Gly Met Leu Cys Ala Ser Ser Thr Thr Lys Cys Thr Val Ser His 20 25 30 Glu Val Ala Asp Cys Ser His Leu Lys Leu Thr Gln Val Pro Asp Asp 35 45 40 Leu Pro Thr Asn Ile Thr Val Leu Asn Leu Thr His Asn Gln Leu Arg 50 55 60

Arg Leu Pro Ala Ala Asn Phe Thr Arg Tyr Ser Gln Leu Thr Ser Leu65707580

Asp Val Gly Phe Asn Thr Ile Ser Lys Leu Glu Pro Glu Leu Cys Gln 85 90 95

Lys Leu Pro Met Leu Lys Val Leu Asn Leu Gln His Asn Glu Leu Ser 100 105 110

Gln Leu Ser Asp Lys Thr Phe Ala Phe Cys Thr Asn Leu Thr Glu Leu 115 120 125



46

-continued

His Leu Met 130	: Ser Asn	Ser Ile 135	-	ys Ile	Lys As: 14		Pro	Phe	Val
Lys Gln Ly: 145	s Asn Leu	Ile Thr 150	Leu As	-	Ser Hi 155	s Asn	Gly	Leu	Ser 160
Ser Thr Ly:	5 Leu Gly 165		Val Gl	ln Leu 170	Glu Ası	n Leu	Gln	Glu 175	Leu
Leu Leu Se:	Asn Asn 180	Lys Ile		la Leu 85	Lys Se:	r Glu	Glu 190	Leu	Asp
Ile Phe Ala	a Asn Ser	Ser Leu	Lys Ly	ys Leu	Glu Lei	ı Ser	Ser	Asn	Gln

1	195			200	1				205			
Ile Lys G 210	Glu Phe	Ser Pro	Gly 215	Суз	Phe	His	Ala	Ile 220	Gly	Arg	Leu	Phe
Gly Leu F 225	Phe Leu	Asn Asn 230	Val	Gln	Leu	Gly	Pro 235	Ser	Leu	Thr	Glu	Lys 240
Leu Cys I	Leu Glu	Leu Ala 245	Asn	Thr	Ser	Ile 250	Arg	Asn	Leu	Ser	Leu 255	Ser
Asn Ser G	Gln Leu 260	Ser Thr	Thr	Ser	Asn 265	Thr	Thr	Phe	Leu	Gly 270	Leu	Lys
Trp Thr A 2	Asn Leu 275	Thr Met	Leu	Asp 280	Leu	Ser	Tyr	Asn	Asn 285	Leu	Asn	Val
Val Gly A 290	Asn Asp	Ser Phe	Ala 295	Trp	Leu	Pro	Gln	Leu 300	Glu	Tyr	Phe	Phe
Leu Glu I 305	Fyr Asn	Asn Ile 310	Gln	His	Leu	Phe	Ser 315	His	Ser	Leu	His	Gly 320
Leu Phe A		Arg Tyr 325	Leu	Asn	Leu	Lys 330	Arg	Ser	Phe	Thr	Lys 335	Gln

Ser Ile Ser Leu Ala Ser Leu Pro Lys Ile Asp Asp Phe Ser Phe Gln

			340					345		-	-		350		
Trp	Leu	Lys 355	Cys	Leu	Glu	His	Leu 360	Asn	Met	Glu	Asp	Asn 365	Asp	Ile	Pro
Gly	Ile 370	Lys	Ser	Asn	Met	Phe 375	Thr	Gly	Leu	Ile	Asn 380	Leu	Lys	Tyr	Leu
Ser 385	Leu	Ser	Asn	Ser	Phe 390	Thr	Ser	Leu	Arg	Thr 395	Leu	Thr	Asn	Glu	Thr 400
Phe	Val	Ser	Leu	Ala 405	His	Ser	Pro	Leu	His 410	Ile	Leu	Asn	Leu	Thr 415	Lys
Asn	Lys	Ile	Ser 420	Lys	Ile	Glu	Ser	Asp 425	Ala	Phe	Ser	Trp	Leu 430	Gly	His
Leu	Glu	Val 435	Leu	Asp	Leu	Gly	Leu 440	Asn	Glu	Ile	Gly	Gln 445	Glu	Leu	Thr
Gly	Gln 450	Glu	Trp	Arg	Gly	Leu 455	Glu	Asn	Ile	Phe	Glu 460	Ile	Tyr	Leu	Ser
Tyr 465	Asn	Lys	Tyr	Leu	Gln 470	Leu	Thr	Arg	Asn	Ser 475	Phe	Ala	Leu	Val	Pro 480

Ser Leu Gln Arg Leu Met Leu Arg Arg Val Ala Leu Lys Asn Val Asp

490

485

495

Ser Ser Pro Ser Pro Phe Gln Pro Leu Arg Asn Leu Thr Ile Leu Asp 500 510

Leu Ser Asn Asn Asn Ile Ala Asn Ile Asn Asp Asp Met Leu Glu Gly 515 520 525

Leu Glu Lys Leu Glu Ile Leu Asp Leu Gln His Asn Asn Leu Ala Arg 530 535 540

47

48

-continued

Leu 545	Trp	Lys	His	Ala	Asn 550	Pro	Gly	Gly	Pro	Ile 555	Tyr	Phe	Leu	Lys	Gly 560
Leu	Ser	His	Leu	His 565	Ile	Leu	Asn	Leu	Glu 570	Ser	Asn	Gly	Phe	Asp 575	Glu
Ile	Pro	Val	Glu 580	Val	Phe	Lys	Asp	Leu 585	Phe	Glu	Leu	Lys	Ile 590	Ile	Asp
Leu	Gly	Leu 595	Asn	Asn	Leu	Asn	Thr 600	Leu	Pro	Ala	Ser	Val 605	Phe	Asn	Asn
Gln	Val 610	Ser	Leu	Lys	Ser	Leu 615	Asn	Leu	Gln	Lys	Asn 620	Leu	Ile	Thr	Ser

Val Glu 625	Lys	Lys	Val	Phe 630	Gly	Pro	Ala	Phe	Arg 635	Asn	Leu	Thr	Glu	Leu 640
Asp Met	Arg	Phe	Asn 645	Pro	Phe	Asp	Cys	Thr 650	Cys	Glu	Ser	Ile	Ala 655	Trp
Phe Val		Trp 660	Ile	Asn	Glu	Thr	His 665	Thr	Asn	Ile	Pro	Glu 670	Leu	Ser
Ser His	Tyr 675	Leu	Суз	Asn	Thr	Pro 680	Pro	His	Tyr	His	Gly 685	Phe	Pro	Val
Arg Leu 690	Phe	Asp	Thr	Ser	Ser 695	Cys	Lys	Asp	Ser	Ala 700	Pro	Phe	Glu	Leu
Phe Phe 705	Met	Ile	Asn	Thr 710	Ser	Ile	Leu	Leu	Ile 715	Phe	Ile	Phe	Ile	Val 720
Leu Leu	Ile	His	Phe 725	Glu	Gly	Trp	Arg	Ile 730	Ser	Phe	Tyr	Trp	Asn 735	Val
Ser Val		Arg 740	Val	Leu	Gly	Phe	Lys 745	Glu	Ile	Asp	Arg	Gln 750	Thr	Glu
Gln Phe	Glu 755	Tyr	Ala	Ala	Tyr	Ile 760	Ile	His	Ala	Tyr	Lys 765	Asp	Lys	Asp

Trp Val 770	-	Glu	His	Phe	Ser 775	Ser	Met	Glu	Lys	Glu 780	Asp	Gln	Ser	Leu
Lys Phe 785	e Cys	Leu	Glu	Glu 790	Arg	Asp	Phe	Glu	Ala 795	Gly	Val	Phe	Glu	Leu 800
Glu Ala	a Ile	Val	Asn 805	Ser	Ile	Lys	Arg	Ser 810	Arg	Lys	Ile	Ile	Phe 815	Val
Ile Th	: His	His 820	Leu	Leu	Lys	Asp	Pro 825	Leu	Cys	Lys	Arg	Phe 830	Lys	Val
His His	835 Ala	Val	Gln	Gln	Ala	Ile 840	Glu	Gln	Asn	Leu	Asp 845	Ser	Ile	Ile
Leu Val 850		Leu	Glu	Glu	Ile 855	Pro	Asp	Tyr	Lys	Leu 860	Asn	His	Ala	Leu
Суз Leu 865	ı Arg	Arg	Gly	Met 870	Phe	Lys	Ser	His	Cys 875	Ile	Leu	Asn	Trp	Pro 880
Val Glr	ı Lys	Glu	Arg 885	Ile	Gly	Ala	Phe	Arg 890	His	Lys	Leu	Gln	Val 895	Ala

Leu Gly Ser Lys Asn Ser Val His

900

<210> SEQ ID NO 4 <211> LENGTH: 798 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 4

Met Glu Leu Asn Phe Tyr Lys Ile Pro Asp Asn Leu Pro Phe Ser Thr 1 5 10 15

49

50

-continued

Lys	Asn	Leu	Asp 20	Leu	Ser	Phe	Asn	Pro 25	Leu	Arg	His	Leu	Gly 30	Ser	Tyr
Ser	Phe	Phe 35	Ser	Phe	Pro	Glu	Leu 40	Gln	Val	Leu	Asp	Leu 45	Ser	Arg	Суз
Glu	Ile 50	Gln	Thr	Ile	Glu	Asp 55	Gly	Ala	Tyr	Gln	Ser 60	Leu	Ser	His	Leu
Ser 65	Thr	Leu	Ile	Leu	Thr 70	Gly	Asn	Pro	Ile	Gln 75	Ser	Leu	Ala	Leu	Gly 80
Ala	Phe	Ser	Gly	Leu 85	Ser	Ser	Leu	Gln	Lys 90	Leu	Val	Ala	Val	Glu 95	Thr
Asn	Leu	Ala	Ser 100	Leu	Glu	Asn	Phe	Pro 105	Ile	Gly	His	Leu	Lys 110	Thr	Leu
Lys	Glu	Leu 115	Asn	Val	Ala	His	Asn 120	Leu	Ile	Gln	Ser	Phe 125	Lys	Leu	Pro
	Tyr 130					135					140	-			
145	Lys				150					155					160
	Pro			165				_	170					175	
	Ile		180	-			-	185		-			190		
	Arg	195			-		200				-	205	-		
-	Leu 210		-			215		-			220	-			
225	Glu	_			230	_			_	235				_	240
	Asn			245					250					255	
	Asp	-	260		_			265	-				270		
	Ser	275					280		-		-	285			-
	Phe 290 Pro	_	_			295					300	-		_	
305	Pro			-	310	-			-	315					320
	Lys Leu	-	-	325					330	-				335	
	Ser	_	340		_		_	345			_	_	350	-	
7	<u>~</u>	355		-	Mat		360	u	- <i>i</i> ~	- <u>;</u> -	<u> </u>	365	<u>a</u>		

Asn Gly Val Ile Thr Met Ser Ser Asn Phe Leu Gly Leu Glu Gln Leu

370 375 380

Glu His Leu Asp Phe Gln His Ser Asn Leu Lys Gln Met Ser Glu Phe 395 390 395 400

Ser Val Phe Leu Ser Leu Arg Asn Leu Ile Tyr Leu Asp Ile Ser His 405 410 415

Thr His Thr Arg Val Ala Phe Asn Gly Ile Phe Asn Gly Leu Ser Ser 420 425 430

51

52

-continued

Leu	Glu	Val 435	Leu	Lys	Met	Ala	Gly 440	Asn	Ser	Phe	Gln	Glu 445	Asn	Phe	Leu	
Pro	Asp 450	Ile	Phe	Thr	Glu	Leu 455	Arg	Asn	Leu	Thr	Phe 460	Leu	Asp	Leu	Ser	
Gln 465	Cys	Gln	Leu	Glu	Gln 470	Leu	Ser	Pro	Thr	Ala 475	Phe	Asn	Ser	Leu	Ser 480	
Ser	Leu	Gln	Val	Leu 485	Asn	Met	Ser	His	Asn 490	Asn	Phe	Phe	Ser	Leu 495	Asp	
Thr	Phe	Pro	Tyr 500	Lys	Cys	Leu	Asn	Ser 505	Leu	Gln	Val	Leu	Asp 510	Tyr	Ser	

Leu Asn	His 515	Ile	Met	Thr	Ser	Lys 520	Lys	Gln	Glu	Leu	Gln 525	His	Phe	Pro
Ser Ser 530	Leu	Ala	Phe	Leu	Asn 535	Leu	Thr	Gln	Asn	Asp 540	Phe	Ala	Cys	Thr
Cys Glu 545	His	Gln	Ser	Phe 550	Leu	Gln	Trp	Ile	Lys 555	Asp	Gln	Arg	Gln	Leu 560
Leu Val	Glu	Val	Glu 565	Arg	Met	Glu	Cys	Ala 570	Thr	Pro	Ser	Asp	Lys 575	Gln
Gly Met	Pro	Val 580	Leu	Ser	Leu	Asn	Ile 585	Thr	Cys	Gln	Met	Asn 590	Lys	Thr
Ile Ile	Gly 595	Val	Ser	Val	Leu	Ser 600	Val	Leu	Val	Val	Ser 605	Val	Val	Ala
Val Leu 610	Val	Tyr	Lys	Phe	Tyr 615	Phe	His	Leu	Met	Leu 620	Leu	Ala	Gly	Суз
Ile Lys 625	Tyr	Gly	Arg	Gly 630	Glu	Asn	Ile	Tyr	Asp 635	Ala	Phe	Val	Ile	Tyr 640
Ser Ser	Gln	Asp	Glu 645	Asp	Trp	Val	Arg	Asn 650	Glu	Leu	Val	Lys	Asn 655	Leu
Glu Glu	Gly	Val 660	Pro	Pro	Phe	Gln	Leu 665	Суз	Leu	His	Tyr	Arg 670	Asp	Phe
Ile Pro	Gly 675	Val	Ala	Ile	Ala	Ala 680	Asn	Ile	Ile	His	Glu 685	Gly	Phe	His
Lys Ser 690	Arg	Lys	Val	Ile	Val 695	Val	Val	Ser	Gln	His 700	Phe	Ile	Gln	Ser
Arg Trp 705	Суз	Ile	Phe	Glu 710	Tyr	Glu	Ile	Ala	Gln 715	Thr	Trp	Gln	Phe	Leu 720
Ser Ser	Arg	Ala	Gly 725	Ile	Ile	Phe	Ile	Val 730	Leu	Gln	Lys	Val	Glu 735	Lys
Thr Leu	Leu	Arg 740	Gln	Gln	Val	Glu	Leu 745	Tyr	Arg	Leu	Leu	Ser 750	Arg	Asn
Thr Tyr	Leu 755	Glu	Trp	Glu	Asp	Ser 760	Val	Leu	Gly	Arg	His 765	Ile	Phe	Trp
Arg Arg 770	Leu	Arg	Lys	Ala	Leu 775	Leu	Asp	Gly	Lys	Ser 780	Trp	Asn	Pro	Glu
Gly Thr									_	_	_			

<210> SEQ ID NO 5 <211> LENGTH: 858 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 5

Met Gly Asp His Leu Asp Leu Leu Leu Gly Val Val Leu Met Ala Gly 1 5 10 15

53

54

-continued

Pro Val Phe Gly Ile Pro Ser Cys Ser Phe Asp Gly Arg Ile Ala Phe 20 25 30 Tyr Arg Phe Cys Asn Leu Thr Gln Val Pro Gln Val Leu Asn Thr Thr 35 40 45 Glu Arg Leu Leu Leu Ser Phe Asn Tyr Ile Arg Thr Val Thr Ala Ser 50 55 60 Ser Phe Pro Phe Leu Glu Gln Leu Gln Leu Leu Glu Leu Gly Ser Gln 65 70 75 80 Tyr Thr Pro Leu Thr Ile Asp Lys Glu Ala Phe Arg Asn Leu Pro Asn

тут	1111	FIO	цец	85	TTG	ЧаЧ	цур	Gru	90	FIIC	лц	ABII	Цец	95	ABII
Leu	Arg	Ile	Leu 100	Asp	Leu	Gly	Ser	Ser 105	Lys	Ile	Tyr	Phe	Leu 110	His	Pro
Asp	Ala	Phe 115	Gln	Gly	Leu	Phe	His 120	Leu	Phe	Glu	Leu	Arg 125	Leu	Tyr	Phe
Суз	Gly 130	Leu	Ser	Asp	Ala	Val 135	Leu	Lys	Asp	Gly	Tyr 140	Phe	Arg	Asn	Leu
Lys 145	Ala	Leu	Thr	Arg	Leu 150	Asp	Leu	Ser	Lys	Asn 155	Gln	Ile	Arg	Ser	Leu 160
Tyr	Leu	His	Pro	Ser 165	Phe	Gly	Lys	Leu	Asn 170	Ser	Leu	Lys	Ser	Ile 175	Asp
Phe	Ser	Ser	Asn 180	Gln	Ile	Phe	Leu	Val 185	Суз	Glu	His	Glu	Leu 190	Glu	Pro
Leu	Gln	Gly 195	Lys	Thr	Leu	Ser	Phe 200	Phe	Ser	Leu	Ala	Ala 205	Asn	Ser	Leu
Tyr	Ser 210	Arg	Val	Ser	Val	Asp 215	Trp	Gly	Lys	Cys	Met 220	Asn	Pro	Phe	Arg
Asn 225	Met	Val	Leu	Glu	Ile 230	Leu	Asp	Val	Ser	Gly 235	Asn	Gly	Trp	Thr	Val 240
Asp	Ile	Thr	Gly	Asn 245	Phe	Ser	Asn	Ala	Ile 250	Ser	Lys	Ser	Gln	Ala 255	Phe
Ser	Leu	Ile	Leu 260	Ala	His	His	Ile	Met 265	Gly	Ala	Gly	Phe	Gly 270	Phe	His
Asn	Ile	Lys 275	Asp	Pro	Asp	Gln	Asn 280	Thr	Phe	Ala	Gly	Leu 285	Ala	Arg	Ser
Ser	Val 290	Arg	His	Leu	Asp	Leu 295	Ser	His	Gly	Phe	Val 300	Phe	Ser	Leu	Asn
Ser 305	Arg	Val	Phe	Glu	Thr 310	Leu	Lys	Asp	Leu	Lys 315	Val	Leu	Asn	Leu	Ala 320
Tyr	Asn	Lys	Ile	Asn 325	Lys	Ile	Ala	Asp	Glu 330	Ala	Phe	Tyr	Gly	Leu 335	Asp
Asn	Leu	Gln	Val 340	Leu	Asn	Leu	Ser	Tyr 345	Asn	Leu	Leu	Gly	Glu 350	Leu	Tyr
Ser	Ser	Asn 355	Phe	Tyr	Gly	Leu	Pro 360	Lys	Val	Ala	Tyr	Ile 365	Asp	Leu	Gln

Lys Asn His Ile Ala Ile Ile Gln Asp Gln Thr Phe Lys Phe Leu Glu

370 375 380

Lys Leu Gln Thr Leu Asp Leu Arg Asp Asn Ala Leu Thr Thr Ile His 395 390 395 400

Phe Ile Pro Ser Ile Pro Asp Ile Phe Leu Ser Gly Asn Lys Leu Val 405 410 415

Thr Leu Pro Lys Ile Asn Leu Thr Ala Asn Leu Ile His Leu Ser Glu420425430

55

56

-continued

Ası	n Arg	Leu 435	Glu	Asn	Leu	Asp	Ile 440	Leu	Tyr	Phe	Leu	Leu 445	Arg	Val	Pro
Hi	5 Leu 450	Gln	Ile	Leu	Ile	Leu 455	Asn	Gln	Asn	Arg	Phe 460	Ser	Ser	Cys	Ser
Gl <u>3</u> 469	7 Asp 5	Gln	Thr	Pro	Ser 470	Glu	Asn	Pro	Ser	Leu 475	Glu	Gln	Leu	Phe	Leu 480
Gl	7 Glu	Asn	Met	Leu 485	Gln	Leu	Ala	Trp	Glu 490	Thr	Glu	Leu	Cys	Trp 495	Asp
Val	. Phe	Glu	Gly 500	Leu	Ser	His	Leu	Gln 505	Val	Leu	Tyr	Leu	Asn 510	His	Asn

1	u Asn 515	Ser	Leu	Pro	Pro	Gly 520	Val	Phe	Ser	His	Leu 525	Thr	Ala	Leu
Arg Gl 53	-	Ser	Leu	Asn	Ser 535	Asn	Arg	Leu	Thr	Val 540	Leu	Ser	His	Asn
Asp Le 545	u Pro	Ala	Asn	Leu 550	Glu	Ile	Leu	Asp	Ile 555	Ser	Arg	Asn	Gln	Leu 560
Leu Al	a Pro	Asn	Pro 565	Asp	Val	Phe	Val	Ser 570	Leu	Ser	Val	Leu	Asp 575	Ile
Thr Hi	s Asn	Lys 580	Phe	Ile	Суз	Glu	Cys 585	Glu	Leu	Ser	Thr	Phe 590	Ile	Asn
Trp Le	u Asn 595	His	Thr	Asn	Val	Thr 600	Ile	Ala	Gly	Pro	Pro 605	Ala	Asp	Ile
Tyr Cy 61		Tyr	Pro	Asp	Ser 615	Phe	Ser	Gly	Val	Ser 620	Leu	Phe	Ser	Leu
Ser Th 625	r Glu	Gly	Суз	Asp 630	Glu	Glu	Glu	Val	Leu 635	Lys	Ser	Leu	Lys	Phe 640
Ser Le	u Phe	Ile	Val 645	Cys	Thr	Val	Thr	Leu 650	Thr	Leu	Phe	Leu	Met 655	Thr
Ile Le	u Thr	Val 660	Thr	Lys	Phe	Arg	Gly 665	Phe	Cys	Phe	Ile	Cys 670	Tyr	Lys
Thr Al	a Gln 675	Arg	Leu	Val	Phe	Lys 680	Asp	His	Pro	Gln	Gly 685	Thr	Glu	Pro
Asp Me 69	_	Lys	Tyr	Asp	Ala 695	Tyr	Leu	Cys	Phe	Ser 700	Ser	Lys	Asp	Phe
—	0	_	_		695	_		_		700		-		
69 Thr Tr	0 p Val	Gln	Asn	Ala 710	695 Leu	Leu	Lys	His	Leu 715	700 Asp	Thr	Gln	Tyr	Ser 720
69 Thr Tr 705	0 p Val n Asn	Gln Arg	Asn Phe 725	Ala 710 Asn	695 Leu Leu	Leu Cys	Lys Phe	His Glu 730	Leu 715 Glu	700 Asp Arg	Thr Asp	Gln Phe	Tyr Val 735	Ser 720 Pro
69 Thr Tr 705 Asp Gl	0 p Val n Asn u Asn	Gln Arg Arg 740	Asn Phe 725 Ile	Ala 710 Asn Ala	695 Leu Leu Asn	Leu Cys Ile	Lys Phe Gln 745	His Glu 730 Asp	Leu 715 Glu Ala	700 Asp Arg Ile	Thr Asp Trp	Gln Phe Asn 750	Tyr Val 735 Ser	Ser 720 Pro Arg
69 Thr Tr 705 Asp Gl Gly Gl	0 Val n Asn u Asn e Val 755 u Ala	Gln Arg 740 Cys	Asn Phe 725 Ile Leu	Ala 710 Asn Ala Val	695 Leu Leu Asn Ser	Leu Cys Ile Arg 760	Lys Phe Gln 745 His	His Glu 730 Asp Phe	Leu 715 Glu Ala Leu	700 Asp Arg Ile Arg	Thr Asp Trp Asp 765	Gln Phe Asn 750 Gly	Tyr Val 735 Ser Trp	Ser 720 Pro Arg Cys

Met Lys His Gln Ser Ile Arg Gly Phe Val Gln Lys Gln Gln Tyr Leu 805 810 815

Ser Gln Gln Ile Leu Lys Lys Glu Lys Glu Lys Lys Lys Asp Asn Asn 835 840 845

Ile Pro Leu Gln Thr Val Ala Thr Ile Ser

57

855

58

-continued

<210> SEQ ID NO 6

<211> LENGTH: 796

<212> TYPE: PRT

850

<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 6

Met Thr Lys Asp Lys Glu Pro Ile Val Lys Ser Phe His Phe Val Cys 1 5 10 15

Leu Met Ile Ile Ile Val Gly Thr Arg Ile Gln Phe Ser Asp Gly Asn

Цец	Met	TTG	20	TTG	var	Gry	1111	25 25	TTG	GIII	FIIC	DEI	30	Gry	ABII
Glu	Phe	Ala 35	Val	Asp	Lys	Ser	Lys 40	Arg	Gly	Leu	Ile	His 45	Val	Pro	Lys
Asp	Leu 50	Pro	Leu	Lys	Thr	Lys 55	Val	Leu	Asp	Met	Ser 60	Gln	Asn	Tyr	Ile
Ala 65	Glu	Leu	Gln	Val	Ser 70	Asp	Met	Ser	Phe	Leu 75	Ser	Glu	Leu	Thr	Val 80
Leu	Arg	Leu	Ser	His 85	Asn	Arg	Ile	Gln	Leu 90	Leu	Asp	Leu	Ser	Val 95	Phe
Lys	Phe	Asn	Gln 100	Asp	Leu	Glu	Tyr	Leu 105	Asp	Leu	Ser	His	Asn 110	Gln	Leu
Gln	Lys	Ile 115	Ser	Суз	His	Pro	Ile 120	Val	Ser	Phe	Arg	His 125	Leu	Asp	Leu
Ser	Phe 130	Asn	Asp	Phe	Lys	Ala 135	Leu	Pro	Ile	Cys	Lys 140	Glu	Phe	Gly	Asn
Leu 145	Ser	Gln	Leu	Asn	Phe 150	Leu	Gly	Leu	Ser	Ala 155	Met	Lys	Leu	Gln	Lys 160
Leu	Asp	Leu	Leu	Pro 165	Ile	Ala	His	Leu	His 170	Leu	Ser	Tyr	Ile	Leu 175	Leu
Asp	Leu	Arg	Asn 180	Tyr	Tyr	Ile	Lys	Glu 185	Asn	Glu	Thr	Glu	Ser 190	Leu	Gln
	Leu	195		-			200					205			
	Ala 210					215					220	-	-		
225	Thr			-	230		_	_		235					240
	Leu			245		-	-		250					255	
	His		260				-	265			_		270		
	Trp	275	-				280				_	285			
	Glu 290			-		295	-			-	300	-			
LVG	ΔIA	1.011	'l'hr	ILA	(2 1)	Hig		'l'hr	Δgn	Gln	Val	Phe	1.011	Phe	Ser

Lys Ala Leu Thr Ile Glu His Ile Thr Asn Gln Val Phe Leu Phe Ser

305 310 315

320

Gln Thr Ala Leu Tyr Thr Val Phe Ser Glu Met Asn Ile Met Met Leu 325 330 335

Thr Ile Ser Asp Thr Pro Phe Ile His Met Leu Cys Pro His Ala Pro 340 345 350

Ser Thr Phe Lys Phe Leu Asn Phe Thr Gln Asn Val Phe Thr Asp Ser 355 360 365

59

60

-continued

Il	e Phe 370	Glu	Lys	Суз	Ser	Thr 375	Leu	Val	Lys	Leu	Glu 380	Thr	Leu	Ile	Leu
G1 38	n Lys 5	Asn	Gly	Leu	Lys 390	Asp	Leu	Phe	Lys	Val 395	Gly	Leu	Met	Thr	Lys 400
As	p Met	Pro	Ser	Leu 405	Glu	Ile	Leu	Aab	Val 410	Ser	Trp	Asn	Ser	Leu 415	Glu
Se	r Gly	Arg	His 420	Lys	Glu	Asn	Cys	Thr 425	Trp	Val	Glu	Ser	Ile 430	Val	Val
Le	u Asn	Leu 435	Ser	Ser	Asn	Met	Leu 440	Thr	Asp	Ser	Val	Phe 445	Arg	Cys	Leu

Pro	Pro 450	Arg	Ile	Lys	Val	Leu 455	Asp	Leu	His	Ser	Asn 460	Lys	Ile	Lys	Ser
Val 465	Pro	Lys	Gln	Val	Val 470	Lys	Leu	Glu	Ala	Leu 475	Gln	Glu	Leu	Asn	Val 480
Ala	Phe	Asn	Ser	Leu 485	Thr	Asp	Leu	Pro	Gly 490	Cys	Gly	Ser	Phe	Ser 495	Ser
Leu	Ser	Val	Leu 500	Ile	Ile	Asp	His	Asn 505	Ser	Val	Ser	His	Pro 510	Ser	Ala
Asp	Phe	Phe 515	Gln	Ser	Cys	Gln	Lys 520	Met	Arg	Ser	Ile	Lys 525	Ala	Gly	Asp
Asn	Pro 530	Phe	Gln	Суз	Thr	Cys 535	Glu	Leu	Arg	Glu	Phe 540	Val	Lys	Asn	Ile
Asp 545	Gln	Val	Ser	Ser	Glu 550	Val	Leu	Glu	Gly	Trp 555	Pro	Asp	Ser	Tyr	Lys 560
Суз	Asp	Tyr	Pro	Glu 565	Ser	Tyr	Arg	Gly	Ser 570	Pro	Leu	Lys	Asp	Phe 575	His
Met	Ser	Glu	Leu 580	Ser	Суз	Asn	Ile	Thr 585	Leu	Leu	Ile	Val	Thr 590	Ile	Gly
Ala	Thr	Met 595	Leu	Val	Leu	Ala	Val 600	Thr	Val	Thr	Ser	Leu 605	Суз	Ile	Tyr
Leu	Asp 610	Leu	Pro	Trp	Tyr	Leu 615	Arg	Met	Val	Суз	Gln 620	Trp	Thr	Gln	Thr
Arg 625	Arg	Arg	Ala	Arg	Asn 630	Ile	Pro	Leu	Glu	Glu 635	Leu	Gln	Arg	Asn	Leu 640
Gln	Phe	His	Ala	Phe 645	Ile	Ser	Tyr	Ser	Glu 650	His	Asp	Ser	Ala	Trp 655	Val
Lys	Ser	Glu	Leu 660	Val	Pro	Tyr	Leu	Glu 665	Lys	Glu	Asp	Ile	Gln 670	Ile	Суз
Leu	His	Glu 675	Arg	Asn	Phe	Val	Pro 680	Gly	Lys	Ser	Ile	Val 685	Glu	Asn	Ile
TIA	Asn	Cys	Ile	Glu	Lys	Ser 695	Tyr	Lys	Ser	Ile	Phe 700	Val	Leu	Ser	Pro
TIC	690					000									
	690 Phe	Val	Gln	Ser	Glu 710		Суз	His	Tyr	Glu 715	Leu	Tyr	Phe	Ala	His 720

Glu Pro Ile Pro Gln Asn Ser Ile Pro Asn Lys Tyr His Lys Leu Lys

745

750

Ala Leu Met Thr Gln Arg Thr Tyr Leu Gln Trp Pro Lys Glu Lys Ser 755 760 765

Lys Arg Gly Leu Phe Trp Ala Asn Ile Arg Ala Ala Phe Asn Met Lys 770 780

Leu Thr Leu Val Thr Glu Asn Asn Asp Val Lys Ser

740

US 10,267,797 B2 61 62 -continued 785 790 795 <210> SEQ ID NO 7 <211> LENGTH: 1049 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens <400> SEQUENCE: 7 Met Val Phe Pro Met Trp Thr Leu Lys Arg Gln Ile Leu Ile Leu Phe 5 10 15 1

Asn Ile Ile Leu Ile Ser Lys Leu Leu Gly Ala Arg Trp Phe Pro Lys

ABII	TTG	TTG	20	TTG	DET	цүр	Цец	<u>1</u> ец 25	Gry	лια	лц	тър	30	FIO	цүр
Thr	Leu	Pro 35	Суз	Asp	Val	Thr	Leu 40	Asp	Val	Pro	Lys	Asn 45	His	Val	Ile
Val	Asp 50	Cys	Thr	Asp	Lys	His 55	Leu	Thr	Glu	Ile	Pro 60	Gly	Gly	Ile	Pro
Thr 65	Asn	Thr	Thr	Asn	Leu 70	Thr	Leu	Thr	Ile	Asn 75	His	Ile	Pro	Asp	Ile 80
Ser	Pro	Ala	Ser	Phe 85	His	Arg	Leu	Asp	His 90	Leu	Val	Glu	Ile	Asp 95	Phe
Arg	Суз	Asn	Cys 100	Val	Pro	Ile	Pro	Leu 105	Gly	Ser	Lys	Asn	Asn 110	Met	Сув
Ile	Lys	Arg 115	Leu	Gln	Ile	Lys	Pro 120	Arg	Ser	Phe	Ser	Gly 125	Leu	Thr	Tyr
Leu	Lys 130	Ser	Leu	Tyr	Leu	Asp 135	Gly	Asn	Gln	Leu	Leu 140	Glu	Ile	Pro	Gln
Gly 145	Leu	Pro	Pro	Ser	Leu 150	Gln	Leu	Leu	Ser	Leu 155	Glu	Ala	Asn	Asn	Ile 160
Phe	Ser	Ile	Arg	Lys 165	Glu	Asn	Leu	Thr	Glu 170	Leu	Ala	Asn	Ile	Glu 175	Ile
Leu	Tyr	Leu	Gly 180	Gln	Asn	Cys	Tyr	Tyr 185	Arg	Asn	Pro	Суз	Tyr 190	Val	Ser
Tyr	Ser	Ile 195	Glu	Lys	Asp	Ala	Phe 200	Leu	Asn	Leu	Thr	Lys 205	Leu	Lys	Val
Leu	Ser 210	Leu	Lys	Asp	Asn	Asn 215	Val	Thr	Ala	Val	Pro 220	Thr	Val	Leu	Pro
Ser 225	Thr	Leu	Thr	Glu	Leu 230	Tyr	Leu	Tyr	Asn	Asn 235	Met	Ile	Ala	Lys	Ile 240
Gln	Glu	Asp	Asp	Phe 245	Asn	Asn	Leu	Asn	Gln 250	Leu	Gln	Ile	Leu	Asp 255	Leu
Ser	Gly	Asn	Cys 260	Pro	Arg	Суз	Tyr	Asn 265	Ala	Pro	Phe	Pro	Cys 270	Ala	Pro
Суз	Lys	Asn 275	Asn	Ser	Pro	Leu	Gln 280	Ile	Pro	Val	Asn	Ala 285	Phe	Asp	Ala
Leu	Thr 290	Glu	Leu	Lys	Val	Leu 295	Arg	Leu	His	Ser	Asn 300	Ser	Leu	Gln	His
	_	_	_	_		_	_		_	_	_			_	_

Val Pro Pro Arg Trp Phe Lys Asn Ile Asn Lys Leu Gln Glu Leu Asp

310 315

305

320

Leu Ser Gln Asn Phe Leu Ala Lys Glu Ile Gly Asp Ala Lys Phe Leu 325 330 335

His Phe Leu Pro Ser Leu Ile Gln Leu Asp Leu Ser Phe Asn Phe Glu 340 345 350

Leu Gln Val Tyr Arg Ala Ser Met Asn Leu Ser Gln Ala Phe Ser Ser 355 360 365

63

64

-continued

Leu	Lys 370	Ser	Leu	Lys	Ile	Leu 375	Arg	Ile	Arg	Gly	Tyr 380	Val	Phe	Lys	Glu	
Leu 385	Lys	Ser	Phe	Asn	Leu 390	Ser	Pro	Leu	His	Asn 395	Leu	Gln	Asn	Leu	Glu 400	
Val	Leu	Asp	Leu	Gly 405	Thr	Asn	Phe	Ile	Lys 410	Ile	Ala	Asn	Leu	Ser 415	Met	
Phe	Lys	Gln	Phe 420	Lys	Arg	Leu	Lys	Val 425	Ile	Asp	Leu	Ser	Val 430	Asn	Lys	
Ile	Ser	Pro 435	Ser	Gly	Asp	Ser	Ser 440	Glu	Val	Gly	Phe	Cys 445	Ser	Asn	Ala	

Arg	Thr 450	Ser	Val	Glu	Ser	Tyr 455	Glu	Pro	Gln	Val	Leu 460	Glu	Gln	Leu	His
Tyr 465	Phe	Arg	Tyr	Asp	Lys 470	Tyr	Ala	Arg	Ser	Cys 475	Arg	Phe	Lys	Asn	Lys 480
Glu	Ala	Ser	Phe	Met 485	Ser	Val	Asn	Glu	Ser 490	Cys	Tyr	Lys	Tyr	Gly 495	Gln
Thr	Leu	Asp	Leu 500	Ser	Lys	Asn	Ser	Ile 505	Phe	Phe	Val	Lys	Ser 510	Ser	Asp
Phe	Gln	His 515	Leu	Ser	Phe	Leu	Lys 520	Суз	Leu	Asn	Leu	Ser 525	Gly	Asn	Leu
Ile	Ser 530	Gln	Thr	Leu	Asn	Gly 535	Ser	Glu	Phe	Gln	Pro 540	Leu	Ala	Glu	Leu
Arg 545	Tyr	Leu	Asp	Phe	Ser 550	Asn	Asn	Arg	Leu	Asp 555	Leu	Leu	His	Ser	Thr 560
Ala	Phe	Glu	Glu	Leu 565	His	Lys	Leu	Glu	Val 570	Leu	Asp	Ile	Ser	Ser 575	Asn
Ser	His	Tyr	Phe 580	Gln	Ser	Glu	Gly	Ile 585	Thr	His	Met	Leu	Asn 590	Phe	Thr
Lys	Asn	Leu 595	Lys	Val	Leu	Gln	Lys 600	Leu	Met	Met	Asn	Asp 605	Asn	Asp	Ile
Ser	Ser 610	Ser	Thr	Ser	Arg	Thr 615	Met	Glu	Ser	Glu	Ser 620	Leu	Arg	Thr	Leu
Glu 625	Phe	Arg	Gly	Asn	His 630	Leu	Asp	Val	Leu	Trp 635	Arg	Glu	Gly	Asp	Asn 640
Arg	Tyr	Leu	Gln	Leu 645	Phe	Lys	Asn	Leu	Leu 650	Lys	Leu	Glu	Glu	Leu 655	Asp
Ile	Ser	Lys	Asn 660	Ser	Leu	Ser	Phe	Leu 665	Pro	Ser	Gly	Val	Phe 670	Asp	Gly
Met	Pro	Pro 675	Asn	Leu	Lys	Asn	Leu 680	Ser	Leu	Ala	Lys	Asn 685	Gly	Leu	Lys
Ser	Phe 690	Ser	Trp	Lys	Lys	Leu 695	Gln	Cys	Leu	Lys	Asn 700	Leu	Glu	Thr	Leu
Asp 705	Leu	Ser	His	Asn	Gln 710	Leu	Thr	Thr	Val	Pro 715	Glu	Arg	Leu	Ser	Asn 720

Ser Leu Thr Lys Tyr Phe Leu Gln Asp Ala Phe Gln Leu Arg Tyr Leu 740 745 750

Asp Leu Ser Ser Asn Lys Ile Gln Met Ile Gln Lys Thr Ser Phe Pro 755 760 765

Glu Asn Val Leu Asn Asn Leu Lys Met Leu Leu Leu His His Asn Arg 770 780

Phe Leu Cys Thr Cys Asp Ala Val Trp Phe Val Trp Trp Val Asn His

-continued

785					790					795					800
Thr G	lu	Val	Thr	Ile 805	Pro	Tyr	Leu	Ala	Thr 810	Asp	Val	Thr	Суз	Val 815	Gly
Pro G	ly		His 820	Lys	Gly	Gln	Ser	Val 825	Ile	Ser	Leu	Asp	Leu 830	Tyr	Thr
Cys G		Leu 835	Asp	Leu	Thr	Asn	Leu 840	Ile	Leu	Phe	Ser	Leu 845	Ser	Ile	Ser
Val Se 8	er 50	Leu	Phe	Leu	Met	Val 855	Met	Met	Thr	Ala	Ser 860	His	Leu	Tyr	Phe

Trp Asp 865	Val Trp	Tyr Ile 870	-	His	Phe	Cys	Lys 875	Ala	Lys	Ile	Lys	Gly 880
Tyr Gln	Arg Leu	Ile Sei 885	Pro	Asp	Cys	Cys 890	Tyr	Asp	Ala	Phe	Ile 895	Val
Tyr Asp	Thr Lys 900	-) Ala	Val	Thr 905	Glu	Trp	Val	Leu	Ala 910	Glu	Leu
Val Ala	Lys Leu 915	Glu As <u>r</u>) Pro	Arg 920	Glu	Lys	His	Phe	Asn 925	Leu	Суз	Leu
Glu Glu 930	Arg Asp	Trp Leu	l Pro 935	Gly	Gln	Pro	Val	Leu 940	Glu	Asn	Leu	Ser
Gln Ser 945	Ile Gln	. Leu Sei 950	-	Lys	Thr	Val	Phe 955	Val	Met	Thr	Asp	Lys 960
Tyr Ala	Lys Thr	Glu Asr 965	n Phe	Lys	Ile	Ala 970	Phe	Tyr	Leu	Ser	His 975	Gln
Arg Leu	Met Asp 980	-	val	Asp	Val 985	Ile	Ile	Leu	Ile	Phe 990	Leu	Glu
Lys Pro	Phe Gln 995	Lys Sei	Lys	Phe 1000		ı Glr	n Lei	ı Arç	g Ly: 100		rg Le	eu Cys

Gly Ser Ser Val Leu Glu Trp Pro Thr Asn Pro Gln Ala His Pro

Tyr Phe Trp Gln Cys Leu Lys Asn Ala Leu Ala Thr Asp Asn His

Val Ala Tyr Ser Gln Val Phe Lys Glu Thr Val

<210> SEQ ID NO 8

<211> LENGTH: 1041

<212> TYPE: PRT

<213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 8

Met Glu Asn Met Phe Leu Gln Ser Ser Met Leu Thr Cys Ile Phe Leu Leu Ile Ser Gly Ser Cys Glu Leu Cys Ala Glu Glu Asn Phe Ser Arg Ser Tyr Pro Cys Asp Glu Lys Lys Gln Asn Asp Ser Val Ile Ala Glu

Cys Ser Asn Arg Arg Leu Gln Glu Val Pro Gln Thr Val Gly Lys Tyr

Val Thr Glu Leu Asp Leu Ser Asp Asn Phe Ile Thr His Ile Thr Asn

Glu Ser Phe Gln Gly Leu Gln Asn Leu Thr Lys Ile Asn Leu Asn His

Asn Pro Asn Val Gln His Gln Asn Gly Asn Pro Gly Ile Gln Ser Asn

67

68

-continued

Gly Leu Asn 115	Ile Thr	Asp Gly	Ala P 120	Phe Leu	Asn Leu	. Lys 125	Asn	Leu	Arg
Glu Leu Leu 130	Leu Glu	Asp Asn 135	Gln L	Leu Pro	Gln Ile 140		Ser	Gly	Leu
Pro Glu Ser 145	Leu Thr	Glu Leu 150	Ser L		Gln Asn 155	Asn	Ile	Tyr	Asn 160
Ile Thr Lys	Glu Gly 165	Ile Ser	Arg L	Leu Ile 170	Asn Leu	. Lys	Asn	Leu 175	Tyr
Leu Ala Trp	Asn Cys 180	Tyr Phe		Lys Val 185	Cys Glu	. Lys	Thr 190	Asn	Ile

Glu Asp Gly 195	Val Phe	Glu Thr	Leu Tl 200	hr Asn	Leu (Leu 205	Leu	Ser	Leu
Ser Phe Asn 210	Ser Leu	Ser His 215	Val P:	ro Pro	-	Leu 220	Pro	Ser	Ser	Leu
Arg Lys Leu 225	Phe Leu	Ser Asn 230	Thr G		Lys 235	Tyr	Ile	Ser	Glu	Glu 240
Asp Phe Lys	Gly Leu 245	Ile Asn	Leu Tl	hr Leu 250	Leu .	Asp	Leu	Ser	Gly 255	Asn
Cys Pro Arg	Cys Phe 260	Asn Ala		he Pro 65	CÀa .	Val	Pro	Cys 270	Asp	Gly
Gly Ala Ser 275	Ile Asn	Ile Asp	Arg Pl 280	he Ala	Phe (Asn 285	Leu	Thr	Gln
Leu Arg Tyr 290	Leu Asn	Leu Ser 295	Ser Tl	hr Ser		Arg 300	Lys	Ile	Asn	Ala
Ala Trp Phe 305	Lys Asn	Met Pro 310	His Le	—	Val 315	Leu	Asp	Leu	Glu	Phe 320
Asn Tyr Leu	Val Gly 325	Glu Ile	Ala So	er Gly 330	Ala	Phe	Leu	Thr	Met 335	Leu
Pro Arg Leu	Glu Ile 340	Leu Asp		er Phe 45	Asn	Tyr	Ile	Lys 350	Gly	Ser
Tyr Pro Gln 355		Asn Ile	Ser A: 360	rg Asn	Phe		Lys 365	Leu	Leu	Ser
Leu Arg Ala 370	Leu His	Leu Arg 375	Gly T	yr Val		Gln 380	Glu	Leu	Arg	Glu
Asp Asp Phe 385		Leu Met 390	Gln Le		Asn 395	Leu	Ser	Thr	Ile	Asn 400
Leu Gly Ile	Asn Phe 405	Ile Lys	Gln I	le Asp 410	Phe	Lys	Leu	Phe	Gln 415	Asn
Phe Ser Asn	Leu Glu 420	Ile Ile	-	eu Ser 25	Glu .	Asn	Arg	Ile 430	Ser	Pro
Leu Val Lys 435	Asp Thr	Arg Gln	Ser T <u>9</u> 440	yr Ala	Asn		Ser 445	Ser	Phe	Gln
Arg His Ile 450	Arg Lys	Arg Arg 455	Ser Tl	hr Asp		Glu 460	Phe	Asp	Pro	His
Ser Asn Phe 465	Tyr His	Phe Thr 470	Arg P:		Ile 475	Lys	Pro	Gln	Суз	Ala 480

Ala Tyr Gly Lys Ala Leu Asp Leu Ser Leu Asn Ser Ile Phe Phe Ile 485 490 495

Gly Pro Asn Gln Phe Glu Asn Leu Pro Asp Ile Ala Cys Leu Asn Leu 500 510

Ser Ala Asn Ser Asn Ala Gln Val Leu Ser Gly Thr Glu Phe Ser Ala 515 520 525

Ile Pro His Val Lys Tyr Leu Asp Leu Thr Asn Asn Arg Leu Asp Phe

70

-continued

	530					535					540				
Asp 545	Asn	Ala	Ser	Ala	Leu 550	Thr	Glu	Leu	Ser	Asp 555	Leu	Glu	Val	Leu	Asp 560
Leu	Ser	Tyr	Asn	Ser 565	His	Tyr	Phe	Arg	Ile 570	Ala	Gly	Val	Thr	His 575	His
Leu	Glu	Phe	Ile 580	Gln	Asn	Phe	Thr	Asn 585	Leu	Lys	Val	Leu	Asn 590	Leu	Ser
His	Asn	Asn 595	Ile	Tyr	Thr	Leu	Thr 600	Asp	Lys	Tyr	Asn	Leu 605	Glu	Ser	Lys

Ser	Leu 610	Val	Glu	Leu	Val	Phe 615	Ser	Gly	Asn	Arg	Leu 620	Asp	Ile	Leu	Trp
Asn 625	Asp	Asp	Asp	Asn	Arg 630	Tyr	Ile	Ser	Ile	Phe 635	Lys	Gly	Leu	Lys	Asn 640
Leu	Thr	Arg	Leu	Asp 645	Leu	Ser	Leu	Asn	Arg 650	Leu	Lys	His	Ile	Pro 655	Asn
Glu	Ala	Phe	Leu 660	Asn	Leu	Pro	Ala	Ser 665	Leu	Thr	Glu	Leu	His 670	Ile	Asn
Asp				_	Phe			_				Gln 685	Gln	Phe	Pro
Arg	Leu 690	Glu	Leu	Leu	Asp	Leu 695	Arg	Gly	Asn	Lys	Leu 700	Leu	Phe	Leu	Thr
Asp 705	Ser	Leu	Ser	Asp	Phe 710	Thr	Ser	Ser	Leu	Arg 715	Thr	Leu	Leu	Leu	Ser 720
His	Asn	Arg	Ile	Ser 725	His	Leu	Pro	Ser	Gly 730	Phe	Leu	Ser	Glu	Val 735	Ser
Ser	Leu	Lys	His 740	Leu	Asp	Leu	Ser	Ser 745	Asn	Leu	Leu	Lys	Thr 750	Ile	Asn

Lys	Ser	Ala 755	Leu	Glu	Thr	Lys	Thr 760	Thr	Thr	Lys	Leu	Ser 765	Met	Leu	Glu
Leu	His 770	Gly	Asn	Pro	Phe	Glu 775	Суз	Thr	Суз	Asp	Ile 780	Gly	Asp	Phe	Arg
Arg 785	Trp	Met	Asp	Glu	His 790	Leu	Asn	Val	Lys	Ile 795	Pro	Arg	Leu	Val	Asp 800
Val	Ile	Cys	Ala	Ser 805	Pro	Gly	Asp	Gln	Arg 810	Gly	Lys	Ser	Ile	Val 815	Ser
Leu	Glu	Leu	Thr 820			Val			Val	Thr	Ala	Val	Ile 830	Leu	Phe
Phe	Phe	Thr 835	Phe	Phe	Ile	Thr	Thr 840	Met	Val	Met	Leu	Ala 845	Ala	Leu	Ala
His	His 850	Leu	Phe	Tyr	Trp	Asp 855	Val	Trp	Phe	Ile	Tyr 860	Asn	Val	Суз	Leu
Ala 865	Lys	Val	Lys	Gly	Tyr 870	Arg	Ser	Leu	Ser	Thr 875	Ser	Gln	Thr	Phe	Tyr 880
Asp	Ala	Tyr	Ile	Ser 885	Tyr	Asp	Thr	Lys	Asp 890	Ala	Ser	Val	Thr	Asp 895	Trp

Val Ile Asn Glu Leu Arg Tyr His Leu Glu Glu Ser Arg Asp Lys Asn 900 910

Val Leu Leu Cys Leu Glu Glu Arg Asp Trp Asp Pro Gly Leu Ala Ile 915 920 925

Ile Asp Asn Leu Met Gln Ser Ile Asn Gln Ser Lys Lys Thr Val Phe 930 935 940

Val Leu Thr Lys Lys Tyr Ala Lys Ser Trp Asn Phe Lys Thr Ala Phe 945 950 960

71

72

-continued

1035

Tyr Leu Ala Leu Gln Arg Leu Met Asp Glu Asn Met Asp Val Ile Ile 965 970 975 Phe Ile Leu Leu Glu Pro Val Leu Gln His Ser Gln Tyr Leu Arg Leu 985 990 980 Arg Gln Arg Ile Cys Lys Ser Ser Ile Leu Gln Trp Pro Asp Asn Pro 995 1005 1000 Lys Ala Glu Gly Leu Phe Trp Gln Thr Leu Arg Asn Val Val Leu 1010 1015 1020 Thr Glu Asn Asp Ser Arg Tyr Asn Asn Met Tyr Val Asp Ser Ile

1025 1030 Lys Gln Tyr 1040

<210> SEQ ID NO 9 <211> LENGTH: 1032 <212> TYPE: PRT <213> ORGANISM: Homo sapiens

<400> SEQUENCE: 9

Met Gly Phe Cys Arg Ser Ala Leu His Pro Leu Ser Leu Leu Val Gln 10 15 1 5 Ala Ile Met Leu Ala Met Thr Leu Ala Leu Gly Thr Leu Pro Ala Phe 20 25 30 Leu Pro Cys Glu Leu Gln Pro His Gly Leu Val Asn Cys Asn Trp Leu 35 40 45 Phe Leu Lys Ser Val Pro His Phe Ser Met Ala Ala Pro Arg Gly Asn 50 55 60 Val Thr Ser Leu Ser Leu Ser Ser Asn Arg Ile His His Leu His Asp 65 70 75 80

Ser Asp Phe	Ala His 85	Leu Pr	o Ser	Leu	Arg 90	His	Leu	Asn	Leu	Lys 95	Trp
Asn Cys Pro	Pro Val 100	Gly Le	u Ser	Pro 105	Met	His	Phe	Pro	Cys 110	His	Met
Thr Ile Glu 115	Pro Ser	Thr Ph	e Leu 120	Ala	Val	Pro	Thr	Leu 125	Glu	Glu	Leu
Asn Leu Ser 130	Tyr Asn	Asn Il 13		Thr	Val	Pro	Ala 140	Leu	Pro	Lys	Ser
Leu Ile Ser 145	Leu Ser	Leu Se 150	r His	Thr	Asn	Ile 155	Leu	Met	Leu	Asp	Ser 160
Ala Ser Leu	Ala Gly 165	Leu Hi	s Ala	Leu	Arg 170	Phe	Leu	Phe	Met	Asp 175	Gly
Asn Cys Tyr	Tyr Lys 180	Asn Pr	o Cys	Arg 185	Gln	Ala	Leu	Glu	Val 190	Ala	Pro
Gly Ala Leu 195	Leu Gly	Leu Gl	y Asn 200	Leu	Thr	His	Leu	Ser 205	Leu	Lys	Tyr
Asn Asn Leu 210	Thr Val	Val Pr 21	-	Asn	Leu	Pro	Ser 220	Ser	Leu	Glu	Tyr

Leu Leu Ser Tyr Asn Arg Ile Val Lys Leu Ala Pro Glu Asp Leu225230235240Ala Asn Leu Thr Ala Leu Arg Val Leu Asp Val Gly Gly Asn Cys Arg

245 250 255

Arg Cys Asp His Ala Pro Asn Pro Cys Met Glu Cys Pro Arg His Phe 260 265 270

Pro Gln Leu His Pro Asp Thr Phe Ser His Leu Ser Arg Leu Glu Gly

74

											-	con	tinı	ued	
		275					280					285			
Leu	Val 290	Leu	Lys	Asp	Ser	Ser 295	Leu	Ser	Trp	Leu	Asn 300	Ala	Ser	Trp	Phe
Arg 305	Gly	Leu	Gly	Asn	Leu 310	Arg	Val	Leu	Asp	Leu 315	Ser	Glu	Asn	Phe	Leu 320
Tyr	Lys	Суз	Ile	Thr 325	Lys	Thr	Lys	Ala	Phe 330	Gln	Gly	Leu	Thr	Gln 335	Leu
Arg	Lys	Leu	Asn 340	Leu	Ser	Phe	Asn	Tyr 345	Gln	Lys	Arg	Val	Ser 350	Phe	Ala

His	Leu	Ser 355	Leu	Ala	Pro	Ser	Phe 360	Gly	Ser	Leu	Val	Ala 365	Leu	Lys	Glu
Leu	Asp 370	Met	His	Gly	Ile	Phe 375	Phe	Arg	Ser	Leu	Asp 380	Glu	Thr	Thr	Leu
Arg 385	Pro	Leu	Ala	Arg	Leu 390	Pro	Met	Leu	Gln	Thr 395	Leu	Arg	Leu	Gln	Met 400
Asn	Phe	Ile	Asn	Gln 405	Ala	Gln	Leu	Gly	Ile 410	Phe	Arg	Ala	Phe	Pro 415	Gly
Leu	Arg	Tyr	Val 420	Asp	Leu	Ser	-	Asn 425	Arg	Ile	Ser	Gly	Ala 430	Ser	Glu
Leu	Thr	Ala 435	Thr	Met	Gly	Glu	Ala 440	Asp	Gly	Gly	Glu	Lys 445	Val	Trp	Leu
Gln	Pro 450	Gly	Asp	Leu	Ala	Pro 455	Ala	Pro	Val	Asp	Thr 460	Pro	Ser	Ser	Glu
Asp 465	Phe	Arg	Pro	Asn	Cys 470	Ser	Thr	Leu	Asn	Phe 475	Thr	Leu	Asp	Leu	Ser 480
Arg	Asn	Asn	Leu	Val 485	Thr	Val	Gln	Pro	Glu 490	Met	Phe	Ala	Gln	Leu 495	Ser

73

His	Leu	Gln	Cys 500	Leu	Arg	Leu	Ser	His 505	Asn	Суз	Ile	Ser	Gln 510	Ala	Val
Asn	Gly	Ser 515	Gln	Phe	Leu	Pro	Leu 520	Thr	Gly	Leu	Gln	Val 525	Leu	Asp	Leu
Ser	His 530	Asn	Lys	Leu	Asp	Leu 535	Tyr	His	Glu	His	Ser 540	Phe	Thr	Glu	Leu
Pro 545	Arg	Leu	Glu	Ala	Leu 550	Asp	Leu	Ser	Tyr	Asn 555	Ser	Gln	Pro	Phe	Gly 560
Met	Gln	Gly	Val	Gly 565	His	Asn	Phe	Ser				His		Arg 575	Thr
Leu	Arg	His	Leu 580	Ser	Leu	Ala	His	Asn 585	Asn	Ile	His	Ser	Gln 590	Val	Ser
Gln	Gln	Leu 595	Cys	Ser	Thr	Ser	Leu 600	Arg	Ala	Leu	Asp	Phe 605	Ser	Gly	Asn
Ala	Leu 610	Gly	His	Met	Trp	Ala 615	Glu	Gly	Asp	Leu	Tyr 620	Leu	His	Phe	Phe
Gln 625	Gly	Leu	Ser	Gly	Leu 630	Ile	Trp	Leu	Asp	Leu 635	Ser	Gln	Asn	Arg	Leu 640

His Thr Leu Leu Pro Gln Thr Leu Arg Asn Leu Pro Lys Ser Leu Gln 645 650 655

Val Leu Arg Leu Arg Asp Asn Tyr Leu Ala Phe Phe Lys Trp Trp Ser 660 670

Leu His Phe Leu Pro Lys Leu Glu Val Leu Asp Leu Ala Gly Asn Gln 675 680 685

Leu Lys Ala Leu Thr Asn Gly Ser Leu Pro Ala Gly Thr Arg Leu Arg 690 695 700

75

76

-continued

Arg 705	Leu	Asp	Val	Ser	Cys 710	Asn	Ser	Ile	Ser	Phe 715	Val	Ala	Pro	Gly	Phe 720
Phe	Ser	Lys	Ala	Lys 725	Glu	Leu	Arg	Glu	Leu 730	Asn	Leu	Ser	Ala	Asn 735	Ala
Leu	Lys	Thr	Val 740	Asp	His	Ser	Trp	Phe 745	Gly	Pro	Leu	Ala	Ser 750	Ala	Leu
Gln	Ile	Leu 755	Asp	Val	Ser	Ala	Asn 760	Pro	Leu	His	Суз	Ala 765	Cys	Gly	Ala
Ala	Phe	Met	Asp	Phe	Leu	Leu	Glu	Val	Gln	Ala	Ala	Val	Pro	Gly	Leu

	770					775					780				
Pro 785	Ser	Arg	Val	Lys	Cys 790	Gly	Ser	Pro	Gly	Gln 795	Leu	Gln	Gly	Leu	Ser 800
Ile	Phe	Ala	Gln	Asp 805	Leu	Arg	Leu	Cys	Leu 810	Asp	Glu	Ala	Leu	Ser 815	Trp
Asp	Cys	Phe	Ala 820	Leu	Ser	Leu	Leu	Ala 825	Val	Ala	Leu	Gly	Leu 830	Gly	Val
Pro	Met	Leu 835	His	His	Leu	Суз	Gly 840	Trp	Asp	Leu	Trp	Tyr 845	Суз	Phe	His
Leu	Cys 850	Leu	Ala	Trp	Leu	Pro 855	Trp	Arg	Gly	Arg	Gln 860	Ser	Gly	Arg	Asp
Glu 865	Asp	Ala	Leu	Pro	Tyr 870	Asp	Ala	Phe	Val	Val 875	Phe	Asp	Lys	Thr	Gln 880
Ser	Ala	Val	Ala	Asp 885	Trp	Val	Tyr	Asn	Glu 890	Leu	Arg	Gly	Gln	Leu 895	Glu
Glu	Cys	Arg	Gly 900	Arg	Trp	Ala	Leu	Arg 905	Leu	Cys	Leu	Glu	Glu 910	Arg	Asp

Trp Leu Pro Gly Lys Thr Leu Phe Glu Asn Leu Trp Ala Ser Val Tyr

		915					920				_	925			_
Gly	Ser 930	Arg	Lys	Thr	Leu	Phe 935	Val	Leu	Ala	His	Thr 940	Asp	Arg	Val	Ser
Gly 945	Leu	Leu	Arg	Ala	Ser 950	Phe	Leu	Leu	Ala	Gln 955	Gln	Arg	Leu	Leu	Glu 960
Asp	Arg	Lys	Asp	Val 965	Val	Val	Leu	Val	Ile 970	Leu	Ser	Pro	Asp	Gly 975	Arg
Arg	Ser	Arg	Tyr 980	Val	Arg	Leu	Arg	Gln 985	Arg	Leu	Суз	Arg	Gln 990	Ser	Val
Leu	Leu	Trp 995	Pro	His	Gln	Pro	Ser 1000	-	7 Glr	n Arg	g Sei	r Phe 100		rp Al	la Gln
Leu	Gly 1010		: Ala	a Lei	ı Thi	r Arg 101	g As 15	ap As	en Hi	is Hi		ne 5 020	Fyr A	Asn A	Arg
Asn	Phe 1025	-	3 Glr	ı Gly	y Pro	> Th 103	r A] 30	la G]	Lu						

What is claimed is: **1**. A method of determining bacterial virulence in an oral

providing another sample of oral matter;

f. combining the another sample of oral matter and the reporter cell;

cavity comprising:
a. providing a reporter cell expressing at least one toll-like receptor;
b. providing a sample of oral matter;
c. combining the sample of oral matter and the reporter cell;
d. measuring the toll-like receptor activation;
e. providing another sample of oral matter from an individual who used an oral care composition prior to

55

g. measuring the toll-like receptor response;
h. comparing the toll-like receptor response from the sample of oral matter and another sample of oral matter.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the toll-like receptor is at least one of TLR1 to TLR9.
3. The method of claim 1, wherein the reporter cell

an 65 expresses at least of one TLR2 or TLR4.
r to 4. The method of claim 1, wherein the toll-like receptor activates a reporter gene.

77

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the reporter gene is a secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) reporter gene.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the sample of oral matter is at least one of gum-line plaque, subgingival plaque, 5 supragingival plaque, interstitial plaque, gingival crevicular fluid (GCF), gingival biopsy, saliva, or tongue swab.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the sample of oral matter comprises virulence factor that is at least one of Gram positive cell wall components, Gram negative cell wall 10 components, bacterial DNA, flagellin, peptidoglycan, bacterial metabolites, fimbriae; outer membrane vesicles bacterial proteins, or bacterial enzymes.

78

8. The method of claim 7, wherein the sample of oral matter comprises virulence factor that is at least one of 15 lipopolysaccharide or lipoteichoic acid.

9. The method of claim 1, where the sample of oral matter and the another sample of oral matter are from the same individual.

> 20 * * *