



US010237075B2

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Mosko**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,237,075 B2**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **\*Mar. 19, 2019**

(54) **RECONSTRUCTABLE CONTENT OBJECTS**

(71) Applicant: **CISCO TECHNOLOGY, INC.**, San Jose, CA (US)

(72) Inventor: **Marc E. Mosko**, Santa Cruz, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Cisco Technology, Inc.**, San Jose, CA (US)

(\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/483,826**

(22) Filed: **Apr. 10, 2017**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2017/0222812 A1 Aug. 3, 2017

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/334,386, filed on Jul. 17, 2014, now Pat. No. 9,621,354.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

**H04L 29/00** (2006.01)

**H04L 9/32** (2006.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC ..... **H04L 9/3247** (2013.01); **H04L 41/12** (2013.01); **H04L 63/123** (2013.01); **H04L 63/20** (2013.01);

(Continued)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC ..... G06F 17/00; H04L 9/32

(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

817,441 A 4/1906 Niesz  
4,309,569 A 1/1982 Merkle

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 103873371 6/2014  
DE 1720277 A1 6/1967

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Extended European Search Report in counterpart European Application No. 15175511.3, dated Nov. 25, 2015, 8 pages.

(Continued)


*Primary Examiner* — Brandon S Hoffman

*Assistant Examiner* — Michael D Anderson

(57) **ABSTRACT**

One embodiment of the present invention provides a system for delivering a content piece over a network using a set of reconstructable objects. During operation, the system obtains a metadata file that includes a set of rules; generates the set of reconstructable objects for the content piece based on the set of rules included in the metadata file; cryptographically signs the set of reconstructable objects to obtain a set of signed reconstructable objects; and delivers, over the network, the set of signed reconstructable objects along with the metadata file to a recipient, thereby enabling the recipient to extract and store a copy of the content piece and then to reconstruct the set of signed reconstructable objects from the stored copy of the content piece and the metadata file.

**20 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets**



(51)	<b>Int. Cl.</b>			
	<i>H04L 29/06</i>	(2006.01)	7,395,507 B2	7/2008 Robarts
	<i>H04L 29/08</i>	(2006.01)	7,430,755 B1	9/2008 Hughes
	<i>H04L 12/24</i>	(2006.01)	7,444,251 B2	10/2008 Nikovski
			7,466,703 B1	12/2008 Arunachalam
(52)	<b>U.S. Cl.</b>		7,472,422 B1	12/2008 Agbabian
	CPC .....	<i>H04L 67/28</i> (2013.01); <i>H04L 65/4084</i>	7,496,668 B2	2/2009 Hawkinson
		(2013.01); <i>H04L 67/04</i> (2013.01)	7,509,425 B1	3/2009 Rosenberg
(58)	<b>Field of Classification Search</b>		7,523,016 B1	4/2009 Surdulescu
	USPC .....	713/176	7,542,471 B2	6/2009 Samuels
	See application file for complete search history.		7,543,064 B2	6/2009 Juncker
			7,552,233 B2	6/2009 Raju
			7,555,482 B2	6/2009 Korkus
			7,555,563 B2	6/2009 Ott
			7,564,812 B1	7/2009 Elliott
			7,567,547 B2	7/2009 Mosko
			7,567,946 B2	7/2009 Andreoli
			7,580,971 B1	8/2009 Gollapudi
			7,623,535 B2	11/2009 Guichard
			7,636,767 B2	12/2009 Lev-Ran
			7,647,507 B1	1/2010 Feng
			7,660,324 B2	2/2010 Oguchi
			7,685,290 B2	3/2010 Satapati
			7,698,463 B2	4/2010 Ogier
			7,698,559 B1	4/2010 Chaudhury
			7,769,887 B1	8/2010 Bhattacharyya
			7,779,467 B2	8/2010 Choi
			7,801,069 B2	9/2010 Cheung
			7,801,177 B2	9/2010 Luss
			7,816,441 B2	10/2010 Elizalde
			7,831,733 B2	11/2010 Sultan
			7,873,619 B1	1/2011 Faibish
			7,908,337 B2	3/2011 Garcia-Luna-Aceves
			7,924,837 B1	4/2011 Shabtay
			7,953,014 B2	5/2011 Toda
			7,953,885 B1	5/2011 Devireddy
			7,979,912 B1	7/2011 Roka
			8,000,267 B2	8/2011 Solis
			8,010,691 B2	8/2011 Kollmansberger
			8,069,023 B1	11/2011 Frailong
			8,074,289 B1	12/2011 Carpentier
			8,117,441 B2	2/2012 Kurien
			8,160,069 B2	4/2012 Jacobson
			8,204,060 B2	6/2012 Jacobson
			8,214,364 B2	7/2012 Bigus
			8,224,985 B2	7/2012 Takeda
			8,225,057 B1	7/2012 Zheng
			8,271,578 B2	9/2012 Sheffi
			8,271,687 B2	9/2012 Turner
			8,312,064 B1	11/2012 Gauvin
			8,332,357 B1	12/2012 Chung
			8,386,622 B2	2/2013 Jacobson
			8,447,851 B1	5/2013 Anderson
			8,462,781 B2	6/2013 McGhee
			8,467,297 B2	6/2013 Liu
			8,473,633 B2	6/2013 Eardley
			8,553,562 B2	10/2013 Allan
			8,572,214 B2	10/2013 Garcia-Luna-Aceves
			8,654,649 B2	2/2014 Vasseur
			8,665,757 B2	3/2014 Kling
			8,667,172 B2	3/2014 Ravindran
			8,677,451 B1	3/2014 Bhimaraju
			8,688,619 B1	4/2014 Ezick
			8,699,350 B1	4/2014 Kumar
			8,718,055 B2	5/2014 Vasseur
			8,750,820 B2	6/2014 Allan
			8,761,022 B2	6/2014 Chiabaut
			8,762,477 B2	6/2014 Xie
			8,762,570 B2	6/2014 Qian
			8,762,707 B2	6/2014 Killian
			8,767,627 B2	7/2014 Ezure
			8,817,594 B2	8/2014 Gero
			8,826,381 B2	9/2014 Kim
			8,832,302 B1	9/2014 Bradford
			8,836,536 B2	9/2014 Marwah
			8,861,356 B2	10/2014 Kozat
			8,862,774 B2	10/2014 Vasseur
			8,868,779 B2	10/2014 O'Neill
			8,874,842 B1	10/2014 Kimmel
			8,880,682 B2	11/2014 Bishop
(56)	<b>References Cited</b>			
	<b>U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS</b>			
	4,921,898 A	5/1990 Lenney		
	5,070,134 A	12/1991 Oyamada		
	5,110,856 A	5/1992 Oyamada		
	5,214,702 A	5/1993 Fischer		
	5,377,354 A	12/1994 Scannell		
	5,506,844 A	4/1996 Rao		
	5,629,370 A	5/1997 Freidzon		
	5,845,207 A	12/1998 Amin		
	5,870,605 A	2/1999 Bracho		
	6,047,331 A	4/2000 Medard		
	6,052,683 A	4/2000 Irwin		
	6,085,320 A	7/2000 Kaliski, Jr.		
	6,091,724 A	7/2000 Chandra		
	6,128,623 A	10/2000 Mattis		
	6,128,627 A	10/2000 Mattis		
	6,173,364 B1	1/2001 Zenchelsky		
	6,209,003 B1	3/2001 Mattis		
	6,226,618 B1	5/2001 Downs		
	6,233,617 B1	5/2001 Rothwein		
	6,233,646 B1	5/2001 Hahm		
	6,289,358 B1	9/2001 Mattis		
	6,292,880 B1	9/2001 Mattis		
	6,332,158 B1	12/2001 Risley		
	6,363,067 B1	3/2002 Chung		
	6,366,988 B1	4/2002 Skiba		
	6,574,377 B1	6/2003 Cahill		
	6,654,792 B1	11/2003 Verma		
	6,667,957 B1	12/2003 Corson		
	6,681,220 B1	1/2004 Kaplan		
	6,681,326 B2	1/2004 Son		
	6,732,273 B1	5/2004 Byers		
	6,769,066 B1	7/2004 Botros		
	6,772,333 B1	8/2004 Brendel		
	6,775,258 B1	8/2004 vanValkenburg		
	6,862,280 B1	3/2005 Bertagna		
	6,901,452 B1	5/2005 Bertagna		
	6,915,307 B1	7/2005 Mattis		
	6,917,985 B2	7/2005 Madruga		
	6,957,228 B1	10/2005 Graser		
	6,968,393 B1	11/2005 Chen		
	6,981,029 B1	12/2005 Menditto		
	7,007,024 B2	2/2006 Zelenka		
	7,013,389 B1	3/2006 Srivastava		
	7,031,308 B2	4/2006 Garcia-Luna-Aceves		
	7,043,637 B2	5/2006 Bolosky		
	7,061,877 B1	6/2006 Gummalla		
	7,080,073 B1	7/2006 Jiang		
	RE39,360 E	10/2006 Aziz		
	7,149,750 B2 *	12/2006 Chadwick ..... G06F 17/30017		
	7,152,094 B1	12/2006 Jahnu		
	7,177,646 B2	2/2007 O'Neill		
	7,206,860 B2	4/2007 Murakami		
	7,206,861 B1	4/2007 Callon		
	7,210,326 B2	5/2007 Kawamoto		
	7,246,159 B2	7/2007 Aggarwal		
	7,257,837 B2	8/2007 Xu		
	7,287,275 B2	10/2007 Moskowitz		
	7,315,541 B1	1/2008 Housel		
	7,339,929 B2	3/2008 Zelig		
	7,350,229 B1	3/2008 Lander		
	7,362,727 B1	4/2008 O'Neill		
	7,382,787 B1	6/2008 Barnes		

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

8,903,756	B2	12/2014	Zhao	2006/0099973	A1	5/2006	Nair
8,923,293	B2	12/2014	Jacobson	2006/0129514	A1	6/2006	Watanabe
8,934,496	B2	1/2015	Vasseur	2006/0133343	A1	6/2006	Huang
8,937,865	B1	1/2015	Kumar	2006/0146686	A1	7/2006	Kim
8,972,969	B2	3/2015	Gaither	2006/0173831	A1	8/2006	Basso
8,977,596	B2	3/2015	Montulli	2006/0193295	A1	8/2006	White
9,002,921	B2	4/2015	Westphal	2006/0203804	A1	9/2006	Whitmore
9,032,095	B1	5/2015	Traina	2006/0206445	A1	9/2006	Andreoli
9,071,498	B2	6/2015	Beser	2006/0215684	A1	9/2006	Capone
9,112,895	B1	8/2015	Lin	2006/0223504	A1	10/2006	Ishak
9,137,152	B2	9/2015	Xie	2006/0242155	A1	10/2006	Moore
9,253,087	B2	2/2016	Zhang	2006/0256767	A1	11/2006	Suzuki
9,270,598	B1	2/2016	Oran	2006/0268792	A1	11/2006	Belcea
9,280,610	B2	3/2016	Gruber	2007/0019619	A1	1/2007	Foster
2002/0002680	A1	1/2002	Carbajal	2007/0073888	A1	3/2007	Madhok
2002/0010795	A1	1/2002	Brown	2007/0094265	A1	4/2007	Korkus
2002/0038296	A1	3/2002	Margolus	2007/0112880	A1	5/2007	Yang
2002/0048269	A1	4/2002	Hong	2007/0124412	A1	5/2007	Narayanaswami
2002/0054593	A1	5/2002	Morohashi	2007/0127457	A1	6/2007	Mirtorabi
2002/0077988	A1	6/2002	Sasaki	2007/0160062	A1	7/2007	Morishita
2002/0078066	A1	6/2002	Robinson	2007/0162394	A1	7/2007	Zager
2002/0138551	A1	9/2002	Erickson	2007/0171828	A1	7/2007	Dalal
2002/0152305	A1	10/2002	Jackson	2007/0189284	A1	8/2007	Kecskemeti
2002/0176404	A1	11/2002	Girard	2007/0195765	A1	8/2007	Heissenbuttel
2002/0188605	A1	12/2002	Adya	2007/0204011	A1	8/2007	Shaver
2002/0199014	A1	12/2002	Yang	2007/0209067	A1	9/2007	Fogel
2003/0004621	A1	1/2003	Bousquet	2007/0239892	A1	10/2007	Ott
2003/0009365	A1	1/2003	Tynan	2007/0240207	A1	10/2007	Belakhdar
2003/0033394	A1	2/2003	Stine	2007/0245034	A1	10/2007	Retana
2003/0046396	A1	3/2003	Richter	2007/0253418	A1	11/2007	Shiri
2003/0046421	A1	3/2003	Horvitz et al.	2007/0255677	A1	11/2007	Alexander
2003/0046437	A1	3/2003	Eytchison	2007/0255699	A1	11/2007	Sreenivas
2003/0048793	A1	3/2003	Pochon	2007/0255781	A1	11/2007	Li
2003/0051100	A1	3/2003	Patel	2007/0274504	A1	11/2007	Maes
2003/0061384	A1	3/2003	Nakatani	2007/0275701	A1	11/2007	Jonker
2003/0074472	A1	4/2003	Lucco	2007/0276907	A1	11/2007	Maes
2003/0088696	A1	5/2003	McCanne	2007/0283158	A1	12/2007	Danseglio
2003/0097447	A1	5/2003	Johnston	2007/0294187	A1	12/2007	Scherrer
2003/0099237	A1	5/2003	Mitra	2008/0005056	A1	1/2008	Stelzig
2003/0140257	A1	7/2003	Peterka	2008/0005223	A1	1/2008	Flake
2003/0229892	A1	12/2003	Sardera	2008/0010366	A1	1/2008	Duggan
2004/0024879	A1	2/2004	Dingman	2008/0037420	A1	2/2008	Tang
2004/0030602	A1	2/2004	Rosenquist	2008/0043989	A1	2/2008	Furutono
2004/0064737	A1	4/2004	Milliken	2008/0046340	A1	2/2008	Brown
2004/0071140	A1	4/2004	Jason	2008/0059631	A1	3/2008	Bergstrom
2004/0073617	A1	4/2004	Milliken	2008/0080440	A1	4/2008	Yarvis
2004/0073715	A1	4/2004	Folkes	2008/0082662	A1	4/2008	Dandliker
2004/0139230	A1	7/2004	Kim	2008/0095159	A1	4/2008	Suzuki
2004/0196783	A1	10/2004	Shinomiya	2008/0101357	A1	5/2008	Iovanna
2004/0218548	A1	11/2004	Kennedy	2008/0107034	A1	5/2008	Jetcheva
2004/0221047	A1	11/2004	Grover	2008/0107259	A1	5/2008	Satou
2004/0225627	A1	11/2004	Botros	2008/0123862	A1	5/2008	Rowley
2004/0233916	A1	11/2004	Takeuchi	2008/0133583	A1	6/2008	Artan
2004/0246902	A1	12/2004	Weinstein	2008/0133755	A1	6/2008	Pollack
2004/0252683	A1	12/2004	Kennedy	2008/0151755	A1	6/2008	Nishioka
2005/0003832	A1	1/2005	Osafune	2008/0159271	A1	7/2008	Kutt
2005/0028156	A1	2/2005	Hammond	2008/0165775	A1	7/2008	Das
2005/0043060	A1	2/2005	Brandenberg	2008/0186901	A1	8/2008	Itagaki
2005/0050211	A1	3/2005	Kaul	2008/0200153	A1	8/2008	Fitzpatrick
2005/0074001	A1	4/2005	Mattes	2008/0215669	A1	9/2008	Gaddy
2005/0132207	A1	6/2005	Mourad	2008/0216086	A1	9/2008	Tanaka
2005/0149508	A1	7/2005	Deshpande	2008/0243992	A1	10/2008	Jardetzky
2005/0159823	A1	7/2005	Hayes	2008/0250006	A1	10/2008	Dettinger
2005/0198351	A1	9/2005	Nog	2008/0256359	A1	10/2008	Kahn
2005/0249196	A1	11/2005	Ansari	2008/0258136	A1	10/2008	Sim-Tang
2005/0259637	A1	11/2005	Chu	2008/0270618	A1	10/2008	Rosenberg
2005/0262217	A1	11/2005	Nonaka	2008/0271143	A1	10/2008	Stephens
2005/0281288	A1	12/2005	Banerjee	2008/0287142	A1	11/2008	Keighran
2005/0286535	A1	12/2005	Shrum	2008/0288580	A1	11/2008	Wang
2005/0289222	A1	12/2005	Sahim	2008/0291923	A1	11/2008	Back
2006/0010249	A1	1/2006	Sabesan	2008/0298376	A1	12/2008	Takeda
2006/0029102	A1	2/2006	Abe	2008/0320148	A1	12/2008	Capuozzo
2006/0039379	A1	2/2006	Abe	2009/0006659	A1	1/2009	Collins
2006/0051055	A1	3/2006	Ohkawa	2009/0013324	A1	1/2009	Gobara
2006/0072523	A1	4/2006	Richardson	2009/0022154	A1	1/2009	Kiribe
				2009/0024641	A1	1/2009	Quigley
				2009/0030978	A1	1/2009	Johnson
				2009/0037763	A1	2/2009	Adhya
				2009/0052660	A1	2/2009	Chen

(56)

## References Cited

## U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2009/0067429	A1	3/2009	Nagai	2011/0013637	A1	1/2011	Xue
2009/0077184	A1	3/2009	Brewer	2011/0019674	A1	1/2011	Iovanna
2009/0092043	A1	4/2009	Lapuh	2011/0022812	A1	1/2011	van der Linden
2009/0097631	A1	4/2009	Gisby	2011/0029952	A1	2/2011	Harrington
2009/0103515	A1	4/2009	Pointer	2011/0055392	A1	3/2011	Shen
2009/0113068	A1	4/2009	Fujihira	2011/0055921	A1	3/2011	Narayanaswamy
2009/0116393	A1	5/2009	Hughes	2011/0060716	A1	3/2011	Forman
2009/0117922	A1	5/2009	Bell	2011/0060717	A1	3/2011	Forman
2009/0132662	A1	5/2009	Sheridan	2011/0090908	A1	4/2011	Jacobson
2009/0135728	A1	5/2009	Shen	2011/0106755	A1	5/2011	Hao
2009/0144300	A1	6/2009	Chatley	2011/0131308	A1	6/2011	Eriksson
2009/0157887	A1	6/2009	Froment	2011/0137919	A1	6/2011	Ryu
2009/0185745	A1	7/2009	Momosaki	2011/0145597	A1	6/2011	Yamaguchi
2009/0193101	A1	7/2009	Munetsugu	2011/0145858	A1	6/2011	Philpott
2009/0198832	A1	8/2009	Shah	2011/0149858	A1	6/2011	Hwang
2009/0222344	A1	9/2009	Greene	2011/0153840	A1	6/2011	Narayana
2009/0228593	A1	9/2009	Takeda	2011/0158122	A1	6/2011	Murphy
2009/0254572	A1	10/2009	Redlich	2011/0161408	A1	6/2011	Kim et al.
2009/0268905	A1	10/2009	Matsushima	2011/0202609	A1	8/2011	Chaturvedi
2009/0274158	A1	11/2009	Sharp	2011/0219093	A1	9/2011	Ragunathan
2009/0276396	A1	11/2009	Gorman	2011/0219427	A1	9/2011	Hito
2009/0285209	A1	11/2009	Stewart	2011/0219727	A1	9/2011	May
2009/0287835	A1	11/2009	Jacobson	2011/0225293	A1	9/2011	Rathod
2009/0287853	A1	11/2009	Carson	2011/0231578	A1	9/2011	Nagappan
2009/0288076	A1	11/2009	Johnson	2011/0239256	A1	9/2011	Gholmieh
2009/0288143	A1	11/2009	Stebila	2011/0258049	A1	10/2011	Ramer
2009/0288163	A1	11/2009	Jacobson	2011/0264824	A1	10/2011	Venkata Subramanian
2009/0292743	A1	11/2009	Bigus	2011/0265159	A1	10/2011	Ronda
2009/0293121	A1	11/2009	Bigus	2011/0265174	A1	10/2011	Thornton
2009/0296719	A1	12/2009	Maier	2011/0271007	A1	11/2011	Wang
2009/0300079	A1	12/2009	Shitomi	2011/0280214	A1	11/2011	Lee
2009/0300407	A1	12/2009	Kamath	2011/0286457	A1	11/2011	Ee
2009/0300512	A1	12/2009	Ahn	2011/0286459	A1	11/2011	Rembarz
2009/0307333	A1	12/2009	Welingkar	2011/0295783	A1	12/2011	Zhao
2009/0323632	A1	12/2009	Nix	2011/0299454	A1	12/2011	Krishnaswamy
2010/0005061	A1	1/2010	Basco	2012/0011170	A1	1/2012	Elad
2010/0027539	A1	2/2010	Beverly	2012/0011551	A1	1/2012	Levy
2010/0046546	A1	2/2010	Ram	2012/0023113	A1	1/2012	Ferren
2010/0057929	A1	3/2010	Merat	2012/0036180	A1	2/2012	Thornton
2010/0058346	A1	3/2010	Narang	2012/0045064	A1	2/2012	Rembarz
2010/0088370	A1	4/2010	Wu	2012/0047361	A1	2/2012	Erdmann
2010/0094767	A1	4/2010	Miltonberger	2012/0066727	A1	3/2012	Nozoe
2010/0094876	A1	4/2010	Huang	2012/0106339	A1	5/2012	Mishra
2010/0098093	A1	4/2010	Ejzak	2012/0110159	A1	5/2012	Richardson
2010/0100465	A1	4/2010	Cooke	2012/0114313	A1	5/2012	Phillips
2010/0103870	A1	4/2010	Garcia-Luna-Aceves	2012/0120803	A1	5/2012	Farkas
2010/0124191	A1	5/2010	Vos	2012/0127994	A1	5/2012	Ko
2010/0125911	A1	5/2010	Bhaskaran	2012/0136676	A1	5/2012	Goodall
2010/0131660	A1	5/2010	Dec	2012/0136936	A1	5/2012	Quintana
2010/0150155	A1	6/2010	Napierala	2012/0136945	A1	5/2012	Lee
2010/0165976	A1	7/2010	Khan	2012/0137367	A1	5/2012	Dupont
2010/0169478	A1	7/2010	Saha	2012/0141093	A1	6/2012	Yamaguchi
2010/0169503	A1	7/2010	Kollmansberger	2012/0155464	A1	6/2012	Kim
2010/0180332	A1	7/2010	Ben-Yochanan	2012/0158973	A1	6/2012	Jacobson
2010/0182995	A1	7/2010	Hwang	2012/0163373	A1	6/2012	Lo
2010/0185753	A1	7/2010	Liu	2012/0166433	A1	6/2012	Tseng
2010/0195653	A1	8/2010	Jacobson	2012/0170913	A1	7/2012	Isozaki
2010/0195654	A1	8/2010	Jacobson	2012/0174181	A1	7/2012	Zhang et al.
2010/0195655	A1	8/2010	Jacobson	2012/0179653	A1	7/2012	Araki
2010/0217874	A1	8/2010	Anantharaman	2012/0197690	A1	8/2012	Agulnek
2010/0217985	A1	8/2010	Fahrny	2012/0198048	A1	8/2012	Ioffe
2010/0232402	A1	9/2010	Przybysz	2012/0221150	A1	8/2012	Arensmeier
2010/0232439	A1	9/2010	Dham	2012/0224487	A1	9/2012	Hui
2010/0235516	A1	9/2010	Nakamura	2012/0226902	A1	9/2012	Kim
2010/0246549	A1	9/2010	Zhang	2012/0257500	A1	10/2012	Lynch
2010/0250497	A1	9/2010	Redlich	2012/0284791	A1	11/2012	Miller
2010/0250939	A1	9/2010	Adams	2012/0290669	A1	11/2012	Parks
2010/0257149	A1	10/2010	Cognigni	2012/0290919	A1	11/2012	Melnyk
2010/0268782	A1	10/2010	Zombek	2012/0291102	A1	11/2012	Cohen
2010/0272107	A1	10/2010	Papp	2012/0300669	A1	11/2012	Zahavi
2010/0281263	A1	11/2010	Ugawa	2012/0307629	A1	12/2012	Vasseur
2010/0284309	A1	11/2010	Allan	2012/0314580	A1	12/2012	Hong
2010/0284404	A1	11/2010	Gopinath	2012/0317307	A1	12/2012	Ravindran
2010/0293293	A1	11/2010	Beser	2012/0322422	A1	12/2012	Frecks
2010/0322249	A1	12/2010	Thathapudi	2012/0323933	A1	12/2012	He
				2012/0331112	A1	12/2012	Chatani
				2013/0024560	A1	1/2013	Vasseur
				2013/0041982	A1	2/2013	Shi
				2013/0051392	A1	2/2013	Filsfils

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2013/0054971 A1 2/2013 Yamaguchi  
 2013/0060962 A1 3/2013 Wang  
 2013/0061084 A1 3/2013 Barton  
 2013/0066823 A1 3/2013 Sweeney  
 2013/0073552 A1 3/2013 Rangwala  
 2013/0073882 A1 3/2013 Inbaraj  
 2013/0074155 A1 3/2013 Huh  
 2013/0090942 A1 4/2013 Robinson  
 2013/0091539 A1 4/2013 Khurana  
 2013/0110987 A1 5/2013 Kim  
 2013/0111063 A1 5/2013 Lee  
 2013/0128786 A1 5/2013 Sultan  
 2013/0132719 A1 5/2013 Kobayashi  
 2013/0139245 A1 5/2013 Thomas  
 2013/0151584 A1 6/2013 Westphal  
 2013/0151646 A1 6/2013 Chidambaram  
 2013/0152070 A1 6/2013 Bhullar  
 2013/0163426 A1 6/2013 Beliveau  
 2013/0166668 A1 6/2013 Byun  
 2013/0173822 A1 7/2013 Hong  
 2013/0182568 A1 7/2013 Lee  
 2013/0182931 A1 7/2013 Fan  
 2013/0185406 A1 7/2013 Choi  
 2013/0191412 A1 7/2013 Kitamura  
 2013/0197698 A1 8/2013 Shah  
 2013/0198119 A1 8/2013 Eberhardt, III  
 2013/0212185 A1 8/2013 Pasquero  
 2013/0219038 A1 8/2013 Lee  
 2013/0219081 A1 8/2013 Qian  
 2013/0219478 A1 8/2013 Mahamuni  
 2013/0223237 A1 8/2013 Hui  
 2013/0227048 A1 8/2013 Xie  
 2013/0227114 A1 8/2013 Vasseur  
 2013/0227166 A1 8/2013 Ravindran  
 2013/0242996 A1 9/2013 Varvello  
 2013/0250809 A1 9/2013 Hui  
 2013/0254634 A1 9/2013 Luby et al.  
 2013/0262365 A1 10/2013 Dolbear  
 2013/0262698 A1 10/2013 Schwan  
 2013/0282854 A1 10/2013 Jang  
 2013/0282860 A1 10/2013 Zhang  
 2013/0282920 A1 10/2013 Zhang  
 2013/0304758 A1 11/2013 Gruber  
 2013/0304937 A1 11/2013 Lee  
 2013/0325888 A1 12/2013 Onepo  
 2013/0329696 A1 12/2013 Xu  
 2013/0332971 A1 12/2013 Fisher  
 2013/0336103 A1 12/2013 Vasseur  
 2013/0336323 A1 12/2013 Srinivasan  
 2013/0339481 A1 12/2013 Hong  
 2013/0343408 A1 12/2013 Cook  
 2014/0003232 A1 1/2014 Guichard  
 2014/0003424 A1 1/2014 Matsuhira  
 2014/0006354 A1 1/2014 Parkison  
 2014/0006565 A1 1/2014 Muscariello  
 2014/0029445 A1 1/2014 Hui  
 2014/0032714 A1 1/2014 Liu  
 2014/0033193 A1 1/2014 Palaniappan  
 2014/0040505 A1 2/2014 Barton  
 2014/0040628 A1 2/2014 Fort  
 2014/0043987 A1 2/2014 Watve  
 2014/0047513 A1 2/2014 vantNoordende  
 2014/0074730 A1 3/2014 Arensmeier  
 2014/0075567 A1 3/2014 Raleigh  
 2014/0082135 A1 3/2014 Jung  
 2014/0082661 A1 3/2014 Krahnstoever  
 2014/0089454 A1 3/2014 Jeon  
 2014/0096249 A1 4/2014 Dupont  
 2014/0098685 A1 4/2014 Shattil  
 2014/0108313 A1 4/2014 Heidasch  
 2014/0108474 A1 4/2014 David  
 2014/0115037 A1 4/2014 Liu  
 2014/0122587 A1 5/2014 Petker et al.  
 2014/0129736 A1 5/2014 Yu  
 2014/0136814 A1 5/2014 Stark

2014/0140348 A1 5/2014 Perlman  
 2014/0143370 A1 5/2014 Vilenski  
 2014/0146819 A1 5/2014 Bae  
 2014/0149733 A1 5/2014 Kim  
 2014/0156396 A1 6/2014 deKozan  
 2014/0165207 A1 6/2014 Engel  
 2014/0172783 A1 6/2014 Suzuki  
 2014/0172981 A1 6/2014 Kim  
 2014/0173034 A1 6/2014 Liu  
 2014/0173076 A1 6/2014 Ravindran  
 2014/0181140 A1 6/2014 Kim  
 2014/0192677 A1 7/2014 Chew  
 2014/0192717 A1 7/2014 Liu  
 2014/0195328 A1 7/2014 Ferens  
 2014/0195641 A1 7/2014 Wang  
 2014/0195666 A1 7/2014 Dumitriu  
 2014/0204945 A1 7/2014 Byun  
 2014/0214942 A1 7/2014 Ozonat  
 2014/0233575 A1 8/2014 Xie  
 2014/0237085 A1 8/2014 Park  
 2014/0237095 A1 8/2014 Bevilacqua-Linn  
 2014/0245359 A1 8/2014 DeFoy  
 2014/0254595 A1 9/2014 Luo  
 2014/0280823 A1 9/2014 Varvello  
 2014/0281489 A1 9/2014 Peterka  
 2014/0281505 A1 9/2014 Zhang  
 2014/0282816 A1 9/2014 Xie  
 2014/0289325 A1 9/2014 Solis  
 2014/0289790 A1 9/2014 Wilson  
 2014/0298248 A1 10/2014 Kang  
 2014/0314093 A1 10/2014 You  
 2014/0337276 A1 11/2014 Iordanov  
 2014/0365550 A1 12/2014 Jang  
 2015/0006896 A1 1/2015 Franck  
 2015/0018770 A1 1/2015 Baran  
 2015/0032892 A1 1/2015 Narayanan  
 2015/0033365 A1 1/2015 Mellor  
 2015/0039890 A1 2/2015 Khosravi  
 2015/0063802 A1 3/2015 Bahadur  
 2015/0089081 A1 3/2015 Thubert  
 2015/0095481 A1 4/2015 Ohnishi  
 2015/0095514 A1 4/2015 Yu  
 2015/0120663 A1 4/2015 LeScouarnec  
 2015/0169758 A1 6/2015 Assom  
 2015/0188770 A1 7/2015 Naiksatam  
 2015/0195149 A1 7/2015 Vasseur  
 2015/0207633 A1 7/2015 Ravindran  
 2015/0207864 A1 7/2015 Wilson  
 2015/0279348 A1 10/2015 Cao  
 2015/0288755 A1 10/2015 Mosko  
 2015/0312300 A1 10/2015 Mosko  
 2015/0349961 A1\* 12/2015 Mosko ..... H04L 9/3239  
 713/189  
 2015/0372903 A1 12/2015 Hui  
 2015/0381546 A1 12/2015 Mahadevan  
 2016/0019275 A1 1/2016 Mosko  
 2016/0021172 A1 1/2016 Mahadevan  
 2016/0062840 A1 3/2016 Scott  
 2016/0110466 A1 4/2016 Uzun  
 2016/0171184 A1 6/2016 Solis

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE 19620817 A1 11/1997  
 EP 0295727 A2 12/1988  
 EP 0757065 A2 7/1996  
 EP 1077422 A2 2/2001  
 EP 1383265 A1 1/2004  
 EP 1384729 A1 1/2004  
 EP 1473889 A2 11/2004  
 EP 2120402 11/2009  
 EP 2120419 11/2009  
 EP 2120419 A2 11/2009  
 EP 2124415 A2 11/2009  
 EP 2214357 A1 8/2010  
 EP 2299754 A1 3/2011  
 EP 2323346 5/2011  
 EP 2552083 1/2013  
 EP 2214356 5/2016

(56)

## References Cited

## FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

WO	03005288	A2	1/2003
WO	03042254	A1	5/2003
WO	03049369	A2	6/2003
WO	03091297	A1	11/2003
WO	2007113180	A1	10/2007
WO	2007122620		11/2007
WO	2007044388	A1	12/2007
WO	2011049890	A1	4/2011
WO	2012077073	A1	6/2012
WO	2013123410		8/2013
WO	2015084327		6/2015

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Alimi, et al., "Decade Architecture," draft-ietf-decade-arch-03, IETF, Internet Draft, Informational, Sep. 28, 2011, 44 pages.

Mosko, "CCNx 1.0 Protocol Introduction," Palo Alto Research Center, Apr. 2, 2014, 10 pages.

Xie et al. "Collaborative Forwarding and Caching in Content Centric Networks", Networking 2012.

Lui et al. (A TLV-Structured Data Naming Scheme for Content-Oriented Networking, pp. 5822-5827. International Workshop on the Network of the Future, Communications (ICC), 2012 IEEE International Conference on Jun. 10-15, 2012).

Peter Dely et al. "OpenFlow for Wireless Mesh Networks" Computer Communications and Networks, 2011 Proceedings of 20th International Conference on, IEEE, Jul. 31, 2011 (Jul. 31, 2011), pp. 1-6.

Garnepucci Parimatala et al. "Proactive, reactive, and hybrid multicast routing protocols on Wireless Mesh Networks", 2013 IEEE International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Computing Research, IEEE, Dec. 26, 2013, pp. 1-7.

Tiancheng Zhuang et al. "Managing Ad Hoc Networks of Smartphones", International Journal of Information and Education Technology, Oct. 1, 2013.

Amadeo et al. "Design and Analysis of a Transport-Level Solution for Content-Centric VANETs", University "Mediterranea" of Reggio Calabria, Jun. 15, 2013.

Marc Mosko. "CCNx 1.0 Protocol Introduction" Apr. 2, 2014 [retrieved from the internet Jun. 8, 2016].

Akash et al. "Comparing alternative approaches for networking of named objects in the future internet", Copmputer Communications Workshops (infocom wkshps), 2012 IEEE Conferece on, IEEE, Mar. 25, 2012, pp. 293-300, "Paragraph [002]figure 1".

Priya Mahadevan: "CCNx 1.0 Tutorial", Mar. 16, 2014, pp. 1-11, retrieved from the internet: <http://www.ccnx.org/pubs/hhg/1.2%20CCNx%201.0%20Tutorial.pdf> [retrieved on Jun. 8, 2016] \*paragraphs [003]-[006], [0011],[0013]\* \*figures 1 ,2\*.

Marc Mosko et al "All-in-One Streams for Content Centric Networks", May 24, 2015, retrieved from the Internet: <http://www.ccnx.org/pubs/AllinOne.pdf> [downloaded Jun. 9, 2016] \*the whole document\*.

Cesar Ghali et al. "Elements of Trust in Named-Data Networking", Feb. 13, 2014 Retrieved from the internet Aug. 17, 2016 <http://arxiv.org/pdf/1402.3332v5.pdf> \*p. 5, col. 1\* \*p. 2, col. 1-2\* \*Section 4.1; p. 4, col. 2\* \*Section 4.2; p. 4, col. 2\*.

Priya Mahadevan et al. "CCN-KRS", Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Information-Centric Networking, Inc. '14, Sep. 24, 2014.

Flavio Roberto Santos et al. "Funnel: Choking Polluters in BitTorrent File Sharing Communities", IEEE Transactions on Network and Service Management, IEEE vol. 8, No. 4, Dec. 1, 2011.

Liu Wai-Xi et al: "Multiscore Dissemination in content-centric networking", 2013 Fourth International conference on the network of the future (NOF), IEEE Oct. 23, 2013, pp. 1-5.

Marie-Jose Montpetit et al.: "Network coding meets information-centric networking", Proceedings of the 1st ACM workshop on emerging Name-Oriented mobile networking design, architecture, algorithms, and applications, NOM '12, Jun. 11, 2012, pp. 31-38.

Asokan et al.: "Server-Supported Signatures", Computer Security Esorics 96, Sep. 25, 1996, pp. 131-143, Section 3.

Mandl et al.: "A fast FPGA Based Coprocessor Supporting Hard Real-Time Search", New Frontiers of Information Technology, Proceedings of the 23rd Euromicro Conference Budapest, Sep. 1, 1997, pp. 499-506 \* The Whole Document\*.

Sun et al.: "Content-Based Route Lookup Using CAMs", Global Communications Conference, IEEE, Dec. 3, 2012, \*The Whole Document\*.

Jacobson, Van et al. 'VoCCN: Voice Over Content-Centric Networks.' Dec. 1, 2009, ACM ReArch'09.

Rosenberg, J. "Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Protocol for Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal for Offer/Anser Protocols", Apr. 2010, pp. 1-117.

Shih, Eugene et al., 'Wake on Wireless: An Event Driven Energy Saving Strategy for Battery Operated Devices', Sep. 23, 2002, pp. 160-171.

Jacobson, Van et al., "Content Centric Networking, Whitepaper Describing Future Assurable Global Networks", Palo Alto Research Center, Inc., Jan. 30, 2007, pp. 1-9.

Koponen, Teemu et al., "A Data-Oriented (and Beyond) Network Architecture", SIGCOMM '07, Aug. 27-31, 2007, Kyoto, Japan, XP-002579021, p. 181-192.

Fall, K. et al., "DTN: an architectural retrospective", Selected areas in communications, IEEE Journal on, vol. 28, No. 5, Jun. 1, 2008, pp. 828-835.

Gritter, M. et al., 'An Architecture for content routing support in the Internet', Proceedings of 3rd Usenix Symposium on Internet Technologies and Systems, 2001, pp. 37-48.

"CCNx," <http://ccnx.org>. downloaded Mar. 11, 2015.

"Content Delivery Network", Wikipedia. Dec. 10, 2011, [http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Content\\_delivery\\_network&oldid=465077460](http://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Content_delivery_network&oldid=465077460).

"Digital Signature" archived on Aug. 31, 2009 at [http://web.archive.org/web/20090831170721/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital\\_signature](http://web.archive.org/web/20090831170721/http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Digital_signature).

"Introducing JSON", <http://www.json.org/>. downloaded Mar. 11, 2015.

"Microsoft PlayReady," <http://www.microsoft.com/playready/>. downloaded Mar. 11, 2015.

"Pursuing a pub/sub internet (PURSUIT)," <http://www.fp7-pursuit.eu/PursuitWeb/>. downloaded Mar. 11, 2015.

"The FP7 4WARD project," <http://www.4ward-project.eu/>. downloaded Mar. 11, 2015.

A. Broder and A. Karlin, "Multilevel Adaptive Hashing", Jan. 1990, pp. 43-53.

Detti, Andrea, et al. "CONET: a content centric Inter-networking architecture." Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM workshop on Information-centric networking. ACM, 2011.

A. Wolman, M. Voelker, N. Sharma N. Cardwell, A. Karlin, and H.M. Levy, "On the scale and performance of cooperative web proxy caching." ACM SIGHOPS Operating Systems Review, vol. 33, No. 5, pp. 16-31, Dec. 1999.

Afanasyev, Alexander, et al. "Interest flooding attack and countermeasures in Named Data Networking." IFIP Networking Conference, 2013. IEEE, 2013.

Ao-Jan Su, David R. Choffnes, Aleksandar Kuzmanovic, and Fabian E. Bustamante. Drafting Behind Akamal: Inferring Network Conditions Based on CDN Redirections. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Networking (Feb. 2009).

B. Ahlgren et al., 'A Survey of Information-centric Networking' IEEE Commun. Magazine, Jul. 2012, pp. 26-36.

B. Lynn\$2E.

Bari, MdFaizul, et al. 'A Survey of naming and routing in information-centric networks.' Communications Magazine, IEEE 50.12 (2012): 44-53.

Baughner, Mark et al., "Self-Verifying Names for Read-Only Named Data", 2012 IEEE Conference on Computer Communications Workshops (INFOCOM WKSHPS), Mar. 2012, pp. 274-279.

Brambley, Michael, A novel, low-cost, reduced-sensor approach for providing smart remote monitoring and diagnostics for packaged air conditioners and heat pumps. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 2008.

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- C. Gentry and A. Silverberg. Hierarchical ID-Based Cryptography. *Advance in Cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2002*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2002).
- C.A. Wood and E. Uzun, “Flexible end-to-end content security in CCN,” in *Proc. IEEE CCNC 2014*, Las Vegas, CA, USA, Jan. 2014.
- Carzaniga, Anotnio, Matthew J. Rutherford, and Alexander L. Wolf, “A routing scheme for content-based networking” *INFOCOM 2004*. Twenty-third Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Societies. vol 2, IEEE, 2004.
- Cho, Jin-Hee, Ananthram Swami, and Ray Chen. “A survey of trust management for mobile ad hoc networks.” *Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, IEEE 13.4 (2011): 562-583.
- Compagno, Alberto, et al. “Poseidon: Mitigating interest flooding DDoS attacks in named data networking.” *Local Computer Networks (LCN)*, 2013 IEEE 38th Conference on. IEEE, 2013.
- Conner, William, et al. “A trust management framework for service-oriented environments.” *Proceedings of the 18th international conference on World wide web*. ACM, 2009.
- Content Centric Networking Project (CCN) [online], <http://ccnx.org/releases/latest/doc/technical/>, Downloaded Mar. 9, 2015.
- Content Mediator Architecture for Content-aware Networks (COMET) Project [online], <http://www.comet-project.org/>, Downloaded Mar. 9, 2015.
- D. Boneh, C. Gentry, and B. Waters, ‘Collusi.
- D. Boneh and M. Franklin. Identity-Based Encryption from the Weil Pairing. *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO 2001*, vol. 2139, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2001).
- D.K. Smetters, P. Golle, and J.D. Thornton, “CCNx access control specifications,” PARC, Tech. Rep., Jul. 2010.
- Dabirmoghaddam, Ali, Maziar Mirzazad Barijough, and J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves. ‘Understanding optimal caching and opportunistic caching at the edge of information-centric networks.’ *Proceedings of the 1st International conference on information-centric networking*. ACM, 2014.
- Deti et al., “Supporting the Web with an information centric network that routes by name”, Aug. 2012, *Computer Networks*, 56, pp. 3705-3702.
- Dijkstra, Edsger W., and Carel S. Scholten. ‘Termination detection for diffusing computations.’ *Information Processing Letters* 11.1 (1980): 1-4.
- Dijkstra, Edsger W., Wim HJ Feijen, and A. J. M. Van Gasteren. “Derivation of a termination detection algorithm for distributed computations.” *Control Flow and Data Flow: concepts of distributed programming*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg. 1986. 507-512.
- E. Rescorla and N. Modadugu, “Datagram transport layer security,” *IETF RFC 4347*, Apr. 2006.
- E.W. Dijkstra, W. Feijen, and A.J.M. Van Gasteren, “Derivation of a Termination Detection Algorithm for Distributed Computations,” *Information Processing Letter*, vol. 16, No. 5, 1983.
- Fayazbakhsh, S. K., Lin, Y., Tootoonchian, A., Ghodsi, A., Koponen, T., Maggs, B., & Shenker, S. (Aug. 2013) Less pain, most of the gain: Incrementally deployable ICN. In *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review* (vol. 43, No. 4, pp. 147-158) ACM.
- G. Ateniese, K. Fu, M. Green, and S. Hohenberger. Improved Proxy Reencryption Schemes with Applications to Secure Distributed Storage. In the 12th Annual Network and Distributed System Security Sympo.
- G. Tyson, S. Kaune, S. Miles, Y. El-Khatib, A. Mauthe, and A. Taweel, “A trace-driven analysis of caching in content-centric networks.” in *Proc. IEEE ICCCN 2012*, Munich, Germany. Jul.-Aug. 2012, pp. 1-7.
- G. Wang, Q. Liu, and J. Wu, “Hierarchical attribute-based encryption for fine-grained access control in cloud storage services,” in *Proc. ACM CCS 2010*, Chicago, IL, USA, Oct. 2010, pp. 735-737.
- G. Xylomenos et al., “A Survey of Information-centric Networking Research,” *IEEE Communication Surveys and Tutorials*, Jul. 2013.
- Garcia, Humberto E., Wen-Chiao Lin, and Semyon M. Meerkov. “A resilient condition assesment monitoring system.” *Resilient Control Systems (ISRCSS)*, 2012 5th International Symposium on. IEEE, 2012.
- Garcia-Luna-Aceves, Jose J. ‘A unified approach to loop-free routing using distance vectors or link states.’ *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*. vol. 19. No. 4. ACM, 1989.
- Garcia-Luna-Aceves, Jose J. ‘Name-Based Content Routing in Information Centric Networks Using Distance Information’ *Proc ACM ICN 2014*, Sep. 2014.
- Ghali, Cesar, Gene Tsudik, and Ersin Uzun, “Needle in a Haystack: Mitigating Content Poisoning in Named-Data Networking,” *Proceedings of NDSS Workshop on Security of Emerging Networking Technologies (SENT)*. 2014.
- Ghodsi, Ali, et al. “Information-centric networking: seeing the forest for the trees.” *Proceedings of the 10th ACM Workshop on Hot Topics in Networks*. ACM, 2011.
- Ghodsi, Ali, et al. “Naming in content-oriented architectures.” *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM workshop on Information-centric networking*. ACM, 2011.
- Gupta, Anjali, Barbara Liskov, and Rodrigo Rodrigues. “Efficient Routing for Peer-to-Peer Overlays.” *NSDI*. vol. 4. 2004.
- H. Xiong, X. Zhang, W. Zhu, and D. Yao. *CloudSeal: End-to-End*.
- Heckerman, David, John S. Breese, and Koos Rommelse. “Decision-Theoretic Troubleshooting.” *Communications of the ACM*. 1995.
- Heinmeier, Kristin, et al. “Uncertainties in Achieving Energy Savings from HVAC Maintenance Measures in the Field,” *ASHRAE Transactions* 118.Part 2 {2012}.
- Herlich, Matthias et al., “Optimizing Energy Efficiency for Bulk Transfer Networks”, Apr. 13, 2010. pp. 1-3. retrieved for the Internet: URL:<http://www.cs.uni-paderborn.de/hleadmin/informationik/ag-kart/publications/miscellaneous/optimizing.pdf> (retrieved on Mar. 9, 2012).
- Hoque et al., ‘NLSR: Named-data Link State Routing Protocol’, Aug. 12, 2013, *ICN 2013*, pp. 15-20. <https://code.google.com/p/ccnx-trace/>.
- I. Psaras, R.G. Clegg, R. Landa, W.K. Chai, and G. Pavlou, “Modelling and evaluation of CCN-caching trees,” in *Proc. IFIP Networking 2011*, Valencia, Spain, May 2011, pp. 78-91.
- Intanagonwiwat, Chalernek, Ramesh Govindan, and Deborah Estrin. ‘Directed diffusion: a scalable and robust communication paradigm for sensor networks.’ *Proceedings of the 5th annual international conference on Mobile computing and networking*. ACM. 2000.
- J. Aumasson and D. Bernstein, “SipHash: a fast short-input PRF”, Sep. 18, 2012.
- J. Bethencourt, A. Sahai, and B. Waters, ‘Ciphertext-policy attribute-based encryption,’ in *Proc. IEEE Security & Privacy 2007*, Berkeley, CA, USA, May 2007, pp. 321-334.
- J. Hur, “Improving security and efficiency in attribute-based data sharing,” *IEEE Trans. Knowledge Data Eng.*, vol. 25, No. 10, pp. 2271-2282, Oct. 2013.
- J. Shao and Z. Cao. *CCA-Secure Proxy Re-Encryption without Pairings*. Public Key Cryptography. Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science vol. 5443 (2009).
- V. Jacobson et al., ‘Networking Named Content,’ *Proc. IEEE CoNEXT '09*, Dec. 2009.
- Jacobson et al., “Custodian-Based Information Sharing,” Jul. 2012, *IEEE Communication Magazine*: vol. 50 Issue 7 (p. 3843).
- Ji, Kun, et al. “Prognostics enable resilient control for model-based building automation systems.” *Proceedings of the 12th Conference of International Building Performance Simulation Association*. 2011.
- K. Liang, L. Fang, W. Susilo, and D.S. Wong, “A Ciphertext-policy attribute-based proxy re-encryption with chosen-ciphertext security,” in *Proc. INCoS 2013*, Xian, China, Sep. 2013, pp. 552-559.
- Katipamula, Srinivas, and Michael R. Brambley, “Review article: methods for fault detection, diagnostics, and prognostics for building systemsa review. Part I.” *HVAC&R Research* 11.1 (2005): 3-25.
- Katipamula, Srinivas, and Michael R. Brambley, “Review article: methods for fault detection, diagnostics, and prognostics for building systemsa review. Part II.” *HVAC&R Research* 11.2 (2005): 169-187.
- L. Wang et al., ‘OSPFN: An OSPF Based Routing Protocol for Named Data Networking,’ *Technical Report NDN-0003*, 2012.

(56)

## References Cited

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- L. Zhou, V. Varadharajan, and M. Hitchens, "Achieving secure role-based access control to encrypted data in cloud storage," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Security*, vol. 8, No. 12, pp. 1947-1960, Dec. 2013.
- Li, Wenjia, Anupam Joshi, and Tim Finin, "Coping with node misbehaviors in ad hoc networks: A multi-dimensional trust management approach," *Mobile Data Management (MDM), 2010 Eleventh International Conference on IEEE*, 2010.
- Lopez, Javier, et al. "Trust management systems for wireless sensor networks: Best practices," *Computer Communications* 33.9 (2010): 1086-1096.
- M. Blaze, G. Bleumer, and M. Strauss, 'Divertible protocols and atomic proxy cryptography,' in *Proc. EUROCRYPT 1998*, Espoo, Finland May-Jun. 1998, pp. 127-144.
- M. Green and G. Ateniese, "Identity-based proxy re-encryption," in *Proc. ACNS 2007*, Zhujai, China, Jun. 2007, pp. 288-306.
- M. Ion, J. Zhang, and E.M. Schooler, "Toward content-centric privacy in ICN: Attribute-based encryption and routing," in *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM ICN 2013*, Hong Kong, China, Aug. 2013, pp. 39-40.
- M. Naor and B. Pinkas "Efficient trace and revoke schemes," in *Proc. FC 2000*, Anguilla, British West Indies, Feb. 2000, pp. 1-20.
- M. Nystrom, S. Parkinson, A. Rusch, and M. Scott, "PKCS#12: Personal information exchange syntax v. 1.1," *IETF RFC 7292*, K. Moriarty, Ed., Jul. 2014.
- M. Parsa and J.J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves. "A Protocol for Scalable Loop-free Multicast Routing," *IEEE JSAC*, Apr. 1997.
- M. Walfish, H. Balakrishnan, and S. Shenker, "Untangling the web from DNS," in *Proc. USENIX NSDI 2004*, Oct. 2010, pp. 735-737.
- Mahadevan, Priya, et al. "Orbis: rescaling degree correlations to generate annotated internet topologies," *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*. vol. 37, No. 4, ACM, 2007.
- Mahadevan, Priya, et al. "Systematic topology analysis and generation using degree correlations." *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*. vol. 36 No. 4, ACM, 2006.
- Matocha, Jeff, and Tracy Camp. 'A taxonomy of distributed termination detection algorithms.' *Journal of Systems and Software* 43.3 (1998): 207-221.
- Metteo Varvello et al., "Caesar: A Content Router for High Speed Forwarding", *ICN 2012, Second Edition on Information-Centric Networking*, New York, Aug. 2012.
- McWilliams, Jennifer A., and Iain S. Walker. "Home Energy Article: A Systems Approach to Retrofitting Residential HVAC Systems." Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (2005).
- Meridol et al., "An efficient algorithm to enable path diversity in link state routing networks", Jan. 10, *Compauser Networks* 55 (2011), pp. 1132-1140.
- Mobility First Project [online], <http://mobilityfirst.winlab.rutgers.edu/>. Downloaded Mar. 9, 2015.
- Narasimhan, Sriram, and Lee Brownston. "HyDE—A General Framework for stochastic and Hybrid Modelbased Diagnosis." *Proc. DX 7* (2007): 162-169.
- NDN Project [online], <http://www.named-data.net/>, Downloaded Mar. 9, 2015.
- Omar, Mawloud, Yacine Challal, and Abdelmadjid Bouabdallah. "Certification-based trust models in mobile ad hoc networks: A survey and taxonomy." *Journal of Network and Computer Applications* 35.1 (2012):266-286.
- P. Mahadevan, Euzun, S. Sevilla and J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, "CCN-kr: A key resolution service for ccn" in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Information-centric Networking*, Ser. INC. 14 New York, NY, USA, ACM. 2014, pp. 97-106. [Online], Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/2660129.2660154>.
- R.H. Deng, J. Weng, S. Liu, and K. Chen. Chosen-Ciphertext Secure Proxy Re-Encryption without Pairings. *CANS. Spring Lecture Notes in Computer Science* vol. 5339 (2008).
- S. Chow, J. Weng, Y. Yang, and R. Deng. Efficient Unidirectional Proxy Re-Encryption. *Progress in Cryptology—AFRICACRYPT 2010*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2010).
- S. Deering, "Multicast Routing in Internetworks and Extended LANs," *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM '88*. Aug. 1988.
- S. Deering et al., "The PIM architecture for wide-area multicast routing," *IEEE/ACM Trans. on Networking*, vol. 4, No. 2, Apr. 1996.
- S. Jahid, P. Mittal, and N. Borisov, "EASIER: Encyption-based access control in social network with efficient revocation," in *Proc. ACM ASIACCS 2011*, Hong Kong, China, Mar. 2011, pp. 411-415.
- S. Kamara and K. Lauter, "Cryptographic cloud storage," in *Proc. FC 2010*, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain, Jan. 2010, pp. 136-149.
- S. Kumar et al. "Peacock Hashing: Deterministic and Updatable Hashing for High Performance Networking," 2008, pp. 556-564.
- S. Misra, R. Tourani, and N.E. Majd, "Secure content delivery in information-centric networks. Design, implementation, and analyses," in *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM ICN 2013*, Hong Kong, China, Aug. 2013, pp. 73-78.
- S. Yu, C. Wang, K. Ren, and W. Lou, "Achieving secure, scalable, and fine-grained data access control in cloud computing," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM 2010*, San Diego, CA, USA, Mar. 2010, pp. 1-9.
- S.J. Lee, M. Gerla, and C. Chiang, "On-Demand Multicast Routing Protocol in Multihop Wireless Mobile Networks," *Mobile Networks and Applications*, vol. 7, No. 6, 2002.
- Sandvine, *Global Internet Phenomena Report—Spring 2012*. Located online at [http://www.sandvine.com/downloads/documents/Phenomenal H 2012/Sandvine Global Internet Phenomena Report 1H 2012.pdf](http://www.sandvine.com/downloads/documents/Phenomenal%20H%202012/Sandvine%20Global%20Internet%20Phenomena%20Report%201H%202012.pdf).
- Scalable and Adaptive Internet Solutions (SAIL) Project [online], <http://sail-project.eu/> Downloaded Mar. 9, 2015.
- Schein, Jeffrey, and Steven T. Bushby. *A Simulation Study of a Hierarchical, Rule-Based Method for System-Level Fault Detection and Diagnostics in HVAC Systems*. US Department of Commerce, [Technology Administration], National Institute of Standards and Technology, 2005.
- Shani, Guy, Joelle Pineau, and Robert Kaplow. "A survey of pint-based POMDP solvers." *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems* 27.1 (2013): 1-51.
- Sheppard, John W., and Stephyn GW Butcher. "A formal analysis of fault diagnosis with d-matrices." *Journal of Electronic Testing* 23.4 (2007): 309-322.
- Shneyderman, Alex et al., 'Mobile VPN: Delivering Advanced Services in Next Generation Wireless Systems', Jan. 1, 2003, pp. 3-29.
- Solis, Ignacio, and J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves. 'Robust content dissemination in disrupted environments.' *Proceedings of the third ACM workshop on Challenged networks*. ACM, 2008.
- Sun, Ying, and Daniel S. Weld. "A framework for model-based repairs," *AAAI*. 1993.
- T. Ballardie, P. Francis, and J. Crowcroft, "Core Based trees (CBT)," *Proc. ACM SIGCOMM '88*, Aug. 1988.
- T. Dierts, "The transport layer security (TLS) protocol version 1.2," *IEFT RFC 5246*, 2008.
- T. Kaponen, M. Chawla, B.-G. Chun, A. Ermolinskiy, K.H. Kim, S. Shenker, and I. Stoica, 'A data-oriented (and beyond) network architecture,' *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communications Review*, vol. 37, No. 4, pp. 181-182, Oct. 2007.
- The Despotify Project (2012). Available online at <http://despotify.sourceforge.net/>.
- V. Goyal, O. Pandey, A. Sahai, and B. Waters, "Attribute-based encryption for fine-grained access control of encrypted data," in *Proc. ACM CCS 2006*, Alexandria, VA, USA, Oct.-Nov. 2006, pp. 89-98.
- V. Jacobson, D.K. Smetters, J.D. Thornton, M.F. Plass, N.H. Briggs, and R.L. Braynard, 'Networking names content,' in *Proc. ACM CoNEXT 2009*, Rome, Italy, Dec. 2009, pp. 1-2.
- V. K. Adhikari, S. Jain, Y. Chen, and Z.-L. Zhang. *Vivisecting Youtube: An Active Measurement Study*. In *INFOCOM12 Mini-conference* (2012).
- Verma, Vandi, Joquin Fernandez, and Reid Simmons "Probabilistic models for monitoring and fault diagnosis." *The Second IARP and IEEE/RAS Joint Workshop on Technical Challenges for Dependable Robots in Human Environments*. Ed. Raja Chatila. Oct. 2002.
- Vijay Kumar Adhikar, Yang Guo, Fang Hao, Matteo Varvello, Volker Hilt, Moritz Steiner, and Zhi-Li Zhang. *Unreeling Netflix:*



(56)

**References Cited**

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Understanding and Improving Multi-CDN Movie Delivery. In the Proceedings of IEEE INFOCOM 2012 (2012).

Vutukury, Srinivas, and J. J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves. A simple approximation to minimum-delay routing. vol. 29, No. 4, ACM, 1999.

W.-G. Tzeng and Z.-J. Tzeng, "A public-key traitor tracing scheme with revocation using dynamic shares," in Proc. PKC 2001, Cheju Island, Korea, Feb. 2001, pp. 207-224.

Waldvogel, Marcel "Fast Longest Prefix Matching: Algorithms, Analysis, and Applications", A dissertation submitted to the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich, 2002.

Walker, Iain S. Best Practices guide doe residential HVAC Retrofits. No. LBNL-53592, Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA (US), 2003.

Wang, Jiangzhe et al., "DMND: Collecting Data From Mobiles Using Named Data", Vehicular Networking Conference, 2010 IEEE, pp. 49-56.

Xylomenos, George, et al. "A survey of information-centric networking research." Communications Surveys & Tutorials, IEEE 1.5.2 (2014): 1024-1049.

Yi, Cheng, et al. 'A case for stateful forwarding plane.' Computer Communications 36.7 (2013): 779-791.

Yi, Cheng, et al. 'Adaptive forwarding in named data networking.' ACM SIGCOMM computer communication review 42.3 (2012): 62-67.

Zahariadis, Theodore, et al. "Trust Management in wireless sensor networks." European Transactions on Telecommunications 21.4 (2010): 386-395.

Zhang, et al., "Named Data Network (NDN) Project", <http://www.parc.com/publication/2709/named-data-networking-ndn-project.html>, Oct. 2010, NDN-0001, PARC Tech Report.

Zhang, Lixia, et al. 'Named data networking.' ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review 44.3 (2014):66-73.

Soh et al., "Efficient Prefix Updates for IP Router Using Lexicographic Ordering and Updateable Address Set", Jan. 2008, IEEE Transactions on Computers, vol. 57, No. 1.

Beben et al., "Content Aware Network based on Virtual Infrastructure", 2012 13th ACIS International Conference on Software Engineering.

Biradar et al., "Review of multicast routing mechanisms in mobile ad hoc networks", Aug. 16, Journal of Networks.

D. Trossen and G. Parisis, "Designing and realizing an information-centric internet," IEEE Communications Magazine, vol. 50, No. 7, pp. 60-67, Jul. 2012.

Garcia-Luna-Aceves et al., "Automatic Routing Using Multiple Prefix Labels", 2012, IEEE, Ad Hoc and Sensor Networking Symposium.

Gasti, Paolo et al., 'DoS & DDoS in Named Data Networking', 2013 22nd International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks (ICCCN), Aug. 2013, pp. 1-7.

Ishiyama, "On the Effectiveness of Diffusive Content Caching in Content-Centric Networking", Nov. 5, 2012, IEEE, Information and Telecommunication Technologies (APSITT), 2012 9th Asia-Pacific Symposium.

J. Hur and D.K. Noh, "Attribute-based access control with efficient revocation in data outsourcing systems," IEEE Trans. Parallel Distrib. Syst, vol. 22, No. 7, pp. 1214-1221, Jul. 2011.

J. Lotspiech, S. Nusser, and F. Pestoni. Anonymous Trust: Digital Rights Management using Broadcast Encryption. Proceedings of the IEEE 92.6 (2004).

Kaya et al., "A Low Power Lookup Technique for Multi-Hashing Network Applications", 2006 IEEE Computer Society Annual Symposium on Emerging VLSI Technologies and Architectures, Mar. 2006.

S. Kamara and K. Lauter. Cryptographic Cloud Storage. Financial Cryptography and Data Security. Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2010). RTMP (2009). Available online at [http://www.images.adobe.com/www.adobe.com/content/dam/Adobe/en/devnet/rtmp/pdf/rtmp\\_specification\\_1.0.pdf](http://www.images.adobe.com/www.adobe.com/content/dam/Adobe/en/devnet/rtmp/pdf/rtmp_specification_1.0.pdf).

Hoque et al., "NLSR: Named-data Link State Routing Protocol", Aug. 12, 2013, ICN'13.

Nadeem Javaid, "Analysis and design of quality link metrics for routing protocols in Wireless Networks", PhD Thesis Defense, Dec. 15, 2010, Universite Paris-Est.

Wetherall, David, "Active Network vision and reality: Lessons from a capsule-based system", ACM Symposium on Operating Systems Principles, Dec. 1, 1999, pp. 64-79.

Kulkarni A.B. et al., "Implementation of a prototype active network", IEEE, Open Architectures and Network Programming, Apr. 3, 1998, pp. 130-142.

European Exam Report issued in counterpart European Application No. 15175511.3, dated Nov. 14, 2017, 6 pages.

\* cited by examiner





FIG. 1



200

**FIG. 2**




FIG. 3A




FIG. 3B




FIG. 3C




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B






FIG. 4C

**FIG. 5**

**FIG. 6**




FIG. 7






FIG. 8

**RECONSTRUCTABLE CONTENT OBJECTS**

## PRIORITY DATA

This Application is a continuation application (and claims the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120) of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/334,386 entitled "RECONSTRUCTABLE CONTENT OBJECTS" filed on Jul. 17, 2014, Inventor Marc E. Mosko. The disclosure of this prior application is considered part of the disclosure of this application and is incorporated by reference.

## BACKGROUND

## Field

The present disclosure relates generally to a content-centric network (CCN). More specifically, the present disclosure relates to a system and method for implementing reconstructable Content Objects in content-centric networks (CCNs).

## Related Art

The proliferation of the Internet and e-commerce continues to fuel revolutionary changes in the network industry. Today, a significant number of information exchanges, from online movie viewing to daily news delivery, retail sales, and instant messaging, are conducted online. An increasing number of Internet applications are also becoming mobile. However, the current Internet operates on a largely location-based addressing scheme. The two most ubiquitous protocols, Internet Protocol (IP) and Ethernet protocol, are both based on end-host addresses. That is, a consumer of content can only receive the content by explicitly requesting the content from an address (e.g., IP address or Ethernet media access control (MAC) address) that is typically associated with a physical object or location. This restrictive addressing scheme is becoming progressively more inadequate for meeting the ever-changing network demands.

Recently, information-centric network (ICN) architectures have been proposed in the industry where content is directly named and addressed. Content-centric networking (CCN), an exemplary ICN architecture, brings a new approach to content transport. Instead of viewing network traffic at the application level as end-to-end conversations over which content travels, content is requested or returned based on its unique name, and the network is responsible for routing content from the provider to the consumer. Note that content includes data that can be transported in the communication system, including any form of data such as text, images, video, and/or audio. A consumer and a provider can be a person at a computer or an automated process inside or outside the CCN. A piece of content can refer to the entire content or a respective portion of the content. For example, a newspaper article might be represented by multiple pieces of content embodied as data packets. A piece of content can also be associated with metadata describing or augmenting the piece of content with information such as authentication data, creation date, content owner, etc.

In CCN, it is desirable that the intermediate node of the recipient of a content piece caches the received popular content to respond to future requests. However, the self-authentication nature of CCN requires that the content be stored in both the ready-to-use form and the Content Object form, resulting in storage of large sets of duplicated data.

## SUMMARY

One embodiment of the present invention provides a system for delivering a content piece over a network using

a set of reconstructable objects. During operation, the system obtains a metadata file that includes a set of rules; generates the set of reconstructable objects for the content piece based on the set of rules included in the metadata file; cryptographically signs the set of reconstructable objects to obtain a set of signed reconstructable objects; and delivers, over the network, the set of signed reconstructable objects along with the metadata file to a recipient, thereby enabling the recipient to extract and store a copy of the content piece and then to reconstruct the set of signed reconstructable objects from the stored copy of the content piece and the metadata file.

In a variation on this embodiment, the set of rules includes one or more of: a rule that specifies how to chunk the content piece, with a respective chunk of the content piece forming a payload of a corresponding reconstructable object; a rule that defines a naming convention; a rule that specifies a signing key; a rule that specifies whether to include a secure catalog; and a rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog based on the set of reconstructable objects.

In a further variation, cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects involves using the specified signing key to sign each reconstructable object.

In a further variation, cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects involves using the specified signing key to sign the secure catalog.

In a variation on this embodiment, the network is a content-centric network (CCN), and the set of reconstructable objects conforms to a CCN standard.

One embodiment of the present invention provides a system for reconstructing a set of reconstructable objects representing a content piece. During operation, the system receives a set of signed reconstructable objects and an associated metadata file, extracts payloads and one or more signatures from the set of received signed reconstructable objects, assembles a copy of the content piece using the extracted payloads, stores the copy of the content piece, the metadata file, and the extracted one or more signatures. The system then discards the set of received signed reconstructable objects. In response to receiving a request for the content piece, the system reconstructs the set of signed reconstructable objects based on the copy of the content piece, the metadata file, and the extracted one or more signatures.

In a variation on this embodiment, the set of rules includes one or more of: a rule that specifies how to chunk the content piece, with a respective chunk of the content piece forming a payload of a corresponding reconstructable object; a rule that defines a naming convention; a rule that specifies a signing key; a rule that specifies whether to include a secure catalog; and a rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog based on the set of reconstructable objects.

In a further variation, extracting the one or more signatures from the set of received signed reconstructable objects involves extracting a signature from each signed reconstructable object. The system further verifies the signature based on the specified signing key.

In a further variation, reconstructing the set of signed reconstructable objects involves inserting an extracted signature into each reconstructable object.

In a further variation, extracting the one or more signatures from the set of received signed reconstructable objects involves extracting a signature from the secure catalog. The system verifies the signature based on the specified signing key.

In a further variation, the system discards the secure catalog along with the set of received signed reconstructable

objects. In response to receiving a request for the content piece, the system regenerates the secure catalog based on the rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog.

In a further variation, reconstructing the set of signed reconstructable objects involves inserting an extracted signature into the regenerated secure catalog.

In a variation on this embodiment, the network is a content-centric network (CCN), wherein the set of reconstructable objects conforms to a CCN standard.

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary network architecture, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 2 presents a diagram illustrating an exemplary Content Object in content-centric networks (CCNs).

FIG. 3A presents a diagram illustrating how a content publisher creates a set of reconstructable Content Objects for a content piece, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 3B presents a diagram illustrating an exemplary response to a content request, transferred over the network, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 3C presents a diagram illustrating how to reassemble the reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4A presents a diagram illustrating how a content publisher creates a set of reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4B presents a diagram illustrating an exemplary response to a content request, transferred over the network, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 4C presents a diagram illustrating how to reassemble the reconstructable Content Objects along with the secure catalog, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 5 presents a flowchart illustrating a process of creating a set of reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 6 presents a flowchart illustrating a process of storing a content piece and reconstruction information associated with the content piece, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 7 presents a flowchart illustrating a process of reconstructing a set of Content Objects associated with a content piece, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary system that implements reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention.

In the figures, like reference numerals refer to the same figure elements.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

##### Overview

Embodiments of the present invention provide a system and method for implementing reconstructable Content Objects. More specifically, the system uses a set of metadata to describe how to publish the user data as Content Objects over the CCN networks. The metadata specifies the number of bytes included in each Content Object, the timestamps used, the convention for naming the Content Objects, and other parameters that may be included in the Content Objects. When a node publishes a piece of content over the network, it constructs a set of Content Objects based on a set of rules included in a metadata file, and creates a set of

signatures, one for each Content Object. A first requester requesting the content piece receives the metadata file along with the Content Objects that contain the user data and the original publisher's signatures. Instead of storing all the Content Objects, the first requester extracts user data from the received Content Objects, and stores the extracted user data in a form that is ready to be used by an associated application. The requester also stores the received metadata and cryptographic signatures. When a different requester requests the content piece from the first requester, the first requester can reconstruct the original set of Content Objects based on the user data and information contained in the metadata, and pair the cryptographic signatures to corresponding Content Objects. The reconstructed Content Objects and the metadata file can be transmitted to the different requester, which can then use, store, and retransmit the user data when needed. In this way, embodiments of the present invention allow a node to store received content in its original form (without CCN headers) using minimum additional storage beyond the original file size while still being able to reproduce exactly the set of original Content Objects as published by the content publisher. Note that the phrase "reproduce exactly" means that the Content Objects are identical, down to their hash-based self-certified names.

In general, CCN uses two types of messages: Interests and Content Objects. An Interest carries the hierarchically structured variable-length identifier (HSVLI), also called the "name" or the "CCN name" of a Content Object and serves as a request for that object. If a network element (e.g., router) receives multiple Interests for the same name, it may aggregate those Interests. A network element along the path of the Interest with a matching Content Object may cache and return that object, satisfying the Interest. The Content Object follows the reverse path of the Interest to the origin(s) of the Interest. A Content Object contains, among other information, the same HSVLI, the object's payload, and cryptographic information used to bind the HSVLI to the payload.

The terms used in the present disclosure are generally defined as follows (but their interpretation is not limited to such):

"HSVLI:" Hierarchically structured variable-length identifier, also called a Name. It is an ordered list of Name Components, which may be variable length octet strings. In human-readable form, it can be represented in a format such as ccnx:/path/part. Also the HSVLI may not be human-readable. As mentioned above, HSVLIs refer to content, and it is desirable that they be able to represent organizational structures for content and be at least partially meaningful to humans. An individual component of an HSVLI may have an arbitrary length. Furthermore, HSVLIs can have explicitly delimited components, can include any sequence of bytes, and are not limited to human-readable characters. A longest-prefix-match lookup is important in forwarding packets with HSVLIs. For example, an HSVLI indicating an Interest in "/parc/home/bob" will match both "/parc/home/bob/test.txt" and "/parc/home/bob/bar.txt." The longest match, in terms of the number of name components, is considered the best because it is the most specific. Detailed descriptions of the HSVLIs can be found in U.S. Pat. No. 8,160,069, entitled "SYSTEM FOR FORWARDING A PACKET WITH A HIERARCHICALLY STRUCTURED VARIABLE-LENGTH IDENTIFIER," by inventors Van L. Jacob-

son and James D. Thornton, filed 23 Sep. 2009, the disclosure of which is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety.

“Interest:” A request for a Content Object. The Interest specifies an HSVLI name prefix and other optional selectors that can be used to choose among multiple objects with the same name prefix. Any Content Object whose name matches the Interest name prefix (and optionally other requested parameters such as publisher key-ID match) satisfies the Interest.

“Content Object:” A data object sent in response to an Interest. It has an HSVLI name and a Content payload that are bound together via a cryptographic signature. Optionally, all Content Objects have an implicit terminal name component made up of the SHA-256 digest of the Content Object. In one embodiment, the implicit digest is not transferred on the wire, but is computed at each hop, if needed. Note that the Content Object is not the same as a content component. A Content Object has a specifically defined structure under CCN protocol and its size is normally the size of a network packet (around 1500 bytes for wide area networks and 8000 bytes for local area networks and with fragmentation), whereas a content component is a general term used to refer to a file, which can be an embedded object of a web page. For example, a web page may include a number of embedded objects, such as image or video files. Each embedded object is a content component and may span multiple Content Objects.

As mentioned before, an HSVLI indicates a piece of content, is hierarchically structured, and includes contiguous components ordered from a most general level to a most specific level. The length of a respective HSVLI is not fixed. In content-centric networks, unlike a conventional IP network, a packet may be identified by an HSVLI. For example, “abcd/bob/papers/ccn/news” could be the name of the content and identifies the corresponding packet(s), i.e., the “news” article from the “ccn” collection of papers for a user named “Bob” at the organization named “ABCD.” To request a piece of content, a node expresses (e.g., broadcasts) an Interest in that content by the content’s name. An Interest in a piece of content can be a query for the content according to the content’s name or identifier. The content, if available in the network, is sent back from any node that stores the content to the requesting node. The routing infrastructure intelligently propagates the Interest to the prospective nodes that are likely to have the information and then carries available content back along the reverse path traversed by the Interest message. Essentially the Content Object follows the breadcrumbs left by the Interest message and thus reaches the requesting node.

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary network architecture, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. In this example, a network **180** comprises nodes **100-145**. Each node in the network is coupled to one or more other nodes. Network connection **185** is an example of such a connection. The network connection is shown as a solid line, but each line could also represent sub-networks or super-networks, which can couple one node to another node. Network **180** can be content-centric, a local network, a super-network, or a sub-network. Each of these networks can be interconnected so that a node in one network can reach a node in other networks. The network connection can be broadband, wireless, telephonic, satellite, or any type of network connection. A node can be a computer system, an endpoint representing users, and/or a device that can generate Interest or originate content.

In accordance with an embodiment of the present invention, a consumer can generate an Interest for a piece of content and forward that Interest to a node in network **180**. The piece of content can be stored at a node in network **180** by a publisher or content provider, who can be located inside or outside the network. For example, in FIG. 1, the Interest in a piece of content originates at node **105**. If the content is not available at the node, the Interest flows to one or more nodes coupled to the first node. For example, in FIG. 1, the Interest flows (Interest flow **150**) to node **115**, which does not have the content available. Next, the Interest flows (Interest flow **155**) from node **115** to node **125**, which again does not have the content. The Interest then flows (Interest flow **160**) to node **130**, which does have the content available. The flow of the Content Object then retraces its path in reverse (content flows **165**, **170**, and **175**) until it reaches node **105**, where the content is delivered. Other processes such as authentication can be involved in the flow of content.

In network **180**, any number of intermediate nodes (nodes **100-145**) in the path between a content holder (node **130**) and the Interest generation node (node **105**) can participate in caching local copies of the content as it travels across the network. Caching reduces the network load for a second subscriber located in proximity to other subscribers by implicitly sharing access to the locally cached content.

Reconstructable Content Objects

In CCN, content flows through the network in the form of Content Objects, with each Content Object being a data packet having a well-defined format and size. FIG. 2 presents a diagram illustrating an exemplary Content Object in content-centric networks (CCNs). In FIG. 2, Content Object **200** includes a name component **202**, a key-ID component **204**, an optional key component **206**, a payload component **208**, other components, and a signature component **212**. Name component **202** is a non-cryptographic user-assigned string, which can be an HSVLI in a human-readable form or a flat name. Key-ID component **204** identifies a public key used to sign Content Object **200**. The public key can be optionally included in Content Object **200** as key component **206**. Payload component **208** includes the user data. Content Object **200** may also include other components (not shown in FIG. 2), such as a timestamp field indicating the time of the last modification. Signature component **212** is a cryptographic signature that binds all other components in Content Object **200**. The signature can be generated using an RSA scheme. For example, the publisher of the content can generate the signature using its private key, which is verifiable using public key **206**. Note that, instead of signing all the bytes, the signature is usually generated by signing a hash of Content Object **200** (minus signature component **212**), shown as a signature hash **210**.

When a requester requests a content piece, such as a document, an image file, a video or audio file, or an application-specific data file, over the CCN, it often receives multiple Content Objects transmitted from the content provider, which can be the original content publisher or a node that stores a copy of the content piece. The payload of the received Content Objects contains the content data, with each Content Object containing a chunk of the data file. Upon receiving the multiple Content Objects, the requester, now the content receiver, needs to extract the content data from the Content Objects, assemble, and store the content data as a normal file in its original format on the local machine, such that the corresponding application can use the data file. For example, if the data file is a JPEG image file, the requester of the JPEG image file may receive multiple Content Objects with each Content Object carrying a portion

of the JPEG image file in its payload. The receiver can then extract the portions of the JPEG image file from the received Content Objects, assemble the extracted portions into a complete JPEG image file, and store the assembled JPEG image file such that an image-reading application can open the JPEG image file to show the image.

On the other hand, in CCN, it is desirable that the content receiver also caches the Content Objects such that the content receiver may respond to future Interests for the content piece by returning the cached Content Objects. Note that, because the Content Objects are cryptographically signed by the original publisher, they need to be saved in their original forms so that future receivers of the content can verify the authenticity of the content by verifying those signatures. If the current receiver only keeps the payload of the Content Objects and throws away the wrappers (which can include the name, the key-ID/key, the signature, etc.), the current receiver cannot reconstitute those signatures. Even if the current receiver stores the signatures, they cannot be paired with the original Content Objects to enable the authentication process.

However, storing the Content Objects along with the user data means that the current content receiver, after it receives the content piece, needs to store the same content data in two different forms: one in the form of a normal data file that is application-ready and the other in the form of Content Objects. This creates undesired redundancy where a potentially large set of duplicated data is stored on the local system. To avoid this redundancy, in some embodiments of the present invention, the system delivers content as reconstructable Content Objects that allow a receiver to store the content in the application-ready format and reconstruct original Content Objects when re-transmitting the content to other nodes. In order to generate the reconstructable Content Objects, in some embodiments, a metadata file that includes a set of rules is implemented.

FIG. 3A presents a diagram illustrating how a content publisher creates a set of reconstructable Content Objects for a content piece, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 3A, a publisher is publishing a data file 302 over the CCN network. To do so, the publisher needs to generate a plurality of Content Objects that conform to the CCN protocol and/or certain criteria defined by the publisher. In some embodiments, generating the plurality of Content Objects involves applying a set of rules included in a metadata file 306. The rule set may specify how to chunk the original data file (such as how many bytes per chunk) and what to fill in all the fields of a Content Object. For example, the rule set may specify how to fill the creation-time field in a Content Object and when to use an end-of-segment field. Moreover, the rule set may specify the format of the names of the Content Objects. In some embodiments, all Content Objects may have a same CCN base name, and the CCN name for a particular Content Object can be the base name plus the corresponding chunk number. Additionally, the rule set may specify the signing key, and may specify whether to include the public key of the signing key in one or more Content Objects. In some embodiments, the rule set may specify that the first Content Object include a copy of the public key. Based on the set of rules included in metadata file 306, the publisher generates an initial set of Content Objects for data file 302, each Content Object including a chunk of data file 302. For example, a Content Object 310 includes chunk 0 of data file 302. Note that metadata file 306 may be a system default file, or a file generated by the content publisher in order to include a set of user-definable rules.

Subsequent to the generation of the initial set of Content Objects, the publisher cryptographically signs, using a signing key 304, each Content Object, generating a set of signatures, such as a signature 308. In some embodiments, signing key 304 may be a private signing key of a public/private key pair. In further embodiments, signing a Content Object may involve signing a hash value of the Content Object. Note that, once generated, a signature is included in the corresponding Content Object, being an actual part of the Content Object. To avoid ambiguity, a Content Object that includes the signature is also called a signed Content Object.

FIG. 3B presents a diagram illustrating an exemplary response to a content request, transferred over the network, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. More specifically, when the publisher of data file 302 responds to a set of Interests for Content Objects that represent data file 302, the publisher transfers over the network these Content Objects along with metadata file 306. In some embodiments, the signature for each Content Object is transferred together with the corresponding Content Object. For example, the Content Object that carries chunk 0 of data file 302 is combined with signature S0 to form a signed Content Object 312. Note that metadata 306 is transferred over the network in the form of CCN Content Objects as well, and may have been digitally signed by the content publisher.

Upon receiving metadata file 306 and the signed Content Objects, the receiver can authenticate the signed Content Objects by verifying the signatures. Subsequently, the receiver stores metadata 306, and extracts and stores the payload and signature of each signed Content Object. Payloads from the plurality of Content Objects that represents data file 302 are assembled to form a copy of data file 302 in the form that is ready to be used by an appropriate application. For example, if data file 302 is a JPEG image file, the assembled file will be a copy of the JPEG image file. The signatures are stored separately from metadata file 306 and the copy of data file 302. The receiver can then discard the received Content Objects. In other words, the recipient deconstructs each received Content Object by extracting and saving useful information (such as the payload and the catalog signature) while discarding redundant information (information that is included in the metadata file, such as the CCN name, the key-ID, and the secure catalog). This way, instead of storing content in both the user data form and the Content Object form, the content recipient only needs to store the content in its user data form along with the metadata file and the original signatures, thus significantly reducing the amount of storage space required for large content pieces. When the content receiver receives a request for the content, it can reassemble the original signed Content Objects, using information included in the metadata file and the signatures, and transfer the reassembled signed Content Objects over the network to the new content requester.

FIG. 3C presents a diagram illustrating how to reassemble the reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 3C, a device or a node stores a data file 320, metadata file 306, and a set of signatures associated with data file 320. Note that data file 320 is a copy of original data file 302, and is formed by extracting and assembling the payloads of a plurality of Content Objects representing data file 302. Metadata file 306 is received along with the plurality of Content Objects representing data file 302. The set of signatures is extracted from the plurality of signed Content Objects representing data file 302, each signature corresponding to a Content Object.

Upon receiving a request for the content, the device reassembles a plurality of signed Content Objects. Note that, in order for future recipients of the Content Object to be able to verify the authenticity of those Content Objects, the reassembled signed Content Objects need to be exact copies of the original signed Content Objects received by the device. In some embodiments, to accomplish this, the device applies the set of rules included in metadata file 306 to data file 320, generating an initial set of Content Objects with each Content Object corresponding to a chunk of data file 320. Subsequently, the device inserts the signatures into their corresponding Content Objects to form the final set of signed Content Objects that is ready for transmission over the network. For example, the Content Object that contains chunk 0 of data file 312 is combined with S0 to form a reassembled signed Content Object 322, which is a copy of signed Content Object 312. This final set of signed Content Objects can then be transmitted to the content requester along with metadata file 306. Note that transmitting the metadata file along with the signed Content Objects allows any future recipient of the Content Objects to store only the application data along with the signatures and the metadata file, but still have the ability to reconstruct the original signed Content Objects. Note that the metadata file and the signatures only add a small amount of data to the original data file, and require significantly less storage compared with the need to store the entire set of Content Objects.

In some embodiments, instead of creating a cryptographic signature for each Content Object, the content publisher may use a secure catalog, also known as an Aggregated Signing Object, to authenticate the Content Objects. More specifically, the content publisher can create the secure catalog by aggregating the hash values (such as SHA-256 hashes) of the Content Objects, and then signing, using a private key, the secure catalog to create a catalog signature. In some embodiments, the secure catalog can be the concatenation of the cryptographic hash for each Content Object. Note that a rule that defines how to generate the secure catalog can be included in the metadata file.

FIG. 4A presents a diagram illustrating how a content publisher creates a set of reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 4A, a publisher is publishing a data file 402 over the CCN network. Similar to what is shown in FIG. 3A, the publisher generates an initial set of Content Objects 406 based on a set of rules included in a metadata file 404. Each Content Object includes a chunk of data file 402. For example, a Content Object 408 includes chunk 0 of data file 402. Subsequently, the publisher can generate, based on a secure-catalog rule included in metadata file 404, a secure catalog 410 for initial set of Content Objects 406. Note that secure catalog 410 can span multiple Content Objects. In the example shown in FIG. 4A, secure catalog 410 spans two Content Objects. The publisher then creates a catalog signature 414 by signing, using a signing key 412, over secure catalog 410. In some embodiments, signing key 412 is the private key of a public/private key pair, and an identifier that identifies the corresponding public key can be included in metadata file 404. Note that, if secure catalog 410 spans multiple Content Objects, catalog signature 414 may include multiple signatures, one for each Content Object in secure catalog 410. In general, given data file 402, a metadata file 404, and a signing key 412, the content publisher generates a set of Content Objects representing data file 402, a secure catalog 410, and a catalog signature 414.

FIG. 4B presents a diagram illustrating an exemplary response to a content request, transferred over the network,

in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. More specifically, when the publisher of data file 402 responds to a set of Interests for Content Objects that represent data file 402, the publisher transfers over the network metadata file 404, set of Content Objects 406, secure catalog 410, and catalog signature 414. Note that if catalog signature 414 includes multiple signatures, each for an individual Content Object in secure catalog 410, the publisher may insert each signature into its corresponding Content Object, creating a signed secure-catalog Content Object. By verifying catalog signature 414, a recipient can first authenticate secure catalog 410, and then use secure catalog 410 to authenticate the plurality of Content Objects within set of Content Objects 406.

Once the authentication is completed, the recipient can store metadata file 404, extract and store the payload of each Content Object within set of Content Objects 406, and store catalog signature 414. Payloads from the plurality of Content Objects within set of Content Objects 406 are assembled to form data file 420, which is a copy of original data file 402. The recipient can then discard the received set of Content Objects 406 and secure catalog 410. In other words, the recipient deconstructs each received Content Object by extracting and saving useful information (such as the payload and the catalog signature), while discarding redundant information (information that is included in the metadata file, such as the CCN name, the key-ID, and the secure catalog). This way, instead of storing content in both the user data form and the Content Object form, the content recipient only needs to store the content in its user data form along with the metadata file and the signature for the secure catalog. Note that compared with the set of signatures for all Content Objects, the signature for the secure catalog occupies less storage space. Note that because the rule to generate the secure catalog is included in the metadata file, the recipient does not need to store the secure catalog itself. When this content recipient receives a request for the content, it can reassemble the original set of Content Objects and the signed secure-catalog Content Objects, using information included in the metadata file and the catalog signature, and forward the reassembled Content Object set and the signed secure-catalog Content Objects over the network to the new content requester.

FIG. 4C presents a diagram illustrating how to reassemble the reconstructable Content Objects along with the secure catalog, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. In FIG. 4C, a device or a node stores a data file 420, metadata file 404, and a catalog signature 414 associated with data file 420. Note that data file 420 is a copy of original data file 402, and is formed by extracting and assembling the payloads of Content Objects within set of Content Objects 406. Metadata file 404 is received along with the set of Content Objects 406. Catalog signature 414 can be extracted from the signed secure-catalog Content Objects.

Upon receiving a request for the content, the device reassembles a plurality of Content Objects using data file 420 and metadata 404. In some embodiments, to accomplish this, the device applies a set of rules included in metadata file 404 to data file 420, generating a set of Content Objects with each Content Object corresponding to a chunk of data file 420. The device also generates a secure catalog based on the generated set of Content Objects and one or more rules included in metadata file 404. Subsequently, the device combines catalog signature 414 with the generated secure catalog to form the signed secure-catalog Content Objects, that are ready to be transmitted along with the set of Content

## 11

Objects and the metadata. Similar to the example shown in FIG. 3C, the reconstructed Content Objects and signed secure-catalog Content Objects are exact copies of the received Content Objects representing the data file and the received signed secure-catalog Content Objects. No authentication information is lost during the reconstruction process.

FIG. 5 presents a flowchart illustrating a process of creating a set of reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. During operation, a content publisher obtains a to-be-published content piece (operation 502). The publisher then creates or obtains a metadata file that includes a set of rules for constructing Content Objects (operation 504). In some embodiments, the set of rules may specify how to chunk the original data file (such as how many bytes per chunk), what to fill in all the fields of a Content Object, and the key(s) used to sign the Content Objects. Based on the rules, the publisher creates a set of initial Content Objects (operation 506). The publisher can then optionally create a secure catalog based on the initial Content Objects (operation 508). The publisher then generates one or more signatures by signing the Content Objects or, if possible, the secure catalog (operation 510). In response to a request for the content, the publisher transfers the metadata file, the signed Content Objects and, if possible, the signed secure-catalog Content Objects over the network (operation 512).

FIG. 6 presents a flowchart illustrating a process of storing a content piece and reconstruction information associated with the content piece, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. During operation, a device or a node receives, over the network, a metadata file, a plurality of Content Objects, and possibly one or more signed secure-catalog Content Objects (operation 602). The device extracts payload and signature (if any) fields from each Content Object (operation 604), and reassembles a data file using the extracted payloads (operation 606). Note that the reassembled data file can be ready to be used by an appropriate application. Note that, if there are signed secure-catalog Content Objects, the system extracts the catalog signature from the secure-catalog Content Objects. Subsequently, the device stores the metadata file, the data file, and the extracted signature(s) (operation 608), and discards the received Content Objects and, if any, the signed secure-catalog Content Objects (operation 610).

FIG. 7 presents a flowchart illustrating a process of reconstructing a set of Content Objects associated with a content piece, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. During operation, a device or a node that stores a content piece receives a request for the content piece (operation 702). Note that this device is not the publisher of the original content piece, and the content piece is stored in a form that is ready to be used by an application as a data file. In response to the request, the device accesses a metadata file that stores a set of rules (operation 704), and constructs a set of initial Content Objects by applying the rules to the data file (operation 706). Note that the metadata file is received by the device along with the content piece, and specifies how to chunk the data file and how to fill in the various fields within each Content Object. Each data file chunk can be the payload of each Content Object. The device may optionally compute a secure catalog based on the initial set of Content Objects (operation 708). In some embodiments, the rules that govern the computation of the secure catalog are also stored in the metadata file. Subsequently, the device inserts the original publisher's signatures into appropriate Content Objects to form signed Content

## 12

Objects (operation 710). Note that when the secure catalog is used, the device inserts a catalog signature into the secure-catalog Content Object to form a signed secure-catalog Content Object.

Note that optionally the original publisher may not send out meta data, and the receiving node may only receive regular Content Objects (non-reconstructable Content Objects). In such a situation, the receiving node that implements reconstructable Content Objects may infer the metadata from the received Content Objects, and create its own metadata on-the-fly. Similar to the process shown in FIG. 6, the receiving node stores the newly created metadata along with the data file containing the extracted payload of the Content Objects. When retransmitting the data file, this node may or may not include the newly created metadata. If the node chooses not to include the newly created metadata, subsequent receiving nodes would need to create their own metadata. If the node chooses to include the newly created metadata, it should include it in such a way that does not change the original signatures (stored separately from the payload) or the self-certified names of the reconstructed Content Objects. In some embodiments, this node can include a header in the unsigned part of the Content Objects to indicate that the metadata is available via a given link or that the metadata is embedded in the header (if enough space is provided).

Computer and Communication System

FIG. 8 illustrates an exemplary system that implements reconstructable Content Objects, in accordance with an embodiment of the present invention. A system 800 that implements reconstructable Content Objects comprises a processor 810, a memory 820, and a storage 830. Storage 830 typically stores instructions that can be loaded into memory 820 and executed by processor 810 to perform the methods mentioned above. In one embodiment, the instructions in storage 830 can implement a metadata transmitting/receiving module 832, a Content Object construction/deconstruction module 834, a Content Object transmitting/receiving module 836, and a signature insertion/extraction module 838, all of which can communicate with each other through various means.

In some embodiments, modules 832, 834, 836, and 838 can be partially or entirely implemented in hardware and can be part of processor 810. Further, in some embodiments, the system may not include a separate processor and memory. Instead, in addition to performing their specific tasks, modules 832, 834, 836, and 838, either separately or in concert, may be part of general- or special-purpose computation engines.

Storage 830 stores programs to be executed by processor 810. Specifically, storage 830 stores a program that implements a system (application) for enabling all-in-one content download. During operation, the application program can be loaded from storage 830 into memory 820 and executed by processor 810. As a result, system 800 can perform the functions described above. System 800 can be coupled to an optional display 880 (which can be a touch screen display), keyboard 860, and pointing device 870, and can also be coupled via one or more network interfaces to network 882.

The data structures and code described in this detailed description are typically stored on a computer-readable storage medium, which may be any device or medium that can store code and/or data for use by a computer system. The computer-readable storage medium includes, but is not limited to, volatile memory, non-volatile memory, magnetic and optical storage devices such as disk drives, magnetic tape, CDs (compact discs), DVDs (digital versatile discs or

## 13

digital video discs), or other media capable of storing computer-readable media now known or later developed.

The methods and processes described in the detailed description section can be embodied as code and/or data, which can be stored in a computer-readable storage medium as described above. When a computer system reads and executes the code and/or data stored on the computer-readable storage medium, the computer system performs the methods and processes embodied as data structures and code and stored within the computer-readable storage medium.

Furthermore, methods and processes described herein can be included in hardware modules or apparatus. These modules or apparatus may include, but are not limited to, an application-specific integrated circuit (ASIC) chip, a field-programmable gate array (FPGA), a dedicated or shared processor that executes a particular software module or a piece of code at a particular time, and/or other programmable-logic devices now known or later developed. When the hardware modules or apparatus are activated, they perform the methods and processes included within them.

The above description is presented to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the embodiments, and is provided in the context of a particular application and its requirements. Various modifications to the disclosed embodiments will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art, and the general principles defined herein may be applied to other embodiments and applications without departing from the spirit and scope of the present disclosure. Thus, the present invention is not limited to the embodiments shown, but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features disclosed herein.

What is claimed is:

1. A computer-executable method, comprising:
  - obtaining, by a computer, one or more rules of a group consisting of: a rule that specifies how to chunk content to form a payload of a corresponding reconstructable object; a rule that specifies a signing key; a rule that specifies whether to include a secure catalog; and a rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog based on a set of reconstructable objects;
  - generating the set of reconstructable objects for the content based on the one or more rules;
  - inserting a signature into each reconstructable object or into the secure catalog to obtain a set of signed reconstructable objects; and
  - delivering the set of signed reconstructable objects along with the one or more rules.
2. The method of claim 1, wherein the set of signed reconstructable objects is generated further based on the content.
3. The method of claim 2, wherein the inserting involves cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects.
4. The method of claim 3, wherein the cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects involves using the signing key to sign each reconstructable object or to sign the secure catalog.
5. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
  - extracting payloads and one or more signatures from the set of signed reconstructable objects; and
  - assembling the content using the payloads.
6. The method of claim 5, further comprising:
  - verifying the one or more signatures based on the signing key, wherein the extracting involves extracting the one or more signatures from each signed reconstructable object or from the secure catalog.

## 14

7. The method of claim 1, further comprising:
 

- generating the secure catalog based on the rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog.

8. A non-transitory, computer-readable storage medium storing instructions that, when executed by a computing device, cause the computing device to perform a method comprising:

- obtaining one or more rules of a group consisting of: a rule that specifies how to chunk content to form a payload of a corresponding reconstructable object; a rule that specifies a signing key; a rule that specifies whether to include a secure catalog; and a rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog based on a set of reconstructable objects;
- generating the set of reconstructable objects for the content based on the one or more rules;
- inserting a signature into each reconstructable object or into the secure catalog to obtain a set of signed reconstructable objects; and
- delivering the set of signed reconstructable objects along with the one or more rules.

9. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 8, wherein the set of signed reconstructable objects is generated further based on the content.

10. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 9, wherein the inserting involves cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects.

11. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 10, wherein the cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects involves using the signing key to sign each reconstructable object or to sign the secure catalog.

12. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 8, the method further comprising:
 

- extracting payloads and one or more signatures from the set of signed reconstructable objects; and
- assembling the content using the payloads.

13. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 12, the method further comprising:
 

- verifying the one or more signatures based on the signing key, wherein the extracting involves extracting the one or more signatures from each signed reconstructable object or from the secure catalog.

14. The computer-readable storage medium of claim 8, the method further comprising:
 

- generating the secure catalog based on the rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog.

15. A computer system, comprising:
 

- a processor; and
- a storage device that stores instructions that, when executed by the processor, cause the processor to perform a method including:
  - obtaining, by a computer, one or more rules of a group consisting of: a rule that specifies how to chunk content to form a payload of a corresponding reconstructable object; a rule that specifies a signing key; a rule that specifies whether to include a secure catalog; and a rule that specifies how to generate the secure catalog based on a set of reconstructable objects;
  - generating the set of reconstructable objects for the content based on the one or more rules;
  - inserting a signature into each reconstructable object or into the secure catalog to obtain a set of signed reconstructable objects; and
  - delivering the set of signed reconstructable objects along with the one or more rules.



16. The system of claim 15, wherein the set of signed reconstructable objects is generated further based on the content.

17. The system of claim 16, wherein the inserting involves cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects. 5

18. The system of claim 17, wherein the cryptographically signing the set of reconstructable objects involves using the signing key to sign each reconstructable object or to sign the secure catalog.

19. The system of claim 15, the method further comprising: 10

extracting payloads and one or more signatures from the set of signed reconstructable objects; and assembling the content using the payloads.

20. The system of claim 19, the method further comprising: 15

verifying the one or more signatures based on the signing key, wherein the extracting involves extracting the one or more signatures from each signed reconstructable object or from the secure catalog. 20

\* \* \* \* \*