

US010068248B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Knight et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,068,248 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Sep. 4, 2018**

(54) **ANALYSIS OF CONTROLLED AND AUTOMATIC ATTENTION FOR INTRODUCTION OF STIMULUS MATERIAL**

(71) Applicant: **The Nielsen Company (US), LLC**,
New York, NY (US)

(72) Inventors: **Robert T. Knight**, Berkeley, CA (US);
Ramachandran Gurumoorthy, Berkeley, CA (US); **Anantha Pradeep**, Berkeley, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **The Nielsen Company (US), LLC**,
New York, NY (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **15/299,752**

(22) Filed: **Oct. 21, 2016**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2017/0039591 A1 Feb. 9, 2017

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 12/608,660, filed on Oct. 29, 2009, now Pat. No. 9,560,984.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61B 5/04 (2006.01)
G06Q 30/02 (2012.01)

(Continued)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06Q 30/0244** (2013.01); **A61B 5/0484** (2013.01); **A61B 5/16** (2013.01); **G06Q 30/0269** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G06Q 30/0242; G06Q 30/0243; G06Q 30/0244; G06Q 30/0245; G06Q 30/0246;
(Continued)

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2,549,836 A 4/1951 Mcintyre et al.
3,490,439 A 1/1970 Rolston
(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP 1087618 3/2001
EP 1609418 12/2005
(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Jun. 17, 2016, 20 pages.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Jacqueline Cheng
Assistant Examiner — Eric Messersmith

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Hanley, Flight and Zimmerman, LLC

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A system analyzes neuro-response measurements including regional electroencephalography (EEG) measurements from subjects exposed to stimulus materials to determine locations in stimulus materials eliciting controlled attention and automatic attention. Additional stimulus materials are inserted into locations having salient attention attributes. In some examples, a challenging task is used to direct controlled attention onto a location and additional stimulus material is subtly presented in the location to benefit from automatic attention and salient attention measurements.

20 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



| | | | | | |
|------|---|-----------|---------------|---------|------------------------------------|
| (51) | Int. Cl. | | 5,726,701 A | 3/1998 | Needham |
| | <i>A61B 5/0484</i> | (2006.01) | 5,729,205 A | 3/1998 | Kwon |
| | <i>A61B 5/16</i> | (2006.01) | 5,736,986 A | 4/1998 | Sever, Jr. |
| (58) | Field of Classification Search | | 5,740,035 A | 4/1998 | Cohen et al. |
| | CPC .. G06Q 30/02; G06Q 30/0269; A61B 5/0484; | | 5,762,611 A | 6/1998 | Lewis et al. |
| | A61B 5/16 | | 5,771,897 A | 6/1998 | Zufrin |
| | See application file for complete search history. | | 5,774,591 A | 6/1998 | Black et al. |
| | | | 5,787,187 A | 7/1998 | Bouchard et al. |
| | | | 5,800,351 A | 9/1998 | Mann |
| (56) | References Cited | | 5,802,208 A | 9/1998 | Podilchuk et al. |
| | U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS | | 5,802,220 A | 9/1998 | Black et al. |
| | | | 5,812,642 A | 9/1998 | Leroy |
| | | | 5,817,029 A | 10/1998 | Gevins et al. |
| | | | 5,842,199 A | 11/1998 | Miller et al. |
| | | | 5,848,399 A | 12/1998 | Burke |
| | | | 5,892,566 A | 1/1999 | Bullwinkel |
| | | | 5,945,863 A | 8/1999 | Coy |
| | | | 5,961,332 A | 10/1999 | Joao |
| | | | 5,974,262 A | 10/1999 | Fuller et al. |
| | | | 5,983,129 A * | 11/1999 | Cowan A61B 5/0484 128/905 |
| | | | 5,995,868 A | 11/1999 | Dorfmeister et al. |
| | | | 6,001,065 A | 12/1999 | DeVito |
| | | | 6,016,475 A | 1/2000 | Miller et al. |
| | | | 6,021,346 A | 2/2000 | Ryu et al. |
| | | | 6,032,129 A | 2/2000 | Greef et al. |
| | | | 6,052,619 A | 4/2000 | John |
| | | | 6,088,040 A | 7/2000 | Oda et al. |
| | | | 6,099,319 A | 8/2000 | Zaltman |
| | | | 6,120,440 A | 9/2000 | Goknar |
| | | | 6,128,521 A | 10/2000 | Marro et al. |
| | | | 6,154,669 A | 11/2000 | Hunter et al. |
| | | | 6,155,927 A | 12/2000 | Levasseur et al. |
| | | | 6,161,030 A | 12/2000 | Levendowski et al. |
| | | | 6,170,018 B1 | 1/2001 | Voll et al. |
| | | | 6,171,239 B1 | 1/2001 | Humphrey |
| | | | 6,173,260 B1 | 1/2001 | Slaney |
| | | | 6,175,753 B1 | 1/2001 | Menkes et al. |
| | | | 6,182,113 B1 | 1/2001 | Narayanaswami |
| | | | 6,190,314 B1 | 2/2001 | Ark et al. |
| | | | 6,212,502 B1 | 4/2001 | Ball et al. |
| | | | 6,228,038 B1 | 5/2001 | Claessens |
| | | | 6,236,885 B1 | 5/2001 | Hunter et al. |
| | | | 6,236,975 B1 | 5/2001 | Boe et al. |
| | | | 6,254,536 B1 | 7/2001 | DeVito |
| | | | 6,280,198 B1 | 8/2001 | Calhoun et al. |
| | | | 6,286,005 B1 | 9/2001 | Cannon |
| | | | 6,289,234 B1 | 9/2001 | Mueller |
| | | | 6,292,688 B1 | 9/2001 | Patton |
| | | | 6,299,308 B1 | 10/2001 | Voronka et al. |
| | | | 6,301,493 B1 | 10/2001 | Marro et al. |
| | | | 6,315,569 B1 | 11/2001 | Zaltman |
| | | | 6,330,470 B1 | 12/2001 | Tucker et al. |
| | | | 6,334,778 B1 | 1/2002 | Brown |
| | | | 6,358,201 B1 | 3/2002 | Childre et al. |
| | | | 6,370,513 B1 | 4/2002 | Kolawa et al. |
| | | | 6,374,143 B1 | 4/2002 | Berrang et al. |
| | | | 6,381,481 B1 | 4/2002 | Levendowski et al. |
| | | | 6,398,643 B1 | 6/2002 | Knowles et al. |
| | | | 6,422,999 B1 | 7/2002 | Hill |
| | | | 6,434,419 B1 | 8/2002 | Gevins et al. |
| | | | 6,435,878 B1 | 8/2002 | Reynolds et al. |
| | | | 6,453,194 B1 | 9/2002 | Hill |
| | | | 6,453,241 B1 | 9/2002 | Bassett, Jr. et al. |
| | | | 6,487,444 B2 | 11/2002 | Mimura |
| | | | 6,488,617 B1 | 12/2002 | Katz |
| | | | 6,510,340 B1 | 1/2003 | Jordan |
| | | | 6,520,905 B1 | 2/2003 | Surve et al. |
| | | | 6,545,685 B1 | 4/2003 | Dorbie |
| | | | 6,575,902 B1 | 6/2003 | Burton |
| | | | 6,577,329 B1 | 6/2003 | Flickner et al. |
| | | | 6,585,521 B1 | 7/2003 | Obrador |
| | | | 6,594,521 B2 | 7/2003 | Tucker |
| | | | 6,598,006 B1 | 7/2003 | Honda et al. |
| | | | 6,609,024 B1 | 8/2003 | Ryu et al. |
| | | | 6,648,822 B2 | 11/2003 | Hamamoto et al. |
| | | | 6,652,283 B1 | 11/2003 | Van Schaack et al. |
| | | | 6,654,626 B2 | 11/2003 | Devlin et al. |
| | | | 6,662,052 B1 | 12/2003 | Sarwal et al. |

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | | | | | |
|--------------|---------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|-------------------------------------|
| 6,665,560 B2 | 12/2003 | Becker et al. | 7,974,889 B2 | 7/2011 | Raimbeault |
| 6,678,685 B2 | 1/2004 | McGill et al. | 7,988,557 B2 | 8/2011 | Soderland |
| 6,688,890 B2 | 2/2004 | Von Buegner | 8,014,847 B2 | 9/2011 | Shastri et al. |
| 6,708,051 B1 | 3/2004 | Durousseau | 8,027,518 B2 | 9/2011 | Baker et al. |
| 6,712,468 B1 | 3/2004 | Edwards | 8,060,795 B2 | 11/2011 | Bakekolo et al. |
| 6,754,524 B2 | 6/2004 | Johnson, Jr. | 8,065,203 B1 | 11/2011 | Chien et al. |
| 6,757,556 B2 | 6/2004 | Gopenathan et al. | 8,069,125 B2 | 11/2011 | Jung et al. |
| 6,788,882 B1 | 9/2004 | Geer et al. | 8,073,707 B2 | 12/2011 | Teller et al. |
| 6,792,304 B1 | 9/2004 | Silberstein | 8,079,054 B1 * | 12/2011 | Dhawan G06Q 30/00 705/14.4 |
| 6,842,877 B2 | 1/2005 | Robarts et al. | 8,082,215 B2 | 12/2011 | Jung et al. |
| 6,850,252 B1 | 2/2005 | Hoffberg | 8,086,563 B2 | 12/2011 | Jung et al. |
| 6,852,875 B2 | 2/2005 | Prakash | 8,098,152 B2 | 1/2012 | Zhang et al. |
| 6,888,457 B2 | 5/2005 | Wilkinson et al. | 8,099,315 B2 | 1/2012 | Amento et al. |
| 6,904,408 B1 | 6/2005 | McCarthy et al. | 8,103,328 B2 | 1/2012 | Turner et al. |
| 6,950,698 B2 | 9/2005 | Sarkela et al. | 8,126,220 B2 | 2/2012 | Greig |
| 6,958,710 B2 | 10/2005 | Zhang et al. | 8,135,606 B2 | 3/2012 | Dupree |
| 6,973,342 B1 | 12/2005 | Swanson | 8,151,298 B2 | 4/2012 | Begeja et al. |
| 6,993,380 B1 | 1/2006 | Modarres | 8,165,916 B2 | 4/2012 | Hoffberg et al. |
| 7,020,508 B2 | 3/2006 | Stivoric et al. | 8,196,168 B1 | 6/2012 | Bryan et al. |
| 7,043,056 B2 | 5/2006 | Edwards et al. | 8,200,775 B2 | 6/2012 | Moore |
| 7,047,550 B1 | 5/2006 | Yasukawa et al. | 8,209,224 B2 | 6/2012 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,113,916 B1 | 9/2006 | Hill | 8,229,469 B2 | 7/2012 | Zhang et al. |
| 7,120,880 B1 | 10/2006 | Dryer et al. | 8,235,725 B1 | 7/2012 | Hill |
| 7,130,673 B2 | 10/2006 | Tolvanen-Laakso et al. | 8,255,267 B2 | 8/2012 | Breiter |
| 7,150,715 B2 | 12/2006 | Collura et al. | 8,270,814 B2 | 9/2012 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,164,967 B2 | 1/2007 | Etienne-Cummings et al. | 8,296,172 B2 | 10/2012 | Marci et al. |
| 7,177,675 B2 | 2/2007 | Suffin et al. | 8,300,526 B2 | 10/2012 | Saito et al. |
| 7,222,071 B2 | 5/2007 | Neuhauser et al. | 8,326,002 B2 | 12/2012 | Hill |
| 7,246,081 B2 | 7/2007 | Hill | 8,327,395 B2 | 12/2012 | Lee |
| 7,249,708 B2 | 7/2007 | McConnell et al. | 8,332,883 B2 | 12/2012 | Lee |
| 7,272,982 B2 | 9/2007 | Neuhauser et al. | 8,335,715 B2 | 12/2012 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,286,871 B2 | 10/2007 | Cohen | 8,381,244 B2 | 2/2013 | King |
| 7,340,060 B2 | 3/2008 | Tomkins et al. | 8,386,312 B2 | 2/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,359,894 B1 | 4/2008 | Liebman et al. | 8,386,313 B2 | 2/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,391,835 B1 | 6/2008 | Gross et al. | 8,388,165 B2 | 3/2013 | Zhang |
| 7,394,385 B2 | 7/2008 | Franco, Jr. et al. | 8,392,250 B2 | 3/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,408,460 B2 | 8/2008 | Crystal et al. | 8,392,251 B2 | 3/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,420,464 B2 | 9/2008 | Fitzgerald et al. | 8,392,253 B2 | 3/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,443,292 B2 | 10/2008 | Jensen et al. | 8,392,254 B2 | 3/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,460,827 B2 | 12/2008 | Schuster et al. | 8,392,255 B2 | 3/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,463,143 B2 | 12/2008 | Forr et al. | 8,396,744 B2 | 3/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,463,144 B2 | 12/2008 | Crystal et al. | 8,473,345 B2 | 6/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,471,987 B2 | 12/2008 | Crystal et al. | 8,484,801 B2 | 7/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,483,835 B2 | 1/2009 | Neuhauser et al. | 8,494,610 B2 | 7/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,483,844 B2 | 1/2009 | Takakura et al. | 8,494,905 B2 | 7/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,496,400 B2 | 2/2009 | Hoskonen et al. | 8,533,042 B2 | 9/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,548,774 B2 | 6/2009 | Kurtz et al. | 8,548,852 B2 | 10/2013 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,551,952 B2 | 6/2009 | Gevens et al. | 8,561,095 B2 | 10/2013 | Dimitrova et al. |
| 7,592,908 B2 | 9/2009 | Zhang et al. | 8,600,100 B2 | 12/2013 | Hill |
| 7,614,066 B2 | 11/2009 | Urdang et al. | 8,635,105 B2 | 1/2014 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,623,823 B2 | 11/2009 | Zito et al. | 8,655,428 B2 | 2/2014 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,630,757 B2 | 12/2009 | Dorfmeister et al. | 8,655,437 B2 | 2/2014 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,636,456 B2 | 12/2009 | Collins et al. | 8,764,652 B2 | 7/2014 | Lee et al. |
| 7,650,793 B2 | 1/2010 | Jensen et al. | 8,788,372 B2 | 7/2014 | Kettner et al. |
| 7,658,327 B2 | 2/2010 | Tuclnann et al. | 8,793,715 B1 | 7/2014 | Weitzenfeld et al. |
| 7,689,272 B2 | 3/2010 | Farwell | 9,021,515 B2 | 4/2015 | Lee et al. |
| 7,697,979 B2 | 4/2010 | Martinerie et al. | 9,336,535 B2 | 5/2016 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,698,238 B2 | 4/2010 | Barletta et al. | 9,560,984 B2 | 2/2017 | Pradeep et al. |
| 7,720,351 B2 | 5/2010 | Levitan | 2001/0013009 A1 | 8/2001 | Greening et al. |
| 7,729,755 B2 | 5/2010 | Laken | 2001/0020236 A1 | 9/2001 | Cannon |
| 7,765,564 B2 | 7/2010 | Deng | 2001/0029468 A1 | 10/2001 | Yamaguchi et al. |
| 7,774,052 B2 | 8/2010 | Burton et al. | 2001/0032140 A1 | 10/2001 | Hoffman |
| 7,797,186 B2 | 9/2010 | Dybus | 2001/0056225 A1 | 12/2001 | DeVito |
| 7,809,420 B2 | 10/2010 | Hannula et al. | 2002/0053076 A1 | 5/2002 | Landesmann |
| 7,840,248 B2 | 11/2010 | Fuchs et al. | 2002/0055857 A1 | 5/2002 | Mault |
| 7,840,250 B2 | 11/2010 | Tucker | 2002/0056087 A1 | 5/2002 | Berezowski et al. |
| 7,844,484 B2 | 11/2010 | Arnett et al. | 2002/0056124 A1 | 5/2002 | Hay |
| 7,865,394 B1 | 1/2011 | Calloway | 2002/0059577 A1 | 5/2002 | Lu et al. |
| 7,892,764 B2 | 2/2011 | Xiong et al. | 2002/0065826 A1 | 5/2002 | Bell et al. |
| 7,895,075 B2 | 2/2011 | Gettys et al. | 2002/0072952 A1 | 6/2002 | Hamzey et al. |
| 7,895,625 B1 | 2/2011 | Bryan et al. | 2002/0077534 A1 | 6/2002 | DuRousseau |
| 7,908,133 B2 | 3/2011 | Neuhauser | 2002/0082902 A1 | 6/2002 | Ando et al. |
| 7,917,366 B1 | 3/2011 | Levanon et al. | 2002/0103429 A1 | 8/2002 | deCharms |
| 7,930,199 B1 | 4/2011 | Hill | 2002/0111796 A1 | 8/2002 | Nemoto |
| 7,962,315 B2 | 6/2011 | Jensen et al. | 2002/0143627 A1 | 10/2002 | Barsade et al. |
| | | | 2002/0155878 A1 | 10/2002 | Lert, Jr. et al. |
| | | | 2002/0156842 A1 | 10/2002 | Signes et al. |
| | | | 2002/0169665 A1 | 11/2002 | Hughes et al. |

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|---------|---------------------|--------------|----|---------|----------------------|
| 2002/0188216 | A1 | 12/2002 | Kayyali et al. | 2006/0053110 | A1 | 3/2006 | McDonald et al. |
| 2002/0188217 | A1 | 12/2002 | Farwell | 2006/0069663 | A1 | 3/2006 | Adar et al. |
| 2002/0193670 | A1 | 12/2002 | Garfield et al. | 2006/0093998 | A1 | 5/2006 | Vertegaal |
| 2003/0013981 | A1 | 1/2003 | Gevins et al. | 2006/0111044 | A1 | 5/2006 | Keller |
| 2003/0036955 | A1 | 2/2003 | Tanaka et al. | 2006/0111644 | A1 | 5/2006 | Guttag et al. |
| 2003/0037333 | A1 | 2/2003 | Ghashghai et al. | 2006/0129458 | A1 | 6/2006 | Maggio |
| 2003/0044050 | A1 | 3/2003 | Clark et al. | 2006/0167376 | A1 | 7/2006 | Viirre et al. |
| 2003/0059750 | A1 | 3/2003 | Bindler et al. | 2006/0168613 | A1 | 7/2006 | Wood et al. |
| 2003/0063222 | A1 | 4/2003 | Creed et al. | 2006/0168630 | A1 | 7/2006 | Davies |
| 2003/0065524 | A1 | 4/2003 | Giacchetti et al. | 2006/0176289 | A1 | 8/2006 | Horn |
| 2003/0081834 | A1 | 5/2003 | Philomin et al. | 2006/0190822 | A1 | 8/2006 | Basson et al. |
| 2003/0093792 | A1 | 5/2003 | Labeeb et al. | 2006/0218046 | A1 | 9/2006 | Carfi et al. |
| 2003/0100998 | A2 | 5/2003 | Bnumer et al. | 2006/0256133 | A1 | 11/2006 | Rosenberg |
| 2003/0104865 | A1 | 6/2003 | Itkis et al. | 2006/0257834 | A1 | 11/2006 | Lee et al. |
| 2003/0131351 | A1 | 7/2003 | Shapira | 2006/0259360 | A1 | 11/2006 | Flinn et al. |
| 2003/0149344 | A1 | 8/2003 | Nizan | 2006/0259371 | A1 | 11/2006 | Perrier et al. |
| 2003/0165270 | A1 | 9/2003 | Endrikhovski et al. | 2006/0293921 | A1 | 12/2006 | McCarthy et al. |
| 2003/0177488 | A1 | 9/2003 | Smith et al. | 2007/0016096 | A1 | 1/2007 | McNabb |
| 2003/0204412 | A1 | 10/2003 | Brier | 2007/0038516 | A1 | 2/2007 | Apple et al. |
| 2003/0208754 | A1 | 11/2003 | Sridhar et al. | 2007/0048707 | A1 | 3/2007 | Caamano et al. |
| 2003/0233278 | A1 | 12/2003 | Marshall | 2007/0050256 | A1 | 3/2007 | Walker et al. |
| 2004/0001616 | A1 | 1/2004 | Gutta et al. | 2007/0055169 | A1 | 3/2007 | Lee et al. |
| 2004/0005143 | A1 | 1/2004 | Tsuru et al. | 2007/0060830 | A1 | 3/2007 | Le et al. |
| 2004/0013398 | A1 | 1/2004 | Miura et al. | 2007/0060831 | A1 | 3/2007 | Le et al. |
| 2004/0015608 | A1 | 1/2004 | Ellis et al. | 2007/0066874 | A1 | 3/2007 | Cook |
| 2004/0055448 | A1 | 3/2004 | Byon | 2007/0066915 | A1 | 3/2007 | Frei et al. |
| 2004/0068431 | A1 | 4/2004 | Smith et al. | 2007/0066916 | A1 | 3/2007 | Lemos |
| 2004/0073129 | A1 | 4/2004 | Caldwell et al. | 2007/0067007 | A1 | 3/2007 | Schulman et al. |
| 2004/0092809 | A1 | 5/2004 | De Charms | 2007/0067305 | A1 | 3/2007 | Ives |
| 2004/0098298 | A1 | 5/2004 | Yin | 2007/0078700 | A1 | 4/2007 | Lenzmann et al. |
| 2004/0101212 | A1 | 5/2004 | Fedorovskaya et al. | 2007/0078706 | A1 | 4/2007 | Datta et al. |
| 2004/0133081 | A1 | 7/2004 | Teller et al. | 2007/0079331 | A1 | 4/2007 | Datta et al. |
| 2004/0187167 | A1 | 9/2004 | Maguire et al. | 2007/0101360 | A1 | 5/2007 | Gutta et al. |
| 2004/0193068 | A1 | 9/2004 | Burton et al. | 2007/0106170 | A1 | 5/2007 | Dunseath, Jr. et al. |
| 2004/0210159 | A1 | 10/2004 | Kibar et al. | 2007/0112460 | A1 | 5/2007 | Kiselik |
| 2004/0219184 | A1 | 11/2004 | Brown et al. | 2007/0135727 | A1 | 6/2007 | Virtanen et al. |
| 2004/0220483 | A1 | 11/2004 | Yeo et al. | 2007/0135728 | A1 | 6/2007 | Snyder et al. |
| 2004/0236623 | A1 | 11/2004 | Gopalakrishnan | 2007/0136753 | A1 | 6/2007 | Bovenschoolte et al. |
| 2005/0010475 | A1 | 1/2005 | Perkowski et al. | 2007/0150916 | A1 | 6/2007 | Begole et al. |
| 2005/0041951 | A1 | 2/2005 | Inoue et al. | 2007/0209047 | A1 | 9/2007 | Hallberg et al. |
| 2005/0043646 | A1 | 2/2005 | Virre et al. | 2007/0214471 | A1 | 9/2007 | Rosenberg |
| 2005/0060312 | A1 | 2/2005 | Curtiss et al. | 2007/0225585 | A1 | 9/2007 | Washbon et al. |
| 2005/0062637 | A1 | 3/2005 | El Zabadani et al. | 2007/0225674 | A1 | 9/2007 | Molnar et al. |
| 2005/0071462 | A1 | 3/2005 | Bodin et al. | 2007/0226760 | A1 | 9/2007 | Neuhauser et al. |
| 2005/0071865 | A1 | 3/2005 | Martins | 2007/0235716 | A1 | 10/2007 | Delic et al. |
| 2005/0076359 | A1 | 4/2005 | Pierson et al. | 2007/0238945 | A1 | 10/2007 | Delic et al. |
| 2005/0079474 | A1 | 4/2005 | Lowe | 2007/0250846 | A1 | 10/2007 | Swix et al. |
| 2005/0097594 | A1 | 5/2005 | O'Donnell et al. | 2007/0250901 | A1 | 10/2007 | McIntire et al. |
| 2005/0107716 | A1 | 5/2005 | Eaton et al. | 2007/0265507 | A1 | 11/2007 | de Lemos |
| 2005/0113649 | A1 | 5/2005 | Bergantino | 2007/0282566 | A1 | 12/2007 | Whitlow et al. |
| 2005/0132401 | A1 | 6/2005 | Boccon-Gibod et al. | 2007/0294132 | A1 | 12/2007 | Zhang et al. |
| 2005/0143629 | A1 | 6/2005 | Farwell | 2007/0294705 | A1 | 12/2007 | Gopalakrishnan |
| 2005/0149964 | A1 | 7/2005 | Thomas et al. | 2007/0294706 | A1 | 12/2007 | Neuhauser et al. |
| 2005/0154290 | A1 | 7/2005 | Langleben | 2008/0001600 | A1 | 1/2008 | deC harms |
| 2005/0177058 | A1 | 8/2005 | Sobell | 2008/0004940 | A1 | 1/2008 | Rolleston Phillips |
| 2005/0197590 | A1 | 9/2005 | Osorio et al. | 2008/0010110 | A1 | 1/2008 | Neuhauser et al. |
| 2005/0203798 | A1 | 9/2005 | Jensen et al. | 2008/0027345 | A1 | 1/2008 | Kumada et al. |
| 2005/0216243 | A1 | 9/2005 | Graham et al. | 2008/0040740 | A1 | 2/2008 | Plotnick et al. |
| 2005/0223237 | A1 | 10/2005 | Barletta et al. | 2008/0059997 | A1 | 3/2008 | Plotnick et al. |
| 2005/0227233 | A1 | 10/2005 | Buxton et al. | 2008/0065468 | A1 | 3/2008 | Berget al. |
| 2005/0240956 | A1 | 10/2005 | Smith et al. | 2008/0065721 | A1 | 3/2008 | Cragun |
| 2005/0256905 | A1 | 11/2005 | Gruhl et al. | 2008/0081961 | A1 | 4/2008 | Westbrook et al. |
| 2005/0261980 | A1 | 11/2005 | Hadi | 2008/0082019 | A1 | 4/2008 | Ludving et al. |
| 2005/0267798 | A1 | 12/2005 | Panara | 2008/0086356 | A1 | 4/2008 | Glassman et al. |
| 2005/0272017 | A1 | 12/2005 | Neuhauser et al. | 2008/0091463 | A1 | 4/2008 | Shakamuri |
| 2005/0273017 | A1 | 12/2005 | Gordon | 2008/0091512 | A1 | 4/2008 | Marci et al. |
| 2005/0273802 | A1 | 12/2005 | Crystal et al. | 2008/0097854 | A1 | 4/2008 | Young |
| 2005/0288954 | A1 | 12/2005 | McCarthy et al. | 2008/0109840 | A1 | 5/2008 | Walter et al. |
| 2005/0289582 | A1 | 12/2005 | Tavares et al. | 2008/0125110 | A1 | 5/2008 | Ritter |
| 2006/0003732 | A1 | 1/2006 | Neuhauser et al. | 2008/0133724 | A1 | 6/2008 | Clark |
| 2006/0009702 | A1 | 1/2006 | Iwaki et al. | 2008/0147448 | A1 | 6/2008 | Tunick et al. |
| 2006/0010470 | A1 | 1/2006 | Kurosaki | 2008/0147742 | A1 | 6/2008 | Allen |
| 2006/0035707 | A1 | 2/2006 | Nguyen et al. | 2008/0152300 | A1 | 6/2008 | Knee et al. |
| 2006/0041548 | A1 | 2/2006 | Parsons et al. | 2008/0162182 | A1 | 7/2008 | Cazares et al. |
| 2006/0042483 | A1 | 3/2006 | Work et al. | 2008/0177197 | A1 | 7/2008 | Lee et al. |
| | | | | 2008/0195471 | A1 | 8/2008 | Dube |
| | | | | 2008/0204273 | A1 | 8/2008 | Crystal et al. |
| | | | | 2008/0208072 | A1 | 8/2008 | Fadem et al. |
| | | | | 2008/0214902 | A1 | 9/2008 | Lee et al. |

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

| | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|---------|-----------------------|--------------|-----|---------|---|
| 2008/0218472 | A1 | 9/2008 | Breen et al. | 2009/0249223 | A1 | 10/2009 | Barsook et al. |
| 2008/0221400 | A1 | 9/2008 | Lee et al. | 2009/0253996 | A1 | 10/2009 | Lee et al. |
| 2008/0221472 | A1 | 9/2008 | Lee et al. | 2009/0259137 | A1 | 10/2009 | Delic et al. |
| 2008/0221969 | A1 | 9/2008 | Lee et al. | 2009/0259509 | A1 | 10/2009 | Landvater |
| 2008/0222670 | A1 | 9/2008 | Lee et al. | 2009/0271294 | A1 | 10/2009 | Hadi |
| 2008/0222671 | A1 | 9/2008 | Lee et al. | 2009/0287108 | A1 | 11/2009 | Levy |
| 2008/0228077 | A1 | 9/2008 | Wilk et al. | 2009/0292587 | A1 | 11/2009 | Fitzgerald |
| 2008/0249865 | A1 | 10/2008 | Angell et al. | 2009/0300672 | A1 | 12/2009 | Van Gulik |
| 2008/0255949 | A1 | 10/2008 | Genco et al. | 2009/0305006 | A1 | 12/2009 | Steffen |
| 2008/0295126 | A1 | 11/2008 | Lee et al. | 2009/0318773 | A1 | 12/2009 | Jung et al. |
| 2008/0306398 | A1 | 12/2008 | Uchiyama et al. | 2009/0318826 | A1 | 12/2009 | Green et al. |
| 2009/0018996 | A1 | 1/2009 | Hunt et al. | 2009/0327068 | A1 | 12/2009 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0024049 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2009/0328089 | A1 | 12/2009 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0024447 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2009/0328122 | A1 | 12/2009 | Amento et al. |
| 2009/0024448 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0004977 | A1 | 1/2010 | Marci et al. |
| 2009/0024449 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0022821 | A1 | 1/2010 | Dubi et al. |
| 2009/0024475 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0041962 | A1 | 2/2010 | Causevic et al. |
| 2009/0024747 | A1 | 1/2009 | Moses et al. | 2010/0042012 | A1 | 2/2010 | Alhussiny |
| 2009/0025023 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0060300 | A1 | 3/2010 | Muller et al. |
| 2009/0025024 | A1 | 1/2009 | Beser et al. | 2010/0094702 | A1* | 4/2010 | Silberstein A61B 5/04012 705/14.43 |
| 2009/0030287 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0121716 | A1 | 5/2010 | Golan |
| 2009/0030303 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0125219 | A1 | 5/2010 | Harris et al. |
| 2009/0030717 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0145176 | A1 | 6/2010 | Himes |
| 2009/0030762 | A1 | 1/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0145215 | A1 | 6/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0030780 | A1 | 1/2009 | York et al. | 2010/0145217 | A1 | 6/2010 | Otto et al. |
| 2009/0030930 | A1 | 1/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0153175 | A1 | 6/2010 | Pearson et al. |
| 2009/0036755 | A1 | 2/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0169153 | A1 | 7/2010 | Hwacinski et al. |
| 2009/0036756 | A1 | 2/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0169162 | A1 | 7/2010 | Anderson et al. |
| 2009/0037575 | A1 | 2/2009 | Crystal et al. | 2010/0179881 | A1 | 7/2010 | Wiederstein |
| 2009/0060240 | A1 | 3/2009 | Coughlan et al. | 2010/0180029 | A1 | 7/2010 | Fourman |
| 2009/0062629 | A1 | 3/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0183279 | A1 | 7/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0062679 | A1 | 3/2009 | Tan et al. | 2010/0186031 | A1 | 7/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0062680 | A1 | 3/2009 | Sandford | 2010/0186032 | A1 | 7/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0062681 | A1 | 3/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0198042 | A1 | 8/2010 | Popescu et al. |
| 2009/0063255 | A1 | 3/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0211439 | A1 | 8/2010 | Marci et al. |
| 2009/0063256 | A1 | 3/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0214318 | A1 | 8/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0069652 | A1 | 3/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0215289 | A1 | 8/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0070798 | A1 | 3/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0218208 | A1 | 8/2010 | Holden |
| 2009/0082643 | A1 | 3/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0223094 | A1 | 9/2010 | Cumming et al. |
| 2009/0082689 | A1 | 3/2009 | Gutttag et al. | 2010/0228604 | A1 | 9/2010 | Desai et al. |
| 2009/0082692 | A1 | 3/2009 | Hale et al. | 2010/0228614 | A1 | 9/2010 | Zhang et al. |
| 2009/0083129 | A1 | 3/2009 | Pradeep et al. | 2010/0234752 | A1 | 9/2010 | Sullivan et al. |
| 2009/0088610 | A1 | 4/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0241580 | A1 | 9/2010 | Schleier-Smith |
| 2009/0089830 | A1 | 4/2009 | Chandratillake et al. | 2010/0249538 | A1 | 9/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0094286 | A1 | 4/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0249636 | A1 | 9/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0094627 | A1 | 4/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0250325 | A1 | 9/2010 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0094628 | A1 | 4/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0250347 | A1 | 9/2010 | Rainer et al. |
| 2009/0094629 | A1 | 4/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0250458 | A1 | 9/2010 | Ho |
| 2009/0097689 | A1 | 4/2009 | Prest et al. | 2010/0257052 | A1 | 10/2010 | Zito |
| 2009/0099873 | A1 | 4/2009 | Kurple | 2010/0263005 | A1 | 10/2010 | White |
| 2009/0112077 | A1 | 4/2009 | Nguyen et al. | 2010/0268540 | A1 | 10/2010 | Arshi et al. |
| 2009/0112117 | A1 | 4/2009 | Rewari | 2010/0268573 | A1 | 10/2010 | Jain et al. |
| 2009/0119154 | A1 | 5/2009 | Jung et al. | 2010/0268720 | A1 | 10/2010 | Spivack et al. |
| 2009/0131764 | A1 | 5/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0269127 | A1 | 10/2010 | Krug |
| 2009/0132441 | A1 | 5/2009 | Muller et al. | 2010/0274152 | A1 | 10/2010 | McPeck et al. |
| 2009/0133047 | A1 | 5/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0287152 | A1 | 11/2010 | Hauser |
| 2009/0138356 | A1 | 5/2009 | Pomplun | 2010/0292998 | A1 | 11/2010 | Bodlaender et al. |
| 2009/0144780 | A1 | 6/2009 | Toebes et al. | 2010/0306030 | A1 | 12/2010 | Mawani |
| 2009/0150919 | A1 | 6/2009 | Lee et al. | 2010/0306120 | A1 | 12/2010 | Ciptawilangga |
| 2009/0150920 | A1 | 6/2009 | Jones | 2010/0317988 | A1 | 12/2010 | Terada et al. |
| 2009/0153328 | A1 | 6/2009 | Otani et al. | 2010/0318507 | A1 | 12/2010 | Grant et al. |
| 2009/0156907 | A1 | 6/2009 | Jung et al. | 2010/0325660 | A1 | 12/2010 | Holden |
| 2009/0156955 | A1 | 6/2009 | Jung et al. | 2010/0331661 | A1 | 12/2010 | Nakagawa |
| 2009/0158308 | A1 | 6/2009 | Weitzenfeld et al. | 2011/0004089 | A1 | 1/2011 | Chou |
| 2009/0163777 | A1 | 6/2009 | Jung et al. | 2011/0015503 | A1 | 1/2011 | Joffe et al. |
| 2009/0164132 | A1 | 6/2009 | Jung et al. | 2011/0020778 | A1 | 1/2011 | Forbes |
| 2009/0171164 | A1 | 7/2009 | Jung et al. | 2011/0022965 | A1 | 1/2011 | Lawerence et al. |
| 2009/0187467 | A1 | 7/2009 | Fang et al. | 2011/0040155 | A1 | 2/2011 | Guzak et al. |
| 2009/0195392 | A1 | 8/2009 | Zalewslid | 2011/0040202 | A1 | 2/2011 | Luo et al. |
| 2009/0214060 | A1 | 8/2009 | Chuang et al. | 2011/0043759 | A1 | 2/2011 | Bushinski |
| 2009/0216611 | A1 | 8/2009 | Leonard et al. | 2011/0046473 | A1 | 2/2011 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0221928 | A1 | 9/2009 | Einav et al. | 2011/0046502 | A1 | 2/2011 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0248484 | A1 | 10/2009 | Surendran et al. | 2011/0046503 | A1 | 2/2011 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0248496 | A1 | 10/2009 | Hueter et al. | 2011/0046504 | A1 | 2/2011 | Pradeep et al. |
| 2009/0248594 | A1 | 10/2009 | Castleman et al. | 2011/0047035 | A1 | 2/2011 | Gidwani et al. |
| | | | | 2011/0047121 | A1 | 2/2011 | Pradeep et al. |
| | | | | 2011/0059422 | A1 | 3/2011 | Masaoka |
| | | | | 2011/0071874 | A1 | 3/2011 | Schneersohn et al. |

(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2011/0076942 A1 3/2011 Taveau et al.
 2011/0084795 A1 4/2011 Fukuyori
 2011/0085700 A1 4/2011 Lee
 2011/0098593 A1 4/2011 Low et al.
 2011/0105937 A1 5/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0106621 A1 5/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0106750 A1 5/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0119124 A1 5/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0119129 A1 5/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0119130 A1 5/2011 Agan et al.
 2011/0153390 A1 6/2011 Harris
 2011/0208515 A1 8/2011 Neuhauser et al.
 2011/0237971 A1 9/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0248729 A2 10/2011 Mueller et al.
 2011/0270620 A1 11/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0276504 A1 11/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0282231 A1 11/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0282232 A1 11/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0282749 A1 11/2011 Pradeep et al.
 2011/0319975 A1 12/2011 Ho et al.
 2012/0002848 A1 1/2012 Hill
 2012/0036004 A1 2/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0036005 A1 2/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0046993 A1 2/2012 Hill
 2012/0054018 A1 3/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0072289 A1 3/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0083668 A1 4/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0084139 A1 4/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0108995 A1 5/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0114305 A1 5/2012 Holden
 2012/0130800 A1 5/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0239407 A1 9/2012 Lynch et al.
 2012/0245978 A1 9/2012 Crystal et al.
 2012/0284112 A1 11/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0284332 A1 11/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2012/0290409 A1 11/2012 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0022948 A1 1/2013 Angell et al.
 2013/0024272 A1 1/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0046577 A1 2/2013 Marci et al.
 2013/0094722 A1 4/2013 Hill
 2013/0097715 A1 4/2013 Fourman
 2013/0124365 A1 5/2013 Pradeep
 2013/0152506 A1 6/2013 Pradeep
 2013/0166373 A1 7/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0185140 A1 7/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0185141 A1 7/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0185142 A1 7/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0185144 A1 7/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0185145 A1 7/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0268279 A1 10/2013 Srinivasan et al.
 2013/0304540 A1 11/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2013/0332259 A1 12/2013 Pradeep et al.
 2014/0162225 A1 6/2014 Hill
 2014/0244345 A1 8/2014 Sollis et al.

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

GB 1374658 11/1974
 GB 2221759 2/1990
 JP 2001147944 5/2001
 JP 2005-160805 12/2003
 JP 2005051654 2/2005
 JP 2006006355 1/2006
 JP 2006-305334 3/2006
 JP 2006227994 8/2006
 KR 200422399 7/2006
 WO 95-018565 7/1995
 WO 1997/017774 5/1997
 WO 1997/040745 11/1997
 WO 1997/041673 11/1997
 WO 02-100241 12/2002
 WO 02-102238 12/2002
 WO 2004/049225 6/2004
 WO 2006-009771 1/2006
 WO 2008030831 3/2008

WO 2008055078 5/2008
 WO 2008064431 6/2008
 WO 2008077178 7/2008
 WO WO2008077178 * 7/2008 A61B 5/0484
 WO 2008/109694 9/2008
 WO 2008/109699 9/2008
 WO 2008/121651 10/2008
 WO 2008/137579 11/2008
 WO 2008137581 11/2008
 WO 2008141340 11/2008
 WO 2008/154410 12/2008
 WO 2009/018374 2/2009
 WO 2009/052833 4/2009

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,550, dated Jul. 27, 2016, 20 pages.
 Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated Aug. 8, 2016, 3 pages.
 Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/413,297, dated Aug. 16, 2016, 5 pages.
 Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated Aug. 25, 2016, 61 pages.
 English Translation of Notification of Provisional Rejection, issued by the Korean Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 10-2010-7001406, dated Jul. 27, 2016, 4 pages.
 Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Mar. 22, 2016, 27 pages.
 Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated Mar. 30, 2016, 23 pages.
 Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,525, dated Apr. 6, 2016, 3 pages.
 Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,564, dated Apr. 8, 2016, 7 pages.
 M. Corbetta et al., "Control of Goal-Directed and Stimulus-Driven Attention in the Brain," *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, vol. 3, pp. 201-215 (Mar. 2002), 15 pages.
 Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/413,297, dated Nov. 27, 2015, 5 pages.
 Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/965,805, dated Apr. 21, 2016, 33 pages.
 Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated May 12, 2016, 61 pages.
 Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated May 20, 2016, 69 pages.
 Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/945,357, dated May 20, 2016, 22 pages.
 Becker, "A Study of Web Usability for Older Adults Seeking Online Health Resources," *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 387-406 (Dec. 2004), 20 pages.
 Knutson et al., "Neural Predictors of Purchases," *Neuron* vol. 53 (Jan. 4, 2007), pp. 147-156, 10 pages.
 Schaefer et al., "Neural Correlates of Culturally Familiar Brands of Car Manufacturers," *Neuroimage*, vol. 31 (2006), pp. 861-865, 5 pages.
 Aharon et al., "Beautiful Faces Have Variable Reward Value: fMRI and Behavioral Evidence," *Neuron*, vol. 32 (2001), pp. 537-551, 15 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 14/177,698, dated Oct. 24, 2014, 13 pages.

English Translation of Notification of Provisional Rejection, issued by the Korean Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 10-2010-7001406, dated Oct. 21, 2014, 1 page.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,525, dated Feb. 3, 2016, 23 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,511, dated Feb. 18, 2016, 5 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated Feb. 23, 2016, 24 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Mar. 30, 2015, 6 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated Jun. 15, 2015, 5 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated Sep. 23, 2015, 16 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated Sep. 22, 2015, 6 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 2010-501190, dated Oct. 2, 2012, 10 pages.

English Translation of Notification of Provisional Rejection, issued by the Korean Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 10-2010-7001406, dated Jan. 26, 2016, 1 page.

English Translation of Notification to Grant Patent Right for Invention, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880017883.X, dated Feb. 3, 2016, 2 pages.

Landau et al., "Different Effects of Voluntary and Involuntary Attention on EEG Activity in the Gamma Band," *J of Neuroscience* 27(44), Oct. 31, 2007, pp. 11986-11990, 5 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,344, dated Nov. 20, 2015, 18 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,541, dated Dec. 18, 2015, 7 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated Dec. 17, 2015, 16 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 14/177,698, dated Jan. 14, 2016, 17 pages.

Translation of Reexamination Decision, issued by the Chinese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 200880017883.X, dated Nov. 13, 2015, 1 page.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 08747389.8, dated Sep. 25, 2015, 6 pages.

Kamba, "The Krakatoa Chronicle—An Interactive, Personalized Newspaper on the Web," available at <http://www.w3.org/Conferences/WWW4/Papers/93/> (last accessed Nov. 2, 2015), 15 pages.

Ehrenberg et al., "Understanding Brand Performance Measures: Using Dirichlet Benchmarks," 2004, *Journal of Business Research*, vol. 57, pp. 1307-1325, 19 pages.

Leeflang et al., "Building Models for Marketing Decisions," 2000, *Springer Science + Business Media*, pp. 192-235, 482-521, 86 pages.

Bhattacharya, "Is your brand's loyalty too much, too little, or just right?: Explaining deviations in loyalty from the Dirichlet norm," 1997, *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, vol. 14, pp. 421-435, 15 pages.

Cohen, "Differentiated product demand analysis with a structured covariance probit: A Bayesian econometric approach," 2009, PhD dissertation, University of Connecticut, pp. 1-184, 197 pages.

Nikolaeva et al., "The Moderating Role of Consumer and Product Characteristics on the Value of Customized On-Line Recommendations," 2006, *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 101-123, 24 pages.

Ehrenberg, "New Brands and the Existing Market," 1991, *International Journal of Market Research*, vol. 33, No. 4, 10 pages.

Foxall, "The Substitutability of Brands," 1999, *Managerial and Decision Economics*, vol. 20, pp. 241-257, 17 pages.

Pammer, "Forecasting the Penetration of a New Product—A Bayesian Approach," 2000, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, vol. 18, No. 4, pp. 428-435, 8 pages.

Rungie et al., "Calculation of Theoretical Brand Performance Measures from the Parameters of the Dirichlet Model," 2004, *Marketing Bulletin*, Massey University, 15, Technical Note 2, pp. 1-19, 20 pages.

Uncles et al., "Patterns of Buyer Behavior: Regularities, Models, and Extensions," 1995, *Marketing Science*, vol. 14, No. 3, pp. G71-G78, 9 pages.

Boltz, "The cognitive processing of film and musical soundtracks," Haverford College, Haverford, Pennsylvania, 2004, 32 (7), 1194-1205, 12 pages.

Christie et al., "Autonomic specificity of discrete emotion and dimensions of affective space: a multivariate approach," *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, 51 (2004) 143-153, 11 pages.

Coombes et al., "Emotion and movement: Activation of defensive circuitry alters the magnitude of a sustained muscle contraction," University of Florida, *Neuroscience Letters* 396 (2006) 192-196, 5 pages.

CRYer et al., "Pull the Plug on Stress," *Harvard Business Review*, Jul. 2003, 8 pages.

Demaree et al., "Predicting facial valence to negative stimuli from resting RSA: Not a function of active emotion regulation," *Cognition and Emotion*, vol. 20, Issue 2, 2006, pp. 161-176, published on Sep. 9, 2010, <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/02699930500260427>, 6 pages.

Ekman et al., "Autonomic Nervous System Activity Distinguishes among Emotions," *Science, New Series*, vol. 221, No. 4616. (Sep. 16, 1983), pp. 1208-1210, <http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0036-8075%2819830916%293%3A221%3A4616%3C1208%3AANSA-DA%3E2.0.CO%3B2-R>, 5 pages.

Elton, "Measuring emotion at the symphony," *The Boston Globe*, Apr. 5, 2006, http://www.psych.mcgill.ca/labs/levitin/media/measuring_emotion_boston.html, 3 pages.

Goldberg, "Getting wired could help predict emotions," *The Boston Globe*, Jun. 13, 2005, http://www.boston.com/yourlife/health/mental/articles/2005/06/13/getting_wired_could_help_predict_emotions/?page=full, 4 pages.

Gomez et al., "Respiratory Responses Associated with Affective Processing of Film Stimuli," *Biological Psychology*, vol. 68, Issue 3, Mar. 2005, pp. 223-235, 2 pages.

Hall, "Is cognitive processing the right dimension," *World Advertising Research Center*, Jan. 2003, 3 pages.

Hall, "On Measuring the Power of Communications," *Journal of Advertising Research*, 44, pp. 1-11, doi: 10.1017/S0021849904040139, (2004), 1 page.

Hall, "Research and strategy: a fall from grace," *ADMAP*, Issue 443, pp. 18-20, 2003, 1 page.

Hubert et al., "Autonomic, neuroendocrine, and subjective responses to emotion-inducing film stimuli," *Int. J Psychophysiol*, Aug. 1991, 2 pages.

Levenson et al., "Emotion and Autonomic Nervous System Activity in the Minangkabau of West Sumatra," Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1992, 2 pages.

Marci et al., "The effect of emotional distance on psychophysiological concordance and perceived empathy between patient and inter-

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

viewer," *Applied Psychophysiology and Biofeedback*, Jun. 2006, vol. 31, Issue 2, 31:115-129, 8 pages.

McCraty et al., "Analysis of twenty-four hour heart rate variability in patients with panic disorder," *Biological Psychology*, vol. 56, Issue 2, Jun. 2001, pp. 131-150, 1 page.

McCraty et al., "Electrophysiological Evidence of Intuition: Part 1. The Surprising Role of the Heart" *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 10, No. 1, 2004, pp. 133-143, 12 pages.

McCraty et al., "Electrophysiological Evidence of Intuition: Part 2. A System-Wide Process?," *The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 10, No. 2, 2004, pp. 325-336, 12 pages.

McCraty et al., "The Effects of Different Types of Music on Mood, Tension, and Mental Clarity," *Original Research, Alternative Therapies*, Jan. 1998, vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 75-84, 10 pages.

McCraty et al., "The Effects of Emotions on Short-Term Power Spectrum Analysis of Heart Rate Variability," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 76, No. 14, Nov. 15, 1995, pp. 1089-1093, 6 pages.

McCraty et al., "The Impact of a New Emotional Self-Management Program on Stress, Emotions, Heart Rate Variability, DHEA and Cortisol," *Integrative Physiological and Behavioral Science*, Apr.-Jun. 1998, vol. 33, No. 2, 151-170, 20 pages.

McCraty et al., "The Impact of an Emotional Self-Management Skills Course on Psychosocial Functioning and Autonomic Recovery to Stress in Middle School Children," *Integrative Physiological and Behavioral Science*, Oct.-Dec. 1999, vol. 34, No. 4, 246-268, 23 pages.

Melillo, "Inside the Consumer Mind; What Neuroscience Can Tell Us About Marketing," *Adweek, Public Citizen's Commercial Alert*, Jan. 16, 2006, <http://www.adweek.com/news/advertising/inside-consumer-mind-83549>, 8 pages.

Miller et al., "Influence of Specific Emotional States on Autonomic Reactivity and Pulmonary Function in Asthmatic Children," *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, vol. 36, Issue 5, May 1997, pp. 669-677, 3 pages.

Murphy et al., "The Heart Reinnervates After Transplantation," *Official Journal of the Society of Thoracic Surgeons and the Southern Thoracic Surgical Association*, Jun. 2000, vol. 69, Issue 6, pp. 1769-1781, 13 pages.

Rosenberg, "Emotional R.O.I.," *The Hub*, May/June. 2006, pp. 24-25, 2 pages.

Tiller et al., "Cardiac Coherence: A New, Noninvasive Measure of Autonomic Nervous System Order," *Alternative Therapies*, Jan. 1996, vol. 2, No. 1, 14 pages.

Umetani et al., "Twenty-Four Hour Time Domain Heart Rate Variability and Heart Rate: Relations to Age and Gender Over Nine Decades," *J Am Coll Cardiol*, Mar. 1, 1998, pp. 593-601, 9 pages.

Von Leupoldt et al., "Emotions in a Body Plethysmograph," *Journal of Psychophysiology* (2004), 18, pp. 170-176, 1 page.

Kallman et al., "Effect of Blank Time on Picture Recognition," *The American Journal of Psychology*, vol. 97, No. 3 (Autumn, 1984), pp. 399-406, 4 pages.

Larose, *Data Mining Methods and Models*, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Central Connecticut State University, www.dbeBooks.com—An Ebook Library, published by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2006, 340 pages (Book).

Han et al., *Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques*, 2nd Edition, Elsevier, 2006, 772 pages (Book).

Liu et al., *Web Data Mining: Exploring Hyperlinks, Contents, and Usage Data*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2007, 532 pages, (Book).

Berry et al., *Data Mining Techniques: For Marketing, Sales, and Customer Support*, Wiley Publishing Inc., Jun. 1997, 464 pages (Book).

Horovitz, "Watching Ads Is Real Science Research Companies Monitor Physiological Reactions to Commercials to Determine Their Effectiveness," *Los Angeles Times*, Sep. 1, 1991, 3 pages.

Sung et al., "Wearable feedback systems for rehabilitation," *Journal of NeuroEngineering and Rehabilitation*, 2005, 12 pages.

Jaffe, *Casting for Big Ideas*, *Adweek Magazine Series*, Book 8, 2003, 256 pages (Book).

Hall "A New Model for Measuring Advertising Effectiveness," *J. Advertising Research*, vol. 42(2), Mar./Apr. 2002, 1 page.

Hall "Advertising as a Factor of Production," *ADMAP*, 2003, pp. 20-23, 1 page.

Ranii, "Adding Science to Gut Check," *The News & Observer*, D3 (Apr. 6, 2005), 1 page.

McCraty et al., "Impact of a Workplace Stress Reduction Program on Blood Pressure and Emotional Health in Hypertensive Employees", *Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine*, vol. 9, No. 3, 2003, pp. 355-369, 15 pages.

Schaefer et al., "Neural Correlates of Culturally Familiar Brands of Car Manufacturers," *Neuroimage*, vol. 31, 2006, pp. 861-865, 5 pages.

Landau et al. "Different Effects of Voluntary and Involuntary Attention on EEG Activity in the Gamma Band," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, Oct. 31, 2007, 27(44):11986-11990, 5 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,550, dated Feb. 20, 2015, 5 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,660, dated Feb. 20, 2015, 18 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/965,805, dated Mar. 6, 2015, 18 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,344, dated Apr. 9, 2015, 12 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,541, dated Feb. 12, 2015, 6 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 14/177,698, dated Apr. 24, 2015, 13 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated May 5, 2015, 7 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,511, dated May 6, 2015, 5 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/105,774, dated May 14, 2015, 15 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated May 14, 2015, 22 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,564, dated May 22, 2015, 6 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/249,512, dated Jun. 30, 2015, 36 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israeli Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 201187, dated Jun. 22, 2015, 4 pages.

Notification of Provisional Rejection, issued by the Korean Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 10-2010-7001406, dated Jun. 24, 2015 (with partial translation), 9 pages.

McClure et al., "Neural Correlates of Behavioral Preference for Culturally Familiar Drinks," *Neuron* (Oct. 14, 2004), 379-387, 9 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Jul. 30, 2015, 14 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,253, dated Aug. 4, 2015, 30 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 14/177,698, dated Aug. 19, 2015, 12 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Sep. 11, 2015, 7 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,550, dated Sep. 2, 2015, 5 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Sep. 10, 2015, 15 pages.

Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated Sep. 16, 2015, 3 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,525, dated Sep. 30, 2015, 12 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/965,805, dated Sep. 30, 2015, 6 pages.

Opitz, S. "Neuromarketing: An Introduction" Power Point Presentation (2008), available at http://www.powershow.com/view/94a7b-YzlmN/Neuromarketing_powerpoint_ppt_presentation (last accessed Oct. 14, 2015), 20 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,541, dated Jul. 23, 2014, 13 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/965,805, dated Aug. 6, 2014, 18 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,511, dated Aug. 13, 2014, 4 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,550, dated Aug. 14, 2014, 4 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,564, dated Aug. 15, 2014, 15 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated Aug. 21, 2014, 20 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated Sep. 4, 2014, 16 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/105,774, dated Sep. 18, 2014, 14 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Sep. 29, 2014, 21 pages.

Decision to Grant Patent, issued by the Korean Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 10-2009-7022551, dated Aug. 13, 2014, 3 pages.

Ekman et al., *Measuring Facial Movement, Environmental Psychology and Nonverbal Behavior*, 1 (1) (Fall 1976), pp. 56-75, 20 pages.

Ekman et al., *Facial Action Coding System: A Technique for Measurement of Facial Movement*, Consulting Psychologists Press, Palo Alto, Calif (1978) (Book).

Ekman et al., *Unmasking the Face—A Guide to Recognizing Emotions from Facial Clues*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. (1979), 212 pages.

Ekman et al., *Facial Signs of Emotional Experience*, *J. Personality & Social Psychology*, 39(6) (Dec. 1980), pp. 1125-1134, 10 pages.

Izard, *The Maximally Discriminative Facial Movement Coding System*, (Rev. ed.), Instructional Resources Center, University of Delaware, Newark, Del. (1983) (Book).

Izard et al., *A System for Identifying Affect Expressions by Holistic Judgments (AFFEX)*, Instructional Resources Center, University of Delaware, Newark, Del. (1983) (Book).

Jia, X et al., *Extending the Feature Set for Automatic Face Recognition*, *International Conference on Image Processing and Its Applications* (Apr. 7-9, 1992), 6 pages.

Lisetti et al., "Using Noninvasive Wearable Computers to Recognize Human Emotions from Physiological Signals," *EURASIP J. Applied Signal Processing*, 11 (Sep. 2004), pp. 1672-1687, 16 pages.

Jaimes et al., *Multimodal Human-Computer Interaction: A Survey*, *Computer Vision and Image Understanding* 108 (Oct.-Nov. 2007), pp. 116-134, 19 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,550, dated May 27, 2014, 8 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,511, dated May 29, 2014, 8 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,253, dated Jun. 5, 2014, 25 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Jul. 1, 2014, 16 pages.

Decision to Grant Patent, issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 2010-523112, on Apr. 8, 2014, 4 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israeli Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 201187, dated Apr. 23, 2014, 2 pages.

Darrow, "Psychological and psychophysiological significance of the electroencephalogram," *Psychological Review* (May 1947) 157-168, 12 pages.

Stamm, "On the Relationship between Reaction Time to Light and Latency of Blocking the Alpha Rhythm," *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* (Feb. 1952), 61-68, 8 pages.

Mizuki et al., "Periodic Appearance of the Theta Rhythm in the Frontal Midline Area During Performance of a Mental Task," *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology* (Aug. 1980), 345-351, 7 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,511, dated Jan. 30, 2014, 6 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,550, dated Jan. 31, 2014, 5 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/965,805, dated Feb. 3, 2014, 15 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/444,149, dated Feb. 3, 2014, 5 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Feb. 4, 2014, 12 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Feb. 6, 2014, 17 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,564, dated Feb. 10, 2014, 14 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 112/884,034, dated Feb. 10, 2014, 18 pages.

Axis Communications, "Improve your merchandising effectiveness. Get the full picture with network video" (2008), available at http://www.axis.com/files/user_scenario_slap_ret_merchandising_31107_en_0803_lo.pdf, 2 pages.

Brown, M. "Should My Advertising Stimulate an Emotional Response?" (2009) available at http://www.wpp.com/~media/sharedwpp/readingroom/marketing/millward_brown_emotional_response.pdf, 6 pages.

Mehta, A. et al., "Reconsidering Recall and Emotion in Advertising", *Journal of Advertising Research*, (Mar. 2006), 49-56, 8 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Cheung et al., "Mining Customer Product Ratings for Personalized Marketing," *Decision Support Systems* 35 (2003) 231-243, 13 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,557, dated Jan. 29, 2013, 17 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,197, dated Jan. 29, 2013, 11 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Jan. 31, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,863, dated Jan. 31, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated Jan. 31, 2013, 10 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,583, dated Feb. 1, 2013, 11 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/200,813, dated Feb. 1, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Feb. 4, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,262, dated Feb. 5, 2013, 15 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/868,531, dated Feb. 5, 2013, 8 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,213, dated Feb. 5, 2013, 10 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Feb. 14, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,388, dated Feb. 15, 2013, 9 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated Apr. 16, 2013, 10 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated Apr. 22, 2013, 11 pages.

Notification to Grant Patent Right for Invention, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880104982.1, dated Jan. 14, 2013, 4 pages.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 08770372.4-1265/2152155, dated Feb. 6, 2013, 7 pages.

English Translation of Third Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880017883.X, dated Mar. 18, 2013, 8 pages.

Notification to Grant Patent Right for Invention, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880101500.7, dated Apr. 3, 2013, 2 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Apr. 25, 2013, 34 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/444,149, dated May 2, 2013, 27 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated May 8, 2013, 4 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated May 8, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated May 8, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated May 8, 2013, 7 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/569,711, dated May 14, 2013, 6 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated May 17, 2013, 6 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/778,828, dated May 23, 2013, 25 pages.

Office Communication to Applicant, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,388, dated May 24, 2013, 2 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated May 28, 2013, 12 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated May 31, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Jun. 3, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Jun. 3, 2013, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Jun. 11, 2013, 7 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/545,455, dated Jun. 11, 2013, 9 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Jun. 13, 2013, 5 pages.

Office Communication to Applicant, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,388, dated Jun. 13, 2013, 2 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated Jun. 21, 2013, 5 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/105,774, dated Jun. 26, 2013, 10 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israeli Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 203176, dated Apr. 23, 2013, 1 page.

English Translation of Notice Prior to Allowance, issued by the Israeli Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 203176, dated Jun. 30, 2013, 1 page.

Merriam-Webster Online Dictionary, Definition for "Resonance," available at <http://www.merriamwebster.com/dictionary/resonance>, 4 pages.

Enghoff, Sigurd, Thesis: "Moving ICA and Time-Frequency Analysis in Event-Related EEG Studies of Selective Attention," Technical University of Denmark, (Dec. 1999), 54 pages.

Zhang, P., "Will You Use Animation on Your Web Pages?" *Doing Business on the Internet: Opportunities and Pitfalls*, C. Romm and F. Sudweeks (eds.), Springer-Verlag (1999), 17 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated Jul. 29, 2013, 6 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Sep. 12, 2013, 13 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Sep. 13, 2013, 7 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Sep. 17, 2013, 11 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/778,828, dated Oct. 8, 2013, 11 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 2010-523112, dated Jul. 30, 2013, 2 pages.

Decision to Grant Patent, issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 2010-506646, dated Aug. 6, 2013, 4 pages.

English Translation of Decision on Rejection, issued by the Chinese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 200880017883.X, dated Aug. 5, 2013, 13 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,660, dated Jul. 10, 2012, 14 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/357,302, dated May 7, 2012, 11 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,696, dated May 15, 2012, 6 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/868,531, dated May 8, 2012, 11 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated May 23, 2012, 11 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Jun. 8, 2012, 12 pages.

Restriction Requirement, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/545,455, dated Jun. 13, 2012, 5 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,225, dated Jun. 15, 2012, 9 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/544,934, dated Jun. 18, 2012, 11 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated Jul. 30, 2012, 15 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated Aug. 3, 2012, 8 pages.

Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,388, dated Aug. 28, 2012, 3 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/545,455, dated Aug. 29, 2012, 11 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/778,810, dated Aug. 31, 2012, 12 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/778,828, dated Aug. 30, 2012, 9 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,213, dated Sep. 7, 2012, 9 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,253, dated Sep. 17, 2012, 17 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Sep. 17, 2012, 11 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,262, dated Sep. 17, 2012, 11 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/413,297, dated Sep. 18, 2012, 18 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Sep. 18, 2012, 17 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Sep. 19, 2012, 10 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated Sep. 19, 2012, 10 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/200,813, dated Sep. 20, 2012, 11 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,583, dated Sep. 26, 2012, 14 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Sep. 27, 2012, 14 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,557, dated Sep. 28, 2012, 12 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,863, dated Oct. 1, 2012, 12 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/444,149, dated Oct. 4, 2012, 9 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,851, dated Oct. 4, 2012, 14 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,388, dated Oct. 5, 2012, 6 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,197, dated Oct. 16, 2012, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/868,531, dated Oct. 22, 2012, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/200,813, dated Oct. 30, 2012, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Nov. 2, 2012, 5 pages.

Restriction Requirement, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,225, dated Nov. 2, 2012, 5 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,066, dated Nov. 13, 2012, 9 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,863, dated Nov. 16, 2012, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Nov. 21, 2012, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/868,531, dated Nov. 23, 2012, 5 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/846,242, dated Nov. 29, 2012, 14 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/913,102, dated Dec. 7, 2012, 7 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/544,958, dated Dec. 10, 2012, 16 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,197, dated Dec. 20, 2012, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 14 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 10 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,262, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 19 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 12 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,557, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 14 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 17 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/200,813, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 9 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,213, dated Dec. 21, 2012, 10 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/868,531, dated Dec. 26, 2012, 2 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,863, dated Dec. 31, 2012, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,583, dated Dec. 31, 2012, 10 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated Jan. 4, 2013, 17 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,583, dated Jan. 11, 2013, 11 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,225, dated Jan. 11, 2013, 11 pages.

Recertified IDS and Interview Summary, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/853,197, dated Jan. 16, 2013, 6 pages.

Second Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880019166.0, dated Jun. 5, 2012, 8 pages.

Second Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880104982.1, dated Jun. 29, 2012, 5 pages.

Second Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880017883.X, dated Aug. 10, 2012, 9 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israel Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 203176, dated Sep. 27, 2012, 1 page.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israel Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 203177, dated Sep. 27, 2012, 1 page.

Office Action, issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 2010-501190, dated Oct. 5, 2012, 5 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 2010-506646, dated Oct. 23, 2012, 3 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israeli Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 201187, dated Nov. 27, 2012, 2 pages.

English Translation of Third Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880101500.7, dated Nov. 21, 2012, 5 pages.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 08796890.5-2319/2170161, dated Dec. 7, 2012, 9 pages.

Allen et al., "A Method of Removing Imaging Artifact from Continuous EEG Recorded during Functional MRI" *Neuroimage*, vol. 12, 230-239, (Aug. 2000), 12 pages.

Barreto et al., "Physiologic Instrumentation for Real-time Monitoring of Affective State of Computer Users," *WSEAS International Conference on Instrumentation, Measurement Control, Circuits and Systems (IMCCAS)*, (2004), 6 pages.

Jung et al., "Analysis and Visualization of Single-Trial Event-Related Potentials," *Human Brain Mapping* vol. 14, 166-185 (2001), 20 pages.

Klimesch, "EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance a review and analysis," *Brain Research Reviews*, vol. 29, 169-195, (1999), 27 pages.

Krakow et al., "Methodology: EEG-correlated fMRI," *Functional Imaging in the Epilepsies*, (Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2000), 17 pages.

Krugman, "Brain Wave Measures of Media Involvement," *Journal of Advertising Research* vol. 11, 3-9 (Feb. 1971), 7 pages.

The Mathworks, Inc., "MATLAB Data Analysis: Version 7," p. 4-19 (2005), 3 pages.

Oberman et al., "EEG evidence for minor neuron activity during the observation of human and robot actions Aug. 29, 2012 Toward an analysis of the human qualities of interactive robots," *Neurocomputing* 70 (2007) 2194-2203, 10 pages.

Clemons, "Resonance Marketing in the Age of the Truly Informed Consumer: Creating Profits through Differentiation and Delight," *Wharton Information Strategy & Economics Blog* 2, available at <http://opim.wharton.upenn.edu/~clemons/blogs/resonanceblog.pdf>, (Mar. 28, 2007), 8 pages.

Palva et al., "Phase Synchrony Among Neuronal Oscillations in the Human Cortex," *Journal of Neuroscience* 25 (2005), 3962-3972, 11 pages.

Lachaux et al., "Measuring Phase Synchrony in Brain Signals," *Human Brain Mapping* 8 (1999), 194-208, 15 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated Oct. 23, 2013, 17 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Nov. 6, 2013, 7 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/444,149, dated Nov. 19, 2013, 11 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,253, dated Dec. 3, 2013, 16 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Dec. 23, 2013, 7 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/105,774, dated Jan. 16, 2014, 11 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Japanese Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 2010-520159, dated Oct. 1, 2013, 2 pages.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 08798799.6-1657/2180825, dated Nov. 4, 2013, 9 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Coan et al., "Voluntary Facial Expression and Hemispheric Asymmetry Over the Frontal Cortex," *Psychophysiology* (Nov. 2001), 912-924, 14 pages.

Duchowski, "A Breadth-First Survey of Eye-tracking Applications," *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers* (Nov. 2002), 455-470, 16 pages.

Heo et al., "Wait! Why is it Not Moving? Attractive and Distractive Ocular Responses to Web Ads," Paper presented to AEJMC, (Aug. 2001) Washington, DC, available at <http://www.psu.edu/dept/medialab/researchpage/newabstracts/wait.html>, 3 pages.

Rothschild et al., "Predicting Memory for Components of TV Commercials from EEG," *Journal of Consumer Research* (Mar. 1990), p. 472-478, 8 pages.

Beaver et al., "Individual Differences in Reward Drive Predict Neural Responses to Images of Food", *J. of Neuroscience*, (May 10, 2006), 5160-5166, 7 pages.

Tapert et al., "Neural Response to Alcohol Stimuli in Adolescents With Alcohol Use Disorder", *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (Jul. 2003), 727-735, 9 pages.

Shandlen et al., "A Computational Analysis of the Relationship between Neuronal and Behavioral Responses to Visual Motion", *The Journal of Neuroscience*, (Feb. 15, 1996) 1486-1510, 25 pages.

Cassanello et al., "Neuronal Responses to Moving Targets in Monkey Frontal Eye Fields", *J Neurophysiol* (Sep. 2008), 1544-1556, 16 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Feb. 16, 2012, 15 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Feb. 17, 2012, 22 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,253, dated Feb. 17, 2012, 20 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated Feb. 17, 2012, 15 pages.

Mosby's Dictionary of Medicine, Nursing, & Health Professions, 2009, Mosby, Inc., Definition of Alpha Wave, 1 page.

Mosby's Dictionary of Medicine, Nursing, & Health Professions, 2009, Mosby, Inc., Definition of Beta Wave, 1 page.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,388, dated Oct. 12, 2011, 27 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated Oct. 13, 2011, 22 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Oct. 19, 2011, 21 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/357,315, dated Oct. 26, 2011, 41 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,240, dated Oct. 27, 2011, 39 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,221, dated Nov. 28, 2011, 44 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,863, dated Dec. 22, 2011, 17 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,262, dated Dec. 22, 2011, 17 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated Dec. 22, 2011, 16 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,557, dated Dec. 22, 2011, 17 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Dec. 22, 2011, 15 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/200,813, dated Dec. 22, 2011, 18 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,583, dated Dec. 29, 2011, 18 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated Jan. 3, 2012, 10 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/413,297, dated Jan. 4, 2012, 10 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/544,921, dated Jan. 9, 2012, 13 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/357,302, dated Jan. 17, 2012, 11 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,225, dated Jan. 20, 2012, 12 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,066, dated Jan. 24, 2012, 12 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Feb. 1, 2012, 17 pages.

Restriction Requirement, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/544,958, dated Feb. 10, 2012, 6 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,069, dated Feb. 14, 2012, 35 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/357,322, dated Feb. 14, 2012, 14 pages.

Decision of Rejection, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880104982.1, dated Sep. 23, 2011, 10 pages.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 11006934.1/2221, dated Oct. 25, 2011, 5 pages.

First Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880017883.X, dated Nov. 30, 2011, 16 pages.

Ambler et al., "Ads on the Brain; A Neuro-Imaging Comparison of Cognitive and Affective Advertising Stimuli," *London Business School, Centre for Marketing Working Paper*, No. 00-902, (Mar. 2000), 23 pages.

Meriam Webster Online Dictionary definition for "tangible," available at <http://www.merriam/webster.com/dictionary/tangible>, 1 page.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Application No. 12/868,531, on Mar. 1, 2012, 6 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Application No. 12/182,851, on Mar. 12, 2012, 14 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated Mar. 29, 2012, 17 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/846,242, dated Mar. 29, 2012, 15 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,388, dated Apr. 6, 2012, 6 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/357,315, dated Apr. 9, 2012, 17 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/544,958, dated May 2, 2012, 14 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israel Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 203176, dated Feb. 21, 2012, 2 pages.

English Translation of Office Action, issued by the Israel Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 203177, dated Mar. 1, 2012, 2 pages.

Second Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880101500.7, dated Apr. 5, 2012, 5 pages.

Padgett et al., "Categorical Perception in Facial Emotion Classification," In Proceedings of the 18th Annual Conference of the Cognitive Science Society, pp. 249-253 (1996), 5 pages.

De Gelder et al., "Categorical Perception of Facial Expressions: Categories and their Internal Structure," *Cognition and Emotion*, vol. 11(1), pp. 1-23 (1997), 23 pages.

Bimler et al., "Categorical perception of facial expressions of emotion: Evidence from multidimensional scaling," *Cognition and Emotion*, vol. 15(5), pp. 633-658 (Sep. 2001), 26 pages.

Newell et al., "Categorical perception of familiar objects," *Cognition*, vol. 85, Issue 2, pp. 113-143 (Sep. 2002), 31 pages.

Meriam Webster Online Dictionary, Definition of Virtual Reality, available at <http://www.meriamwebster.com/dictionary/virtual%20reality>, 2 pages.

Griss et al., "Characterization of micromachined spiked biopotential electrodes," *Biomedical Engineering*, *IEEE Transactions* (Jun. 2002), 8 pages.

"User monitoring," Sapien Systems, available at <http://web.archive.org/web/20030818043339/http://www.sapiensystems.com/eyetracking.html>, (Aug. 18, 2003), 1 page.

Sullivan et al., "A brain-machine interface using dry-contact, low-noise EEG sensors," In Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, (May 18, 2008), 4 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Jul. 8, 2011, 16 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,211, dated Jan. 7, 2011, 19 pages.

Office Action issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,221, dated Apr. 15, 2011, 24 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,863, dated Jun. 9, 2011, 12 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,863, dated Dec. 27, 2010, 15 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Apr. 21, 2011, 10 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Dec. 3, 2010, 12 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,240, dated Jun. 10, 2011, 12 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,262, dated May 26, 2011, 15 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,262, dated Dec. 9, 2010, 13 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,066, dated Jan. 21, 2011, 16 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,066, dated Oct. 28, 2010, 14 pages.

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,066, dated May 31, 2011, 2 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated Dec. 23, 2010, 14 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,074, dated Jun. 9, 2011, 10 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated Jul. 7, 2011, 14 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,874, dated Dec. 27, 2010, 17 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,557, dated Dec. 27, 2010, 14 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,557, dated Jun. 9, 2011, 12 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,583, dated Jun. 21, 2011, 14 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,583, dated Dec. 27, 2010, 17 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Jun. 14, 2011, 13 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/199,596, dated Dec. 27, 2010, 17 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/200,813, dated Jul. 6, 2011, 13 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/200,813, dated Dec. 27, 2010, 14 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated Jun. 7, 2011, 10 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,069, dated Feb. 17, 2011, 32 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,069, dated Oct. 29, 2010, 21 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/357,315, dated May 4, 2011, 9 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Jun. 7, 2011, 9 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/413,297, dated Jul. 18, 2011, 9 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Application No. 12/608,685, dated Jul. 12, 2011, 15 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,190, dated Aug. 10, 2011, 28 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/357,322, dated Aug. 23, 2011, 12 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,069, dated Aug. 26, 2011, 33 pages.

Restriction Requirement, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,253, dated Sep. 2, 2011, 7 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated Sep. 12, 2011, 12 pages.

Restriction Requirement, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/182,851, dated Sep. 12, 2011, 7 pages.

Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,066, dated Sep. 29, 2011, 37 pages.

Restriction Requirement, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/056,225, dated Oct. 3, 2011, 6 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/058264, dated Sep. 29, 2009, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Searching Authority in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/058264, dated Aug. 1, 2008, 2 pages.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Searching Authority in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/058264, dated Aug. 1, 2008, 5 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/062273, dated Nov. 3, 2009, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Searching Authority in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/062273, dated Sep. 5, 2008, 2 pages.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Searching Authority in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/062273, dated Sep. 5, 2008, 4 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/062275, dated Nov. 3, 2009, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/062275, dated Sep. 22, 2008, 1 page.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/062275, dated Sep. 22, 2008, 6 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/063984, dated Nov. 17, 2009, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/063984, dated Sep. 29, 2008, 2 pages.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/063984, dated Sep. 29, 2008, 3 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/063989, dated Nov. 17, 2009, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/063989, dated Jul. 17, 2008, 1 page.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/063989, dated Jul. 17, 2008, 4 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/066166, dated Dec. 7, 2009, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/066166, dated Aug. 25, 2008, 1 page.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/066166, dated Aug. 25, 2008, 6 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/071639, dated Feb. 2, 2010, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/071639, dated Oct. 22, 2008, 1 page.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/071639, dated Oct. 22, 2008, 4 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau of WIPO in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/074467, dated Mar. 2, 2010, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/074467, dated Nov. 17, 2008, 2 pages.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US08/074467, dated Nov. 17, 2008, 4 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US10/021535, dated Jul. 26, 2011, 1 page.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US10/021535, dated Mar. 23, 2010, 3 pages.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US10/021535, dated Mar. 23, 2010, 4 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US09/065368, dated Jun. 23, 2011, 2 pages.

International Search Report, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US09/065368, dated Jan. 21, 2010, 3 pages.

Written Opinion, issued by the International Bureau in connection with International Application No. PCT/US09/065368, dated Jan. 21, 2010, 7 pages.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 08744383.4-2221/2130146, dated Jul. 27, 2011, 5 pages.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 10173095.0-2221, dated Dec. 17, 2010, 3 pages.

Extended European Search Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 10189294.1-2221, dated Mar. 21, 2011, 7 pages.

First Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880104982.1, dated January 25, 2011, 15 pages.

First Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 2008801015007, dated May 25, 2011, 8 pages.

First Office Action, issued by the State Intellectual Property Office of P.R. China in connection with Patent Application No. 200880019166.0, dated Jul. 22, 2011, 16 pages.

Edgar et al., "Digital Filters in ERP Research," in *Event-Related Potentials: A Methods Handbook*, pp. 85-113, (Todd C. Handy, ed., 2005), 15 pages.

Simon-Thomas et al., "Behavioral and Electrophysiological Evidence of a Right Hemisphere Bias for the Influence of Negative Emotion on Higher Cognition," *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, pp. 518-529, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (2005), 12 pages.

Friedman et al., "Event-Related Potential (ERP) Studies of Memory Encoding and Retrieval: A Selective Review," *Microscopy Research and Technique* 51:6-26, Wiley-Less, Inc. (2000), 23 pages.

Gaillard, "Problems and Paradigms in ERP Research," *Biological Psychology*, Elsevier Science Publisher B.V. (1988), 10 pages.

Hopf et al., "Neural Sources of Focused Attention in Visual Search," *Cerebral Cortex*, 10:1233-1241, Oxford University Press, (Dec. 2000), 9 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Swick et al., "Contributions of Prefrontal Cortex to Recognition Memory: Electrophysiological and Behavioral Evidence," *Neuropsychology*, vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 155-170, American Psychological Association, Inc. (1999), 16 pages.
- Luck et al., "The speed of visual attention in schizophrenia: Electrophysiological and behavioral evidence," *Schizophrenia Research*, pp. 174-195, Elsevier B.V., www.sciencedirect.com, (2006), 22 pages.
- Makeig et al., "Mining event-related brain dynamics," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 8, No. 5, (May 2004), www.sciencedirect.com, 7 pages.
- Herrmann et al., "Mechanisms of human attention: event-related potentials and oscillations," *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, pp. 465-476, Elsevier Science Ltd., www.elsevier.com/locate/neubiorev, (2001), 12 pages.
- Knight, "Consciousness Unchained: Ethical Issues and the Vegetative and minimally Conscious State," *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 8:9, 1-2, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15265160802414524>, (Sep. 1, 2008), 3 pages.
- Kishiyama et al., "Novelty Enhancements in Memory Are Dependent on Lateral Prefrontal Cortex," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, pp. 8114-8118, Society for Neuroscience (Jun. 24, 2009), 5 pages.
- Paller et al., "Validating neural correlates of familiarity," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 11, No. 6, www.sciencedirect.com, (May 2, 2007), 8 pages.
- Picton et al., "Guidelines for using human event-related potentials to study cognition: Recording standards and publication criteria," *Psychophysiology*, pp. 127-152, Society for Psychophysiological Research, (2000), 26 pages.
- Yamaguchi et al., "Rapid-Prefrontal-Hippocampal Habituation to Novel Events," *The Journal of Neuroscience*, pp. 5356-5363, Society for Neuroscience, (Apr. 29, 2004), 8 pages.
- Rugg et al., "Event-related potentials and recognition memory," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 11, No. 6, www.sciencedirect.com, (May 3, 2007), 7 pages.
- Rugg et al., "The ERP and cognitive psychology: conceptual issues," (Sep. 1996), 7 pages.
- Keren et al., "Saccadic spike potentials in gamma-band EEG: Characterization, detection and suppression," *Neuroimage*, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.10.057>, (Oct. 2009), 16 pages.
- Kishiyama et al., "Socioeconomic Disparities Affect Prefrontal Function in Children," *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, pp. 1106-1115, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, (2008), 10 pages.
- Spencer, "Averaging, Detection, and Classification of Single-Trial ERPs," in *Event-Related Potentials: A Methods Handbook*, pp. 209-227, (Todd C. Handy, ed., 2005), 10 pages.
- Srinivasan, "High-Resolution EEG: Theory and Practice," in *Event-Related Potentials: A Methods Handbook*, pp. 167-188, (Todd C. Handy, ed., 2005), 12 pages.
- Taheri et al., "A dry electrode for EEG recording," *Electroencephalography and clinical Neurophysiology*, pp. 376-383, Elsevier Science Ireland Ltd. (1994), 8 pages.
- Talsma et al., "Methods for the Estimation and Removal of Artifacts and Overlap in ERP Waveforms," in *Event-Related Potentials: A Methods Handbook*, pp. 115-148, (Todd C. Handy, ed., 2005), 22 pages.
- Davidson et al., "The functional neuroanatomy of emotion and affective style," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 3, No. 1, (Jan. 1999), 11 pages.
- Vogel et al., "Electrophysiological Evidence for a Postperceptual Locus of Suppression During the Attentional Blink," *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, vol. 24, No. 6, pp. 1656-1674, (1998), 19 pages.
- Rizzolatti et al., "The Mirror-Neuron System," *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, vol. 27, pp. 169-192, (Mar. 5, 2004), 30 pages.
- Woldorf, "Distortion of ERP averages due to overlap from temporally adjacent ERPs: Analysis and correction," *Psychophysiology*, Society for Psychophysiological Research, Cambridge University Press (1993), 22 pages.
- Woodman et al., "Serial Deployment of Attention During Visual Search," *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 121-138, American Psychological Association (2003), 18 pages.
- Filler, "MR Neurography and Diffusion Tensor Imaging: Origins, History & Clinical Impact of the first 50,000 Cases With an Assortment of Efficacy and Utility in a Prospective 5,000 Patent Study Group," *Institute for Nerve Medicine*, (Nov. 7, 2008), 56 pages.
- Yuval-Greenberg et al., "Transient Induced Gamma-Bands Response in EEG as a Manifestation of Miniature Saccades," *Neuron*, vol. 58, pp. 429-441, Elsevier Inc. (May 8, 2008), 13 pages.
- Knight et al., "Prefrontal cortex regulates inhibition and excitation in distributed neural networks," *Acta Psychologica* vol. 101, pp. 159-178, Elsevier (1999), 20 pages.
- Gargiulo et al., "A Mobile EEG System With Dry Electrodes," (Nov. 2008), 4 pages.
- Buschman et al., "Top-Down versus Bottom-Up Control of Attention in the Prefrontal and posterior Parietal Cortices," *Science*, vol. 315, www.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/315/5820/1860, American Association for the Advancement of Science, (2007), 4 pages.
- Buschman et al., "Serial, Covert Shifts of Attention during Visual Search Are Reflected by the Frontal Eye Fields and Correlated with Population Oscillations," *Neuron*, vol. 63, pp. 386-396, Elsevier, (Aug. 13, 2009), 11 pages.
- Lui et al., "Marketing Strategies in Virtual Worlds," *The Data Base for Advances in Information Systems*, vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 77-80, (Nov. 2007), 4 pages.
- Cheng et al., "Gender Differences in the Mu Rhythm of the Human Minor-Neuron System," *PLoS One*, vol. 3, Issue 5, www.plosone.org, (May 2008), 7 pages.
- Fogelson et al., "Prefrontal cortex is critical for contextual processing: evidence from brain lesions," *Brain: A Journal of Neurology*, vol. 132, pp. 3002-3010, doi:10.1093/brain/awp230, (Aug. 27, 2009), 9 pages.
- D'Esposito, "From cognitive to neural models of working memory," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B*, doi: 10.1098/rstb.2007.2086, (Mar. 30, 2007), 12 pages.
- Dien et al., "Application of Repeated Measures ANOVA to High-Dens Dataset: A Review and Tutorial," in *Event-Related Potentials: A Methods Handbook*, pp. 57-82, (Todd C. Handy, ed., 2005), 14 pages.
- Neurofocus—Neuroscientific Analysis for Audience Engagement, accessed on Jan. 8, 2010 at <http://web.archive.org/web/20080621114525/www.neurofocus.com/BrandImage.htm>, (2008), 2 pages.
- Ambler, "Salience and Choice: Neural Correlates of Shopping Decisions," *Psychology & Marketing*, vol. 21, No. 4, p. 247-261, Wiley Periodicals, Inc., doi: 10.1002/mar20004, (Apr. 2004), 16 pages.
- Hazlett et al., "Emotional Response to Television Commercials: Facial EMG vs. Self-Report," *Journal of Advertising Research*, (Apr. 1999), 17 pages.
- Makeig et al., "Dynamic Brain Sources of Visual Evoked Responses," *Science*, vol. 295, www.sciencemag.org, (Jan. 25, 2002), 5 pages.
- Lewis et al., "Market Researchers make Increasing use of Brain Imaging," *ACNR*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 36-37, (Jul./Aug. 2005), 2 pages.
- Sutherland, "Neuromarketing: What's it all about?" Retrieved from Max Sutherland's Weblog on Aug. 23, 2011, http://www.sutherlandsurvey.com/Column_pages/Neuromarketing_whats_it_all_about.htm, (Mar. 2007), 5 pages.
- Haq, "This Is Your Brain on Advertising," *BusinessWeek*, Market Research, (Oct. 8, 2007), 3 pages.
- EEG Protocols, "Protocols for EEG Recording," retrieved from the Internet on Aug. 23, 2011, <http://www.q-metrx.com/EEDRecordingProtocols.pdf>, (Nov. 13, 2007), 3 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

- Aaker et al., "Warmth in Advertising: Measurement, Impact, and Sequence Effects," *Journal of Consumer Research*, vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 365-381, (Mar. 1986), 17 pages.
- Belch et al., "Psychophysiological and cognitive Response to Sex in Advertising," *Advances in Consumer Research*, vol. 9, pp. 424-427, (1982), 6 pages.
- Ruchkin et al., "Modality-specific processing streams in verbal working memory: evidence from spatiotemporal patterns of brain activity," *Cognitive Brain Research*, vol. 6, pp. 95-113, Elsevier, (1997), 19 pages.
- Page et al., "Cognitive Neuroscience, Marketing and Research," Congress 2006—Foresight—The Predictive Power of Research Conference Papers, ESOMAR Publications, (Sep. 17, 2006), 25 pages.
- Braeutigam, "Neuroeconomics—From neural systems to economic behavior," *Brain Research Bulletin*, vol. 67, pp. 355-360, Elsevier, (2005), 6 pages.
- Lee et al., "What is 'neuromarketing'? A discussion and agenda for future research," *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, vol. 63, pp. 199-204, Elsevier (2006), 6 pages.
- Crawford et al., "Self-generated happy and sad emotions in low and highly hypnotizable persons during waking and hypnosis: laterality and regional EEG activity differences," *International Journal of Psychophysiology*, vol. 24, pp. 239-266, (Dec. 1996), 28 pages.
- Desmet, "Measuring Emotion: Development and Application of an Instrument to Measure Emotional Responses to Products," to be published in *Funology: From Usability to Enjoyment*, pp. 111-123, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (Blythe et al., eds., 2004), 13 pages.
- Bagozzi et al., "The Role of Emotions in Marketing," *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 184-206, Academy of Marketing Science (1999), 23 pages.
- Blakeslee, "If You Have a 'Buy Button' in Your Brain, What Pushes It?" *The New York Times*, www.nytimes.com, (Oct. 19, 2004), 3 pages.
- Kay et al., "Identifying natural images from human brain activity," *Nature*, vol. 452, pp. 352-356, Nature Publishing Group, (Mar. 20, 2008), 5 pages.
- Anonymous, "Functional magnetic resonance imaging," retrieved online from Wikipedia, the Free Encyclopedia on Sep. 18, 2017, at https://en.wikipedia.org/wild/Functional_magnetic_resonance_imaging, (Last edited Aug. 17, 2017), 24 pages.
- Osborne, "Embedded Watermarking for image Verification in Telemedicine," Thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Electrical and Electronic Engineering, University of Adelaide (2005), 219 pages.
- Nielsen, "Neuroinformatics in Functional Neuroimaging," *Informatics and Mathematical Modeling*, Technical University of Denmark, (Aug. 30, 2002), 241 pages.
- Arousal in Sport, in *Encyclopedia of Applied Psychology*, vol. 1, p. 159, retrieved from Google Books, (Spielberger, ed., Elsevier Academic Press, 2004), 1 page.
- Ziegenfuss, "Neuromarketing: Advertising Ethical & Medical Technology," *The Brownstone Journal*, vol. XII, Boston University, pp. 69-73, (May 2005), 9 pages.
- Zyga, "A Baseball Cap That Can Read Your Mind," *PhysOrg.com*, located at www.physorg.com/news130152277.html, (May 16, 2008), 11 pages.
- Lekakos, "Personalized Advertising Services Through Hybrid Recommendation Methods: The Case of Digital Interactive Television," Department of informatics, Cyprus University, (2004), 11 pages.
- Yap et al., "TIMER: Tensor Image Morphing for Elastic Registration," *NeuroImage*, vol. 47, (May 3, 2009), 15 pages.
- Clifford, "Billboards That Look Back," *The New York Times*, [NYTimes.com](http://www.nytimes.com/2008/05/31/business/media/31billboard.html), available at <http://www.nytimes.com/2008/05/31/business/media/31billboard.html>, (May 31, 2008), 4 pages.
- Barcelo et al., "Prefrontal Modulation of Visual Processing in Humans," *Nature Neuroscience*, vol. 3, No. 4, Apr. 2000, pp. 399-403.
- Canolty et al., "High Gamma Power Is Phase-Locked to Theta Oscillations in Human Neocortex," *Science*, vol. 313, Sep. 15, 2006, pp. 1626-1628.
- Engel et al., "Dynamic Predictions: Oscillations and Synchrony in Top-Down Processing," *Macmillan Magazines Ltd*, vol. 2, Oct. 2001, pp. 704-716.
- Fries, "A Mechanism for Cognitive Dynamics: Neuronal Communication Through Neuronal Coherence," *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, vol. 9, No. 10, Oct. 2005, 7 pages.
- Gazzaley et al., "Top-down Enhancement and Suppression of the Magnitude and Speed of Neural Activity," *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, vol. 17, No. 3, pp. 507-517.
- Hartikainen et al., "Emotionally Arousing Stimuli Compete with Attention to Left Hemispace," *Editorial Manager(tm) for NeuroReport*, Manuscript Draft, Manuscript No.
- Knight, "Contribution of Human Hippocampal Region to Novelty Detection," *Nature*, vol. 383, Sep. 19, 1996, p. 256-259.
- Knight, "Decreased Response to Novel Stimuli After Prefrontal Lesions in Man," *Electroencephalography and Clinical Neurophysiology*, vol. 59, 1984, pp. 9-20.
- Miltner et al., "Coherence of Gamma-band EEG Activity as a Basis for Associative Learning," *Nature*, vol. 397, Feb. 4, 1999, pp. 434-436.
- Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,541, dated Jan. 30, 2014, 12 pages.
- Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/135,066, dated Jun. 21, 2012, 10 pages.
- Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Dec. 22, 2014, 3 pages.
- First Examination Report, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 108796890.5, dated Sep. 29, 2016, 4 pages.
- Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/945,357, dated Nov. 1, 2016, 22 pages.
- Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated Nov. 7, 2016, 3 pages.
- Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/234,372, dated Nov. 14, 2016, 18 pages.
- Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated Nov. 14, 2016, 10 pages.
- Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated Nov. 29, 2016, 27 pages.
- Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Dec. 15, 2016, 31 pages.
- Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,344, dated Jan. 26, 2017, 52 pages.
- Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/965,805, dated Jan. 31, 2017, 25 pages.
- Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/413,297, dated Feb. 9, 2017, 7 pages.
- Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated Mar. 2, 2017, 14 pages.
- Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/122,253, dated Mar. 31, 2017, 37 pages.
- Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,525, dated Apr. 27, 2017, 45 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,372, dated May 25, 2017, 2017, 9 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Jun. 5, 2017, 39 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,344, dated Jun. 29, 2017, 38 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/945,357, dated Jul. 6, 2017, 17 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Jul. 13, 2017, 12 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,525, dated Aug. 14, 2017, 38 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/410,380, dated Aug. 18, 2017, 2017, 11 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Sep. 19, 2017, 43 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/113,870, dated Sep. 26, 2017, 51 pages.

Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,344, dated Oct. 2, 2017, 5 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/945,357, dated Oct. 20, 2017, 16 pages.

Advisory Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,525, dated Oct. 26, 2017, 4 pages.

Examiner's Answer, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/413,297, dated Oct. 31, 2017, 2017, 68 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 15/299,752, dated Nov. 3, 2017, 131 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated Nov. 15, 2017, 49 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,541, dated Dec. 6, 2017, 14 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Dec. 28, 2017, 23 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/608,685, dated Jan. 29, 2018, 11 pages.

English Translation of Notice Prior to Allowance, issued by the Israeli Patent Office in connection with Patent Application No. 201187, dated Feb. 14, 2017, 1 page.

Communication Pursuant to Article 94(3), issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 08744383.4-1958, dated Apr. 19, 2017, 6 pages.

Summons to Attend Oral Proceedings Pursuant to Rule 115(1) EPC, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Patent Application No. 08796890.5, dated Jul. 3, 2017, 3 pages.

English Translation of First Examination Report, issued by the Indian Patent Office in connection with Indian Patent Application No. 6145/CHENP/2009, dated Aug. 16, 2017, 6 pages.

English Translation of First Examination Report, issued by the Indian Patent Office in connection with Indian Patent Application No. 4438/KOLNP/2009, dated Sep. 25, 2017, 7 pages.

Ganel et al., "The Relationship Between fMRI Adaption and Repetition Priming," *NeuroImage*, Jul. 18, 2006, pp. 1434-1440, 9 pages.

Hall, Bruce F., "A New Model for Measuring Advertising Effectiveness," *Journal of Advertising Research*, Mar.-Apr. 2002, 10 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,564, dated Feb. 2, 2018, 10 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,511, dated Mar. 1, 2018, 14 pages.

Decision on Appeal, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,550, dated Mar. 27, 2018, 13 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/884,034, dated May 3, 2018, 46 pages.

Non-Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/708,525, dated May 18, 2018, 31 pages.

Communication Under Rule 71(3) EPC, issued by the European Patent Office in connection with European Application No. 08796890.5, dated Mar. 16, 2018, 43 pages.

English Translation of First Examination Report, issued by the Indian Patent Office in connection with Indian Patent Application No. 4441/KOLNP/2009, dated May 21, 2018, 5 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,541, dated Jun. 27, 2018, 62 pages.

Notice of Allowance, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 13/730,564, dated Jun. 27, 2018, 69 pages.

Final Office Action, issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with U.S. Appl. No. 12/546,586, dated Jun. 28, 2018, 22 pages.

* cited by examiner




Figure 1

| Stimulus Attributes Data Model 201 | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|
| Video Game 203 | Rating 205 | Time Span 207 | Audience 209 |
| | | | Demography 211 |
| | | | ... |

| Stimulus Purpose Data Model 213 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Intent 215 | Objectives 217 |
| | Candidate Location Information 219 |
| | ... |

| Stimulus Attributes Data Model 221 | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Creation Attributes 223 | Ownership Attributes 225 | Broadcast Attributes 227 |
| | | Statistical, Demographic, And Survey Based Identifiers 229 |
| | | ... |

Figure 2




Figure 3

| | | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Subject Attributes Queries 415 | | |
| Location 417 | Demographic Attributes 419 | Session Information 421 ... |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Experimental Design Queries 425 | | |
| Experiment Protocols 427 | Product Category 429 | Surveys Included 431 Stimulus Used 433 ... |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---|
| Response Assessment Queries 437 | | |
| Attention Score 439 | Emotion Score 441 | Retention Score 443 Effectiveness Score 445 ... |

Figure 4

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| Client Assessment Summary Reports 501 | | | |
| Effectiveness 503 | Component Assessment 505 | Stimulus Location Effectiveness Measures 507 | ... |
| Client Cumulative Reports 511 | | | |
| Media Grouped 513 | Campaign Grouped 515 | Time/Location Grouped 517 | ... |
| Industry Cumulative And Syndicated Reports 521 | | | |
| Aggregate Assessment 523 | Top Performers 525 | Bottom Performers 527 | Outliers 529 Trend 531 ... |

Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8

ANALYSIS OF CONTROLLED AND AUTOMATIC ATTENTION FOR INTRODUCTION OF STIMULUS MATERIAL

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This patent arises from a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 12/608,660 titled "Analysis of Controlled and Automatic Attention for Introduction of Stimulus Material," and filed on Oct. 29, 2009. U.S. application Ser. No. 12/608,660 is incorporated herein by this reference in its entirety.

This patent is related to U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/056,190; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/056,211; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/056,221; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/056,225; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/113,863; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/113,870; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/122,240; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/122,253; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/122,262; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/135,066; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/135,074; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/182,851; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/182,874; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/199,557; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/199,583; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/199,596; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/200,813; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/234,372; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/135,069; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/234,388; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/544,921; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/544,958; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/546,586; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/410,380; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/410,372; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/413,297; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/545,455; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/544,934; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/608,685; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/444,149; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/608,696; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/731,868; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/045,457; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/778,810; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/778,828; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/104,821; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/104,840; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/846,242; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/853,197; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/884,034; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/868,531; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/913,102; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 12/853,213; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/105,774; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/569,711; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/708,525; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/708,344; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/730,511; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/730,550; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/730,541; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/730,564; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/965,805; U.S. patent application Ser. No. 13/945,357; and U.S. patent application Ser. No. 14/177,698.

FIELD OF THE DISCLOSURE

The present disclosure relates to analysis of controlled and automatic attention.

BACKGROUND

Conventional systems for placing stimulus material such as a media clip, product, brand image, message, purchase offer, product offer, etc., are limited. Some placement systems are based on demographic information, statistical data,

and survey based response collection. However, conventional systems are subject to semantic, syntactic, metaphorical, cultural, and interpretive errors.

Consequently, it is desirable to provide improved methods and apparatus for introducing stimulus material.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The disclosure may best be understood by reference to the following description taken in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, which illustrate particular examples.

FIG. 1 illustrates one example of a system for neuro-response analysis.

FIG. 2 illustrates examples of stimulus attributes that can be included in a stimulus attributes repository.

FIG. 3 illustrates examples of data models that can be used with a stimulus and response repository.

FIG. 4 illustrates one example of a query that can be used with a stimulus location selection system.

FIG. 5 illustrates one example of a report generated using the automatic and controlled attention analysis system.

FIG. 6 illustrates one example of a technique for performing automatic and controlled attention location assessment.

FIG. 7 illustrates one example of technique for introducing additional stimulus materials.

FIG. 8 provides one example of a system that can be used to implement one or more mechanisms.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

Reference will now be made in detail to some specific examples of the disclosure including the best modes contemplated by the inventors for carrying out the disclosure. Examples are illustrated in the accompanying drawings. While the disclosure is described in conjunction with these specific examples, it will be understood that it is not intended to limit the disclosure to the described examples. On the contrary, it is intended to cover alternatives, modifications, and equivalents as may be included within the spirit and scope of the disclosure as defined by the appended claims.

For example, the techniques and mechanisms of the present disclosure will be described in the context of particular types of data such as central nervous system, autonomic nervous system, and effector data. However, it should be noted that the techniques and mechanisms of the present disclosure apply to a variety of different types of data. It should be noted that various mechanisms and techniques can be applied to any type of stimuli. In the following description, numerous specific details are set forth in order to provide a thorough understanding of the present disclosure. Particular examples may be implemented without some or all of these specific details. In other instances, well known process operations have not been described in detail in order not to unnecessarily obscure the present disclosure.

Various techniques and mechanisms of the present disclosure will sometimes be described in singular form for clarity. However, it should be noted that some examples include multiple iterations of a technique or multiple instantiations of a mechanism unless noted otherwise. For example, a system uses a processor in a variety of contexts. However, it will be appreciated that a system can use multiple processors while remaining within the scope of the present disclosure unless otherwise noted. Furthermore, the techniques and mechanisms of the present disclosure will sometimes describe a connection between two entities. It should be noted that a connection between two entities does

not necessarily mean a direct, unimpeded connection, as a variety of other entities may reside between the two entities. For example, a processor may be connected to memory, but it will be appreciated that a variety of bridges and controllers may reside between the processor and memory. Consequently, a connection does not necessarily mean a direct, unimpeded connection unless otherwise noted.

Overview

A system analyzes neuro-response measurements including regional electroencephalography (EEG) measurements from subjects exposed to stimulus materials to determine locations in stimulus materials eliciting controlled attention and automatic attention. Additional stimulus materials are inserted into locations having salient attention attributes. In some examples, a challenging task is used to direct controlled attention onto a location and additional stimulus material is subtly presented in the location to benefit from automatic attention and salient attention measurements.

Examples

Conventional placement systems such as product placement systems often rely on demographic information, statistical information, and survey based response collection to determine optimal locations to place stimulus material, such as a new product, a brand image, a video clip, sound files, etc. One problem with conventional stimulus placement systems is that conventional stimulus placement systems do not accurately measure the responses to components of the experience. They are also prone to semantic, syntactic, metaphorical, cultural, and interpretive errors thereby preventing the accurate and repeatable selection of stimulus placement locations.

Conventional systems do not use neuro-response measurements in evaluating spatial and temporal locations for personalized stimulus placement. The techniques and mechanisms of the present disclosure use neuro-response measurements such as central nervous system, autonomic nervous system, and effector measurements to improve stimulus location selection and stimulus personalization in video games. Some examples of central nervous system measurement mechanisms include Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI), Electroencephalography (EEG), and optical imaging. fMRI measures blood oxygenation in the brain that correlates with increased neural activity. However, current implementations of fMRI have poor temporal resolution of few seconds. EEG measures electrical activity associated with post synaptic currents occurring in the milliseconds range. Subcranial EEG can measure electrical activity with the most accuracy, as the bone and dermal layers weaken transmission of a wide range of frequencies. Nonetheless, surface EEG provides a wealth of electrophysiological information if analyzed properly. Even portable EEG with dry electrodes provides a large amount of neuro-response information.

Autonomic nervous system measurement mechanisms include Galvanic Skin Response (GSR), Electrocardiograms (EKG), pupillary dilation, etc. Effector measurement mechanisms include Electrooculography (EOG), eye tracking, facial emotion encoding, reaction time etc.

Many types of stimulus material may be placed into media. In some examples, brand images or messages are introduced into a movie or game. Text advertisements may be placed onto a prop in a video game scene or audio clips may be added to a music file. In some examples, a button to allow a player to purchase an item is provided in a neuro-

logically salient location. Any type of stimulus material may be added to media materials such as movies, programs, texts, offers, games, etc.

However, stimulus material may often go unnoticed or may be ignored all together. Conventional mechanisms for eliciting user attention for stimulus materials in media materials are limited. According to various examples, a controlled and automatic attention analysis system analyzes media materials such as video games and video game scenes to determine candidate locations for introducing stimulus material. Each candidate location may be tagged with characteristics such as high retention placement, high attention location, good priming characteristics, etc. According to various examples, candidate locations are neurologically salient locations. When personalized stimulus is received, one of the candidate locations can be selected for placing the personalized stimulus material. Attention includes controlled attention and automatic attention. Regional EEG, particularly measurements in the frontal cortex, can be used to identify attention. If a search or task is difficult, the frontal cortex becomes involved. Saliency of attention can also be analyzed using EEG and/or other neuro-response mechanisms.

According to various examples, stimulus material is an advertisement or purchase offer tailored to a particular viewer. A controlled and automatic attention analysis mechanism may incorporate relationship assessments using brain regional coherence measures of segments of the stimuli relevant to the entity/relationship, segment effectiveness measures synthesizing the attention, emotional engagement and memory retention estimates based on the neuro-physiological measures including time-frequency analysis of EEG measurements, and differential saccade related neural signatures during segments where coupling/relationship patterns are emerging in comparison to segments with non-coupled interactions. In particular examples, specific event related potential (ERP) analyses and/or event related power spectral perturbations (ERPSPs) are evaluated for different regions of the brain both before a subject is exposed to media materials to evaluated controlled and automatic attention and determine locations for introduction of stimulus materials. In particular examples, a task is used to direct a user's controlled attention toward a particular object and stimulus material is introduced at or near the particular object to elicit automatic attention.

Pre-stimulus and post-stimulus differential as well as target and distracter differential measurements of ERP time domain components at multiple regions of the brain are determined (DERP). Event related time-frequency analysis of the differential response to assess the attention, emotion and memory retention (DERPSPs) across multiple frequency bands including but not limited to theta, alpha, beta, gamma and high gamma is performed. In particular examples, single trial and/or averaged DERP and/or DERPSPs can be used to enhance selection of stimulus locations.

FIG. 1 illustrates one example of a system for performing controlled and automatic attention analysis system using neuro-response data. According to various examples, the stimulus location selection and personalization system includes a stimulus presentation device 101. In particular examples, the stimulus presentation device 101 is merely a display, monitor, screen, etc., that displays scenes of a video game to a user. Video games may include action, strategy, puzzle, simulation, role-playing, and other computer games. The stimulus presentation device 101 may also include one or more controllers used to control and interact with aspects

of the video game. Controllers may include keyboards, steering wheels, motion controllers, touchpads, joysticks, control pads, etc.

According to various examples, the subjects **103** are connected to data collection devices **105**. The data collection devices **105** may include a variety of neuro-response measurement mechanisms including neurological and neuro-physiological measurements systems such as EEG, EOG, GSR, EKG, pupillary dilation, eye tracking, facial emotion encoding, and reaction time devices, etc. According to various examples, neuro-response data includes central nervous system, autonomic nervous system, and effector data. In particular examples, the data collection devices **105** include EEG **111**, EOG **113**, and GSR **115**. In some instances, only a single data collection device is used. Data collection may proceed with or without human supervision.

The data collection device **105** collects neuro-response data from multiple sources. This includes a combination of devices such as central nervous system sources (EEG), autonomic nervous system sources (GSR, EKG, pupillary dilation), and effector sources (EOG, eye tracking, facial emotion encoding, reaction time). In particular examples, data collected is digitally sampled and stored for later analysis. In particular examples, the data collected could be analyzed in real-time. According to particular examples, the digital sampling rates are adaptively chosen based on the neurophysiological and neurological data being measured.

In one particular examples, the stimulus location selection system includes EEG **111** measurements made using scalp level electrodes, EOG **113** measurements made using shielded electrodes to track eye data, GSR **115** measurements performed using a differential measurement system, a facial muscular measurement through shielded electrodes placed at specific locations on the face, and a facial affect graphic and video analyzer adaptively derived for each individual.

In particular examples, the data collection devices are clock synchronized with a stimulus presentation device **101**. In particular examples, the data collection devices **105** also include a condition evaluation subsystem that provides auto triggers, alerts and status monitoring and visualization components that continuously monitor the status of the subject, data being collected, and the data collection instruments. The condition evaluation subsystem may also present visual alerts and automatically trigger remedial actions. According to various examples, the data collection devices include mechanisms for not only monitoring subject neuro-response to stimulus materials, but also include mechanisms for identifying and monitoring the stimulus materials. For example, data collection devices **105** may be synchronized with a set-top box to monitor channel changes. In other examples, data collection devices **105** may be directionally synchronized to monitor when a subject is no longer paying attention to stimulus material. In still other examples, the data collection devices **105** may receive and store stimulus material generally being viewed by the subject, whether the stimulus is a program, a commercial, printed material, an experience, or a scene outside a window. The data collected allows analysis of neuro-response information and correlation of the information to actual stimulus material and not mere subject distractions.

According to various examples, the stimulus location selection system also includes a data cleanser device **121**. In particular examples, the data cleanser device **121** filters the collected data to remove noise, artifacts, and other irrelevant data using fixed and adaptive filtering, weighted averaging, advanced component extraction (like PCA, ICA), vector and

component separation methods, etc. This device cleanses the data by removing both exogenous noise (where the source is outside the physiology of the subject, e.g. a phone ringing while a subject is viewing a video) and endogenous artifacts (where the source could be neurophysiological, e.g. muscle movements, eye blinks, etc.).

The artifact removal subsystem includes mechanisms to selectively isolate and review the response data and identify epochs with time domain and/or frequency domain attributes that correspond to artifacts such as line frequency, eye blinks, and muscle movements. The artifact removal subsystem then cleanses the artifacts by either omitting these epochs, or by replacing these epoch data with an estimate based on the other clean data (for example, an EEG nearest neighbor weighted averaging approach).

According to various examples, the data cleanser device **121** is implemented using hardware, firmware, and/or software. It should be noted that although a data cleanser device **121** is shown located after a data collection device **105** and before data analyzer **181**, the data cleanser device **121** like other components may have a location and functionality that varies based on system implementation. For example, some systems may not use any automated data cleanser device whatsoever while in other systems, data cleanser devices may be integrated into individual data collection devices.

According to various examples, an optional stimulus attributes repository **131** provides information on the stimulus material being presented to the multiple subjects. According to various examples, stimulus attributes include properties of the stimulus materials as well as purposes, presentation attributes, report generation attributes, etc. In particular examples, stimulus attributes include time span, channel, rating, media, type, etc. Stimulus attributes may also include positions of entities in various frames, components, events, object relationships, locations of objects and duration of display. Purpose attributes include aspiration and objects of the stimulus including excitement, memory retention, associations, etc. Presentation attributes include audio, video, imagery, and messages needed for enhancement or avoidance. Other attributes may or may not also be included in the stimulus attributes repository or some other repository.

The data cleanser device **121** and the stimulus attributes repository **131** pass data to the data analyzer **181**. The data analyzer **181** uses a variety of mechanisms to analyze underlying data in the system to place stimulus. According to various examples, the data analyzer customizes and extracts the independent neurological and neuro-physiological parameters for each individual in each modality, and blends the estimates within a modality as well as across modalities to elicit an enhanced response to the presented stimulus material. In particular examples, the data analyzer **181** aggregates the response measures across subjects in a dataset.

According to various examples, neurological and neuro-physiological signatures are measured using time domain analyses and frequency domain analyses. Such analyses use parameters that are common across individuals as well as parameters that are unique to each individual. The analyses could also include statistical parameter extraction and fuzzy logic based attribute estimation from both the time and frequency components of the synthesized response.

In some examples, statistical parameters used in a blended effectiveness estimate include evaluations of skew, peaks, first and second moments, population distribution, as well as fuzzy estimates of attention, emotional engagement and memory retention responses.

According to various examples, the data analyzer **181** may include an intra-modality response synthesizer and a cross-modality response synthesizer. In particular examples, the intra-modality response synthesizer is configured to customize and extract the independent neurological and neurophysiological parameters for each individual in each modality and blend the estimates within a modality analytically to elicit an enhanced response to the presented stimuli. In particular embodiments, the intra-modality response synthesizer also aggregates data from different subjects in a dataset.

According to various examples, the cross-modality response synthesizer or fusion device blends different intra-modality responses, including raw signals and signals output. The combination of signals enhances the measures of effectiveness within a modality. The cross-modality response fusion device can also aggregate data from different subjects in a dataset.

According to various examples, the data analyzer **181** also includes a composite enhanced effectiveness estimator (CEEE) that combines the enhanced responses and estimates from each modality to provide a blended estimate of the effectiveness. In particular examples, blended estimates are provided for each exposure of a subject to stimulus materials. The blended estimates are evaluated over time to assess stimulus location characteristics. According to various examples, numerical values are assigned to each blended estimate. The numerical values may correspond to the intensity of neuro-response measurements, the significance of peaks, the change between peaks, etc. Higher numerical values may correspond to higher significance in neuro-response intensity. Lower numerical values may correspond to lower significance or even insignificant neuro-response activity. In other examples, multiple values are assigned to each blended estimate. In still other examples, blended estimates of neuro-response significance are graphically represented to show changes after repeated exposure.

According to various examples, the data analyzer **181** provides analyzed and enhanced response data to a data communication device **183**. It should be noted that in particular instances, a data communication device **183** is not necessary. According to various examples, the data communication device **183** provides raw and/or analyzed data and insights. In particular examples, the data communication device **183** may include mechanisms for the compression and encryption of data for secure storage and communication.

According to various examples, the data communication device **183** transmits data using protocols such as the File Transfer Protocol (FTP), Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) along with a variety of conventional, bus, wired network, wireless network, satellite, and proprietary communication protocols. The data transmitted can include the data in its entirety, excerpts of data, converted data, and/or elicited response measures. According to various examples, the data communication device is a set top box, wireless device, computer system, etc. that transmits data obtained from a data collection device to a response integration system. In particular examples, the data communication device may transmit data even before data cleansing or data analysis. In other examples, the data communication device may transmit data after data cleansing and analysis.

In particular examples, the data communication device **183** sends data to a response integration system **185**. According to various examples, the response integration system **185** assesses and extracts controlled and automatic attention characteristics. In particular examples, the response integra-

tion system **185** determines entity positions in various stimulus segments and matches position information with eye tracking paths while correlating saccades with neural assessments of attention, memory retention, and emotional engagement. In particular examples, the response integration system **185** also collects and integrates user behavioral and survey responses with the analyzed response data to more effectively select stimulus locations.

A variety of data can be stored for later analysis, management, manipulation, and retrieval. In particular examples, the repository could be used for tracking stimulus attributes and presentation attributes, audience responses and optionally could also be used to integrate audience measurement information.

As with a variety of the components in the system, the response integration system can be co-located with the rest of the system and the user, or could be implemented in a remote location. It could also be optionally separated into an assessment repository system that could be centralized or distributed at the provider or providers of the stimulus material. In other examples, the response integration system is housed at the facilities of a third party service provider accessible by stimulus material providers and/or users. A stimulus placement system **187** identifies temporal and spatial locations along with personalized material for introduction into the stimulus material. The personalized stimulus material introduced into a video game can be reintroduced to check the effectiveness of the placements.

FIG. 2 illustrates examples of data models that may be provided with a stimulus attributes repository. According to various examples, a stimulus attributes data model **201** includes a video game **203**, rating **205**, time span **207**, audience **209**, and demographic information **211**. A stimulus purpose data model **213** may include intents **215** and objectives **217**. According to various examples, stimulus attributes data model **201** also includes candidate location information **219** about various temporal, spatial, activity, and event components in an experience that may hold stimulus material. For example, a video game may show a blank wall included on some scenes that can be used to display an advertisement. The temporal and spatial characteristics of the blank wall may be provided in candidate location information **219**.

According to various examples, another stimulus attributes data model **221** includes creation attributes **223**, ownership attributes **225**, broadcast attributes **227**, and statistical, demographic and/or survey based identifiers **229** for automatically integrating the neuro-physiological and neuro-behavioral response with other attributes and meta-information associated with the stimulus.

FIG. 3 illustrates examples of data models that can be used for storage of information associated with selection of locations for the introduction of stimulus material. According to various examples, a dataset data model **301** includes an experiment name **303** and/or identifier, client attributes **305**, a subject pool **307**, logistics information **309** such as the location, date, and time of testing, and stimulus material **311** including stimulus material attributes.

In particular examples, a subject attribute data model **315** includes a subject name **317** and/or identifier, contact information **321**, and demographic attributes **319** that may be useful for review of neurological and neuro-physiological data. Some examples of pertinent demographic attributes include marriage status, employment status, occupation, household income, household size and composition, ethnicity, geographic location, sex, race. Other fields that may be included in data model **315** include shopping preferences,

entertainment preferences, and financial preferences. Shopping preferences include favorite stores, shopping frequency, categories shopped, favorite brands. Entertainment preferences include network/cable/satellite access capabilities, favorite shows, favorite genres, and favorite actors. Financial preferences include favorite insurance companies, preferred investment practices, banking preferences, and favorite online financial instruments. A variety of subject attributes may be included in a subject attributes data model **315** and data models may be preset or custom generated to suit particular purposes.

According to various examples, data models for neuro-feedback association **325** identify experimental protocols **327**, modalities included **329** such as EEG, EOG, GSR, surveys conducted, and experiment design parameters **333** such as segments and segment attributes. Other fields may include experiment presentation scripts, segment length, segment details like stimulus material used, inter-subject variations, intra-subject variations, instructions, presentation order, survey questions used, etc. Other data models may include a data collection data model **337**. According to various examples, the data collection data model **337** includes recording attributes **339** such as station and location identifiers, the data and time of recording, and operator details. In particular examples, equipment attributes **341** include an amplifier identifier and a sensor identifier.

Modalities recorded **343** may include modality specific attributes like EEG cap layout, active channels, sampling frequency, and filters used. EOG specific attributes include the number and type of sensors used, location of sensors applied, etc. Eye tracking specific attributes include the type of tracker used, data recording frequency, data being recorded, recording format, etc. According to various examples, data storage attributes **345** include file storage conventions (format, naming convention, dating convention), storage location, archival attributes, expiry attributes, etc.

A preset query data model **349** includes a query name **351** and/or identifier, an accessed data collection **353** such as data segments involved (models, databases/cubes, tables, etc.), access security attributes **355** included who has what type of access, and refresh attributes **357** such as the expiry of the query, refresh frequency, etc. Other fields such as push-pull preferences can also be included to identify an auto push reporting driver or a user driven report retrieval system.

FIG. 4 illustrates examples of queries that can be performed to obtain data associated with stimulus location selection and analysis of controlled and automatic attention. For example, users may query to determine what types of consumers respond most to a particular experience or component of an experience. According to various examples, queries are defined from general or customized scripting languages and constructs, visual mechanisms, a library of preset queries, diagnostic querying including drill-down diagnostics, and eliciting what if scenarios. According to various examples, subject attributes queries **415** may be configured to obtain data from a neuro-informatics repository using a location **417** or geographic information, session information **421** such as testing times and dates, and demographic attributes **419**. Demographics attributes include household income, household size and status, education level, age of kids, etc.

Other queries may retrieve stimulus material based on shopping preferences of subject participants, countenance, physiological assessment, completion status. For example, a user may query for data associated with product categories,

products shopped, shops frequented, subject eye correction status, color blindness, subject state, signal strength of measured responses, alpha frequency band ringers, muscle movement assessments, segments completed, etc. Experimental design based queries **425** may obtain data from a neuro-informatics repository based on experiment protocols **427**, product category **429**, surveys included **431**, and stimulus provided **433**. Other fields that may be used include the number of protocol repetitions used, combination of protocols used, and usage configuration of surveys.

Client and industry based queries may obtain data based on the types of industries included in testing, specific categories tested, client companies involved, and brands being tested. Response assessment based queries **437** may include attention scores **439**, emotion scores, **441**, retention scores **443**, and effectiveness scores **445**. Such queries may obtain materials that elicited particular scores.

Response measure profile based queries may use mean measure thresholds, variance measures, number of peaks detected, etc. Group response queries may include group statistics like mean, variance, kurtosis, p-value, etc., group size, and outlier assessment measures. Still other queries may involve testing attributes like test location, time period, test repetition count, test station, and test operator fields. A variety of types and combinations of types of queries can be used to efficiently extract data.

FIG. 5 illustrates examples of reports that can be generated. According to various examples, client assessment summary reports **501** include effectiveness measures **503**, component assessment measures **505**, and stimulus location effectiveness measures **507**. Effectiveness assessment measures include composite assessment measure(s), industry/category/client specific placement (percentile, ranking, etc.), actionable grouping assessment such as removing material, modifying segments, or fine tuning specific elements, etc., and the evolution of the effectiveness profile overtime. In particular examples, component assessment reports include component assessment measures like attention, emotional engagement scores, percentile placement, ranking, etc. Component profile measures include time based evolution of the component measures and profile statistical assessments. According to various examples, reports include the number of times material is assessed, attributes of the multiple presentations used, evolution of the response assessment measures over the multiple presentations, and usage recommendations.

According to various examples, client cumulative reports **511** include media grouped reporting **513** of all stimulus assessed, campaign grouped reporting **515** of stimulus assessed, and time/location grouped reporting **517** of stimulus assessed. According to various examples, industry cumulative and syndicated reports **521** include aggregate assessment responses measures **523**, top performer lists **525**, bottom performer lists **527**, outliers **529**, and trend reporting **531**. In particular examples, tracking and reporting includes specific products, categories, companies, brands.

FIG. 6 illustrates one example of stimulus location selection using analysis of controlled and automatic attention. At **601**, stimulus material is provided to multiple subjects in multiple geographic markets. According to various examples, stimulus is a video game. At **603**, subject responses are collected using a variety of modalities, such as EEG, ERP, EOG, GSR, etc. In some examples, verbal and written responses can also be collected and correlated with neurological and neurophysiological responses. In other examples, data is collected using a single modality. At **605**, data is passed through a data cleanser to remove noise and

artifacts that may make data more difficult to interpret. According to various examples, the data cleanser removes EEG electrical activity associated with blinking and other endogenous/exogenous artifacts.

According to various examples, data analysis is performed. Data analysis may include intra-modality response synthesis and cross-modality response synthesis to enhance effectiveness measures. It should be noted that in some particular instances, one type of synthesis may be performed without performing other types of synthesis. For example, cross-modality response synthesis may be performed with or without intra-modality synthesis.

A variety of mechanisms can be used to perform data analysis. In particular examples, a stimulus attributes repository is accessed to obtain attributes and characteristics of the stimulus materials, along with purposes, intents, objectives, etc. In particular examples, EEG response data is synthesized to provide an enhanced assessment of effectiveness. According to various examples, EEG measures electrical activity resulting from thousands of simultaneous neural processes associated with different portions of the brain. EEG data can be classified in various bands. According to various examples, brainwave frequencies include delta, theta, alpha, beta, and gamma frequency ranges. Delta waves are classified as those less than 4 Hz and are prominent during deep sleep. Theta waves have frequencies between 3.5 to 7.5 Hz and are associated with memories, attention, emotions, and sensations. Theta waves are typically prominent during states of internal focus.

Alpha frequencies reside between 7.5 and 13 Hz and typically peak around 10 Hz. Alpha waves are prominent during states of relaxation. Beta waves have a frequency range between 14 and 30 Hz. Beta waves are prominent during states of motor control, long range synchronization between brain areas, analytical problem solving, judgment, and decision making. Gamma waves occur between 30 and 60 Hz and are involved in binding of different populations of neurons together into a network for the purpose of carrying out a certain cognitive or motor function, as well as in attention and memory. Because the skull and dermal layers attenuate waves in this frequency range, brain waves above 75-80 Hz are difficult to detect and are often not used for stimuli response assessment.

However, the techniques and mechanisms of the present disclosure recognize that analyzing high gamma band (kappa-band: Above 60 Hz) measurements, in addition to theta, alpha, beta, and low gamma band measurements, enhances neurological attention, emotional engagement and retention component estimates. In particular examples, EEG measurements including difficult to detect high gamma or kappa band measurements are obtained, enhanced, and evaluated. Subject and task specific signature sub-bands in the theta, alpha, beta, gamma and kappa bands are identified to provide enhanced response estimates. According to various examples, high gamma waves (kappa-band) above 80 Hz (typically detectable with sub-cranial EEG and/or magnetoencephalography) can be used in inverse model-based enhancement of the frequency responses to the stimuli.

Various examples of the present disclosure recognize that particular sub-bands within each frequency range have particular prominence during certain activities. A subset of the frequencies in a particular band is referred to herein as a sub-band. For example, a sub-band may include the 40-45 Hz range within the gamma band. In particular examples, multiple sub-bands within the different bands are selected while remaining frequencies are band pass filtered. In par-

ticular examples, multiple sub-band responses may be enhanced, while the remaining frequency responses may be attenuated.

An information theory based band-weighting model is used for adaptive extraction of selective dataset specific, subject specific, task specific bands to enhance the effectiveness measure. Adaptive extraction may be performed using fuzzy scaling. Stimuli can be presented and enhanced measurements determined multiple times to determine the variation profiles across multiple presentations. Determining various profiles provides an enhanced assessment of the primary responses as well as the longevity (wear-out) of the marketing and entertainment stimuli. The synchronous response of multiple individuals to stimuli presented in concert is measured to determine an enhanced across subject synchrony measure of effectiveness. According to various examples, the synchronous response may be determined for multiple subjects residing in separate locations or for multiple subjects residing in the same location.

Although a variety of synthesis mechanisms are described, it should be recognized that any number of mechanisms can be applied—in sequence or in parallel with or without interaction between the mechanisms.

Although intra-modality synthesis mechanisms provide enhanced significance data, additional cross-modality synthesis mechanisms can also be applied. A variety of mechanisms such as EEG, Eye Tracking, GSR, EOG, and facial emotion encoding are connected to a cross-modality synthesis mechanism. Other mechanisms as well as variations and enhancements on existing mechanisms may also be included. According to various examples, data from a specific modality can be enhanced using data from one or more other modalities. In particular examples, EEG typically makes frequency measurements in different bands like alpha, beta and gamma to provide estimates of significance. However, the techniques of the present disclosure recognize that significance measures can be enhanced further using information from other modalities.

For example, facial emotion encoding measures can be used to enhance the valence of the EEG emotional engagement measure. EOG and eye tracking saccadic measures of object entities can be used to enhance the EEG estimates of significance including but not limited to attention, emotional engagement, and memory retention. According to various examples, a cross-modality synthesis mechanism performs time and phase shifting of data to allow data from different modalities to align. In some examples, it is recognized that an EEG response will often occur hundreds of milliseconds before a facial emotion measurement changes. Correlations can be drawn and time and phase shifts made on an individual as well as a group basis. In other examples, saccadic eye movements may be determined as occurring before and after particular EEG responses. According to various examples, time corrected GSR measures are used to scale and enhance the EEG estimates of significance including attention, emotional engagement and memory retention measures.

Evidence of the occurrence or non-occurrence of specific time domain difference event-related potential components (like the DERP) in specific regions correlates with subject responsiveness to specific stimulus. According to various examples, ERP measures are enhanced using EEG time-frequency measures (ERPSP) in response to the presentation of the marketing and entertainment stimuli. Specific portions are extracted and isolated to identify ERP, DERP and ERPSP analyses to perform. In particular examples, an EEG frequency estimation of attention, emotion and memory

retention (ERPSP) is used as a co-factor in enhancing the ERP, DERP and time-domain response analysis.

EOG measures saccades to determine the presence of attention to specific objects of stimulus. Eye tracking measures the subject's gaze path, location and dwell on specific objects of stimulus. According to various examples, EOG and eye tracking is enhanced by measuring the presence of lambda waves (a neurophysiological index of saccade effectiveness) in the ongoing EEG in the occipital and extra striate regions, triggered by the slope of saccade-onset to estimate the significance of the EOG and eye tracking measures. In particular examples, specific EEG signatures of activity such as slow potential shifts and measures of coherence in time-frequency responses at the Frontal Eye Field (FEF) regions that preceded saccade-onset are measured to enhance the effectiveness of the saccadic activity data.

GSR typically measures the change in general arousal in response to stimulus presented. According to various examples, GSR is enhanced by correlating EEG/ERP responses and the GSR measurement to get an enhanced estimate of subject engagement. The GSR latency baselines are used in constructing a time-corrected GSR response to the stimulus. The time-corrected GSR response is co-factored with the EEG measures to enhance GSR significance measures.

According to various examples, facial emotion encoding uses templates generated by measuring facial muscle positions and movements of individuals expressing various emotions prior to the testing session. These individual specific facial emotion encoding templates are matched with the individual responses to identify subject emotional response. In particular examples, these facial emotion encoding measurements are enhanced by evaluating inter-hemispherical asymmetries in EEG responses in specific frequency bands and measuring frequency band interactions. The techniques of the present disclosure recognize that not only are particular frequency bands significant in EEG responses, but particular frequency bands used for communication between particular areas of the brain are significant. Consequently, these EEG responses enhance the EMG, graphic and video based facial emotion identification.

According to various examples, post-stimulus versus pre-stimulus differential measurements of ERP time domain components in multiple regions of the brain (DERP) are measured at **607**. The differential measures give a mechanism for eliciting responses attributable to the stimulus. For example the messaging response attributable to an ad or the brand response attributable to multiple brands is determined using pre-experience and post-experience estimates

At **609**, target versus distracter stimulus differential responses are determined for different regions of the brain (DERP). At **613**, event related time-frequency analysis of the differential response (DERPSPs) are used to assess the attention, emotion and memory retention measures across multiple frequency bands. According to various examples, the multiple frequency bands include theta, alpha, beta, gamma and high gamma or kappa.

At **615**, locations having high controlled and/or automatic attention saliency are identified. According to various examples, candidate locations may include areas immediately following a sequence of salient controlled attention. Candidate locations may include locations where a user has high anticipation or is in a state of high awareness. Alternatively, locations where a user is sufficiently primed may be

selected for particular messages and placements. In other examples, neuro-response lulls in source material are identified.

Locations having little change in relation to neighboring locations may also be selected. In still other examples, locations are manually selected. At **617**, stimulus material is received. According to various examples, stimulus material may include presentations, messages, banners, videos, audio, etc. In particular examples, a controlled and automatic attention analysis system determines neurologically effective locations to place the message.

For example, the message may be placed where a user will be directing maximum attention. In one example, the message may be shown when a hero is about to enter a room for a final confrontation. At **623**, multiple trials are performed with stimulus material introduced in different spatial and temporal locations to assess the impact of introduction at each of the different spatial and temporal locations.

For example, introduction of new products at location A on a billboard in a video game scene may lead to more significant neuro-response activity for the billboard in general. Introduction of an image onto a video stream may lead to greater emotional engagement and memory retention. In other examples, increased neuro-response activity for introduced material may detract from neuro-response activity for other portions of source material. For examples, a salient image on one part of a billboard may lead to reduced dwell times for other portions of a billboard. According to various examples, aggregated neuro-response measurements are identified to determine optimal locations for introduction of stimulus material.

At **625**, processed data is provided to a data communication device for transmission over a network such as a wireless, wireline, satellite, or other type of communication network capable of transmitting data. Data is provided to response integration system at **627**. According to various examples, the data communication device transmits data using protocols such as the File Transfer Protocol (FTP), Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) along with a variety of conventional, bus, wired network, wireless network, satellite, and proprietary communication protocols. The data transmitted can include the data in its entirety, excerpts of data, converted data, and/or elicited response measures. According to various examples, data is sent using a telecommunications, wireless, Internet, satellite, or any other communication mechanisms that is capable of conveying information from multiple subject locations for data integration and analysis. The mechanism may be integrated in a set top box, computer system, receiver, mobile device, etc.

In particular examples, the data communication device sends data to the response integration system **627**. According to various examples, the response integration system **627** combines the analyzed responses to the experience/stimuli, with information on the available stimuli and its attributes. A variety of responses including user behavioral and survey responses are also collected and integrated. At **629**, one or more locations in the video game are selected for the introduction of personalized stimulus material.

According to various examples, the response integration system combines analyzed and enhanced responses to the stimulus material while using information about stimulus material attributes such as the location, movement, acceleration, and spatial relationships of various entities and objects. In particular examples, the response integration system also collects and integrates user behavioral and

survey responses with the analyzed and enhanced response data to more effectively assess stimulus location characteristics.

According to various examples, the stimulus location selection system provides data to a repository for the collection and storage of demographic, statistical and/or survey based responses to different entertainment, marketing, advertising and other audio/visual/tactile/olfactory material. If this information is stored externally, this system could include a mechanism for the push and/or pull integration of the data—including but not limited to querying, extracting, recording, modifying, and/or updating. This system integrates the requirements for the presented material, the assessed neuro-physiological and neuro-behavioral response measures, and the additional stimulus attributes such as demography/statistical/survey based responses into a synthesized measure for the selection of stimulus locations.

According to various examples, the repository stores information for temporal, spatial, activity, and event based components of stimulus material. For example, neuro-response data, statistical data, survey based response data, and demographic data may be aggregated and stored and associated with a particular component in a video stream.

FIG. 7 illustrates an example of a technique for controlled and automatic attention analysis. According to various examples, additional stimulus material is received at **701**. In particular examples, stimulus material may be video, audio, text, banners, messages, product offers, purchase offers, etc. At **703**, candidate locations for introduction of stimulus material are identified. Candidate locations may be predetermined and provided with the media material such as the movie or video game itself. In particular examples, candidate locations are selected using neuro-response data to determine effective candidate locations for insertion of stimulus material. According to particular examples, candidate locations are locations having high controlled and/or automatic attention metrics. In other examples, candidate locations are neurologically salient locations for the introduction of advertisements, messages, purchase icons, media, offers, etc. In some examples, both personalized and non-personalized stimulus material may be inserted.

According to various examples, candidate locations are selected based on candidate location characteristics **705**. For example, candidate location characteristics may indicate that some locations have particularly good memory and retention characteristics. In other examples, candidate location characteristics may indicate that a particular sport has good attention attributes. According to various examples, particular locations may indicate good priming for particular types of material, such as a category of ads or a type of message. According to various examples, particular events may also trigger stimulus material insertion. For example, if a player moves into first place into a racing game, a message or other stimulus material may be shown to the user. Stimulus material placement in video games may be spatial and temporal location driven or event driven. At **707**, stimulus material is inserted into the video game. At **709**, neuro-response data is evaluated with stimulus material inserted. In some examples, EEG data may be available. However, in other examples, little or no neuro-response data may be available. Only user activity or user facial expressions or user feedback may be available.

At **711**, characteristics associated with candidate locations are updated based on user feedback. The location and placement assessment system can further include an adap-

tive learning component that refines profiles and tracks variations responses to particular stimuli or series of stimuli over time.

According to various examples, various mechanisms such as the data collection mechanisms, the intra-modality synthesis mechanisms, cross-modality synthesis mechanisms, etc. are implemented on multiple devices. However, it is also possible that the various mechanisms be implemented in hardware, firmware, and/or software in a single system. FIG. **8** provides one example of a system that can be used to implement one or more mechanisms. For example, the system shown in FIG. **8** may be used to implement a stimulus location selection system.

According to particular examples, a system **800** suitable for implementing particular examples of the present disclosure includes a processor **801**, a memory **803**, an interface **811**, and a bus **815** (e.g., a PCI bus). When acting under the control of appropriate software or firmware, the processor **801** is responsible for such tasks such as pattern generation. Various specially configured devices can also be used in place of a processor **801** or in addition to processor **801**. The complete implementation can also be done in custom hardware. The interface **811** is typically configured to send and receive data packets or data segments over a network. Particular examples of interfaces the device supports include host bus adapter (HBA) interfaces, Ethernet interfaces, frame relay interfaces, cable interfaces, DSL interfaces, token ring interfaces, and the like.

In addition, various high-speed interfaces may be provided such as fast Ethernet interfaces, Gigabit Ethernet interfaces, ATM interfaces, HSSI interfaces, POS interfaces, FDDI interfaces and the like. Generally, these interfaces may include ports appropriate for communication with the appropriate media. In some cases, they may also include an independent processor and, in some instances, volatile RAM. The independent processors may control such communications intensive tasks as data synthesis.

According to particular examples, the system **800** uses memory **803** to store data, algorithms and program instructions. The program instructions may control the operation of an operating system and/or one or more applications, for example. The memory or memories may also be configured to store received data and process received data.

Because such information and program instructions may be employed to implement the systems/methods described herein, the present disclosure relates to tangible, machine readable media that include program instructions, state information, etc. for performing various operations described herein. Examples of machine-readable media include, but are not limited to, magnetic media such as hard disks, floppy disks, and magnetic tape; optical media such as CD-ROM disks and DVDs; magneto-optical media such as optical disks; and hardware devices that are specially configured to store and perform program instructions, such as read-only memory devices (ROM) and random access memory (RAM). Examples of program instructions include both machine code, such as produced by a compiler, and files containing higher level code that may be executed by the computer using an interpreter.

Although the foregoing disclosure has been described in some detail for purposes of clarity of understanding, it will be apparent that certain changes and modifications may be practiced within the scope of the appended claims. Therefore, the present embodiments are to be considered as illustrative and not restrictive and the disclosure is not to be limited to the details given herein, but may be modified within the scope and equivalents of the appended claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A system comprising:
an analyzer to:

identify a first event in first neuro-response data gathered from a user while the user is exposed to media and a second event in the first neuro-response data; correlate a first location in the media with the first event and a second location in the media with the second event;

determine a first user resonance of the user at the first location based on the first event; and

determine a second user resonance of the user at the second location based on the second event; and

a selector to select a third location in the media after the first location or a fourth location in the media after the second location as a candidate location for introduction of advertising material based on the first user resonance and the second user resonance, the selector to select the candidate location by selecting the third location when the first user resonance is increased relative to the second user resonance and by selecting the fourth location when the second user resonance is increased relative to the first user resonance.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the third location and the fourth location are one of a temporal location in the media or a spatial location in the media.

3. The system of claim 1, wherein the first event is a change in amplitude in the first neuro-response data.

4. The system of claim 1, wherein the analyzer is to determine a first controlled attention level of the user at the first location based on the first neuro-response data and determine a second controlled attention level of the user at the second location based on the first neuro-response data, and wherein the selector is to further select the third location or the fourth location as the candidate location based on the first controlled attention level and the second controlled attention level.

5. The system of claim 1, wherein the analyzer is to: determine an automatic attention level of the user based on second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to the advertising material in the candidate location; and

determine an effectiveness of the advertising material in the candidate location based on the automatic attention level.

6. The system of claim 1, wherein the analyzer is to: determine a first controlled attention level of the user based on second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to the advertising material in the candidate location;

determine a second controlled attention level of the user based on third neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to media at a fifth location in the media; and

perform a comparison of the first controlled attention level and the second controlled attention level, the selector to one of maintain the selection of the candidate location or modify the selection of the candidate location based on the comparison.

7. The system of claim 1, wherein the analyzer is to: analyze second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to media in the third location and third neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed media in the fourth location; and

perform a comparison of (1) the first neuro-response data and the second neuro-response data and (2) the first

neuro-response data and the third neuro-response data, the selector to further select the one of the third location or the fourth location as the candidate location based on the comparison.

8. A method comprising:

identifying, by executing an instruction with a processor, a first event in first neuro-response data gathered from a user while the user is exposed to media and a second event in the first neuro-response data;

correlating, by executing an instruction with the processor, a first location in the media with the first event and a second location in the media with the second event;

determining, by executing an instruction with the processor, a first user resonance of the user at the first location based on the first event;

determining, by executing an instruction with the processor, a second user resonance of the user at the second location based on the second event; and

selecting, by executing an instruction with the processor, a third location in the media after the first location or a fourth location in the media after the second location as a candidate location for introduction of advertising material based on the first user resonance and the second user resonance, the third location to be selected as the candidate location when the first user resonance is increased relative to the second user resonance and the fourth location to be selected as the candidate location when the second user resonance is increased relative to the first user resonance.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the third location and the fourth location are one of a temporal location in the media or a spatial location in the media.

10. The method of claim 8, wherein the first event is a change in amplitude in the first neuro-response data.

11. The method of claim 8, further including: determining a first controlled attention level of the user at the first location based on the first neuro-response data; and

determining a second controlled attention level of the user at the second location based on the first neuro-response data, wherein the selecting of the third location or the fourth location as the candidate location is further based on the first controlled attention level and the second controlled attention level.

12. The method of claim 8, further including: determining an automatic attention level of the user based on second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to the advertising material in the candidate location; and

determining an effectiveness of the advertising material in the candidate location based on the automatic attention level.

13. The method of claim 8, further including: determining a first controlled attention level of the user based on second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to the advertising material in the candidate location;

determining a second controlled attention level of the user based on third neuro-response data gathered from a user while the user is exposed to media at a fifth location in the media;

performing a comparison of the first controlled attention level and the second controlled attention level; and maintaining the selection of the candidate location or modifying the selection of the candidate location based on the comparison.

19

14. The method of claim 8, further including:
 analyzing second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to media in the third location and third neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed media in the fourth location;
 performing a comparison of (1) the first neuro-response data and the second neuro-response data and (2) the first neuro-response data and the third neuro-response data; and
 selecting the one of the third location or the fourth location as the candidate location based on the comparison.

15. A tangible machine readable storage device or storage disc comprising instructions which, when executed by a machine, cause the machine to at least:

identify a first event in first neuro-response data gathered from a user while the user is exposed to media and a second event in the first neuro-response data;

correlate a first location in the media with the first event and a second location in the media with the second event;

determine a first user resonance of the user at the first location based on the first event;

determine a second user resonance of the user at the second location based on the second event; and

select a third location in the media after the first location or a fourth location in the media after the second location as a candidate location for introduction of advertising material based on the first user resonance and the second user resonance, the third location to be selected as the candidate location when the first user resonance is increased relative to the second user resonance and the fourth location to be selected as the candidate location when the second user resonance is increased relative to the first user resonance.

16. The storage device or storage disc of claim 15, wherein the third location and the fourth location are one of a temporal location in the media or a spatial location in the media.

20

17. The storage device or storage disc of claim 15, wherein the first event is a change in amplitude in the first neuro-response data.

18. The storage device or storage disc of claim 15, wherein the instructions cause the machine to:

determine a first controlled attention level of the user at the first location based on the first neuro-response data; and

determine a second controlled attention level of the user at the second location based on the first neuro-response data, the machine to further select the third location or the fourth location as the candidate location based on the first controlled attention level and the second controlled attention level.

19. The storage device or storage disc of claim 15, wherein the instructions cause the machine to:

determine an automatic attention level of the user based on second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to the advertising material in the candidate location; and

determine an effectiveness of the advertising material in the candidate location based on the automatic attention level.

20. The storage device or storage disc of claim 15, wherein the instructions cause the machine to:

determine a first controlled attention level of the user based on second neuro-response data gathered from the user while the user is exposed to the advertising material in the candidate location;

determine a second controlled attention level of the user based on third neuro-response data gathered from a user while the user is exposed to media at a fifth location in the media;

perform a comparison of the first controlled attention level and the second controlled attention level; and maintain the selection of the candidate location or modify the selection of the candidate location based on the comparison.

* * * * *