

US010067650B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Senesac et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 10,067,650 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Sep. 4, 2018**

(54) **AIRCRAFT COMPARISON SYSTEM WITH SYNCHRONIZED DISPLAYS**

(71) Applicant: **The Boeing Company**, Chicago, IL (US)

(72) Inventors: **Christopher J. Senesac**, Daniel Island, SC (US); **Shane T. Peterson**, Covington, WA (US)

(73) Assignee: **The Boeing Company**, Chicago, IL (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 543 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/922,411**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 20, 2013**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0380215 A1 Dec. 25, 2014

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 3/048 (2013.01)
G06F 3/0484 (2013.01)
B64F 5/10 (2017.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06F 3/0484** (2013.01); **B64F 5/10** (2017.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
None
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

3,744,917 A 7/1973 Craig
5,023,805 A 6/1991 Aune

5,367,552 A 11/1994 Peschmann
5,544,558 A 8/1996 Hughes
5,771,043 A 6/1998 Nigawara et al.
5,822,218 A 10/1998 Moosa et al.
5,960,104 A 9/1999 Conners
6,000,610 A 12/1999 Talbott et al.
6,167,394 A 12/2000 Leung et al.
6,240,328 B1 5/2001 LaLonde et al.
6,378,387 B1 4/2002 Froom
6,418,189 B1 7/2002 Schafer

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 1609852 A 4/2005
CN 1983268 A 6/2006

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Apr. 25, 2014, regarding Application No. PCT/US2014/010912, 10 pages.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Hua Lu

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Yee & Associates, P.C.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A method and apparatus for comparing aircraft parts. A first model is identified for a first aircraft with first customer options. A second model is identified for a second aircraft with second customer options. First parts for the first aircraft in the first model are compared with second parts for the second aircraft in the second model. Graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location are displayed simultaneously on a display system. The second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft. Differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed.

20 Claims, 24 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,477,471 B1 11/2002 Hedstrom et al.
 6,481,096 B2 11/2002 Lehmker
 6,487,479 B1* 11/2002 Nelson G06Q 10/087
 701/29.1
 6,597,761 B1 7/2003 Garms, III
 6,604,681 B1 8/2003 Burke
 6,637,266 B1 10/2003 Froom
 6,912,507 B1 6/2005 Phillips
 6,941,204 B2 9/2005 Halm
 7,042,346 B2 5/2006 Paulsen
 7,103,434 B2 9/2006 Chernyak
 7,302,443 B2 11/2007 Nakajima et al.
 7,333,991 B2 2/2008 Hill et al.
 7,343,213 B1 3/2008 Burgess
 7,353,192 B1* 4/2008 Ellis G06Q 20/3829
 705/26.5
 7,365,747 B2 4/2008 Finlayson et al.
 7,366,688 B2 4/2008 Kwasniewski et al.
 7,646,384 B2 1/2010 Anderson et al.
 7,933,441 B2 4/2011 Numata
 7,954,070 B2 5/2011 Plocher
 8,027,745 B1* 9/2011 Freeze G06Q 10/06
 700/106
 8,051,547 B2 11/2011 Toh
 8,079,130 B2 12/2011 Hardouin-Finez
 8,116,529 B2 2/2012 Edwards
 8,352,904 B2 1/2013 Hodges
 8,527,348 B2 9/2013 Petrov
 8,571,951 B2 10/2013 Diana et al.
 8,620,627 B2 12/2013 Nakhle et al.
 8,791,823 B2 7/2014 Xu
 8,849,636 B2 9/2014 Becker
 8,860,760 B2 10/2014 Chen
 8,914,149 B2 12/2014 Safa-Bakhsh et al.
 9,488,592 B1 11/2016 Maresca et al.
 2002/0007225 A1* 1/2002 Costello B61K 13/00
 700/99
 2002/0071524 A1 7/2002 Renkart
 2002/0168083 A1 11/2002 Garms
 2002/0198764 A1 12/2002 Schorno
 2003/0055812 A1 3/2003 Williams et al.
 2003/0083794 A1 5/2003 Halm
 2003/0120472 A1 6/2003 Lind
 2003/0158702 A1 8/2003 Busche et al.
 2004/0093100 A1 5/2004 Gleis
 2004/0098151 A1* 5/2004 Carlucci G06F 17/50
 700/95
 2004/0162651 A1 8/2004 Halm
 2005/0044011 A1 2/2005 Deal
 2005/0223032 A1 10/2005 Shan et al.
 2005/0228708 A1 10/2005 Catala et al.
 2005/0278062 A1 12/2005 Janert et al.
 2006/0106682 A1* 5/2006 Van Dyck G06Q 30/02
 705/26.5
 2006/0119601 A1* 6/2006 Finlayson G06T 15/20
 345/427
 2007/0219645 A1 9/2007 Thomas et al.
 2008/0140270 A1 6/2008 Davis et al.
 2008/0187897 A1 8/2008 Franzen et al.
 2008/0205763 A1 8/2008 Marsh et al.
 2008/0209342 A1 8/2008 Taylor
 2008/0234850 A1 9/2008 Bowling et al.
 2008/0294395 A1* 11/2008 Lu G05B 19/41885
 703/2
 2008/0301012 A1 12/2008 Cogswell et al.
 2009/0013281 A1 1/2009 Helman et al.
 2009/0138230 A1* 5/2009 Davies G06F 3/04815
 702/150
 2009/0144962 A1 7/2009 Hardouin-Finez
 2009/0192644 A1 7/2009 Meyer et al.
 2009/0228133 A1 9/2009 Loda
 2009/0312897 A1* 12/2009 Jamrosz G06Q 10/06
 701/32.1

2010/0010794 A1* 1/2010 Sweers G06F 17/5009
 703/8
 2010/0114641 A1 5/2010 Coffman et al.
 2010/0125468 A1* 5/2010 Avery G06Q 10/06
 701/29.3
 2010/0161095 A1* 6/2010 Lindgren G06F 17/5095
 700/98
 2010/0175013 A1* 7/2010 Krauter G06Q 10/10
 715/771
 2010/0299304 A1* 11/2010 Vasudevan G06F 17/30061
 707/602
 2011/0022208 A1* 1/2011 Bouffiou G05B 19/41805
 700/98
 2011/0041088 A1 2/2011 Mason et al.
 2011/0046763 A1* 2/2011 Tsuchiya G06F 17/5095
 700/98
 2011/0087466 A1 4/2011 Vossman
 2011/0087513 A1* 4/2011 Floyd G06Q 10/06
 705/7.23
 2011/0137443 A1 6/2011 Farahani
 2011/0169924 A1 7/2011 Haisty et al.
 2011/0172795 A1* 7/2011 Hansen G06F 17/5095
 700/97
 2011/0251711 A1 10/2011 Goel
 2011/0288840 A1 11/2011 Kropinski et al.
 2011/0311097 A1 12/2011 Kitagawa et al.
 2012/0007852 A1 1/2012 Morate et al.
 2012/0030926 A1 2/2012 Toh et al.
 2012/0071998 A1 3/2012 Davies et al.
 2012/0075343 A1 3/2012 Chen et al.
 2012/0100520 A1 4/2012 Jo et al.
 2012/0130521 A1* 5/2012 Kohlhoff G06T 19/00
 700/98
 2012/0154265 A1 6/2012 Kim et al.
 2012/0249588 A1* 10/2012 Tison G06F 1/1696
 345/633
 2012/0303336 A1* 11/2012 Becker B64F 5/00
 703/1
 2012/0304059 A1 11/2012 McCloskey
 2012/0306666 A1 12/2012 Xu et al.
 2013/0006409 A1* 1/2013 Evans G06F 17/50
 700/107
 2013/0117742 A1 5/2013 Newell
 2013/0124150 A1 5/2013 Kim et al.
 2013/0132373 A1 5/2013 Huang et al.
 2013/0261876 A1 10/2013 Froom
 2014/0013263 A1 1/2014 Bailiang
 2014/0089030 A1 3/2014 Bell
 2015/0062123 A1* 3/2015 Yuen G06T 19/006
 345/420
 2015/0134274 A1 5/2015 Froom

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

CN 101329624 A 12/2008
 CN 104102969 A 10/2014
 EP 1321869A2 A2 6/2003
 EP 2431915 A2 3/2012
 EP 2458562A1 A1 5/2012
 EP 2790136 A1 10/2014
 JP H10254941 A 9/1998
 JP H10269292 A 10/1998
 JP 2004206352 A 7/2004
 JP 2007095039 A 4/2007
 JP 2008288852 A 11/2008
 JP 201153999 A1 9/2011
 JP 2012039544 A 2/2012
 JP 2012104124 A 5/2012
 JP 2012526979 A 11/2012
 JP 2013505637 A 2/2013
 WO WO0049544 A2 8/2000
 WO WO2008143148 A1 11/2008
 WO WO2012166545 A2 12/2012
 WO WO2013061156 A2 5/2013
 WO WO2013078156 A1 5/2013
 WO WO2013078265 A1 5/2013

(56)

References Cited

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Extended European Search Report, dated Jun. 2, 2014, regarding Application No. 14160787.9, 6 pages.

"Notice from the European Patent Office dated Oct. 1, 2007 concerning business methods," Official Journal EPO, Nov. 2007, pp. 592-593.

Senesac, "Serial Number Control Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 14/467,706, filed Aug. 25, 2014, 113.

Extended European Search Report, dated Jul. 17, 2014, regarding Application No. 14162481.7, 5 pages.

Extended European Search Report, dated Jul. 23, 2014, regarding Application No. 14157597.7, 7 pages.

Extended European Search Report, dated Aug. 22, 2014, regarding Application No. EP14159832.6, 9 pages.

Non-Patent Literature including images from the website www.aso.com, as published on Jan. 16, 2013 based on captures in the Internet Archive tool referred to as the WayBackMachine, [http://web.archive.org/web/20130116040904/ http://www.aso.com/](http://web.archive.org/web/20130116040904/http://www.aso.com/) ("NPL1"), as cited by the Examiner in Final Office Action dated Mar. 27, 2015, 3 pages.

"Marianna Airmotive Uses a FARO Laser Tracker to Reduce Repair Turnaround Time dramatically," Mar. 7, 2015, 2 pages. <http://www.mariannaairmotive.com>.

"What's New in SolidWorks," SolidWorks, Version 2010, 199 pages. http://files.solidworks.com/Supportfiles/Whats_new/2010/English/whatsnew.pdf.

Final Office Action, dated Mar. 27, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/780,109, 18 pages.

Office Action, dated Feb. 26, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/858,364, 32 pages.

Notice of Allowance, dated Apr. 13, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/858,364, 5 pages.

Office Action, dated Feb. 27, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/834,893, 41 pages.

Office Action, dated Mar. 4, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/855,102, 28 pages.

Office Action, dated Apr. 12, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/798,964, 39 pages.

Office Action, dated May 5, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/861,678, 48 pages.

Office Action, dated May 6, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/852,063, 39 pages.

Australian Government Patent Examination Report No. 1, dated Mar. 18, 2015, regarding Application No. 2014200514, 4 pages.

Canadian Intellectual Property Office Examination Search Report, dated Mar. 24, 2015, regarding Application No. 2,840,798, 6 pages.

Australian Government Patent Examination Report No. 1, dated Mar. 27, 2015, regarding Application No. 2014200292, 3 pages.

Canadian Intellectual Property Office Examination Search Report, dated Apr. 15, 2015, regarding Application No. 2,839,913, 4 pages.

Extended European Search Report, dated Sep. 30, 2014, regarding Application No. EP14159760.9, 6 pages.

International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Nov. 19, 2014, regarding Application No. PCT/US2014/011196, 10 pages.

International Search Report and Written Opinion, dated Dec. 1, 2014, regarding Application No. PCT/US2014/031030, 9 pages.

Extended European Search Report, dated Dec. 3, 2014, regarding Application No. 14170988.1, 7 pages.

Office Action, dated Dec. 17, 2014, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/780,109, 37 pages.

Office Action, dated Sep. 17, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/780,109, 47 pages.

Office Action, dated Jul. 24, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/785,616, 55 pages.

Office Action, dated Sep. 21, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/835,262, 41 pages.

Final Office Action, dated Sep. 4, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/861,678, 27 pages.

Office Action, dated Aug. 14, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/890,347, 44 pages.

Final Office Action, dated Oct. 22, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/852,063, 30 pages.

Office Action, dated Sep. 29, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/860,126, 34 pages.

Notice of Allowance, dated Jun. 22, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/834,893, 24 pages.

Final Office Action, dated Jun. 26, 2015, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/855,102, 18 pages.

Australian Government Patent Examination Report No. 2, dated Jul. 8, 2015, regarding Application No. 2014200514, 3 pages.

Australian Government Patent Examination Report No. 3, dated Aug. 13, 2015, regarding Application No. 2014200514, 4 pages.

Australian Government Patent Examination Report No. 2, dated Jul. 30, 2015, regarding Application No. 2014200292, 5 pages.

International Preliminary Report on Patentability, dated Sep. 1, 2015, regarding Application No. PCT/US2014/010912, 6 pages.

European Patent Office Examination Report, dated Oct. 14, 2016 regarding Application No. 14160787.9, 6 pages.

Australian Government Patent Examination Report No. 2, dated Oct. 29, 2015, regarding Application No. 2014200304, 4 pages.

Canadian Intellectual Property Office Examination Search Report, dated Oct. 28, 2015, regarding Application No. 2,839,914, 5 pages.

Australian Government Patent Examination Report No. 1, dated May 13, 2015, regarding Application No. 2014200304, 4 pages.

Canadian Intellectual Property Office Examination Search Report, dated Feb. 17, 2015, regarding Application No. 2,839,914, 6 pages.

European Patent Office Communication, dated Sep. 15, 2015, regarding Application No. 14157597.7, 7 pages.

Notices of Reasons for Rejection and English Translation, dated Sep. 8, 2015, regarding Japanese Patent Application No. 2014-060864, 5 pages.

Kokogawa et al., "Wide-Area Contents Distribution based on Cooperation among Digital Libraries," Information Processing Academic Society Research Report, Mar. 10, 2000, vol. 2000, No. 26, pp. 83-88.

Gass et al., "Locator System for Three-Dimensional Visualization," U.S. Appl. No. 13/855,102, filed Apr. 2, 2013, 87 pages.

Senesac et al., "Condition of Assembly Visualization System Based on Build Cycles," U.S. Appl. No. 13/835,262, filed Mar. 15, 2013, 79 pages.

Senesac et al., "Shop Order Status Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/785,616, filed Mar. 5, 2013, 98 pages.

Senesac, "Condition of Assembly Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/834,893, filed Mar. 15, 2013, 73 pages.

Senesac, "Nonconformance Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/798,964, filed Mar. 13, 2013, 84 pages.

Senesac, "Object Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/780,109, filed Feb. 28, 2013, 61 pages.

Senesac, "Shop Order Status Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/858,364, filed Apr. 8, 2013, 108 pages.

Senesac et al., "Aircraft Comparison System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/860,126, filed Apr. 10, 2013, 103 pages.

Senesac et al., "Nonconformance Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/861,678, filed Apr. 12, 2013, 116 pages.

Senesac, "Shop Order Status Visualization System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/890,347, filed May 9, 2013, 96 pages.

Prazak et al., "Visualization of an Object Using a Visual Query System," U.S. Appl. No. 13/852,063, filed Mar. 28, 2013, 50 pages.

State Intellectual Property Office of PRC Notification of First Office Action, dated Nov. 30, 2016, regarding Application No. 201480025761.0, 11 pages.

Office Action, dated Mar. 23, 2017, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/785,616, 35 pages.

Notice of Allowance, dated May 1, 2017, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/890,347, 25 pages.

Final Office Action, dated Apr. 20, 2017, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/861,678, 23 pages.

Office Action, dated Apr. 18, 2017, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 15/056,536, 76 pages.

European Patent Office Examination Report, dated Jun. 28, 2017, regarding Application No. 14702979.7, 7 pages.

(56)

References Cited

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Japanese Notice of Reasons for Rejection and English translation, dated Jan. 9, 2018, regarding Application No. 2015560178, 8 pages.
State Intellectual Property Office of China, Notification of First Office action, dated Nov. 3, 2017, regarding Application No. 2014102817178, 2 pages.
Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 7, 2017, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/785,616, 28 pages.
Notice of Allowance, dated Sep. 13, 2017, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 13/890,347, 22 pages.
Final Office Action, dated Oct. 26, 2017, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 15/056,536, 30 pages.
Office Action, dated Mar. 13, 2018, regarding U.S. Appl. No. 15/003,802, 111 pages.
Japanese Notice of Reasons for Rejection, dated Apr. 16, 2018, regarding Application No. 2016541961, 8 pages.
European Office Action, dated May 31, 2018, regarding Application No. 14160787.9, 10 pages.
Japanese Notice of Reasons for Rejection and English translation, dated Apr. 10, 2018, regarding Application No. 2014081733, 6 pages.

* cited by examiner





FIG. 1






FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7






FIG. 8



1002


FIG. 10

1000



1100

FIG. 11



1205 FIG. 12




FIG. 13




FIG. 14




FIG. 15






FIG. 16



1606

FIG. 17






FIG. 18




FIG. 19




FIG. 20




FIG. 21




FIG. 22




FIG. 23




FIG. 24




FIG. 25




FIG. 26






FIG. 27



FROM FIG. 28A

FIG. 28B






FIG. 28C




FIG. 29








FIG. 30

3100

FIG. 31








FIG. 34

AIRCRAFT COMPARISON SYSTEM WITH SYNCHRONIZED DISPLAYS

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is related to the following patent applications: entitled "Methods and Devices for Visually Querying an Aircraft Based on an Area of an Image," Ser. No. 13/780,109, filed Feb. 28, 2013, "Condition of Assembly Visualization System," Ser. No. 13/834,893, filed Mar. 15, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,182,892, "Shop Order Status Visualization System," Ser. No. 13/785,616, filed Mar. 5, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,870,444, "Nonconformance Visualization System," Ser. No. 13/798,964, filed Mar. 13, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,612,725, "Condition of Assembly Visualization System Based on Build Cycles," Ser. No. 13/835,262, filed Mar. 15, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,492,900, "Shop Order Status Visualization System," Ser. No. 13/858,364, filed Apr. 8, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,110,560, "Locator System for Three-Dimensional Visualization," Ser. No. 13/855,102, filed Apr. 2, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,292,180, "Nonconformance Visualization System," Ser. No. 13/861,678, filed Apr. 12, 2013, "Aircraft Comparison System," Ser. No. 13/860,126, filed Apr. 10, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,340,304, and "Shop Order Status Visualization System," Ser. No. 13/890,347, filed May 9, 2013, now U.S. Pat. No. 9,880,694, each assigned to the same assignee, and each incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

1. Field

The present disclosure relates generally to manufacturing and, in particular, to manufacturing vehicles. Still more particularly, the present disclosure relates to a method and apparatus for assembling vehicles in a manufacturing environment.

2. Background

The assembly of an aircraft is an extremely complex process. Hundreds of thousands of parts may be assembled for an aircraft.

The assembly of an aircraft may involve manufacturing different parts of the aircraft in geographically diverse locations. These different parts may then be finally assembled in a single location. For example, different portions of a fuselage of the aircraft may be assembled in different locations and flown to a central location where the final assembly line is located. Additionally, other parts such as engines, auxiliary power units, seats, computer systems, line replaceable units, or other components in aircraft may be shipped to this final location for assembly to form the assembled aircraft.

The assembly of the different parts involves assigning tasks to different operators. The assignment of these tasks may take the form of shop order instances. Each shop order instance may include instructions and an identification of parts for a particular assembly in the aircraft.

Currently, operators on the shop floor where the assembly of the aircraft occurs may perform various tasks to assemble the aircraft. Operators typically assemble many aircraft of the same type, such as a Boeing 777, a Boeing 727, or some other type of aircraft. Oftentimes, several aircraft may have the same options. For example, two aircraft of the same type may have substantially the same parts with a few exceptions. These exceptions may be customer options.

For example, the customer may select the type of engine used in the aircraft from several available options. As another example, a customer may select the number of lavatories, galleys, and seats that may be present in an aircraft. Additionally, the customer also may select the locations of lavatories, galleys, seats, and other monuments in the cabin of the aircraft. Materials, colors, and other features for these options also may be selected by the customer.

When assembling an aircraft, the operators may assemble several aircraft in a row having the same options. As a result, operators on the shop floor may develop a cadence from performing work instructions for tasks to assemble the aircraft. This cadence, however, may be interrupted by a change in options.

For example, operators on the shop floor may assemble four aircraft in a row having all of the same options. A fifth aircraft may then be assembled for another customer. This fifth aircraft may have options that the operators have not assembled before.

This change in options may result in the operators spending more time reviewing instructions for tasks, blueprints, computer-aided design models, and other information. This review time is used to become familiar with the change in options, especially when new options not previously seen are present. Further, when new options are present, additional training may be needed to assemble parts for the new options.

As a result, more time may be needed to become familiar with new options for an aircraft. This time used may increase the assembly time more than desired. This additional time or training may increase the time or expense needed to assemble an aircraft.

Therefore, it would be desirable to have a method and apparatus that takes into account at least some of the issues discussed above, as well as other possible issues.

SUMMARY

In one illustrative embodiment, a method for comparing aircraft parts is present. A first model is identified for a first aircraft with first customer options. A second model is identified for a second aircraft with second customer options. First parts for the first aircraft in the first model are compared with second parts for the second aircraft in the second model. Graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location are displayed simultaneously on a display system. The second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft. Differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed.

In another illustrative embodiment, an apparatus comprises an object manager. The object manager is configured to identify a first model for a first aircraft with first customer options. The object manager is further configured to identify a second model for a second aircraft with second customer options. The object manager is still further configured to compare first parts for the first aircraft in the first model with second parts for the second aircraft in the second model. The object manager is further configured to display graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location simultaneously on a display system. The second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft. Differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed.

In yet another illustrative embodiment, an aircraft manufacturing system comprises a control system and an object manager in the control system. The control system is configured to control operation of the manufacturing equipment. The object manager is configured to identify a first model for a first aircraft with first customer options. The object manager is further configured to identify a second model for a second aircraft with second customer options. The object manager is still further configured to compare first parts for the first aircraft in the first model with second parts for the second aircraft in the second model. The object manager is further configured to display graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location simultaneously on a display system. The second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft. Differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed

The features and functions can be achieved independently in various embodiments of the present disclosure or may be combined in yet other embodiments in which further details can be seen with reference to the following description and drawings.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The novel features believed characteristic of the illustrative embodiments are set forth in the appended claims. The illustrative embodiments, however, as well as a preferred mode of use, further objectives and features thereof, will best be understood by reference to the following detailed description of an illustrative embodiment of the present disclosure when read in conjunction with the accompanying drawings, wherein:

FIG. 1 is an illustration of a block diagram of a manufacturing environment in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 2 is an illustration of a block diagram of an object manager in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 3 is an illustration of a block diagram of a synchronized display of two aircraft in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 4 is an illustration of a block diagram of a sectional view in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 5 is an illustration of a block diagram of a volume identifier in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 6 is an illustration of a block diagram of a shop order instance in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 7 is an illustration of a block diagram of a state for a section of an aircraft in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 8 is an illustration of a block diagram of a unique parts list in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 9 is an illustration of a graphical user interface for identifying a model of an aircraft for viewing in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 10 is an illustration of a graphical user interface of aircraft positions in a building in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 11 is an illustration of a graphical user interface of aircraft sections in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 12 is an illustration of a graphical user interface of aircraft sections in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 13 is an illustration of a graphical user interface for selecting a type of aircraft in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 14 is an illustration of a graphical user interface for selecting a model of an aircraft in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 15 is an illustration of a graphical user interface for confirming a selection of models for comparison in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 16 is an illustration of models of two aircraft displayed in a graphical user interface in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 17 is an illustration of differences between models in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 18 is an illustration of a graphical user interface with a comparison of parts in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 19 is another illustration of a graphical user interface with a comparison of parts in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 20 is yet another illustration of a graphical user interface with a comparison of parts in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 21 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for comparing aircraft parts in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 22 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying locations in a first aircraft and a second aircraft in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 23 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying first parts and second parts for comparison in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 24 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying volumes in models in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 25 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process to identify a state of an object in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 26 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying a current state of an object in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 27 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying parts present in aircraft for the current state of assembly of the aircraft in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIGS. 28A, 28B, and 28C are illustrations of a more detailed flowchart of a process for identifying differences between parts in multiple aircraft in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 29 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for displaying a section in a graphical user interface in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 30 is an illustration of a flowchart of a process for managing views indicating differences between parts in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 31 is an illustration of a block diagram of a data processing system in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 32 is an illustration of a block diagram of an aircraft manufacturing and service method in accordance with an illustrative embodiment;

FIG. 33 is an illustration of a block diagram of an aircraft in which an illustrative embodiment may be implemented; and

FIG. 34 is an illustration of a block diagram of an aircraft management system in accordance with an illustrative embodiment.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

The illustrative embodiments recognize and take into account one or more different considerations. For example, the illustrative embodiments recognize and take into account that in performing tasks to assemble an aircraft, having knowledge of changes between a current aircraft being assembled and a prior aircraft previously assembled may aid operators on the shop floor to become more familiar with assembling the current aircraft.

The illustrative embodiments recognize and take into account that one manner in which this knowledge may be provided is through a change list. The change list may list differences between a prior aircraft build and a current aircraft build. Further, the illustrative embodiments also recognize and take into account that providing a visualization of changes between two aircraft builds may be especially useful to operators on the shop floor that assemble aircraft. In other words, differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the prior aircraft build and the current aircraft build are visually displayed.

This visual comparison provides operators, such as mechanics on the shop floor, a side-by-side comparison so the operators can visually identify the differences between the new build and the existing build they are working on. This visual look ahead gives the operators a chance to investigate changes to the new aircraft build process and helps reduce and eliminate mistakes that may occur when unfamiliarity of the new aircraft is present. As a result, less rework occurs and the assembly of the new aircraft may occur more quickly and with less expense.

This comparison technique is used on the shop floor to compare a new customer configuration on the next build to the current build on the shop floor; this comparison technique may also be used by a customer to compare an existing tail number to a new tail number; this technique may also be used by a customer comparing two of their existing aircraft. The comparison technique can be used to compare any two three-dimensional models. The models may be aircraft, spacecraft, heavy machinery, or other types of models.

Thus, the illustrative embodiments provide a method and apparatus for comparing aircraft parts. In particular, comparison may be made for different aircraft builds. A first model for a first aircraft with first customer options is identified. A second model for a second aircraft with second customer options is identified. First parts for the first aircraft with the first customer options in the first model are compared with second parts for the second aircraft with the second customer options in the second model. Graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location are displayed. The second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft. In the illustrative examples, this display illustrates a difference between the first parts and the second parts from a comparison of the first parts and the second parts.

The different examples illustrated in the figures allow an operator to visually compare two aircraft in their entirety or in portions. The comparison of the two aircraft is made simultaneously. In particular, the illustrative examples allow an operator to view changes in a first aircraft compared to another aircraft. These changes may be provided through a side-by-side comparison of the aircraft. Further, the illustrative examples may allow an operator to move or fly through two aircraft at the same time. In other words, a change in a location in one aircraft results in a corresponding

change in the other aircraft such that the views are for corresponding locations in the aircraft.

With reference now to the figures, and in particular, with reference to FIG. 1, an illustration of a block diagram of a manufacturing environment is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. Manufacturing environment 100 is an example of an environment in which object 102 may be assembled.

In this illustrative example, object 102 takes the form of aircraft 104. Object 102 is completed by assembling parts 106. A part is a group of components. As used herein, a "group of," when used with reference items, means one or more items. For example, a group of components is one or more components.

A part may be a single component or assembly of components in these depicted examples. For example, the part may be a seat, a row of seats, an in-flight entertainment system, a duct, a system of ducts, a global positioning system receiver, an engine, an engine housing, an inlet, or other suitable types of parts.

In this illustrative example, assembling parts 106 may take place in assembly location 107 in building 108 of buildings 110 at manufacturing facility 112. The assembly of parts 106 in building 108 may occur in positions 114 in assembly location 107 for object 102. Each position in positions 114 is a location in building 108 in which a group of tasks 118 is performed to assemble object 102.

In these illustrative examples, a task is a piece of work. A task may be comprised of one or more operations that are performed by a group of operators 122 assigned to work on the assembly of object 102.

In the illustrative examples, object manager 124 may be used to manage the assembly of object 102. When object 102 is aircraft 104, object manager 124 may be part of an aircraft management system. Object manager 124 may be implemented in software, hardware, firmware or a combination thereof. When software is used, the operations performed by object manager 124 may be implemented in program code configured to run on a processor unit. When firmware is used, the operations performed by object manager 124 may be implemented in program code and data and stored in persistent memory to run on a processor unit. When hardware is employed, the hardware may include circuits that operate to perform the operations in object manager 124.

In the illustrative examples, the hardware may take the form of a circuit system, an integrated circuit, an application specific integrated circuit (ASIC), a programmable logic device, or some other suitable type of hardware configured to perform a number of operations. With a programmable logic device, the device is configured to perform the number of operations. The device may be reconfigured at a later time or may be permanently configured to perform the number of operations. Examples of programmable logic devices include, for example, a programmable logic array, a programmable array logic, a field programmable logic array, a field programmable gate array, or other suitable hardware devices. Additionally, the processes may be implemented in organic components integrated with inorganic components and/or may be comprised entirely of organic components excluding a human being. For example, the processes may be implemented as circuits in organic semiconductors.

As depicted, object manager 124 may be implemented in computer system 126. Computer system 126 is one or more computers. When more than one computer is present, the computers in computer system 126 may communicate with each other using a communications medium such as a network. Computer system 126 may be located all in the

same location or in different geographic locations. For example, computer system 126 may be distributed through buildings 110 or located in building 108. Portions of computer system 126 may even be located in another geographic location separate from manufacturing facility 112.

In managing the assembly of object 102, object manager 124 may manage tasks 118 and information 128 about object 102. In the illustrative example, the management of tasks 118 may include at least one of assigning tasks 118 to operators 122, monitoring the status of tasks 118, organizing tasks 118, providing information about tasks 118, or other suitable operations. Information 128 may include, for example, the models of objects, part inventories, or other suitable information relating to object 102.

As used herein, the phrase “at least one of,” when used with a list of items, means different combinations of one or more of the listed items may be used and only one of each item in the list may be needed. For example, “at least one of item A, item B, and item C” may include, without limitation, item A or item A and item B. This example also may include item A, item B, and item C or item B and item C. The item may be a particular object, thing, or a category. In other words, at least one of means any combination of items and number of items may be used from the list but not all of the items in the list are required.

In these illustrative examples, object manager 124 may manage tasks 118 using assignments 130 in the form of shop order instances 132. For example, object manager 124 may assign tasks through the use of shop order instances 132 to operators 122 for performance and assembly of object 102. Additionally, the status of shop order instances 132 may be used to identify the state of assembly of object 102 by operators 122.

Additionally, tasks 118 may have dependencies 133. In other words, tasks 118 may be performed in a particular order. Dependencies 133 may dictate when tasks within tasks 118 should be performed relative to other tasks in tasks 118. Dependencies 133 may also be for parts 106 in addition to or in place of tasks 118. In this form, dependencies 133 may result in dependencies 133 for tasks 118.

As a result, dependencies 133 may affect the manner in which assignments 130 are made as shop order instances 132. In particular, dependencies 133 may be used to determine when shop order instances 132 should be performed.

In these illustrative examples, object manager 124 may provide different functions and capabilities for assembling object 102. For example, object manager 124 may include at least one of object visualization system 134, shop order status visualization system 135, or other types of systems. The systems may be implemented using hardware, software, or some combination thereof.

In one illustrative example, object visualization system 134 may provide a visualization of object 102 to operators 122. In particular, operators 122 may perform queries using object visualization system 134 to view a number of sections 136 in object 102. In particular, sections 136 may be sections that correspond to sections at manufacturing facility 112 for assembly of object 102, such as aircraft 104.

Additionally, object visualization system 134 may provide a visualization of parts 106 in aircraft 104 during different times or positions in positions 114. In other words, different amounts of parts 106 may be present at different times or positions in positions 114. Object visualization system 134 provides operators 122 an ability to visualize these different conditions of assembly for aircraft 104 as well as other types of objects. In other words, object

visualization system 134 may operate as a condition of an assembly visualization system based on build cycles.

In these illustrative examples, the manufacturing may include at least one of fabricating components for parts, assembling components to form parts, assembling parts for object 102, or some other suitable manufacturing operation performed to assemble object 102. For example, object manager 124 may provide visual information about all of object 102 or one or more specific sections of object 102. This type of visualization may be especially useful when object 102 takes the form of aircraft 104. Information 128 may be used when operators 122 perform tasks 118 with respect to parts 106 to assemble aircraft 104.

In another illustrative example, shop order status visualization system 135 may provide a visualization of status 137 of shop order instances 132. This information may be provided visually to operators 122. In particular, object manager 124 may function as shop order status visualization system 135 as well as provide other suitable functions in managing the assembly of object 102.

As depicted, object manager 124 may also include aircraft build comparison system 138. Aircraft build comparison system 138 may compare the build for a first aircraft, such as aircraft 104, with a second aircraft, such as aircraft 140. This comparison may identify differences between parts 106 for aircraft 104 and parts 142 for aircraft 140. As depicted, aircraft 140 is a prior build that may be of the same model as aircraft 104 but with different options. In other words, some differences between parts 106 and parts 142 may be present. This difference may be at least one of the parts themselves, the configuration, or the location of these parts.

Further, aircraft build comparison system 138 may be configured to provide a visualization of the differences between parts 106 for aircraft 104 and parts 142 for aircraft 140. This visualization may be provided to operators 122 in a manner that provides information that may be used in assembling aircraft 104. For example, if operators 122 are used to assemble a lavatory in a location in aircraft 140, operators 122 may see through the visualization that a storage closet is present in aircraft 104 in the same or corresponding location in which the lavatory was located in aircraft 140.

In some illustrative examples, this visualization may be provided by aircraft build comparison system 138 such that operators 122 may see aircraft 104 and aircraft 140 at the same time. Further, operators 122 may fly through aircraft 104 and aircraft 140 simultaneously using aircraft build comparison system 138. In other words, operators 122 may view parts 106 for aircraft 104 and parts 142 for aircraft 140 simultaneously. This type of view may provide an easier identification of differences between parts 106 in aircraft 104 and parts 142 in aircraft 140. In other words, differences between parts 106 and parts 142 in corresponding locations in aircraft 104 and aircraft 140 are visually displayed.

Turning now to FIG. 2, an illustration of a block diagram of an object manager is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. Examples of components that may be implemented in object manager 124 in FIG. 1 are shown in this figure.

As depicted, object manager 124 includes a number of different components. For example, object manager 124 includes assignment manager 202, object visualizer 204, inventory identifier 206, status identifier 207, and graphical user interface 208. These different components along with object manager 124 may be implemented using hardware, software, or some combination thereof.

Graphical user interface **208** is configured to provide an interface for operators **122** in FIG. **1** to interact with object manager **124**. In these illustrative examples, graphical user interface **208** may be displayed on display system **209** in interface system **210**. Display system **209** is hardware and may include one or more display devices selected from at least one of a liquid crystal display (LCD), a light emitting display (LED), an organic light emitting display (OLED), or other suitable types of display devices. For example, display system **209** may include one display device, two display devices, five display devices, or some other suitable number of display devices with the display devices being of the same or different types when more than one display device is present in display system **209**.

Input may be received from operators **122** through input system **211** in interface system **210**. Input system **211** is a hardware system. Input system **211** may include one or more devices. These devices may include at least one of a keyboard, a mouse, a joystick, a touchscreen panel, or other suitable types of devices.

In this illustrative example, assignment manager **202** is configured to manage assignments **130** in the form of shop order instances **132** in shop order database **212**. For example, assignment manager **202** may be used to assign tasks **118** in FIG. **1** to operators **122** using shop order instances **132**. Additionally, assignment manager **202** also may be configured to receive information about the performance of tasks **118** assigned through shop order instances **132**. This information may be used by assignment manager **202** to generate and update status **213** for shop order instances **132**.

Object visualizer **204** is configured to generate graphical representations **214** for parts **106** in FIG. **1**. Graphical representations **214** may be displayed on graphical user interface **208** in display system **209**. As depicted, object visualizer **204** is configured to access model database **215**. Object visualizer **204** may identify model **216** from models **217** in model database **215** for object **102** in FIG. **1** and, in particular, for aircraft **104** in FIG. **1**. Model **216** is used to generate graphical representations **214** in the illustrative example.

In these illustrative examples, graphical representations **214** may be generated for sections **136** of object **102** in FIG. **1**, which may take the form of aircraft **104**. In this illustrative example, model **216** may be identified for object **102** from models **217** in model database **215**. Models **217** comprise information about objects. Models **217** include information that describes the geometry of the objects. Models **217** may also include other information relating to the objects. This information may include, for example, materials for parts in the objects, processes for manufacturing parts in the object, performance information, and other information. Models **217** may take various forms. For example, without limitation, models **217** may include computer-aided design (CAD) files.

Each model in models **217** may be for a particular object. The objects may be of the same type but for different shop order instances. For example, if models **217** are for a particular type of aircraft, each model may be for a particular aircraft that is being assembled for a customer. The different models may be for the same aircraft model but may have variations for different options selected by a customer. In other illustrative examples, models **217** may include models for different types of aircraft **104**.

The generation of graphical representations **214** may be based on all of model **216** or a group of volumes **218** in model **216**. These items may have different shapes. For

example, volume **219** in volumes **218** may be a cube, a cuboid, a cylinder, a sphere, or some other suitable shape.

In these illustrative examples, volume **219** is for at least a portion of a part in parts **106** of object **102**. Volume **219** may be large enough to encompass the part. Volume **219** may also be larger than the part. In these illustrative examples, volume **219** may comprise an amount of space around the part for viewing the part in a graphical user interface. For example, the amount of space around the part may be for viewing the part in the graphical user interface from one or more angles. In this example, the one or more angles may be one or more angles from the point of view of an operator. In this example, the point of view of the operator may be of an operator performing a task associated with the part.

As depicted, volumes **218** may be identified in model **216** using volume database **220**. Volume database **220** is a collection of information that may be used to identify which volumes in volumes **218** may be displayed as graphical representations **214**. In particular, the collection of information may include volume identifiers **221**. For example, volume identifier **222** in volume identifiers **221** may define volume **219** in volumes **218**.

In these illustrative examples, an identification of volume **219** may be made using sectional view **223** in sectional views **224** in sectional view database **225**. Sectional views **224** may include sectional views of the different objects. For example, sectional view **223** may correspond to model **216**. An operator may select volumes **218** using sectional view **223** displayed on graphical user interface **208** in this particular example.

As depicted, sectional views **224** in sectional view database **225** may provide views of sections **136** for object **102**. In the illustrative examples, sections **136** correspond to sections manufactured for assembly of object **102**. In particular, sections **136** may correspond to sections manufactured for assembly of aircraft **104**.

Further, sectional views **224** may include different levels of detail. For example, sectional views **224** may include a hierarchy of levels in which the lower levels have more detail about aircraft **104** than higher levels in the hierarchy. In some illustrative examples, a selection of a sectional view in sectional views **224** may result in another sectional view being displayed. In other illustrative examples, a selection made in a sectional view may result in graphical representations **214** being generated from model **216** and displayed on graphical user interface **208**. In this manner, an operator may visually query aircraft **104** through the different sectional views in sectional views **224**.

As a result, operator interaction generating user input with sectional view **223** displayed in graphical user interface **208** may be used to identify volumes **218** in model **216**. The user input may be used to identify volume identifier **222** from volume identifiers **221**. Volume identifier **222** may point to volume **219** in model **216**.

In these illustrative examples, object visualizer **204** may generate queries using volume identifiers **221** to obtain information from model **216** in model database **215**. In particular, the information may be data about volume **219** in model **216** for aircraft **104**.

As depicted, object visualizer **204** also may be configured to generate graphical representations **214** for states **226** of object **102**. In these illustrative examples, states **226** may be used for object **102** in the form of aircraft **104**. In other words, aircraft **104** may have different parts in parts **106** that are installed at different states within states **226**. In the

illustrative examples, states **226** may take the form of conditions of assembly **227** for object **102**.

For example, states **226** may be based on positions **114** of aircraft **104** within assembly location **107** in building **108** in FIG. **1**. In these illustrative examples, states **226** may be selected from at least one of planned states **228** or actual states **229**.

Aircraft **104** may have different planned states in planned states **228** in different positions in positions **114**. In this illustrative example, a planned state in planned states **228** includes the parts that are expected to be installed at a particular position in positions **114**. In other words, these parts may or may not have been installed at that position.

In these illustrative examples, the planned state may be based on the past position, current position, or the future position of aircraft **104** in positions **114**. In other words, graphical representations **214** may be generated for any position that has occurred, is currently present, or planned for aircraft **104**.

As depicted, an actual state in actual states **229** includes parts **106** that have actually been installed in aircraft **104**. In other words, a particular state may have a selected number of parts that are installed at that state. The actual state in actual states **229** may be based on at least one of a past position, or the current position of aircraft **104**. In other words, graphical representations **214** may be generated for parts **106** actually installed at a prior point in time. This prior point in time may be selected by an operator. In this manner, an operator may view tasks **118** performed to install parts **106** at some prior point in time.

Additionally, the actual state may be the current state of aircraft **104**. In other words, graphical representations **214** may be generated for parts **106** that have been installed at the current point in time. In this manner, graphical representations **214** may be used to visualize parts **106** that are currently present in aircraft **104**.

In these illustrative examples, the identification of parts that have already been installed or parts installed in prior points in time may be identified using shop order instances **132** in shop order database **212**. In particular, shop order instances **132** may indicate what parts in parts **106** have been installed.

Model database **215** is a database of models for objects. In these illustrative examples, these models may be, for example, computer-aided design models (CAD). Of course, any type of model that may provide information about the three-dimensional geometries of objects may be used. Additionally, these models may also include other information about materials, instruction assemblies, or other suitable types of information.

As depicted, inventory identifier **206** is configured to access inventory database **230**. Inventory database **230** contains information about parts. Inventory database **230** may include information about whether parts are in stock, when parts will be delivered, the number of parts available, or other suitable types of information.

As depicted, status identifier **207** is configured to provide a visualization of the status for one or more of shop order instances **132**. In this illustrative example, status identifier **207** is configured to provide an operator a graphical front end through graphical user interface **208** to identify the status of a shop order instance in a specific location of object **102**, such as aircraft **104**. This information may be identified without the operator knowing the coordinates of the particular location.

In these illustrative examples, object visualizer **204** is configured to identify a model of object **102**, such as aircraft

104. For example, object visualizer **204** may identify the model in model database **215** for object **102**.

Status identifier **207** is also configured to identify shop order instances **132** for object **102**. The identification may be made through interaction with assignment manager **202**.

In the illustrative example, status identifier **207** is also configured to identify status **213** of shop order instances **132**. This identification also may be made through assignment manager **202**.

Object visualizer **204** is configured to display graphical representations **214** of parts **106** in FIG. **1** for a group of shop order instances **132** in graphical user interface **208** on a display device in display system **209**. The generation of graphical representations **214** may be based on the identification of a group of shop order instances **132**. In other words, object visualizer **204** is configured to receive an identification of parts in the group of shop order instances **132**. The identification of these parts may be used to generate graphical representations **214**.

Further, status identifier **207** is also configured to display a set of graphical indicators **231** in association with graphical representations **214** of parts **106** displayed on graphical user interface **208** by object visualizer **204**. As used herein, a "set of," when used with reference items, means one or more items. For example, a set of graphical indicators **231** is one or more of graphical indicators **231**.

In these illustrative examples, a graphical indicator in graphical indicators **231** is considered to be displayed in association with a graphical representation in graphical representations **214** when the attention of an operator viewing graphical indicators **231** is drawn to the parts. Thus, the graphical indicator may be displayed as part of the graphical representation, on the graphical representation, in some proximity of the graphical representation, or in some other suitable manner that draws attention to the graphical representation.

The set of graphical indicators **231** displayed in association with graphical representations **214** of parts **106** may take different forms. For example, the set of graphical indicators **231** may be selected from at least one of a color, cross hatching, an icon, highlighting, animation, or other suitable types of graphical indicators.

Further, the group of shop order instances **132** may be identified in a number of different ways. For example, the group of shop order instances **132** may be identified by a user input to graphical user interface **208** from an operator. For example, the user input received may be a selection of the group of shop order instances **132**.

In another illustrative example, the identification of the group of shop order instances **132** may be identified from a user input selecting a group of parts **106** in object **102** in FIG. **1**. The selection of the group of parts **106** may be one of a selection of the group of parts **106** from a list of parts **106** and a selection of the group of parts **106** from a display of graphical representations **214** of parts **106** in graphical user interface **208**.

Additionally, status identifier **207** may display information about a shop order instance for a part selected from graphical representations **214** of parts **106** displayed in graphical user interface **208**.

With this information in graphical user interface **208**, real-world operations may be performed. For example, the assembly of object **102** in FIG. **1** may be managed based on graphical representations **214** of parts **106** for shop order instances **132** and the set of graphical indicators **231** displayed on graphical user interface **208**. For example, identifications of operations that should be performed may be

made using this visualization. These operations may include when particular parts should be assembled, when inspections of parts assembled in object 102 should be made, or other suitable types of operations.

Further, object manager 124 also may include aircraft comparator 236. Aircraft comparator 236 and object visualizer 204 may be components within aircraft build comparison system 138 in FIG. 1. In this illustrative example, aircraft comparator 236 may identify first model 238 in models 217 for a first aircraft, such as aircraft 104. Additionally, aircraft comparator 236 may identify second model 240 in models 217 for a second aircraft, such as aircraft 140 in FIG. 1. In this illustrative example, aircraft 140 may be a prior build of the same model of aircraft 104. Aircraft 140 may have different options from aircraft 104 in this particular example.

In this illustrative example, aircraft comparator 236 is configured to use model 216 in determining whether differences are present between aircraft 104 and aircraft 140. In this example, model 216 may be first model 238.

Aircraft comparator 236 is configured to compare first parts, such as parts 106 for aircraft 104, identified in first model 238 with second parts, such as parts 142, identified in second model 240 for aircraft 140 to generate comparison 244.

In this illustrative example, comparison 244 identifies difference 246 between parts 106 and parts 142. Difference 246 may be between at least one of the parts themselves, a configuration of the parts, or a location of the parts in the different aircraft.

In one illustrative example, difference 246 may be a difference between one or more of parts 106 for aircraft 104 and parts 142 for aircraft 140. Difference 246 may be for corresponding locations in aircraft 104 and aircraft 140 in which parts 106 and parts 142 are different in those corresponding locations. In these illustrative examples, a corresponding location between aircraft 104 and aircraft 140 is the same location in both aircraft 104 and aircraft 140. This location may be described using coordinates such as aircraft coordinates.

As depicted, aircraft comparator 236 may cause object visualizer 204 to display graphical representations 214 for parts 106 of aircraft 104 as well as parts 142 for aircraft 140. The display of these parts may be such that the difference between parts 106 and parts 142 from comparison 244 of parts 106 and parts 142 are illustrated through the display of these parts in graphical representations 214 within graphical user interface 208.

Further, the display of graphical representations 214 of parts 106 for aircraft 104 and parts 142 for aircraft 140 may be presented at the same time on display system 209. This display may be made by displaying graphical representations 214 of parts 106 in a first location for aircraft 104 in a first display device in display system 209. Graphical representations 214 for parts 142 in the second location for aircraft 140 may be displayed at the same time on a second display device in display system 209.

In another illustrative example, graphical representations 214 for parts 106 in the first location may be displayed in a window on a display device in display system 209. Graphical representations 214 for parts 142 in the second location may be displayed at the same time in a second window on the display device in display system 209.

In the illustrative examples, the display on the display devices or windows may be side-by-side. In other illustrative examples, one display device or window may be located over another display device or window. Of course, other

positions of display devices or windows may be made such that operators 122 may view graphical representations 214 for parts 106 for aircraft 104 and parts 142 for aircraft 140 at the same time.

In this manner, the visualization of differences between different aircraft builds such as that for aircraft 104 and aircraft 140 may be presented visually to operators 122. In this illustrative example, this visualization is presented through graphical user interface 208 which may be displayed on display system 209.

Further, in one illustrative example, a group of graphical indicators 231 may be displayed in association with graphical representations 214 in graphical user interface 208. The group of graphical indicators 231 may be displayed by at least one of aircraft comparator 236 and object visualizer 204.

The group of graphical indicators 231 may be displayed on or otherwise in association with a group of parts in a location displayed by graphical user interface 208 for aircraft 104 and aircraft 140. This location is a corresponding location for aircraft 104 and aircraft 140.

The group of graphical indicators 231 may be displayed to indicate difference 246 between parts 106 in first model 238 and parts 142 in second model 240. In other words, the group of graphical indicators 231 may be used to draw the attention of operators 122 towards difference 246 between parts 106 and parts 142 as displayed using graphical representations 214 in graphical user interface 208.

As a result, operators 122 may learn the differences between those old options for aircraft 140 and the new options for aircraft 104 more easily with a visualization of the differences. The visualization of these differences may reduce the time and effort needed to learn assemblies for parts 106, assemble parts 106 or both when presented with different parts in parts 106 from parts 142 when assembling aircraft 104 after assembling aircraft 140. The visualization of these differences may be easier to understand and implement as compared to reviewing a change list or having no identification of changes between aircraft 104 and aircraft 140.

Thus, with a reduction in the time and effort needed to perform the assembly of aircraft 104, the expense for assembly of aircraft 104 may be reduced. Further, the throughput in assembling aircraft 104 and other aircraft may be increased. In other words the number of aircraft that may be produced in an assembly line over a given period of time may be increased.

In FIG. 2, different components are illustrated as being located in object manager 124. These different components may be used as part of different systems. The systems may include at least one of object visualization system 134 in FIG. 1, shop order status visualization system 135 in FIG. 1, or other suitable systems. A component in object manager 124 may be used in more than one system. For example, object visualizer 204 may be in both object visualization system 134 and shop order status visualization system 135. In other words, the different components illustrated in object manager 124 may be used at the same time by different systems in object manager 124.

With reference now to FIG. 3, an illustration of a block diagram of a synchronized display of two aircraft is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, synchronized display 300 is a block diagram illustrating a display of aircraft 104 and aircraft 140 as generated by aircraft build comparison system 138 in FIG. 1.

15

As depicted, first parts **302** and second parts **304** are displayed within display system **209**. First parts **302** are parts within parts **106** for aircraft **104** in FIG. 1. Second parts **304** are parts within parts **142** for aircraft **140** in FIG. 1.

As depicted, graphical representations **316** for first parts **302** may be displayed on first display device **306** and graphical representations **318** for second parts **304** may be displayed on second display device **308** in display system **209**. In another illustrative example, graphical representations **316** for first parts **302** may be displayed in first window **310** and graphical representations **318** for second parts **304** may be displayed in second window **312** on display device **314**.

As can be seen, first parts **302** and second parts **304** are displayed at a same time on a display system. In other words, the display of the parts is made simultaneously to operators **122**.

The display of first parts **302** and second parts **304** may be for corresponding states in states **226** in FIG. 2 for the two aircraft. The display may even be for different states in the states **226** of the same aircraft.

As depicted, first location **320** for first parts **302** corresponds to second location **322** for second parts **304**. In other words, first location **320** is a corresponding location to second location **322**.

In this illustrative example, first location **320** is a location in first model **328**. Second location **322** is a location in second model **330**. First model **328** and second model **330** are examples of models **217** in FIG. 2.

Further, first viewpoint **324** for graphical representations **316** of first parts **302** corresponds to second viewpoint **326** for graphical representations **318** of second parts **304**. First viewpoint **324** and second viewpoint **326** may be similar to camera angles or to positions of observation.

In other words, the position and orientation of the viewer is the same for both first viewpoint **324** and second viewpoint **326**. In this manner, operators **122** may have the same view of both aircraft **104** and aircraft **140** for the same location in both aircraft.

In these illustrative examples, if a change in at least one of a location or viewpoint is made with respect to one aircraft, the same change is made with respect to the other aircraft. In this manner, the view of both aircraft may correspond to the same location and viewpoints. As a result, operators **122** may move or fly through aircraft **104** and aircraft **140** simultaneously.

In these illustrative examples, a change in first location **320** to another location in first model **328** results in a corresponding change to second location **322** in second model **330**. Further, a change in second location **322** in second model **330** results in a change in first location **320** in first model **328** to a corresponding location in first model **328**. In this manner, the locations viewed in display system **209** in synchronized display **300** may be made in a way that allows operators **122** to more easily view differences between first parts **302** and second parts **304**.

In this illustrative example, the correspondence of locations and views may be managed using view manager **332**. View manager **332** may be implemented within aircraft build comparison system **138** in FIG. 1. In particular, view manager **332** may be located in object visualizer **204** in FIG. 2 or as a separate component within object manager **124** in FIG. 1.

Turning now to FIG. 4, an illustration of a block diagram of a sectional view is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. An example of one implementation for sectional view **223** in FIG. 2 is shown.

16

As depicted, sectional view **223** includes a number of different pieces of information. For example, sectional view **223** includes sections **400** and hotspots **402**.

Sections **400** are graphical representations corresponding to sections **136** for object **102** and, in particular, aircraft **104** in FIG. 1. In these illustrative examples, sections **400** may be located in a single image, multiple images, or some other suitable form. Further, sections **400** are graphical representations corresponding to sections **136** manufactured for assembly of aircraft **104**.

In these illustrative examples, sections **400** may be selectable. A selection of section **404** in sections **400** having hotspot **406** in hotspots **402** results in a volume corresponding to section **404** in model **216** in FIG. 2 being displayed in this illustrative example. Hotspot **406** may be a pointer to volume identifier **222** associated with volume **219** in FIG. 2. For example, hotspot **406** may include a universal resource locator, or some other addressing convention to identify volume identifier **222** from volume identifiers **221** in volume database **220**.

Turning now to FIG. 5, an illustration of a block diagram of a volume identifier is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, one implementation for volume identifier **222** in FIG. 2 is shown.

Volume identifier **222** includes a number of components. As depicted, volume identifier **222** includes identifier **500** and volume descriptor **502**.

Identifier **500** distinguishes volume identifier **222** from others of volume identifiers **221** that may be present in volume database **220** in FIG. 2. Identifier **500** may take various forms. For example, identifier **500** may be a word, a phrase, a number, an alphanumeric string, or some other suitable form.

Volume descriptor **502** describes the volume in model **216**. For example, volume descriptor **502** may take the form of coordinates **506**. Coordinates **506** are in the coordinate system used by model **216** in FIG. 2 in this example. For example, coordinates **506** may be three coordinates that may be used to define a polygon, a cube, or a cuboid. Of course, other information may be present in volume descriptor **502** other than coordinates **506**. For example, volume descriptor **502** may include a single coordinate and a radius used to define volume **219** in a form of a sphere. In still other illustrative examples, a single coordinate may be present with pre-selected offsets that define volume **219** as a cube or some other shape.

In some illustrative examples, volume identifier **222** may also include point of view **508**. Point of view **508** may define the view of the volume displayed to an operator when graphical representations **214** are displayed on graphical user interface **208** in FIG. 2. For example point of view **508** may include coordinates **510** of the point of view using the coordinate system for the volume.

With reference now to FIG. 6, an illustration of a block diagram of a shop order instance is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. As depicted, shop order instance **600** is an example of a shop order instance from shop order instances **132** in FIG. 1.

As depicted, shop order instance **600** may include a number of different parts. Shop order instance **600** includes identifier **602**, classification **603**, description **604**, task **605**, assigned operators **606**, part identifier **608**, location **610**, instructions **612**, and status **618**.

As depicted, identifier **602** may be used to uniquely identify a task in tasks **118** in FIG. 1. Identifier **602** may be an alphanumeric identifier, a number, or some other suitable type of identifier.

In the illustrative example, classification **603** is used to classify the shop order instance. This classification may be based on the type of task to be performed. For example, the classifications may include seat installation, wiring, line replaceable unit installation, or other suitable types of classifications. The classification may be descriptive or may take the form of an identifier or other type of code.

Description **604** provides a description of task **605**. This description may be a short description to provide the operator information about task **605**. The description may be several words or a single sentence in some illustrative examples.

Task **605** identifies the work to be performed. For example, task **605** may be to install a part, assemble parts, perform an inspection, or some other suitable piece of work.

Assigned operators **606** identifies a group of operators that may be assigned to perform task **605**. In some cases, an operator may not yet be assigned to perform task **605** for shop order instance **600**.

In this illustrative example, part identifier **608** identifies a part assembled in object **102** using shop order instance **600**. In this illustrative example, part identifier **608** is a part number for the part. For example, part identifier **608** may be a serial number, a combination of a serial number and vendor identifier, or some other suitable type of identification that uniquely identifies a particular part from other parts even if those parts are the same type.

In the illustrative examples, part identifier **608** may be used to generate the graphical representation of the parts identified. For example, part identifier **608** may be used to locate the information in a model needed to generate the graphical representation of the part for display.

Location **610** identifies the location where task **605** is to be performed. This location may be in coordinates for object **102** or some other coordinate system.

Instructions **612** are a group of instructions for performing task **605**. In particular, the group of instructions may be for assembling a group of parts. These instructions may be step-by-step instructions, guidance, or other suitable types of instructions. These instructions may provide guidance for assembling parts, inspecting parts, or other suitable operations that may be performed for task **605**. Instructions **612** also may include plans for the location in which task **605** is to be performed.

As depicted, status **618** provides information about the performance of task **605** for shop order instance **600**. In this illustrative example, the status may indicate that work is to be performed, has been completed, is in progress, is unassigned, has been planned, is on hold, has been canceled, or some other suitable status for shop order instance **600**. The status may be indicated using text, codes, symbols, or other suitable mechanisms. Additionally, if status **618** indicates that the work to be performed has been completed, status **618** also may include a date and time of when work for performing task **605** occurred.

Turning next to FIG. 7, an illustration of a block diagram of a state for a section of an aircraft is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this depicted example, state **700** is an example of the state in states **226** in FIG. 2.

In this illustrative example, state **700** is a data structure that stores information about parts that may be present for

state **700**. This data structure may be, for example, a flat file, a linked list, a record in a database, or some other suitable type of data structure.

State **700** may take the form of planned state **702**, actual state **704**, or both. In these illustrative examples, state **700** may be condition of assembly **706** for a particular position of aircraft **104** in positions **114** in FIG. 1. In particular, condition of assembly **706** may be a condition of assembly in conditions of assembly **227** in FIG. 2.

In this illustrative example, state **700** includes parts **708**. Parts **708** are parts present in aircraft **104** for state **700** selected for aircraft **104**. As depicted, parts **708** may be identified using part identifiers **710**. Part identifiers **710** may take various forms. For example, part identifier **712** in part identifiers **710** may be a part number for part **714** in parts **708**. For example, part identifier **712** may be a serial number, a combination of a serial number and vendor identifier, or some other suitable type of identification. In the illustrative examples, part identifier **712** may be any identifier that uniquely identifies a particular part from other parts even if those parts are the same type.

In this illustrative example, state **700** may be used by object visualizer **204** in object manager **124** to generate graphical representations **214** as seen in FIG. 2 for parts **708** that may be present for state **700**. In these illustrative examples, state **700** represents a particular position of aircraft **104** in positions **114**. As a result, only parts **708** present for aircraft **104** are displayed in graphical representations **214** on graphical user interface **208**.

With reference now to FIG. 8, an illustration of a block diagram of a unique parts list is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. Unique parts list **800** is an example of one implementation for comparison **244** in FIG. 2. Unique parts list **800** may identify difference **246** from FIG. 2 between parts in different aircraft in corresponding locations.

As illustrated, unique parts list **800** lists a group of first parts **802** and a group of second parts **804** in which a difference between those parts has been identified for locations **806**. For example, first part **808** for a first aircraft in location **810** is different from second part **812** for a second aircraft in location **810**. Location **810** is the same for both aircraft in making the comparison. Location **810** may be a corresponding location for first part **808** and second part **812**.

For example, first part **808** in location **810** may be a closet in the first aircraft. Second part **812** in location **810** may be a lavatory in the second aircraft. In this example, first part **808** and second part **812** are assemblies of components.

In another illustrative example, first part **808** may be a first type of latch for a door, while second part **812** may be a second type of latch for the door. In yet another illustrative example, first part **808** may be a latch having a first color, while second part **812** may be the same type of latch having a second color.

Unique parts list **800** may be used to generate graphical representations **214** for visualizing difference **246** in FIG. 2 in a manner that aids operators **122** in assembling aircraft **104** in FIG. 1. The visualization of difference **246** between the current build of aircraft **104** and the prior build of aircraft **140** may allow operators **122** to more quickly familiarize themselves with tasks **118** to be performed for shop order instances **132** to assemble the current build of aircraft **104** from FIG. 1.

Further, these visualizations may be made based on states **226** for operators **122** that may perform tasks **118** in different positions in positions **114** in FIG. 1. In other words, the

visualization may be based on a condition of assembly that may be a current condition of assembly or one expected for a particular position in which tasks **118** are to be performed.

The illustration of the different components that may be used in manufacturing environment **100** in FIGS. **1-8** is not meant to imply physical or architectural limitations to the manner in which an illustrative embodiment may be implemented. Other components in addition to or in place of the ones illustrated may be used. Some components may be unnecessary. Also, the blocks are presented to illustrate some functional components. One or more of these blocks may be combined, divided, or combined and divided into different blocks when implemented in an illustrative embodiment. For example, although the illustrative examples are described with respect to an aircraft, an illustrative embodiment may be applied to other objects other than aircraft, such as, for example, without limitation, a vehicle, a submarine, a personnel carrier, a tank, a train, an automobile, a bus, a spacecraft, a surface ship, a satellite, a rocket, an engine, a computer, harvesters, construction cranes, bulldozers, mining equipment, or other suitable types of objects.

In another illustrative example, a selection of section **404** with hotspot **406** may result in an inquiry being generated directly without using volume database **220**. For example, hotspot **406** may include a query for the volume corresponding to section **404**.

As another illustrative example, aircraft comparator **236** may be a block within object visualizer **204**. In yet other illustrative examples, object visualizer **204** may be components within aircraft comparator **236**.

As yet another illustrative example, other numbers of models may be compared other than first model **238** and second model **240** to form comparison **244**. For example, one or more additional models in model database **215** may be selected for comparison by aircraft comparator **236** in generating comparison **244**.

In the illustrative examples, first model **238** for aircraft **104** is for a current build of a first aircraft. Second model **240** for aircraft **140** is for a prior build of a second aircraft. In other illustrative examples, aircraft **104** and aircraft **140** may both be prior builds. As another example, aircraft **104** and aircraft **140** may be current builds that may occur in the same assembly line or different assembly lines. In still other illustrative examples, aircraft **104** may be a planned build while aircraft **140** is a current or prior build. Regardless of the type of build, aircraft build comparison system **138** may provide a display of aircraft **104** and aircraft **140** at the same time. Further, aircraft build comparison system **138** also may provide an ability to fly or move through both aircraft at the same time. This movement is such that the location viewed in one aircraft corresponds to the location viewed in the other aircraft. In other words, the location in aircraft **104** is a corresponding location to the location in aircraft **140**.

With reference now to FIGS. **9-12**, illustrations of the display of graphical user interfaces for graphically selecting models of aircraft for comparison are depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. These figures illustrate one manner in which graphical user interface **208** in FIG. **2** may be implemented. The different graphical user interfaces may be displayed on a display system, such as display system **209** in FIG. **2**, and an operator may interact with the graphical user interfaces using an input system, such as input system **211** in FIG. **2**.

With reference to FIG. **9**, an illustration of a graphical user interface for identifying a model of an aircraft for viewing is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment.

In this illustrative example, graphical user interface **900** displays buildings **902** including building **904**, building **906**, and building **908**.

In this particular example, each building in buildings **902** in graphical user interface **900** represents a location where manufacturing of aircraft occurs. Each building may correspond to a database of aircraft that are manufactured within the building. In these illustrative examples, the database may be a database of models for a particular type of aircraft. In other words, the different models in the database may be of the same type but may have slight variations such as customer options. These models may represent aircraft builds to be performed as well as already performed aircraft builds for existing aircraft.

For example, the database may be model database **215** in which models **217** in FIG. **2** are for the same type of aircraft. Different models, such as first model **238** and second model **240** in FIG. **2** may have different customer options in this particular example.

A selection of models may be made in a number of different ways. In this illustrative, a selection of building **904** may result in selection of a database corresponding to the type of aircraft being assembled and building **904** being selected. Further, the first model for the first aircraft for assembly may be selected based on the selection of building **904**. In other words, the first model may be identified from an aircraft currently being assembled or to be assembled in building **904**. A second model from the models in the database may be selected using menu **910**. In this example, one or more models may be selected from the items to perform a comparison with the first model identified from the selection of building **904**.

In this illustrative example, item **912** corresponds to the model in the database for an aircraft build for aircraft currently being assembled in the assembly line in building **904**. In this example, item **912** is shown with highlighting **913** based on the selection of building **904**.

As depicted in this example, item **914**, item **916**, and item **918** correspond to models in the database for previous aircraft builds for aircraft already assembled in the assembly line in building **904**. In this example, two or more models may be selected from the items to perform a comparison.

Of course, in other illustrative examples, the selection of building **904** may merely display menu **910** without selecting the model of the aircraft currently being assembled. In this implementation, user input may be received to select item **912** for the model of aircraft for assembly in building **904**.

Turning now to FIG. **10**, an illustration of a graphical user interface of aircraft positions in a building is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, aircraft positions **1000** are displayed in graphical user interface **1002**. These positions correspond to tasks that may be performed at different stages of the assembly of an aircraft.

In this particular example, aircraft positions **1000** include position **1004**, position **1006**, position **1008**, position **1010**, and position **1012**. In these illustrative examples, certain tasks are performed in different positions in aircraft positions **1000**. In other words, the aircraft assembly progresses from position to position with different parts being added to the aircraft at the different positions in aircraft positions **1000**.

A selection of one of these positions results in identifying graphical representations for parts that would be installed at a particular position as well as any parts that may have been installed from a prior position. As a result, parts that are not

to be installed into a subsequent position are not present. For example, an aircraft in position **1012** is a fully configured aircraft. An aircraft in position **1010** may not have seats and carpet. An aircraft in position **1008** may not include stove ends, lavatories, galleys, and other parts. These different positions in aircraft positions **1000** may have different conditions of assembly for the aircraft in these illustrative examples.

In these illustrative examples, each of these positions may have models associated with the position. These models may contain the parts that are present in the aircraft for a particular position. As a result, a selection of a position results in a selection of models that may be used to display graphical representations of parts. As a result, models for positions with fewer parts may be queried more quickly to identify information to generate graphical representations of parts for the aircraft.

Turning now to FIG. **11**, an illustration of a graphical user interface of aircraft sections is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, graphical user interface **1100** displays sections **1102** for an aircraft in area **1104** of graphical user interface **1100**.

As depicted, sectional view **1105** is displayed in area **1104** of graphical user interface **1100**. Sectional view **1105** is an example of one implementation for sectional view **223** shown in block form in FIG. **2** and FIG. **3**. In this particular example, sectional view **1105** may be for an aircraft in position **1012** in FIG. **10**.

An operator may select a section from sections **1102**. As depicted, sections **1102** are examples of sections **400** in FIG. **4** as displayed in graphical user interface **1100**. Sections **1102** are selectable in this particular example. In other words, sections **1102** may include hotspots. These hotspots are not seen in this illustrative example. Hotspots are areas in graphical user interface **1100** that may be selected to cause an action. In these illustrative examples, these hotspots correspond to sections **1102**. The hotspots may encompass sections **1102** or may be around sections **1102** or some combination thereof.

As another example, section **1106** is an example of a section in sections **1102** that may be selected. A selection of this section results in a more detailed illustration of section **1106** being displayed. In this example, section **1106** is an upper barrel portion of an aircraft.

Additionally, an identification of the parts present in the section is also made in response to the user selection of a particular section. This identification may include any parts that are present for the particular position of the aircraft in that section. In other words, the same section of an aircraft in different positions may have different parts that are present based on tasks for installing parts. This identification may be made through the use of states **226** in FIG. **2**.

In the illustrative example, an operator may select to view the entire aircraft by selecting entire aircraft area **1108** in graphical user interface **1100**. In other words, the volume for display may be the entire aircraft. Further, an operator may select groups of sections **1102**. As depicted, the selection may be made by selecting one of area **1110**, area **1112**, area **1114**, area **1116**, area **1118**, and area **1120** in graphical user interface **1100**. In these illustrative examples, these areas have hotspots. In this manner, an operator may view different portions of an aircraft in a manner that suits the particular query that the operator desires.

Turning now to FIG. **12**, an illustration of a graphical user interface of aircraft sections is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example,

graphical user interface **1200** displays sections **1202** for an aircraft in area **1204** of graphical user interface **1200**.

As depicted, sectional view **1205** is displayed in area **1204** of graphical user interface **1200**. Sectional view **1205** is an example of one implementation for sectional view **223** shown in block form in FIG. **2** and FIG. **3**. In this particular example, sectional view **1205** may be for an aircraft in position **1004** in FIG. **10**.

In this illustrative example, only a portion of an aircraft is illustrated in the view of sections **1202** in sectional view **1205**. As depicted, only sections **1202** that are present in a particular position are shown in this particular example.

Further, sections **1202** also may be selectable. The selectable ability of sections **1202** may be enabled through the use of hotspots associated with sections **1202**. As a result, the selection of a particular section in sections **1202** may result in the display of the volume from a model of aircraft containing the selected section.

As depicted, area **1208**, area **1210**, and **1212** are also selectable. These areas may also have hotspots associated with them. The selection of one of these areas results in a volume containing the different sections within an area being displayed.

In the illustrative examples, the selection of one of the positions in the assembly line represented in graphical user interface **1002** in FIG. **10**, the selection of one of a section or an area in FIG. **11** or FIG. **12**, or both the selection of a position in FIG. **10** and a section or an area in FIG. **11** or FIG. **12** may be used to identify a particular location in which work may be performed by operators **122** on a shop floor in one of positions **114** in FIG. **1** graphically represented by aircraft positions **1000** in graphical user interface **1002** in FIG. **10**. Additionally, the particular parts present for the aircraft in that position in the assembly line may be used to make comparisons to another aircraft with the same state. In other words, the other aircraft may have been built in the same assembly line at the same position. Comparison of the difference of parts present for that particular state of aircraft may be made in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. Of course, in some cases, the comparison may be made for all of the parts in both aircraft.

In other words, the visualization of the difference between parts **106** in aircraft **104** and parts **142** in aircraft **140** may be made based on states **226** in FIG. **2**. In this manner, operators **122** performing operations at particular positions in positions **114** may more easily see the differences between the parts for a current build of aircraft **104** as compared to previous builds of aircraft **140** in FIG. **1** in this particular example.

The illustrations of graphical user interface **900** with buildings **902** in FIG. **9**, graphical user interface **1002** with aircraft positions **1000** in FIG. **10**, graphical user interface **1100** with sections **1102** in FIG. **11**, and graphical user interface **1200** with sections **1202** in FIG. **12** are examples of multilevel querying that may be performed in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. As depicted, the selection of a building from buildings **902** may select a particular model for an aircraft. The particular model may be displayed with positions using graphical user interface **1002**. The selection of a position may result in another view being displayed with sections **1102** in graphical user interface **1100** or sections **1202** in graphical user interface **1200**. In this manner, an operator may more easily traverse models of different aircraft, depending on the position selected.

In the illustrative examples, FIGS. **13-15** described below illustrate another manner in which models of aircraft may be selected for comparison in a graphical user interface. With

reference to FIG. 13, an illustration of a graphical user interface for selecting a type of aircraft is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment.

In this illustrative example, graphical user interface 1300 displays model menu 1302. Model menu 1302 includes item 1304, item 1306, item 1308, and item 1310. As depicted, each of these items represents a particular type of aircraft.

Selection of one of these items may select a database of models for the particular type of aircraft. This database may be, for example, model database 215 in FIG. 2.

Turning next to FIG. 14, an illustration of a graphical user interface for selecting a model of an aircraft is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. As depicted, window 1400 is displayed in graphical user interface 1300. Window 1400 may be used to select models of a particular type of aircraft for comparison.

As depicted, window 1400 displays entries for selection. The entries include entry 1402, entry 1404, entry 1406, entry 1408, and 1410, entry 1412, entry 1414, and entry 1416. Each of these entries represents a model for the same type of aircraft. In the illustrative example, a type of aircraft may be, for example, a Boeing 777, a Boeing 727, or some other type of aircraft. As described above, the model represents parts for an aircraft that has actually been assembled, an aircraft to be assembled, an aircraft in a planning state for assembly, or in some other phase of development.

With reference now to FIG. 15, an illustration of a graphical user interface for confirming a selection of models for comparison is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, graphical user interface 1300 includes window 1500. Window 1500 shows the selection of model 1502 and model 1504 for comparison in field 1506 of window 1500.

In this illustrative example, only two models are shown as being selected. These models may be deleted by the selection of delete button 1508 if the models are not the desired models for comparison. Add button 1510 may be used to add additional models. In other words, more than two models may be compared depending on the particular implementation.

When the models listed in field 1506 are the ones desired for comparison, user input may be received selecting okay button 1512. User input selecting this button causes aircraft comparator 236 to retrieve and compare the models identified in field 1506 to form comparison 244 in FIG. 2. User input also may be selected to cancel the selection by selecting cancel button 1514 in window 1500.

The illustrations of graphical user interface 1300 in FIGS. 13-15 are not meant to imply limitations to the manner in which models may be selected for comparison. Other types of graphical user interfaces may be used other than the ones illustrated in these figures.

Turning now to FIG. 16, an illustration of models of two aircraft displayed in a graphical user interface is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this depicted example, graphical user interface 1600 displays model 1602 of aircraft 104 and model 1604 of aircraft 140 from FIG. 1 on display device 1606. Model 1602 is a graphical representation of first model 328 from FIG. 3. Model 1604 is a graphical representation of second model 330 from FIG. 3. Display device 1606 is an example of a display device in display system 209 in FIG. 2. As depicted, model 1602 is displayed in window 1608 and model 1604 is displayed in window 1610. In this illustrative example, window 1608 and window 1610 are shown side-by-side. Of course, other positions of window 1608 and window 1610 may be used in

other illustrative examples. For example, window 1608 may be displayed over window 1610.

In this illustrative example, an operator may view a comparison of parts in the two models. In particular, an operator may move or fly through both model 1602 and model 1604 at the same time. The movement through the two models is synchronized such that the display of one location in model 1602 results in the display of the corresponding location in model 1604. In this illustrative example, the operator may select locations in either model that results in the corresponding location being displayed in the other model.

In FIG. 17, an illustration of differences between models is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, model 1602 as displayed in window 1608 and model 1604 as displayed in window 1610 in graphical user interface 1600 illustrates the difference between model 1602 and model 1604. The difference is a difference in parts that occurs from different customer options that may be present between the two models.

As can be seen in this display, portion 1700 of model 1602 displayed in window 1608 in graphical user interface 1600 is the portion of model 1602 that includes differences from the comparison of model 1602 with model 1604. Additionally, portion 1701 of model 1604 displayed in window 1610 is the corresponding portion of model 1604 in which the differences are present. From this view, portion 1700 and portion 1701 may be viewed at different levels of detail.

For example, user input may receive a part or volume in portion 1700 of model 1602 as displayed in FIG. 17. In this illustrative example, the user input may be received through menu 1704. Menu 1704 may be used to traverse portion 1700 of model 1602 to reach a particular location in portion 1700 as displayed in graphical user interface 1600 in FIG. 16.

This user input results in the corresponding location in portion 1701 to be traversed without additional user input. In other words, the selection of a location in one of the models for viewing results in the corresponding location in the other model being selected.

In this illustrative example, menu 1704 includes commands 1706. As depicted, commands 1706 include top 1708, bottom 1710, side 1714, perspective 1716, zoom in 1718, and zoom out 1720. In the illustrative example, top 1708, bottom 1710, side 1714, and perspective 1716 are used to display different views of model 1602. As a result, a particular view of a location in model 1602 may be displayed along with the view of the corresponding location in model 1604.

For example, an operator may select a location in portion 1700. This location may be in the form of a set of coordinates, the part identifier, or some combination thereof. The selection of the location in portion 1700 results in a corresponding selection being made in portion 1701 without requiring additional user input.

Then, the operator may select one of top 1708, bottom 1710, side 1714, and perspective 1716 to obtain a particular view of the selected portion. The selection of a particular view also results in the same view being displayed for both portion 1700 and portion 1701.

In menu 1704, zoom in 1718 may be used to magnify a view. In contrast, zoom out 1720 may be used to reduce the size of a view. In this illustrative example, changes in the size of the view are performed for both portion 1700 and portion 1701.

In this illustrative example, field 1722 may be used to enter an identification of a part when portion 1700 is

identified using parts in addition to or in place of volumes or other constructs. The part may be for either model **1602** or model **1604** in this illustrative example. When a part is used to identify a location in one model, that location is used to identify the corresponding location in the other model for display.

In yet other illustrative examples, an operator may graphically traverse model **1602** or model **1604**. For example, an operator may move a pointer to a particular location and select that location in model **1602**. This selection may result in an enlarged view of that location being displayed. For example, a volume around the selected location may be identified and displayed. In a similar fashion, the corresponding location and volume in model **1604** may be identified and displayed. Of course, any type of graphical manipulation used to manipulate models such as computer-aided design models may be used to move to different locations in model **1602** and model **1604**.

With reference next to FIGS. **18-20**, illustrations of graphical user interfaces displaying comparisons of parts between models is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. The graphical user interfaces in these figures are examples of an implementation for graphical user interface **208** in FIG. **2**.

In these illustrative examples, the views in FIGS. **18-20** are side-by-side views of locations in two models that correspond to each other. The change in location in model **1602** for a first aircraft results in a corresponding change in a location in model **1604** for a second aircraft being displayed. In this manner, an operator may change locations in one model. The change in the display occurs with respect to both models to show the corresponding location within both models to the operator.

In FIG. **18**, an illustration of a graphical user interface with a comparison of parts is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. As depicted, graphical user interface **1800** on display device **1801** displays a location in a first aircraft in window **1802** and a location in a second aircraft in window **1804**. In particular, these two views are a more detailed illustration of portion **1700** and portion **1701** in FIG. **17**. For example, window **1802** is a more detailed view of a location in portion **1700**. Window **1804** is a more detailed view of a location in portion **1701**. These two locations are corresponding locations to each other in the illustrative example.

In particular, these two views are of the same location and are generated from models of two aircraft in the illustrative example. Further, these two views are from the same viewpoint in the illustrative example. Window **1802** and window **1804** may be displayed on the same display device or on different display devices depending on the particular implementation.

As illustrated, window **1802** and window **1804** are displayed side-by-side in this view. In this example, the location displayed in window **1802** and window **1804** are for the same location for the volumes in the models of the two aircraft. In other words, the location displayed in window **1802** corresponds to the location displayed in window **1804**. This location may be described using the same aircraft coordinates for both models of the aircraft.

In this illustrative example, storage **1806** is displayed in window **1802**. In the same corresponding location, lavatory **1808** is displayed in window **1804**. In this manner, an operator may be able to more easily visualize differences between different builds of the same type of aircraft.

Further, in this particular example, graphical indicator **1810** is displayed in association with storage **1806** in win-

dow **1802**. Graphical indicator **1812** is displayed in association with lavatory **1808** in window **1804**. Graphical indicator **1810** and graphical indicator **1812** are used in this illustrative example to draw attention to the difference in the location displayed in these windows.

In this example, although graphical indicator **1810** and graphical indicator **1812** take the form of an icon, other types of graphical indicators may be used. For example, color, animation, tooltips, and other suitable types of graphical indicators may be used in addition to or in place of the icons used in these examples. If a tooltip is used, text may be included to identify the two different parts illustrated in this example.

With reference next to FIG. **19**, another illustration of a graphical user interface with a comparison of parts is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. As depicted, the operator has changed locations from the location illustrated in FIG. **18**. In other words, the operator may fly from the location displayed in FIG. **18** to the location displayed in FIG. **19**. In particular, the operator may select a new location for viewing either model **1602** or model **1604**.

The changing of the location in one of the models may take the form of walking, moving, or flying through the model. In other words, user input received from a device such as a keyboard, a mouse, a trackball, or some other input device may be used to move from one location to another location in the model. This movement may be similar to the view seen by a person walking through an actual aircraft. For example, when a walk-through of a model occurs, the change in location is along an X-Y plane. When a fly through of the model occurs, the change in position is not constrained by the X-Y plane.

As depicted, graphical user interface **1800** on display device **1801** displays a location in the first aircraft in model **1602** as displayed in window **1902** and the same corresponding location in the second aircraft in model **1604** as displayed in window **1904**.

As can be seen in this example, sleeping compartment **1906** in model **1602** is shown in window **1902**. Open area **1908** in model **1604** is shown in window **1904**.

With reference to FIG. **20**, yet another illustration of a graphical user interface with a comparison of parts is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this example, an operator may move to another location from the location illustrated in FIG. **19**. As depicted, graphical user interface **1800** on display device **1801** displays a location in the first aircraft in model **1602** in window **2002** and the same location in the second aircraft in model **1604** in window **2004**.

In this illustrative example, first seating configuration **2006** is shown in window **2002** and second seating configuration **2008** is shown in window **2004** for the same location in the two aircraft. The differences between the seating configurations between two different builds of aircraft may be visualized in this side-by-side display.

With the visualizations shown in the different illustrative examples, an understanding of differences in a current or new build in an aircraft as compared to a prior build may be made more easily by operators as compared to currently used techniques. This type of visualization may reduce the amount of time and training needed to understand the operations that are performed for tasks in assembling an aircraft.

Further, as an operator selects different locations for viewing in one model, the selection and display of the corresponding location is performed automatically for the

operator. The operator may change locations in either model **1602** or model **1604**. In the illustrative examples, an operator may change the location in model **1602** one time, and then change the location in model **1604** a subsequent time. These changes in locations may take the form of a walk-through, a fly through, or some other suitable type of movement.

FIGS. **16-20** are only intended as some illustrative examples of parts that may be displayed to provide a visualization of differences from a comparison of models of two aircraft of the same type. These examples are not meant to limit the manner in which an illustrative embodiment may be implemented. For example, although the different examples are displayed with reference to aircraft, similar displays may be used for other types of vehicles or objects. For example, the graphical user interfaces may be configured for sections of objects such as an automobile, a ship, a satellite, an engine, or some other suitable type of object.

For example, rather than a side-by-side view in FIGS. **18-20**, the different windows may be displayed with one on top of the other. As yet another illustrative example, the parts displayed in different views may be based on a condition of assembly for the aircraft, the entire aircraft in its designed or completed form, or some combination thereof. As another illustrative example, although the windows are shown as displayed on a single display device, the windows may be displayed on different display devices in other illustrative examples.

Turning next to FIG. **21**, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for comparing aircraft parts is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. The process illustrated FIG. **21** may be used to compare parts in different aircraft such as aircraft **104** and aircraft **140** in FIG. **1**. The different operations may be implemented in object manager **124**. In particular, one or more of the different operations in FIG. **21** may be implemented using aircraft comparator **236** in object manager **124** in FIG. **2**.

The process begins by identifying a first model for a first aircraft with first customer options (operation **2100**). The process then identifies a second model for a second aircraft with second customer options (operation **2102**). First parts for the first aircraft with the first customer options in the first model and second parts for the second aircraft with the second customer options in the second model are compared to form a comparison (operation **2104**). The first parts and the second parts may be some or all of the parts in the first aircraft and the second aircraft. The first aircraft may be one that has been previously assembled, while the second aircraft may be one that is to be assembled. In other illustrative examples, both the first aircraft and the second aircraft may have already been assembled. In still other illustrative examples, one of the aircraft may be one in which customer options are being designed for potential offering to a customer.

The process then displays graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location simultaneously on a display system (operation **2108**), with the process terminating thereafter. At the same time in operation **2108**, the two locations are displayed at the same time to a viewer on a display system. In operation **2108**, the second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft. This display of the difference may be used by operators to more quickly understand the manner in which the current aircraft should be assembled.

With reference now to FIG. **22**, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying locations in a first

aircraft and a second aircraft is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. The process illustrated in FIG. **22** may be used to identify corresponding locations in models for aircraft.

The process begins by identifying coordinates for a first location in a first model for a first aircraft (operation **2200**). In operation **2200**, the first location may be identified in a number of different ways. For example, the first location may be identified from user input identifying a part in the aircraft. In another example, the first location may be identified based on user input providing coordinates in the first aircraft. In yet another illustrative example, the first location may be identified through user input graphically selecting movement from one location to another location.

In these illustrative examples, the coordinates may be airplane coordinates for the first aircraft used in a first model. Any coordinate system used for models of aircraft may be used that allows for a location of parts in the first model of the first aircraft.

The process then identifies coordinates for a second location in a second model for a second aircraft that corresponds to the first location in the first model in the first aircraft (operation **2202**), with the process terminating thereafter. If the same coordinate system is used in both models, then the coordinates for the first location and the coordinates for the second location will have the same values.

In another illustrative example, if a different coordinate system is used in both models, the coordinate system for the second model may be converted to the coordinate system for the first model. Similarly, the coordinate system for the first model may be converted to the coordinate system for the second model in this illustrative example.

For example, the origin in the first model may be in the flight deck, and the origin of the second model may be in the cabin. The coordinates of the second model may be converted such that the origin of the second model is also in the same location in the flight deck. Alternatively, a mapping of coordinates corresponding to each other may be used. In this manner, the coordinates of the origins of each model are identified, and the origin of one model is translated to match the origin of the other model such the origins of both models are at the same location.

In FIG. **23**, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying first parts and second parts for comparison is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. The operations illustrated in FIG. **23** are an example of an implementation for operation **2104** in FIG. **21**.

The process begins by identifying a first volume in the first model for the first aircraft (operation **2300**). The process then identifies a second volume in the second model for the second aircraft (operation **2302**). The second volume corresponds to the first volume. In other words, the second volume is based on the identification of the first volume. In these illustrative examples, the two volumes contain the same parts and may have the same dimensions.

The process then identifies the first parts in the first volume (operation **2304**). The process then identifies the second parts in the second volume (operation **2306**), with the process terminating thereafter.

Of course, in these illustrative examples, the parts may be identified from a user input selecting the volumes. These volumes may be a portion or all of an aircraft. In other illustrative examples, the parts may be identified from user input selecting the first parts from a list of parts. The second parts may be parts in the same locations as the first parts in these illustrative examples. In still other illustrative examples, the volumes may be based on coordinates for a

first location and a second location. These coordinates may be coordinates such as those identified by the operations in in FIG. 22.

Turning now to FIG. 24, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying volumes in models is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. This process is an example of one implementation for operation 2300 and operation 2302 in FIG. 23.

The process begins by displaying sections of the aircraft in a graphical user interface on a display device (operation 2400). The sections correspond to sections as manufactured for assembly of the aircraft and wherein the sections are selectable. The process then detects a selection of a section from the sections displayed in the graphical user interface (operation 2402).

Next, the process identifies the first volume in the first model as a volume corresponding to the section selected from the sections displayed in the graphical user interface (operation 2404).

The process then identifies the second volume in the second model as a volume corresponding to the section selected from the sections displayed in the graphical user interface (operation 2406). In the illustrative example, the second volume may be substantially identical to the first volume. In other words, the first volume and the second volume may be defined using the same coordinates for the type of aircraft. With the same coordinates, the parts encompassed within the first volume and the second volume may be different between the two aircraft. The process then terminates.

With reference next to FIG. 25, an illustration of a flowchart of a process to identify a state of an object is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, the method may be used to visually query an object such as an aircraft. The process may be implemented using object manager 124 in FIG. 1. In particular, one or more of the different components for object manager 124 illustrated in FIG. 2 may be used to visually query an aircraft. In particular, this process may be located in object visualization system 134 in object manager 124 in FIG. 1. The process illustrated in this figure may be used to identify parts present in a portion of an aircraft identified as having differences from another aircraft in which the parts displayed are the ones that are present for a particular state of the aircraft. In these illustrative examples, the particular state may be a condition of assembly for the aircraft.

In these illustrative examples, the process may be used to identify a state of an object, such as an aircraft. The state may be a condition of assembly.

The process begins by identifying a model for the object (operation 2500). In the illustrative examples, a model for the object may be identified in a number of ways as described above. For example, a model may be identified by selecting the model from a list of models. In other illustrative examples, the model may be identified visually using a graphical user interface such as graphical user interface 900 in FIG. 9.

Next, the process identifies a state from states of assembly for the object (operation 2502). In these illustrative examples, the state may be based on a position of the object within a manufacturing facility. In other illustrative examples, the state may be based on other criteria. For example, the criteria may be based on time in addition to or in place of the location of the aircraft. In these illustrative examples, the state may be a condition of assembly for the object.

The process then identifies parts present in the object for the state selected for the object (operation 2504). These parts are the parts that have been assembled for the aircraft in the particular state. As a result, pending the state selected, the object may have different parts for the state.

The process then displays the parts present in the aircraft for the state selected for the object in a graphical user interface on a display device (operation 2506), with the process terminating thereafter. In some illustrative examples, sections of aircraft are displayed with the parts present in the aircraft for the state selected in the graphical user interface. In other words, the display similar to graphical user interface 1100 may be used to display sections 1102. The sections correspond to sections manufactured for assembly of aircraft.

In other words, the sections displayed for the aircraft may vary depending on the state. For example, the state of the aircraft in graphical user interface 1100 in FIG. 11 is different from the state of the aircraft in graphical user interface 1200 in FIG. 12. The different sections may be present for different states. Additionally, within the same section, different parts may be present based on the parts that have been assembled so far.

Further, the sections also are selectable in the illustrative examples. The ability to select these sections may be provided through various mechanisms. In the illustrative example, the selectability may be provided through hotspots associated with the sections that are displayed in the graphical user interface. Further, the sections are displayed in an exploded view in operation 2506.

With reference next to FIG. 26, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying a current state of an object is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. In this illustrative example, the method may be used to visually query an object such as an aircraft to determine what parts have actually been assembled for the aircraft. The process may be implemented using object manager 124 in FIG. 1. In particular, one or more of the different components for object manager 124 illustrated in FIG. 2 may be used to visually query an aircraft.

In these illustrative examples, this current state may be based on a build cycle for the aircraft. The build cycle may be a particular position of the aircraft during its assembly. In these illustrative examples, the build cycle may be identified from shop order instances.

The process begins by identifying a model for an aircraft (operation 2600). The process then identifies a current state of assembly of the aircraft (operation 2602).

Thereafter, the process identifies parts present in the aircraft for the current state of assembly of the aircraft (operation 2604). The parts present in the aircraft for the current state of assembly of the aircraft are displayed in a graphical user interface on a display device (operation 2606), with the process terminating thereafter.

With reference now to FIG. 27, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for identifying parts present in aircraft for the current state of assembly of the aircraft is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. The process illustrated in FIG. 27 is an example of one implementation for operation 2604 in FIG. 26.

The process begins by accessing a shop order database (operation 2700). The shop order database may be, for example, shop order database 212 in FIG. 2. The process then identifies a group of shop order instances that have been completed for the assembly of the aircraft (operation 2702). In operation 2702, this group of shop order instances may be all shop order instances that have been completed since

assembly of the aircraft has begun. In other illustrative examples, the group of shop order instances may be only those that are completed for the current position of the aircraft.

The process then identifies the parts present in the aircraft from the group of shop order instances (operation **2704**), with the process terminating thereafter. In these illustrative examples, the group of shop order instances may be similar to shop order instance **600** in FIG. **6**. An identification of the parts may be made using part identifier **608** in shop order instance **600** in FIG. **6**.

Turning now to FIGS. **28A**, **28B**, and **28C**, an illustration of a more detailed flowchart of a process for identifying differences between parts in multiple aircraft is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. This process is one illustrative example of identifying states and, in particular, conditions of assembly for an aircraft. The process illustrated in FIGS. **28A**, **28B**, and **28C** may be implemented in object manager **124** in FIG. **1**. In particular, this process may be part of object visualization system **134**. One or more operations illustrated may be implemented using object visualizer **204** in FIG. **2**.

The process begins by displaying a graphical user interface with a group of buildings in a manufacturing facility (operation **2800**). The graphical user interface includes hotspots for the buildings that can be selected. A hotspot is a portion of the graphical user interface that may be selected to cause an action. In these illustrative examples, the buildings are hotspots that may be selected by an operator.

The process then receives user input selecting a building (operation **2802**). In the illustrative example, each building may be used to assemble a particular aircraft. The particular aircraft may be a particular type of aircraft. In some cases, more than one building may be used to assemble the same type of aircraft but the particular aircraft may be a specific build for a customer with specific options. In other words, different aircraft of the same type may be assembled in different buildings that have different options although they are of the same type.

Next, a first model of a first aircraft is identified from a selection of the building in the group of buildings in the manufacturing facility (operation **2803**). The first aircraft is an aircraft for assembly in the selected building. A second model of a second aircraft is identified (operation **2804**). The second model may be selected from other models of the same type of aircraft as the first aircraft in this illustrative example.

Positions in the building are identified (operation **2805**). Each building may have different positions for the aircraft that are being assembled. Further, even if a building has the same positions, the status of an aircraft at a particular building at particular positions may be different from other buildings. Further, even with the same positions, different aircraft may be assembled in the positions in different buildings.

The positions are displayed in the graphical user interface (operation **2806**). In these illustrative examples, the different positions are hotspots that may be selected through user input entered by an operator. The process then receives user input for selecting a position.

The process then identifies a sectional view for the first aircraft based on a selection of the position (operation **2808**). In the illustrative example, each position may have a different sectional view that may be displayed. The sections of the first aircraft in a position are the sections manufactured at the position selected in these illustrative examples. The sectional view includes sections for that particular position.

As depicted, the sectional view may be, for example, sectional view **223** in sectional views **224** in FIG. **2**. Different sectional views are present for different positions in the illustrative example. Sectional view **1105** in FIG. **11** and sectional view **1205** in FIG. **12** are examples of sectional views that may be selected depending on the position selected for the first aircraft in operation **2808**.

In these illustrative examples, the sectional views were selected for parts that are present in the aircraft for the position. These are parts that may already be present from assembly of the first aircraft in a prior position or may be parts that are to be assembled in the position selected.

The process then displays sections of the first aircraft (operation **2810**). In operation **2810**, the sections are displayed in the sectional view of the aircraft. Further, the different sections are displayed in association with hotspots that may be selected by user input entered by an operator. The process then detects a selection of a section from the sections displayed in the graphical user interface (operation **2812**). In operation **2812**, the section has hotspots associated with the volume identifier. The selection of a section of an aircraft involves selecting the hotspot associated with the aircraft. The hotspot points to a volume identifier, such as volume identifier **222** in FIG. **2**. In some cases, the hotspot may be a link pointing to the volume identifier. For example, the hotspot may be an index used to identify a volume identifier.

The process then identifies a first volume in the first model corresponding to the section selected for the first aircraft from the sections displayed in the graphical user interface (operation **2814**). The process also identifies a second volume in the second model for the second aircraft in which the second volume corresponds to the first volume (operation **2815**).

In these illustrative examples, each section of an aircraft is associated with the first volume for the aircraft. The first volume is identified from volume identifiers associated with sections in the sectional view using the volume identifier pointed to by the hotspot selected for the section. The volume identifier may include information defining the first volume. For example, volume identifier **222** may include volume descriptor **502** as depicted in FIG. **5**. In particular, the volume identifier may include a group of coordinates defining the volume in the model.

Next, the process identifies a state from states of assembly for the first aircraft (operation **2816**). In these illustrative examples, the state of assembly may be a condition of assembly based on the position of the first aircraft within a manufacturing facility.

The process displays sections of the first aircraft for the state identified for the first aircraft (operation **2817**). This display may be, for example, the display of graphical user interface **1100** in FIG. **11** or graphical user interface **1200** in FIG. **12**.

The process then identifies first parts that are present for the state in the first volume in the first model corresponding to the section selected (operation **2818**). These parts that are present are ones that are present for a particular state for the aircraft.

The process then identifies second parts present in the second volume in the second model for the second aircraft for a corresponding current state of assembly of the second aircraft (operation **2820**). In operation **2820**, the second aircraft may be one of the same type as the first aircraft being assembled. The corresponding current state of assembly of the second aircraft is the state of assembly for the previously assembled aircraft that corresponds to the current state of

assembly that is of interest for the first aircraft. In other words, if the first aircraft is in a particular position on the assembly line, the corresponding state of assembly of the second aircraft is the state of assembly of the aircraft at the same position on the assembly line.

A comparison is made of first parts for the first aircraft in the first model with the second parts for the second aircraft in the second model to form a comparison (operation 2822). This comparison is made to identify a difference between parts in the two aircraft for the selected volume.

The process then displays graphical representations of the first parts and the second parts illustrating a difference between the first parts and the second parts from a comparison of the first parts and the second parts (operation 2824). In this illustrative example, the display of the graphical representations may be made using displays such as those displayed on display device 1606 in FIGS. 16-20. In this manner, a synchronized display of the first parts and the second parts in corresponding locations in models for two aircraft may be displayed.

A determination is made as to whether a new location has been selected in one of the models (operation 2826). In this illustrative example, the new location may be selected in a number of different ways. For example, the new location may be selected by at least one of user input selecting a new part, user input entering new coordinates, user input graphically selecting a location in one of the models, or in other suitable ways. This new location may be selected in either of the models.

If a new location is selected in the first model in the models, the corresponding location is identified in the second model in the models (operation 2828). In these illustrative examples, this corresponding location may be identified using operations in FIG. 22.

The process then displays graphical representations of the first parts for the first aircraft in the new location (operation 2830). The process also displays graphical representations of the second parts for the second aircraft in a location in the other model corresponding to the new location in the first model (operation 2832). In these illustrative examples, operation 2830 and operation 2832 are performed at substantially the same time such that a synchronized display of corresponding views from the two aircraft is presented to the operator. The process then returns to operation 2826.

With reference again to operation 2826, if a new location is not selected, a determination is made as to whether a new section of the aircraft has been selected for the position of the aircraft (operation 2834). If a new section has been selected, the process then returns to operation 2812 as described above.

If a new section has not been selected, a determination is made as to whether a new position has been selected for the aircraft (operation 2836). If a new position has been selected, the process then returns to operation 2808 as described above. If a new position has not been selected, the process determines whether a new building has been selected (operation 2838). If a new building has been selected, the process returns to operation 2803. Otherwise, the process performs the operation selected by the operator (operation 2840), with the process then returning to operation 2824. In operation 2840, the operator may rotate the parts displayed in the volume, magnify the display, remove parts, annotate parts, or perform other operations with respect to the parts displayed in the volume.

Turning now to FIG. 29, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for displaying a section in a graphical user interface is depicted in accordance with an illustrative

embodiment. The different operations illustrated in FIG. 29 are examples of an implementation for operation 2822 in FIG. 28B.

The process identifies the parts present in the aircraft in a completed state (operation 2900). Thereafter, the process identifies the parts present in the aircraft in the state selected to form a second group of parts (operation 2902). The second group of parts is subtracted from the parts present in the aircraft in a completed state to identify a first group of parts (operation 2904).

The process hides the first group of parts in the volume that is absent from the section of aircraft in the state selected (operation 2906). The second group of parts that is not hidden in the volume are displayed to display the section selected in the graphical user interface (operation 2908), with the process terminating thereafter.

Turning now to FIG. 30, an illustration of a flowchart of a process for managing views indicating differences between parts is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. The process illustrated in FIG. 30 is an example of an operation that may be performed for operation 2840 in FIG. 28C.

The process begins by generating an image of the graphical representations of the first parts and the second parts displayed illustrating a difference between the first parts and the second parts from a comparison of the first parts and the second parts (operation 3000). The process then saves the image (operation 3002), with the process terminating thereafter.

In particular, the process illustrated in FIG. 30 may be used by operators at a later time to visualize the difference between different builds of the same type of aircraft. For example, images generated using this process may be included in shop order instances 132 in shop order database 212 in FIG. 2. In particular, these images may be viewed in addition to instructions that may be present in shop order instances 132.

For example, in shop order instance 600 in FIG. 6, instructions 612 may describe assembly of a storage unit in a location for a current build of an aircraft. In the prior build of the same type of aircraft, a different customer option may have called for a lavatory to be assembled in the location.

The image generated using the process in FIG. 30 may be included with instructions 612 in shop order instance 600. The visualization of this difference may aid the operator in becoming familiar with and performing task 605 in shop order instance 600 as described by instructions 612.

The flowcharts and block diagrams in the different depicted embodiments illustrate the architecture, functionality, and operation of some possible implementations of apparatuses and methods in an illustrative embodiment. In this regard, each block in the flowcharts or block diagrams may represent a module, a segment, a function, and/or a portion of an operation or step. For example, one or more of the blocks may be implemented as program code, in hardware, or a combination of the program code and hardware. When implemented in hardware, the hardware may, for example, take the form of integrated circuits that are manufactured or configured to perform one or more operations in the flowcharts or block diagrams. When implemented as a combination of program code and hardware, the implementation may take the form of firmware.

In some alternative implementations of an illustrative embodiment, the function or functions noted in the blocks may occur out of the order noted in the figures. For example, in some cases, two blocks shown in succession may be executed substantially concurrently, or the blocks may

sometimes be performed in the reverse order, depending upon the functionality involved. Also, other blocks may be added in addition to the illustrated blocks in a flowchart or block diagram.

In one illustrative example, sections may not be displayed in an exploded view in operation **2506** in FIG. **25**. Instead, the sections may be displayed as a whole aircraft in which different sections may be selected through hotspots. The different sections may be indicated using lines or other graphical indicators in this type of implementation.

Turning now to FIG. **31**, an illustration of a block diagram of a data processing system is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. Data processing system **3100** may be used to implement computer system **126** in FIG. **1**. In this illustrative example, data processing system **3100** includes communications framework **3102**, which provides communications between processor unit **3104**, memory **3106**, persistent storage **3108**, communications unit **3110**, input/output unit **3112**, and display **3114**. In this example, communications framework **3102** may take the form of a bus system.

Processor unit **3104** serves to execute instructions for software that may be loaded into memory **3106**. Processor unit **3104** may be a number of processors, a multi-processor core, or some other type of processor, depending on the particular implementation.

Memory **3106** and persistent storage **3108** are examples of storage devices **3116**. A storage device is any piece of hardware that is capable of storing information, such as, for example, without limitation, data, program code in functional form, and/or other suitable information either on a temporary basis and/or a permanent basis. Storage devices **3116** may also be referred to as computer readable storage devices in these illustrative examples. Memory **3106**, in these examples, may be, for example, a random access memory or any other suitable volatile or non-volatile storage device. Persistent storage **3108** may take various forms, depending on the particular implementation.

For example, persistent storage **3108** may contain one or more components or devices. For example, persistent storage **3108** may be a hard drive, a flash memory, a rewritable optical disk, a rewritable magnetic tape, or some combination of the above. The media used by persistent storage **3108** also may be removable. For example, a removable hard drive may be used for persistent storage **3108**.

Communications unit **3110**, in these illustrative examples, provides for communications with other data processing systems or devices. In these illustrative examples, communications unit **3110** is a network interface card.

Input/output unit **3112** allows for input and output of data with other devices that may be connected to data processing system **3100**. For example, input/output unit **3112** may provide a connection for user input through a keyboard, a mouse, and/or some other suitable input device. Further, input/output unit **3112** may send output to a printer. Display **3114** provides a mechanism to display information to a user.

Instructions for the operating system, applications, and/or programs may be located in storage devices **3116**, which are in communication with processor unit **3104** through communications framework **3102**. The processes of the different embodiments may be performed by processor unit **3104** using computer-implemented instructions, which may be located in a memory, such as memory **3106**.

These instructions are referred to as program code, computer usable program code, or computer readable program code that may be read and executed by a processor in processor unit **3104**. The program code in the different

embodiments may be embodied on different physical or computer readable storage media, such as memory **3106** or persistent storage **3108**.

Program code **3118** is located in a functional form on computer readable media **3120** that is selectively removable and may be loaded onto or transferred to data processing system **3100** for execution by processor unit **3104**. Program code **3118** and computer readable media **3120** form computer program product **3122** in these illustrative examples.

In one example, computer readable media **3120** may be computer readable storage media **3124** or computer readable signal media **3126**. In these illustrative examples, computer readable storage media **3124** is a physical or tangible storage device used to store program code **3118** rather than a medium that propagates or transmits program code **3118**.

Alternatively, program code **3118** may be transferred to data processing system **3100** using computer readable signal media **3126**. Computer readable signal media **3126** may be, for example, a propagated data signal containing program code **3118**. For example, computer readable signal media **3126** may be an electromagnetic signal, an optical signal, and/or any other suitable type of signal. These signals may be transmitted over communications links, such as wireless communications links, optical fiber cable, coaxial cable, a wire, and/or any other suitable type of communications link.

The different components illustrated for data processing system **3100** are not meant to provide architectural limitations to the manner in which different embodiments may be implemented. The different illustrative embodiments may be implemented in a data processing system including components in addition to and/or in place of those illustrated for data processing system **3100**. Other components shown in FIG. **31** can be varied from the illustrative examples shown. The different embodiments may be implemented using any hardware device or system capable of running program code **3118**.

Illustrative embodiments of the disclosure may be described in the context of aircraft manufacturing and service method **3200** as shown in FIG. **32** and aircraft **3300** as shown in FIG. **33**. Turning first to FIG. **32**, an illustration of a block diagram of an aircraft manufacturing and service method is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. During pre-production, aircraft manufacturing and service method **3200** may include specification and design **3202** of aircraft **3300** in FIG. **33** and material procurement **3204**.

During production, component and subassembly manufacturing **3206** and system integration **3208** of aircraft **3300** in FIG. **33** takes place. Thereafter, aircraft **3300** in FIG. **33** may go through certification and delivery **3210** in order to be placed in service **3212**. While in service **3212** by a customer, aircraft **3300** in FIG. **33** is scheduled for routine maintenance and service **3214**, which may include modification, reconfiguration, refurbishment, and other maintenance or service.

Each of the processes of aircraft manufacturing and service method **3200** may be performed or carried out by a system integrator, a third party, and/or an operator. In these examples, the operator may be a customer. For the purposes of this description, a system integrator may include, without limitation, any number of aircraft manufacturers and major-system subcontractors; a third party may include, without limitation, any number of vendors, subcontractors, and suppliers; and an operator may be an airline, a leasing company, a military entity, a service organization, and so on.

With reference now to FIG. **33**, an illustration of a block diagram of an aircraft is depicted in which an illustrative

embodiment may be implemented. In this example, aircraft **3300** is produced by aircraft manufacturing and service method **3200** in FIG. **32** and may include airframe **3302** with systems **3304** and interior **3306**. Examples of systems **3304** include one or more of propulsion system **3308**, electrical system **3310**, hydraulic system **3312**, and environmental system **3314**. Any number of other systems may be included. Although an aerospace example is shown, different illustrative embodiments may be applied to other industries, such as the automotive industry.

Apparatuses and methods embodied herein may be employed during at least one of the stages of aircraft manufacturing and service method **3200** in FIG. **32**. For example, one or more illustrative embodiments may be implemented during system integration **3208**. The different illustrative examples may be implemented to identify information to perform tasks to assemble parts on aircraft **3300**.

In particular, the visual query of aircraft may be used to identify locations where tasks for shop order instances are to be performed or where tasks have been performed. Additionally, an illustrative embodiment also may be implemented during maintenance and service **3214**. For example, information about the aircraft may be visually queried and viewed by an operator to perform tasks to assemble parts for maintenance, upgrades, refurbishment, and other operations during maintenance and service **3214** may be identified using an illustrative embodiment.

Turning now to FIG. **34**, an illustration of a block diagram of an aircraft management system is depicted in accordance with an illustrative embodiment. Aircraft management system **3400** is a physical hardware system. In this illustrative example, aircraft management system **3400** may include at least one of manufacturing system **3401** or aircraft maintenance system **3402**.

Manufacturing system **3401** is configured to manufacture products, such as aircraft **3300** in FIG. **33**. In this manner, manufacturing system **3401** may take the form of an aircraft manufacturing system in this illustrative example. As depicted, manufacturing system **3401** includes manufacturing equipment **3403**. Manufacturing equipment **3403** includes at least one of fabrication equipment **3404** or assembly equipment **3406**.

Fabrication equipment **3404** is equipment that may be used to fabricate components for parts used to form aircraft **3300**. For example, fabrication equipment **3404** may include machines and tools. These machines and tools may be at least one of a drill, a hydraulic press, a furnace, a mold, a composite tape laying machine, a vacuum system, a lathe, or other suitable types of equipment. Fabrication equipment **3404** may be used to fabricate at least one of metal parts, composite parts, semiconductors, circuits, fasteners, ribs, skin panels, spars, antennas, or other suitable types of parts.

Assembly equipment **3406** is equipment used to assemble parts to form aircraft **3300**. In particular, assembly equipment **3406** may be used to assemble components and parts to form aircraft **3300**. Assembly equipment **3406** also may include machines and tools. These machines and tools may be at least one of a robotic arm, a crawler, a faster installation system, a rail-based drilling system, or a robot. Assembly equipment **3406** may be used to assemble parts such as seats, horizontal stabilizers, wings, engines, engine housings, landing gear systems, and other parts for aircraft **3300**.

In this illustrative example, aircraft maintenance system **3402** includes maintenance equipment **3424**. Maintenance equipment **3424** may include any equipment needed to perform maintenance on aircraft **3300**. This maintenance

may include tools for performing different operations on parts on aircraft **3300**. These operations may include at least one of disassembling parts, refurbishing parts, inspecting parts, reworking parts, manufacturing placement parts, or other operations for performing maintenance on aircraft **3300**. These operations may be for routine maintenance, inspections, upgrades, refurbishment, or other types of maintenance operations.

In the illustrative example, maintenance equipment **3424** may include ultrasonic inspection devices, x-ray imaging systems, vision systems, drills, crawlers, and other suitable devices. In some cases, maintenance equipment **3424** may include fabrication equipment **3404**, assembly equipment **3406**, or both to produce and assemble parts that may be needed for maintenance.

Aircraft management system **3400** also includes control system **3408**. Control system **3408** is a hardware system and may also include software or other types of components. Control system **3408** is configured to control the operation of at least one of manufacturing system **3401** or aircraft maintenance system **3402**. In particular, control system **3408** may control the operation of at least one of fabrication equipment **3404**, assembly equipment **3406**, or maintenance equipment **3424**.

The hardware in control system **3408** may be using hardware that may include computers, circuits, networks, and other types of equipment. The control may take the form of direct control of manufacturing equipment **3403**. For example, robots, computer-controlled machines, and other equipment may be controlled by control system **3408**. In other illustrative examples, control system **3408** may manage operations performed by human operators **3410** in manufacturing or performing maintenance on aircraft **3300**. In these illustrative examples, object manager **124** in FIG. **1** may be implemented in control system **3408** to manage at least one of the manufacturing or maintenance of aircraft **3300** in FIG. **33**.

In the different illustrative examples, human operators **3410** may operate or interact with at least one of manufacturing equipment **3403**, maintenance equipment **3424**, or control system **3408**. This interaction may be performed to manufacture aircraft **3300**.

Of course, aircraft management system **3400** may be configured to manage other products other than aircraft **3300**. Although aircraft management system **3400** has been described with respect to manufacturing in the aerospace industry, aircraft management system **3400** may be configured to manage products for other industries. For example, aircraft management system **3400** may be configured to manufacture products for the automotive industry as well as any other suitable industries.

In these illustrative examples, object manager **124** in FIG. **1** may be implemented in manufacturing system **3401** to manage the manufacturing of aircraft **3300** in FIG. **33**. For example, a comparison of parts in two or more aircraft may be useful in managing the manufacture of aircraft **3300**. For example, a configuration of parts in a prior aircraft manufactured before aircraft **3300** compared to plans for manufacturing aircraft **3300** allows for human operators **3410** to become familiar with the new options that may be present. Further, the comparison of parts may be based on a condition of assembly for the aircraft. In particular, comparison may be made from various positions in an assembly line for the aircraft for different human operators in human operators **3410** that may assemble aircraft **3300** at different positions in the assembly line.

In the different illustrative examples, human operators **3410** may operate or interact with at least one of manufacturing equipment **3403** or control system **3408**. This interaction may be performed to manufacture aircraft **3300**.

Of course, aircraft management system **3400** may be configured to manage other products. Although aircraft management system **3400** has been described with respect to the aerospace industry, aircraft management system **3400** may be configured to manage products for other industries. For example, aircraft management system **3400** may be configured to manufacture products for the automotive industry as well as any other suitable industries.

In this manner, operators may visualize information about an aircraft using a graphical user interface. This visualization may be performed on the shop floor by operators who may not have experience and training with computer-aided design software. This visual query allows an operator to visually look at an aircraft or other object. In particular, comparison may be between an aircraft currently being manufactured and with prior aircraft previously manufactured. Operators may become familiar with changes in options that result in changes in parts when manufacturing the same type of aircraft on the assembly line.

Additionally, the comparison also may be made during maintenance in which upgrades, refurbishment, or other operations may be performed. For example, a refurbishment of aircraft **3300** may include changing seating configurations or other monuments in aircraft **3300**. A visualization of the change may be useful in the refurbishment of aircraft **3300**.

Further, the visualization may be performed without operators having to know coordinates for locations in the aircraft. In these illustrative examples, the graphical user interface displays graphical representations of the aircraft that allows the operators to view different portions of the aircraft without using coordinates to traverse the views of the aircraft.

Further, with an ability to visualize different conditions of assembly for aircraft **3300**, the management of operations performed by manufacturing system **3401** may occur in a manner that reduces the time to manufacture aircraft **3300**, increases the efficiency in manufacturing aircraft **3300**, increases efficiency in assigning shop order instances for manufacturing aircraft **3300**, and other suitable goals.

Further, the visualization of parts may also include an identification of the difference in the assembly of parts for locations between different builds of the same type of aircraft. With the visualizations provided using graphical user interface **208** in FIG. 2, operators that are familiar with the assembly of parts in a particular location in a prior build of an aircraft may more quickly become familiar with the assembly of parts in the same location in a current or upcoming build of the same type of aircraft.

Additionally, the visualization of parts may be performed in a synchronized manner using a synchronized display of a first aircraft and a second aircraft. With the side-by-side comparison provided through the display of parts in corresponding locations in two aircraft, an identification of changes from one aircraft to another aircraft may be made. This type of visualization may allow operators assembling parts to more easily see differences from one aircraft to another aircraft.

Further, this type of visualization also may be provided to customers. The visualization may allow a customer to see differences in aircraft that may occur from the selection of different customer options. A side-by-side view of a first aircraft with first parts based on first customer options may be compared to a second aircraft with second parts based on

second customer options. This type of view may allow a fly through of current configurations, potential configurations, or a combination thereof.

The visualization may also be for the same aircraft with different options. In this manner, a customer may view different options for an aircraft side-by-side using an illustrative embodiment. In this manner, a customer may see and fly through an aircraft to compare different options. This visualization may aid the customer in selecting options for an aircraft. In this manner, differences between the parts in corresponding locations in a first aircraft and a second aircraft are visually displayed to an operator.

The description of the different illustrative embodiments has been presented for purposes of illustration and description, and is not intended to be exhaustive or limited to the embodiments in the form disclosed. Many modifications and variations will be apparent to those of ordinary skill in the art. Although the illustrative embodiments describe a comparison of two aircraft, other illustrative embodiments may be applied to a comparison of other numbers of aircraft. For example, three aircraft, five aircraft, or some other number of aircraft may be compared using an illustrative embodiment.

Further, different illustrative embodiments may provide different features as compared to other illustrative embodiments. The embodiment or embodiments selected are chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the embodiments, the practical application, and to enable others of ordinary skill in the art to understand the disclosure for various embodiments with various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated.

What is claimed is:

1. An aircraft manufacturing system comprising:
 - manufacturing equipment;
 - a control system configured to control operation of the manufacturing equipment; and
 - an object manager in the control system, wherein the object manager is configured to:
 - identify a first model for a first aircraft with first customer options;
 - identify a second model for a second aircraft with second customer options;
 - compare first parts for the first aircraft in the first model with second parts for the second aircraft in the second model to form a comparison;
 - display graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location simultaneously on a display system, wherein the second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft, and wherein differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed, wherein the graphical representations of the first parts are displayed as being viewed from a first viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft and the graphical representations of the second parts are displayed as being viewed from a second viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft, wherein a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the first viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft is the same as a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the second viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft;
 - receive a selection from an operator to change the first viewpoint to a new first viewpoint; and

41

change the first viewpoint to the new first viewpoint and change the second viewpoint to a new second viewpoint in response to receiving the selection from the operator to change the first viewpoint, wherein a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the first new viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft is the same as a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the second new viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft.

2. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 1, wherein in being configured to display the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location and the second parts in the second location simultaneously on the display system, the object manager is configured to display the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location on a first display device in the display system and display the graphical representations of the second parts in the second location simultaneously on a second display device in the display system.

3. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 1, wherein in being configured to display the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location and the second parts in the second location simultaneously on the display system, the object manager is configured to display the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location in a first window on a display device in the display system and display the graphical representations of the second parts in the second location in a second window on the display device in the display system.

4. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 1, wherein the manufacturing equipment comprises at least one of fabrication equipment and assembly equipment.

5. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 1, wherein the object manager is further configured to:

identify a first part in the first parts for the first aircraft in the first location that is not in the second parts for the second aircraft in the second location and identify a second part in the second parts for the second aircraft in the second location that is not in the first parts for the first aircraft in the first location based on the comparison; and

display a first graphical indicator on a graphical representation of the first part in the graphical representation of the first parts in the first location to indicate that that the first part is not in the second parts in the second location and display a second graphical indicator on a graphical representation of the second part in the graphical representation of the second parts in the second location to indicate that the second part is not in the first parts in the first location.

6. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 5, wherein the first graphical indicator and the second graphical indicator are selected from the group of graphical indicators consisting of an icon, a color, an animation, and a tooltip.

7. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 1, wherein the object manager is further configured to:

display a graphical user interface on the display system, wherein the graphical user interface comprises a display of a plurality of buildings, wherein each building in the plurality of buildings represents a location where manufacturing of aircraft occurs and corresponds to a database of models for aircraft that are manufactured within the building; and

identify the first model for the first aircraft in response to a selection of one of the plurality of buildings displayed in the graphical user interface by an operator, wherein the first aircraft is manufactured in the selected one of

42

the plurality of buildings and the first model is in the database of models corresponding to the selected one of the plurality of buildings.

8. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 1, wherein the object manager is further configured to:

display a graphical user interface on the display system, wherein the graphical user interface comprises a display of an aircraft in a plurality of different positions, wherein the plurality of different positions correspond to different stages of assembly of the aircraft;

identify the first model for the first aircraft as a first model for the first aircraft at a stage of assembly of the first aircraft corresponding to a position of the aircraft displayed in the graphical user interface that is selected by an operator; and

identify the second model for the second aircraft as a second model for the second aircraft at a stage of assembly of the second aircraft corresponding to the position of the aircraft displayed in the graphical user interface that is selected by the operator.

9. The aircraft manufacturing system of claim 8, wherein the object manager is further configured to:

include the graphical representations, wherein differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed, in a shop order instance comprising instructions for performing an assembly task on the first aircraft at the stage of assembly of the first aircraft corresponding to the position of the aircraft displayed in the graphical user interface that is selected by the operator.

10. A method for comparing aircraft parts, comprising: identifying, by a processor unit, a first model for a first aircraft with first customer options;

identifying, by the processor unit, a second model for a second aircraft with second customer options;

comparing, by the processor unit, first parts for the first aircraft in the first model with second parts for the second aircraft in the second model to form a comparison;

displaying graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location simultaneously on a display system, wherein the second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft, and wherein differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed, wherein the graphical representations of the first parts are displayed as being viewed from a first viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft and the graphical representations of the second parts are displayed as being viewed from a second viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft, wherein a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the first viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft is the same as a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the second viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft;

receiving a selection from an operator to change the first viewpoint to a new first viewpoint; and

changing the first viewpoint to the new first viewpoint and changing the second viewpoint to a new second viewpoint in response to receiving the selection from the operator to change the first viewpoint, wherein a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the first new viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft is the same as a relationship between a position

43

and orientation of a view from the second new viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein displaying the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location and the second parts in the second location simultaneously on the display system comprises displaying the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location on a first display device in the display system and displaying the graphical representations of the second parts in the second location simultaneously on a second display device in the display system.

12. The method of claim 10, wherein displaying the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location and the second parts in the second location simultaneously on the display system comprises displaying the graphical representations of the first parts in the first location in a first window on a display device in the display system and displaying the graphical representations of the second parts in the second location simultaneously in a second window on the display device in the display system.

13. The method of claim 10 further comprising:

identifying, by the processor unit, a first part in the first parts for the first aircraft in the first location that is not in the second parts for the second aircraft in the second location and identifying a second part in the second parts for the second aircraft in the second location that is not in the first parts for the first aircraft in the first location based on the comparison; and

displaying a first graphical indicator on a graphical representation of the first part in the graphical representation of the first parts in the first location to indicate that that the first part is not in the second parts in the second location and displaying a second graphical indicator on a graphical representation of the second part in the graphical representation of the second parts in the second location to indicate that the second part is not in the first parts in the first location.

14. The method of claim 13, wherein the first graphical indicator and the second graphical indicator are selected from the group of graphical indicators consisting of an icon, a color, an animation, and a tooltip.

15. The method of claim 10 further comprising:

displaying a graphical user interface on the display system, wherein the graphical user interface comprises a display of a plurality of buildings, wherein each building in the plurality of buildings represents a location where manufacturing of aircraft occurs and corresponds to a database of models for aircraft that are manufactured within the building; and

identifying the first model for the first aircraft in response to a selection of one of the plurality of buildings displayed in the graphical user interface by an operator, wherein the first aircraft is manufactured in the selected one of the plurality of buildings and the first model is in the database of models corresponding to the selected one of the plurality of buildings.

16. The method of claim 10 further comprising:

displaying a graphical user interface on the display system, wherein the graphical user interface comprises a display of an aircraft in a plurality of different positions, wherein the plurality of different positions correspond to different stages of assembly of the aircraft; identifying the first model for the first aircraft as a first model for the first aircraft at a stage of assembly of the first aircraft corresponding to a position of the aircraft displayed in the graphical user interface that is selected by an operator; and

44

identifying the second model for the second aircraft as a second model for the second aircraft at a stage of assembly of the second aircraft corresponding to the position of the aircraft displayed in the graphical user interface that is selected by the operator.

17. The method of claim 16 further comprising:

including the graphical representations, wherein differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed, in a shop order instance comprising instructions for performing an assembly task on the first aircraft at the stage of assembly of the first aircraft corresponding to the position of the aircraft displayed in the graphical user interface that is selected by the operator.

18. An apparatus, comprising:

a display system;

a model database comprising models of aircraft; and

an object manager configured to:

identify a first model for a first aircraft with first customer options in the model database;

identify a second model for a second aircraft with second customer options in the model database;

compare first parts for the first aircraft in the first model with second parts for the second aircraft in the second model to form a comparison;

display graphical representations of the first parts in a first location and the second parts in a second location simultaneously on the display system, wherein the second location in the second aircraft corresponds to the first location in the first aircraft, and wherein differences between the parts in corresponding locations in the first aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed, wherein the graphical representations of the first parts are displayed as being viewed from a first viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft and the graphical representations of the second parts are displayed as being viewed from a second viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft, wherein a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the first viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft is the same as a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the second viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft;

receive a selection from an operator to change the first viewpoint to a new first viewpoint; and

change the first viewpoint to the new first viewpoint and change the second viewpoint to a new second viewpoint in response to receiving the selection from the operator to change the first viewpoint, wherein a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the first new viewpoint with respect to the first aircraft is the same as a relationship between a position and orientation of a view from the second new viewpoint with respect to the second aircraft.

19. The apparatus of claim 18, wherein the object manager is further configured to:

identify a first part in the first parts for the first aircraft in the first location that is not in the second parts for the second aircraft in the second location and identify a second part in the second parts for the second aircraft in the second location that is not in the first parts for the first aircraft in the first location based on the comparison; and

display a first graphical indicator on a graphical representation of the first part in the graphical representation

of the first parts in the first location to indicate that that
 the first part is not in the second parts in the second
 location and display a second graphical indicator on a
 graphical representation of the second part in the
 graphical representation of the second parts in the 5
 second location to indicate that the second part is not in
 the first parts in the first location.

20. The apparatus of claim **18**, wherein the object man-
 ager is further configured to:

display a graphical user interface on the display system, 10
 wherein the graphical user interface indicates different
 stages of assembly of an aircraft;

identify the first model for the first aircraft as a first model
 for the first aircraft at a stage of assembly of the first
 aircraft corresponding to a stage of assembly of the 15
 aircraft displayed in the graphical user interface that is
 selected by an operator;

identify the second model for the second aircraft as a
 second model for the second aircraft at a stage of
 assembly of the second aircraft corresponding to a 20
 stage of assembly of the aircraft displayed in the
 graphical user interface that is selected by the operator;
 and

include the graphical representations, wherein differences
 between the parts in corresponding locations in the first 25
 aircraft and the second aircraft are visually displayed,
 in a shop order instance comprising instructions for
 performing an assembly task on the first aircraft at the
 stage of assembly of the first aircraft corresponding to
 the stage of assembly of the aircraft displayed in the 30
 graphical user interface that is selected by the operator.

* * * * *