
G. L. POTTER. SPRING.

No. 481,971.

Patented Sept. 6, 1892.



United States Patent Office.

GEORGE L. POTTER, OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA.

SPRING.

SPECIFICATION forming part of Letters Patent No. 481,971, dated September 6, 1892.

Application filed April 29, 1892. Serial No. 431,120. (No model.)

To all whom it may concern:

Be it known that I, GEORGE L. POTTER, of Fort Wayne, county of Allen, State of Indiana, have invented a certain new and useful Improvement in Springs, of which the following is a true and exact description, reference being had to the accompanying drawings, which form a part of this specification.

My invention relates to the construction and assembling of leaf-springs, particularly of the variety known as "elliptical" springs.

Its object is to provide improved means for supporting the spring ends and generally to improve the strength and facilitate the replacement of parts.

The nature of my invention will be best understood as described in connection with the drawings in which it is illustrated, and in which—

Figure 1 is an elevation of my improved device, one-half being shown in section on the line 1 2 of Fig. 2. Fig. 2 is a plan view of the improved spring; Fig. 3, an elevation similar to Fig. 1, except that it shows the spring compressed, and also a slight modification. Fig. 4 is a plan view of the spring-supporting de-

A⁶ A⁶, &c., indicate the leaf-springs, made up of a series of superimposed plates A A', &c., held together by central clamps or collars B. It will be noticed that in the construction illustrated I form the two longer leaves A and A' of substantially the same length, the upper leaf A', indeed, being slightly longer than the

lower leaf, and I bend down the ends of both these leaves and form gibs a a'. These bent ends or gibs lay close together. Each spring, made up as described is supported by castings C C, the parts of which consist of a back piece

C' and spring-supporting bar C⁴, united to the back pieces by webs, as indicated at C² C³, &c., the construction providing a recess C⁵ to receive the gib ends a a' of the spring, the lower leaf A of which rests upon the bar C⁴. Preference on the spring of th

erably the support C is provided not only with end webs, as indicated at C², but with partition-webs C³, the number of which will depend upon the number of parallel springs to be sustained on the support. As shown in

50 Fig. 2, two such parallel sets of springs are illustrated, each set of leaves being secured together by a separate and independent collar.

or clamp B and supported on the common supporting devices C, one of which is provided at each end. In Fig. 4 I have indicated 55 by dotted lines a construction adapted for use with three parallel sets of springs, two webs C³ C³ being provided.

The supporting-casting C, constructed as above described, not only provides a conven- 60 ient and satisfactory holding device for the spring ends, but the supporting-bar C⁴ also serves, as shown in Fig. 3, as a device for shortening the distance between the supports and thus stiffening the spring as it is com- 65 pressed. It will also be seen that the supporting device is one from which any individual spring can be removed readily without disturbing the others with which it is connected, and by constructing the two lower or longer 70 leaves as described and providing each with a bent end or gib I not only make the spring stronger at the point where breakage is most likely to occur, but in case of the breaking one gib have still another which is strong 75 enough under ordinary circumstances to support the strains to which this part of the spring is subjected.

My springs are especially adapted for railroad use, and by the construction described 80 I am enabled to remove any broken spring without taking the whole set of springs from under the car or tender.

Preferably the spring-supporting bar C⁴ is made with an outward bevelor taper, as shown 85 in Fig. 3, and it will be seen that by increasing either the bevel or the breadth of said bar the motion of the spring can be limited and its capacity to stand excessive strains increased. Obviously my device is applicable 90 to single as well as double elliptic springs, and it will be understood that I do not limit myself to any particular metal for the supports C.

Having now described my invention, what I claim as new, and desire to secure by Letters 95 Patent, is—

1. The spring-support C, having a back C', a supporting-bar C⁴, secured to the back by webs, as C², and a recess C⁵, formed between the back C' and the bar C⁴, to receive the bent 100 ends or gibs of the spring.

2. The spring-support C, having a back C', an outwardly-tapered supporting-bar C⁴, secured to the back by webs, as C², and a recess

C⁵, formed between the back C' and the bar C⁴, to receive the bent ends or gibs of the

spring.

3. The spring-support C, having a back C', a supporting-bar C⁴, secured to the back by end webs, as C², and a partition web or webs, as C³, and a recess C⁵, formed between the back C' and bar C⁴, to receive the bent ends or gibs of two or more parallel springs.

o 4. The combination of a leaf-spring A⁶, having its two longest leaves formed with gib ends a 3', lying close together, with springsup-supports C, having backs C', and spring-supporting pars C⁴, united by webs, as C², and a

recess C⁵, formed between the back and sup- 15

port, to receive the gib ends a a'.

5. The combination of two or more sets of parallel leaf-springs, each set being held together by a separate and independent clamp, as B, with supports C, having backs C', and 20 supporting-bars C⁴, united by end and partition webs, as C² C² C³, and having recesses C⁵ formed between said back and bar to receive gib ends of the spring.

GEORGE L. POTTER.

Witnesses:

F. R. WELDON, J. S. GORDON.